Study Report 2005-05	
	A Comparison of the FY03 and FY99 First Term Attrition Study Cohorts
	Daniel J. Putka and William J. Strickland Human Resources Research Organization
	20051110 018
	United States Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences
	September 2005
	Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

\$

U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral And Social Sciences

A Directorate of the Department of the Army Deputy Chief of Staff, G1

Authorized and approved for distribution:

MICHELLE SAMS Technical Director

pta M. Simistic

ZITA M. SIMUTIS Director

Research accomplished under contract for the Department of the Army

Human Resources Research Organization

Technical Review by

Mark Young, U.S. Army Research Institute Peter Legree, U.S. Army Research Institute

NOTICES

DISTRIBUTION: Primary distribution of this Study Report has been made by ARI. Please address correspondence concerning distribution of reports to: U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences, Attn: DAPE-ARI-MS 2511 Jefferson Davis Highway, VA 22202-3926.

FINAL DISPOSITION: This Study Report may be destroyed when it is no longer needed. Please do not return it to the U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences.

NOTE: The findings in this Study Report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

1. REPORT DATE (dd-mm-yy) September 2005 2. REPORT TYPE Final 3. DATES COVERED (from to) February 2003 – May 2004 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE A Comparison of the FY03 and FY99 First Term Attrition Study Cohorts 5a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER DASW01-98-D-0047/0046 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 665803 5b. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 665803 6. AUTHOR(S) Daniel J. Putka and William J. Strickland (Human Resources Research Organization) 5c. PROJECT NUMBER D730 5d. TASK NUMBER 286 5e. WORK UNIT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER Human Resources Research Organization 66 Canal Center Plaza, Ste 400 Alexandria, VA 22314 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	.REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE								
A Comparison of the FY03 and FY99 First Term Attrition Study Cohorts DASW01-98-D-0047/0046 5b. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 665803 5b. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 665803 6. AUTHOR(S) Daniel J. Putka and William J. Strickland (Human Resources Research Organization) 5c. PROJECT NUMBER D730 5d. TASK NUMBER 286 5e. WORK UNIT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER Human Resources Research Organization 66 Canal Center Plaza, Ste 400 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER									
6. AUTHOR(S) 5c. PROJECT NUMBER Daniel J. Putka and William J. Strickland (Human Resources Research Organization) 5c. PROJECT NUMBER 5d. TASK NUMBER 286 5e. WORK UNIT NUMBER 286 5e. WORK UNIT NUMBER 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Human Resources Research Organization 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER									
Daniel J. Putka and William J. Strickland (Human Resources Research Organization) D730 5d. TASK NUMBER 286 5e. WORK UNIT NUMBER 286 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER Human Resources Research Organization 66 Canal Center Plaza, Ste 400									
286 5e. WORK UNIT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 66 Canal Center Plaza, Ste 400									
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE Human Resources Research Organization 66 Canal Center Plaza, Ste 400									
Human Resources Research Organization 66 Canal Center Plaza, Ste 400									
66 Canal Center Plaza, Ste 400	BER								
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. MONITOR ACRONYM U. S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral & Social ARI Sciences 10. MONITOR ACRONYM									
ATTN: DAPR-ARI-RS 11. MONITOR REPORT NUMBER	11. MONITOR REPORT NUMBER								
2511 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, VA 22102 Study Report 2005-05									
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT									
Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.									
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contracting Officer's Representative and Subject Matter POC: Peter Legree									
14. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words): Retention of enlisted members is among the Army's formidable personnel challenges. First term attrition is of particular concern. To better understand the causes and identify strategies to manage first-term attrition, the United States Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences (ARI) initiated the "First Term Soldier Attrition and Management Research Project." Under this effort, numerous surveys were administered to a substantial proportion of the FY99 enlistment cohort, and longitudinal models explaining attrition were developed. Given changes in the recruiting environment since 1999, questions arose about the relevance of results from the FY99 cohort for application with current cohorts. The current study represents a limited replication of the First Term Project using FY03 accessions. The results presented in this report suggest that the FY03 and FY99 cohorts are quite similar. Though some differences were found, they did little to diminish the predictive validity and utility of variables and models identified as salient predictors of BCT attrition in the FY99 longitudinal study. In light of these findings, recommendations made based on the FY99 cohort's longitudinal study with regard to how the Army can use these results to manage BCT attrition still hold.									
15. SUBJECT TERMS Manpower, Personnel, Training									
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF 19. LIMITATION OF 20. NUMBER 21. RESPONSIBLE PERSON ABSTRACT OF PAGES Ellen Kinzer									
16. REPORT Unclassified 17. ABSTRACT Unclassified 18. THIS PAGE Unclassified Value Unlimited 93 Technical Publication Spect 703/602-8047 Standard Form									

-

Study Report 2005-05

A Comparison of the FY03 and FY99 First Term Attrition Study Cohorts

Daniel J. Putka and William J. Strickland Human Resources Research Organization

Selection and Assignment Research Unit Michael G. Rumsey, Chief

U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences 2511 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202-3926

September 2005

Army Project Number 665803D730 Personnel and Training Analysis Activities

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

iv

FOREWORD

Attrition of substantial numbers of Army enlisted personnel during training and beyond has been and continues to be a significant Army problem. It is both expensive and a challenge to readiness. Key to addressing the problem is a better understanding of its causes. To this end, the United States Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences (ARI) initiated the "First Term Soldier Attrition and Management Research Project." In this project, the FY99 enlisted Army cohort was examined from entry through completion of their first term to explore causes, correlates, and strategies to manage attrition. One problem with longitudinal research is that one can never be sure by the time it has been completed that the environment is the same as when it was initiated. In between the time the First Term project started and the end of FY03, 9/11 happened, the Army initiated major deployments to Afghanistan and Iraq, and the Army increased its emphasis on recruiting from the college-age population. To what extent did any of these circumstances call into question the applicability of findings from the First Term project? The study reported here was conducted to answer this question. A new cohort, the FY03 cohort, was followed from entry to completion of training. Many of the same instruments and same procedures used in the First Term project were used here to allow a reasonable comparison.

This effort was conducted as part of ARI's FY03 Studies and Analyses Program, Project 665803D730, Work Package 286. It was sponsored by the Army Accessions Command (AAC), U. S. Army Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC). Results were briefed to an Army External Review Panel consisting of representatives from AAC, TRADOC; the Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations and Training, TRADOC; and the Enlisted Division, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel, Army G-1.

min

MICHELLE SAMS Technical Director

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report reflects the efforts of many individuals and agencies without whom this project could not have been completed. We owe special thanks to the Soldiers in the Fiscal Year 2003 cohort for taking the time to complete these surveys and to give us reasoned, honest answers. The U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC) provided critical support that allowed the timely and staggered collection of data from Soldiers at Reception Battalions and at the end of training. Those data provide the foundation for the analyses and conclusions reported here.

Within HumRRO, numerous staff members participated in the project. A team from HumRRO's Fort Knox office, under the direction of Ms. Charlotte Campbell, managed the onsite data collection at Fort Knox and Fort Jackson. Ms. Jessica Terner Durk, Mr. Jeff Barnes, Ms. Shonna Waters, and Ms. Sonia Kim worked wonders under tight time restrictions to get survey responses opened, logged, scanned, checked, and cleaned, and to deliver a quality product. Ms. Winnie Young's support in providing extracts from Army administrative files has been outstanding. Dr. Peter Ramsberger and Dr. W. S. Sellman provided valuable feedback in technical reviews of draft reports for the project.

We owe special thanks to our Delivery Order Contracting Officer's Representative (DO-COR), Dr. Peter Legree, for guidance and support in all aspects of the project.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Retention of enlisted members is among the Army's formidable personnel challenges. First term attrition is of particular concern. Over one-third of entering recruits fail to complete obligated enlistment terms; over one-tenth fail to complete initial entry training. Such personnel turnover has been a persistent problem for the volunteer force that compounds recruiting pressures and detracts from force readiness.

To better understand the causes and identify strategies to manage first-term attrition, the United States Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences (ARI) initiated the "First Term Soldier Attrition and Management Research Project." Under this effort, numerous surveys were administered to a substantial proportion of the FY99 enlistment cohort. These survey data were merged with Army personnel and training files to create an integrated database to explore causes, correlates, and strategies to manage attrition. Findings from the FY99 study have been briefed to the Army Accessions Command, TRADOC, and have been reported in ARI technical reports.

After being briefed on the interim results of the FY99 cohort study, Accessions Command requested that ARI collect additional data and analyze a newer enlisted cohort. There have been substantial changes in the recruiting environment since the FY99 cohort arrived at Basic Combat Training. The economy has changed, and the world has changed. Recruits now face the certainty of deployments to combat zones. Based on analyses of the potential recruiting market, Accessions Command targeted college-bound and college-enrolled youth for increased attention. Thus, there was concern that results based on the FY99 cohort might not generalize to cohorts recruited in more recent years. In light of this concern, the current study (i.e., the FY03 attrition study) was conducted. This study was undertaken in recognition of (a) the potential utility of replicating and extending the FY99 study results using a recent enlistment cohort, and (b) the possibility that differences between the FY99 enlistment cohort and more recent cohorts may limit the generality of conclusions based on the FY99 study.

This report answered the following questions:

- 1. How demographically similar are Soldiers in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts?
 - The FY99 and FY03 cohorts are very similar in terms of their demographic composition.
- 2. How similar are Soldiers in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts with regard to their standing on survey variables reflecting their experiences, attitudes, and beliefs?
 - As was the case with the demographic composition of the FY99 and FY03 cohorts, survey responses at Reception and End of Training were quite similar across cohorts. The largest differences were associated with survey composites that reflect Soldiers' desire to serve their country, core Army values, and favorable reactions toward the Army (e.g., favorable military vs. civilian comparisons, affective commitment, satisfaction with the Army). Mean scores on such variables were generally about .20 to .40 standard deviations higher for FY03 Soldiers than for FY99 Soldiers. At the same time, the top three reasons Soldiers cited for joining the Army remained the same both cohorts: #1-Educational benefits, #2- Training in job skills, and #3- Security and stability of a job.

- 3. Do the survey composites formed in the FY99 cohort maintain their level of reliability (internal consistency) in the FY03 cohort?
 - Nearly all survey composites formed as part of the FY99 longitudinal study maintained their level of internal consistency in the FY03 cohort.
- 4. How similar are the base rates and composition of Basic Combat Training (BCT) attrition in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts?
 - The base rates and composition of BCT attrition in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts were quite similar, as was the distribution of attrition across the first 9 months of service.
- 5. Do pre-training administrative and survey variables have similar levels of validity for predicting BCT attrition in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts?
 - The majority of relationships between pre-training survey variables and BCT attrition were highly similar in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts. However, there were some exceptions. Most notably, survey variables that reflected medical and physical factors had stronger relationships with BCT attrition in the FY03 cohort (relative to the FY99 cohort). With regard to administrative variables, similarly small differences in validities were observed. Lastly, it is worth noting that the variables that were most predictive of BCT attrition in the FY99 cohort (i.e., Attrition Cognitions, Generalized Self-Efficacy, Potential Reasons for Leaving the Army: All) were also among the strongest predictors of BCT attrition in the FY03 cohort.
- 6. Are relationships among variables in the FY99 structural model of BCT attrition maintained in the FY03 cohort?
 - The FY99 model provided a very good fit to the FY03 data. Results suggest that similar levels of prediction could be obtained in the FY03 cohort with fewer of the variables used in the FY99 model.
- 7. Does a model of BCT attrition optimized in the FY99 cohort maintain its validity and utility for predicting BCT attrition in the FY03 cohort?
 - The FY99 model composite retained its high level of validity and utility for identifying Soldiers at high risk of BCT attrition across cohorts.

