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ABSTRACT
Recently, researchers have created many platforms and applications for mobile agents; however, current Agent-Oriented Software Engineering (AOSE) methodologies have yet not fully integrated the unique properties of these mobile agents. This paper attempts to bridge the gap between current AOSE methodologies and mobile agent systems by incorporating mobility into the established Multiagent Systems Engineering (MaSE) methodology. We accomplished this by adding a move command to the MaSE analysis models and then defined the required transformations to incorporate the required functionality into the design. Finally, we translated the design models into Java-based agents that operate within a mobile agent environment.

1. Introduction
Dynamic agent systems have shown promise in solving certain problems such as finding services and information on the Internet and supporting mobile clients. These systems have shown an advantage in robustness and functionality over other client-server solutions, such as Remote Procedure Calls (RPC), messaging and sockets [3]. We define dynamic agents as agents that possess one of the following properties [7]:
- Cloning – the ability of an agent to create another instance of itself at the same or a different location
- Instantiation – the ability of an agent to create instances of another class of agent other than itself
- Mobility – the ability of an agent to move from machine to machine in a network

These three properties, or traits, have been the focus of new research in the distributed artificial intelligence arena with the property of mobility receiving the most attention.

While software engineering aspects of using multiagent systems are being addressed [16], [12] and many frameworks and applications have been developed [11], [2], [8], popular multiagent systems methodologies, such as GAIA [15], MESSAGE [9], and MaSE [6], do not currently provide support for mobile agents. The purpose of this paper is to describe how we incorporated mobility into the MaSE methodology [6], which is a general-purpose methodology for developing multiagent systems. To incorporate mobility into MaSE, we gave the designer the ability to use mobility to fulfill system goals.

The focus in this paper is strictly on the analysis and design phases of mobile multiagent systems. We assume that the agent determines when it is necessary to move. While other agents, or the agent platform itself, may advise the agent to move, the autonomous nature of agents allows the agent to determine whether it will actually move. We do not address issues such as system-generated moves that the agent cannot control due to shutdown, load balancing, etc. We also assume that an appropriate mobile agent platform handles the actual movement of the agent using a protocol similar to FIPA’s Simple Migration Protocol [7]. In this protocol, an agent sends a request to its mobile agent platform, which terminates the agent and sends it to the destination platform where it is restarted. While the platform is responsible for movement, the agent is responsible for ensuring it restarts in the appropriate state.

The questions of determining where to move, representation of locations, determining which tasks and communications should be retained and which should not, etc. are also not explicitly discussed. The MaSE methodology provides models to allow the designer to specify these and other mobility related questions as he or she desires.

All examples in this paper were generated using the agentTool system [4], which is an automated development environment that supports the MaSE methodology. Each MASE model is specified graphically and semi-automated transformations allow agentTool to automatically generate designs based on role models and task diagrams [14], [13].

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 provides a quick overview of the MaSE methodology with the major focus being on the analysis and design phase models. Section 3 covers mobility specifications in the analysis phase. Section 4 presents how we capture mobility in the design phase. Finally, Section 5 summarizes the paper and provides possible areas for future research.

2. MaSE Methodology
Figure 1 presents a graphical overview of MaSE. MaSE consists of two phases and seven steps. For the purposes of this paper, we discuss only the output models of the analysis phase: role models and concurrent tasks.

The result of the MaSE analysis phase is a set of roles that agents will play, a set of tasks that define the behavior of specific roles, and a set of coordination protocols between those roles. A role is an abstract description of a function that an agent in the system must perform to meet system level goals [6]. Roles must exhibit...
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certain behaviors to accomplish the goals assigned to them. We model these behaviors using a set of concurrent tasks. An example Role Diagram is shown in Figure 2. There are three roles (Search Manager, Search Bidder and Searcher), each with one associated task (Fulfill Search Requests, Bid and Search). The Contract Net protocol is defined between the Fulfill Search Requests and Bid tasks, while the SearchRequest protocol is defined between the Bid and Search tasks. The Search Manager sends out bids for a search request. The winning Search Bidder then passes the request to the Searcher who returns the results of the search.

