AD

Award Number: W81XWH-04-1-0893

TITLE: High Throughput Screen to Identify Novel Drugs that Inhibit Prostate Cancer Metastasis

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Irwin H. Gelman, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Roswell Park Cancer Institute Buffalo, NY 14263

REPORT DATE: October 2005

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

## PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT							
Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited							
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES							
Original contains colored plates: ALL DTIC reproductions will be in black and white.							
14. ABSTRACT							
We have proposed to developed indicator cell lines that would allow for the high throughout screeping (HTS) for compounds							
that potentially inhibit prostate cancer (CaP) metastasis. The cell lines are based on stably expressing a construct containing							
the promoter of SSeCKS/ gravin/AKAP12- a metastasis-suppressor gene downregulated in CaP progression- linked to a green							
TIUORESCENCE PROTEIN (GEP), Plus a control reporter, in metastatic CaP cells, and then screening for compounds that induce GEP. We also proposed to characterize the pathways controlling SSeCKS expression in CaP progression. UPDATE: Our data							
indicate that SSeC	KS re-expression of	can be induced in C	aP cell lines using ir	hibitors of hist	one deacetylation (TSA) but not by		
inhibitors of methy inducible by TSA.	lation (5-aza-C). W We have also char	e have now produce acterized the cis- a	ed stable indicator C nd trans-acting elem	4-2 and DU14 ents of the hu	5 cells, the latter of which is more man SSeCKSα promoter required		
for transcriptional suppression in CaP cells.							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
drug discovery for prostate cancer using a cell-based assay. Prostate cancer, metastasis, drug discovery, SSeCKS/Gravin, HTS, tumor suppressor gene, gene regulation.							
16. SECURITY CLASS	SIFICATION OF:			18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
				OF PAGES			
U	U	U	UU	9	code)		
		1	1				

# **Table of Contents**

COVER	1
SF 298	2
Table of Contents	3
Introduction	4
BODY	4-6
Key Research Accomplishments	6
Reportable Outcomes	6
Conclusions	6
References	7

### Introduction

We have proposed to construct indicator prostate cancer (CaP) cell lines that could be used to identify novel drugs that could inhibit parameters of oncogenic and metastatic growth. The cell lines are based on the stable expression of the promoter from the SSeCKS/gravin/AKAP12 metastasis-suppressor fused to a green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter, plus a control reporter plasmid. In a high throughput screen (HTS), compounds that induce GFP expression but have no major effect on the control reporter would be identified for further analysis as potential inhibitors of CaP progression. A second major aim of our study is to use the SSeCKS/gravin/AKAP12 $\alpha$  promoter to characterize the signaling pathways as well as the *cis*- and *trans*-acting mechanisms leading to transcriptional downregulation in CaP cells. This analysis included a determination whether CaP-specific gene silencing involves hypermethylation of CpG islands in the SSeCKS promoters or changes in chromatin acetylation.

### Body

I will first describe the progression we have made to produce and test the CaP indicator lines. Our first task was to produce CaP lines stably expressing the human SSeCKS/gravina promoter-GFP, plus a control reporter (described in Task 1 in the Statement of Work). The promoter was cloned into the pEGFP vector and in transient expression assays, this construct was shown to express high levels of green fluorescence in untransformed cells (murine NIH3T3 and human P69SV40T prostate epithelial) yet low GFP levels in LNCaP and C4-2 CaP cells. Instead of the originally intended control reporter (SEAP), we chose to use an RFP (red fluorescent protein) reporter (Clontech) which we fused to the TK promoter. In transient almost equal RFP expression levels were detected all assays, in untransformed and CaP cell lines (data not shown). We then stably transfected P69, LNCaP and C4-2 cells with both plasmids, selected for neo<sup>R</sup> colonies, and then FACS sorted pooled colonies for the desired phenotype: C4-2 and LNCaP cells were selected for low GFP, high RFP; P69 cell were selected for high GFP and RFP. 5 individual clones from each were expanded.

We panel of signal transduction then tested а inhibitors, differentiating agents and transcriptional deregulators for their ability to re-induced GFP and endogenous SSeCKS expression in the CaP cells while having minimal effect on RFP expression in either the CaP or P69 cells. Prior to this analysis, we first developed probes to detect changes in the levels of endogenous human SSeCKS $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  mRNA and protein isoforms. These included isoform-specific PCR primer sets (see Fig. 3), and from previous studies, polyclonal antibodies (Ab) that detected both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  protein isoforms (3) as well as an Ab that detected only the  $\alpha$  isoform (2).

