

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA

THESIS

DESIGN, FABRICATION AND TESTING OF A SCALABLE SERIES AUGMENTED RAILGUN RESEARCH PLATFORM

by

Brian C. Black

March 2006

Thesis Advisor: Co-Advisor:

William B. Maier II Terry R. McNelley

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Appro 0188	ved OMB No. 0704-
Public reporting burden for response, including the ti and maintaining the data re comments regarding this be including suggestions for for Information Operations 22202-4302, and to the Off Washington DC 20503.	For this coll me for review needed, and co urden estimat reducing thi s and Reports fice of Mana	ection of inform ying instruction, completing and rev te or any other s burden, to Was a, 1215 Jefferson gement and Budget	ation i searchi iewing aspect hington Davis t, Paper	s estimated to ng existing dat the collection of this collec headquarters S Highway, Suite work Reduction	average 1 hour per ta sources, gathering of information. Send tion of information, Services, Directorate 1204, Arlington, VA Project (0704-0188)
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Lea	ve blank)	2. REPORT DATE March 2006	: 3	. REPORT TYPE Master	AND DATES COVERED
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE: of a Scalable Series Aug	Design, Fa gmented Rail	brication, and gun Research Pl	Testing atform	5. FUNDING	NUMBERS
6. AUTHOR(S) Brian C. B.	Lack				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATI Naval Postgraduate S	ION NAME(S) chool	AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMI REPORT NUME	ING ORGANIZATION BER
Monterey, CA 93943-	5000				
9. SPONSORING /MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) N/A			10. SPONSOF AGENCY	RING/MONITORING Y REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES reflect the official policy	The views e y or position	expressed in this of the Department	thesis t of Def	are those of t ense or the U.S	he author and do not 5. Government.
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAI	LABILITY ST	ATEMENT	uited	12b. DISTRI	IBUTION CODE
13 APGTPACT (maximum 2)	00 worda)		iiicu		
The design and material properties of rails and projectiles are critical to the success of the Navy railgun. This thesis addresses the design, fabrication, and testing of a scalable square bore electromagnetic railgun. This railgun is designed to permit series augmented operation, and incorporates disposable rail liners to facilitate investigating the suitability of various rail materials. A series of shots has demonstrated performance consistent with theoretical modeling, including significant performance enhancement as a result of both slotted rail geometry and augmentation over solid rail and un-augmented configurations. A capacitor based stored energy supply input of 35 kJ resulted in a measured velocity of 294 m/s for an 11.4 gram projectile. Suggestions are provided for future power supply configurations, rail materials and surface treatments, and a variety of					
14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF					15. NUMBER OF
Railgun, Rail-gun, Augmentation, Electromagnetic launch, Armature, Pulsed Power, Hypervelocity launch, Hypervelocity Projectile, Ion				PAGES 135	
beam surface treatment, IBEST, Laser peening, Electromagnetic Weapon				16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURIT CLASSIFICAT PAGE Uncla	TY TION OF THIS assified	19. SE CLASSI ABSTRA Unc	CURITY FICATION OF CT classified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

DESIGN, FABRICATION AND TESTING OF A SCALABLE SERIES AUGMENTED RAILGUN RESEARCH PLATFORM

Brian C. Black Lieutenant, United Stated Navy B.A., Political Science, Dickinson College, 1991 B.S., Mechanical Engineering, University of Pittsburgh, 1998

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL March 2006

Author: Brian C. Black

Approved by: William B. Maier II Thesis Advisor Department of Physics

> Terry R. McNelley Co-Advisor Department of Mechanical and Astronautical Engineering

Anthony J. Healey Chairman, Department of Mechanical and Astronautical Engineering

ABSTRACT

The design and material properties of rails and projectiles are critical to the success of the Navy This thesis addresses the design, fabrication, railgun. and testing of a scalable square bore electromagnetic This railgun is designed to permit series railqun. augmented operation, and incorporates disposable rail liners to facilitate investigating the suitability of various rail materials. A series of shots has demonstrated performance consistent with theoretical modeling, including significant performance enhancement as a result of both the slotted rail geometry and augmentation over solid rail configurations. A capacitor based stored energy supply input of 35 kJ resulted in a measured velocity of 294 m/s for an 11.4 gram projectile. Suggestions are provided for future power supply configurations, rail materials and surface treatments, and a variety of armature geometries.

v

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION1
	A. BACKGROUND1
	B. OBJECTIVE
II.	RAILGUN TEST PLATFORM DESIGN
	A. GENERAL
	B. MAIERIAL PROPERIIES
	C. IMPROVED INDUCTANCE GRADIENT WITH SERIES
	D. IMPROVED INDUCTANCE GRADIENI WITH SLOTIED RAIL
	E. ADDITIONAL COMPONENTS14
III.	PULSED POWER SUPPLY19
	A. PRESENT SYSTEM
	B. REDESIGNED POWER SUPPLY
IV.	DESIGN VERIFICATION
	A. PARAMETER MODEL
	B. CONSERVATION OF ENERGY CIRCUIT MODEL
	C. STRUCTURAL DESIGN
۷.	RESULTS
	A. SHOT DIAGNOSTICS
VI.	CONCLUSION
	A. PERFORMANCE SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS41
	B. MATERIALS PROCESSING METHODS43
APPEN	NDIX A. MATERIAL PROPERTY DATA SHEETS45
APPEN	NDIX B. PRODUCTION DRAWINGS55
	IDIX C. MODELING
	A KERRISK'S METHOD SPREADSHEETS [3] 71
	B. PARAMETER BASED MODELING [7]
	C. CONSERVATION OF ENERGY INTEGRATION [4]
	D. STRUCTURAL DESIGN VERTEICATION
APPEN	DIX D. MAGNETIC FIELD AND CIRCUIT SIMULATIONS
	A. COMSOL MULTIPHYSICS MODELING
	B. ORCAD 10.3 P-SPICE CIRCUIT MODELING
APPEN	NDIX E. BREAK SCREEN AND CURRENT PROFILE SCREEN
	CAPTURES
	IDIX F. TYPICAL POST-SHOT MATERIAL CONDITIONS
LIST	OF REFERENCES115
INITI	TAL DISTRIBUTION LIST117

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	1.	Exploded Railgun Assembly5
Figure	2.	Series Augmented Current Path8
Figure	3.	Augmented Railgun Geometry where $R = 3/16" \dots 10$
Figure	4.	Augmented Conductor Assembly12
Figure	5.	Slotted Rail Geometry14
Figure	б.	Railgun Loading Apparatus15
Figure	7.	3.0-µH Series Inductor and Components16
Figure	8.	Target Chamber
Figure	9.	Power Supply Cabinet
Figure	10.	Power Supply Cabinet Interfaces
Figure	11.	Fixed End Distributed Load Beam Model [After Ref. 9]
Figure	12.	Augmented Rail to Conductor Threaded and Braised Joint
Figure	13.	Armature Geometry Alternatives (Appendix B)43
Figure	14.	Top Containment Half55
Figure	15.	Bottom Containment Half56
Figure	16.	Solid Primary Conductor Rails57
Figure	17.	Slotted Primary Conductor Rails
Figure	18.	Ceramic Insulators
Figure	19.	Augmented Rails, Rail liners, and Spacer60
Figure	20.	Augmenting Conductor Components61
Figure	21.	External Conductor Connectors and Muzzle Shunt62
Figure	22.	Full Conductor Assembly63
Figure	23.	Full CAD Assembly with Loader and Muzzle Shunt64
Figure	24.	Full Assembled Railgun with Loader64
Figure	25.	Basic U-Shape Armature65
Figure	26.	Flared M-shape Armature66
Figure	27.	Square M-shape Armature67
Figure	28.	Altered U-shape Armature with Center Hollow68
Figure	29.	Railgun Mounting Base69
Figure	30.	Kerrisk's Method Rail Parameters [After Ref. 2]71
Figure	31.	Simplified Beam Geometry (Not to scale)84
Figure	32.	Transformed Homogenous Beam Geometry (Not to Scale)
Figure	33.	Solid Non-Augmented Magnetic Flux Density
Figure	34.	Solid Non-Augmented Magnetic Field Across Bore88
Figure	35.	Solid Non-Augmented Magnetic Field Across Rail
J U	•	Surface
Figure	36.	Slotted Non-Augmented Magnetic Flux Density90
Figure	37.	Slotted Non-Augmented Magnetic Field Across Bore.91

Figure 38. Slotted Non-Augmented Magnetic Field Across Rail Figure 40. Solid Augmented Magnetic Field Across Bore94 Figure 41. Solid, Augmented Magnetic Field Across Rail Surface Figure 43. Solid Augmented Magnetic Field Across Bore97 Figure 44. Solid Augmented Magnetic Field Across Rail Surface Figure 48. Four-Module Current Profile Output from Figure 46 Figure 49. Solid Non-Augmented Velocity Measurement103 Figure 51. Slotted Non-Augmented Velocity Measurement 105 Figure 57. Typical Post-Shot Rail and Insulator Wear.....111 Figure 59. Muzzle Block Indicating Muzzle Flash Arcing....113

LIST OF TABLES

Table	1.	Nominal EM Gun Parameters, [From Ref. 1]2
Table	2.	Summary of Rail Properties [After Ref. 2]6
Table	3.	Experimental Data Results
Table	4.	Predicted vs. Experimental Gain Factors35
Table	5.	Total System Resistance and R/L' Results
Table	б.	Chromium Copper Rail Liner Material Properties
Table	7.	Oxygen Free Copper Rail Liner Material Properties [After Ref. 2]
Table	8.	Phosphor Bronze Rail Liner Material Properties [After Ref. 2]47
Table	9.	Copper Tungsten Rail Liner Properties [After Ref. 2]48
Table	10.	Aluminum 7075 T-651 Rail Liner Material Properties [After Ref. 2]49
Table	11.	Aluminum 6063 T-5 Armature Material Properties [After Ref. 2]50
Table	12.	G-11 FR-5 Containment Material Properties [After Ref 10]51
Table	13.	Ceramic Insulator Material Properties [After Ref. 11]
Table	14.	Mylar Film Insulator Material Properties [After Ref. 12]53
Table	15.	Kerrisk's Method and Augmentation Adjusted Inductance Gradient (L') Calculations71
Table	16.	1500 m/s Solid Non-Augmented Parameter Model72
Table	17.	1500 m/s Slotted Non-Augmented Parameter Model73
Table	18.	1500 m/s Solid Augmented Parameter Model
Table	19.	1500 m/s Slotted Augmented Parameter Model75
Table	20.	265 m/s Solid Augmented Parameter Model
Table	21.	290 m/s Slotted Augmented Parameter Model
Table	22.	Parameter Estimate of Peak Current and Final Velocity for 3/8" diameter Grade 2 Bolts78
Table	23.	35 kJ Velocity Integral, Solid Non-Augmented79
Table	24.	35 kJ Velocity Integral, Slotted Non-Augmented80
Table	25.	35 kJ Velocity Integral, Solid Augmented81
Table	26.	35 kJ Velocity Integral, Slotted Augmented82
Table	27.	83 kJ Velocity Integral, Slotted Augmented83
Table	28.	Transformed Geometry Moment of Inertia Calculation

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you Professor Bill Maier for your confidence and support throughout this project, and for facilitating exposure to resources beyond the Naval Postgraduate School. Thank you to Professor Terry McNelley and both the Physics and Mechanical Engineering Departments for facilitating a mixed curriculum tailored to this research. Thank you Don Jaksha, and Frank Snyder, George Franzen for your professional expertise and personal commitments which turned theory into practice in the fabrication and testing of this railgun prototype. Thank you Tania Zaleski and Tim Renk for your professional courtesy in supporting the materials processing collaboration between the National Laboratories and the Navy Postgraduate School. Thank you to all of the professional engineers at the Institute for Advanced Technology and the Center for Electromechanics at the University of Texas at Austin for direct contributions the materials, design, and modeling methods to used throughout this thesis. Thank you Fred Beach, Donald Gillich, Michael Lockwood, Michael Graham, and Juan Ubiera for building the NPS Railgun Laboratory infrastructure and establishing a standard of excellence. Finally, thank you Romina, Sophia, and Carmen for making success important.

xiii

I. INTRODUCTION

A. BACKGROUND

The military potential of the U.S. Navy's notional electromagnetic railgun for Naval surface-fire support missions is well defined. The focused investment and research of both Army and Navy sponsored programs through the Office of Naval Research and U.S. Army ARDEC has identified the remaining engineering obstacles to be overcome prior to fielding a practical system. The Naval Postgraduate School (NPS) is uniquely positioned to leverage such investments in order to investigate The Center for Electromechanics (CEM) and alternatives. the Institute for Advanced Technology (IAT) from the University of Texas at Austin have pushed the envelope in terms of materials, pulsed power, and systems engineering approaches to applied railgun technology. In January 2005, IAT engineers published IEEE article entitled an "Development of a Naval Railgun" summarizing the status of Naval railgun development and detailing areas where further research is warranted [1]. The railgun specific issues are directly related to extending bore life to as high as 10,000 shots. Although progress has been made toward identifying the destructive mechanisms of transitioning contacts and hyper-velocity gouging, no design parameters, material combination, or processing treatment have resolved their impact on bore life.

Simultaneously achieving the full scale notional parameters listed in Table 1 while achieving shot frequencies of 6-12 rounds per minute is presently beyond the capacity of even large scale laboratory facilities.

Therefore, economy of simulation and scalable applied research is critical to the success of the railgun program.

Parameter	Value
Flight Mass (kg)	16.0
Launch mass (kg)	21.0
Peak acceleration (gees)	30,000
Muzzle velocity, V _m (m/s)	2,000
Rail height and separation (mm)	127.0
Muzzle energy, E _m (MJ)	42.0
Total gun length, L _{gun} (m)	12.0
Acceleration time, t _e (ms)	11.5
Maximum current, I _{max} (MA)	5.0
Recoil momentum (N-s)	42,000

Table 1. Nominal EM Gun Parameters, [From Ref. 1]

Over the past decade, NPS railgun research has produced several iterations of small scale demonstrator weapons to facilitate applied research. During the 2005 fiscal year, the NPS Railgun program has made a substantial investment in laboratory infrastructure including the purchase of ten 11 kV 830 μ -Farad capacitors from General Atomics and advanced high current switches, supplementing the existing pulsed power energy storage capacity by an order of magnitude. By leveraging the collaborative direct input of CEM, IAT, material modifications research support from Lawrence Livermore and Sandia National Laboratories, as well as multi-curriculum contributions from within the campus, NPS railgun research is now more than ever positioned to confront railgun technological deficiencies through applied engineering.

B. OBJECTIVE

objective of this thesis is the The design, fabrication, and testing of a scalable, reconfigurable bore, conventional railgun capable of achieving launch package velocities in excess of 1500 m/s. The initial 3/4" (19mm) square bore configuration supports comparisons between single rail and series augmentation, solid and slotted rail geometries. Shot repetition and materials performance comparisons are accomplished with disposable rail liners at the rail to armature interface to protect the permanent main conductor rail structure. The railqun test platform incorporates a manual loading apparatus to facilitate consistent initial conditions including armature firing position and an interference armature fit which does not require full disassembly between consecutive shots. Alternative armature geometries and proposals for power conditioning are provided to inform follow-on testing. Unreliable performance of the TVS-40 switches caused spontaneous triggering above 7,000 volts, requiring а practical capacitor charge limit of 6500 volts and a corresponding total stored energy limit of 35 kJ.

Chapter II examines weapon design including decisions regarding materials, geometry, and firing configurations. Chapter III discusses the design and limits of the existing pulsed power supply, as well as a proposed multi-module system. Chapter IV provides design verification analysis including ideal railgun parameter modeling, containment static deflection considerations, and an applied conservation of energy model. Chapter V discusses

experimental results. Chapter VI concludes with recommendations for future testing, alternative armature geometries, and processing methods for rail liner materials.

II. RAILGUN TEST PLATFORM DESIGN

A. GENERAL

The exploded assembly of Figure 1 below depicts the main structural elements of the railgun design without the loading apparatus. SolidWorks CAD software was used extensively for 3D modeling and for creating the technical drawings required for fabrication. Appendix B includes a comprehensive collection of individual parts and assemblies.



Figure 1. Exploded Railgun Assembly

B. MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Materials selections were based on an analysis of the property tables included in Appendix A. These values were either obtained directly from the vendor or from the MATWEB online material database. None of the material selections are entirely new to railgun applications.

The thickness and placement of the two insulating bars fixes the bore dimensions given the clamshell containment design. Due to superior compressive dimensional stability,

adequate dielectric constant, and ease of refurbishment glass reinforced epoxy phenolics such as G-10, over CoorsTek Alumina (Al_2O_3) AD-96 ceramic was chosen. No subsequent fabrication was required as these parts were fired specification including +/-1% positional to tolerances of through holes for the containment bolts and surface dimensions finished to +/-0.005inch outer tolerance. Surface dimension tolerances were verified by micrometer measurements for both insulators.

The main conductor and a range of rail liner materials were selected after a lengthy process that began with a much larger list extracted directly from materials handbooks based strictly on parameters of conductivity and hardness. This list was subsequently limited after a literature review of previously proven railgun materials, and by the final process of locating vendors with an inventory of 1/8" thick bar or plate stock suitable for the liner geometry. Table 2 below summarizes the properties of interest. The stainless alloy properties are included as a point of comparison.

Untreated Material Properties					
Material	Hardness Rockwell B	Conductivity <u>%IACS **</u>	Resisitivity (ohm-cm) @ 20ºC	<u>density</u> (g/cm³)	
oxygen free copper	50	101	1.71E-06	8.94	
chromium copper	79	80	2.16E-06	8.89	
phosphor bronze	93	20	8.70E-06	8.86	
copper tungsten	98	45	3.83E-06	14.84	
aluminum 7075	87	33	5.15E-06	2.81	
Stainless alloy 410	* 110	3	5.70E-05	7.8	
* linear extrapolation from Rockwell C		** based on %IACS = (172.41e-6 / Resistivity)			

Table 2.

Summary of Rail Properties [After Ref. 2]

б

At the time of completion of this thesis, testing has been restricted to the chromium copper rail liners in order to preserve processed samples for higher velocity regimes.

Several alternative armature geometries were fabricated by using three variants of aluminum including Al-6063, Al-6061, and Al-1100. All testing has been conducted using standard u-shaped Al-6063 armatures shown in Figure 25 of Appendix B.

The main containment clamshell pieces were fabricated from 2" thick blocks of G-11 FR-5 glass reinforced epoxy laminate. This common small-bore railgun containment material has high resistance, high strength, and excellent machinability. Containment hardware includes twenty-two 3/8" Grade 2 stainless steel hex cap nuts, bolts, and washers.

C. IMPROVED INDUCTANCE GRADIENT WITH SERIES AUGMENTATION

One of the critical railgun design parameters is the inductance gradient, or inductance per unit length (L'). This parameter is a function of the rail and bore geometry. The most fundamental method for determining this parameter is based on modeling the rails as two infinite wires with a fixed radius, separated by a fixed distance representing the bore width between the rails. Although this is a fair approximation, extensive empirical research has produced more accurate results applicable to the case of the rectangular rail and square bore configuration, commonly referred to as Kerrisk's Method [3]. Appendix C includes the spreadsheets used to evaluate the inductance gradient for the rail geometries selected for this design.

The energy efficiency of a small scale railgun driven through a pulse forming network is significantly limited even under ideal modeling conditions neglecting dissipative losses such as electrical resistance and friction. This ideal efficiency can be expressed by the following equation [4].

$$\eta = \frac{L'x}{(L+L'x)}$$

L' is the inductance gradient, L is the total system inductance, and x is the rail length. Applying the actual values of L= 5.5 micro-Henries and L' = 0.683 micro-Henries/meter for this specific design to a 10 meter gun length predicts an ideal energy efficiency approaching 50%. Using the actual effective railgun length of 50 cm, based on these same values of L and L', the maximum ideal efficiency is only 5.8%. This entering argument for performance emphasizes the need for maximizing L' while minimizing the total system inductance of the pulse forming network.