The results presented in this report suggest that the FY03 and FY99 cohorts are quite similar. Though some differences were found, they did little to diminish the predictive validity and utility of variables and models identified as salient predictors of BCT attrition in the FY99 longitudinal study. In light of these findings, recommendations made based on the FY99 cohort's longitudinal study with regard to how the Army can use these results to manage BCT attrition still hold.

A COMPARISON OF THE FY03 AND FY99 FIRST TERM ATTRITION STUDY COHORTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

Background 1
The Current Study 1
Method 2
Sample2
Data
Analyses
Base Rate and Composition of BCT Attrition 7 Bivariate Relationships between Pre-Training Variables and BCT Attrition 7 Structural Models of BCT Attrition 9
Results
Demographic Composition of Cohorts
Comparison of Survey Responses
Base Rate and Composition of BCT Attrition
Bivariate Relationships between Pre-Training Variables and BCT Attrition
Structural Models of BCT Attrition
Discussion
Summary of Key Findings
Recommendations for Future Research on the FY03 Cohort
References
Appendix

CONTENTS (Continued)

.

List of Tables

.

Table 1. Administration and Sample Size Information for the SRS and EOTS	4
Table 2. Coding of Interservice Separation Codes (ISC) for Attrition Analyses	5
Table 3. Comparison of the Demographic Composition of the FY99 and FY03 Cohorts	13
Table 4. Comparison of FY99 and FY03 Samples on SRS Composite Descriptive Statistics	15
Table 5. Comparison of FY99 and FY03 Samples on Importance of Reasons for Joining the Army	16
Table 6. Comparison of FY99 and FY03 Samples on EOTS (BCT) Composite Descriptive Statistics	17
Table 7. Comparison of FY99 and FY03 Samples on EOTS (AIT/OSUT) Composite Descriptive Statistics	18
Table 8. Comparison of BCT Attrition Rates for FY99 and FY03 Samples	19
Table 9. Life Tables for FY99 Cohort and Subsets of the FY03 Cohort	21
Table 10. Composition of BCT Attrition by Cohort	23
Table 11. Correlations between SRS Variables and BCT Attrition by Cohort	24
Table 12. Correlations between Demographic Variables and BCT Attrition by Cohort	25
Table 13. BCT Attrition Rates by Demographic Group and Cohort	26
Table 14. Model Fit Statistics for Structural Models of BCT Attrition	27
Table 15. Model Parameter Estimates and Wald Statistics for Structural Models of BCT Attrition	28
Table 16. Multiple R ² and R Statistics for Endogenous Variables in Structural Models of BCT Attrition	31
Table 17. Cross-Validation of the FY99 Logistic Regression Model Composite	32

List of Figures

Figure 1. Structural Model of BCT Attrition in the FY99 Cohort (Pre-Training Data Only)	. 12
Figure 2. Hazard Profiles for the FY99 Cohort and FY03 Cohort	. 22
Figure 3. Revised Structural Model of BCT Attrition	. 30

BACKGROUND

Retention of enlisted members is among the Army's formidable personnel challenges. First term attrition is of particular concern. Over one-third of entering recruits fail to complete obligated enlistment terms; over one-tenth fail to complete initial entry training. Such personnel turnover has been a persistent problem for the volunteer force that compounds recruiting pressures and detracts from force readiness. Early separation may be associated with pejorative or non-pejorative circumstances including inaptitude, behavior disorders, drug usage, other misconduct, or medical problems (Laurence, 1993; Laurence, Naughton, & Harris, 1996).

To better understand the causes and identify strategies to manage first-term attrition, the United States Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences (ARI) initiated the "First Term Soldier Attrition and Management Research Project." Under this effort, a substantial proportion of the FY99 enlistment cohort were administered:

- Entrance surveys, as the new recruits accessed into their initial training battalion;
- End of course surveys, completed after finishing basic combat training (BCT), advanced individual training (AIT) and/or One Station Unit Training (OSUT);
- Exit surveys, completed by Soldiers leaving military service before completion of their initial entry training; and
- Duty station surveys, completed by Soldiers on an annual basis.

These survey data were merged with Army personnel and training files to create an integrated database to explore causes, correlates, and strategies to manage attrition. Findings from the FY99 study have been briefed to the Army Accessions Command, TRADOC, and have been reported in ARI technical reports.¹

The Current Study

After being briefed on the interim results of the FY99 attrition study, Accessions Command requested that ARI collect additional data and analyze a newer enlisted cohort. There have been substantial changes in the recruiting environment since the FY99 cohort arrived at Basic Combat Training. The economy has changed, and the world has changed. Recruits now face the certainty of deployments to combat zones. Based on analyses of the potential recruiting market, Accessions Command targeted college-bound and college-enrolled youth for increased attention. Thus, there was concern that results based on the FY99 cohort might not generalize to cohorts recruited in more recent years. In light of this concern, the current study (i.e., the FY03 attrition study) was conducted. This study was undertaken in recognition of (a) the potential utility of replicating and extending the FY99 study results using a recent enlistment cohort, and (b) the possibility that differences between the FY99 enlistment cohort and more recent cohorts may limit the generality of conclusions based on the FY99 study.

¹ For the most recent documentation of results from the FY99 study, the reader should refer to the FY99 longitudinal report (Strickland, 2004). However, please note that the aforementioned report is still under review by ARI and is in the process of being finalized.

Although the FY03 attrition study had several objectives, this report only summarizes results of analyses designed to assess the similarity of the FY03 cohort to the FY99 cohort.² Specifically, this report provides answers to the following questions:

- 1. How demographically similar are Soldiers in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts?
- 2. How similar are Soldiers in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts with regard to their standing on survey variables reflecting their experiences, attitudes, and beliefs?
- 3. Do the survey composites formed in the FY99 cohort maintain their level of reliability (internal consistency) in the FY03 cohort?
- 4. How similar are the base rates and composition of BCT attrition in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts?³
- 5. Do pre-training administrative and survey variables have similar levels of validity for predicting BCT attrition in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts?
- 6. Are relationships among variables in the FY99 structural model of BCT attrition maintained in the FY03 cohort?
- 7. Does a model of BCT attrition optimized in the FY99 cohort maintain its validity and utility for predicting BCT attrition in the FY03 cohort?

Answering these questions should help provide the Army with an understanding of the degree to which findings from the FY99 cohort may be generalized to the FY03 cohort, as well as further inform its understanding of what factors impact BCT attrition and the paths by which they do so.

METHOD

Sample

Two cohorts of Soldiers were studied in this report. The FY99 cohort consisted of all active duty, non-prior service (NPS) Soldiers who shipped to BCT or OSUT between October 1, 1998 and September 30, 1999 (N = 63,938). The FY03 cohort consisted of all active duty, NPS Soldiers who shipped to BCT or OSUT between October 1, 2002 and September 30, 2003 (N = 67,940). As documented below, not all of these Soldiers completed the surveys that were administered as part of the FY99 and FY03 studies; thus, sample sizes for many of the analyses in this report are smaller than these figures.

² Separate FY03 study reports will address other objectives of this study (e.g., comparing self, peer-, and supervisor-reported exit survey responses to each other and official administrative separation codes).

³ It is important to note that the attrition analyses conducted in this report were primarily limited to examinations of BCT attrition. Although complete loss data was available through 48 months of service for the majority of FY99 cohort members, at the time this report's analyses were originally conducted, loss data for all FY03 cohort members were only complete through 3 months of service.

Data

As part of the FY99 study, several surveys were developed and administered to members of the FY99 cohort at several points throughout their first term of service (see Strickland, 2004 for an overview of administration periods and a description of surveys). As part of the FY03 study, similar survey data were gathered through the end of Soldiers' initial entry training (IET). Updated versions of the FY99 Soldier Reception Survey (SRS) and End of Training Survey (EOTS) were administered to a subset of the FY03 cohort as they processed though their reception battalions and finished various phases of training (e.g., BCT, AIT, and OSUT). Copies of the SRS and EOTS surveys administered in the FY99 and FY03 studies are provided in the appendix of this report.

In addition to survey data, the Army provided official administrative data from the Enlisted Master File (EMF) for Soldiers in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts.⁴ Data extracted from the EMF included variables such as Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB) scores, race, marital status, education tier, and military occupational specialty (MOS). Lastly, loss data (i.e., dates of separation, and separation program designator codes) for the FY03 cohort were provided by the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC), whereas for the FY99 cohort, such data were extracted from the EMF.⁵ The loss data obtained for the FY99 cohort were current through March 31, 2003, whereas loss data for the FY03 cohort were current through March 31, 2004.

Survey Sample Sizes

Unlike the FY99 study, a much smaller set of Soldiers was targeted for survey administration in the FY03 study. In the FY03 study, not only were Soldiers from fewer installations targeted for survey administration than in the FY99 study; the time frame during which surveys were administered was shorter as well. For example, Soldiers entering reception battalions at Ft. Knox between 5 May 2003 and 8 July 2003 and at Ft. Jackson between 1 May 2003 and 30 June 2003 were administered the FY03 SRS. In the FY99 study, the administration window for the SRS was 16 January 1999 to 31 August 1999, and its administration was not limited to only two installations. Table 1 summarizes details on the administration and completion of the FY99 and FY03 SRS and EOTS surveys.

As shown in Table 1, many more surveys were administered than were used in this report. The primary reason why many surveys were not used is that they were completed by National Guard or Reserve Soldiers. For example, 49.7% of the FY03 EOTS, and 51.9% of FY03 SRS, were completed by National Guard or Reserve Soldiers. Exclusion of such Soldiers from this report was consistent with how they were handled throughout the FY99 study.⁶ Other reasons why survey data were excluded from final analyses include: (a) the survey was linked to

⁵ Originally, loss data for the FY03 cohort were extracted from the EMF. However, in the course of conducting this study, errors were found in the EMF loss data (errors were isolated to the extract for the FY03 cohort) and a decision was made to obtain loss data from DMDC for this cohort.

⁴ For the FY99 cohort, administrative data was also provided by the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC).

⁶ One reason for historical exclusion of National Guard and Reserve Soldiers is that additional administrative records and loss data were not obtained for them. Data on such Soldiers are not recorded in the Army's EMF.

duplicate social security numbers (SSNs), (b) the survey was not linked to an SSN, or (c) the survey was linked to the SSN of a Soldier who was not part of the FY99 or FY03 cohort.

