

ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY



**A Concise Physical Interpretation of
Several Analytical Grüneisen Formulations**

by Steven B. Segletes

ARL-TR-3881

August 2006

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Army Research Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069

ARL-TR-3881

August 2006

A Concise Physical Interpretation of Several Analytical Grüneisen Formulations

Steven B. Segletes
Weapons and Materials Research Directorate, ARL

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			<i>Form Approved</i> OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.				
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) August 2006		2. REPORT TYPE Final		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) January 2005–May 2006
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE A Concise Physical Interpretation of Several Analytical Grüneisen Formulations			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
			5b. GRANT NUMBER	
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Steven B. Segletes			5d. PROJECT NUMBER AH80	
			5e. TASK NUMBER	
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRD-ARL-WM-TD Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-TR-3881	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Author email: <steven@arl.army.mil>				
14. ABSTRACT A novel method is presented for deriving the Grüneisen formulations of three classical Grüneisen models. Unlike past studies which have attempted to differentiate between these Grüneisen models on the basis of Poisson ratio arguments, the current approach employs elementary vibration analysis to reach its conclusions. The current approach provides a physical insight into how the models' underlying assumptions are responsible for the analytical distinctions between the models.				
15. SUBJECT TERMS equations of state, lattice dynamics, thermodynamic properties, Slater, Dugdale-MacDonald, free-volume theory				
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 28
a. REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	b. ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	c. THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED		
			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 410-306-1939	

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Classical Grüneisen Theories	2
3. Result	4
4. Conclusion	7
5. References	9
Distribution List	11

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

1. Introduction

The Grüneisen function, Γ , is a key thermodynamic function in the study of materials subjected to the high pressures of shock loading. Macroscopically, it relates the pressure changes to changes in internal energy at constant volume, by way of the relation

$$\Gamma = V(\partial p/\partial E)_V \quad , \quad (1)$$

where p is pressure, E is internal energy, and V is the volume of the material's unit cell. In general, Γ may be a function of the lattice volume (*i.e.*, spacing of the atomic oscillators) as well as the temperature (*i.e.*, amplitude of the vibration). However, Grüneisen theory assumes that the vibrational amplitude is small relative to the lattice spacing; thus, the set of oscillators comprising the lattice possess frequencies that are assumed to vary with volume alone. In terms of this characteristic vibrational frequency of the lattice, ω , the volume dependence of the Grüneisen function is expressed, according to classical theory (1, 2), as

$$\Gamma/V = -\frac{d\omega/dV}{\omega} \quad . \quad (2)$$

During the decades in which the classical approach to lattice vibration held sway, a number of analytical Grüneisen formulations were presented in the literature, with several gaining a widely cited reputation (1, 3, 4). Because of the manner in which these particular models were formulated, Γ was given in terms of both the material's volume and cold pressure, p_c (*i.e.*, the 0° isothermal pressure). However, since the cold pressure is, itself, a function of volume alone, these formulations for Γ indeed satisfy the Grüneisen assumption of temperature independence.

While these important models retained the key definitional coupling embodied in equation 2, many later developers of numerical hydrocodes for impact simulation opted to bypass the formalism of these historical models, in favor of direct empirical characterization of $\Gamma(V)$ according to preferred functional forms. Perhaps the motivation in this was that empirical shock data provided a material's shock Hugoniot, and not the cold-pressure curve demanded of the classical models. Regardless of the motivation, once analytically decoupled from the mechanics of lattice compression, these wholly

empirical formulations for Γ were not always thermodynamically robust. Segletes (5–7) has shown how these decoupled Grüneisen formulations are susceptible to an unusual host of thermodynamic inconsistencies that can lead to nonphysical thermodynamic instabilities in shock/impact calculations.

There is value, then, in reconsidering the classical Grüneisen methods as an important bridge between modern *ab initio* methods and the decoupled empirical approaches that still characterize many extensively utilized numerical codes in the shock/impact community.

2. Classical Grüneisen Theories

The classical models by Slater (1), Dugdale and MacDonald (3), as well as the free-volume theory cited by Vashchenko and Zubarev (4), have been widely studied and utilized and relate a materials' Grüneisen behavior to the compression behavior of the lattice. While it is somewhat difficult to compare the original derivations of these three models side by side to ascertain the particular points of departure that bring about their distinctions, some have attempted to do exactly this.

While the forms originally presented by Slater,

$$\Gamma = -\frac{2}{3} - \frac{V}{2} \left(\frac{d^2 p_c / dV^2}{dp_c / dV} \right) , \quad (3)$$

and that of Dugdale and MacDonald,

$$\Gamma = -1 - \frac{V}{2} \left(\frac{d^2 p_c / dV^2 - 10p_c / 9V^2}{dp_c / dV + 2p_c / 3V} \right) , \quad (4)$$

bear a notable similarity as presented, it was Vashchenko and Zubarev (4) who were able to present a unified view of these results, along with the free-volume theory. They present a single parameterized algebraic expression which accurately captures the forms of all three of these models, depending upon the value of the model's parameter. This expression is given as

$$\Gamma = -\frac{4 - 3n}{6} - \frac{V}{2} \frac{\frac{d^2}{dV^2}(p_c V^n)}{\frac{d}{dV}(p_c V^n)} , \quad (5)$$

where n is the model parameter. When n takes on values of 0, 2/3, and 4/3 respectively, the models of Slater (1), Dugdale and MacDonald (3), and the free-volume theory (4) are respectively recovered.