There are several methods for enhancing the L' parameter by enhancing the magnetic field in the bore above that created by a single rail pair. My design permits the use of series augmentation by incorporating a second pair of rails and connecting conductors to create the circuit path illustrated in Figure 2 below.



The result is an enhanced magnetic field in the bore region due to contributions from the same current pulse flowing through both rail pairs. Current through the outer rail pair establishes a field in the bore region ahead of the advancing armature as indicated in Figure 2. A review of literature regarding series augmentation indicates that for large scale high velocity applications, based on a fixed Lorentz force, the benefits of lower current requirements due to stronger magnetic fields in the bore region are offset by the resistive losses [5]. However, for my design, given the short rail length, no requirement to recover energy for high frequency repetitive shots, and considering the constraint of a limited stored energy supply, series augmentation is a practical method to improve projectile velocity.

Whereas Kerrisk's method for evaluating the inductance gradient is well defined for the simple railgun, a method for determining the new inductance gradient as a result of the augmenting rail contribution has not been empirically developed. The augmented L' can be approximated by modeling each rail as а long thin current carrying wire and integrating the magnetic field contribution to the bore region contributed by each wire. Based on 1/4" outer rail width, and 3/8" width for the combined inner rail plus rail liner thickness, and making the assumption that current flows down the rail centerlines, the augmented geometry can be expressed in terms of the half-thickness of the inner rail, R as depicted in Figure 3. The factors used in Figure 3 are based on the actual augmented railgun geometry

with bore spacing of 3/4", a 1/32" insulation gap of mylar film and adhesive laminating sheets separating the rail surfaces, and R = 3/16".



Figure 3. Augmented Railgun Geometry where R = 3/16''

The magnitude of the Lorentz force (F) for the geometry depicted in Figure 3 is approximated by the following equation where μ_0 is the permeability constant and I is current.

$$F = \frac{\mu_0 I^2}{4\pi} \int_{R}^{5R} \left[\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{6R - x}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\frac{11}{6} + x}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\frac{47}{6} - x}\right) \right] dx$$

After integrating and reducing,

$$F = \frac{\mu_0 \operatorname{I}^2}{4\pi} \left[\ln\left(\frac{5R}{R}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{5R}{R}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{41}{6}R\right) + \ln\left(\frac{41}{6}R\right) + \ln\left(\frac{41}{6}R\right) \right] = \frac{\mu_0 \operatorname{I}^2}{4\pi} \left[2\ln\left(5\right) + 2\ln\left(\frac{41}{17}\right) \right]$$

The equation can be written in terms of the components of the total L'.

$$F = \frac{\mu_0 I^2}{4\pi} [3.22 + 1.76] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} (3.22 + 1.76) \right] I^2 = \frac{1}{2} [L'_{\text{pri}} + L'_{\text{aug}}] I^2$$

It is convenient to express the augmented inductance gradient as a gain factor that can be applied to the Kerrisk's method L' calculated for the non-augmented configuration.

$$\frac{L'_{\text{pri}} + L'_{\text{aug}}}{L'_{\text{pri}}} = \frac{6.44 \cdot 10^{-7} + 3.52 \cdot 10^{-7}}{6.44 \cdot 10^{-7}} = 1.55$$

This gain factor of 1.55 is used for all subsequent discussions of the augmented inductance gradient for both slotted and solid rail configurations as demonstrated in the calculations of Appendix C. Appendix D applies COMSOL Multiphysics finite element software to model the relative improvement of the magnetic field and flux density across the center of the bore region and across the inner rail surface. COMSOL modeling neglects the geometry of the rail liner for all configurations. Electrical separation between inner and outer rail surfaces is accomplished by wrapping the outer rail in two full layers of 1.0 mil Mylar film. Although even a single layer of this film is rated to hold off the magnitude of breech voltage experienced across the rails, a slightly more robust physical interface was necessary to prevent defects in the rail surface finish from compromising the film integrity and short-circuiting Three layers of 3.0 mil adhesive the augmenting rails. laminating film supplementing the 2 layers of mylar film between the adjoining rail faces prevented the shortcircuits seen in initial efforts to fire augmented.



Figure 4. Augmented Conductor Assembly

Figure 4 demonstrates the augmented conductor assembly and bore geometry. By removing the external copper conducting rods the gun can be fired in the non-augmented configuration. For initial non-augmented testing, both the external conductor rods and the augmented rails were removed and a pair of G-11 FR-5 phenolic insulators was substituted to avoid eddy current losses in a disconnected rail pair.

The inner rail pair is configured to support the use of a muzzle shunt. A copper conductor bar was used to short the muzzle shunt connection during initial testing prior to using actual armatures. The limited energy and short duration current pulse available for initial testing produced a minor muzzle flash. Follow-on work will be required to optimize muzzle shunt circuit elements for operating the gun at high power in order to prevent damage to the conductors as the armature breaks contact with the muzzle. At higher energies, an effective muzzle shunt may become critical to preventing muzzle flash interference with the velocity measuring breaks-screens because of the confined operating range of the laboratory environment.

D. IMPROVED INDUCTANCE GRADIENT WITH SLOTTED RAIL GEOMETRY

Another technique to boost the L' is to alter the rail geometry by a series of slots cut in to either side of the rails. The slotted geometry still provides the common rail height necessary for mechanical mounting of the rails within the containment structure, but confines current flow to a narrower center channel. This technique results in a more concentrated magnetic field within the bore region. To predict the gain provided by slotted geometry, the narrowed rail height dimension of 1″ was the input parameter into the Kerrisk's method calculation rather than the full exterior height, resulting in an expected gain factor of 1.45. Verifying an improvement in final armature velocity for a fixed input energy is significant because it has potential applications for both thermal management and rail containment designs for more advanced railgun systems.

Figure 5 demonstrates the slotted rail geometry. A detailed drawing is included in Appendix B, Figure 17. Appendix D demonstrates COMSOL Multiphysics finite element software modeling of the relative magnitude of improvement of the magnetic field (H) and magnetic flux density ($B=\mu_0H$) for slotted and non-slotted rail configurations. Figure 30 demonstrates how the altered slotted rail geometry affects the input parameters used to calculate the inductive gradient.



Figure 5. Slotted Rail Geometry

E. ADDITIONAL COMPONENTS

High tolerance structural design is required to limit rail deflection and maintain a consistent bore profile. Maintaining stiffness and straightness in a short, small bore railgun is significantly easier than for a large bore In order to achieve a tight rail to rail 10 m qun. interference fit when loading the armature, the gun incorporates a manual screw auger which advances a breech block and protruding 3" ram contoured to the back of the armature. The 3" ram provides a consistent longitudinal starting point for testing and places the armature in a region where magnetic fields are well established. The effective railgun length beyond the loaded armature position is 50 cm. The loading apparatus is mounted at four points to the containment shells via 3/8" stainless steel threaded rods and helicoil inserts. This apparatus is currently under-utilized because the lack of sufficient power to overcome static friction mandates a loose armature fit. Although a slight interference fit was used for the preliminary testing discussed herein, the armatures

fabricated to actual design bore geometry required some volume reduction via polishing in order to prevent binding. During testing, prior to installing the loading apparatus, a bore ram is used to force the polished armature through the entire length of the gun to identify excessive regions of binding. Figure 6 shows a side and overhead view of the assembled loading apparatus.



Figure 6. Railgun Loading Apparatus

The railgun design also includes a muzzle block mounted with four 1/4" stainless steel bolts into helicoil inserts set in the containment shells. The current muzzle block has a 1-1/4" diameter hole through which the armature exits. Although this design is adequate for testing at 35 kJ, it must be improved prior to upgrading the power supply. A square muzzle port properly sized to the bore dimension may assist in confining the deleterious effects of the muzzle flash to the rail liner rather than to the underlying main conductor rail. The photograph of the muzzle block in Appendix F Figure 59, was taken immediately following a shot, and hints at the potential for arcing damage at the muzzle exit at higher energies. A series inductor was constructed by tie-wrapping 4/0 welding cable around a PVC shape. Although a much larger inductor was initially fabricated, optimized to maximize the pulse length, its effect of diminishing peak current resulted in the inability to overcome static friction when firing with a stored energy of 35 kJ. A final compromise between peak current and pulse length was accomplished by using the three turn inductor pictured among other components in Figure 7.



Figure 7. 3.0-µH Series Inductor and Components

In preparation for shooting at high velocities, a target chamber was custom designed and fabricated by MGM Targets. It consists of a three foot long, 10" diameter steel tube with a 6" entry portal. The tube is filled with ground rubber contained by solid rubber sheets at the entry point and along the top, where a bolted access panel allows projectile recovery. The target chamber is pictured in Figure 8.



Figure 8. Target Chamber

III. PULSED POWER SUPPLY

A. PRESENT SYSTEM

The stored energy supply consists of two 830 $\mu F\,,$ 11 kV rated Maxwell Model 32327 capacitors switched by two parallel Maxwell TVS-40 vacuum switches. These capacitors discharge through dedicated pairs of high power rectifier diodes connected to a common ground which crowbar the current waveform at peak value to prevent oscillation. The diodes model 5SDD 50N5500, manufactured are by ABB Switzerland Ltd. Semiconductors. Each diode pair is constrained by an ABB diode clamp model 5SAC 18V9001, rated at 90 kN. Downstream of the diode strings, current output from each individual capacitor is monitored with two Pearson Model 1330 wide band current monitors. The outputs from the parallel TVS-40 switches are connected by a single bus bar and currents up to 500 kA are monitored by a Pearson model 1423 current monitor. Output and return leads extend through the side of a steel framed, plexiglass covered enclosure, allowing connection to the railgun leads with 4/0 Flex-a-Prene heavy duty welding cable rated for The input side welding cable is wound around a 600 Volts. 13-1/2" PVC shape to serve as a series inductor as pictured in Figure 7. In order to protect the inductor cable run from extreme compressive forces experienced during discharges, the 3/4" cable is threaded through a 7/8" inner diameter rubber hose. Figure 9 shows an overhead view of the power supply cabinet.



Figure 9. Power Supply Cabinet

The Pearson 1330 produces an initial 5 m-Volt/Amp and is further conditioned through а 10:1 output, attenuator before being processed for display using an Agilent Infinium S4852 oscilloscope. The Pearson 1423 produces a 1 m-Volt/Amp output, and is sent through both a 10:1 attenuator and 2:1 divider for display. Oscilloscope screen captures for each shooting configuration are included in Appendix E. Peak currents registered by the combined Pearson 1423 output ranged from 88-98 k-Amps for all four rail configurations when discharged from an initial capacitor voltage of 6500 volts. PSpice circuit modeling is included in Appendix D for the 6500 Volt initial charge and other experimentally determined values for the railgun test platform including, inductance, resistance and railgun resistance as specified in Figure The railqun resistance value of 0.3 m-Ohm was 45.
initially calculated based on the material properties and cross-sectional areas of the entire railgun conductor apparatus from input to output leads.

The main capacitor pair is charged with a Bertan Associates Series 105 1kW High Voltage Power Supply through a separate circuit of diodes and resistor bars. Each capacitor is monitored by a dedicated voltmeter display panel.

Simultaneous triggering of the TVS-40 switches is done with a Glassman High Voltage Inc. Series LX High Voltage Power Supply via two 100 μ F General Atomics capacitors catalog #315DM410. On a single firing signal, each 100 μ F capacitor discharge is stepped up to 5kV using homemade transformers. Figure 10 demonstrates the power supply cabinet interfaces for charging, triggering, and supply and return to the railgun test platform.



Figure 10. Power Supply Cabinet Interfaces

Throughout various stages of testing, elements within the pulsed power circuit delayed progress due to arcing, failed diodes, non-triggering switches, and ruptured transmission cable leads. Although the initial goal was to operate the capacitors at 9 kV, which would have supplied a total stored energy of 67.2 kJ, erratic switch output and spontaneous triggering above 7 kV demanded that final data collection be conducted at 6.5 kV, which limited total stored energy to 35 kJ. As the TVS-40 switches are rated beyond these limits, a documented trigger rejuvenation procedure may restore them to improved functionality [6]. The oscilloscope current traces in Appendix E clearly identify both uneven current peaking and pulse decay rates from the two capacitors attributed to uneven coupling across the TVS-40 switches.

B. REDESIGNED POWER SUPPLY

The Naval Postgraduate School Physics Department has invested in ten new General Atomics capacitors with the same catalog number and ratings as the Maxwell Laboratories pair used for testing. Where testing for this research was limited to 35 kJ, incorporating the present and new capacitors into a multiple module system will provide a maximum stored energy capacity of 600 kJ. The older capacitors have been cycled at high voltages since at least June of 1999 and might be contributing to uneven power sharing through the TVS-40 switches. In addition to investigating switch refurbishment, a comparison of output current profiles using a pair of the new capacitors within existing power supply would indicate whether the the irregular discharge can be solely attributed to the TVS-40 switches.

In addition to the new capacitors, two new high current Titan ST-300A high action spark gap switches and associated triggering apparatus have been purchased. The Titan switches are rated for 600 kA peak current and 55 kV peak voltage and will permit a single switch to control the output of a module pair of capacitors.

47 Figures and 48 of Appendix D demonstrate а practical four module ripple fired circuit designed to maintain an average 280 kA current pulse for 0.67 ms, which should accelerate an 11.4 gram armature to 1500 m/s over for the 50 CM rail length the slotted, augmented 19). The configuration (See Table model circuit incorporates a 1 m-Ohm muzzle shunt resistor for a first look at the dynamics which occur as the armature breaks electrical contact with the muzzle. This model requires that each module be charged to near capacity at 10 kV, and incorporates optimized delay times and series inductors. Achieving the effective rise time and peak current required to overcome the static friction of a tight interference fit requires firing the first two modules simultaneously. Such a fit is critical to maintaining the solid armature to rail interface necessary to delay transition to arcing and to prevent rail damage from intermittent armature caroming within the bore.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

IV. DESIGN VERIFICATION

A. PARAMETER MODEL

On May 6, 2004, Dr. Mark Crawford, Pulsed Power and Electromagnetic Launch Team Leader from IAT, presented a colloquium lecture to the Naval Postgraduate School Physics Department [7]. The dissertation outlined a top level parameter-based approach to designing a basic railgun system. The applicable thumb-rules are based on simplifying assumptions such as a symmetric acceleration profile which allows identifying both average and peak accelerations for conservative modeling of velocity performance, rail geometry, electrical action, and rail Appendix C applies this parameter-based containment. approach to the four physical configurations, solid nonaugmented, slotted non-augmented, solid augmented, and slotted augmented, and to a range of energy inputs as a basis of comparison to other modeling techniques in order to validate containment bolt sizing, and to correlate average current to final velocity.

P-Spice circuit model predictions in Appendix D for the average current required to reach 1500 m/s over the 50 cm effective railgun length are based on the average required current calculated from the parameter-based model. The experimental results from the solid augmented and slotted augmented experimental shots are also inputted into the parameter model (Tables 21 and 21) for comparison. The parameter model predicts that a final armature velocity of 1500 m/s requires a peak current of nearly 500 kA for the solid, non-augmented configuration as detailed in Table 16. Therefore, 500 kA is used to assess containment deflection,

and bolt diameter and spacing in Section C below. A final application of the parameter model uses bolt diameter and yield strength to predict the maximum current of 355 kA, and maximum final velocity of 1085 m/s which can be achieved on the railgun test platform with Grade 2 stainless 3/8" bolts, per Table 21.

B. CONSERVATION OF ENERGY CIRCUIT MODEL

In order to evaluate experimental results and estimate velocity performance for an effective rail length of 50 cm, a simplified circuit model was developed for a single module capacitive stored energy power supply. Appendix C details the process which applies conservation of energy principles to Kirchhoff's Voltage law, coupling inductive energy transfer to projectile kinetic energy via Lorentz force parameters. In the following equation, F is the Lorentz force accelerating the armature, m is the armature mass, dv/dt is armature acceleration, L' is the inductive gradient of the rails, and I is the time dependant value of current.

$$F = m\frac{dv}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}L'I^2$$

The model neglects frictional losses and relies on several simplifying assumptions including assuming that the total system inductance L is much larger than the product of L' and rail length x. The model also assumes that the total effective system resistance R is much larger than the resistance R'x, where R' is the rail resistance per unit length. In both cases, L and R are verified experimentally to be an order of magnitude larger then L'x and R'x for the 60 cm test platform. L is calculated based on the rise time to peak current in a discharge cycle, measured by oscilloscope at 150 μ s. The following equation for the period of oscillation T demonstrates how inductance can be solved based on the known capacitance C of 1.66 mF.

$$T = 4\Delta t_{rise} = 2\pi\sqrt{LC}$$

In order to simplify the model to a purely inductive energy transfer between the total system inductance and the railgun, the capacitive stored energy is eliminated from the final expression by neglecting the initial 150 μ s of current ramping up to its peak value. The increase in armature velocity during the rise time is small. The time dependent expression for current is an exponentially decaying waveform:

$$I(t) = I_o \exp^{\left(\frac{-Rt}{L}\right)}$$

where the peak current I_{\circ} is determined by:

$$I_0 = \left(\frac{C}{L}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} V_o$$

 V_{\circ} is the initial state of capacitor voltage which for my experimental data runs was 6500 Volts. The resulting expression provides for a separable differential equation for rail length as a function of velocity [4].

$$v\frac{dv}{dx} + \frac{2Rv}{L} + \frac{L'v^2}{L} = \frac{L'I_o^2}{2m}$$

An integral table gives the expression including the integration constant D.

$$\int dx = \frac{1}{2a} \ln\left(av^2 + bv + c\right) - \frac{b}{2a} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}} \ln\left(\frac{2av + b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2av + b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}\right)\right] + D$$

The circuit parameters which comprise factors a ,b , and c , are defined below.

$$a = \frac{-L'}{L} \qquad \qquad b = \frac{-2R}{L} \qquad \qquad c = \frac{\left\lfloor L'\left(\frac{1}{2}CV_o^2\right)\right\rfloor}{(mL)}$$

The integration constant D scales the solution such that zero velocity corresponds to a zero length railgun. The actual values used for each variable are included in Tables 22-26 of Appendix C.

Table 25 gives the integration for parameters associated with the slotted augmented rail configuration, and predicts a final velocity of 293 m/s corresponding to the 50 cm effective rail length, and total stored energy of 35 kJ. I have neglected the minimal projectile velocity which exists when $I = I_o$, as well as losses due to friction between the rails and armature, the effects of which compensate for each other to some extent.

C. STRUCTURAL DESIGN

The 24" railgun containment halves are clamped by a total of 22 Grade 2 stainless hex-head steel bolts of 3/8" diameter, rated by the vendor at 57 ksi in accordance with the SAE J420 1985 abstract [8]. The bolts are longitudinally spaced at 2" intervals down the length of the containment beginning 1" from either end.

Conservative static modeling assumptions were applied to assess the overall containment design in terms of rail deflection, bolt spacing and diameter. From the solid nonaugmented configuration and the 500 kA peak current predicted in Table 16 of Appendix C, rail repulsion force per unit length, p, is calculated by using the following equation.

$$p = \frac{F}{x} = \frac{\mu_o I^2}{2\pi d} = \frac{\left(4\pi \bullet 10^{-7}\right) \left(500kA\right)^2}{\left(2\pi \bullet 0.0286\right)} \approx 1.75 \frac{MN}{m} \approx 9983 \frac{lb_f}{in}$$

In the previous equation, F is the rail repulsion force, x is the total rail length, μ_0 is the permeability constant, I is peak current, and d is the length in meters between rail centerlines considering the rail liner and primary rail as a single solid conductor.

Two specific structural design objectives are investigated.

Maximum rail deflection must be limited to less than 0.0001 inches,

Under worst case loading, the containment bolts must not exceed their static yield strength.

A 2-D model of the distributed longitudinal rail repulsion force between any two consecutive bolt pairs is represented by the fixed-end beam model in Figure 11.