Concurrent tasks are specified graphically using Concurrent Task Diagrams that are based on finite state automata [5]. Figure 3 describes the Search task from Figure 2. States within a concurrent task diagram represent the internal processing while transitions model the communication within the system and define valid state transformations. Activities within states model the internal processing that the roles perform. Thus, concurrent tasks define the behavior of agents by tying together the internal reasoning processes, interactions with other internal processes and external interactions with other agents.

Concurrent tasks are categorized by their life span and responsiveness. Task life spans are either persistent or transient. Persistent tasks always have a null transition from the start state to the first state. These tasks are started when the agent is created and run until either the task or agent terminates. Transient tasks, however, always have a trigger event on the transition from the start state. These tasks are not started upon agent creation but only when the agent receives its trigger event. Transient tasks enable multiple concurrently executing tasks of the same type.

Figure 3 shows the concurrent task diagram for the Bid task in Figure 2. The task starts in the Idle state and once an announce(task) message is received from the Search Manager, the costToPerform and acceptability activities in the Prepare Bid State determine whether or not to bid on that task. A bid is sent with a aBid(task,cost) message, and the task waits until it receives an acknowledge message from the Manager. If the bid is rejected, the task receives a sorry(task) message. If the bid is accepted, the task sends a search(request) message to the Searcher and waits for the results to send back to the Manager.

Figure 4 shows the concurrent task diagram for the Search task. This is a transient task and is started by the receipt of a search(request) message from the Bidder role, which causes the task state to transition to the Search state. This state contains the find(request) internal activity, which is executed upon entering the state. Depending on the value of the parameter results a message is sent containing either the results or a sorry message.

3. Analysis Phase
We model mobility in the analysis phase in a straightforward manner—a simple move activity within a state in a concurrent task diagram. This move activity returns two values: a Boolean value and a reason value. The Boolean variable represents the results of the move (either success or failure). The reason value provides an
Bidder.

move is unsuccessful, a State location. If a move is needed, the task transitions to the determine whether the requested information is at a different searchDestination

`move(location)`

Figure 5 shows how mobility might be added to the Search task of Figure 4. In the Move Needed State, the three activities `searchDestination`, `getLocation` and `compare` are used to determine whether the requested information is at a different location. If a move is needed, the task transitions to the Try Move State. If the move is successful, the task begins searching. If the move is unsuccessful, a `sorry(reason)` message is sent to the Bidder.

`search(request)`, `getLocation()`, `compare(dest, currLoc)`

Figure 5. Search Task with Mobility

4. Design Phase

The MaSE design phase models consist of agent classes, the communications defined between those classes and the components that comprise those classes. Typically, tasks from the analysis phase are transformed into components in the design phase. These, possibly multiple, components define the internal agent architecture for each agent defined by the designer. Besides requesting moves, each component in a mobile agent must be able to respond to a pending move by saving its internal state and being able to restart at the new location. An Agent Component is created for each agent that oversees the operation and the interaction between components. In a mobile agent, the Agent Component must be transformed to handle shutdown and re-initialization of all of the agent’s components. A brief overview of agent class and conversations is given in Sections 4.1 and 4.2 followed by a detailed discussion of the requirements for components in Section 4.3.

4.1 Agent Classes

An Agent Class is a model for the types of agents that will exist in the system and is similar to an object class from the object-oriented paradigm except that an agent class is defined by the roles it plays in the system and not by attributes and methods. Every task that is associated with a role becomes a component of the agent class playing that role in the system. Figure 6 shows the Agent Class Diagram for the MSS system. The Search Manager role was assigned to the Search Manager agent class while the Search Bidder and Searcher roles were assigned to the Mobile Searcher agent class.

**Figure 6. MSS Agent Classes**

4.2 Conversations

States and transitions that describe external message passing protocols are extracted from the component state diagrams and used to create conversations. Conversations describe communication between agents and are modeled as coordinating finite state automaton (i.e., messages sent from one side of the conversation are received by the other side). Once conversations are extracted from component state diagrams, they are replaced by an action that instantiates the conversation [14].