To arrive at equation 5, Vashchenko and Zubarev postulated a hypothetical form for the Poisson ratio, ν , in terms of the cold pressure, volume, and model parameter n :

$$\nu = \frac{1 - \frac{1 - \nu_0}{1 + \nu_0} \left(1 + n \frac{d \ln V}{d \ln p_c} \right)}{1 + \frac{1 - \nu_0}{1 + \nu_0} \left(1 + n \frac{d \ln V}{d \ln p_c} \right)} . \quad (6)$$

Coupled with certain relations specifying the manner in which the Poisson ratio relates to the lattice vibrational frequencies, equation 6 was shown to lead directly to equation 5.

Therefore, if one accepts their postulated form for the Poisson ratio, equation 6, then the three Grüneisen models of interest (1, 3, 4) follow from equation 5 as specific instances of the proposed form. Pastine (8) has also utilized an explanation involving the influence of the Poisson ratio as a means to interpret and differentiate expressions for the Grüneisen formulation.

Conceptually, such an approach makes sense, and there is certainly nothing invalid about it. However, the intuitive understanding that one may obtain from equation 6 is, at best, quite limited, especially when the Poisson form is, itself, expressed in terms of volume derivatives of the cold pressure.

What is more, the original derivations of the models of Slater, Dugdale and MacDonald, and the free-volume theory were not made on the basis of this or any other Poisson ratio formulation. That researchers could, after the fact, discover the Poisson ratio as a means to differentiate the models was a useful and convenient resolution to the useful question of “what scientific explanation can explain the functional difference between these three models?” But, because the original models were never derived in terms of Poisson ratio, the Poisson explanation fails to answer the more fundamental question of “what is it about the formulation of these three models that leads to their functional differences?”

3. Result

In this report, a different approach is taken to compare these historical models, based upon treating the material lattice as a spring-like structure, in which the various models may be differentiated on the basis of what kind of spring composes the lattice and what measure is taken to quantify the spring's characteristic spatial dimension. The key link in eventually relating the spring's response back to the Grüneisen function is the classical equation 2, which defines the Grüneisen function in terms of the characteristic vibrational frequency of the lattice ω .

Consider a lattice with spacing λ such that $\lambda^3 = V$. When atomic vibration is not considered (*i.e.*, at 0° temperature), the potential energy of the lattice network is defined by the cold energy of the lattice E_c , which will be a function of only a spatial dimension that is characteristic of the lattice, call it ξ . This characteristic dimension might take on units of length (as in the lattice spacing λ), though it need not necessarily do so; rather, it could take the form of volume V or some other spatially related quantity.

For a quantity characterized by an isotropic field potential, such as the lattice energy E_c , the negative of the gradient of the potential with respect to ξ , in this case $-\nabla E_c(\xi)$, is an indicative measure of the field's "force," while the Laplacian of E_c , that is $\nabla^2 E_c(\xi)$, is a measure of the field's "stiffness." The terms "force" and "stiffness" are quoted here because depending on the nature of the characteristic dimension ξ , the physical units of the characteristic lattice "force" might be other than force and likewise for the "stiffness."

Vibration analysis indicates that the system's characteristic stiffness is associated with a characteristic vibrational frequency ω_ξ according to the following relation:

$$\nabla^2 E_c(\xi) \propto \omega_\xi^2 \quad , \quad (7)$$

where the symbol " \propto " denotes a direct proportionality. This expression has been used in the study of lattice mechanics (9, 10). As in the case of the characteristic force and stiffness, the ξ -based characteristic frequency ω_ξ may not have the physical units of 1/time that are traditionally associated with frequency, though it will have dimensions of propagation speed per unit characteristic dimension. However, it may be related to a characteristic frequency ω that does have traditional units (*i.e.*, 1/time). This connection

is made through the definitional relations of vibration theory which connect the characteristic frequency and propagation velocity through the vibrational wavelength:

$$\omega\xi\xi = C = \omega\lambda \quad . \quad (8)$$

Thus, combining equations 7 and 8 gives the following proportion:

$$\nabla^2 E_c(\xi) \propto \left(\frac{\omega\lambda}{\xi}\right)^2 \quad . \quad (9)$$

Equation 9 is the simple relation from which the results of this report flow. Applying it to the task of acquiring a relationship for the Grüneisen function is accomplished by starting with equation 9 and isolating ω^2 . Take the derivative of this equation with respect to volume V and divide the resulting equation by the original equation. The result, in light of equation 2, is given as

$$\Gamma = -\frac{V}{2} \frac{\frac{d}{dV} \left(\frac{\xi^2}{\lambda^2} \nabla^2 E_c(\xi) \right)}{\left(\frac{\xi^2}{\lambda^2} \nabla^2 E_c(\xi) \right)} \quad . \quad (10)$$

There are really two parameters that characterize equation 10. The first is the characteristic lattice dimension ξ , and the second is physical nature of the lattice spring whose stiffness is characterized by $\nabla^2 E_c(\xi)$. Depending on whether the lattice spring is considered a simple one-dimensional (1-D) spring or a three dimensional (3-D) radial spring, the Laplacian operator would be expressed as

$$\nabla^2 E_c(\xi) \propto \begin{cases} d^2 E_c/d\xi^2 & \text{(simple 1-D spring)} \\ d^2 E_c/d\xi^2 + (2/\xi)dE_c/d\xi & \text{(3-D radial spring)} \end{cases} \quad . \quad (11)$$

From equation 10, in light of equation 11, it may be directly shown that Slater's relation is recovered when the lattice spring is a simple 1-D spring and the characteristic spatial dimension ξ of the lattice is taken as its cell volume V . Similarly, the relation of Dugdale and MacDonald is recovered by taking the lattice spring to be simple 1-D, while taking the characteristic spatial dimension ξ as the lattice spacing λ . Finally, the free-volume

theory may be recovered* from equation 10 when the lattice spring is taken as a 3-D radial spring and the characteristic spatial dimension ξ of the lattice is the lattice spacing λ . Table 1 categorizes some of the relevant quantities for these three models.