Figure 11. Fixed End Distributed Load Beam Model [After Ref. 9]

Maximum deflection, y_{max} , occurs at the midpoint between bolts spaced at a distance L, of 2". E is the modulus of elasticity, and I is the moment of inertia based on the beam cross-section. Appendix C, Section C, demonstrates the method used to simplify the composite materials and geometry into a single representative, homogenous beam in order to determine maximum deflection. For 9983 lbf/in loading, the calculated deflection is less than 0.00002 inches, confirming adequate containment stiffness.

The validity of the previous deflection calculation depends on achieving the fixed boundary conditions of no slope and no deflection based on bolt loading conditions. Here I consider the total rail length, x = 24", and the total of 22 bolts of 3/8" diameter to determine the maximum load per unit length (p_{max}) achievable at the bolt Yield Strength (YS) threshold of 57 ksi.

$$p_{\max} = \frac{\#bolts \bullet A_{bolt} \bullet YS}{x} = \frac{\left(22*0.1104in^2 \bullet 57,000\frac{lbf}{in^2}\right)}{24in} \approx 5770\frac{lbf}{in} < 9983\frac{lbf}{in}$$

The maximum sustainable load of 5770 lbf/in is less than that which results from the 500 kA peak current condition corresponding to a 1500 m/s exist velocity for the solid non-augmented configuration. As such, $p_{\rm max}$ is used to determine the actual peak current capacity to inform follow on testing. Converting 5770 *lbf/in* to metric units yields approximately 1.01 *MN/m*.

$$I_{\max} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi d \cdot p_{\max}}{\mu_o}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{2\pi \cdot 0.0286m \cdot 1.01\frac{MN}{m}}{4\pi \cdot 10^{-7}\frac{N}{A^2}}} \approx 380kA$$

The resulting calculation shows that the present containment design is capable of maintaining bolt loading below yield strength up to a maximum current of 380 kA. Based on parameter modeling in Table 22, this peak load capacity correlates with the alternative method of rail repulsion force and bore height to calculate the force per unit length. Table 22 indicates that the Grade 2 bolt yield strength threshold is achieved at 355 kA, correlating to a final velocity of about 1085 m/s. Therefore, in order to achieve the no-yield requirement at 500 kA, the grade 2 stainless bolts must be upgraded to grade 8. The ACF Components vendor quotes grade 8 hex head bolts at a yield strength of 130,000 ksi [8].

$$p_{\max} = \frac{\#bolts \bullet A_{bolt} \bullet YS}{x} = \frac{\left(22 * 0.1104in^2 \bullet 130\frac{kip}{in^2}\right)}{24in} \approx 13,156\frac{lbf}{in} > 9983\frac{lbf}{in}$$

The grade 2 hardware currently in use will suffice until considerable additional stored energy is integrated into the pulsed power supply. All containment modeling is based on conservative static loading rather than the actual dynamic loading which occurs during firing. The previous design verification methods demonstrate an adequate containment such that future efforts to improve bore tolerance should concentrate on deficiencies in the rail liner surface finish rather than the overall structural design.

V. RESULTS

A. SHOT DIAGNOSTICS

Shot	Configuration	Ľ	System	Voltage	Initial	Final	Input Energy	I _{peak}	Velocity	KE	Efficiency
		(uH/m)	L (μΗ)	(V)	Mass(g)	Mass(g)	(KJ)	(k-Amps)	(m/s)	(J)	
1	solid, non-aug	0.3037	5	8000	11	10.2	53	N/A	246	332.8	0.63%
2	solid, non-aug	0.3037	2.5	6500	11.4	10.6	35	110	168	160.9	0.46%
3	solid, non-aug	0.3037	5.5	6500	11.4	11	35	97.8	105	62.8	0.18%
4	slot, non-aug	0.4405	5.5	6500	11.4	10.9	35	88.0	117	78.0	0.22%
5	solid, aug	0.4707	5.5	6500	11.2	10.6	35	95.0	265	393.3	1.12%
6	slotted, aug	0.6828	5.5	6500	11.4	11.2	35	91.4	294	492.7	1.41%
7	slotted, aug	0.6828	5.5	6500	11.4	11.1	35	88.9	286	466.2	1.33%

Table 3 lists the experimental results.

Table 3. Experimental Data Results

Shots 3-7 were all conducted with the same series inductor and initial capacitor charge of 6.5 kV in order to Shot 1 was taken with a compare each configuration. capacitor charge of 8 kV and a 5 μ H total system inductance. This 8 kV shot produced two in a longer series of testing delays caused by the failure of components within the pulsed power supply. On this shot in particular, the series inductor solid copper cable lead separated from the cable run. Also, the forces squeezing the series inductor coils together axially ruptured the rubber insulating sheath and rendered the line unusable. The peak current value for the 8 kV shot was unreadable due to over-ranging the oscilloscope settings. After the 8 kV shot, the TVS-40 switches began to spontaneously trigger when charged up to 7 kV, ultimately demanding that the data runs be limited to 6.5 kV. Prior to re-introducing a new series inductor, a new sheathed cable run was threaded through a 7/8" inner diameter rubber hose to prevent a similar rupture, and new cable leads were fabricated.

The 2.5 μ H inductance listed for shot 2 represents the total system inductance with no additional series inductor. Although the resultant velocity of 168 m/s surpassed all other subsequent non-augmented shots which did incorporate a series inductor, the higher current peaking resulted in one TVS-40 switch failing completely. Upon obtaining a replacement switch, a 3 μ H series inductor was used for all further testing in order to avoid over-stressing the system while permitting consistent test parameters for all shooting configurations.

The remaining experimental firings, shots 3-7 of Table 3, were conducted at 6.5 kV with a total system inductance of 5.5 μ H. Although statistically insignificant for the single point sampling, the resultant velocities demonstrate a trend consistent with each improvement in the inductance gradient, ranging from 105 m/s for the solid non-augmented configuration to an average of 290 m/s for the two slotted augmented shots.

The respective gain factors for slotted geometry, series augmentation, and their combined totals as predicted by the L' and magnetic field models detailed in Appendix C are compared to the experimental gain in Table 4. The experimental gain factors are determined by the following ratios.

$$\frac{m_{slotted}v_{slotted}^2}{m_{solid}v_{solid}^2} = Gain_{geometry} \qquad \frac{m_{aug}v_{aug}^2}{m_{non-aug}v_{non-aug}^2} = Gain_{aug}$$

For all cases other than solid augmented, the initial mass is 11.4 grams and cancels leaving a ratio of the square of the final velocities. The augmented gain factor

is an average of the gains calculated for both the slotted and solid rail geometries. The lower than expected velocities for the non-augmented configurations in shots 3 and 4, suggest that given only 35 kJ of stored energy and fields without augmentation, diminished magnetic the accelerating force is near the threshold of overcoming static friction. Shot 2 for the solid non-augmented configuration with no series inductor produced a final velocity closer to the value expected by the conservation of energy model in Table 23. Although data for a slotted non-augmented shot without a series inductor is not available at this time, the experimentally determined gain factors in Table 4 marked with an asterisk (*) use the 168 m/s velocity result of shot 2.

Gain Factors	L' Geometry Modeling	Magnetic Field Modeling	Experimental Results (mv ²)
Series Augmentation	1.55	1.66	6.26 (* 2.49)
Slotted Geometry	1.45	1.5	1.22
Total Gain	2.25	2.49	7.63 (* 2.98)

Table 4. Predicted vs. Experimental Gain Factors

There is close agreement between gain factors produced by the two respective modeling techniques. Due to the limited data runs, the experimental gain factors are unreliable and deviate from the models. In all cases, both the augmentation and the slotted geometry resulted in improvements in final velocity.

Additional shots which were performed prior to operational velocity diagnostics suggest that the lower velocity results from shots 3 and 4 may have been the result of insufficient power to overcome static friction. During two early shots at the 35 kJ level, using a 22.5 μ H series inductor intended to match the current pulse length

to the total rail length, the armature in one case did not break static friction at all, and in another traveled only 3 inches down the barrel.

Significant enhancement of the stored energy supply is necessary to generate valid experimental results for comparison to the idealized models which neglect frictional Furthermore, the moderately loose interference fit losses. between the armature and bore used in these tests is entirely inadequate for maintaining effective electrical contact at higher velocity regimes. When the pulsed power supply is adequately hardened to permit extracting stored energy near the capacity of individual modules, and when multiple modules contribute to building an adequate current waveform, the loader mechanism can be used to provide an appropriately tight interference fit. The consistency of this fit along the bore length as indicated by the torque required to manually advance a test round, and the use of a torque wrench on the loading mechanism may be critical to establishing conditions necessary to validate gain factors experimentally.

The parameter based modeling in Appendix C predicts no violations of generally accepted thresholds such as rail heating and linear current density for all configurations when the muzzle velocity is 1500 m/s. The peak current, parameter based calculations for the minimum adequate bolt diameter are in close agreement with the calculations performed using classic beam bending analysis. Both methods indicate that the Grade 2 bolt will reach their yield strength threshold between 335 and 380 kA, with the resulting exit velocity ranging from 1085-1150 m/s.

The conservation of energy model prediction of 293 m/s velocity for the slotted augmented configuration with 35 kJ of stored energy compares with the average experimental velocity of 290 m/s. The conservation of energy model was also evaluated to predict the maximum velocity which could be achieved by a single module of two capacitors charged to 10 kV, which corresponds to 83 kJ of stored energy. The resultant velocity for the 50 cm effective rail length is 495 m/s.

The current traces in Appendix E from the experimental shots indicate that the magnitude of current (I) is small as the projectile exits the gun. A total system resistance of 3.3 m-Ohm has been used for all simulations. The power supply resistance was measured to be 3 m-Ohm and the rail resistance was calculated to be 0.3 m-Ohm from the resistivity and geometry of the copper conductors within the railgun assembly from input to output leads. R/L' is calculated for each shot in Table 5. The R/L' ratio is calculated by the following equation where each of the terms is defined in Table 5.

$$\frac{R}{L'} = \frac{1}{2mv} \left(W_o - KE \right)$$

Shots 1-2, and 5-7 support the model parameter of 3.3 m-Ohms of total system resistance. The two low velocity non-augmented results for shots 3 and 4 are outliers at 4.44 and 5.78 m-Ohms respectively, suggesting additional frictional losses.

Shot	Configuration	Ľ	Armature	Input Energy	Velocity	Kinetic Energy	R/L'	R
		(uH/m)	Mass(g)	W _o (KJ)	(m/s)	KE (J)	(Ohm-m/H)	(m-Ohm)
1	solid, non-aug	0.3037	11	53	246	332.8	9793	2.97
2	solid, non-aug	0.3037	11.4	35	168	160.9	9137	2.77
3	solid, non-aug	0.3037	11.4	35	105	62.8	14620	4.44
4	slot, non-aug	0.4405	11.4	35	117	78.0	13120	5.78
5	solid, aug	0.4707	11.2	35	265	393.3	5896	2.78
6	slotted, aug	0.6828	11.4	35	294	492.7	5221	3.57
7	slotted, aug	0.6828	11.4	35	286	466.2	5367	3.66

Table 5. Total System Resistance and R/L' Results

Appendix F includes photographs of typical rail, insulator, and armature wear. Every shot resulted in a thin coating of melted aluminum deposited along the rail length. Gaps in the presence of the coating correlated to the localized damage in the chromium copper rail material suggesting specific locations where arcing developed between the armature and rail. Micrometer measurements of the as-fabricated 3/4" square Aluminum 6063 armatures measure at 0.748" where the same measurements for the ceramic insulator thickness hold the tighter tolerance of 0.750" +/- 0.0001 along the entire length. Although these dimensions suggest an ideal fit, the surface finish in the bore region of the rail liner is accomplished by 400 grit belt sanding followed by 600 grit hand sanding. Hand feeding of the armatures down the bore length indicates alternating regions of binding and slipping. As a result, the final loose sliding fit was accomplished by polishing the outer armature faces. The volume of material removed by this polishing was significant: all of the as-fabricated armatures had an initial mass of 11.6 grams but the typical final armature launch mass was 11.4 grams. In general, the more material removed from the armature during polishing to provide a working fit, the more rail damage observed postfiring due to caroming of the round back and forth between the rails during launch. The extreme variation in electrical contact during launch which results from such a poor fit contributed to the rail damage as demonstrated by localized blackened aluminum and copper regions where arcing likely occurred.

In one shot, the results of which are not included in Table 3 due to occurring prior to effective diagnostics, the as-fabricated armature provided a working fit without polishing. This particular shot produced an even aluminum coating down the entire rail length with no visible damage to the underlying rail liner. Inspections of the spent armatures reveal that the highest velocity shots experience the least loss of armature mass, and the least deformation of the trailing arms. Root radius wear for the augmented higher velocity shots was grainy but retained the aluminum metallic tone whereas the root radius of the non-augmented shots was obscured by blackened deposits. Although the current levels experienced in this testing are far less than the 900 kA threshold for root radius melting observed by Francis Stefani and Trevor Watt for a 40 mm square bore railgun, visual inspection of the spent armatures suggest that the onset may occur at significantly lower currents for this small bore test platform [13].

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

VI. CONCLUSION

A. PERFORMANCE SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

improved velocity corresponding The trend of to engineered inductance gradients, and qualitative agreement between alternative modeling approaches indicates that there are no immediate impediments to scaling the stored energy supply in order to experiment with higher velocity regimes on this railgun test platform. However, incremental advances are recommended in order to allow the pulsed power supply development of components and diagnostics. Before moving to multi-module pulsed energy configurations, fully harnessing the stored energy of a single module must be demonstrated. As previously discussed, a 10 kV charge corresponding to a total stored energy of 83 kJ should produce nearly 500 m/s. Concurrent with fully utilizing a single module, the armatures can be loaded into a mid-bore position, reducing the effective rail length to an appropriate value such as 25 cm in order to investigate behavior when there is significant current as the armature exits the rails. This would provide the opportunity to experiment with a muzzle shunt present.

The present method of connecting the series inductor welding cable directly to the railgun conductor leads must be improved by the addition of fixed manifolds which decouple the physical stress of the inductor from the railgun itself. Such a fixed manifold could then be directly coupled to the railgun supply and return conductors via a solid copper bus-bar.

The basic mechanical containment is sound for scaling to at least 1085 m/s using Grade 2 stainless bolts.

Upgrading to Grade 8 stainless steel bolts permits scaling above 1500 m/s for all configurations. However, the likely weak points related to the mechanical design are the braised conductor threaded and connections where the augmenting rails connect to the containment penetrating conductor rods used for augmented operation, as demonstrated in Figure 12.



Figure 12. Augmented Rail to Conductor Threaded and Braised Joint

adequate stored energy becomes available, As in addition to targeting increases in the degree of interference fit, incorporating a bore rider in front of the armature either attached or as an independent projectile load may help both seal the bore in front of the armature to prevent blowing by of the liquid interface layer, and stabilize the armature ride within the bore, preventing the damage due to caroming which currently exists.

A variety of armature geometries, pictured in Figure 13, have been fabricated to provide options for improving

the elastic response in the trailing arms in order to maintain solid to solid electrical contact with the rails.



Armature Geometry Alternatives

Figure 13. Armature Geometry Alternatives (Appendix B)

B. MATERIALS PROCESSING METHODS

Anticipating the maturation of the power supply, preparations for the first application of the railgun test platform have been initiated. A collaboration between Lawrence Livermore (LLNL) and Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) is underway in order to conduct in-bore testing of laser peened [13], ion-beam surface treated [14], and untreated rail liner samples for the chromium copper, phosphor bronze, copper tungsten, and aluminum 7075 alloys discussed in Table 2.

Timothy Renk, Project Leader for Materials Sandia's Applications of Ion at Materials Beams Modification Laboratory, has performed ion beam surface treatments on pairs of each of these materials. Tania Zaleski, Project Leader for Laser Peening at LLNL, has conducted preliminary micro-hardness testing on each material treated by a range of laser parameters in order to determine the optimal parameters to be used on the full rail liners. Following completion of the rail liner peening, and nano-hardness testing on the ion beam treated samples, LCDR Paul Clifford, USN, will conduct a series of shots at the Naval Postgraduate School in order to assess the suitability of these processes for enhancing rail life over untreated liner materials.

APPENDIX A. MATERIAL PROPERTY DATA SHEETS

MatWeb Data Sheet Date: 7/12/2005									
Chromium Copper, UNS C18200, TH04 Temper flat products, aged									
KeyWords: CDA 182, CC101, ISO CuCr1, CEN CW105C, A2/1									
SubCat: Copper Alloy, Nonferrous Metal, Metal									
Material Notes: Good to excellent corrosion resistance. Excellent cold workability; good hot									
Applications: resistance welding electrodes, seam welding wheels, switch gear, electrode									
Available as flat products, wire, rod, tube, and shapes.									
Component	Value	Min	Max						
Chromium, Cr		0.6	1.2						
Copper, Cu	99.1								
Iron, Fe			0.1						
Lead, Pb			0.05						
Silicon, Si			0.1						
Properties	Value	Min	Max	Comment					
Physical									
Density, g/cc	8.89			at 20°C (68°F)					
Mechanical									
Hardness, Rockwell B	79								
Tensile Strength, Ultimate, MPa	460								
Tensile Strength, Yield, MPa	405								
Elongation at Break, %	14			In 50 mm					
Modulus of Elasticity, GPa	130								
Poissons Ratio	0.3								
				UNS C36000 (free-cutting					
Machinability, %	20			brass) = 100%					
Shear Modulus, GPa	50								
Electrical									
Electrical Resistivity, ohm-cm	2.16E-06			at 20°C (68°F)					
Thermal									
CTE, linear 20°C, μm/m-°C	17.6			from 20-100°C (68-212°F)					
Heat Capacity, J/g-°C	0.385								
Thermal Conductivity, W/m-K	171			TB00 temper at 20°C (68°F)					
Melting Point, °C		1070	1075						
Solidus, °C	1070								
Liquidus, °C	1075								
Processing									
				For 10-30 minutes, water					
Solution Temperature, °C		980	1000	quench					
Aging Temperature, °C		425	500	For 2-4 hours					
Hot-Working Temperature, °C		800	925						

Rail liner: Chromium Copper UNS C18200, TH04

Table 6.Chromium Copper Rail Liner Material Properties [After Ref. 2]

MatWeb Data Sheet Date: 7/12/2005											
Oxygen-free Electronic Coppe	Dxygen-free Electronic Copper (OFE), UNS C10100, H04 Temper, flat products										
KeyWords: BS C110, C103, ISO Cu-	OFE, CEN	CW009A,	oxygen-	free high conductivity copper (OFHC), CDA 101							
SubCat: Copper Alloy, Nonferrous Met	al, Wrought	Copper,	Metal								
Material Notes: Flat test specimens, 1	Imm and 6r	nm thick,	H04 tem	per.							
Applications: busbars, bus conductors	s, waveguid	es, hollow	/ conduct	tors, lead-in wires and anodes for vacuum tubes,							
Processing: Excellent hot and cold wo	rkability; go	od forgea	bility. Fal	bricated by bending, coining, coppersmithing,							
Corrosion Resistance: Good to excel	lent. Suscep	otible to g	alvanic c	orrosion when coupled with iron, aluminum,							
Component	Value	Min	Max								
Copper, Cu		99.99									
Properties	Value	Min	Max	Comment							
Physical											
Density, g/cc	8.94	-		at 20°C (68°F)							
Mechanical											
Hardness, Rockwell B	50										
Hardness, Rockwell F	90										
Hardness, HR30T	57			1mm thick flat specimen							
Tensile Strength, Ultimate, MPa	345										
Tensile Strength, Yield, MPa	310			0.5% extension							
Elongation at Break, %	6			1mm thick flat specimen							
Elongation at Break, %	12			6 mm specimen.							
Modulus of Elasticity, GPa	115										
Poissons Ratio	0.31										
Fatigue Strength, MPa	90			1E+09 cycles, 1 mm thick flat test specimen.							
Machinability, %	20			UNS C36000 (free-cutting brass) = 100%							
Shear Modulus, GPa	44										
Shear Strength, MPa	195										
Electrical											
Electrical Resistivity, ohm-cm	1.71E-06			at 20° C (68°F)							
Thermal											
CTE, linear 20°C, µm/m-°C	17			from 20-100°C (68-212°F)							
CTE, linear 100°C, μm/m-°C	17.3			from 20-200°C (68-390°F)							
CTE, linear 250°C, μm/m-°C	17.7			from 20-300°C (68-570°F)							
Heat Capacity, J/g-°C	0.385	-		at 20°C (68°F)							
Thermal Conductivity, W/m-K	391	-		at 20°C (68°F)							
Melting Point, °C	1083										
Processing											
Annealing Temperature, °C		375	650								
Hot-Working Temperature, °C		750	875								
Recrystallization Temperature, °C	18.3			C37700 (forging brass) = 100%							

