SHIP PRODUCTION COMMITTEE FACILITIES AND ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS SURFACE PREPARATION AND COATINGS DESIGN/PRODUCTION INTEGRATION HUMAN RESOURCE INNOVATION MARINE INDUSTRY STANDARDS WELDING INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING EDUCATION AND TRAINING

> THE NATIONAL SHIPBUILDING RESEARCH PROGRAM

1993 Ship Production Symposium

November 1993

NSRP 0408

Paper No. 24: Thermal Spray for Corrosion Control: A Competitive Edge for Commercial Shipbuilding

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY CARDEROCK DIVISION, NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188			
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.							
1. REPORT DATE NOV 1993		2. REPORT TYPE N/A			3. DATES COVERED		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
The National Shipbuilding Research Program 1993 Ship Production Symposium Paper No. 24: Thermal Spray for Corrosion Control: A				5b. GRANT NUMBER			
Competitive Edge for Commercial Shipbuilding					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
				5e. TASK NUMBER			
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Surface Warfare Center CD Code 2230 - Design Integration Tower Bldg 192 Room 128 9500 MacArthur Blvd Bethesda, MD 20817-5700					8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited							
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	OTES						
14. ABSTRACT							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF				18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF		
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	SAR	9	KESPONSIBLE PERSON		

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

DISCLAIMER

These reports were prepared as an account of government-sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the United States Navy, nor any person acting on behalf of the United States Navy (A) makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of the information contained in this report/manual, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or (B) assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in the report. As used in the above, "Persons acting on behalf of the United States Navy" includes any employee, contractor, or subcontractor to the contractor of the United States Navy to the extent that such employee, contractor, or subcontractor to the contractor prepares, handles, or distributes, or provides access to any information pursuant to his employment or contract or subcontract to the contractor with the United States Navy. ANY POSSIBLE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND/OR FITNESS FOR PURPOSE ARE SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMED.

0408

THE NATIONAL SHIPBUILDING RESEARCH PROGRAM

1993

SHIP PRODUCTION SYMPOSIUM



Sponsored by the Hampton Roads Section Society of Naval Architects & Marine Engineers



Williamsburg Virginia, November 1-4, 1993



The National Shipbuilding Research Program 1993 Ship Production Symposium Sponsored by the Hampton Roads Section SNAME

Thermal Spray for Corrosion Control: A Competitive Edge for Commercial Shipbuilding

Frank S. Rogers (V)-"Thennion" Arc Spray Systems, WA

ABSTRACT

Thermal spraying of steel with aluminum to protect it from corrosion is a technology that has been proven to work in the marine environment. The thermal spray coating system includes a paint sealer that is applied over the thermally sprayed aluminum; this extends the service life of the coating, and provides color to the end product. The thermal spray protects steel both system principle the of through isolation (as in painting) and galvanization (as in With this dual qalvanizing) . protection mechanism, steel is protected from corrosion even when the coating is damaged.

The thermal sprayed aluminum coating system has proven to be the most cost effective corrosion protection system for the marine environment. However, until recently the initial cost of application has limited its use for general application. Today a new arc spray technology has reduced the application cost of thermal spraying aluminum to below that of painting.

Commercial shipbuilders could use this technology to enhance their market position in the marine industry.

INTRODUCTION

It is time to put thermal spray aluminum technology to The technology has been work. proven to provide more than 20 maintenance-free years of service in the marine environment and can now be applied at a cheaper cost than painting. The technology was extensively analyzed by the Navy 's David Taylor Research Facility at Annapolis, Maryland. A series of fault and no-fault tests were conducted, using the Navy paint system as a standard. These tests, conducted over a five year period proved the thermal sprayed aluminum coating provided system corrosion protection better than painting, even when the coating was so severely damaged as to expose bare steel. These same tests also proved that flame wire and arc wire processes produce coatings that provide acceptable corrosion protection.

For more than fifteen years the Navy has been applying thermal spray aluminum coating to high corrosion areas aboard ships, and to dry dock facilities. Actual field applications, such as, weather decks, oil tanks, bilge tanks, ballast tanks, sanitary spaces, sewage holding tanks, fresh water tanks, fuel tanks, steam valves, etc. have provided testimonial success of the technology.

All the thermal spray processes produce coatings that will protect steel in the marine environment for long periods of time. The arc spray process is the only one currently available that allows the thermal spraying of aluminum to be performed cheaper than painting. Additionally, the results are of higher quality and provide the longest service life.