Although data from other groups had shown cases where SSeCKS expression was suppressed by either promoter hypermethylation (1) or histone deacetylation (4), our data indicated that treatment of CaP cells with methylation inhibitors (5-aza-C or deoxy-5-aza-C) had no effect on transcript levels where the histone deacetylase inhibitor, TSA, derepressed transcript levels roughly 6- to 10-fold (although, SSeCKS still significantly lower than untreated P69 cell levels). We then tested a panel of pathway inhibitory drugs to help define which pathways are responsible for SSeCKS downregulation in CaP and v-Src cells. Unfortunately,

4

endogenous SSeCKS or SSeCKS/GFP was not induced by inhibitors of Src, MEK, JNK, PI3K, or by differentiating agents (at-retinoic acid, calcitriol) (data not shown). Thus, we had to conclude that LNCaP and C4-2 cells were not ideal since the traditional oncogenic signaling mechanisms controlling gene transcription were not targetable. One possible explanation is that LNCaP and C4-2 cells have severely downregulated SSeCKS/gravin mRNA and protein levels, approaching a 100-fold decrease, possibly attributable to the loss of 6q21-ter (where SSeCKS maps) in one of two alleles.

An alternative, which we suggested in our proposal, was to use other metastatic CaP lines, such as DU145, which expresses downregulated levels

SSeCKS,

of

### P69 DU145



**Figure 1.** Immunoblot of lysates from untransformed P69 human epithelial versus DU145 CaP cells porbed for either SSeCKS or actin (as a loading control).



more

like

8-fold

although

**Figure 2.** Control of SSeCKS transcriptional downregulation encoded in the proximal promoter region, -106/+35. Progressive deletion mutants of the SSeCKS  $\alpha$  promoter fused to luciferase reporter cassettes (left) were transiently expressed along with pRL-TK-renilla in either P69 or DU145 cells, and the resulting luciferase activity was normalized to that of renilla activity.



decreases (Fiq. 1). In transient expression assays, the SSeCKS alpha promoter/luciferase construct was downregulated DU145 in versus P69 cells, (untransformed) and the proximal promoter sequences from -106 to +35 were shown to be responsible for this downregulation (Fia. 2). Thus, we produced DU145 cells stably expressing the SSeCKS $\alpha$ /GFP plus the TK-RFP followed constructs, by selection for low green, hiqh red cells. In preliminary analyses, these cells show а more potent response to TSA, such that endogenous SSeCKS mRNA levels are derepressed to near those found in untreated P69 5-aza-C had no effect cells. Aqain, (Fig. 3). Treatment of these clones

with Src inhibitors (PP2; not shown) and SKI-606 (Fig. 7) derepresses endogenous SSeCKS message levels DU145. Interestingly, Fig. 7 shows that the JNK inhibitor also derepressed endogenous SSeCKS expression whereas inhibitors of MEK (U1026, PD98059) or PI3K (wortmannin, LY294002), or differentiating agents (all-trans-retinoic acid, vitamin D3; not shown) had no effect. Most of these compounds, in contrast, inhibited proliferation or oncogenic signaling of NIH3T3/v-Src cells. Moreover, pre-treatment for 3-5 days with TSA, but not with 5-aza-C, potentiated the effect of the JNK inhibitor (not shown). Thus, we are continuing to characterize these cells as candidates for the HTS. We believe we will be ready for HTS with these cells in the next several months (Task 2).

In response to Task 3 of the Statement of Work, we analyzed the cisand trans-acting factors that control SSeCKS promoter expression in CaP As a comparison, we performed a parallel analysis of the factors cells. that control transcriptional suppression of SSeCKS in v-Src-transformed fibroblasts, with the reasoning that common, if not overlapping control mechanisms and factors would be involved. The background data are that SSeCKS steady-state transcript levels are downregulated roughly 15-fold in DU145 compared to p69 cells, or in NIH3T3/v-Src cells compared to NIH3T3. First, we identified the precise transcriptional start sites of both the human  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  promoters in P69 cells by primer-extension and RNAseprotection assays (see Fig. 4 for  $\alpha$  promoter). The transient transfection SSeCKSα/luciferase construct showed of the roughly бto 8-fold downregulation levels in the v-Src cells (not shown) and a 3- to 4-fold



Figure 4. RNAse protection assay (compared to Sanger sequencing ladder) identifying the exact start site of the SSeCKS  $\alpha$  promoter.