	Main	conductor	rails:	OFE	Copper	C10100,	H04
--	------	-----------	--------	-----	--------	---------	-----

Table 7.Oxygen Free Copper Rail Liner MaterialProperties [After Ref. 2]

MatWeb Data Sheet Date: 7/12/2005								
Phosphor bronze 5% Sn, UNS C	Phosphor bronze 5% Sn, UNS C51000, H06 Temper flat products							
KeyWords: CDA 510, PB102, ISO CuS	n5			-				
SubCat: Copper Alloy, Nonferrous Metal	l, Bronze, Me	tal						
Material Notes: Good to excellent corro	sion resistan	ce. Excel	lent cold	workability. Fabricated by blanking,				
Applications: bellows, bourdon tubing, o	clutch discs, c	cotter pins	s, diaphra	agms, fasteners, lock washers, wire				
brushes, chemical hardware, textile mac	hinery, weldir	ng rod.	-	-				
Trace content of Phosphorus.								
Test specimen: flat products - 1mm								
Component	Value	Min	Max					
Copper, Cu		93.6	95.6					
Iron, Fe			0.1					
Phosphorous, P		0.03	0.35					
Lead, Pb			0.05					
Tin, Sn		4.2	5.8					
Zinc, Zn			0.3					
Properties	Value	Min	Max	Comment				
Physical								
Density, g/cc	8.86			at 20°C (68°F)				
Mechanical								
Hardness, Rockwell B	93							
Tensile Strength, Ultimate, MPa	535							
Tensile Strength, Yield, MPa	550			0.5% extension under load				
Elongation at Break, %	6			In 50 mm				
Modulus of Elasticity, GPa	110							
Poissons Ratio	0.341							
Fatigue Strength, MPa	205			At 10^8 cycles, 1 mm strip				
Machinability, %	20			UNS C36000 (free-cutting brass) = 100%				
Shear Modulus, GPa	41							
Electrical								
Electrical Resistivity, ohm-cm	8.70E-06			at 20°C (68°F)				
Thermal								
CTE, linear 250°C, µm/m-°C	17.8			from 20-300°C (68-570°F)				
Heat Capacity, J/g-°C	0.38							
Thermal Conductivity, W/m-K	84			at 20°C (68°F)				
Melting Point, °C		975	1060					
Solidus, °C	975							
Liquidus, °C	1060							
Processing								
Annealing Temperature, °C		475	675					

Rail liner: Phosphor bronze C51000, H06

Table 8.

Phosphor Bronze Rail Liner Material Properties [After Ref. 2]

Rail	liner:	CW	75	Class	11	25%Copper	75%Tungsten
------	--------	----	----	-------	----	-----------	-------------

MatWeb Data Sheet Date: 7/12/2005									
CMW ELKONITE® 10W3 (Copper Tungsten) RWMA Class 11									
SubCat: Metal Matrix Composite, Copper Alloy,	Fungsten Allo	oy, Nonfe	errous M	etal, Metal					
Material Notes: Electrical contacts resistant to a	rcing, power	transfor	mer swit	ches, resistance /					
projection welding electrodes, and EDM electrode	es								
Information provided by CMW Inc.									
Component	Value	Min	Max						
Copper, Cu	25								
Tungsten, W	75								
Properties	Value	Min	Max	Comment					
Physical									
Density, g/cc	14.84								
Mechanical									
Hardness, Rockwell B	98								
Flexural Modulus, GPa	1.03								
Electrical									
Electrical Resistivity, ohm-cm	3.83E-06			(45% IACS)					
Thermal									
Thermal Conductivity, W/m-K	220								
Melting Point, °C		1085	3410						
Solidus, °C	1085								
Liquidus, °C	3410								

Table 9. Copper Tungsten Rail Liner Properties [After Ref. 2]

MatWeb Data Sheet				Date: 7/12/2005
Aluminum 7075-T6; 7075-T651				
Material Notes: General 7075 characteristi structural parts. The T7351 temper offers in	cs and uses	(from Alco ss-corrosic	a): Very h	igh strength material used for highly stressed g resistance.
Applications: Aircraft fittings, gears and sh	afts, fuse pa	rts, meter	shafts and	d gears, missile parts, regulating valve parts, worm
Data points with the AA note have been pro	vided by the			ion Inc. and are NOT FOR DESIGN
Component	Value	Min	Max	
	value	87.1	01 /	
Chromium Cr		07.1	0.28	
Copper Cu		1.2	0.20	
Iron Fe		1.2	0.5	
Magnesium Mg		21	2.0	
Manganese Mn		2.1	0.3	
Silicon Si			0.0	
Titanium Ti			0.4	
Zinc Zn		51	6.1	
Properties	Value	Min	Max	Comment
Physical	Tuluo		max	
Density, g/cc	2.81			AA [,] Typical
Mechanical	2.0.			
Hardness Brinell	150			AA: Typical: 500 g load: 10 mm ball
Hardness, Knoop	191			Converted from Brinell Hardness Value
Hardness, Rockwell A	53.5			Converted from Brinell Hardness Value
Hardness, Rockwell B	87			Converted from Brinell Hardness Value
Hardness, Vickers	175			Converted from Brinell Hardness Value
Ultimate Tensile Strength, MPa	572			AA: Typical
Tensile Yield Strength, MPa	503			AA; Typical
Elongation at Break, %	11			AA; Typical; 1/16 in. (1.6 mm) Thickness
Elongation at Break, %	11			AA; Typical; 1/2 in. (12.7 mm) Diameter
Modulus of Elasticity, GPa	71.7			AA; Typical; Average of tension and compression. Compression modulus is about 2% greater than tensile modulus.
Poissons Ratio	0.33			
Fatique Strength, MPa	159			AA; 500,000,000 cycles completely reversed stress; RR Moore machine/specimen
Fracture Toughness, MPa-m ¹ / ₂	29			K(IC) in L-T Direction
Fracture Toughness, MPa-m ¹ / ₂	20			K(IC) in S-L Direction
Fracture Toughness, MPa-m ¹ / ₂	25			K(IC) in T-L Direction
Machinability, %	70			0-100 Scale of Aluminum Alloys
Shear Modulus, GPa	26.9			
Shear Strength, MPa	331			AA; Typical
Electrical				
Electrical Resistivity, ohm-cm	5.15E-06			AA; Typical at 68°F
Thermal				
CTE, linear 68°F, µm/m-°C	23.6			AA; Typical; Average over 68-212°F range.
CTE, linear 250°C, µm/m-°C	25.2			Average over the range 20-300°C
Heat Capacity, J/g-°C	0.96			
Thermal Conductivity, W/m-K	130			AA; Typical at 77°F
Melting Point, °C		477	635	AA; Typical
Solidus, °C	477			AA; Typical
Liquidus, °C	635			AA; Typical

Rail liner: Aluminum 7075-T651

Table 10. Aluminum 7075 T-651 Rail Liner Material Properties [After Ref. 2]

MatWeb Data Sheet Date: 7/12/2005											
Aluminum 6063-T5 UNS AS	96063; IS	O AIM	q0.5Si;	Aluminium 6063-T5; AA6063-T5							
KeyWords: UNS A96063; ISO AIM	KeyWords: UNS A96063; ISO AlMg0.5Si; Aluminium 6063-T5; AA6063-T5										
SubCat: Aluminum Alloy, Nonferro	SubCat: Aluminum Alloy, Nonferrous Metal, 6000 Series Aluminum Alloy, Metal										
Material Notes: Data points with th	Aterial Notes: Data points with the AA note have been provided by the Aluminum Association. Inc. and are										
Component	Value	Min	Max								
Aluminum, Al			97.5								
Chromium, Cr			0.1								
Copper, Cu			0.1								
Iron, Fe			0.35								
Magnesium, Mg		0.45	0.9								
Manganese, Mn			0.1								
Silicon, Si		0.2	0.6								
Titanium, Ti			0.1								
Zinc, Zn			0.1								
Properties	Value	Min	Max	Comment							
Physical											
Density, g/cc	2.7			AA; Typical							
Mechanical											
Hardness, Brinell	60			AA; Typical; 500 g load; 10 mm ball							
Hardness, Knoop	83			Converted from Brinell Hardness Value							
Hardness, Vickers	70			Converted from Brinell Hardness Value							
Ultimate Tensile Strength, MPa	186			AA; Typical							
Tensile Yield Strength, MPa	145			AA; Typical							
Elongation at Break, %	12			AA; Typical; 1/16 in. (1.6 mm) Thickness							
				AA; Typical; Average of tension and							
				compression. Compression modulus is about							
Modulus of Elasticity, GPa	68.9			2% greater than tensile modulus.							
Poissons Ratio	0.33										
				AA; 500,000,000 cycles completely reversed							
Fatigue Strength, MPa	68.9			stress; RR Moore machine/specimen							
Shear Modulus, GPa	25.8										
Shear Strength, MPa	117			AA; Typical							
Electrical											
Electrical Resistivity, ohm-cm	3.16E-06			AA; Typical at 68°F							
Thermal											
CTE, linear 68°F, μm/m-°C	23.4			AA; Typical; Average over 68-212°F range.							
CTE, linear 250°C, µm/m-°C	25.6			Average over the range 20-300°C							
Heat Capacity, J/g-°C	0.9		-								
Thermal Conductivity, W/m-K	209		-	AA; Typical at 77°F							
				AA; Typical range based on typical composition							
				for wrought products 1/4 inch thickness or							
Melting Point, °C		616	654	greater							
Solidus, °C	616			AA; Typical							
Liquidus, °C	654		-	AA; Typical							
Processing											
Annealing Temperature, °C	413			hold at temperature for 2 to 3 hr; cool at 50 °F							
Solution Temperature, °C	521										
Aging Temperature, °C	204			hold at temperature for 1 hr							
Aging Temperature, °C	182			hold at temperature for 1 hr							

Armature: Aluminum 6063-T5

Table 11.Aluminum 6063 T-5 Armature Material Properties [After Ref. 2]

