AD_____

Award Number: DAMD17-02-1-0683

TITLE: Breast Cancer Prevention by Inducing Apoptosis in DCIS Using Breast Ductal Lavage

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Patrick P. Koty, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Wake Forest University Winston-Salem, NC 27157-0001

REPORT DATE: September 2005

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

20060309 097

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

F			Form Approved							
Public reporting burden for this	s collection of information is estimated	mated to average 1 hour per resp	ponse, including the time for revie	eviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the						
this burden to Department of [Defense, Washington Headquart	ers Services, Directorate for Info	arding this burden estimate or an rmation Operations and Reports	(0704-0188), 1215 Jeffe	silection of information, including suggestions for reducing arson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-					
valid OMB control number. Pl	EASE DO NOT RETURN YOU	R FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDI	RESS.	for failing to comply with	n a collection of information if it does not display a currently					
1. REPORT DATE		2. REPORT TYPE		3. [DATES COVERED					
4. TITLE AND SUBTIT		Annual		5a.	CONTRACT NUMBER					
Breast Cancer Pre	 evention by Inducing	Apontosis in DCIS	Using Breast Duct	al jou.						
			Doing Dicast Duot	5b.	GRANT NUMBER					
Lavage				DA)AMD17-02-1-0683					
				5c.	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER					
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d.	PROJECT NUMBER					
	_									
Patrick P. Koty, Pl	ι.D <i>.</i>		_		9. TASK NUMBER					
		- 1								
				WORK UNIT NUMBER						
7. PERFORMING OP	SANIZATION NAME(S)		·	9 0						
				0. F	UMBER					
Wake Forest Univ	ersity									
Winston-Salem, N	C 27157-0001									
9. SPONSORING / MC	NITORING AGENCY N	IAME(S) AND ADDRES	S(ES)	10.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)					
U.S. Army Medica	Research and Ma	teriel Command								
Fort Detrick, Mary	land 21/02-5012									
				11.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT					
					NUMBER(S)					
12 DISTRIBUTION / /										
Approved for Publ	ic Release: Distribu	ition Unlimited								
13. SUPPLEMENTAR	YNOTES									
14. ABSTRACT										
Although great stri	des have been ma	de in breast cancer	screening and treat	ment, it remair	ns the second highest cause of					
cancer-related deaths for women in the United States. Current prevention focuses on oral administration of tamoxifen which										
decreases breast cancer incidence but increases the risk for secondary uterine cancer. In addition, tamoxifen may not be										
enecuve in preventing those resions that are estrogen receptor (ER) negative based on its primary function of suppressing cell proliforation by blocking the estrogen receptor. We hypethesize that prevented cell depth is divergentiated in prevention of suppressing cell										
and malignant breast cells which permits both EP positive and EP possitive cells to sucid cell death. We intend to investigate										
whether treating n	remalignant breast	cells with a molecul	e and chaneyalive of	ient may be ef	fective alone or in concert with					
tamovifen treatment to induce cell death in both EP-nocitive and EP negative cells. Ultimotely, we envision delivering concert										
based preventive agents and/or tamoxifen directly to the breast ductal lobe of these bids risk individuals thus eliminating any										
potential for tamoxifen-induced uterine cancers.										
•										
15. SUBJECT TERMS										
Chemoprevention, apoptosis, breast ductal lavage, ductal carcinoma in situ, bcl-2										
16. SECURITY CLASS	SIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON					
			OF ABSTRACT	OF PAGES	USAMRMC					
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	1		19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area					
U	υ	U	טט	7	code)					
		ł	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i							

Standard	Form	298	(Rev.	8-98)		
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18						
	,					

Table of Contents

Cover1
SF 2982
Table of Contents3
Introduction4
Body4
Key Research Accomplishments7
Reportable Outcomes7
Conclusions7
References7
Appendices7

i

DAMD17-02-1-0683

TITLE:

Breast Cancer Prevention by Inducing Apoptosis in DCIS Using Breast Ductal Lavage

INTRODUCTION:

