

ADVANCED AEROSOL SAMPLING TECHNOLOGIES FOR POINT BIODETECTION

Dr. Edward W. Stuebing

Dr. Jerold Bottiger

US Army Edgewood Chemical Biological Center

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

CB Defense Conference 2004 15-17 Nov 04

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188


Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 17 NOV 2004	2. REPORT TYPE N/A	3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Advanced Aerosol Sampling echnologies For Point Biodetection		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
		5b. GRANT NUMBER	
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
		5e. TASK NUMBER	
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) US Army Edgewood Chemical Biological Center Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM001849, 2004 Scientific Conference on Chemical and Biological Defense Research. Held in Hunt Valley, Maryland on 15-17 November 2004., The original document contains color images.			
14. ABSTRACT			
15. SUBJECT TERMS			
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	UU
			18. NUMBER OF PAGES 36
			19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON

DISCLAIMER

The use of either trade or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement of any commercial products. This report may not be cited for purposes of advertisement.


Trigger vs. Collector




Example Biodetection Systems

Trigger Inlets & Collector Inlets

BIDS




JBPDS



INLET
Large particle remover
Multi-stage CONCENTRATOR
COLLECTOR




Typical Aerosol Sampling System



Aerosol Particle Behavior

- Settling
- Impaction



TAKE-HOME MESSAGE:

Aerosols are NOT gases.


Their inertia gives us a handle on them.

Their inertia can confound our efforts to transport them.

Material is sparsely distributed in space.

Particle Settling in Still Air


Time to settle 5 feet by unit density spheres



Sampling trains are usually vertical and avoid bends, horizontal.
- requires size dependent characterization over 1-10 micron.

Particle Settling in Still Air

Time to settle 5 feet by unit density spheres



Both Large and Small Sizes are Difficult to Sample with High Efficiency


1 μm



3 μm




10 μm



Too little inertia –
impactor
concentrators and
collectors require
high acceleration

Typical sampler efficiency
data



Too much inertia –
difficult to aspirate
and transmit through
tubing to collector
without wall losses

Aerosol Sampler Technology Challenges

Description

Provide
advanced
collectors
and inlets

- smaller
- lighter
- less power
- inexpensive
- sub-freezing
- higher
concentration
liquid sample

Goals


- High efficiency inlets for 1-10 micron particles and wind speeds (stationary outdoors up to 15-20 mph, HVAC up to 25 mph, vehicles/ships maybe 60 mph?)
- High efficiency, low power aerosol concentrator for 1-10 micron particles
- Low temperature (range of US cities) aerosol collector for wet samples
- Dry aerosol collectors
- Triggered vs. Long term “sentinel” wet and dry aerosol collectors
- Viability-preserving aerosol collectors

Aerosol Sampler Performance Issues


- Detection Sensitivity (collected amount and concentration)
 - Air flow rate (sample size vs. time)
 - Collection Efficiency (particle size dependent – 1-10 micron)
 - Reject unwanted sizes, e.g., pollens
 - background suppression, dust
 - Concentration factor (into liquid or air)
- Utility
 - Rise time, Clear down time
 - Low & high temperature
 - wet collectors
 - dimensional stability, air viscosity
 - Clean up/Decon
- Logistics
 - Power consumption (incl. low temps)
 - Size & Weight (portability)
 - Liquid requirement (recirculating?)
 - Rugged, reliable, maintainable (lab devices unsuitable in field)

Bio Detection Systems

Aerosol Sampling Subsystem



Biological Analysis Subsystem



SAMPLE




Vol
Conc

Size
Amount

Bio Detection Systems

Aerosol Sampling Subsystem

Biological Analysis Subsystems



Advanced Aerosol Sampling Technologies

**Conventional
Inertial**

Improved large particle rejection

Shrouded probes (for wind, moving platforms, or HVAC ducts)

**Next
Generation
Inertial**

Low power inertial concentrators

Low power, low temp wet collector

**Non-inertial
Technologies**

Electrostatic concentrator/collector

Impeller collectors

Micro array dry collector

Hydrosol Concentrator

Acoustic concentrator

Power example

~500W

~150W

<100W

40 - 20W

<10W

FY04
Technology

Future Capabilities



EXAMPLES OF ADVANCED SAMPLING TECHNOLOGIES

CAVEAT: The following examples are given to illustrate each of the advanced aerosol sampling technologies currently being explored. This is not intended to be a complete catalog of all the applications of these technologies under development.