+35 as encoding the CaP- and Src-responsive Using EMSA (Fig. 6) and ChIP (not sequences. shown) assays, we showed that this promoter fragment encodes an upstream E-box that binds USF1 and a downstream GC-box that binds both Sp1 and Sp3. Interestingly, even though both boxes are required for the CaP-associated downregulation, binding SSeCKS to the downstream box is increased roughly 4-fold in the transformed cells relative to the untransformed cell nuclear lysates. At present, we are working on the hypotheses that SSeCKS downregulation is caused by either I) a relative increase in the ratio of Sp3 to Sp1 in the transformed cells- based on the notion that Sp3 encodes repressive, rather than activation functions, ii) cancer-specific post-

cells downregulation in DU145 (Fig. 2) versus untransformed controls. Thus, we strongly believe that this reflects the of true extent suppression of transciptional initiation in the transformed cells [ex.: 6-8 fold decrease in promoter activity times in Src cells 2-fold effects on stability (Fiq. 5) equals 15-fold roughly total downregulation].

Using this transient expression system, we "bashed" the promoter, ultimately identifying the minimal promoter fragment of -106 to



**Figure 5.** SSeCKS transcript stability determined by incubating cells with actinomycin D followed by RT-PCR analysis as in Fig. 3. The rate of RNA stability is defined by the 1/slope of the linear value.

6

translational modification of the Spl/Sp3 complex, or iii) association of a cancer-specific repressor factor with the Spl/Sp3 complex. Lastly, we showed by sequence comparison that the orthologous SSeCKS  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  promoters in humans, chimp, dog, cow, rat, mouse and chicken retain the same proximal promoter spacing of the E- and GC-boxes (Fig. 8), strongly suggesting that



**Figure 6.** EMSA analysis using various oligonucleotide probes (1-4; above) of the proximal SSeCKS  $\alpha$  promoter. Antibody supershifts showed that the E-box in oligo 2 contained USF whereas the GC-box in oligo 4 contained both Sp1 or Sp3.

#### Key Research Accomplishments

-construction of SSeCKSα-GFP and TK-RFP reporter plasmids -production of indicator CaP and P69 cell lines containing the SSeCKS and TK reporter plasmids.

-development of PCR and Ab-based reagents to detect SSeCKS mRNA and protein expression changes.

-demonstration that SSeCKS/gravin/AKAP12 derepression in CaP cells can be induced by TSA but not by 5-aza-C.

-demonstration that of the roughly 15-fold decrease in SSeCKS transcript levels in CaP vs. normal

these are conserved regulatory domains throughout vertebrate evolution.

In sum, we have made major strides in Task 3 and even have begun earlier contemplated to start the than experiments in Task 4, namely, to identify transcription the factors involved CaP-associated in SSeCKS downregulation.

preliminary Based on our data showing that methylation does not play a major role in CaP-associated SSeCKS downregulation, but that histone deacetylation does, we have redirected much of our aims in Task 5 in order to characterize the deacetylases (HDAC) well as the transacting involved as factors that recruit the HDACs to the SSeCKS promoter region.



NIH3T3/v-Src cells:

**Figure 7.** Src or JNK inhibitors derepress expression of SSeCKS  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  transcripts.

cells, 2-fold is controlled by decreases in transcript stability whereas the remaining portion is controlled by a 6- to 8-fold decrease in promoter activity levels.

-demonstration that the minimal CaP- and Src-responsive portion of the SSeCKS promoter is encoded between -106 and +35.

-identification of requirements for both upstream E- and downstream GC-box motifs for downregulation.

-demonstration that the E-box is occupied by USF1 (and not, for example, Myc) and that the GC-box is occupied by a combination of Sp1 and Sp3 (and not, MAZ).

7

-demonstration that the level of USF1 does not vary in CaP vs. normal cells, but that there is a relative 4-fold increase in Sp3:Sp1 in the transformed cells.

#### Reportable Outcomes

-Poster report, 2005 Oncogene Meeting, Frederick, MD, "Mapping of v-Srcand prostate cancer-responsive control sequences to the SSeCKS proximal promoter", Bu, Y. and Gelman, I.H., 6/21-24/2005. -Poster report, 2006 Annual Meeting, American Assoc. of Cancer Research, Washington, DC, "Identification of v-Src- and prostate cancer-responsive sequences in the promoters of SSeCKS/Gravin/AKAP12, a metastasis-suppressor gene", Bu, Y. and Gelman, I.H., 4/1-5/2006. -Development of CaP indicator cell lines and probes for SSeCKS isoform expression.

### Conclusions

We have made major inroads in producing and characterizing the indicator lines we will use for the HTS drug screening, in characterizing the cis- and trans-factors controlling SSeCKS downregulation in CaP cells, and in elucidating the molecular mechanisms and pathways involved in SSeCKS transcriptional control.