Containment:	G-11	FR-5	Glass-reinforced	ероху

Glass reinforced, high temperature epoxy, laminate Tensile Strength lengthwise, PSI 40,000 crosswise, PSI 35,000 Compressive Strength 60,000 edgewise, PSI 60,000 edgewise, PSI 60,000 edgewise, PSI 60,000 edgewise, PSI 55,000 crosswise, PSI 45,000 Modulus of Elasticity in flex 19,000 IZOD Impact 19,000 IZOD Impact 19,000 IZOD Impact 110 Specific Gravity 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion 0.9 Water Absorption .062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.25 .125" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil 0.62" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 perpendicular to laminations; short .062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dielectric Constant .062" thick, 400 0 .125" thick 400 0 Dissipation Factor .062" thick 5.2 Dielectric Constant .062"	G-11 NEMA Grade FR5				
Tensile Strength lengthwise, PSI 40,000 crosswise, PSI 35,000 Compressive Strength flatwise, PSI 60,000 edgewise, PSI 35,000 Flexural Strength lengthwise, PSI 55,000 Crosswise, PSI 55,000 crosswise, PSI 45,000 Modulus of Elasticity in flex lengthwise, PSI x 10 ° 2.7 crosswise, PSI x 10 ° 2.7 crosswise, PSI x 10 ° 2.7 growth and the staticity in flex lengthwise, PSI x 10 ° 2.7 Modulus of Elasticity in flex 19,000 1200 IZOD Impact flatwise, ft lb per inch of notch 7 edgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 7 18,22 Shear Strength, PSI 110 Specific Gravity 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion cm/cm/ deg C x 10 °3 0.9 Water Absorption .062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .50° thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .50° .50° thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil perpendicular to laminations; short .062" thick 500 .125" thick 400	Glass reinforced, high temperature epoxy, laminate				
lengthwise, PSI 40,000 crosswise, PSI 35,000 Compressive Strength flatwise, PSI 60,000 edgewise, PSI 35,000 Flexural Strength edgewise, PSI 55,000 crosswise, PSI 45,000 Modulus of Elasticity in flex engthwise, PSI x 10 to	Tensile Strength				
crosswise, PSI 35,000 Compressive Strength flatwise, PSI 60,000 edgewise, PSI 35,000 Flexural Strength 19,000 crosswise, PSI 45,000 Modulus of Elasticity in flex 2.7 2.7 2.7 crosswise, PSI × 10 ⁶ 2.7 2.7 2.2 Shear Strength, PSI 19,000 IZOD Impact flatwise, ft lb per inch of notch 7 2.2 Shear Strength, PSI 19,000 IZOD Impact flatwise, ft lb per inch of notch 7 2.7 2.7 Rockwell Hardness M scale 1110 5.5 3.5 3.6 Specific Gravity 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion 3.9 3.9 Water Absorption .062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 3.500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil 2.50 3.500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dissipation Factor .062" thick 500 3.500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dissipation Factor .062" thick 500 3.50" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 </th <th>lengthwise, PSI</th> <th>40,000</th>	lengthwise, PSI	40,000			
Compressive Strength flatwise, PSI 60,000 edgewise, PSI 35,000 Flexural Strength Iengthwise, PSI 55,000 Modulus of Elasticity in flex (engthwise, PSI × 10 ° 2.7 Crosswise, PSI × 10 ° 2.7 19,000 IZOD Impact 19,000 19,000 IZOD Impact 110 5.5 Rockwell Hardness M scale 1110 5.5 Rockwell Hardness M scale 110 3.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion 0.9 Water Absorption Ocefficient of Thermal Expansion 0.25 0.9 Water Absorption .062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .125" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 0.1 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil perpendicular to laminations; short 5.2 Condition A, 1 megacycle 5.2 0.25 Dielectric Constant Condition A, 1 megacycle 5.2 Insulation Resistance Condition A, 1 megacycle 5.2 Insulation Resistance 10.60 1.600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can	crosswise, PSI	35,000			
flatwise, PSI 60,000 edgewise, PSI 35,000 Flexural Strength lengthwise, PSI 55,000 crosswise, PSI 45,000 Modulus of Elasticity in flex engthwise, PSI x 10 ° 2.7 Shear Strength, PSI 19,000 19,000 IZOD Impact 19,000 120 Mill column 7 edgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 7 Gedgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 7 edgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 5.5 Rockwell Hardness M scale 110 Specific Gravity 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion 0.9 Water Absorption 0.9 Water Absorption .062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .125' thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500° thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500° thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500° thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500° thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500° .125° thick 500 perpendicular to laminations; short .062" thick 500 .125° thick 500 .125° thick 90	Compressive Strength				
edgewise, PSI 35,000 Flexural Strength lengthwise, PSI 55,000 Crosswise, PSI 45,000 Modulus of Elasticity in flex 19,000 Iengthwise, PSI x 10 ⁶ 2.7 Crosswise, PSI x 10 ⁶ 2.2 Shear Strength, PSI 19,000 IZOD Impact 110 Specific Gravity 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion 0.9 Water Absorption .062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.125 .125" thick, % per 24 hrs .500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil 0.62" thick 400 perpendicular to laminations; short .062" thick 400 Dissipation Factor .025 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Resistance .106" thick	flatwise, PSI	60,000			
Flexural Strength lengthwise, PSI 55,000 crosswise, PSI 45,000 Modulus of Elasticity in flex Iengthwise, PSI x 10 ° 2.7 crosswise, PSI x 10 ° 2.2 Shear Strength, PSI 19,000 19,000 IZOD Impact redgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 7 edgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 5.5 5 Rockwell Hardness M scale 110 Specific Gravity 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion cm/cm/ deg C x 10 ° 0.9 Water Absorption .062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .050" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil perpendicular to laminations; short .062" thick 500 Dissipation Factor .0025 .0025 .0025 .0025 Dielectric Constant .0025 .0025 .0025 .0025 Dielectric Constant .0025 .0025 .0025 .0000 .22 Insulation Resistance .00000 .00000 .0000 .200,000 .0000 Flame Resistance .000000	edgewise, PSI	35,000			
lengthwise, PSI 55,000 crosswise, PSI 45,000 Modulus of Elasticity in flex lengthwise, PSI x 10 ° 2.7 crosswise, PSI x 10 ° 2.2 Shear Strength, PSI 19,000 IZOD Impact 19,000 IZOD Impact 7 edgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 7 edgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 5.5 Rockwell Hardness M scale 110 Specific Gravity 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion 0.9 Water Absorption .062° thick, % per 24 hrs 0.125° thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500° thick, % per 24 hrs 0.10 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil perpendicular to laminations; short .062° thick 500 .125° thick 400 Dissipation Factor .0025 Dielectric Constant .0025 .1000	Flexural Strength				
crosswise, PSI 45,000 Modulus of Elasticity in flex Iengthwise, PSI x 10 ° 2.7 crosswise, PSI x 10 ° 2.7 crosswise, PSI x 10 ° 2.2 Shear Strength, PSI 19,000 IZOD Impact 19,000 IZOD Impact 10 gedgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 7 edgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 7 edgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 7 gedgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 7 edgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 7 edgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 5.5 Rockwell Hardness M scale 110 Specific Gravity 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion 0.9 Water Absorption .062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .125" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .125" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil 1062" thick perpendicular to laminations; short .062" thick 0 0.025 0 Dissipation Factor .0025 Condition A, 1 megacycle 5.2 Insulation Resistance .000,000 96 hours at 90% .200,000 Flame Resistance .0	lengthwise, PSI	55,000			
Modulus of Elasticity in flex lengthwise, PSI x 10 ° 2.7 crosswise, PSI x 10 ° 2.2 Shear Strength, PSI 19,000 IZOD Impact 110 Specific Gravity 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion 0.9 Water Absorption 0.62" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .125" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil perpendicular to laminations; short .062" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor .025 .0025 Dielectric Constant .0025 .0025 .0025 .0025 Set on usition A, 1 megacycle 5	crosswise, PSI	45,000			
Modulus of Elasticity in flex lengthwise, PSI x 10 ° 2.7 crosswise, PSI x 10 ° 2.2 Shear Strength, PSI 19,000 IZOD Impact 19,000 IZOD Impact 7 edgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 7.5 Rockwell Hardness M scale 110 Specific Gravity 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion 0.9 Water Absorption .062" thick, % per 24 hrs .062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil perpendicular to laminations; short 062" thick 500 .125" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor .062" thick condition A, 1 megacycle 0.25 Dielectric Constant .005 .096 hours at 90% .0000 Flame Resistance Condition: .96 hours at 90% .200,000 Flame Resistance .1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F					
lengthwise, PSI x 10 ° 2.7 crosswise, PSI x 10 ° 2.2 Shear Strength, PSI I2OD Impact I2OD Impact I2OD Impact I2OD Impact IIIO Specific Gravity Coefficient of Thermal Expansion cm/cm/ deg C x 10 ⁻⁵ 0.9 Water Absorption Cm/cm/ deg C x 10 ⁻⁵ 0.9 Water Absorption Confit Constant Condition A, 1 megacycle Condition A, 1 megacycle Condition Sef August 200% Condition A, 1 megacycle S.2 Insulation Resistance Condition A, 1 megacycle S.2 Insulation Resistance Underwriter Labs, Classification Sef August 200,000 Flame Resistance Underwriter Labs, Classification Sef August 200,000 Flame Resistance Underwriter Labs, Classification Sef Approximate degrees F 300 Sheet mil spec: Mill 24769 (2000)	Modulus of Elasticity in flex				
crosswise, PSI x 10° 2.2 Shear Strength, PSI 19,000 IZOD Impact 19,000 IZOD Impact 19,000 IZOM Impact 19,000 IZOM Impact 19,000 IZOM Impact 19,000 IZOM Impact 110 Specific Gravity 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion 0.9 Water Absorption 0.62° thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500° thick, % per 24 hrs .125° thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500° thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500° thick, % per 24 hrs 0.11 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil 125° thick perpendicular to laminations; short .062° thick .062° thick 500 .125° thick 400 Dissipation Factor .025 Dielectric Constant .0025 Condition A, 1 megacycle 5.2 Insulation Resistance .00000 Gend Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 Sheet mil s	lengthwise, PSI x 10 °	2.7			
Shear Strength, PSI 19,000 IZOD Impact flatwise, ft lb per inch of notch 7 edgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 5.5 Rockwell Hardness M scale 110 Specific Gravity 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion cm/cm/ deg C x 10 ⁻⁵ 0.9 Water Absorption .062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil perpendicular to laminations; short .062" thick 500 Dissipation Factor .062" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor .0055 Dielectric Constant .0055 .0055 Dielectric Constant .0061 hours at 90% relative humidity .200,000 .200,000 Flame Resistance .000 Underwriter Labs, Classification .94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 .600 .600 Mat L 24769 (.29 .29 .200	crosswise, PSI x 10 °	2.2			
IZOD Impact flatwise, ft lb per inch of notch 7 edgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 5.5 Rockwell Hardness M scale 110 Specific Gravity 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion 0.9 Water Absorption 0.9 Water Absorption 0.62" thick, % per 24 hrs .062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil perpendicular to laminations; short .062" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor .062" thick condition A, 1 megacycle 0.25 Dielectric Constant .0052 Condition A, 1 megacycle 5.2 Insulation Resistance .001 96 hours at 90% .200,000 Flame Resistance .002 Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 Sheet mil spec: .20	Shear Strength, PSI	19,000			
flatwise, ft lb per inch of notch 7 edgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 5.5 Rockwell Hardness M scale 110 Specific Gravity 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion 0.9 water Absorption 0.62" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil perpendicular to laminations; short 0.62" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor .062" thick condition A, 1 megacycle 0.025 Dielectric Constant .0025 Insulation Resistance Condition: 96 hours at 90% .200,000 Flame Resistance .000 Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 Sheet mil spec: .20	IZOD Impact				
edgewise, ft lb per inch of notch 5.5 Rockwell Hardness M scale 110 Specific Gravity 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion	flatwise, ft lb per inch of notch	7			
Rockwell Hardness M scale 110 Specific Gravity 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion cm/cm/ deg C x 10 * 0.9 Water Absorption .062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.25 .125" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil perpendicular to laminations; short .062" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor 0.025 Dielectric Constant 0.025 Dielectric Constant 0.025 Insulation Resistance 0.0000 Flame Resistance 0.0000 Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 Sheet mil spec: 29	edgewise, ft lb per inch of notch	5.5			
Specific Gravity 1.82 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion cm/cm/ deg C x 10 ⁻⁵ 0.9 Water Absorption .062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.25 .125" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.11 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil .500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 .062" thick 500 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil .062" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor .062" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor .062" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor .125" thick 400 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor .125" thick 400 .125" thick 400 Dielectric Constant .125" thick 400 .125" thick 400 Signation Resistance .1000 .125 .126 <td< td=""><td>Rockwell Hardness M scale</td><td>110</td></td<>	Rockwell Hardness M scale	110			
Coefficient of Thermal Expansion cm/cm/ deg C x 10 ⁻⁵ 0.9 Water Absorption .062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.25 .125" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .125" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil perpendicular to laminations; short .062" thick 500 .125" thick 500 .125" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor Condition A, 1 megacycle 0.025 Dielectric Constant Condition: 96 hours at 90% relative humidity Widerwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 Sheet mil spec:	Specific Gravity	1.82			
cm/cm/ deg C x 10 * 0.9 Water Absorption .062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.25 .125" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil 0.1 perpendicular to laminations; short .062" thick 500 .125" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor .062" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor .062" thick 5.2 .025 .025 .025 Dielectric Constant .00100 .025 .026 .025 .026 .026 .026 .026 .026 .026 .026 .026 .026	Coefficient of Thermal Expansion				
.062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.25 .125" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil 0.1 perpendicular to laminations; short 0.62" thick 500 .062" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor 0.025 0.025 Dielectric Constant 0.025 0.025 Dielectric Constant 0.0025 0.025 Insulation Resistance 0.000 5.2 Insulation Resistance Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 Sheet mil spec: 0.01 200 0.025	cm/cm/ deg C x 10	0.9			
.062" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.25 .125" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil 0.1 perpendicular to laminations; short 0.62" thick 500 .062" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor 0.025 0.025 Dielectric Constant 0.025 0.025 Dielectric Constant 0.0025 0.025 Insulation Resistance 0.000 5.2 Insulation Resistance Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 Sheet mil spec: 0.01 200 00	water Absorption	0.05			
.125 trick, % per 24 hrs 0.15 .500" thick, % per 24 hrs 0.1 Dielectric Strength, volt/mil 0.62" thick perpendicular to laminations; short .062" thick .062" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor .062" thick condition A, 1 megacycle 0.025 Dielectric Constant .0025 condition A, 1 megacycle 5.2 Insulation Resistance .000,000 Flame Resistance .000,000 Flame Resistance .000,000 Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 sheet mil spec:	.062" thick, % per 24 hrs	0.25			
Dielectric Strength, volt/mil 0.1 perpendicular to laminations; short .062" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor .062" thick 400 Dissipation Factor condition A, 1 megacycle 0.025 Dielectric Constant condition A, 1 megacycle 5.2 Insulation Resistance Condition: 96 hours at 90% relative humidity (in mega ohms) 200,000 Flame Resistance Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 Sheet mil spec: Mil 1 24768 / 28	. 125 thick, % per 24 hrs	0.15			
Detective Strength, volumin perpendicular to laminations; short .062" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor 0.025 Dielectric Constant 0.025 Disulation Resistance 5.2 Insulation Resistance 0.025 Insulation Resistance 0.025 Perpendicular to condition: 96 hours at 90% relative humidity (in mega ohms) 200,000 Flame Resistance Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 sheet mil spec: Mil L 24768 / 28	.500 thick, % per 24 his	0.1			
.062" thick 500 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor 0.025 Dielectric Constant 0.025 Dissipation Resistance 5.2 Insulation Resistance Condition: 96 hours at 90% relative humidity (in mega ohms) 200,000 Flame Resistance Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 sheet mil spec: Mil L 24768 /	Dielectric Strength, volumi				
.002 tillok 300 .125" thick 400 Dissipation Factor 0.025 Dielectric Constant 0.025 Dissipation Resistance 5.2 Insulation Resistance Condition: 96 hours at 90% relative humidity (in mega ohms) 200,000 Flame Resistance Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 Sheet mil spec: Mil L 24769 (29	perpendicular to laminations, short	500			
Dissipation Factor 400 Dissipation Factor 0.025 Dielectric Constant 0.025 Dissipation Resistance 5.2 Insulation Resistance 0.000 96 hours at 90% 1000 relative humidity 0.000 Flame Resistance 200,000 Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F 300 Approximate degrees F 300 Sheet mil spec: 0000		400			
condition A, 1 megacycle 0.025 Dielectric Constant condition A, 1 megacycle 5.2 Insulation Resistance Condition: 96 hours at 90% relative humidity (in mega ohms) 200,000 Flame Resistance Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F 300 Approximate degrees F 300 Sheet mil spec: 28	Dissination Factor	400			
Dielectric Constant 0.020 Condition A, 1 megacycle 5.2 Insulation Resistance Condition: 96 hours at 90% relative humidity (in mega ohms) 200,000 Flame Resistance 000 Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F 300 Sheet mil spec: 000 Mil L 24768 / 28	condition A 1 menacycle	0.025			
Insulation Resistance 5.2 Insulation Resistance Condition: 96 hours at 90% relative humidity (in mega ohms) 200,000 Flame Resistance 0000 Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F 300 Sheet mil spec: 0000 Mil L 24768 / 28	Dielectric Constant	0.025			
Insulation Resistance Condition: 96 hours at 90% relative humidity (in mega ohms) 200,000 Flame Resistance Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 Sheet mil spec:		5.2			
Insulation Resistance Condition: 96 hours at 90% relative humidity (in mega ohms) 200,000 Flame Resistance Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 sheet mil spec: Mil L 24768 / 28		0.2			
Condition: 96 hours at 90% relative humidity (in mega ohms) 200,000 Flame Resistance Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 Sheet mil spec: 28	Insulation Resistance				
96 hours at 90% relative humidity (in mega ohms) 200,000 Flame Resistance Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 sheet mil spec: 000 Mil L 24768 / 28	Condition:				
relative humidity (in mega ohms) 200,000 Flame Resistance 200,000 Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F 300 Approximate degrees F 300 Sheet mil spec: 28	96 hours at 90%				
(in mega ohms) 200,000 Flame Resistance Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F 300 Approximate degrees F 300 Sheet mil spec: 28	relative humidity				
Flame Resistance Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 sheet mil spec: Mil L 24768 /	(in mega ohms)	200.000			
Underwriter Labs, Classification 94V-0 Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 sheet mil spec: Mil L 24768 / 28	Flame Resistance	,			
Bond Strength, in Ibs 1,600 Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 sheet mil spec: 22	Underwriter Labs, Classification	94V-0			
Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F Approximate degrees F 300 sheet mil spec: 28	Bond Strength, in Ibs	1,600			
Approximate degrees F 300 sheet mil spec:	Max Continuous Operating Temperature All Phenolics can withstand -100° F				
sheet mil spec:	Approximate degrees F	300			
Mil 1 24769 / 29	sheet mil spec:				
IVIII-I-24700/I 20	Mil-I-24768 /	28			

Table 12.G-11 FR-5 Containment Material Properties[After Ref 10]

AD-96 Alumina Material Properties			2/23/2006		
Trade Name: AD-96					
Composition: No	minal 96% Al ₂ O ₃	Color: White			
Property		Units	Test	Value	
Density		gm/cc	ASTM-C20	3.72	
Crystal Size		Microns	Thin-Section	6	
Water Absorption		%	ASTM-373	0	
Gas Permeability				0	
Flexural Strength (MOR	2), 20 degrees C			358 (52)	
Elastic Modulus, 20 deg	jrees C	GPa (psi x 10 ⁶)	ASTM-F417	303 (44)	
Poisson's Ratio, 20 degrees C			ASTM-C848	0.21	
Compressive Strenght		MPa(psi x 10 ³)	ASTM-C773	2068 (300)	
Hardness		GPa(kg/mm ²)	KNOOP 1000 gm	11.5 (1175)	
			Rockwell 45 N	78	
Tensile Strength, 25 de	grees C	MPa (psi x 10 ³)	ACMA TEST #4	221 (32)	
Fracture Toughness K(Ic)		Mpa m ^{1/2}	NOTCHED BEAM	5-Apr	
Thermal Conductivity, 20 degrees C		Wm degrees K	ASTM-C408	24.7	
Coefficient of Thermal Expansion, 25-1000		1 x 10 ⁻⁶ /degrees			
degrees C		С	ASTM-C372	8.2	
Specific Heat, 100 degrees C		J/kg*K	ASTM-E1269	880	
Thermal Shock Resistance, (delta)Tc		degrees C	NOTE 3	250	
Maximum Use Temperature		degrees C	NO-LOAD COND.	1700	
		ac-kV/mm			
Dielectric Stength		(acV/mil)	ASTM-D116	8.3 (210)	
Dielectric Constant, 1MHz		25 degrees C	ASTM-D150	9	
Dielectric Loss (tan delta) 1MHz		25 degrees C	ASTM-D2520	0.0002	
Volume Resistivity	25 degrees C	ohm-cm	ASTM-D1829	>10 ¹⁴	
	500 degrees C	ohm-cm	ASTM-D1829	4 x 10 ⁹	
	1000 degrees C	ohm-cm	ASTM-D1829	1 x 10 ⁶	
Impingement			Note 4	0.5	
Rubbing			Note 4	0.6	

Insulator: CoorsTek AD-96 alumina ceramic

Table 13. Ceramic Insulator Material Properties [After Ref. 11]

Augmenting Rail Insulator: Mylar (polyester)

Property	Value	Test Method
DC Dielectric Strength	Typical Value for Mylar® 92 EL/C*	¼ in upper electrode and flat plate lower
25°C (77°F)	11.0 kV/mil	electrode. 500 V/sec rate of rise.
Gauge and Type at 25°C (77°F)	Minimum Values for Mylar® C Film	
6C	0.225 kV	
7C	0.300 kV	
8C	0.320 kV	
10C	0.490 kV	Minimum average voltage of 20 film-foil
12C	0.650 kV	capacitors, 0.5 µF each
14C	0.825 kV	
20C	1.500 kV	
24C	2.000 kV	
32C	3.100 kV	100 V/sec rate of rise
40C	4.100 kV	
480	4.900 KV	
/50	5.500 KV	
800	0.000 KV	
AC Dielectric Strength	Typical value for Mylar@ 92 EL/C^	ASTM D149 and
25'C (//'F)	7.0 KV/mil	ASTM 02300
		OU HZ
Course and Turne at 259C (779E)	Minimum Values for Union® EL Eiler	ouu v/sec rate of rise
Gauge and Type at 25°C (77°F)	Minimum values for Mylarty EL Film	
40EL 76El	2.0 KV 2.5 I/V	
73EL 02El	3.5 KV	ASTM D140 and D2205
142EL	4.0 KV 5.5 VV	ASTM D149 and D2300 Minimum average voltage of 10 sheet samples
20051	7.7 W	within average votage of to sheet samples
300EL	10.0 kV	
500EL	13.5 kV	60 Hz
750EL	17.5 kV	500 V/sec rate of rise
900EL	18.4 kV	Sub vrses rate of rise
1000EL	19.0 kV	
1400EL	20.0 kV	
Dielectric Constant	Typical Value for Mylar® 92 EL/C*	
25°C (77°F) – 60 Hz	3.3	
25°C (77°F) – 1 kHz	3.25	ASTM D150
25°C (77°F) – 1 MHz	3.0	
25°C (77°F) – 1 GHz	2.8	
150°C (302°F) – 60 Hz	3.7	
Dissipation Factor	Typical Value for Mylar® 92 EL/C	
25°C (77°F) – 60 Hz	0.0025	
25°C (77°F) – 1 kHz	0.0050	
25°C (77°F) – 1 MHz	0.016	ASTM D150
25°C (77°F) – 1 GHz	0.008	
150°C (302°F) – 60 Hz	0.004	
-209°C (-452°F) – 1 kHz (in Helium)	0.0002	
Volume Resistivity	Typical Value for Mylar® 92 EL/C	
25°G (77°F) 160°C (202°F) (Ture C Film)	10 ¹⁰ ohm om	
Surface Resistivity	iu onm-cm	ASTM D257 and D2205
23°C (73°E) = 30% PH	10 ¹⁰ obm/sa	No fill 0201 and 02000
23°C (302°E) = 80% RH	10 ¹² ohm/sa	
Insulation Resistance	to onliving	
35°C (95°F) - 90% RH	10 ¹² ohm	
Capacitor Insulation Resistance	Typical Value for Mylar® 92 C	Based on 0.5 uE film-foil capacitor sections
100°C (212°F)	30.000 MO-uF	using single laver, 92 Mylarth C
125°C (257°F)	1 000 MO-uE	and angle rates or rithere o
150°C (302°F)	100 MΩ-μF	

Material Specifications for Mylar® (Polyester) Film

Table 14.Mylar Film Insulator Material Properties [After Ref. 12]

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX B. PRODUCTION DRAWINGS



Top Containment Half

Figure 14.

Top Containment Half



Bottom Containment Half

Figure 15.

Bottom Containment Half






Solid Primary Conductor Rails



Slotted Primary Conductor Rails

Figure 17. Slotted Primary Conductor Rails

Ceramic Insulator



Figure 18.

Ceramic Insulators



Augmented Rails, Rail liners, and Spacer

Figure 19. Augmented Rails, Rail liners, and Spacer



Augmenting Conductor Components

Figure 20.

Augmenting Conductor Components



External Conductor Connectors and Muzzle Shunt

Figure 21. External Conductor Connectors and Muzzle Shunt



Full Conductor Assembly

Figure 22. Full Conductor Assembly



Full Assembly CAD Model and Finished Result

Figure 23. Full CAD Assembly with Loader and Muzzle Shunt



Figure 24. Full Assembled Railgun with Loader

Basic U-shape Armature



Figure 25. Basic U-Shape Armature

Flared M-shape Armature



Figure 26. Flared M-shape Armature



Square M-shape Armature

Figure 27. Square M-shape Armature



Altered U-shape Armature with Center Hollow

Figure 28. Altered U-shape Armature with Center Hollow



Railgun Mounting Base

Figure 29. Railgun Mounting Base

The mounting base is fabricated from a 1.5" thick slab of insulating phenolic. Three pairs of the containment bolts extend through the base for mounting. The base itself is then bolted directly to the firing line table. THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX C. MODELING

A. KERRISK'S METHOD SPREADSHEETS [3]

Inductance Gradient Calculations for Solid and Nonslotted Rail Geometries

Ke	rrisk's Method f	or L' Determination -	Los Alamos National La	boratory 1981 [Ref.2]		
L' = [A	$L' = [A + B^{*}ln(1 + a1^{*}(w/h) + a2^{*}(w/h)^{*}(s/h))^{*}ln(b1 + b2^{*}(s/h) + b3^{*}(w/h) + b4^{*}(s/h)^{*}(w/h)]$					
	s = bore spa	acing(mm)	h = rail height (mm)	w = rail width (mm)		
((NOTE: Augmented configurations apply gain factor of 1.55 over their respective non-augmented L')					
	Solid Rails	Slotted Rails	Solid Augmented	Slotted Augmented		
Α	0.440641	0.440641	0.440641	0.440641		
В	-0.07771	-0.07771	-0.07771	-0.07771		
a1	3.397143	3.397143	3.397143	3.397143		
a2	-0.06603	-0.06603	-0.06603	-0.06603		
b1	1.07719	1.07719	1.07719	1.07719		
b2	2.743651	2.743651	2.743651	2.743651		
b3	0.022093	0.022093	0.022093	0.022093		
b4	0.263739	0.263739	0.263739	0.263739		
S	19	19	19	19		
h	50.8	25.4	50.8	25.4		
w	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5		
s/h	0.374015748	0.748031496	0.374015748	0.748031496		
w/h	0.187007874	0.374015748	0.187007874	0.374015748		
\$	Solid Rail L'	Slotted L'	Solid augmented L'	Slotted Augmented L'		
	<u>0.30368</u>	<u>0.44051</u>	<u>0.47070</u>	<u>0.68279</u>		

Table 15.Kerrisk's Method and Augmentation AdjustedInductance Gradient (L') Calculations

Table 14 input parameters of bore spacing (s), rail height (h), and rail width (w) are demonstrated in Figure 28 below.