Survey	Administration Timeframe	Installations	Surveys Administered	Final Analysis Sample		
FY99 SRS	16 Jan. 1999 - 31 Aug. 1999	All reception battalions	52,309	29,004		
FY03 SRS	5 May 2003 - 8 Jul. 2003	Ft. Knox and Ft. Jackson reception battalions	6,425	2,844		
FY99 EOTS	Apr. 1999 - Dec. 1999	All BCT and OSUT installations; a sample of AIT installations	70,000+			
BCT		,		22,015		
IET				17,250		
FY03 EOTS	Jun. 2003 - Dec. 2003	Ft. Knox and Ft. Jackson	6,107			
BCT				2,125		
IET				783		

Table 1. Administration and Sample Size Information for the SRS and EOTS

Data Coding

Survey Composite Development

Given the plethora of items presented on the SRS and EOTS, we focused comparisons of survey responses in this report on composite variables created from survey items. These composites capture key psychological constructs that underlie items on these surveys, and provide a parsimonious means to assess the similarity of survey responses from Soldiers in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts. The survey composites examined in this report were originally developed as part of the FY99 longitudinal study (Strickland, 2004). Full details on how these composites were formed, as well as the survey items that comprise them, are discussed in Chapter 3 of the FY99 longitudinal report (Van Iddekinge, 2004). Because the primary goal of this report was to assess how well findings from the FY99 cohort generalize to the FY03 cohort, we focused on composites constructed based on the FY99 data and assessed their functioning (e.g., variability, internal consistency, criterion-related validity) in the FY03 cohort. Although new items were included in the FY03 surveys, they are not discussed in this report.

Coding of Attrition

As alluded to earlier, the primary attrition criterion of interest in this report was BCT attrition. BCT attrition was defined as attrition occurring in the first two months of a Soldier's service. We used the first two months of service as an estimate for the time Soldiers were in BCT because EMF and DMDC databases did not contain the dates Soldiers completed basic and transferred to AIT. Although Soldiers accessing into OSUT MOS do not go through the formal nine weeks of BCT that non-OSUT Soldiers do, they were included in the BCT sample to provide a comprehensive look at attrition in the first few months of service. For all analyses

involving BCT attrition, we classified Soldiers into one of four categories. The four categories were as follows:

- Attritee
- Left Service- Omitted from Analyses
- Left Service- Not Considered Attrition
- Stayer

Soldiers were classified into the *attritee* category for BCT attrition analyses if they had (a) an interservice separation code (ISC) indicating they left service for a reason considered to be attrition (see Table 2), and (b) a valid separation date within their first 60 days of service.

Soldiers were classified into the *left service-omitted from analyses* category if they had (a) an ISC indicating they left service for a reason considered beyond control of the individual Soldier (e.g., Disability- Severance Pay, Death; see Table 2) and (b) a valid separation date within their first 60 days of service. Soldiers in this category were omitted from all BCT attrition analyses.

Soldiers were classified into the *left service-not considered attrition* category if they had (a) an ISC indicating they left service for a reason not considered to be attrition (e.g., Expiration of Term of Service, Entry into Officer Commissioning Program; see Table 2) and (b) a valid separation date within their first 60 days of service. Soldiers in this category were treated as if they were still-in-service for all BCT attrition analyses.

ISC	Description	Coding
1	Expiration of term of service	Not Attrition
2	Early release, insufficient retainability	Not Attrition
3	Early release, to attend school	Not Attrition
5	Early release, in the national interest	Not Attrition
8	Early release, other, including RIF, VSI, and SSB	Not Attrition
10	Condition existing prior to service	Attrition
11	Disability, severance pay	Omitted
12	Permanent disability retirement	Omitted
13	Temporary disability retirement	Omitted
14	Disability, no condition prior to service, no severance pay	Attrition
16	Unqualified for active duty, other	Attrition
17	Failure to meet weight or body fat standards	Attrition
22	Dependency or hardship	Attrition
31	Death, non-battle, disease	Omitted
32	Death, non-battle, other	Omitted
10	Entry into officer commissioning program	Not Attrition
50	Retirement, 20 to 30 years of service	Not Attrition
52	Retirement, other	Not Attrition
50	Character or behavior disorder	Attrition
54	Alcoholism	Attrition
55	Discreditable incidents, civilian or military	Attrition

Table 2. continued

ISC	Description	Coding
67	Drugs	Attrition
71	Civil court conviction	Attrition
72	Security	Attrition
73	Court-martial	Attrition
74	Fraudulent entry	Attrition
75	AWOL or desertion	Attrition
76	Homosexuality	Attrition
78	Good of the service (discharge in lieu of court-martial)	Attrition
80	Misconduct, reason unknown	Attrition
83	Pattern of minor disciplinary infractions	Attrition
84	Commission of a serious offense	Attrition
85	Failure to meet minimum qualifications for retention	Attrition
86	Unsatisfactory performance/Expeditious Discharge Program	Attrition
87	Entry level performance & conduct/Trainee Discharge Program	Attrition
90	Secretarial authority	Attrition
91	Erroneous enlistment or induction	Attrition
92	Sole surviving family member	Attrition
94	Pregnancy	Attrition
96	Conscientious objector	Attrition
97	Parenthood	Attrition
9 8	Breach of contract	Attrition
99	Other	Attrition
100	Immediate reenlistment	Not Attrition
101	Dropped from strength, desertion	Attrition
102	Dropped from strength, imprisonment	Attrition
103	Record correction	Attrition
105	Dropped from strength, other	Attrition
998	Invalid SPD	Attrition
<u>999</u>	Valid SPD with No ISC	Attrition

Soldiers were classified into the *stayer* category for BCT attrition analyses if they were still in service 60 days after they entered service.

In addition to examining overall BCT attrition in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts, we also examined the composition of BCT attrition by ISC. Using ISC codes we differentiated between five different types of attrition: Moral Character, Performance, Medical/Physical, Pregnancy/ Parenthood, and Other. The classification of ISCs into these categories was based on rational judgment, informed by past research, and reflects the classification scheme used in the FY99 longitudinal study (Van Iddekinge, 2004). Later sections of this report provide a mapping of ISCs into the aforementioned categories and indicate the frequency with which they account for BCT attrition in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts.

Analyses

The analyses conducted in this report were driven by the research questions outlined earlier. In the sections below, we provide descriptions of the analyses performed to help facilitate interpretation of subsequent results.

Demographic Composition of Cohorts

To assess the demographic composition of the FY99 and FY03 cohorts, we report frequencies and percentages of Soldiers who fell into various demographic groups (e.g., by gender, race, AFQT category, education tier). Depending on the demographic variable examined, these comparisons were either based on EMF, SRS, or DMDC data. As noted later, in some cases comparisons were based on a combination of these data sources. In making demographic comparisons, emphasis was put on the demographic similarity of the full FY99 and FY03 cohorts, rather than simply the demographic similarity of responders to a particular survey.

Comparison of Survey Responses

For each survey, we provide a table summarizing descriptive statistics for scores on survey composites in each cohort. These tables include the number of items in the composite, the scale on which its items were rated (e.g., "5" indicates a 5-point scale that ranged from 1 to 5), and a sideby-side comparison of FY99 and FY03 mean (M), standard deviation (SD), and reliability estimates (α) for each composite. Also provided are Cohen's effect size statistics (d), which reflect the standardized mean difference between FY99 and FY03 responders on each survey composite.

Base Rate and Composition of BCT Attrition

We calculated the base rate of BCT attrition for the full FY99 and full FY03 cohort. Additionally, we calculated the base rate of BCT attrition for the Soldiers in the FY99 cohort and FY03 cohort who completed the SRS. The latter base rates are useful for (a) assessing whether there are differences between the full cohort and SRS samples in terms of BCT attrition rates (indicating a potential response bias), and (b) adjusting correlations between SRS variables and BCT attrition in each cohort to make them more comparable. To examine the composition of BCT attrition in each cohort, we calculated the percentage of BCT attrition attributable to each "Attrition" ISC listed in Table 2.

Bivariate Relationships between Pre-Training Variables and BCT Attrition

Several analyses were conducted to assess bivariate relationships between the pretraining variables and BCT attrition in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts. Given the large number of variables available, analyses were limited to: (a) SRS composite variables, (b) SRS single items that were most predictive of BCT attrition in the FY99 cohort, and (c) administrative variables that were either historically predictive of first-term attrition or salient predictors of BCT attrition in the FY99 study (e.g., gender, education tier, race, ASVAB scores, MOS, and marital status).

First, we calculated a raw, zero-order correlation between BCT attrition and each variable. Because these correlations involved a dichotomous variable (i.e., attrition), they were either pointBecause these correlations involved a dichotomous variable (i.e., attrition), they were either pointbiserial correlations (for continuous predictor variables), or phi-coefficients (for dichotomous predictor variables). Because many administrative variables were categorical in nature, calculating the correlation between their observed values and attrition would not be meaningful (e.g., correlating race with attrition). As such we regressed BCT attrition on each administrative variable using logistic regression and saved the resulting predicted probabilities of attrition. We calculated correlations between these probabilities and attrition to index each administrative variable's predictive validity. It is important to note that unlike correlations reported for the SRS variables, these correlations only index *magnitude* of relationship, not its *direction*. Specifically, such predicted probabilities will always be positively correlated with attrition. Thus, for categorical administrative variables, we also provide base rates of BCT attrition for Soldiers in each category of the predictor (e.g. for gender, base rates of attrition for males and females are provided).

Adjusted Correlations

In addition to calculating raw correlations, we also calculated *adjusted* correlations to estimate what the correlation between each predictor and the attrition criterion would be if the base rate of attrition had been 50% (Kemery, Dunlap, & Griffeth, 1988). When base rates of attrition diverge from 50%, correlations that index its relationship with other variables are attenuated. The greater the base rate diverges from 50%, the more the correlation is attenuated. To the extent that BCT attrition base rates are different across cohorts, making comparisons among raw, unadjusted correlations between attrition and other variables is not very meaningful. Thus, Kemery et al.'s (1988) method was used to adjust correlations for base rate differences so we could meaningfully compare correlations for a given predictor across cohorts.

c-Statistics

We also calculated a *c*-statistic for each predictor as an alternative index of its bivariate relationship with attrition (Hanley & McNeil, 1982; Putka & McCloy, 2001). Arising from research on signal detection theory, the *c*-statistic ranges from 0 to 1 and indexes a predictor's ability to discriminate between attritees and stayers. Two characteristics of the c-statistic that make it beneficial in the present study are that (a) unlike the correlation coefficient it is invariant to base rate differences, and (b) it has a very simple interpretation. The c-statistic reflects the probability that an attritee will have a higher predicted probability of attrition than a stayer (based on use of the given predictor). Thus, a c-statistic of .50 indicates that an attritee is no more likely than a stayer to have a higher predicted probability of attrition (i.e., by using the given predictor, you are no better off than flipping a coin in terms of differentiating between attritees and stayers). On the other hand, a c-statistic of .70 indicates that attritees will have a higher predicted probability of attrition than stayers 70% of the time (again, based on use of the given predictor). Alternatively, one can interpret c as the proportion of times an attritee would have a higher predicted probability of attrition than a stayer if attritee/stayer pairs were repeatedly sampled from the population. In this study, the *c*-statistic was obtained by: (a) regressing each predictor (separately) on BCT attrition (using logistic regression), (b) saving the predicted probability of attrition based on using that predictor alone, and (c) calculating the cstatistic based on the predicted probability and BCT attrition using SPSS software.