Table 1. Characteristic spring type and spatial dimension for various Grüneisen theories and physical units of associated characteristic quantities.

Theory	Lattice Spring Type	Characteristic Spatial Dimension, ξ	Units of Characteristic	
			Force	Stiffness
Slater	Simple (1-D)	V	Pressure	Pressure/volume
Dugdale-MacDonald	Simple (1-D)	λ	Force	Force/length
Free-volume theory	Radial (3-D)	λ	Force	Force/length

These differentiating characteristics are not merely coincidental, which just happen to produce the given models; rather, they are indicative of the logic and methodologies employed by the researchers in their original derivations. In the case of Slater's derivation, a constant Poisson ratio was assumed. When this is stipulated for a material, the elastic and bulk moduli remain proportional. This allowed the vibrational frequency to be cast in terms of volume derivatives of pressure. Thus, it is not surprising that the characteristic dimension of the Slater model is volume V , leading to a stiffness expressed in terms of pressure per volume.

In the case of the Dugdale-MacDonald model, the authors' explicit contention was that the characteristic lattice velocity is always of a form consistent with a 1-D spring formulation (which is to say, with a characteristic stiffness having units of force per length). Plendl (12) has also asserted this relationship. Dugdale and MacDonald even went so far as to show that Slater's model failed to give the proper Grüneisen value of zero for a harmonic lattice of simple 1-D springs. Thus, wholly consistent with the model's underlying principal, a lattice of simply-connected 1-D springs would necessarily retain λ as the characteristic dimension.

The free-volume theory differs from the Dugdale-MacDonald relation only in that the lattice spring is taken as a 3-D radial spring rather than as a simple 1-D spring, reflecting a more complex view of reality in terms of the interatomic potential as a 3-D field and not as a 1-D spring.

*Recognition of the compatibility of the free-volume theory with the underlying tenets of equations 2 and 7 was also noted in unpublished work by Shanker *et al.* (11).

It is important to note that when ξ defines an orientation-independent lattice measure such as λ or V , equation 7 describes a volumetric oscillation, since an ordinary derivative of E_c , with respect to the characteristic dimension ξ , implies that ξ is changed between every atom in the lattice network (and in every component direction), in order to evaluate the resultant change in E_c . Therefore, in such cases, the quantity ω_ξ may be justifiably termed a “volumetric frequency,” regardless of whether the springs are characterized as 1-D or 3-D.

However, it has been shown (13) that when the lattice interactions are restricted to nearest neighbors only (or alternately when the lattice component stiffness is purely harmonic in nature), the stiffness associated with a volumetric change is in proportion to the stiffness associated with a 1-D vibrational wave pulse. Therefore, under the assumption of nearest-neighbor interactions, this volumetric frequency ω_ξ is equally indicative of a traveling-wave vibrational frequency from which the Γ function actually arises.

In all the models examined in this report (1, 3, 4), the original authors explicitly invoked nearest-neighbor arguments in their derivations. This widely used nearest-neighbor assumption (1, 3, 4, 14, 15) serves to justify the use of ω_ξ in equation 8 as a means to link the vibrational frequencies of volumetric change to those of traveling waves. It must be remembered, however, that in the general case when distant interactions of non-nearest neighbors are considered, the volumetric frequency and vibrational frequency are not identical (13). As such, equation 7 remains merely an approximation when applied to the global (as opposed to the pairwise) potential.

4. Conclusion

The simple direct formulation of the Grüneisen function that herein arises from elementary vibration analysis serves to provide a clear foundational understanding of three popular Grüneisen models (1, 3, 4) and their differentiating characteristics. Unlike the historical explanations (4, 8) that, after the fact, invoked the functional behavior of the Poisson ratio as the means to functionally differentiate the historical models, the current approach offers an explanation in terms of two fundamental modeling assumptions—the nature of the interatomic “spring” and the characteristic spatial measure of the lattice. Such a differentiation, by its nature, provides a satisfactory

answer to the question of “what is it about the formulation of these three models that leads to their functional differences?” Such understanding is absent with the historical explanations, where the differentiating feature is cast in terms of the Poisson ratio.