IMPLEMENTING THE THERMAL SPRAY PROCESS

thermally Α sprayed aluminum coating, unlike paint, is resistant to abuse, and will therefore not be damaged by normal fabrication practices; this allows the coating to be applied during the construction process. The most cost effective production practice, highest quality of with the would be obtained by work, thermal spraying subassemblies individual parts in the and shop, where accessibility would better, be automated and processes could be utilized. Welding over the aluminum coating will not normally effect steel's physical and the chemistry properties, however, effect the welding it does characteristics; so welding over the thermal sprayed coating is not a good idea. The weld areas

should be masked or the thermal sprayed coating can be removed with the same methods used to remove paint or galvani.zi.ng by grinding, sand blasting, or water blasting.

APPLICATION COST REDUCTION

The introduction of the arc spray process to corrosion protection applications has reduced the cost of thermal spraying, and has also facilitated a cost reductions in surface preparation and sealer application. The combination of these process improvements have made the thermally sprayed aluminum coating a viable cost alternative to paint coatings.

Surface Preparation

The high cost of surface preparation for the flame spray process is due to the fact that it requires a double blast operation. The first operation, performed with any blasting material, cleans the steel. The second blast operation establishes the required anchor tooth , and further cleans the material to a white metal finish. Aluminum oxide grit or chilled iron grit is normally specified for this second blast. Even with these precautions ultra clean practices are required to maintain surface cleanliness until it is coated.

Arc spray is much more forgiving to surface cleanliness requirements, and requires blasting standards similar to with the exception painting, that arc spraying requires blasting with an angular grit to achieve a anchor tooth pattern of 50um (2 roils) or more. The optimum surface preparation condition for both painting and arc spraying is metal that has been cleaned to white or near cleanliness this white; requirement be achieved can with mineral slag grit material such as garnet, copper slag, and nickel slag in sieve sizes of 24-36. The cost of these grits in bulk quantities is about \$.066/kg (\$.03/lb), as compared to \$.66/kg (\$.30/lb) for the aluminum oxide grit which is required for the flame spray process (Table I).

	PAINT/ARC	FLAME
COPPER SLAG \$.066/KG (\$.03/LB) 73 KG/M ² (15 LBS/FT ²	4.83 (.45)	4.83(.45)
ALUMINUM OXIDE \$.66/KG (\$.30/LB) 29.28 KG/M ² (6 LBS/FT ²		19.39 (1.80)
LABOR	10.76 (1.00)	13.45 (1.25)
TOTAL US \$	15.59 (1.45)	37.67 (3.50)
per square meter (square foot)		

Table I: Typical surface preparation costs

The arc spray process provides а higher quality coating with the single surface preparation method than the flame spray process does on the dual blasting method. This is because the high energy of the electric arc causes the spray material to super heat and bond to the steel at strengths three to four times that of flame spray (Table II). The coating is also **more** ductile (softer) and will withstand more abuse.

ARC (wire)

316-421 KG/CM² (4.5K-6K LBS/IN²)

FLAME (wire)

105-246 KG/CM² (1.5K-3.5K LBS/IN²)

K=1000

Table II: (Typical) bond strengths for arc wire and flame wire processes

Sealer Application

Sealers are required for the thermal sprayed aluminum coating. The sealer enhances the performance of the coating by filling its pores, and isolating the aluminum from the environment. Without a sealer the life expectancy of а thermally sprayed aluminum coating would be decreased by a factor of three or more. A thin coat sealer performs better than a thick coating, making it more apply a desirable to thin coating system rather than a multiple layer thick coating system.

A thin sealer allows a considerable cost savings, and a reduction in <u>volatile organic</u> <u>compounds</u> (voc) emitted to the atmosphere. The British, whom has more experience in thermal spraying ships, discourage a thick sealer system and specify a single coat wash primer system in their standard. The U.S. Navy specifies a thin coating sealer system for hiqh temperature steam valves; this application in itself verifies that the single coating practice satisfies the sealing A thick paint requirements. sealer can blister and create a pocket for moisture to gather. This stagnant water deteriorates the thermal spray coating under the blister, leaving the steel without protection at that paint. Blisters do not form on a thin sealer system to cause this problem.

For marine applications where color is not needed, a single coat sealer system is the preferred method. For example, a Mare Island Formula 150 primer thinned with an equal amount of will the solvent provide required protection. When а specific color is specified a thinned second coating material applied over the original sealer, and applied just thickly enough to provide color, is all that is required and recommended.