4.3 Components

Components are independent processing modules (possibly implemented as objects) that comprise an agent. Components provide a set of functions and may or may not have internal state. Components are dynamic in nature, although certain components may endure for the entire lifetime of its agent. Using MaSE and its supporting tool agentTool [4], agent components are derived directly from the tasks in the roles assigned to each agent class. Therefore, each component can be classified as transient or persistent, based on their parent task. To coordinate the execution of these derived components, a standard Agent Component is also synthesized for each agent via agentTool transforms. The Agent Component controls the initiation of the other components, handles conversation initiation messages from other agents, and terminates the agent once its goals have been accomplished. Each derived components is either mobile or non-mobile. A mobile component contains at least one move activity while a non-mobile component does not contain any move activities. Figure 7 shows the default agent architecture generated by our semi-automated transformation system, agentTool.

**Figure 7. MaSE/agentTool Agent Architecture**
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4.3.1 Agent Component

There are three versions of the Agent Component – transient, persistent and heterogeneous – that are determined by the types of components that it must control. (A heterogeneous Agent Component handles both transient and persistent tasks.) As mentioned above, the Agent Component controls the initiation of the other components and maintains the list of all active components.

The Agent Component also handles the conversation initiation messages sent from other agents in the system. This allows the Agent Component to initiate transient components (they are not started until needed) that are activated by messages from other agents. For conversation initiation messages sent to existing components, the Agent Component simply routes the message to the appropriate component to handle the message.

If an agent class contains only persistent components (which may terminate if they accomplish their goals), the Agent Component is charged with terminating the agent once its goals have been accomplished. In this case, the persistent components may eventually fulfill all their goals and terminate, leaving only the Agent Component running [14]. Thus, the Agent Component is tasked with checking the status of the components regularly and terminating the agent and when they are no active components.

If a request for a move is accepted, the Agent Component informs all components of the move by sending move required internal messages according to the following rules:

1. If there is only one mobile component and that component is a persistent component, then only the non-mobile components need to receive a message
2. If there is only mobile component and that component is a transient component, then all components need to receive a message
3. If there are two or more mobile components in an agent class, then all the components need to receive a message

The Agent Component also gathers the state information from all components. Only after all the components have terminated will the Agent Component request a move from the agent platform. Thus, the Agent Component is the repository for all component state information. After the agent has moved, the Agent Component has the duty of restarting all components at the new location that were active at the former location. Upon creation, the components are sent their state information that was saved at the agents’ previous location.

Figure 8 shows the Mobile Searcher (Figure 5) Agent Component, which contains both persistent and transient components. The Agent Component is started at agent creation and transitions automatically to the Start Persistent Comps State. If there is a problem starting any of these components, the Agent Component terminates the agent. If there are no problems then the Agent Component transitions to an Idle State, waiting to receive conversation initiation messages from other agents.

The Agent Component request a move from the agent platform. Thus, the Agent Component is the repository for all component state information. After the agent has moved, the Agent Component has the duty of restarting all components at the new location that were active at the former location. Upon creation, the components are sent their state information that was saved at the agents’ previous location.

Figure 9 shows the Agent Component from Figure 8 transformed to handle the mobility requirements described above (existing states and transitions from Figure 8 are shown in the shaded area). If the component is being started for the first time, the Agent Component transitions from the start state to the State Persistent Comps State as before. If the agent has moved and is being restarted at the new location then the Agent Component transitions to the Reestablish State where the restore activity restarts all the components that were active at the previous location. When a reqMove message is received from a mobile component, the Agent Component transitions to the Move Decision State. The decision activity is the place where the designer can insert logic as to how the agent decides to move. If the move is denied then the moveDenied(reason) message is sent to the mobile component and the Agent Component transitions back to an Idle State. If the move is approved then the mobile component that requested the move is sent a terminate message and the Agent Component transitions to the Get Component List State. If the agent class contains only one component then the Agent Component transitions to the Try Move State. If not, a moveReq message is broadcast to every active component. Once all the replies have been received the Agent Component transitions to the Try Move State. All the state information for the agent and components is saved and the move(dest) activity represents the call to the agent platform to request the move. If the move is successful, the agent terminates and is restarted at the new location. If the move fails, the agent is restarted on the
current machine and the components are all restarted by the restore activity in the Reestablish State.