5. References

1. Slater, J. C. *Introduction to Chemical Physics*; 1st ed.; International Series in Physics, McGraw Hill: New York, 1939.
2. Rice, M. H.; McQueen, R. G.; Walsh, J. M. Compression of Solids by Strong Shock Waves. In *Solid State Physics*, Vol. 6; Seitz, F., Turnbull, D., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1958; pp 1–63.
3. Dugdale, J. S.; MacDonald, D. K. C. The Thermal Expansion of Solids. *Phys. Rev.* **1953**, *89*(4), 832–834.
4. Vaschenko, V. Y.; Zubarev, V. N. Concerning the Grüneisen Constant. *Sov. Phys.—Sol. State* **1963**, *5*(3), 653–655.
5. Segletes, S. B. Thermodynamic Stability of the Mie-Grüneisen Equation of State, and its Relevance to Hydrocode Computations. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1991**, *70*(5), 2489–2499; Erratum **1992**, *71*, 1074.
6. Segletes, S. B. Further Examinations on the Thermodynamic Stability of the Mie-Grüneisen Equation of State. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1994**, *76*(8), 4560–4566.
7. Segletes, S. B. The Effect of Thermodynamic Constraints Upon the Mie-Grüneisen Equation of State. In *Constitutive Laws: Experiments and Numerical Implementation*; Rajendran, A. M., Batra, R. C., Eds.; Theory and Engineering Applications of Computational Methods, CIMNE: Barcelona, 1995; pp 46–51.
8. Pastine, D. J. Formulation of the Grüneisen Parameter for Monatomic Cubic Crystals. *Phys. Rev.* **1965**, *138*(3A), A767–A770.
9. Maradudin, A. A.; Montroll, E. W.; Weiss, G. H. Theory of Lattice Dynamics in the Harmonic Approximation. In *Solid State Physics*; Seitz, F., Turnbull, D., Eds.; supplement 3 of *Advances in Research and Applications*, Academic Press: New York, 1963.
10. Hama, J.; Suito, K.; Kawakami, N. First-Principles Calculation of the Shock-Wave Equation of State of Isotropic Lithium Hydride. *Phys. Rev. B* **1989**, *39*(5), 3351–3360.
11. Shanker, J.; Singh, B. P.; Baghel, H. K. Unpublished manuscript, Sept. 2005.

12. Plendl, J. N. Some New Interrelations in the Properties of Solids Based on Anharmonic Cohesive Forces. *Phys. Rev.* **1961**, *123(4)*, 1172–1180.
13. Segletes, S. B. Classical Methods for Frequency-Based Equations of State. In *Recent Research Developments in Physics and Chemistry of Solids*, Vol. 1; Pandalai, S. G., Ed.; Transworld Research Network: Trivandrum, 2002; pp 1–26.
14. Brillouin, L. *Wave Propagation in Periodic Structures*; 2nd ed.; Dover Publications: New York, 1953 (originally McGraw Hill: New York, 1946).
15. Guinea, F.; Rose, J. H.; Smith, J. R.; Ferrante, J. Scaling Relations in the Equation of State, Thermal Expansion, and Melting of Metals. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **1984**, *44(1)*, 53–55.

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 DEFENSE TECHNICAL
(PDF INFORMATION CTR
ONLY) DTIC OCA
8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD
STE 0944
FORT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218

1 US ARMY RSRCH DEV &
ENGRG CMD
SYSTEMS OF SYSTEMS
INTEGRATION
AMSRD SS T
6000 6TH ST STE 100
FORT BELVOIR VA 22060-5608

1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
IMNE ALC IMS
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

3 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
AMSRD ARL CI OK TL
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

1 DIR USARL
AMSRD ARL CI OK TP (BLDG 4600)

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CCH A M D NICOLICH PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CCL B M MINISI PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY AVN & MIS CMND AMSAM RD SS AA J BILLINGSLEY REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898
3	COMMANDER US ARMY RSRCH OFC K IYER J BAILEY S F DAVIS PO BOX 12211 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK NC 27709-2211
1	NAVAL AIR WARFARE CTR S A FINNEGAN BOX 1018 RIDGECREST CA 93556
3	COMMANDER NAVAL WEAPONS CTR T T YEE CODE 3263 D THOMPSON CODE 3268 W J MCCARTER CODE 6214 CHINA LAKE CA 93555
5	COMMANDER NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR DAHLGREN DIV D L DICKINSON CODE G24 C R ELLINGTON W HOLT CODE G22 R MCKEOWN W J STROTHER 17320 DAHLGREN RD DAHLGREN VA 22448
1	AIR FORCE ARMAMENT LAB AFATL DLJR D LAMBERT EGLIN AFB FL 32542-6810

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FBI LAB - EXPLOSIVES UNIT M G LEONE 935 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW WASHINGTON DC 20535
20	SANDIA NATL LABS ATTN MAIL SERVICES MS-0100 A ROBINSON MS0378 W TEDESCHI MS1219 B LEVIN MS0706 T TRUCANO MS0370 P TAYLOR MS0378 R BRANNON MS0751 M KIPP MS0378 D CRAWFORD MS0836 L CHHABILDAS MS1181 E S HERTEL JR MS0836 L N KMETYK MS0847 R LAFARGE MS0674 R TACHAU MS1007 M FURNISH MS1168 W REINHART MS1181 D P KELLY MS1185 C HALL MS1209 J COREY MS1217 C HILLS MS1411 R O NELLUMS MS0325 PO BOX 5800 ALBUQUERQUE NM 87185-0100
7	LOS ALAMOS NATL LAB L HULL MS A133 J WALTER MS C305 C WINGATE MS D413 C RAGAN MS D449 E J CHAPYAK MS F664 J BOLSTAD MS G787 P HOWE MS P915 PO BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS NM 87545
3	DIRECTOR LLNL PHYSICS DEPT D A YOUNG T W BARBEE III F J ROGERS LIVERMORE CA 94551

<u>NO. OF</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
<u>COPIES</u>	
4	DIRECTOR LLNL MS L35 R E TIPTON D BAUM M MURPHY T MCABEE PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550
7	DIRECTOR LLNL MS L122 R PIERCE R ROSINKY O J ALFORD D STEWART T VIDLAK B R BOWMAN W DIXON PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550
2	DIRECTOR LLNL MS L125 D R FAUX N W KLINO PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550
1	DIRECTOR LLNL R VAROSH MS L149 PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550
1	DIRECTOR LLNL R BARKER L159 PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550
3	DIRECTOR LLNL MS L163 M FINGER R PERRET W SHOTTS PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550