CONVENTIONAL INLET

heavy dust penetrates the fractionator




CONVENTIONAL INLET WITH OILED PAD


oiled pad improves fractionator for retaining large particles such as dust



Inlet Efficiency as Function of Wind Speed
Various Particle Sizes for an ~100 LPM Omnidirectional Inlet



SHROUDED PROBE INLET




Large Shrouded Probe Inlet

1,000 lpm Class Shrouded Probe




Comparison of Shrouded Probe & Conventional Omnidirectional Collector Inlet Performance 8 micron particles




Shrouded Probe Inlet

- Shrouded probe inlet is superior at high wind conditions when pointing into wind, for example, in HVAC ducts.
- Yaw angle performance is good at low wind conditions. Sampling when wind is coming from side is not degraded considerably when compared with a simple omnidirectional inlet.
- Application: Shrouded Probe might replace omnidirectional inlets in outdoor situations and enhance windy and moving-platform performance without seriously degrading performance. (Full range of wind speeds and particles sizes remains to be studied.)



Yaw Angle Performance of 100 l/m Shrouded Probe
Test Condition: 6 micron particles at 8 miles per hour



New Concentrators Under Testing

mini slits process small particles with low pressure drop




Test Bed
for mini-
slit design




**High flow (3,000+ lpm)
Virtual Impactor Concentrator
using a large horizontal
array of mini-slits**

New Aerosol Collector using mini-jets to capture small particles with low pressure drop


Energy Efficient Low Temperature Wet Collector





Fractional Efficiency Curve




Response Time of the AHTS





Electrostatic Collection


...versatile technology capable of interfacing to many detection systems...



Compact Electrostatic Aerosol Concentrator

...capabilities: compact, low power, high flow rate...


- **Direct aerosol concentration without energy consumptive inertial separation process upstream**
 - Pressure drop orders of magnitude lower than inertial separation collector
 - Multi-unit samples >200 LPM with 1 watt fan
- **Integrated high efficiency corona charger & collector for minimal size**
- **Particles deposited into small volume of liquid (< 1 ml)**
- **Low cost – low weight injection molded plastic construction**



Electrostatic Radial Collector Performance


...original configuration vs. high density array data...

Electrostatic deposition of 2.3 μ beads onto a 0.125 in. diameter dry post from 0.75 in. diameter duct




Electrostatics Off


**High Density
Corona Array
Collection Efficiency
>85% @ 30 lpm**



Electrostatics On



**Original
Configuration
Corona Array
Collection Efficiency
>50% @ 30 lpm**




This presentation is subject to the rights and limitations as stated within Government Contracts No. DAAD13-03-C-0041 and No.W911SR-04-C-0025."

IMPELLER EXAMPLE




ROTATING ARM COLLECTOR

BioCapture Air Sampler



Micropillar Array Dry Collector



A low pressure drop, high flow filter with collection efficiency of a good aerosol collector (80-90%).

Particle Trajectories Through three rows of offset rectangular micropillars





Figure 1. (a) Pressure Drop and droplet trajectories (b) Velocity Profile corresponding to an inlet velocity of 5 m/s and for particles of $1 \mu\text{m}$ size with a 5V voltage potential.

Micropillar Results




Aerosol Capture on
Uncoated Micropillars
at 1" Water Pressure
Drop: 1-Micron PSL



Aerosol Capture on
Coated Micropillars
at 1" Water Pressure
Drop: 1-Micron PSL

Example Hydrosol Concentrator

Two-Level Designs using dielectrophoresis (DEP)




UNIFORM FIELD DESIGN:

- 1) Electrochromatography:
Dispersion Minimization
- 2) Particle Filtration:
Bandwidth Minimization


Simulation of particles sliding along ridge and out tube (forked splitter)

No DEP




With DEP


With DEP
(Animation)




Forked Splitter Results (*Bacillus subtilis*)



5 V



250 V





500 V

ACOUSTIC CONCENTRATION IN AEROSOL FLOW

Particle Trajectories

Video of Exit Flow



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This presentation would not have been possible without the research accomplishments and the generous contribution of PowerPoint presentation materials from the following organizations:

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

MicroEnergy Technologies, Inc.

MesoSystems, Inc.

NIOSH

Sandia National Laboratory

Sarnoff Corporation

Texas A&M University

University of Texas Applied Research Laboratory

University of Idaho

US Army Edgewood Chemical Biological Center