Figure 30. Kerrisk's Method Rail Parameters [After Ref. 2]

в. PARAMETER BASED MODELING [7]

1500 m/s Solid Non-Augmented Parameter Modeling

	Solid	Rail Non-Au	rameter N	lodel	
Ľ	0.30368	μH/m			
Target ve	locity:	1500	m/s	-	
Projectile	mass:	11.4	grams		
Effective	length:	50	cm		
Armature height:		19	mm		
t = 2x/(delta v)		t (ms)	0.67		
$a_{avg} = 2x/(t^2)$		2.25E+06	a _{avg} (m/s ²)		
		225	a _{avg} (kG's)		
Avg. Current: I _{avg} :	= (2ma/L') ^{0.5}	411.01	k-Amps	Assume:	average acceleration is 70% of
Peak Cu I _{peak} = (I _{avg}	urrent ² /0.7) ^{0.5}	491.25	k-Amps	peak	pportional to (I_{avg}^2/I_{peak}^2)
Linear currer I _{peak} ' = I _{peak} / ar	nt density: mature height	25.86	(kA/mm)	Note: linear current densities > 45 kA/mm a regarded as unstable for railgun design	
Electrical Action: G	G=2mv/L'	1.13E+08	Amp ² s	Electrical Action is a measure of heating du to current flow	
$\Delta T = (\rho_e / \rho_m C)$	C _p)*(G/A ²)	40.00	Kelvin (K)	Based on thumbrule of a delta T of 40 K across the rail due to resistive heating, when A = conductor cross-sectional area	
Conductor Area = [(ρ _e /ρ _m C _p)(G/ΔT)] ^{0.5}		118.65	mm ²	The expression $(\rho_e / \rho_m C_p)$ is a ratio of electrical resistivity to the product of mass density and specific heat capacity, a typical value for the ratio for copper is 0.005 (K/Amp ² s)/mm ⁴ .	
Required rail	width (mm)	6.24	mm		
Actual rai 1/4" rail + 1/8	il width 3" rail liner)	9.53	mm		
Lorentz Force at	peak current:				
F = (1/2)L	_'I _{peak} 2	36642.86	N	8238	lbf
Bore Are	a (m²)	0.000361	m ²	0.56	square inches
Base Press	ure = F/A	102	Мра	14.73	ksi
Repulsion force	per unit length				
(Base Pressure :	x Bore height)	1.93	MN/m	11.05	kip/in
Grade 2 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength			57000	psi	
Individual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along entire 24" rail length distributed between 22 bolts			0.21	square inches	
М	inimum Bolt Dia	meter Required		0.519	inches
	Actual Bolt	Diameter		0.375	inches

Table 16. 1500 m/s Solid Non-Augmented Parameter Model

1500 m/s Slotted Non-Augmented Pa	arameter Modeling
-----------------------------------	-------------------

	Slotte	arameter	Model		
L'	0.44051	μH/m			
Target ve	locity:	1500	m/s	1	
Projectile	mass:	11.4	grams	1	
Effective	length:	50	cm		
Armature	height:	19	mm		
t = 2x/(delta v)		t (ms)	0.67		
$a_{rm} = 2x/(t^2)$		2.25E+06	a _{avg} (m/s ²)		
Gavg -2	(r)	225	a _{avg} (kG's)		
Avg. Current: I _{avg}	= (2ma/L') ^{0.5}	341.26	k-Amps	Assume:	average acceleration is 70% of
Peak Cu I _{peak} = (I _{avg}	urrent ² /0.7) ^{0.5}	407.88	k-Amps	pear	poprtional to (I_{avg}^2/I_{peak}^2)
Linear curren I _{peak} ' = I _{peak} / ar	nt density: mature height	21.47	(kA/mm)	Note: linear current densities > 45 kA/mm a regarded as unstable for railgun design	
Electrical Action: G	G=2mv/L'	7.76E+07	Amp ² s	Electrical Action is a measure of heating due to current flow	
$\Delta T = (\rho_e / \rho_m C)$	C _p)*(G/A ²)	40.00	Kelvin (K)	Based or across the r	n thumbrule of a delta T of 40 K rail due to resistive heating, where
Conductor [(p _e /p _m C _p)(⁻ Area = G/∆T)] ^{0.5}	98.51	mm ²	The expression $(\rho_e / \rho_m C_p)$ is a ratio of electrical resistivity to the product of mass density and specific heat capacity, a typic value for the ratio for copper is 0.00 $(K/Amp^2s)/mm^4$	
Required rail	width (mm)	5.18	mm		
Actual rai (1/4" rail + 1/8	il width 3" rail liner)	9.53	mm		
Lorentz Force at F = (1/2)L	peak current: _'I _{peak} 2	36642.86	Ν	8238	lbf
Bore Are	a (m²)	0.000361	m ²	0.56	square inches
Base Press	ure = F/A	102	Мра	14.73	ksi
Repulsion force (Base Pressure	per unit length x Bore height)	1.93	MN/m	11.05 kip/in	
Grade 2 SA	Grade 2 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength			57000	psi
Individual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along entire 24" rail length distributed between 22 bolts			0.21	square inches	
М	inimum Bolt Dia	meter Required		0.519	inches
	Actual Bolt	Diameter		0.375	inches

Table 17. 1500 m/s Slotted Non-Augmented Parameter Model

1500 III/S Solid Augilienced Parallecer Model

Sc	lid Rail Augn	meter Mod	lel		
L' 0.47070	μH/m				
Target velocity:	1500	m/s			
Projectile mass:	11.4	grams			
Effective length:	50	cm			
Armature height:	19	mm			
t = 2x/(delta v)	t (ms)	0.67			
o (11 ²)	2.25E+06	a _{avg} (m/s ²)			
$a_{avg} = 2X/(t)$	225	a _{avg} (kG's)			
Avg. Current: I _{avg} = (2ma/L') ^{0.5}	330.13	k-Amps	Assume:	average acceleration is 70% of	
Peak Current $I_{peak} = (I_{avg}^2/0.7)^{0.5}$	394.58	k-Amps	peak pro	acceleration and this ratio is portional to (I_{avg}^2/I_{peak}^2)	
Linear current density: I _{peak} ' = I _{peak} / armature height	20.77	(kA/mm)	Note: linear current densities > 45 kA/mm a regarded as unstable for railgun design		
Electrical Action: G=2mv/L'	7.27E+07	Amp ² s	Electrical Action is a measure of heating du to current flow		
$\Delta T = (\rho_e / \rho_m C_p)^* (G/A^2)$	40.00	Kelvin (K)	Based on thumbrule of a delta T of 40 K across the rail due to resistive heating, who		
Conductor Area = [(ρ _e /ρ _m C _p)(G/ΔT)] ^{0.5}	95.30	mm ²	The expression $(\rho_e / \rho_m C_p)$ is a ratio of electrical resistivity to the product of mass density and specific heat capacity, a typical value for the ratio for copper is 0.005 (K/Amp ² s)/mm ⁴ .		
Required rail width (mm)	5.02	mm			
Actual rail width (1/4" rail + 1/8" rail liner)	9.53	mm			
Lorentz Force at peak current: $F = (1/2)L'I_{peak}^{2}$	36642.86	N	8238 lbf		
Bore Area (m ²)	0.000361	m ²	0.56	square inches	
Base Pressure = F/A	102	Мра	14.73	ksi	
Repulsion force per unit length (Base Pressure x Bore height)	1.93	MN/m	11.05	kip/in	
Grade 2 SAE J429 3/8" dia Minimum Yie	meter stainless s	teel bolts	57000	psi	
Individual bolt area required to av	oid exceeding Yi	eld Strength for			
distributed bet	0.21	square inches			
Grade 2 Minimum Bo	t Diameter Requ	ired	0.519	inches	
Grade 8 SAE J429 3/8" dia Minimum Yie	meter stainless s ald Strength	teel bolts	130000	psi	
Individual bolt area required to av static longitudinal repulsion force between	oid exceeding Yi along 2" rail len 4 bolts	eld Strength for gth distributed	0.09	square inches	
Grade 8 Minimum Bo	t Diameter Requ	ired	0.344	inches	
Actual Bolt	Diameter		0.375	inches	

Table 18. 1500 m/s Solid Augmented Parameter Model

1500 m/s	s slotted	Nurmanted	Darameter	Modeling
T200 III/s	s sincled	Augmenteu	Paralleter	MODELING

SI	otted Rail Aug	ameter Mo	del		
L' 0.68279	μH/m				
Target velocity:	1500	m/s			
Projectile mass:	11.4	grams			
Effective length:	50	cm			
Armature height:	19	mm			
t = 2x/(delta v)	t (ms)	0.67			
2 ///2	2.25E+06	a _{avg} (m/s ²)			
a _{avg} =2x/(t)	225	a _{avg} (kG's)			
Avg. Current: I _{avg} = (2ma/L') ^{0.5}	274.10	k-Amps	Assume:	average acceleration is 70% of	
Peak Current $I_{peak} = (I_{avg}^2/0.7)^{0.5}$	327.62	k-Amps	peak	portional to (I_{avg}^2/I_{peak}^2)	
Linear current density: I _{peak} ' = I _{peak} / armature height	17.24	(kA/mm)	Note: linear regardec	current densities > 45 kA/mm are I as unstable for railgun design	
Electrical Action: G=2mv/L'	5.01E+07	Amp ² s	Electrical Action is a measure of heating to current flow		
$\Delta T = (\rho_e / \rho_m C_p)^* (G/A^2)$	40.00	Kelvin (K)	Based on thumbrule of a delta T of 40 k across the rail due to resistive heating, wh		
Conductor Area = [(ρ _e /ρ _m C _p)(G/ΔT)] ^{0.5}	$\begin{array}{c c} Conductor Area = \\ \left[(\rho_e/\rho_m C_p)(G/\Delta T)\right]^{0.5} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 79.13 \\ mm^2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} The \\ electri \\ density \\ value \end{array}$		The expl electrical r density and value for t	The expression $(\rho_e/\rho_m C_p)$ is a ratio of electrical resistivity to the product of mass density and specific heat capacity, a typical value for the ratio for copper is 0.005 $(K/Amp^2s)/mm^4$.	
Required rail width (mm)	4.16	mm			
Actual rail width (1/4" rail + 1/8" rail liner)	9.53	mm			
Lorentz Force at peak current: $F = (1/2)L'I_{peak}^{2}$	36642.86	N	8238	lbf	
Bore Area (m ²)	0.000361	m ²	0.56	square inches	
Base Pressure = F/A	102	Мра	14.73	ksi	
Repulsion force per unit length (Base Pressure x Bore height)	1.93	MN/m	11.05	kip/in	
Grade 2 SAE J429 3/8" di Minimum Y	ameter stainless s	teel bolts	57000	psi	
Individual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along entire 24" rail length distributed between 22 bolts			0.21	square inches	
Grade 2 Minimum B	olt Diameter Requi	ired	0.519	inches	
Grade 8 SAE J429 3/8" di Minimum Y	ameter stainless s ield Strength	teel bolts	130000	psi	
Individual bolt area required to a static longitudinal repulsion for betwee	void exceeding Yie ce along 2" rail len n 4 bolts	eld Strength for gth distributed	0.09	square inches	
Grade 8 Minimum B	olt Diameter Requi	ired	0.344	inches	
Actual Bo	It Diameter		0.375	inches	

Table 19. 1500 m/s Slotted Augmented Parameter Model

265	m/s	Solid	Augmented	Parameter	Modeling
-----	-----	-------	-----------	-----------	----------

L'0.47070 μ H/mTarget velocity:265m/sProjectile mass:11.4gramsEffective length:50cmArmature height:19mmt = 2x/(dela v)t (ms)3.77 $a_{wg} = 2x/(t^2)$ 7.02E+04 $a_{wg} (ms^2)$ Avg. Current: $l_{aug} = (2ma/L')^{0.5}$ 58.32k-AmpsPeak Current69.71k-Ampspeak acceleration and this ratio is proportional to (l_{aug}^2/l_{peak}^2) Linear current density: $l_{peak} = (l_{aug}^2/0.7)^{0.5}$ 3.67(kA/mm)Linear current density: $l_{peak} = l_{peak} / armature height$ 3.67(kA/mm)Electrical Action: G=2mv/L'1.28E+07Amp2'sElectrical Action: G=2mv/L'1.28E+07Amp2'sConductor Area = $[(p_0/p_mC_p)^*(G/A^2)$ 40.00Kelvin (K)Based on thumbrule of a deta T of 40 K across the rall due to resistive heating, wher across the rall due to resistive heating, wher across the rall due to resistive heating, wher across the rall due to resistive heating wher across the rall due to resistive heating. (K/Amp2's)/mm4.Conductor Area = $[(p_0/p_mC_p)^1(G/AT)]^{0.5}$ 40.06mm2Conductor Area = $[(p_0/p_mC_p)^2(G/AT)]^{0.5}$ 0.00361m2Conductor Area = $[(p_0/p_mC_p)^2(G/AT)]^{0.5}$ 1143.66NDere Area (m^2) 0.000361m20.56Base Pressur	Solid Rail Augmented P	rimental V	elocity Result: 265 m/s		
Target velocity:265m/sProjectile mass:11.4gramsEffective length:50cmArmature height:19mmt = 2x/(t ²)7.02E+04 $a_{avg}(m/s^2)$ Avg. Current: $a_{avg} = (2ma/L^1)^{0.5}$ 58.32k-AmpsPeak Current69.71k-Ampspeak acceleration and this ratio is proportional to (l_{avg}^2/l_{peak}^2) Linear current density:3.67(kA/mm)I_peak = (l_{avg}^2/0.7)^{0.5}1.28E+07Amp ² sElectrical Action: G=2mv/L'1.28E+07Amp ² sElectrical Action: G=2mv/L'1.28E+07Amp ² sConductor Area = $[(p_e/p_mC_p)^*(G/A^2)$ 40.00Kelvin (K) mm ² Conductor Area = $[(p_e/p_mC_p)^*(G/A^2)]^{0.5}$ 40.06mm ² Required rail width (mm)2.11mm 9.53mmActual rail width (mm)2.11mm 9.53(K/Amp ² s)/mm ⁴ .Lorentz Force at peak current: $F = (1/2)L^1p_{eak}^2$ 1143.66N257Base Pressure = F/A3Mpa0.46ksiRequised rail width (mm)0.06MN/m0.34kip/inGrade 2 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength0.0060.01square inchesGrade 2 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along entire 24" rail length distributed between 24 bolts0.092inchesIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force alo	L' 0.47070	μH/m			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Target velocity:	265	m/s		
Effective length:50cmArmature height:19mm $t = 2x/(l^2)$ 7.02E+04 $a_{avg}(m/s^2)$ $a_{pvg} = 2x/(l^2)$ 7.02E+04 $a_{avg}(m/s^2)$ Avg. Current: $l_{avg} = (2ma/L^2)^{0.5}$ 58.32k-AmpsPeak Current69.71k-Amps $l_{peak} = (l_{avg}^2/0.7)^{0.5}$ 69.71k-AmpsPeak Current lenear current density:3.67(kA/mm) $l_{peak} = rescurrent density:3.67(kA/mm)lectrical Action: G=2mv/L'1.28E+07Amp2sElectrical Action: G=2mv/L'1.28E+07Amp2s\Delta T = (p_q/p_m C_p)^*(G/A^2)40.00Kelvin (K)\Delta T = (p_q/p_m C_p)^*(G/A^2)40.06mm2Conductor Area =(L/p_n/p_m C_p)^*(G/A^2)40.06(1/p_n/p_m C_p)^*(G/A^2)^{1.5}40.06mm2Required rail width (mm)2.11mmActual rail width9.53mmLorentz Force at peak current:F = (1/2)L^1_{peak}^21143.66NElectrical escurrent length0.006MN/mGrade 2 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts57000Grade 2 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts57000Grade 2 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts130000Grade 2 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts130000Grade 2 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts130000Grade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts130000Grade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts130000Grade 8 SAE J429$	Projectile mass:	11.4	grams		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Effective length:	50	cm		
$\frac{t = 2x/(delta v)}{a_{avg} = 2x/(t^2)} = \frac{7.02E+04}{7.0225} = \frac{3_{avg} (m/s^2)}{a_{avg} (kG's)}$ Avg. Current: $l_{avg} = (2ma/t^-)^{0.5}$ 58.32 k-Amps Peak Current: $l_{avg} = (2ma/t^-)^{0.5}$ 58.32 k-Amps Peak Current $l_{avg}^{-2}/(0.7)^{0.5}$ 69.71 k-Amps $l_{peak} = (l_{avg}^{-2}/0.7)^{0.5}$ 69.71 k-Amps Linear current density: $l_{peak} = l_{pagk} / \operatorname{armature height}$ 3.67 (kA/mm) Electrical Action: G=2mv/L' 1.28E+07 Amp ² s Electrical Action: G=2mv/L' 1.28E+07 Amp ² s Electrical Action is a measure of heating due to current flow $\Delta T = (\rho_c / \rho_m C_p)^* (G/A^2)$ 40.00 Kelvin (K) Based on thumbrule of a delta T of 40 K across the rail due to resistive heating, where $Conductor Area = [(\rho_c / \rho_m C_p)^* (G/A^2)]^{0.5}$ 40.06 mm ² Required rail width (mm) 2.11 mm Actual rail width (mm) 2.11 mm Actual rail width (mm) 2.11 mm Lorentz Force at peak current: $F = (1/2)L^1 l_{peak}^2$ 1143.66 N 257 lbf Bore Area (m ²) 0.000361 m ² 0.56 square inches Base Pressure = F/A 3 Mpa 0.46 ksi Required role or per will ength (Base Pressure = F/A 3 Mpa 0.46 ksi Required role or equired to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along entire 24* rail length Individual bot area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along entire 24* rail length Individual bot area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along entire 24* rail length distributed between 22 botts Minimum Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along ext rail length distributed between 4 botts Grade 8 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required 0.001 inches Actual Bott Diameter Required 0.001 inches	Armature height:	19	mm		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	t = 2x/(delta v)	t (ms)	3.77		
Avg. Current:7.0225 a_{evg} (kG's)Avg. Current: $l_{avg} = (2ma/L^{-})^{0.5}$ 58.32k-AmpsAssume: average acceleration is 70% of peak acceleration and this ratio is $Peak$ Current69.71k-Ampsproportional to $(l_{avg}^{-2}/l_{peak}^{-2})$ Linear current density: 69.71 k-Ampsproportional to $(l_{avg}^{-2}/l_{peak}^{-2})$ Linear current density: 3.67 (kA/mm)Note: linear current densities > 45 kA/mm arregarded as unstable for railgun designElectrical Action: $G=2mv/L^{-1}$ $1.28E+07$ Amp2sElectrical Action is a measure of heating due to current flow $\Delta T = (\rho_e/\rho_m C_p)^*(G/A^2)$ 40.00 Kelvin (K)Based on thumbrule of a delta T of 40 K across the rail due to resistive heating, where to current flowConductor Area = $([\rho_e/\rho_m C_p)(G/\Delta T)]^{0.5}$ 40.06 mm2The expression $(\rho_e/\rho_m C_p)$ is a ratio of electrical resistivity to the product of mass density and specific heat capacity, a typical value for the ratio for copper is 0.005 (K/Amp2^{0}s)/mm4.Required rail width (mm)2.11mmActual rail width (mm)9.53mmLorentz Force at peak current:1143.66N257IbfBore Area (m2)0.00361m20.56square inchesBase Pressure = F/A3Mpa0.46ksiRequision force per unit length0.06MN/m0.34kip/in(Base Pressure = S/A)3Mpa0.46ksiGrade 2 SAE J429 3/8* diameter stainless steel bolts57000psiIndividual bolt area requ	$a_{avg} = 2x/(t^2)$	7.02E+04	a _{avg} (m/s ²)		
Avg. Current: $l_{avg} = (2ma/L')^{0.5}$ 58.32k-AmpsAssume: average acceleration is 70% of peak acceleration and this ratio is proportional to (l_{avg}^2/l_{peak}^2) Linear current density:69.71k-Ampsproportional to (l_{avg}^2/l_{peak}^2) Linear current density:3.67(kA/mm)Note: linear current densities > 45 kA/mm arc regarded as unstable for railgun designElectrical Action:G=2mv/L'1.28E+07Amp ² sElectrical Action is a measure of heating due to current flow $\Delta T = (\rho_e/\rho_m C_p)^*(G/A^2)$ 40.00Kelvin (K)Based on thumbrule of a delta T of 40 K across the rail due to resistive heating, where density and specific heat capacity, a typical value for the ratio for copper is 0.005Required rail width9.53mm²The expression $(\rho_e/\rho_m C_p)$ is a ratio of electrical resistivity to the product of mass density and specific heat capacity, a typical value for the ratio for copper is 0.0003610.000 m²Lorentz Force at peak current: F = (1/2)L ¹ peak²1143.66N257lbfBase Pressure = F/A3Mpa0.46ksiRepulsion force per unit length (Base Pressure x Bore height)0.06MN/m0.34kip/inGrade 2 SAE J429 3/8' diameter stainless steel bolts distributed between 22 bolts57000psinchesIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength Minimum Wield Strength0.092inchesIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal requision force along 2' rail length distributed between 4 bolts <t< td=""><td>-avg - (-)</td><td>7.0225</td><td>a_{avg} (kG's)</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	-avg - (-)	7.0225	a _{avg} (kG's)		
Peak Current $l_{peak} = (l_{avg}^2/0.7)^{0.5}$ 69.71k-Ampspeak acceleration and this ratio is proportional to (l_{avg}^2/l_{peak}^2) Linear current density: $l_{peak}' = l_{peak} / armature height3.67(kA/mm)Note: linear current densities > 45 kA/mm arrregarded as unstable for railgun designElectrical Action: G=2mv/L'1.28E+07Amp2sElectrical Action is a measure of heating dueto current flow\Delta T = (\rho_e/\rho_m C_p)^*(G/A^2)40.00Kelvin (K)Based on thumbrule of a delta T of 40 Kacross the rail due to resistive heating, whereelectrical resistivity to the product of massdensity and specific heat capacity, a typicalvalue for the ratio for copper is0.005Required rail width(1/4' rail + 1/8' rail liner)9.53mmLorentz Force at peak current:F = (1/2)L'1peak^21143.66N257Base Pressure = F/A3Mpa0.46ksiRepulsion force per unit length(Base Pressure = F/A)0.06MN/m0.34kip/inGrade 2 SAE J429 3/8' diameter stainless steel boltsMinimum Yield Strength57000psi0.01square inchesGrade 2 Minimum Sielt Diameter Requiredto longitudinal repulsion force along entire 24' rail lengthdistributed between 22 bolts0.092inchesIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength forstatic longitudinal repulsion force along 2' rail lengthdistributed between 4 bolts0.061inchesIndividual Bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength forstatic longitudinal repulsion force along 2' rail lengthdistributed between 4 bolts0.061$	Avg. Current: I _{avg} = (2ma/L') ^{0.5}	58.32	k-Amps	Assume:	average acceleration is 70% of
Linear current density: $l_{peak}' = l_{peak} / armature height3.67(kA/mm)Note: linear current densities > 45 kA/mm arrregarded as unstable for railgun designElectrical Action: G=2mv/L'1.28E+07Amp2sElectrical Action is a measure of heating dueto current flow\Delta T = (\rho_e/\rho_m C_p)^*(G/A^2)40.00Kelvin (K)Based on thumbrule of a delta T of 40 Kacross the rail due to resistive heating, whereacross the rail due to resistive heatin$	Peak Current $I_{peak} = (I_{avg}^2/0.7)^{0.5}$	69.71	k-Amps	peak pro	acceleration and this ratio is portional to (I_{avg}^2/I_{peak}^2)
Electrical Action: $G=2mv/L'$ $1.28E+07$ Amp^2s Electrical Action is a measure of heating due to current flow $\Delta T = (\rho_e/\rho_m C_p)^*(G/A^2)$ 40.00 Kelvin (K)Based on thumbrule of a delta T of 40 K across the rail due to resistive heating, where across the rail due to resistive heating, where across the rail due to resistive heating, where across the rail due to resistive heating, where the expression ($\rho_e/\rho_m C_p$) is a ratio of 	Linear current density: I _{peak} ' = I _{peak} / armature height	3.67	(kA/mm)	Note: linear regarded	current densities > 45 kA/mm are as unstable for railgun design
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Electrical Action: G=2mv/L'	1.28E+07	Amp ² s	Electrical A	ction is a measure of heating due to current flow
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\Delta T = (\rho_e / \rho_m C_p)^* (G/A^2)$	40.00	Kelvin (K)	Based on thumbrule of a delta T of 40 k across the rail due to resistive heating, wh	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Conductor Area = $[(\rho_e / \rho_m C_p)(G/\Delta T)]^{0.5}$	Conductor Area = $[(\rho_e/\rho_m C_p)(G/\Delta T)]^{0.5}$ 40.06 mm ² The expension electrical density and value for the electrical density and value for the electrical density and the electrical density are density and the electrical density are de		ression $(\rho_e/\rho_m C_p)$ is a ratio of resistivity to the product of mass d specific heat capacity, a typical the ratio for copper is 0.005 (K/Amp ² s)/mm ⁴ .	
Actual rail width (1/4" rail + 1/8" rail liner)9.53mmLorentz Force at peak current: F = (1/2)L'I peak1143.66N257IbfBore Area (m²)0.000361m²0.56square inchesBase Pressure = F/A3Mpa0.46ksiRepulsion force per unit length (Base Pressure x Bore height)0.06MN/m0.34kip/inGrade 2 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength57000psiIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength distributed between 22 bolts0.092inchesGrade 2 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required Minimum Yield Strength0.092inchesIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength distributed between 22 bolts130000psiIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along entire 24" rail length distributed between 22 bolts130000psiGrade 2 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required Minimum Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along 2" rail length between 4 bolts0.00square inchesGrade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Sitel Strength130000psiIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along 2" rail length distributed between 4 bolts0.061inchesGrade 8 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required between 4 bolts0.061inches	Required rail width (mm)	2.11	mm		
Lorentz Force at peak current: $F = (1/2)L'l_{peak}^2$ 1143.66N257IbfBore Area (m²)0.000361m²0.56square inchesBase Pressure = F/A3Mpa0.46ksiRepulsion force per unit length (Base Pressure x Bore height)0.06MN/m0.34kip/inGrade 2 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength57000psiIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength distributed between 22 bolts0.01square inchesGrade 2 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required0.092inchesGrade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts distributed between 22 bolts130000psiIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along entire 24" rail length distributed between 22 bolts130000psiGrade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength130000psiIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along 2" rail length distributed between 4 bolts0.061inchesGrade 8 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required0.061inches10.00Grade 8 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required0.061inches	Actual rail width (1/4" rail + 1/8" rail liner)	9.53	mm		
Bore Area (m²)0.000361m²0.56square inchesBase Pressure = F/A3Mpa0.46ksiRepulsion force per unit length (Base Pressure x Bore height)0.06MN/m0.34kip/inGrade 2 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength57000psiIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength distributed between 22 bolts0.01square inchesGrade 2 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required0.092inchesGrade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts 	Lorentz Force at peak current: $F = (1/2)L'I_{peak}^{2}$	1143.66	Ν	257	lbf
Base Pressure = F/A3Mpa0.46ksiRepulsion force per unit length (Base Pressure x Bore height)0.06MN/m0.34kip/inGrade 2 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength57000psiIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for distributed between 22 bolts57000square inchesGrade 2 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required0.092inchesGrade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts 	Bore Area (m ²)	0.000361	m ²	0.56	square inches
Repulsion force per unit length (Base Pressure x Bore height)0.06MN/m0.34kip/inGrade 2 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength57000psiIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along entire 24" rail length distributed between 22 bolts0.01square inchesGrade 2 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required0.092inchespsiGrade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength130000psiIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength0.00square inchesGrade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength130000psiIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along 2" rail length distributed between 4 bolts0.061inchesGrade 8 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required0.061inches	Base Pressure = F/A	3	Мра	0.46	ksi
Grade 2 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength57000psiIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along entire 24" rail length distributed between 22 bolts0.01square inchesGrade 2 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required0.092inchesGrade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength130000psiIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength0.00square inchesGrade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength0.00square inchesIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along 2" rail length distributed between 4 bolts0.061inchesGrade 8 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required0.061inchesactual Bolt DiameterOrade 8 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required0.375inches	Repulsion force per unit length (Base Pressure x Bore height)	0.06	MN/m	0.34	kip/in
Individual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along entire 24" rail length distributed between 22 bolts 0.01 square inches Grade 2 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required 0.092 inches Grade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength 130000 psi Individual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along 2" rail length distributed between 4 bolts 0.00 square inches Grade 8 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required 0.061 inches	Grade 2 SAE J429 3/8" diar	neter stainless s	teel bolts	57000	psi
Individual bolt area required to avoid exceeding frield Strength for distributed between 22 bolts 0.01 square inches Grade 2 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required 0.092 inches Grade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength 130000 psi Individual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along 2" rail length distributed between 4 bolts 0.00 square inches Grade 8 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required 0.061 inches	Individual balt area required to ave		old Strongth for		
Grade 2 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required 0.092 inches Grade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts 130000 psi Minimum Yield Strength 130000 psi Individual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for 0.00 square inches static longitudinal repulsion force along 2" rail length distributed 0.00 square inches Grade 8 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required 0.061 inches Actual Bolt Diameter 0.375 inches	static longitudinal repulsion force along entire 24" rail length distributed between 22 bolts			0.01	square inches
Grade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts Minimum Yield Strength130000psiIndividual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along 2" rail length distributed between 4 bolts0.00square inchesGrade 8 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required0.061inchesActual Bolt Diameter0.375inches	Grade 2 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required			0.092	inches
Individual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along 2" rail length distributed between 4 bolts 0.00 square inches Grade 8 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required 0.061 inches Actual Bolt Diameter 0.375 inches	Grade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diar Minimum Yie	neter stainless s ld Strength	teel bolts	130000	psi
Grade 8 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required 0.061 inches Actual Bolt Diameter 0.375 inches	Individual bolt area required to ave static longitudinal repulsion force between	oid exceeding Yie along 2" rail leng 4 bolts	eld Strength for gth distributed	0.00	square inches
Actual Bolt Diameter 0.375 inches	Grade 8 Minimum Bol	t Diameter Requi	red	0.061	inches
	Actual Bolt	Diameter		0.375	inches