Structural Models of BCT Attrition

Another goal of this study was to assess how well models of BCT attrition optimized on FY99 data performed in the FY03 cohort. Given the high performance and theoretical meaningfulness of the structural models of BCT attrition presented in the FY99 longitudinal report, we focused the cross-validation effort on those models, rather than the more empirically-driven raw prediction models we previously constructed (Putka 2004b).

A primary goal of building and fitting structural models of BCT attrition in the FY99 study was to help the Army understand the mechanisms by which the most salient predictors of early attrition (and their antecedents) function. A secondary goal of that modeling effort was to gain a better understanding of how and where traditionally important demographic variables fall in structural models of BCT attrition. A number of structural models of BCT attrition were built and assessed as part of the FY99 study (Putka, 2004b). A separate model was constructed for three different types of attrition (overall, performance, and medical) in each of the first 2 months of BCT. Due to sample size limitations in the FY03 study, we were unable to cross-validate these targeted models. As such, we went back to the FY99 data and re-fit a model where overall BCT attrition (across the first 2 months of service) served as the criterion.

Prior to fitting an overall model of BCT attrition in the FY99 sample, we needed to determine which BCT structural model used in the FY99 longitudinal report should initially be fitted as the overall model of BCT attrition. The model we chose to fit was identical in structure to the final structural model of second month BCT attrition described in the FY99 longitudinal report, with the exception that ASVAB GT scores were substituted for ASVAB AFQT scores everywhere they appeared in the model (Putka, 2004b).⁷ We used the second month model as a starting point because it (a) contained more direct effects on attrition, and (b) most BCT attrition in the FY99 cohort occurred in the second month of service. A graphical depiction of the FY99 structural model of BCT attrition is shown in Figure 1.⁸

· · · ·

⁷ This substitution was made because we did not obtain AFQT for the FY03 sample. Both samples, however, had GT scores, which are highly correlated with AFQT scores. Thus, using GT scores allowed us to cross-validate the resulting FY99 model in the FY03 sample.

⁸ Several new variables are introduced in Figure 1 (e.g. Past Withdrawal Propensity, Perceived Utility/Ease of Withdrawal, Positive Army Affect). These variables are higher-order composites that were formed from combinations of SRS single items, SRS composites, and administrative variables as part of the structural modeling effort in the FY99 longitudinal study. For discussion of the formation and composition of these composites, see Appendix F of the FY99 longitudinal report (Strickland, 2004).

Cross-Validating the FY99 Structural Model in the FY03 Cohort

Two different, yet complementary approaches were taken to cross-validate the FY99 BCT attrition model in the FY03 sample.⁹ First, we fitted a series of nested structural equation models to the FY03 data. Using the structure of the FY99 model to set up the equations, the first model we fitted allowed all parameters to be freely estimated. This first model provided a baseline for subsequent nested models, and allowed us to assess whether paths that were statistically significant based on FY99 data remained significant when estimated based on FY03 data. Next, we refitted the model to the data, this time setting the path coefficients linking the predictors to BCT attrition to be equal to what they were in the FY99 sample (path coefficients to other endogenous variables remained free to vary). Lastly, we refitted a final model to the FY03 data that set all path coefficients in the models equal to what they were in the FY99 sample. At each step, we examined the impact that imposing more constraints on the FY03 model had on model fit (i.e., what effect does constraining the FY03 model to be more similar to the FY99 model have on model fit). Substantial decrements in fit at each step would be indicative that the FY99 model does not generalize to the FY03 sample well.

Next, we took a relatively simpler approach to cross-validating the FY99 model using logistic regression. First, in the FY99 sample, we regressed BCT attrition on all variables having direct effects on attrition (as revealed by the FY99 structural model). Next, we took the resulting regression weights and intercept from this analysis and applied them to the FY03 data. This allowed us to generate FY99 model composite scores for FY03 Soldiers. To assess the extent to which the FY99 model composite retained its validity in the FY03 sample, we calculated correlations (raw and adjusted) and *c*-statistics between BCT attrition and the FY99 model composite in each cohort. Similar correlations and *c*-statistics across cohorts would provide evidence that predictiveness of the FY99 model composite is maintained in the FY03 sample. To assess the extent to which the utility of the FY99 model composite generalized to the FY03 cohort, we calculated observed BCT attrition rates among the highest scoring 5, 10, and 15 percent of respondents on the FY99 model composite score in each cohort. We compared these numbers to base rates of BCT attrition within each cohort to assess the extent to which the FY99 model composite score in each cohort. We compared these numbers to base rates of BCT attrition within each cohort to assess the extent to which the FY99 and FY03 cohorts.

⁹ In preliminary analysis work, we evaluated a third strategy for cross-validating the FY99 structural model. Specifically, we conducted a nested series of multi-group SEM analyses where the FY99 and FY03 samples served as the two groups. In the first model, we allowed all parameters (i.e., path coefficients, intercepts, and residual variances) from the FY99 model to vary across both groups (i.e., we estimated group-specific parameters). In the second model, we constrained the path coefficients in both groups to equal those estimated based on the FY99 data alone. These preliminarily analyses indicated that the constrained model provided nearly as good fit to the data as the group-specific model. We do not report the analyses of this approach here because it suffered from a large drawback, namely it arguably positively skewed the estimate of the constrained model's fit. Specifically, we felt that because (a) the FY99 model fit the FY99 data very well, and (b) the FY99 data constituted a large proportion of the multi-group sample, the overall model fit statistics would be driven by the FY99 portion of the data. This could potentially lead to a conclusion that the FY99 model fits well in the FY03 sample, when in reality, it might not. Our first cross-validation strategy alleviates this potential drawback.

Parameter Estimation and Model Testing

We used Mplus analysis software to fit all of the structural models we examined (Muthen & Muthen, 2001). One distinct benefit of this software over other available structural modeling software packages (e.g., LISREL) is that it allows one to assess structural models that have dichotomous outcome variables (e.g., attrition). Model fit was assessed by three criteria commonly used in the literature. Namely, we examined the comparative fit index (CFI), the Tucker-Lewis index (TLI), and the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA). CFI and TLI values greater than or equal to .95 indicate good fit, while RMSEA values less than or equal to .05 indicate good fit (Hu & Bentler, 1999). The significance of model path coefficients was assessed with Wald's statistic (i.e., the path coefficient divided by its standard error), which can be tested against a standard normal distribution (i.e., it can be interpreted as a standard normal deviate—or z-statistic).





only. S/S = Path to Stress/Strain only. II = Path from Medical II to Attrition Cognitions only. II to S/S = Path from Medical II to Stress/Strain only. MS = Path Note. Variables in bolded boxes were modeled as outcomes (endogenous variables). Variables in ovals are component scores (detailed in Appendix F of the FY99 longitudinal report, Strickland, 2004). Variables bulleted within the same box lead to the same endogenous variable(s). Dashed paths were contingent upon month of service or type of attrition examined (e.g., significant for Medical, but not Performance attrition). GSE = Path to Generalized Self-Efficacy from marital status to Attrition was contingent on attrition type and month of service (path for gender was not contingent).

RESULTS

Demographic Composition of Cohorts

Table 3 shows the demographic composition of the FY99 and FY03 cohorts.

Table 3. Comparison of the Demographic Composition of the FY99 and FY03 Cohorts

	FY99		FY		
	N	%	N	%	Δ%
Education Tier at Entry (Tier I)	53,921	86.8	55,485	86.6	-0.2
Tier II	7,929	12.8	8,132	12.7	-0.1
Tier III	276	0.4	472	0.7	0.3
Marital Status at Entry (Married)	7,968	12.8	11,436	16.9	4.1
Single	54,393	87.2	56,302	83.1	-4.1
Medical Enlistment Waiver (Yes)*	2,753	9.7	280	10.7	1.0
No	25,568	90.3	2,339	89.3	-1.0
Moral Character Enlistment Waiver (Yes)*	1,873	6.6	225	8.4	1.8
No	26,468	93.4	2,443	91.6	-1.8
MOS Classification (Combat Arms)	17,979	28.8	19,406	28.6	-0.2
Combat Support	16,463	26.4	17,384	25.6	-0.8
Combat Service Support	27,338	43.8	30,067	44.3	0.5
Race/Ethnicity (White)	37,329	59.9	45,977	67.7	7.8
Black	15,011	24.1	10,720	15.8	-8.3
Hispanic	6,739	10.8	7,239	10.7	-0.1
Other	3,282	5.3	4,004	5.9	0.6
Gender (Male)**	50,108	80.4	752	71.9	-8.5
Female	12,253	19.6	1,926	28.1	8.5
-	М	SD	M	SD	d
ASVAB GT Score	104.67	11.37	107.42	11.38	0.24

Note. Unless noted otherwise, comparisons were based on full cohort data obtained from the EMF and DMDC. % = Percentage of FY cohort in the given group. Δ % = Percentage of FY03 cohort in given group - percentage of FY99 cohort in given group. d = Standardized mean difference (Cohen's effect size statistic) = ($M_{FY03} - M_{FY99}$) / Pooled SD. *FY99 and FY03 values were based on SRS responses of cohort members. **FY03 values were based on SRS responses because gender was not pulled from the EMF for the FY03 cohort.

Across all of the demographic variables examined, the FY99 and FY03 cohorts appear to be quite similar. The largest differences between the cohorts were with regard to gender and race. Specifically, 19.6% of the FY99 cohort was female, whereas 28.1% of *responders* to the FY03 SRS were female.¹⁰ With regard to race, a greater percentage of the FY03 cohort was

¹⁰ Gender data were not available for the entire FY03 cohort because they were not extracted from the EMF.

white (67.7%) compared to the FY99 cohort (59.9%), and a smaller percentage of the FY03 cohort was black (15.8%) compared to the FY99 cohort (24.1%). Differences between cohorts on mean ASVAB GT were small (d = 0.24, FY03 > FY99) based on Cohen's conventions for judging the magnitude of effect sizes (Cohen, 1992).

Comparison of Survey Responses

Soldier Reception Survey

Table 4 shows descriptive statistics for SRS composites by cohort. Like the demographic comparisons made above, mostly small differences emerged between members of the FY99 and FY03 cohorts. The SRS composites for which scores were most elevated for FY03 Soldiers (relative to FY99 Soldiers) were: Affective Commitment, Importance of Core Army Values: Loyalty and Selfless Service, and Participation in DEP Activities. The SRS composites for which scores were most elevated for FY99 Soldiers) were: Affective to FY03 Soldiers) were: Attrition Cognitions, Possible Reasons for Leaving the Army: All, and Trouble in High School. Although these variables showed the largest differences, in an absolute sense, their effect sizes were all in the small to moderate range based on Cohen's conventions (Cohen, 1992).