The Are Spray Process Improvements

The spray rate of the arc spray process has significantly reduced the labor required to apply the thermal sprayed Spray rates for coating. aluminum have changed from an average of 3.4 kg/hr (7 1/2 lbs/hr) to over 15.8 kg/hr (35 lbs/hr). This has been accomplished through inventions that allow the arc spraying of aluminum wire with diameters of up to 4 mm (5/32 inch). Other representative spray rates and coverages are shown in Table III . Deposit efficiency has also improved with the spraying of larger diameter wires; the efficiency is now more than 75%,

which is equal to or better than the deposit efficiency of the flame spray process.

WIRE SIZE	AMPS	MEL' PER	T RATE HOUR	COVERAGE PER HOUR 250um/FT ²
MM (IN)		KG/HF	(LBS/HR)	(10mil/FT ^e)
2.38 (3/32)	300	10	(22)	88
3.17 (1/8)	400	12.7	(28)	112
3.96 (5/32)	500	15.8	(35)	140
l				

Table III: (Typical) arc wire spray rates

Improvements in arc spray equipment design and reliability have lowered costs of operations, and significantly increased labor efficiency, see table IV for process comparison. Training personnel to perform thermal spraying can be completed in just a couple days; includes this learning the skills to maintain the equipment. Operations are simple: the equipment turns on and off with one switch and spraying is started immediately preheating of the without substrate material.

	PAINTIN	G FLAME	SPRAY	ARC	SPRAY
ENERGY SURF PREP SEALER* PRIMER* COLOR#1* COLOR #2* METALSPRAY*	x 1.45 x 1.35 1.35 1.35 x	3.5 .7 x 1. x 2.7	13 0 35 75		.01 1.45 .70 x 1.35 x <u>1.83</u>
TOTAL US \$/FT ²	<u>5.50</u>	<u>8.43</u>			<u>5.34</u>
*INCLUDESLAE	OR AND	MATERIAI	J		

Table	IV:	Process	cost
compari	son		

CONCLUSION:

Through the use of thermal the United States spray, shipbuilding industry could enhance their market position. products could be Marine guaranteed for more than twenty years against corrosion. Coating could be lower and costs environmental hazards could be Volatile organic reduced. compounds, a hazard in paints, could be reduced by more than ninety percent, or possibly eliminated. Because corrosion allowances would not be needed structural steel thicknesses could be reduced, increasing pay load and reducing fuel costs. Double hull technology would be enhanced by the long term protection of thermally sprayed coatings; which have been validated by both laboratory and field applications.

The high deposition arc spray technology has facilitated lowering the cost of thermal spray to below that of painting, while providing the highest quality coating. The process is forgiving to surface cleanliness requirements, allowing it to be used as a normal production practice with few special It is a process precautions. that can be operated manually or automated using conventional or robotic equipment. The process does not require special skills, almost anyone of any and background can be trained, to the and maintain operate equipment.

It is time to put the thermal sprayed aluminum technology to work in providing corrosion protection to our marine products and to provide a market edge for the United States shipbuilding industry. The coating will provide more than twenty years of corrosion protection for marine products, three to five times the life of a standard paint system. It can be applied on any size component in the field or in the shop, and the thermal sprayed aluminum coating system is now cheaper than painting and environmentally safer.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The high deposition arc spray process was developed with the assistance and valuable experience and knowledge input from employees of Puget Sound Naval Shipyard. Funding was provided by Naval Sea Systems Command and David Taylor Research Center. Legal services for Patent applications were provided by the Navy Patent Office.

REFERENCES

1. B.A. Shaw, A.G.S. Morton, "Final Report, Five Year Evaluation of Flame and Arc Sprayed Aluminum, Zinc, and 85/15 Zinc/Aluminum". Marine Corrosion Branch, David Taylor Research Center, Bethesda, Maryland, 20084.

2. BS5493:1977 Code of Pratice for Protective Coating of Iron and Steel Structures Against Corrosion, Britsh Standard Institution Additional copies of this report can be obtained from the National Shipbuilding Research and Documentation Center:

http://www.nsnet.com/docctr/

Documentation Center The University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute Marine Systems Division 2901 Baxter Road Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2150

Phone: 734-763-2465 Fax: 734-763-4862 E-mail: Doc.Center@umich.edu