Although great strides have been made in breast cancer screening and treatment, it remains the second highest cause of cancer-related deaths for women in the United States. Current prevention has focused on oral administration of tamoxifen which appears to decrease breast cancer incidence but increases the risk for secondary uterine cancer. In addition, tamoxifen may not be effective in preventing those lesions that are estrogen receptor (ER) negative based on its primary function of suppressing cell proliferation by blocking the estrogen receptor. We hypothesize that programmed cell death (PCD) is dysregulated in premalignant and malignant breast cells which permits both ER-positive and ER-negative cells to avoid cell death. We intend to investigate whether treating premalignant breast cells with a molecular genetic-based agent (antisense bcl-2/bcl-xL oligonucleotide) may be effective alone or in concert with tamoxifen treatment to induce cell death in both ER-positive and ER-negative cells. Ultimately, we envision using the newly developed technique of breast ductal lavage to not only screen women for increased risk in developing breast cancer, which is currently being performed, but to also use this technique to delivery genetic-based preventive agents and/or tamoxifen directly to the breast ductal lobe of these high risk individuals thus eliminating any potential for tamoxifen-induced uterine cancers.

BODY:

Transfer of Funds from the University of Pittsburgh to Wake Forest University School of Medicine

On May 27, 2005 the University of Pittsburgh formally notified Ms. Brenda Merson, Contract Specialist at the USAMRAA Grant Transfer Section, that the University was releasing the funds for this project. I was notified on June 8, 2005 that the initial funds had arrived at the Wake Forest University School of Medicine. Thus, progress was made regarding this issue since last year's annual summary report.

Approval for the Use of Human Anatomical Substances

Although I currently have approval from the Wake Forest University School of Medicine's IRB to obtain breast ductal lavage samples, I am still awaiting final approval from the DoD's Human Subject Protection Office. Ms. Patricia Dubill indicates she will need additional documentation for final approval. I am currently in the process of obtaining these documents: 1. local IRB approval of DoD recommended revisions – should have approval shortly; 2. continuing review approval documentation from Wake Forest IRB – currently have; 3. consent form used to obtain samples at Magee –Womens Research Institute for the exempt study – I have contacted Dr. Jean Latimer multiple times regarding documentation but have yet to receive; 4. IRB approval letter from Magee-Womens Research Institute to obtain samples for the exempt study – I have contacted Dr. Jean Latimer multiple times regarding documentation but have yet to receive; 4. IRB approval letter from Magee-Womens Research Institute to obtain samples for the exempt study – I have contacted Dr. Jean Latimer multiple times regarding documentation but have yet to receive; 4. IRB approval letter from Magee-Womens Research Institute to obtain samples for the exempt study – I have contacted Dr. Jean Latimer multiple times regarding documentation but have yet to receive; 4.

DAMD17-02-1-0683

receive. Progress has been made on this subject since last year's annual summary report but final approval still awaits.

Statement of Work

- *Task 1.* Determine expression pattern of the PCD regulatory genes bcl-2, bax, and bcl-xL in primary DCIS cultures (Months 1-6):
 - a. Protein analysis of Bcl-2, Bax, and Bcl-xL using Western blotting and immunofluorescent staining.
- *Task 2.* Determine whether down-regulation by genetic manipulation of the antiapoptotic genes bcl-2 and/or bcl-xL alone or in conjunction with physiological preventive doses of tamoxifen has the highest induction of PCD in primary DCIS cell cultures (Months 6-18):
 - a. Treatment with antisense and control oligonucleotides and/or tamoxifen.
 - b. Protein analysis of Bcl-2, Bax, and Bcl-xL using Western blotting and immunofluorescent staining.
 - c. Quantify mRNA for bcl-2 or bcl-xL using a PCR-based assay.
 - d. Determine effect of treatment on programmed cell death markers using assays for DNA fragmentation and caspase activation.
- *Task 3.* Determine expression pattern of the PCD regulatory genes bcl-2, bax, and bcl-xL in cells obtained by breast ductal lavage (Months 18-24):
 - a. Protein analysis of Bcl-2, Bax, and Bcl-xL using immunofluorescent staining.
- *Task 4.* Determine whether down-regulation by genetic manipulation of the antiapoptotic genes bcl-2 and/or bcl-xL alone or in conjunction with physiological preventive doses of tamoxifen has the highest induction of PCD in cells from breast ductal lavages (Months 24-36).
 - a. Treatment with antisense and control oligonucleotides and/or tamoxifen.
 - b. Protein analysis of Bcl-2, Bax, and Bcl-xL using Western blotting and immunofluorescent staining.
 - c. Quantify mRNA for bcl-2 or bcl-xL using a PCR-based assay.
 - d. Determine effect of treatment on programmed cell death markers using assays for DNA fragmentation and caspase activation.