The findings presented above are consistent with those briefed earlier by ARI that indicated an elevated importance of serving one's country among FY03 SRS responders (Legree, 2004, May). Following up on the results above and those that were briefed earlier, Table 5 shows responses to the SRS question (#1) that asked Soldiers to indicate how important each of several reasons was in their decision to join the Army. Examination of these results reveals that the largest difference between cohorts occurs for the reason "desire to serve my country". Specifically, the mean importance rating for this reason in the FY03 sample was 0.47 standard deviations higher than its mean rating in the FY99 sample. Also of note in Table 5 is that the top three reasons for joining the Army remained the same across cohorts: #1- Educational benefits, #2- Training in job skills, and #3- Security and stability of a job.

Taken together, these findings suggest that the FY03 and FY99 cohorts are quite similar in terms of their *pre-training* experiences, attitudes, and beliefs. One potential exception is that, on average, members of the FY03 cohort may be slightly more altruistically driven to serve than members of the FY99 cohort. Given differences in the state of world affairs between FY99 and FY03, such findings are not surprising.

End-of-Training Survey

For analyses of the EOTS data, we provide separate results for Soldiers who completed surveys at the end of BCT, and those who competed surveys at the end of IET (i.e., at the end of AIT or OSUT, depending on a Soldier's MOS). Tables 6 and 7 show descriptive statistics for EOTS-BCT composites and EOTS-IET composites by cohort, respectively.

			FY99			FY03					
Composite	Items	Scale	N	М	SD		N	М	SD	α	d
Affective Commitment	3	5	28,445	3.40	0.92	0.83	2,775	3.69	0.86	0.80	0.31
Attrition Cognitions	3	5	28,471	2.07	0.84	0.74	2,829	1.89	0.78	0.70	-0.22
Continuance Commitment	5	5	28,471	3.22	0.95	0.78	2,814	3.37	0.92	0.76	0.16
Continuance Intentions ^a	2	Varies	28,471	6.30	4.45	0.86	2,764	3.59	1.46	-	-
Generalized Self Efficacy	4	5	28,443	4.09	0.88	0.89	2,770	4.15	0.86	0.88	0.06
Importance of Core Army Values											
Duty, Integrity, and Personal Courage	10	7	28,438	6.07	1.00	0.92	2,824	6.24	0.89	0.93	0.17
Loyalty and Selfless Service	4	7	28,435	5.46	1.36	0.85	2,825	5.92	1.14	0.85	0.34
Military versus Civilian Life											
Pay	2	5	27,888	3.54	1.19	0.82	2,735	3.44	1.34	0.71	-0.09
Quality of Work Life	8	5	28,342	4.25	0.67	0.84	2,780	4.20	0.83	0.85	-0.07
Time for Personal Life	4	5	28,045	2.73	1.08	0.82	2,734	2.87	1.23	0.82	0.12
Overall	14	5	28,363	3.73	0.70	0.88	2,793	3.71	0.86	0.89	-0.02
Participation in DEP Activities	3	Varies	28,293	0.62	0.46	0.86	2,833	0.79	0.50	0.34	0.36
Participation in High School Activities*	4	3	28,321	2.42	1.71	0.50	2,756	2.27	1.68	0.55	-0.09
Possible Reasons for Leaving Army											
Deviance	4	2	28,223	0.08	0.19	0.66	2,786	0.05	0.15	0.61	-0.15
Discrimination	3	2	28,191	0.06	0.20	0.74	2,786	0.04	0.16	0.68	-0.08
Problems Adjusting	3	2	28,288	0.23	0.32	0.64	2,786	0.16	0.28	0.61	-0.21
All Reasons*	18	2	28,408	2.83	2.84	0.77	2,786	2.14	2.54	0.76	-0.24
Reasons for Joining Army											
Escape Problems	3	5	28,347	1.62	0.85	0.65	2,787	1.67	0.90	0.68	0.06
Family/Friends Influence	2	5	28,338	2.12	1.09	0.69	2,745	2.10	1.13	0.76	-0.02
Job Benefits	6	5	28,412	3.43	0.86	0.79	2,816	3.46	0.89	0.81	0.03
Opportunity to Travel	2	5	28,377	3.59	1.13	0.72	2,772	3.65	1.10	0.72	0.05
Personal Growth	5	5	28,389	3.34	0.95	0.74	2,806	3.36	0.95	0.73	0.02
Training and Experience	2	5	28,373	3.94	1.07	0.81	2,757	3.85	1.07	0.77	-0.09
Reasons for Quitting Previous Jobs*	12	2	28,235	2.68	1.80	0.48	2,706	2.45	1.71	0.45	-0.13
Thoughts of Quitting High School*	11	2	27,907	0.60	1.20	0.68	2,668	0.54	1.11	0.64	-0.05
Trouble in High School*	8	2	27,932	1.88	2.07	0.77	2,589	1.30	1.74	0.73	-0.28
Unsure about Army Career*	6	2	28,295	0.96	1.07	0.44	2,726	0.90	0.98	0.35	-0.05

Table 4. Comparison of FY99 and FY03 Samples on SRS Composite Descriptive Statistics

Note. ^aOne of the Continuance Intentions items on the FY99 SRS was not included on the FY03 SRS, thus no *d*-statistic or alpha is reported for this variable. *These composites were calculated by summing the number of items endorsed by each respondent. As noted in the FY99 longitudinal report, these composites are quite heterogeneous, and as such, coefficient alpha underestimates their reliability (Van Iddekinge, 2004). Thus, caution should be taken not to over-interpret the low alphas for some of these composites. d = Standardized mean difference (Cohen's effect size statistic) = $(M_{FY03} - M_{FY99}) /$ Pooled SD.

		-	-		-	-	
	F	FY99			FY03		
Important in decision to join Army	N	М	SD	€ N	М	SD	d
Army advertising	28,280	2.36	1.18	2,743	2.35	1.20	-0.01
Army recruiter	28,302	3.39	1.27	2,735	3.21	1.34	-0.13
Desire to serve my country	28,244	3.33	1.25	2,701	3.91	1.11	0.47
Develop self-discipline	28,194	3.81	1.16	2,694	3.86	1.13	0.04
Earn more than previous job(s)	28,281	3.57	1.35	2,712	3.45	1.37	-0.09
Educational benefits	28,308	4.28	1.00	2,715	4.22	1.03	-0.07
Family social support services	28,173	2.79	1.46	2,672	2.90	1.44	0.07
Get away from a personal problem	28,242	1.77	1.19	2,705	1.81	1.19	0.03
Influence of family	28,292	2.33	1.33	2,689	2.28	1.33	-0.03
Influence of friends	28,245	1.91	1.17	2,672	1.91	1.18	0.00
Lack of civilian job opportunities	28,268	2.34	1.42	2,698	2.50	1.44	0.11
Medical care, coverage & benefits	28,272	3.66	1.30	2,684	3.75	1.25	0.06
Military tradition in family	28,252	2.27	1.39	2,696	2.45	1.44	0.13
Need to be on my own	28,284	3.09	1.46	2,699	3.07	1.48	-0.01
Pay and allowances	28,258	3.58	1.25	2,675	3.48	1.25	-0.08
Security and stability of a job	28,287	3.84	1.22	2,663	3.92	1.16	0.07
Training in job skills	28,301	4.07	1.11	2,654	4.01	1.11	-0.05
Chance to travel	28,294	3.55	1.26	2,689	3.66	1.22	0.09
Repayment of loans	28,207	2.03	1.37	2,695	2.17	1.41	0.10
Prove that I could do it	28,245	3.49	1.42	2,695	3.63	1.38	0.09
Make Army a career	28,198	2.98	1.39	2,686	3.37	1.33	0.28
Become more mature	27,809	3.29	1.37	2,636	3.29	1.35	-0.01
Take time out to decide life plans	28,234	3.04	1.39	2,672	2.93	1.39	-0.08
Gain job experience	28,166	3.82	1.22	2,653	3.68	1.24	-0.11
Escape from a bad neighborhood	28,069	1.60	1.12	2,675	1.58	1.10	-0.01
Needed a place to live	28,107	1.48	1.01	2,662	1.59	1.10	0.10
Chance for adventure	28,194	3.63	1.29	2,668	3.63	1.24	0.01

Table 5. Comparison of FY99 and FY03 Samples on Importance of Reasons for Joining the Army

Note. Reasons were rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 1 = Not at all important to 5 = Extremely important. $d = Standardized mean difference (Cohen's effect size statistic) = <math>(M_{FY03} - M_{FY99}) / Pooled SD$.

As was the case with comparisons on SRS variables, no large differences were found between the FY99 and FY03 cohort on the EOT surveys. Also similar to the examination of SRS responses, the EOTS-BCT and EOTS-IET composites for which scores were most elevated for FY03 Soldiers (relative to FY99 Soldiers) tapped content similar to the SRS composites that were most elevated for FY03 Soldiers. Namely, scores on EOTS Affective Commitment, Importance of Core Army Values: Loyalty and Selfless Service, Military vs. Civilian Life: Pay, Time for Personal Life, Overall (higher scores on these composites are associated with more positive evaluations of the Army relative to civilian life), Satisfaction: Army Life and Work- Family Balance were higher among FY03 respondents than FY99 respondents. Conversely, EOTS-BCT and EOT-IET composites for which scores were most elevated for FY99 Soldiers (relative to FY03 Soldiers) were: Possible Reasons for Leaving the Army: Medical, and All. Like the SRS data, although these variables showed the largest differences, in an absolute sense, their effect sizes were all in the small to moderate range based on Cohen's conventions (Cohen, 1992).