<u>NO. OF</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
<u>COPIES</u>	
3	DIRECTOR LLNL MS L178 H KRUGER G POMYKAL M GERASSIMENKO PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550
2	DIRECTOR LLNL MS L180 G SIMONSON A SPERO PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550
1	DIRECTOR LLNL F A HANDLER L182 PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550
1	DIRECTOR LLNL W TAO MS L282 PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550
2	DIRECTOR LLNL MS L290 A HOLT J E REAUGH PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550
1	DIRECTOR LLNL W J NELLIS L299 PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550
1	DIRECTOR LLNL S G COCHRAN L389 PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
2	DIRECTOR LLNL MS L495 D GAVEL J HUNTER PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550
1	DIRECTOR LLNL R M KUKLO L874 PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550
1	NASA LEWIS RESEARCH CTR J FERRANTE CLEVELAND OH 44135
3	NASA JOHNSON SPACE CTR E CHRISTIANSEN J L CREWS F HORZ MAIL CODE SN3 2101 NASA RD 1 HOUSTON TX 77058
5	JET PROPULSION LAB IMPACT PHYSICS GROUP Z SEKANINA P WEISSMAN B WEST J ZWISSLER M ADAMS 4800 OAK GROVE DR PASADENA CA 91109
2	BROWN UNIV R CLIFTON (ENGNG) P SCHULTZ (GEO SCI) PROVIDENCE RI 02912
3	CALTECH J SHEPHERD MS 105 50 A P INGERSOLL MS 170 25 T J AHRENS MS 252 21 1201 E CALIFORNIA BLVD PASADENA CA 91125

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	CALTECH G ORTON MS 169 237 4800 OAK GROVE DR PASADENA CA 91007
3	CORNELL UNIV DEPT MTRLS SCI & ENGRG R G GREENE H LUO A L RUOFF ITHACA NY 14853
2	DREXEL UNIV MEM DEPT A ZAVALIANGOS (DEPT MAT ENGNG) 32ND & CHESTNUT ST PHILADELPHIA PA 19104
1	GEORGIA INST OF TECHLGY COMPUTATIONAL MODELING CTR S ATLURI ATLANTA GA 30332-0356
1	GEORGIA INST OF TECHLGY SCHOOL OF MATL SCI & ENGNG K LOGAN ATLANTA GA 30332-0245
1	IOWA STATE UNIV DEPT PHYSICS AND ASTRONOMY J ROSE 34 PHYSICS AMES IA 50011
1	LOUISIANA STATE UNIV R W COURTER 948 WYLIE DR BATON ROUGE LA 70808
4	SOUTHWEST RSRCH INST C ANDERSON S A MULLIN J RIEGEL J WALKER PO DRAWER 28510 SAN ANTONIO TX 78228-0510

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	SUNY STONEYBROOK DEPT APPL MATH & STAT J GLIMM STONEYBROOK NY 11794	3	UNIV OF DELAWARE DEPT OF MECHL ENGRG J GILLESPIE J VINSON D WILKINS NEWARK DE 19716
1	TEXAS A&M UNIV PHYSICS DEPT D BRUTON COLLEGE STATION TX 77843-4242	1	UNIV OF ILLINOIS PHYSICS BLDG A V GRANATO URBANA IL 61801
1	UC BERKELEY MECHL ENGRG DEPT GRADUATE OFFICE K LI BERKELEY CA 94720	1	UNIV OF MISSOURI ROLLA CA&E ENGRG W P SCHONBERG 1870 MINER CIRCLE ROLLA MO 65409
1	UC DAVIS INST OF THEORETICAL DYNAMICS E G PUCKETT DAVIS CA 95616	1	UNIV OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPT OF PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY P A HEINEY 209 S 33RD ST PHILADELPHIA PA 19104
1	UC LOS ANGELES DEPT OF MAT SCIENCE & ENGRG J J GILMAN LOS ANGELES CA 90024	1	UNIV OF PUERTO RICO DEPT CHEM ENGRG L A ESTEVEZ MAYAGUEZ PR 00681-5000
1	UC LOS ANGELES D ISAAK INST GEOPHYS & PLANETARY PHYS BOX 951567 4839 SLICHTER LOS ANGELES CA 90095-1567	1	UNIV OF TEXAS DEPT OF MECHL ENGRG E P FAHRENTHOLD AUSTIN TX 78712
2	UC SAN DIEGO DEPT APPL MECH & ENGR SVCS R011 S NEMAT-NASSER M MEYERS LA JOLLA CA 92093-0411	1	VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INST COLLEGE OF ENGRG DEPT ENGRG SCI & MECHS R C BATRA BLACKSBURG VA 24061-0219
1	UNIV OF ALABAMA BIRMINGHAM HOEN 101 D LITTLEFIELD 1530 3RD AVE BIRMINGHAM AL 35294-4440	2	APPLIED RESEARCH ASSOC INC D GRADY F MAESTAS STE A220 4300 SAN MATEO BLVD NE ALBUQUERQUE NM 87110
2	UNIV OF ALABAMA HUNTSVILLE AEROPHYSICS RSRCH CTR G HOUGH D J LIQUORNIK PO BOX 999 HUNTSVILLE AL 35899		