4.3.2 Mobile Components
A mobile component has to be transformed to include the necessary mobility functions. First, the move activity from the analysis phase is replaced with the ability to send internal move request messages to the Agent Component. After generating a move request, the mobile component also needs to save its current state so it can be restarted in the proper state after the move is completed. Finally, the mobile component must be able to respond to a move required messages from the Agent Component. Figure 10 shows the Search mobile component, automatically derived from the Search concurrent task in Figure 5 by agentTool.

Figure 9. Agent Component for Mobile Searcher Agent Class with Mobility Functionality

The Search component is a mobile component and is started by the internal message start(stateInfo). The getLocation and restart activities in the Restore State determine the state in which the component should begin executing. If the agent has successfully moved as part of the search process and is restarting at the new location, the component transitions directly to the Search State. If the move was unsuccessful, the component transitions directly to the end state and sends an internal sorry(reason) message to the Bidder component. If there is a new search request then the component transitions to the Move Needed State. The component transitions to the Try Move State if a move is needed. The move activity that was present in the task in Figure 4 has been removed and replaced by two states and three transitions. The saveCompState and getCompName activities in the Move Called State prepare the reqMove message that is sent to the Agent Component. The component then waits for a response. If a terminate message is received the component sends its state information to the Agent Component and terminates. If a moveDenied(reason) message is received, then the component sends an internal sorry(reason) message to the Bidder component.

4.3.3 Non-Mobile Components
A non-mobile component also has to be transformed to add the ability to respond to a move required messages from the Agent Component (including saving its current state) and restarting in the proper state after a move. In actuality, this ability could exist in any, or all states in the component state table. However, the designer is given the choice of states in which move required messages may be received. Figure 11 shows the Bid non-mobile component automatically derived from the Bid task in Figure 3 by agentTool.

Figure 10. Mobile Search Component in MSS

The Bid component is a non-mobile component and is started by the internal message start(stateInfo). The restart activity in the Restore State determines the state in which the component should begin executing. In this case, the component transitions directly to the Idle State whether the agent had successfully moved or had just been created. Since, the Bid component is part of the Mobile Searcher agent class it must check for moveReq messages from at least one state. In this case, the designer chose only the Idle State. Once a moveReq message has been received, the component transitions to the Move Received State where the state information is saved and then sent to the Agent Component using a ready(stateInfo, comp) message.

For more detailed explanations of the functionality for non-mobile, mobile and agent components that includes the definition of formal transformations that convert the analysis models to specific design models and then from generic design models to mobile design models see the theses by Sparkman [14] and Self [13].

5. Conclusions
Incorporating mobility into the analysis phase of the MaSE methodology was accomplished with minimal impact. Adding mobility to the design phase entailed defining requirements and mapping those requirements to the different types of components, including the agent component, that comprise a mobile agent class. A semi-automated transformation system was integrated
into our agentTool environment [4] as a proof of concept. This transformation system implemented all the transformations discussed in this paper. These transformations are capable of producing all the conversations between agents as well as the internal design of the agent components. Several mobile multiagent systems were developed using these transformations [13].

Incorporating the other two dynamic agent properties namely cloning and instantiation, is the main area for expanding this work. Cloning and instantiation add to the power of a dynamic agent system. Mobility is just a special case of cloning. Full cloning capability would bring the advantages of parallel computing and optimal distribution of tasks to a multiagent system in order to solve performance bottlenecks. The decision to clone should probably be the responsibility of the components, as is the case with mobility, with the agent component carrying out the details.

Mobile agent technology is a relatively new and exciting field in the area of artificial intelligence and software engineering. This research starts to bridge the gap between agent-oriented software engineering methodologies and mobile agent systems. Merging these two areas provides more power to solve the complex problems in this new era of increasing mobile, distributed computing.
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