				FY	99			FY	03		
Composite	Items	Scale	N	М	SD	α	N	М	SD	α	d
Affective Commitment	6	5	21,817	3.81	0.72	0.83	2,072	3.97	0.68	0.82	0.21
Continuance Intentions ^a	2	Varies	21,628	3.29	1.50	-	2,046	3.68	1.49	-	-
General Self Efficacy	5	5	21,682	4.22	0.76	0.88	2,055	4.31	0.70	0.88	0.13
Importance of Core Army Values											
Duty, Integrity, and Personal Courage	12	7	21,701	6.33	0.85	0.95	2,060	6.39	0.73	0.95	0.07
Loyalty and Selfless Service	4	7	21,700	5.98	1.16	0.91	2,060	6.22	0.95	0.91	0.20
Job Performance (self-rated)	3	5	21,748	3.66	0.86	0.80	2,046	3.74	0.86	0.83	0.09
Military vs. Civilian Life											
Benefits	2	5	21,038	4.30	0.82	0.72	2,005	4.35	0.80	0.79	0.06
Job Characteristics	7	5	21,668	3.90	0.73	0.81	2,052	4.01	0.70	0.83	0.15
Pay	2	5	21,336	2.78	1.19	0.77	2,018	3.01	1.18	0.80	0.19
Time for Personal Life	3	5	21,212	2.26	1.02	0.78	1,998	2.48	1.07	0.81	0.22
Overall	14	5	21,721	3.45	0.68	0.87	2,056	3.60	0.67	0.87	0.22
Perceived Fit with Army	2	5	21,735	4.04	0.65	0.63	2,047	4.15	0.62	0.67	0.17
Physical Fitness	2	5	21,816	3.85	0.89	0.61	2,066	3.89	0.85	0.57	0.05
Possible Reasons for Leaving Army											
Discrimination	3	2	21,637	0.06	0.19	0.75	2,015	0.04	0.15	0.75	-0.12
Medical Issues	2	2	21,651	0.25	0.38	0.68	2,027	0.16	0.32	0.69	-0.23
Problems Adjusting	5	2	21,679	0.12	0.22	0.69	2,022	0.09	0.20	0.70	-0.15
All Reasons	23	2	21,716	3.05	3.34	0.82	2,043	2.07	2.95	0.83	-0.30
Satisfaction											
Army Life	8	5	21,837	3.63	0.60	0.77	2,078	3.71	0.59	0.79	0.14
Officers	2	5	21,813	3.57	0.76	0.74	2,058	3.64	0.73	0.74	0.10
Supervision - Leader Self-Promotion	3	5	21,442	2.29	0.93	0.71	2,027	2.37	0.94	0.75	0.08
Supervision - Leader Support	6	5	21,772	3.52	0.77	0.79	2,053	3.55	0.75	0.80	0.03
Training	8	5	21,836	3.64	0.66	0.81	2,078	3.65	0.67	0.84	0.01
Work-Family Balance	2	5	21,816	3.61	0.85	0.70	2,070	3.75	0.80	0.70	0.16
Unsure about Army Career*	6	2	21,377	0.86	1.09	0.49	2,027	0.84	1.03	0.41	-0.02

Table 6. Comparison of FY99 and FY03 Samples on EOTS (BCT) Composite Descriptive Statistics

Note. ^aOne of the Continuance Intentions items on the FY99 SRS was not included on the FY03 SRS, thus no *d*-statistic or alpha is reported for this variable. *These composites were calculated by summing the number of items endorsed by each respondent. $d = \text{Standardized mean difference (Cohen's effect size statistic)} = (M_{FY03} - M_{FY99}) / Pooled SD$.

				FY9	9			FY	03		
Composite	Items	Scale	N	М	SD	α	N	М	SD	α	d
Affective Commitment	6	5	17,103	3.59	0.77	0.84	781	3.84	0.68	0.79	0.32
Continuance Intentions ^a	2	Varies	17,001	2.99	1.51	-	773	3.42	1.51	-	-
General Self Efficacy	5	5	16,991	4.11	0.79	0.87	779	4.25	0.74	0.88	0.17
Importance of Core Army Values											
Duty, Integrity, and Personal Courage	12	7	16,996	6.20	0.90	0.95	778	6.26	0.87	0.96	0.06
Loyalty and Selfless Service	4	7	16,994	5.83	1.25	0.82	779	6.17	1.06	0.92	0.27
Job Performance (self-rated)	3	5	17,052	3.73	0.87	0.82	775	3.74	0.89	0.84	0.01
Military vs. Civilian Life											
Benefits	2	5	16,477	4.08	0.93	0.74	748	4.23	0.90	0.80	0.16
Job Characteristics	7	5	16,998	3.65	0.78	0.82	774	3.81	0.77	0.84	0.20
Pay	2	5	16,828	2.38	1.15	0.78	766	2.67	1.19	0.79	0.25
Time for Personal Life	3	5	16,850	2.05	0.97	0.78	765	2.40	1.12	0.83	0.36
Overall	14	5	17,029	3.19	0.70	0.88	776	3.41	0.74	0.89	0.31
Perceived Fit with Army	2	5	17,067	3.99	0.70	0.65	776	4.06	0.64	0.66	0.10
Physical Fitness	2	5	17,105	3.93	0.87	0.61	779	3.94	0.88	0.61	0.01
Possible Reasons for Leaving Army											
Discrimination	3	2	17,020	0.06	0.19	0.75	759	0.03	0.15	0.73	-0.13
Medical Issues	2	2	17,027	0.25	0.38	0.68	760	0.18	0.33	0.64	-0.18
Problems Adjusting	5	2	17,044	0.15	0.24	0.71	763	0.13	0.22	0.66	-0.11
All Reasons	23	2	17,074	3.31	3.45	0.82	771	2.34	3.11	0.83	-0.28
Satisfaction											
Army Life	8	5	17,109	3.42	0.69	0.82	783	3.64	0.63	0.81	0.32
Officers	2	5	17,101	3.48	0.78	0.72	772	3.59	0.78	0.74	0.14
Supervision - Leader Self-Promotion	3	5	16,848	2.45	0.93	0.72	772	2.63	1.00	0.74	0.19
Supervision - Leader Support	6	5	17,066	3.44	0.79	0.82	778	3.52	0.78	0.82	0.10
Training	8	5	17,111	3.50	0.70	0.82	783	3.60	0.67	0.84	0.13
Work-Family Balance	2	5	17,099	3.33	0.93	0.73	781	3.62	0.87	0.73	0.31
Unsure about Army Career*	6	2	16,828	0.89	1.10	0.48	759	0.91	1.09	0.48	0.02

Table 7. Comparison of FY99 and FY03 Samples on EOTS (AIT/OSUT) Composite Descriptive Statistics

Note. ^aOne of the Continuance Intentions items on the FY99 SRS was not included on the FY03 SRS, thus no *d*-statistic or alpha is reported for this variable. *These composites were calculated by summing the number of items endorsed by each respondent. d = Standardized mean difference (Cohen's effect size statistic) = $(M_{FY03} - M_{FY99}) /$ Pooled *SD*.

.

Base Rate and Composition of BCT Attrition

Table 8 shows BCT attrition rates for the full FY99 and FY03 cohorts, as well as BCT attrition rates for Soldiers who responded to the SRS in these cohorts. The BCT attrition rate in FY99 (6.6%) was slightly higher than the rate in FY03 (5.1%). Exploring these differences further, we examined rates of attrition by month of service. In the FY99 cohort, the attrition rate in the first month of service was 2.3%; in the second month of service, the conditional rate of attrition was 4.4%.¹¹ In the FY03 cohort, the overall attrition rate in the first month of service was 1.4%; in the second month of service, the conditional rate of attrition was 3.7%.

Sample/Status	N	Attrition Rate
Full FY99 Cohort	•	
Attritee	4,103	6.6
Still-in-Service	58,257	
FY99 SRS Sample		
Attritee	1,673	5.9
Still-in-Service	26,798	
Full FY03 Cohort		
Attritee	3,398	5.1
Still-in-Service	64,086	
FY03 SRS Sample		
Attritee	139	4.9
Still-in-Service	2,705	

 Table 8. Comparison of BCT Attrition Rates for FY99 and FY03 Samples

Note. Still-in-service reflects the number of Soldiers still in service (or Soldiers treated as such, recall the discussion following Table 2) at the end of BCT.

Given the slightly lower base rate of BCT attrition in the FY03 cohort, we followed up on the above analyses to explore the possibility that either (a) BCT attrition was "pushed" to later periods in time, or (b) the trend of lower rates of attrition in the FY03 cohort extended across later months of service. To assess these possibilities, we generated life tables depicting attrition rates for two sets of FY03 Soldiers: (1) the full FY03 cohort, and (2) Soldiers who entered service prior to July 1, 2003 (n = 48,966), and compared them to a life table for FY99 Soldiers.¹² Limiting the FY03 cohort to Soldiers who entered prior to July 1 allowed us to examine attrition rates across a greater number of months of service. For example, because the DMDC attrition data we obtained were current through March 31, 2004, it enabled us to examine attrition through 9 months of service for that segment of the FY03 cohort that accessed prior to July of 2003. For the full FY03 cohort, we were only able to examine attrition through 6 months of service.

¹¹ By "conditional" we mean the attrition rate in the second month of service among those Soldiers who survived their first month of service. Soldiers who attrited in their first month of service were excluded from the calculation of this conditional rate.

¹² Life tables depict the conditional rate of attrition for each month of service (i.e., the hazard). Hazards are calculated only among Soldiers who are still-in-service at the start of the given month of service. They are very useful for examining patterns in attrition across time (Putka, 2004a).

Table 9 shows the life tables for (a) the FY99 cohort, (b) the full FY03 cohort, and (c) the pre-July FY03 cohort. Figure 2 shows the hazard profiles for these groups, which are simply plots of the hazards (i.e., conditional attrition rates) by month of service. Examination of these results clearly reveals that the hazard profiles for Soldiers in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts are similarly shaped (e.g., an early peak at 2 months of service that drops off through later months). Although the hazard profiles are essentially parallel, it is also apparent that the FY03 hazards tend to be slightly lower than the FY99 hazards consistently across months of service. These results suggest that the trend in attrition rates over time in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts across time are quite similar (at least through 9 months of service), yet across the board, the FY03 attrition rates appear to be slightly lower than those found in the FY99 cohort.

In addition to comparing base rates of BCT attrition, the composition of BCT attrition across cohorts was also assessed. Table 10 shows the composition of BCT attrition (by ISC and month of service) for Soldiers in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts. Examination of Table 10 reveals that the vast majority of BCT attrition in both cohorts (FY99: 86.4%, FY03: 78.3%) was attributed to two ISCs: 87 (Entry Level Performance and Character/ Trainee Discharge Program) and 16 (Medically Unqualified for Active Duty). With regard to the distribution of these two ISCs across cohorts, ISC 16 accounted for a greater percentage of BCT attrition in FY03 (relative to FY99), whereas ISC 87 accounted for a greater percentage of BCT attrition in FY99 (relative to FY03). Another difference with regard to the composition of BCT attrition across cohorts was that ISC 17 (Failure to Meet Weight or Body Fat Standards) accounted for more attrition in the FY03 cohort (12.6% of BCT attrition) than it did in the FY99 cohort (1.0% of attrition). Taken together, these findings indicate the composition of BCT attrition in the FY99 and FY03 were generally quite similar, however a greater percentage of BCT attrition in the FY99 and FY03 cohort was linked to Medical/Physical ISCs (62.8%) than in the FY99 cohort (45.0%).