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 APPLIED RESEARCH LAB
J A COOK
10000 BURNETT RD
AUSTIN TX 78758

1 BAE SYSTEMS ANALYTICAL
SOLUTIONS
M B RICHARDSON
1525 PERIMETER PKWY STE 500
HUNTSVILLE AL 35806

1 CENTURY DYNAMICS INC
N BIRNBAUM
1001 GALAXY WAY
STE 325
CONCORD CA 94583-1613

1 COMPUTATIONAL MECHANICS
CONSULTANTS
J A ZUKAS
PO BOX 11314
BALTIMORE MD 21239-0314

3 DOW CHEMICAL INC
ORDNANCE SYS
C HANEY
A HART
B RAFANIELLO
800 BLDG
MIDLAND MI 48667

2 DE TECHNOLOGIES INC
R CICCARELLI
W FLIS
3620 HORIZON DR
KING OF PRUSSIA PA 19406

3 DYNASEN
J CHAREST
M CHAREST
M LILLY
20 ARNOLD PL
GOLETA CA 93117

1 ELORET INST
NASA AMES RSRCH CTR
D W BOGDANOFF MS 230 2
MOFFETT FIELD CA 94035

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

3 ENIG ASSOC INC
J ENIG
D J PASTINE
M COWPERTHWAITTE
STE 500
11120 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE
SILVER SPRING MD 20904-2633

1 EXPLOSIVE TECHNOLOGY
M L KNAEBEL
PO BOX KK
FAIRFIELD CA 94533

1 GM RSRCH LABS
J R SMITH
WARREN MI 48090

4 INST FOR ADVANCED
TECHNOLOGY
S J BLESS
J CAZAMIAS
J DAVIS
H D FAIR
3925W BRAKER LN
AUSTIN TX 78759-5316

1 INTERPLAY
F E WALKER
584W TREELINE DR
ALPINE UT 84004

1 R JAMESON
624 ROWE DR
ABERDEEN MD 21001

1 KAMAN SCIENCES CORP
D L JONES
2560 HUNTINGTON AVE STE 200
ALEXANDRIA VA 22303

1 KERLEY PUBLISHING SVCS
G I KERLEY
PO BOX 13835
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87192-3835

1 LIVERMORE SOFTWARE TECH CORP
J O HALLQUIST
2876 WAVERLY WAY
LIVERMORE CA 94550

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

2 NETWORK COMPUTING SVCS INC
T HOLMQUIST
G JOHNSON
1200 WASHINGTON AVE S
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55415

1 PHYSICAL SCIENCES INC
P NEBOLSINE
20 NEW ENGLAND BUS CTR
ANDOVER MA 01810

1 SHOCK TRANSIENTS INC
D DAVISON
BOX 5357
HOPKINS MN 55343

2 SOUTHERN RSRCH INST
L A DECKARD
D P SEGERS
PO BOX 55305
BIRMINGHAM AL 35255-5305

5 SRI INTERNATIONAL
J D COLTON
D CURRAN
R KLOOP
R L SEAMAN
D A SHOCKEY
333 RAVENSWOOD AVE
MENLO PARK CA 94025

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

57 DIR USARL
AMSRD ARL SL
P TANENBAUM
AMSRD ARL SL BD
R GROTE
L MOSS
J POLESNE
AMSRD ARL SL BM
D DIETRICH
AMSRD ARL WM BD
A ZIELINSKI
AMSRD ARL WM MC
E CHIN
J LASALVIA
AMSRD ARL WM MD
G GAZONAS
AMSRD ARL WM T
T W WRIGHT
AMSRD ARL WM TA
S SCHOENFELD

M BURKINS
N GNIAZDOWSKI
W A GOOCH
C HOPPEL
E HORWATH
D KLEPONIS
B LEAVY
M LOVE
J RUNYEON
AMSRD ARL WM TB
R BITTING
J STARKENBERG
AMSRD ARL WM TC
R COATES
R ANDERSON
J BARB
N BRUCHEY
T EHLERS
T FARRAND
M FERREN-COKER
E KENNEDY
K KIMSEY
L MAGNESS
B PETERSON
D SCHEFFLER
S SCHRAML
A SIEGFRIED
B SORENSEN
R SUMMERS
W WALTERS
C WILLIAMS
G RANDERS-PEHRSON
AMSRD ARL WM TD
T W BJERKE
S R BILYK
D CASEM
J CLAYTON
D DANDEKAR
M GRINFELD
Y I HUANG
K IYER
B LOVE
M RAFTENBERG
E RAPACKI
M SCHEIDLER
S SEGLETES
T WEERISOORIYA
H W MEYER
AMSRD ARL WM TE
J POWELL