### References

1. Choi, M. C., H. S. Jong, T. Y. Kim, S. H. Song, D. S. Lee, J. W. Lee, T. Y. Kim, N. K. Kim, and Y. J. Bang. 2004. AKAP12/Gravin is inactivated by epigenetic mechanism in human gastric carcinoma and shows growth suppressor activity. Oncogene 23:7095-7103.

2. Gelman, I. H., E. Tombler, and J. Vargas, Jr. 2000. A role for SSeCKS, a major protein kinase C substrate with tumor suppressor activity, in cytoskeletal architecture, formation of migratory processes, and cell migration during embryogenesis. Histochemical Journal **32**:13-26.

3. Lin, X., E. Tombler, P. J. Nelson, M. Ross, and I. H. Gelman. 1996. A novel *src-* and *ras-suppressed* protein kinase C substrate associated with cytoskeletal architecture. J.Biol.Chem. **271**:28,430-28,438.

4. Rombouts, K., T. Knittel, L. Machesky, F. Braet, A. Wielant, K. Hellemans, P. De Bleser, I. Gelman, G. Ramadori, and A. Geerts. 2002. Actin filament formation, reorganization and migration are impaired in hepatic stellate cells under influence of trichostatin A, a histone deacetylase inhibitor. J.Hepatol. 37:788-796

Human Chimp Mouse Rat	<pre>tcaagtgttgaagacagcacttgttagaaggaacaagttcacttc-tgagagcccttctcaaagagttta tcaagtgttgaagacagcacttgttagaaggaacaagttcacttc-taagagcccttctcaaagagttta ttaaatgctaaagatagttcgctttgtgacaaccctttgcaaaaggcctg ctaaatgctgaagacgtagagttcgctttgtgacaaccctttgcaaaaggcctg tcgagcgttggagacgtagagttagcttt-gcagccccttctcgcagactgga t * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *</pre>
Dog Human Chimp	ggaaacagcactactgagagttgccagtcttcagagcagtgcaacgtgtgtgtgtgt
Rat Dog	gatggtgaaaatatcaaatgctacagatgccaagaagggaggtgttg-gcaccacacca
Human Chimp Mouse Rat Dog	acaaacataaggatgcagaatgcagtctttaaaatggagggagaatttact-gtctgt acaaacataaggatgcagaatgcagtctttaaaatggagggagaatttact-gtctgt agggagaatttaat-atctgt gtcaactgaaggacacagaaccggggttaaaaaatcgaaaaatttaat-atctgt acaagcaaggatacagaacgcggtatttaaaaaaaaaa
Human Chimp Mouse	gtggctattteettgaaatgaettaaaaag-etgagatacagaagtattagtaaateggtgeeaggaatg gtggetatteettgaaatgaettaaaaag-etgagatacagaagtattagtaaateggtgeeaggaatg geagttattgeetttaeataattttaaaagtttgagatgeaggagteggtgeeaggatg geagttaatgeetttaeatagttttaaaagtttgeaatgeaggagtegtgeeaggatg geegttattggetttaagtetteaatgettgeaatgeaggag
Rat Dog	<pre>aagtaaccgtttagatccaatgcgccggctccagcatcgaactcatgcccagcaccctttaa-accct aagtaactgtttagatccaatgcgccggctccagcatcgaactcatgcccagcaccctttaa-accct aagtcaccgcttggatcaaatattgatgct-cggggtctggctcac-cccag-agacttaaa-actttct aagtcaccgcttggatcaaatattgatgct-cgcggtctgcctcac-cccag-agacttaaagacttcct aggtaacggttcagataaaacgctgctgactccgggttattcggcgcaactttcac-accct ** ** ** * ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** *</pre>
Human Chimp Mouse Rat Dog	cccgggactctgcagaacc-cgctgaccactcacagagcccagctcgggggggggg
Human Chimp Mouse	ttaaggtgaagcacgtgaccccctgcttgtgcggcccgggcggggaagtttgcgctcccgaagtcct ttaaggtgaagcacgtgaccccctgcttgtgcagcccgggcggggatgtttgcgctcccaaagtcct -taaatgggcacgtgactccccggtgggcagcaagtcc- -taaatgggcacgtgactgccccccggtggtgcagcaagtccggacaga ttaagg-gaagcacgtgacccttg
Rat Dog	ggageteageagggaggggeeagegeeageegegggagggeegggggg
numan Chimp Mouse Rat Dog	TATA ** ** * * ** ** ** ** ** ** *** ***

**Figure 8.** Sequence alignment of SSeCKS  $\alpha$  promoter regions in various mammalian species showing strong sequence conservation (\*), especially in the retention and spacing of the E- and GC-box motifs just proximal to the transcriptional start site (red).