Bivariate Relationships between Pre-Training Variables and BCT Attrition

Table 11 shows correlations and *c*-statistics for SRS variables. The majority of SRS variables had relationships with BCT attrition that were highly similar across cohorts. Indeed, the variables that were among the most predictive of BCT attrition in the FY99 cohort (e.g. Attrition Cognitions, Generalized Self-Efficacy, Possible Reasons for Leaving Army: Problems Adjusting and All, SRS Item 58: Level of Stress/Strain) were also among the most predictive variables in the FY03 cohort, and maintained similar levels of predictive validity. Nevertheless, a few differences did emerge between cohorts.¹³ For example, Affective Commitment, Importance of Core Army Values: Loyalty and Selfless Service, Military vs. Civilian Life, and Advising Others to Join the Army were more predictive of FY99 BCT attrition than FY03 BCT attrition. However, it is important to note that these variables were not generally among the strongest predictors of FY99 BCT attrition to begin with; thus, their slight drop in validity in the FY03 cohort is not of large concern. Perhaps a more interesting difference that emerged was that SRS variables reflecting medical and physical factors (i.e., SRS Item 37: Medical advice against exercise, SRS Item 36: # of serious injuries before Army, and SRS Item: 35: Average fitness level before Army) were more predictive of FY03 BCT attrition than FY99 BCT attrition. This finding is consistent with results presented earlier that showed a greater proportion of FY03 BCT attrition (relative to FY99) was attributed to Medical/Physical ISCs.

¹³ When SRS item numbers are cited in this report, they refer to item numbers on the FY99 SRS.

Table 9. Life Tables for FY99 Cohort and Subsets of the FY03 Cohort

		Full	Full FY99 Cohort	Cohort			Full	Full FY03 Cohort	Cohort		Pre	Pre-July FY03 Cohort (N= 48,966)	3 Cohor	t (N= 48,	(99
					Cumulative					Cumulative					
Month of	Month of Total	-ul			Attrition	Total	Įn-			Attrition	Total	ļ,			Attrition
Service	Entering Service Attrit Hazard	Service	Attrit	Hazard	Rate	Entering	Service Attrit	Attrit	Hazard	Rate	Entering	Service	Attrit	Hazard	Rate
1	62,361	60,957		1,404 0.023	0.023	67,567	66,638	929		0.014	48,966 48,175	48,175	162	1	0.016
7	60,956	58,257	2,699	0.044	0.066	66,555	64,086		0.037	0.051	48,164	46,063	2,101		0.060
ę	58,255	56,417	1,838	0.032	0.095	63,741	62,073	1,668	0.026	0.076	46,047	44,662	1,385		0.089
4	56,412	55,181	1,231	0.022	0.115	61,930	60,894		0.017	0.092	44,615	43,753	862		0.107
5	55,168	54,183	985	0.018	0.131	60,758	59,977		0.013	0.103	43,658	42,971	687		0.121
9	54,141	53,413	728	0.013	0.142	59,792	59,257		0.009	0.111	42,813	42,331	482		0.131
7	53,347	52,857	490	0.009	0.150						42,019	41,683	336		0.138
œ	52,806	52,432	374	0.007	0.156						41,552	41,304	248		0.143
6	52,388	52,032	356	0.007	0.162						41,170	40,950	220	0.005	0.148
												2 2 2 2 2 2			

Note. Total Entering = Total number of Soldiers still-in-service at the beginning of the given month of service (i.e., the Soldiers "entering" the given month of service). In-service = Total number of Soldiers still-in-service at the end of the given month of service. Attrit = Total number of Soldiers who attrited during the given month of service. Hazard = Conditional attrition rate for the given month of service among Soldiers who entered that month of service. Cumulative Attrition Rate = Cumulative attrition rate through the given month of service.





Table 10. Composition of BCT Attrition by Cohort

,

.

.

.

			FY99 (Cohort					FY03	Cohort		
	lst N	1onth	2nd N	/lonth	Total	BCT	lst M	Month	2nd N	Month	Total	BCT
Category of Attrition/ISC	f	%	f	%	ſ	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
All Attrition	1,404	100.0	2,699	100.0	4,103	100.0	929	100.0	2,469	100.0	3,398	100.0
Moral Character	0	0.0	4	0.1	4	0.1	3	0.3	4	0.2	7	0.2
78 Good of the service (discharge in lieu of court-martial)							1	0.1	2	0.1	3	0.1
65 Discreditable incidents, civilian or military							1	0.1			1	0.0
67 Drugs												
84 Commission of a serious offense							1	0.1			1	0.0
80 Misconduct, reason unknown			1	0.0	1	0.0					-	
102 Dropped from strength, imprisonment			1	0.0	1	0.0						
75 AWOL or desertion			1	0.0	1	0.0			1	0.0	1	0.0
64 Alcoholism									-	010	•	0.0
73 Court-martial												
83 Pattern of minor disciplinary infractions			1	0.0	1	0.0			1	0.0	1	0.0
71 Civil court conviction			•	0.0	•	0.0				0.0	•	0.0
Performance	653	46.5	1,333	49.4	1,986	48.4	238	25.6	795	32.2	1.033	30.4
87 Entry level performance-conduct/Trainee Discharge Pgm	652	46.4	1,333	49.4	1,985	48.4	238	25.6	795	32.2	1,033	30.4
86 Unsatisfactory performance/Expeditious Discharge Pgm	1	0.1	-		1	0.0					-,	
85 Failure to meet minimum qualifications for retention												
Medical/Physical	615	43.8	1,232	45.6	1,847	45.0	585	63.0	1,548	62.7	2,133	62.8
16 Medically unqualified for active duty, other	471	33.5	1,090	40.4	1,561	38.0	377	40.6	1,249	50.6	1,626	47.9
17 Failure to meet weight or body fat standards	12	0.9	31	1.1	43	1.0	191	20.6	236	9.6	427	12.6
10 Condition existing prior to service	132	9.4	111	4.1	243	5.9	17	1.8	62	2.5	79	2.3
14 Disability, no condition existing prior to SVC, no sev pay									1	0.0	1	0.0
Pregnancy/Parenthood	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
94 Pregnancy												
97 Parenthood												
Dther	136	9.7	130	4.8	266	6.5	103	11.1	122	4.9	225	6.6
101 Dropped from strength, desertion	5	0.4	11	0.4	16	0.4	34	3.7	13	0.5	47	1.4
60 Character or behavior disorder	13	0.9	32	1.2	45	1.1	6	0.6	17	0.7	23	0.7
76 Homosexuality	7	0.5	28	1.0	35	0.9	7	0.8	49	2.0	56	1.6
22 Dependency or hardship	16	1.1	20	0.7	36	0.9	7	0.8	8	0.3	15	0.4
74 Fraudulent entry	59	4.2	28	1.0	87	2.1	21	2.3	10	0.4	31	0.9
99 Other	4	0.3	4	0.1	8	0.2			1	0.0	1	0.0
91 Erroneous enlistment or induction	26	1.9	2	0.1	28	0.7	22	2.4	20	0.8	42	1.2
98 Breach of contract	3	0.2			3	0.1	6	0.6	1	0.0	7	0.2
998 Invalid SPD	~	0.2	1	0.0	1	0.0						
103 Record correction	3	0.2	3	0.1	6	0.1				• •		
90 Secretarial authority									1	0.0	1	0.0
105 Dropped from strength, other									2	0.1	2	0.1
96 Conscientious objector												
72 Security						0.0						
92 Sole surviving family member				0.0	1	0.0						_

Note. f = Frequency of ISC in time period. % = Percentage of all attrition in the given time period that was linked to each ISC.

		F	Y99		<u></u>		I	FY03		
SRS Variable	N	<u>r</u>	<i>r</i> *	с	SE _c	N	<u>r</u>	<u>r</u> *	с	SE _c
Composites										
Affective Commitment	28,445	11	17	.608	.008	2,775	06	10	.561	.028
Attrition Cognitions	28,471	.19	.30	.660	.008	2,829	.16	.27	.640	.028
Continuance Commitment	28,471	06	10	.561	.008	2,814	04	08	.545	.026
Continuance Intentions ^a	28,471	06	09	.579	.008	2,764	03	06	.544	.029
Generalized Self Efficacy	28,443	15	25	.640	.008	2,770	15	25	.648	.027
Importance of Core Army Values										
Duty, Integrity, and Personal Courage	28,438	06	10	.545	.008	2,824	05	09	.519	.028
Loyalty and Selfless Service	28,435	07	12	.565	.008	2,825	04	06	.506	.027
Military versus Civilian Life										
Pay	27,888	01	02	.509	.008	2,735	.03	.05	.547	.027
Quality of Work Life	28,342	05	07	.539	.008	2,780	.00	.00	.515	.028
Time for Personal Life	28,045	04	06	.546	.008	2,734	.00	01	.510	.027
Overall	28,363	05	07	.540	.008	2,793	.01	.01	.512	.027
Participation in DEP Activities	28,293	05	08	.553	.008	2,833	05	09	.565	.025
Participation in High School Activities	28,321	01	02	.517	.007	2,756	.01	.02	.514	.026
Possible Reasons for Leaving Army										
Deviance	28,223	.05	.08	.543	.008	2,786	.05	.09	.530	.026
Discrimination	28,191	.01	.02	.509	.007	2,786	.03	.04	.516	.026
Problems Adjusting	28,288	.12	.20	.624	.008	2,786	.12	.20	.619	.026
All Reasons	28,408	.10	.16	.625	.007	2,786	.12	.20	.632	.025
Reasons for Joining Army										
Escape Problems	28,347	.01	.02	.512	.007	2,787	.01	.02	.518	.026
Family/Friends Influence	28,338	.01	.02	.507	.008	2,745	.04	.06	.539	.027
Job Benefits	28,412	.01	.01	.517	.008	2,816	.01	.02	.522	.02
Opportunity to Travel	28,377	05	08	.554	.008	2,772	04	07	.541	.028
Personal Growth	28,389	05	08	.549	.008	2,806	03	04	.534	.020
Training and Experience	28,373	02	04	.518	.008	2,757	01	02	.509	.02
Reasons for Quitting Previous Jobs	28,235	.05	.09	.559	.008	2,706	.03	.05	.532	.02
Thoughts of Quitting High School	27,907	.08	.13	.576	.008	2,668	.08	.14	.570	.02
Trouble in High School	27,932	.05	.08	.543	.008	2,589	.03	.05	.509	.02
Unsure about Army Career	28,295	.02	.04	.521	.007	2,726	.05	.08	.555	.02
SRS Single Items										
58. Level of stress/strain	28,386	.11	.18	.641	.007	2,586	.09	.15	.615	.02
37. Medical advise against exercise	28,419	.07	.10	.532	.008	2,667	.10	.16	.547	.02
36. # serious injuries before Army	28,442	.05	.08	.543	.008	2,678	.08	.13	.563	.02
35. Average fitness level before Army	28,427	07	10	.574	.007	2,770	09	15	609.	.02
25a. Never thought about quitting HS	27,907	07	12	.570	.008	2,668	06	510	.561	.02
05. How long in DEP?	28,165	07	12	.588	.007	2,738	09	15	5 .603	.02
53. Advise female about joining Army	28,276	06	10	.558	.008	2,701	.00	.00	.502	.02

Table 11. Correlations between SRS Variables and BCT Attrition by Cohort
Table 11. Continued.