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
2	AERONAUTICAL & MARITIME RSRCH LAB S CIMPOERU D PAUL PO BOX 4331 MELBOURNE VIC 3001 AUSTRALIA	1	DEFENCE RSRCH ESTAB VALCARTIER ARMAMENTS DIV R DELAGRAVE 2459 PIE X1 BLVD N PO BOX 8800 CORCELETTE QUEBEC GOA 1R0 CANADA
1	DSTO AMRL WEAPONS SYS DIV N BURMAN (RLLWS) SALISBURY SOUTH AUSTRALIA 5108 AUSTRALIA	1	UNIV OF GUELPH PHYSICS DEPT C G GRAY GUELPH ONTARIO N1G 2W1 CANADA
1	ABTEILUNG FUER PHYSIKALISCHE CHEMIE MONTANUNIVERSITAET E KOENIGSBERGER A 8700 LEOBEN AUSTRIA	1	CEA R CHERET CEDEX 15 313 33 RUE DE LA FEDERATION PARIS 75752 FRANCE
1	ROYAL MILITARY ACADEMY G DYCKMANS RENAISSANCELAAN 30 1000 BRUSSELS BELGIUM	1	CEA/CESTA A GEILLE BOX 2 LE BARP 33114 FRANCE
1	BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES SPACE RESEARCH INST V GOSPODINOV 1000 SOFIA PO BOX 799 BULGARIA	5	CENTRE D'ETUDES DE GRAMAT C LOUPIAS P OUTREBON J CAGNOUX C GALLIC J TRANCHET GRAMAT 46500 FRANCE
1	CANADIAN ARSENALS LTD P PELLETIER 5 MONTEE DES ARSENAUX VILLIE DE GRADEUR PQ J5Z2 CANADA	1	DAT ETBS CETAM C ALTMAYER ROUTE DE GUERRY BOURGES 18015 FRANCE
1	DEFENCE RSRCH ESTAB SUFFIELD D MACKAY RALSTON ALBERTA TOJ 2NO RALSTON CANADA	1	FRENCH GERMAN RSRCH INST P-Y CHANTERET CEDEX 12 RUE DE L'INDUSTRIE BP 301 F68301 SAINT-LOUIS FRANCE
1	DEFENCE RSRCH ESTAB SUFFIELD C WEICKERT BOX 4000 MEDICINE HAT ALBERTA TIA 8K6 CANADA		

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
5	FRENCH GERMAN RSRCH INST H-J ERNST F JAMET P LEHMANN K HOOG H F LEHR CEDEX 5 5 RUE DU GENERAL CASSAGNOU SAINT LOUIS 68301 FRANCE	3	FRENCH GERMAN RSRCH INST G WEIHRAUCH R HUNKLER E WOLLMANN POSTFACH 1260 WEIL AM RHEIN D-79574 GERMANY
1	LABORATOIRE DE TECHNOLOGIE DES SURFACES ECOLE CENTRALE DE LYON P VINET BP 163 69131 ECULLY CEDEX FRANCE	2	IABG M BORRMANN H G DORSCH EINSTEINSTRASSE 20 D 8012 OTTOBRUN B MUENCHEN GERMANY
1	CONDAT J KIERMEIR MAXIMILIANSTR 28 8069 SCHEYERN FERNHAG GERMANY	1	INGENIEURBUERO DEISENROTH AUF DE HARDT 33 35 D5204 LOHMAR 1 GERMANY
1	DIEHL GBMH AND CO M SCHILDKNECHT FISCHBACHSTRASSE 16 D 90552 ROETBENBACH AD PEGNITZ GERMANY	1	NORDMETALL GMBH L W MEYER EIBENBERG EINSIEDLER STR 18 H D-09235 BURKHARDTSDORF GERMANY
4	ERNST MACH INSTITUT V HOHLER E SCHMOLINSKE E SCHNEIDER K THOMA ECKERSTRASSE 4 D-7800 FREIBURG I BR 791 4 GERMANY	2	TU CHEMNITZ L W MEYER (X2) FAKULTAET FUER MASCHINENBAU LEHRSTUHL WERKSTOFFE DES MASCHINENBAUS D-09107 CHEMNITZ GERMANY
3	FRAUNHOFER INSTITUT FUER KURZZEITDYNAMIK ERNST MACH INSTITUT H ROTHENHAEUSLER H SENF E STRASSBURGER KLINGELBERG 1 D79588 EFRINGEN-KIRCHEN GERMANY	1	TU MUENCHEN E IGENBERGS ARCISSTRASSE 21 8000 MUENCHEN 2 GERMANY
		2	UNIVERSITAET PADERBORN DEPARTMENT PHYSIK O SCHULTE W B HOLZAPFEL D-33095 PADERBORN GERMANY
		1	BHABHA ATOMIC RSRCH CENTRE HIGH PRESSURE PHYSICS DIV N SURESH TROMBAY BOMBAY 400 085 INDIA

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	NATIONAL GEOPHYSICAL RSRCH INST G PARTHASARATHY HYDERABAD-500 007 (A. P.) INDIA	1	ROYAL NETHERLANDS ARMY J HOENEVELD V D BURCHLAAN 31 PO BOX 90822 2509 LS THE HAGUE NETHERLANDS
1	UNIV OF ROORKEE DEPT OF PHYSICS N DASS ROORKEE-247 667 INDIA	1	INST OF PHYSICS SILESIAN TECHNICAL UNIV E SOCZKIEWICZ 44-100 GLIWICE UL. KRZYWOUSTEGO 2 POLAND
5	RAFAEL BALLISTICS CTR E DEKEL Y PARTOM G ROSENBERG Z ROSENBERG Y YESHURUN PO BOX 2250 HAIFA 31021 ISRAEL	1	INST OF CHEMICAL PHYSICS A YU DOLGOBORODOV KOSYGIN ST 4 V 334 MOSCOW RUSSIA
1	SOREQ NUCLEAR RSRCH CENTRE ISRAEL ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION Z JAEGER 81800 YAVNE ISRAEL	4	INST OF CHEMICAL PHYSICS RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES G I KANEL A M MOLODETS S V RAZORENOV A V UTKIN 142432 CHERNOGOLOVKA MOSCOW REGION RUSSIA
1	ESTEC CS D CASWELL BOX 200 NOORDWIJK 2200 AG NETHERLANDS	1	INST OF EARTH'S CRUST P I DOROGOKUPETS 664033 IRKUTSK RUSSIA
2	EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY ESTEC L BERTHOUD M LAMBERT POSTBUS BOX 299 NOORDWIJK NL2200 AG NETHERLANDS	3	INST OF MECH ENGINEERING PROBLEMS V BULATOV D INDEITSEV Y MESCHERYAKOV BOLSHOY, 61, V.O. ST PETERSBURG 199178 RUSSIA
4	PRINS MAURITS LAB H J REITSMA E VAN RIET H PASMEN R YSSELSTEIN TNO BOX 45 RIJSWIJK 2280AA NETHERLANDS	1	INST OF MINEROLOGY & PETROGRAPHY V A DREBUSHCHAK UNIVERSITETSKI PROSPEKT, 3 630090 NOVOSIBIRSK RUSSIA