Table 20. 265 m/s Solid Augmented Parameter Model

290 n	n/s	Slotted	Augmented	Parameter	Model
-------	-----	---------	-----------	-----------	-------

Slotted Rail Augmented	Parameter Mo	erimental	Velocity Result: 290 m/s	
L' 0.68279	μH/m			
Target velocity:	290	m/s]	
Projectile mass:	11.4	grams		
Effective length:	50	cm		
Armature height:	19	mm		
t = 2x/(delta v)	t (ms)	3.45		
$a_{avg} = 2x/(t^2)$	8.41E+04	a _{avg} (m/s ²)	-	
	8.41	a _{avg} (kG's)		
Avg. Current: I _{avg} = (2ma/L') ^{0.5}	52.99	k-Amps	Assume:	average acceleration is 70% of
Peak Current	63.34	k-Amps	peak	portional to (I_{avg}^2/I_{peak}^2)
$I_{\text{peak}} = (I_{\text{avg}}^{-}/0.7)^{\circ \circ \circ}$				
Linear current density: I _{peak} ' = I _{peak} / armature height	3.33	(kA/mm)	Note: linear regarded	current densities > 45 kA/mm are I as unstable for railgun design
Electrical Action: G=2mv/L'	9.68E+06	Amp ² s	Electrical A	ction is a measure of heating due to current flow
$\Delta T = (\rho_e / \rho_m C_p)^* (G/A^2)$	40.00	Kelvin (K)	Based on thumbrule of a delta T of 40 across the rail due to resistive heating, w	
Conductor Area = $[(\rho_e/\rho_m C_p)(G/\Delta T)]^{0.5}$ 34.79 mm		mm ²	The expression $(\rho_e / \rho_m C_p)$ is a ratio of electrical resistivity to the product of mass density and specific heat capacity, a typical value for the ratio for copper is 0.005 (K/Amp ² s)/mm ⁴ .	
Required rail width (mm)	1.83	mm		
Actual rail width (1/4" rail + 1/8" rail liner)	9.53	mm		
Lorentz Force at peak current: $F = (1/2)L'I_{peak}^{2}$	1369.63	N	308	lbf
Bore Area (m ²)	0.000361	m ²	0.56	square inches
Base Pressure = F/A	4	Mpa	0.55	ksi
Repulsion force per unit length (Base Pressure x Bore height)	0.07	MN/m	0.41	kip/in
Grade 2 SAE J429 3/8" diar Minimum Vie	neter stainless s	teel bolts	57000	psi
Individual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along entire 24" rail length distributed between 22 bolts			0.01	square inches
Grade 2 Minimum Bol	0.100	inches		
Grade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diar Minimum Yie	neter stainless s ld Strenath	teel bolts	130000	psi
Individual bolt area required to aver static longitudinal repulsion force between	bid exceeding Yie along 2" rail leng 4 bolts	eld Strength for gth distributed	0.00	square inches
Grade 8 Minimum Bol	t Diameter Requi	ired	0.066	inches
Actual Bolt	Diameter		0.375	inches

Table 21. 290 m/s Slotted Augmented Parameter Model

Solid Non-Augmented Parameter Model for Peak Current, Maximum Velocity for Grade 2 Bolt Diameter

Solid Rail Non-Augmented Parameter Model for Actual Grade 2 Bolt Design						
L' 0.30368	μH/m					
Target velocity:	1085	m/s				
Projectile mass:	11.4	grams				
Effective length:	50	cm				
Armature height:	19	mm				
t = 2x/(delta v)	t (ms)	0.92	-			
$a_{avg} = 2x/(t^2)$	1.18E+06	$a_{avg} (m/s^2)$				
	117.7225	a _{avg} (KGS)	-			
Avg. Current: $I_{avg} = (2ma/L')^{0.5}$	297.30	k-Amps	Assume:	average acceleration is 70% of		
Peak Current $I_{peak} = (I_{avg}^2/0.7)^{0.5}$	355.34	k-Amps	peak	pportional to $(_{avg}^2/ _{peak}^2)$		
Linear current density: I _{peak} ' = I _{peak} / armature height	18.70	(kA/mm)	Note: linear regarded	current densities > 45 kA/mm are d as unstable for railgun design		
Electrical Action: G=2mv/L'	8.15E+07	Amp ² s	Electrical A	ction is a measure of heating due to current flow		
$\Delta T = (\rho_e / \rho_m C_p)^* (G/A^2)$	40.00	Kelvin (K)	Based on thumbrule of a delta T of 40 across the rail due to resistive heating, w A = conductor cross-sectional area			
Conductor Area = [(ρ _e /ρ _m C _p)(G/ΔT)] ^{0.5}	100.91	mm ²	The expression $(\rho_e / \rho_m C_p)$ is a ratio electrical resistivity to the product of m density and specific heat capacity, a ty value for the ratio for copper is 0. (K/Amp ² s)/mm ⁴ .			
Required rail width (mm)	5.31	mm				
Actual rail width (1/4" rail + 1/8" rail liner)	9.53	mm				
Lorentz Force at peak current:						
$F = (1/2)L'I_{peak}^{2}$	19171.95	N	4310	lbf		
Bore Area (m ²)	0.000361	m ²	0.56	square inches		
Base Pressure = F/A	53	Мра	7.71	ksi		
Repulsion force per unit length (Base Pressure x Bore height)	1.01	MN/m	5.78	kip/in		
Grade 2 SAE J429 3/8" dia	meter stainless s	teel bolts				
Minimum Yie	ld Strength		57000	psi		
Individual bolt area required to avoid exceeding Yield Strength for static longitudinal repulsion force along entire 24" rail length distributed between 22 bolts		0.11	square inches			
Grade 2 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required			0.375	inches		
Grade 8 SAE J429 3/8" diameter stainless steel bolts			130000	psi		
Individual bolt area required to av static longitudinal repulsion force between	oid exceeding Yi along 2" rail len 4 bolts	eld Strength for gth distributed	0.05	square inches		
Grade 8 Minimum Bolt Diameter Required			0.249	inches		
Actual Bolt Diameter				inches		

Table 22.Parameter Estimate of Peak Current and Final
Velocity for 3/8" diameter Grade 2 Bolts

C. CONSERVATION OF ENERGY INTEGRATION [4]

35 kJ Solid Non-Augmented Velocity Integration

Rail length as an integral function of velocity for solid/non-augmented input parameters:								
$\int dx = \frac{1}{2a} \ln\left(av^2 + bv + c\right) - \frac{b}{2a} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}} \ln\left(\frac{2av + b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2av + b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}\right)\right] + D$								
Table integral for	Table integral form: $V = av^2 + bv + c$							
Input Par	ameters:	Velocity (m/s)	First Term:	Second Term:	Required Rail Length (m):			
mass (g)	0.0114	120	-9.17E+01	-6.23E+01	0.12			
C (farads)	1.66E-03	121	-9.13E+01	-6.28E+01	0.13			
L (Henries)	5.50E-06	122	-9.08E+01	-6.32E+01	0.13			
R (ohms)	3.30E-03	123	-9.03E+01	-6.37E+01	0.14			
Volts	6.50E+03	124	-8.98E+01	-6.43E+01	0.14			
$W_0(J)$	3.51E+04	125	-8.92E+01	-6.48E+01	0.15			
L' (H/m)	3.04E-07	126	-8.86E+01	-6.54E+01	0.16			
Integral factors:		127	-8.80E+01	-6.60E+01	0.16			
a = -L'/L	-5.52E-02	128	-8.73E+01	-6.67E+01	0.17			
b= -2R/L	-1.20E+03	129	-8.65E+01	-6.75E+01	0.18			
$c = (L' W_o)/(mL)$	1.70E+05	130	-8.57E+01	-6.83E+01	0.19			
4ac	-3.75E+04	131	-8.48E+01	-6.91E+01	0.20			
b ²	1.44E+06	132	-8.38E+01	-7.01E+01	0.21			
b / 2a	1.09E+04	133	-8.27E+01	-7.12E+01	0.23			
Square Roo	ot (b ² - 4ac)	134	-8.14E+01	-7.25E+01	0.24			
1.22	E+03	135	-8.00E+01	-7.39E+01	0.26			
<u>1/Square Root(b² - 4ac)</u>		136	-7.82E+01	-7.57E+01	0.28			
8.23E-04		137	-7.60E+01	-7.79E+01	0.31			
D = Integration Constant:		138	-7.31E+01	-8.08E+01	0.35			
154	.17	139	-6.87E+01	-8.50E+01	0.40			
		140	-6.01E+01	-9.36E+01	0.51			
	141 -5.54E+01 -9.82E+01 0.57							

Table 23.35 kJ Velocity Integral, Solid Non-
Augmented.

Rail length as an integral function of velocity for slotted/non-augmented input parameters:							
$\int dx = \frac{1}{2a} \ln \left(av^2 + bv + c \right) - \frac{b}{2a} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}} \ln \left(\frac{2av + b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2av + b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}} \right) \right] + D$							
Table integral for	Table integral form: $V = av^2 + bv + c$						
Input Par	ameters:	Velocity (m/s)	First Term:	Second Term:	Required Rail Length (m):		
mass (g)	0.0114	160	-6.78E+01	-3.58E+01	0.13		
C (farads)	1.66E-03	162	-6.75E+01	-3.61E+01	0.13		
L (Henries)	5.50E-06	164	-6.72E+01	-3.64E+01	0.14		
R (ohms)	3.30E-03	166	-6.69E+01	-3.67E+01	0.15		
Volts	6.50E+03	168	-6.65E+01	-3.71E+01	0.15		
$W_{0}(J)$	3.51E+04	170	-6.62E+01	-3.74E+01	0.16		
L' (H/m)	4.41E-07	172	-6.58E+01	-3.78E+01	0.17		
Integral factors:		174	-6.53E+01	-3.82E+01	0.18		
a = -L'/L	-8.01E-02	176	-6.49E+01	49E+01 -3.87E+01 (
b= -2R/L	-1.20E+03	178	-6.44E+01 -3.91E+01		0.20		
$c = (L' W_o)/(mL)$	2.46E+05	180	-6.39E+01	-3.97E+01	0.21		
4ac	-7.89E+04	182	-6.33E+01	-4.02E+01	0.23		
b ²	1.44E+06	184	-6.27E+01	-4.08E+01	0.24		
b / 2a	7.49E+03	186	-6.19E+01	-4.15E+01	0.26		
Square Roo	ot (b ² - 4ac)	188	-6.11E+01	-4.23E+01	0.28		
1.23	E+03	190	-6.02E+01	-4.32E+01	0.30		
<u>1/Square Root(b² - 4ac)</u>		192	-5.91E+01	-4.43E+01	0.33		
8.11E-04		194	-5.78E+01	-4.55E+01	0.36		
D = Integratio	on Constant:	196	-5.62E+01	-4.72E+01	0.40		
103	.74	198	-5.39E+01	-4.94E+01	0.46		
		200	-5.03E+01	-5.29E+01	0.55		
		202	-4.09E+01	-6.20E+01	0.80		

35 kJ Slotted Non-Augmented Velocity Integration

Table 24.35 kJ Velocity Integral, Slotted Non-
Augmented.