Unfortunately Tasks 1 and 2 are, at this time, completely reliant on the collaboration with Jean Latimer, PhD from the Magee-Womens Research Institute. At the time this Award was submitted and granted, Dr. Latimer had, and still has, several primary explant cell lines established from DCIS and normal breast tissues. Dr. Latimer had agreed from the beginning and more recently had been added as a paid consultant to this award to supply these cell lines for Tasks 1 and 2. Despite countless requests on my part and many promises from Dr. Latimer to supply these cell lines, I have yet to receive any cells. Therefore, until I receive these cell lines from Dr. Latimer or find another source for similar cell lines, Tasks 1 and 2 will remain incomplete.

DAMD17-02-1-0683

As stated in my last annual summary report, I have embarked on collecting breast ductal lavage samples to accomplish *Task 3* using very limited internal funding. I have been able to obtain inform consent from twenty patients recruited through the Wake Forest School of Medicine. Breast ductal lavage samples were obtained from eighteen of these patients. However, the vast majority of these lavage samples had a very limited number of cells to evaluate. I have been in consultation with the surgeons performing the ductal lavage procedure and the company (Cytyc) who manufactures the devices used in the lavage procedure. We are hopeful to rectify this problem shortly and to be able to successfully obtain sufficient cell numbers for *Task 3* and 4. We have been evaluating a limited number of ductal lavage samples using the protocols designed to accomplish *Task 3* in the above "Statement of Work".

Regarding the Concerns and Comments of the Reviewer of Last Year's Annual Summary Report

I fully appreciate the Reviewer's concerns and comments regarding the ethics of starting *Task 3* before starting/completing *Tasks 1* and 2 and the Reviewer's concern regarding violating federal regulations. I would like to further clarify and hopefully alleviate the Reviewer's concerns.

Reviewer Comments: "There appears to be a serious, fundamental disjoint here. The PI is commencing Task 3 without having provided any evidence of the proof of principle that would have been tested in the outcome of Tasks 1 and 2, which employ primary cultures of excised tissue from DCIS. Task 1 asks whether there is indeed any altered regulation of the antiapoptotic proteins. Tasks 2 tests antisense suppression in vitro. Task 3 parallels Task 1 in evaluating antiapoptotic protein expression in fluid obtain from volunteers subjected to ductal lavage.", "Most important, it is clear that the PI is currently conducting, with "internal funding," the more invasive clinical component of the research, that of lavage as opposed to that of the testing of routinely excised tissue. Three of the four recruited patients have been lavaged even before the PI has obtained the DCIS material with which to conduct Tasks 1 & 2." and "It is unclear that anyone would allow Task 3 to proceed without favorable outcomes in Tasks 1 and 2." **Response:** Ideally, I would have preferred to accomplish Task 1 and 2 prior to beginning Task 3. However, I have not yet been able to obtain the DCIS and normal breast primary cell lines from Dr. Latimer for Task 1 and 2 as explained above. Furthermore, although it is anticipated that the protein expression pattern identified in DCIS primary explant cultures will also be representative of protein expression patterns identified in cells obtained from breast ductal lavage samples, this may or may not be the case. Therefore, it is still necessary to identify the protein expression pattern proposed in Task 3 using ductal lavage samples regardless of what may be identified in Task 1. Thus, Task 3 stands alone and can be performed prior to Task 1 and 2.

Reviewer Comment: "This reviewer recommends that the human subjects issues for this grant be subject to careful scrutiny. Given that the "horse has already left the barn," so to speak, it is reasonable to be concerned that, when Army funding resumes, the PI might continue with the recruitment of women for lavage, in the absence of any demonstration of proof of principle on routinely excised tissue. This reviewer recommends that Tasks 1 and 2 be successfully completed before any work can commence on Task 3." **Response:** I have explained the rationale for proceeding with *Task 3* prior to completing *Task 1* and 2 in the above response. If the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command still

DAMD17-02-1-0683

agrees with the Reviewer's recommendation or needs further clarification of the rationale, please notify me at the earliest possible moment.

Reviewer Comments: "The PI has commenced with the last phase using non-Army funds. The information provided in the report does not necessarily violate any federal regulations, but it raises concerns." and "Also, the PI should make certain that the funds used are not at all derived from the U.S. Army or he may be in violation of Army regulations." **Response:** No U.S. Army funds have been used in the obtainment or evaluation of the breast ductal lavage samples.

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS: N/A

Reportable Outcomes: N/A

CONCLUSIONS: N/A

REFERENCES: N/A

APPENDICES: N/A