<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>NO. OF</u> <u>COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
2	IOFFE PHYSICO TECHNICAL INST DENSE PLASMA DYNAMICS LAB E M DROBYSHEVSKI A KOZHUSHKO ST PETERSBURG 194021 RUSSIA	1	SAMARA STATE AEROSPACE UNIV L G LUKASHEV SAMARA RUSSIA
1	IPE RAS A A BOGOMAZ DVORTSOVAIA NAB 18 ST PETERSBURG RUSSIA	1	TOMSK STATE UNIV A G GERASIMOV 5-TH ARMY ST 29-61 TOMSK 634024 RUSSIA
2	LAVRENTYEV INST HYDRODYNAMICS L A MERZHIEVSKY V V SILVESTROV 630090 NOVOSIBIRSK RUSSIA	5	DEPARTAMENTO DE QUIMICA FISICA I FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS QUIMICAS UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID V G BAONZA M TARAVILLO J E F RUBIO J NUNEZ M CACERES 28040 MADRID SPAIN
1	MOSCOW INST OF PHYSICS & TECH S V UTYUZHNIKOV DEPT OF COMPTNL MATHEMATICS DOLGOPRUDNY 1471700 RUSSIA	1	UNIVERSIDAD DE CANTABRIA FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS DEPARTAMENTO DE FISICA APLICADA J AMOROS AVDA DE LOS CASTROS S/N 39005 SANTANDER SPAIN
1	RESEARCH INST OF MECHANICS NIZHNIY NOVGOROD STATE UNIV A SADYRIN P R GAYARINA 23 KORP 6 NIZHNIY NOVGOROD 603600 RUSSIA	1	CARLOS III UNIV OF MADRID C NAVARRO ESCUELA POLITEENICA SUPERIOR C/. BUTARQUE 15 28911 LEGANES MADRID SPAIN
2	RUSSIAN FEDERAL NUCLEAR CTR - VNIIEF L F GUDARENKO R F TRUNIN MIRA AVE 37 SAROV 607190 RUSSIA	1	UNIVERSIDAD DE OVIEDO FACULTAD DE QUIMICA DEPARTAMENTO DE QUIMICA FISICA Y ANALITICA E FRANCISCO AVENIDA JULIAN CLAVERIA S/N 33006 - OVIEDO SPAIN
1	ST PETERSBURG STATE TECHNICAL UNIV FACULTY OF PHYS AND MECHS DEPT OF THEORETICAL MECHS ATTN A M KRIVTSOV POLITECHNICHESKAYA STREET 29 195251 ST-PETERSBURG RUSSIA		

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 DYNAMEC RSRCH AB
A PERSSON
PO BOX 201
S-15123 SÖDERTÄLJE
SWEDEN

7 FOI
SWEDISH DEFENCE RSRCH AGENCY
GRINDSJON RSRCH CENTRE
L GUNNAR OLSSON
B JANZON
G WIJK
R HOLMLIN
C LAMNEVIK
L FAST
M JACOB
SE-14725 TUMBA
SWEDEN

2 SWEDISH DEFENCE RSRCH ESTAB
DIV OF MATERIALS
S J SAVAGE
J ERIKSON
S-17290 STOCKHOLM
SWEDEN

2 AWE
M GERMAN
W HARRISON
FOULNESS ESSEX SS3 9XE
UNITED KINGDOM

5 DERA
I CULLIS
J P CURTIS Q13
A HART Q13
K COWAN Q13
M FIRTH R31
FORT HALSTEAD
SEVENOAKS KENT TN14 7BP
UNITED KINGDOM

1 UK MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
G J CAMBRAY
CBDE PORTON DOWN SALISBURY
WITTSHERE SPR OJQ
UNITED KINGDOM

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 K TSEMBELIS
SHOCK PHYSICS GROUP
CAVENDISH LAB
PHYSICS & CHEMISTRY OF SOLIDS
UNIV OF CAMBRIDGE
CAMBRIDGE CB3 0HE
UNITED KINGDOM

1 L VOCADLO
DEPT EARTH SCIENCES
UNIV COLLEGE LONDON
GOWER ST
LONDON WC1E 6BT
UNITED KINGDOM

7 INST FOR PROBLEMS IN
MATERIALS SCIENCE
S FIRSTOV
B GALANOV
O GRIGORIEV
V KARTUZOV
V KOVTUN
Y MILMAN
V TREFILOV
3, KRHYZHANOVSKY STR
252142 KIEV-142
UKRAINE

1 INST FOR PROBLEMS OF STRENGTH
G STEPANOV
TIMIRYAZEVS KAYU STR 2
252014 KIEV
UKRAINE