Rail length as an integral function of velocity for solid/augmented input parameters:						
$\int dx = \frac{1}{2a} \ln\left(av^2 + bv + c\right) - \frac{b}{2a} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}} \ln\left(\frac{2av + b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2av + b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}\right)\right] + D$						
Table integral for	m: $V = av^2 + bv$	+ C				
Input Parameters:		Velocity (m/s)	First Term:	Second Term:	Required Rail Length (m):	
mass (g)	0.0114	150	-6.61E+01	-3.05E+01	0.08	
C (farads)	1.66E-03	152	-6.59E+01	-3.07E+01	0.09	
L (Henries)	5.50E-06	154	-6.57E+01	-3.09E+01	0.09	
R (ohms)	3.30E-03	156	-6.55E+01	-3.11E+01	0.09	
Volts	6.50E+03	158	-6.53E+01	-3.12E+01	0.10	
W ₀ (J)	3.51E+04	160	-6.51E+01	-3.14E+01	0.10	
L' (H/m)	4.71E-07	162	-6.49E+01	-3.17E+01	0.11	
Integral	factors:	164	-6.47E+01	-3.19E+01	0.11	
a = -L'/L	-8.56E-02	166	-6.44E+01	-3.21E+01	0.12	
b= -2R/L	-1.20E+03	168	-6.42E+01	-3.23E+01	0.12	
$c = (L' W_o)/(mL)$	2.63E+05	170	-6.40E+01	-3.26E+01	0.13	
4ac	-9.01E+04	172	-6.37E+01	-3.28E+01	0.13	
b ²	1.44E+06	174	-6.34E+01	-3.31E+01	0.14	
b / 2a	7.01E+03	176	-6.31E+01	-3.34E+01	0.15	
<u>Square Root (b² - 4ac)</u>		178	-6.28E+01	-3.36E+01	0.16	
1.24	1.24E+03		-6.25E+01	-3.40E+01	0.16	
<u>1/Square Root(b² - 4ac)</u>		182	-6.22E+01	-3.43E+01	0.17	
8.08	E-04	184	-6.18E+01	-3.46E+01	0.18	
D = Integratio	on Constant:	186	-6.15E+01	-3.50E+01	0.19	
96.	65	188	-6.11E+01	-3.54E+01	0.20	
		190	-6.06E+01	-3.58E+01	0.21	
		192	-6.02E+01	-3.63E+01	0.22	
		194	-5.97E+01	-3.67E+01	0.24	
		196	-5.91E+01	-3.73E+01	0.25	
		198	-5.85E+01	-3.79E+01	0.27	
		200	-5.78E+01	-3.86E+01	0.29	
		202	-5.70E+01	-3.93E+01	0.31	
		204	-5.61E+01	-4.02E+01	0.34	
		206	-5.51E+01	-4.12E+01	0.37	
		208	-5.38E+01	-4.25E+01	0.40	
		210	-5.21E+01	-4.41E+01	0.45	
		212	-4.98E+01	-4.64E+01	0.52	
		214	-4.58E+01	-5.02E+01	0.64	

35 kJ Solid Augmented Velocity Integration

Table 25. 35 kJ Velocity Integral, Solid Augmented.

Rail length as an integral function of velocity for slotted/augmented input parameters:						
$\int dx = \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2a}\ln\left(av^2+av^2\right)$	$bv+c\Big)-\frac{b}{2a}$	$\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}} \ln\left(\frac{2av + b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2av + b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}\right)\right] + D$			
Table integral for	m: $V = av^2 + bv$	+ c	-			
Input Parameters:		Velocity (m/s)	First Term:	Second Term:	Required Rail Length (m):	
mass (g)	0.0114	150	-4.91E+01	-1.57E+01	0.05	
C (farads)	1.66E-03	155	-4.90E+01	-1.59E+01	0.05	
L (Henries)	5.50E-06	160	-4.89E+01	-1.60E+01	0.05	
R (ohms)	3.30E-03	165	-4.87E+01	-1.61E+01	0.06	
Volts	6.50E+03	170	-4.86E+01	-1.63E+01	0.06	
$W_0(J)$	3.51E+04	175	-4.85E+01	-1.64E+01	0.07	
L' (H/m)	6.83E-07	180	-4.83E+01	-1.65E+01	0.07	
Integral	factors:	185	-4.82E+01	-1.67E+01	0.08	
a = -L'/L	-1.24E-01	190	-4.80E+01	-1.69E+01	0.09	
b= -2R/L	-1.20E+03	195	-4.78E+01	-1.70E+01	0.09	
$c = (L' W_o)/(mL)$	3.82E+05	200	-4.76E+01	-1.72E+01	0.10	
4ac	-1.90E+05	205	-4.74E+01	-1.74E+01	0.11	
b ²	1.44E+06	210	-4.72E+01	-1.76E+01	0.12	
b / 2a	4.83E+03	215	-4.70E+01	-1.78E+01	0.12	
Square Roo	<u>Square Root (b² - 4ac)</u>		-4.68E+01	-1.80E+01	0.13	
1.28	1.28E+03		-4.66E+01	-1.82E+01	0.14	
1/Square Root(b ² - 4ac)		230	-4.63E+01	-1.84E+01	0.16	
7.83	E-04	235	-4.61E+01	-1.87E+01	0.17	
D = Integratio	on Constant:	240	-4.58E+01	-1.90E+01	0.18	
64.	.93	245	-4.55E+01	-1.92E+01	0.20	
		250	-4.52E+01	-1.96E+01	0.21	
		255	-4.48E+01	-1.99E+01	0.23	
		260	-4.44E+01	-2.03E+01	0.25	
		265	-4.40E+01	-2.07E+01	0.27	
		270	-4.35E+01	-2.12E+01	0.30	
		275	-4.29E+01	-2.17E+01	0.33	
		280	-4.23E+01	-2.23E+01	0.36	
		285	-4.15E+01	-2.30E+01	0.41	
		290	-4.05E+01	-2.39E+01	0.46	
		295	-3.93E+01	-2.51E+01	0.53	
		300	-3.74E+01	-2.69E+01	0.64	
		305	-3.37E+01	-3.03E+01	0.86	
		310	-3.07E+01	-3.32E+01	1.03	

35 kJ Slotted Augmented Velocity Integration

Table 26. 35 kJ Velocity Integral, Slotted Augmented.

Rail length as an integral function of velocity for slotted/augmented input parameters:						
$\int dx = \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2a}\ln\left(av^2+av^2\right)$	$bv+c\Big)-\frac{b}{2a}$	$\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 - 4a}}\right]$	$= \ln\left(\frac{2av+b}{2av+b}\right)$	$\left[\frac{b-\sqrt{b^2-4ac}}{b+\sqrt{b^2-4ac}}\right] + D$	
Table integral for	$rm: V = av^2 + bv$	+ C				
Input Parameters:		Velocity (m/s)	First Term:	Second Term:	Required Rail Length (m):	
mass (g)	0.0114	350	-5.26E+01 -1.20E+01		0.10	
C (farads)	1.66E-03	355	-5.25E+01	-1.21E+01	0.33	
L (Henries)	5.50E-06	360	-5.25E+01	-1.21E+01	0.34	
R (ohms)	3.30E-03	365	-5.24E+01	-1.22E+01	0.34	
Volts	1.00E+04	370	-5.24E+01	-1.22E+01	0.34	
$W_0(J)$	8.30E+04	375	-5.23E+01	-1.23E+01	0.35	
L' (H/m)	6.83E-07	380	-5.22E+01	-1.23E+01	0.35	
Integral	factors:	385	-5.22E+01	-1.24E+01	0.36	
a = -L'/L	-1.24E-01	390	-5.21E+01	-1.24E+01	0.36	
b= -2R/L	-1.20E+03	395	-5.21E+01	-1.25E+01	0.37	
$c = (L' W_o)/(mL)$	9.04E+05	400	-5.20E+01	-1.26E+01	0.37	
4ac	-4.49E+05	405	-5.19E+01	-1.26E+01	0.38	
b ²	1.44E+06	410	-5.19E+01	-1.27E+01	0.38	
b / 2a	4.83E+03	415	-5.18E+01	-1.27E+01	0.39	
Square Roo	<u>Square Root (b² - 4ac)</u>		-5.17E+01	-1.28E+01	0.39	
1.37	'E+03 425 -5.17E+01 -1.29E+01		0.40			
<u>1/Square Root(b² - 4ac)</u>		430	-5.16E+01	-1.29E+01	0.40	
7.28	E-04	435	-5.15E+01	-1.30E+01	0.41	
D = Integratio	on Constant:	440	-5.14E+01	-1.31E+01	0.42	
64.	.93	445	-5.14E+01	-1.31E+01	0.42	
		450	-5.13E+01	-1.32E+01	0.43	
		455	-5.12E+01	-1.33E+01	0.44	
		460	-5.11E+01	-1.34E+01	0.44	
		465	-5.10E+01	-1.34E+01	0.45	
		470	-5.10E+01	-1.35E+01	0.46	
		475	-5.09E+01	-1.36E+01	0.46	
		480	-5.08E+01	-1.37E+01	0.47	
		485	-5.07E+01	-1.38E+01	0.48	
		490	-5.06E+01	-1.38E+01	0.49	
		495	-5.05E+01	-1.39E+01	0.50	
		500	-5.04E+01	-1.40E+01	0.51	
		505	-5.03E+01	-1.41E+01	0.52	
		510	-5.02E+01	-1.42E+01	0.53	

83 kJ Slotted Augmented Velocity Integration

Table 27. 83 kJ Velocity Integral, Slotted Augmented.

D. STRUCTURAL DESIGN VERIFICATION

Rail containment deflection is modeled based on static loading from 500 kA peak current conditions predicted for the solid non-augmented configuration in Table 15. The platform railgun test cross-sectional geometry is simplified by considering the rail liner, primary, and augmenting conducting rails as a single solid oxygen free copper conducting bar. The homogenous beam bending model considers only the 1-3/8" G-11 material from the outer face the augmenting conductor rail to the top of the of containment. The resultant combined rail and containment geometry contributing to the beam bending model are represented in Figure 30.



Figure 31. Simplified Beam Geometry (Not to scale)

The transformed geometry after expressing the copper in terms of G-11 for purposes of calculated the rectangular moment of inertia is depicted by Figure 31.



Figure 32. Transformed Homogenous Beam Geometry (Not to Scale)

The centroid and moment of inertia for the transformed geometry of Figure 31 are based on the following equations.

$$Y_{centroid} = \left(\frac{y_{c}A_{c} + y_{G-11}A_{G-11}}{A_{c} + A_{G-11}}\right)$$
$$I = \sum \left[\frac{1}{12}x_{i}y_{i}^{3} + A_{i}\left|Y_{centroid} - Y_{i}\right|^{2}\right]$$

Table 27 lists the values used in the previous equations to calculate the rectangular moment of inertia for the transformed cross-section.

Centroid and Moment of Inertia Calculations for Equivalent Homogenous Beam							
Section	Elasticity Modulus (psi)	Area (in ²)	<u>y (in)</u>	<u>y</u> A(in ³)	Centroid (in)	Moment of Inertia (in ⁴)	
Copper	1.67E+07	7.75	0.1875	1.453	0.6448	<u> 9</u> 270	
G-11	2.70E+06	6.5313	1.1875	7.756	0.0440	0.570	
Table 28. Transformed Geometry Moment of Inertia							

Table 28. Transformed Geometry Moment of Inertia Calculation THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX D. MAGNETIC FIELD AND CIRCUIT SIMULATIONS



A. COMSOL MULTIPHYSICS MODELING

100 k-Amp DC, Solid Non-Augmented





Figure 34. Solid Non-Augmented Magnetic Field Across Bore

X axis is in units of meters, Y axis is Magnetic field strength $\ensuremath{\text{A/m}}.$



Figure 35. Solid Non-Augmented Magnetic Field Across Rail Surface

X axis is in units of meters, Y axis is Magnetic field strength $\ensuremath{\text{A/m}}.$



100 k-Amp DC, Slotted Rail, Non-Augmented

Figure 36. Slotted Non-Augmented Magnetic Flux Density

X and Y axes units are in meters.



Figure 37. Slotted Non-Augmented Magnetic Field Across Bore

X axis is in units of meters, Y axis is Magnetic field strength $\ensuremath{\text{A/m}}.$



Figure 38. Slotted Non-Augmented Magnetic Field Across Rail Surface

X axis is in units of meters, Y axis is Magnetic field strength $\ensuremath{\text{A/m}}.$


100 k-Amp DC, Solid Rail, Augmented



X and Y axes are units are in meters.





X axis is in units of meters, Y axis is Magnetic field strength $\ensuremath{\text{A/m}}.$



Figure 41. Solid, Augmented Magnetic Field Across Rail Surface

X axis is in units of meters, Y axis is Magnetic field strength $\ensuremath{\text{A/m}}.$



100 k-Amp DC, Slotted Rail, Augmented

Figure 42. Slotted Augment Magnetic Flux Density

X and Y axes units are in meters.



Figure 43. Solid Augmented Magnetic Field Across Bore

X axis is in units of meters, Y axis is Magnetic field strength $\ensuremath{\text{A/m}}.$



Figure 44. Solid Augmented Magnetic Field Across Rail Surface

X axis is in units of meters, Y axis is Magnetic field strength $\ensuremath{\text{A/m}}.$

B. ORCAD 10.3 P-SPICE CIRCUIT MODELING

LRC Model of the existing power supply, and resultant current profile at 35 $\rm kJ$





P-SPICE Single Module LRC Circuit Model



Figure 46. Single Power Module Current Profile



Four-Module Ripple Fired 332-kJ Circuit Model

Figure 47.

P-SPICE Four-Module LRC Circuit Model



Figure 48.

Four-Module Current Profile Output from Figure 46 Circuit Model

APPENDIX E. BREAK SCREEN AND CURRENT PROFILE SCREEN CAPTURES



6500 Volts, Solid Rail, Non-augmented

Figure 49. Solid Non-Augmented Velocity Measurement

Green and yellow traces are from break screens located at 0.5 meter interval for velocity measurement.



Figure 50. Solid Non-Augmented Current Profiles

Green and Purple Traces are the Pearson 1330 current monitor traces through the individual TVS-40 switches, the Yellow curve is the Pearson 1423 total current to the railgun.



6500 Volt, Slotted Rail, Non-Augmented

Figure 51. Slotted Non-Augmented Velocity Measurement

See caption for Figure 49.



Figure 52. Slotted Non-Augmented Current Profiles

See caption for Figure 50.



Figure 53. Solid Augmented Velocity Measurement See caption for Figure 49. Fluctuation in green trace is due to loose electrical connection and vibration during shot at break-screen mount, corrected for subsequent shots.

6500 Volt, Solid Rail, Augmented



Figure 54. Solid Augmented Current Profiles

See caption for Figure 50.



6500 Volts, Slotted, Augmented

Figure 55. Slotted Augmented Velocity Measurement

See caption for Figure 49.



Figure 56. Slotted Augmented Current Profiles

See caption for Figure 50.

APPENDIX F. TYPICAL POST-SHOT MATERIAL CONDITIONS



Rails and Insulators

Figure 57. Typical Post-Shot Rail and Insulator Wear

Armature Wear



Figure 58. Typical Post-Shot Armature Wear

Muzzle



Figure 59. Muzzle Block Indicating Muzzle Flash Arcing

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

LIST OF REFERENCES

1. McNab, I.R., Stefani, F., Crawford, M., Erengil, M., Persad, C., Satapathy, S., Vanicek, H., Watt, T., and Dampier, C., *Development of a Naval Railgun*, IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, Vol.41, No.1, pp.206-213, January 2005.

2. Materials Web website, last accessed 23 February
2006, http://www.matweb.com/search/

3. Kerrisk, J.F., Current Distribution and Inductance Calculations for Rail-Gun Conductors, LANL report LA-9092-MS, Nov. 1981.

4. Maier, B., Selected Topics in Railgun Technology Course Notes, Naval Postgraduate School, July 2005.

5. Kotas, J.F., Guderjahn, C.A., Littman, F.D., A Parametric Evaluation of Railgun Augmentation, IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, Vol.22, No.6, November 1986.

6. Chen,Y.G., Dethlefsen,R., Crumley,R., *High-Coulomb Vacuum Switch*, IEEE International Digest of Technical Papers, Pulsed Power Conference 1993,Digest of Technical Papers, Vol.2, pp.938-941, June 1993.

7. Crawford, M., *Railgun System Technology*, Naval Postgraduate School Physics Department Colloquium Lecture, May 2004.

8. ACF Component & Fasteners, Inc. Specification Handbook, 2005 Edition, last accessed 05 March 2006, www.acfcom.com

9. Ugural, A.C. and Fenster, S.K., Advanced Strength and Applied Elasticity, Fourth Edition, Prentice Hall Publishers, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2003, pp.527.

10. K-Mac Plastics website, last accessed 23 February
2006, www.k-mac-plastics.net/data%20sheets/Acculamtechnical-data.htm

11. CoorsTek Ceramics website, last accessed 23
February 2006, www.coorstek.com/materials/ceramics/
alumina/ad96.asp

12. American Micro-Industries website, last accessed 23 February 2006, <u>www.electricalinsulationmaterial.com/</u> electrical-insulation-products/mylar_polyester_film/ mylarpolyester-film.html#mylar-properties

13. Stefani, F., Watt, T., Experimental and Computational Investigation of Root-Radius Melting in C-Shaped Solid Armatures, IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, Vol.41, No.1, pp.442-447, January 2005.

14. Hackel,L., Chen,H., Laser Peening - A Processing Tool to Strengthen Metals or Alloys to Improve Fatigue Lifetime and Retard Stress-Induced Corrosion Cracking, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Laser Science and Technology Program UCRL-ID-155327, September 2003.

15. Renk,T., Buchheit,R., Sorensen,N., Senft,D., Thompson,M., Grabowski,K., Improvement of Surface Properties by Modification and Alloying with High-Power Ion Beams, Physics of Plasmas, Vol.5, No.5, pp.2144-2150, May 1998.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

- Defense Technical Information Center Ft. Belvoir, VA
- Dudley Knox Library Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA
- Professor William B. Maier II, Code PH/MW Department of Physics Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA
- Professor Terry McNelley, Code MAE/MC Department of Mechanical and Astronautical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA
- Engineering and Curriculum Office, Code 34 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA
- LT Brian C. Black Pittsburgh, PA
- 7. Tania Zaleski Laser Peening Project Leader Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Livermore, CA
- Tim Renk Beam Applications and Initiatives Project Leader Sandia National Laboratory Albuquerque, NM
- 9. Prof. Hans Mark Institute for Advanced Technology Austin, TX
- 10. Prof. Ian McNabb Institute for Advanced Technology Austin, TX

- 11. Dr. Mark Crawford Institute for Advanced Technology Austin, TX
- 12. Francis Stefani Institute for Advanced Technology Austin, TX
- 13. Dwayne Surls
 Institute for Advanced Technology
 Austin, TX
- 14. Chadee Persad Institute for Advanced Technology Austin, TX
- 15. Fred Beach Institute for Advanced Technology Austin, TX
- 16. Robert Hebner Center for Electromechanics Austin, TX
- 17. John Pappas
 Center for Electromechanics
 Austin, TX
- 18. Dr. Roger McGinnis Air Warfare and Naval Weapons Applications Division Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA
- 19. Roger Ellis EM Railgun INP Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA
- 20. John Kinser Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA
- 20. Elizabeth D'Andrea Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA

- 21. Dr. Irwin Singer Tribology Section, Naval Research Lab Washington, DC
- 22 Robin Keesee US Army Research and Development Command Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD
- 23. Mark McCormick, Northrop Grumman Corporation Ship Systems Pascagoula, MS