FY99					FY03					
SRS Variable	N	r	r*	с	SE_c	N	r	r*	c	SE_c
SRS Single Items (continued)										
47. Work I enjoy most is available	28,360	07	11	.573	.008	2,711	05	08	.546	.027
59. Current level of morale	28,394	09	14	.587	.008	2,566	11	19	.620	.027
52. Advise male about joining Army	28,272	10	16	.571	.008	2,706	04	06	.522	.026

Note. r = Point-biserial correlation or phi coefficient. $r^* =$ Adjusted correlations between the given predictor and BCT attrition (Kemery et al., 1988). Adjusted correlations provide an estimate of what the correlation would be if the base rate of BCT attrition were .50. c = c-statistic. $SE_c =$ standard error of c-statistic. All r are significant (p < .05, one-tailed), except those that are bolded.

Table 12 shows correlations and *c*-statistics for administrative variables. Like the SRS variables, the validities of the administrative variables for predicting BCT attrition in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts were generally quite similar. In both the FY99 and FY03 cohorts, the administrative variable with the strongest relationship to BCT attrition was gender. In the FY99 cohort, the odds of females attriting in BCT were about 2.3 times greater than the odds of males attriting in BCT, whereas in the FY03 cohort, the odds of females attriting in BCT were about 2.4 times greater than such odds for males (see Table 13). Other notable similarities across cohorts include: (a) Tier II Soldiers (i.e., alternative credential holders) more likely to attrit in BCT than high school graduates, (b) whites were more likely to attrit in BCT than minorities, (c) Soldiers who required a medical enlistment waiver for entry into service were more likely to attrit than single Soldiers, and (e) Combat Arms Soldiers were less likely to attrit in BCT than non-Combat Arms Soldiers (see Table 13).

		I	FY99			FY03				
Administrative Variable	N	r	r*	с	SEc	N	r	r*	с	SEc
ASVAB GT Score	62,248	.00	01	.502	.004	58,588	02	04	.530	.005
Education Tier at Entry	62,126	.05	.08	.536	.005	63,634	.04	.06	.529	.005
Marital Status at Entry (Married)	62,361	.05	.08	.536	.005	67,283	.02	.04	.520	.005
Medical Enlistment Waiver*	28,321	.03	.04	.517	.007	2,619	.06	.09	.540	.028
Moral Character Enlistment Waiver*	28,341	.00	.00	.502	.007	2,668	04	06	.524	.025
MOS Classification	61,780	.06	.09	.562	.004	67,484	.04	.07	.545	.005
Race/Ethnicity	62,361	.05	.08	.551	.004	67,484	.03	.05	.533	.005
Gender (Female)**	62,361	.12	.18	.595	.005	2,678	.11	.18	.613	.027

Table 12. Correlations between Demographic Variables and BCT Attrition by Cohort

Note. r = Point-biserial correlation or phi coefficient. $r^* =$ Adjusted correlations between the given predictor and BCT attrition (Kemery et al., 1988). Adjusted correlations provide an estimate of what the correlation would be if the base rate of BCT attrition were .50. c = c-statistic. $SE_c =$ standard error of c-statistic. All r are significant (p < .05, one-tailed), except those that are bolded. *FY99 and FY03 values were based on SRS responses of cohort members. **FY03 values were based on SRS responses because gender was not pulled from the EMF for the FY03 cohort.

	FY	99	FY	03
Administrative Variable	Rate	OR	Rate	OR
Education Tier at Entry (Tier I)	6.1		4.8	
Tier II	10.0	1.58	7.2	1.46
Tier III	7.6	1.23	4.3	0.90
Marital Status at Entry (Married) Single	10.1 6.1	0.63	6.1 4.7	0.78
Medical Enlistment Waiver (Yes)*	7.8	0.05	8.2	0.70
No	5.7	0.75	4.4	0.56
Moral Character Enlistment Waiver (Yes)*	5.6		2.2	
No	5.9	1.05	5.1	2.25
MOS Classification (Combat Arms)	4.2		3.6	
Combat Support	7.0	1.61	5.6	1.52
Combat Service Support	7.8	1.76	5.5	1.50
Race/Ethnicity (White)	7.5		5.5	
Black	5.9	0.80	4.5	0.83
Hispanic	3.9	0.54	3.4	0.63
Other	4.4	0.61	4.4	0.81
Gender (Female)**	12.5		8.4	
Male	5.1	0.44	3.3	0.41

Table 13. BCT Attrition Rates by Demographic Group and Cohort

Note. Rate = BCT attrition rate for Soldiers in the given group. OR = Odds ratio = Odds of attrition for given group / Odds of attrition for referent group. The referent group for each administrative variable is noted in parentheses. *FY99 and FY03 values were based on SRS responses of cohort members. **FY03 values were based on SRS responses because gender was not pulled from the EMF for the FY03 cohort.

Although findings with regard to the predictiveness of administrative variables were generally similar, a few differences warrant highlighting. For example, both waiver variables (Medical and Moral Character) appeared to have stronger relationships with BCT attrition in the FY03 cohort. In the FY03 cohort, Soldiers with medical enlistment waivers were 1.79 times more likely to attrit in BCT than Soldiers without such waivers, whereas in the FY99 cohort Soldiers with medical enlistment waivers. Like the pattern of validities observed for medical/physical SRS variables, this finding is consistent with the fact that Medical/Physical ISCs accounted for a greater proportion of BCT attrition in the FY03 cohort (relative to FY99). With regard to moral character waivers, Soldiers without such waivers in the FY03 cohort were 2.25 times *less* likely to attrit in BCT than Soldiers without such waivers, whereas in the FY99 cohort, Soldiers with moral character waivers were only 1.05 times less likely to attrit in BCT than Soldiers without such waivers.

Structural Models of BCT Attrition

Recall that prior to fitting an overall model of BCT attrition in the FY99 sample, we needed to determine which BCT structural model from the FY99 longitudinal report should initially be fitted as the overall model of BCT attrition in the FY99 sample. As noted earlier, we chose to fit a model that was identical in structure to the final structural model of second month

BCT attrition (Putka, 2004b). Upon fitting this model to the FY99 data, we found that the MOS dummy variables (Combat Support and Combat Service Support) failed to have significant direct effects on attrition. This finding was not surprising because results presented in the FY99 longitudinal report indicated the direction of the relationship between MOS and BCT attrition reversed itself across the first 2 months of service (Putka, 2004b). In light of these findings, we eliminated the direct paths from the MOS dummies to attrition and refit the model to the FY99 data. The fit of the new model was very good (see Table 14) and all of the remaining path coefficients in the model were statistically significant (see Table 15).

				Fit Statistics					
Cohort/Model	N	df	χ^2	$\Delta \chi^2$	CFI	TLI	RMSEA		
FY99 Cohort							<u> </u>		
FY99 Model	25,543	43	627.3	-	.990	.979	.023		
FY03 Cohort									
Unconstrained FY99 Model	1,704	43	106.5	-	.984	.966	.029		
Constrained FY99 Model 1 (Attrition Paths Fixed)	1,704	51	125.7	19.2*	.981	.966	.029		
Constrained FY99 Model 2 (All Paths Fixed)	1,704	90	271.6	145.9*	.953	.953	.034		

Table 14. Model Fit Statistics for Structural Models of BCT Attrition

Note. CFI = Comparative Fit Index. TLI = Tucker-Lewis Index. RMSEA =Root Mean Square Error of Approximation. *Change in chi-squared from previous (less constrained) model is statistically significant (p < .05), indicating the given model fits the data worse than the previous model.

Cross-Validating the FY99 Structural Model in the FY03 Cohort

As described earlier, we conducted a series of nested SEM analyses in the FY03 sample to assess the degree to which the FY99 structural model of BCT attrition generalized to the FY03 cohort. Model fit statistics, path coefficients, and multiple correlations associated with these nested models are shown in Tables 14, 15, and 16 respectively.

The first model we fitted to the FY03 data allowed all parameters in the FY99 model to be freely estimated. This model is referred to as the Unconstrained FY99 Model in Tables 14 through 16. Although this first model provided a very good fit to the FY03 data based on CFI, TLI, and RMSEA statistics, a few of the path coefficients that were statistically significant in the FY99 cohort failed to reach significance in the FY03 cohort. Nevertheless, the paths that failed to reach significance in the FY03 cohort were generally among the weaker paths from the FY99 cohort. Furthermore, those variables that were the strongest predictors of the endogenous variables in the FY99 cohort remained statistically significant and were again among the strongest predictors of such variables in the FY03 cohort. Also of note, the multiple correlation statistics (which index the degree to which each endogenous variable in the model was predicted by variables with paths leading to it; see Table 16) for the Unconstrained FY99 model in the FY03 cohort were nearly identical to those found in the FY99 cohort. Taken together, such findings suggest that similar levels of prediction could be obtained in the FY03 cohort with fewer of the variables contained in the FY99 model. Lastly, it is worth noting that the direct effects of Fitness and Medical II: Medical History Concerns on BCT attrition were stronger in

	FY99	Cohort			FY03 (Cohort			
	FY99	Model		strained Model	Constrained FY99 Model 1		Constr FY99 N		
Outcome/Predictor	b	Wald	B	Wald	<u>b</u>	Wald	$\frac{1}{b}$	Wald	
Attrition									
Attrition Cognitions	0.36	19.73	0.31	3.40	0.36	_ ^a	0.36	-	
Female	0.51	15.73	0.33	2.59	0.51	_	0.51	-	
Past Withdrawal Propensity	0.19	15.51	0.21	4.25	0.19	-	0.19	-	
Married	0.26	6.64	0.17	1.08	0.26	-	0.26	-	
Medical II: Medical History Concerns	0.08	6.22	0.24	4.16	0.08	-	0.08	-	
Medical I: Medical Waiver/Failure	0.05	3.83	0.00	0.01	0.06	-	0.06	-	
Fitness	-0.05	-3.58	-0.24	-3.57	-0.05	-	-0.05	-	
ASVAB GT Score	0.00	-1.73	0.00	-0.44	0.00	-	0.00	-	
Attrition Cognitions									
Positive Army Affect	-0.41	-72.53	-0.36	-19.00	-0.37	-19.22	-0.41	-	
Generalized Self-Efficacy	-0.30	-49.97	-0.36	-15.79	-0.35	-15.66	-0.30	_	
57h. Homesickness	0.21	31.23	0.15	6.06	0.15	6.27	0.21	-	
58. Stress/Strain	0.06	29.98	0.06	7.82	0.06	7.81	0.06	-	
Utility/Ease of Withdrawal	0.12	26.74	0.08	5.53	0.07	5.45	0.12	-	
Medical II: Medical History Concerns	0.04	15.73	0.02	2.58	0.02	2.60	0.04	-	
Reasons for Joining Army- Personal Growth		-14.21	-0.06	-5.39	-0.06	-5.35	-0.05	-	
Core Army Values	-0.05	-12.52	-0.03	-2.20	-0.03	-2.29	-0.05	-	
Reasons for Potentially Leaving Army- All	0.01	12.38	0.01	2.91	0.01	2.83	0.01	-	
ASVAB GT Score	0.00	-11.76	0.00	-2.33	0.00	-2.43	0.00	-	
Reasons for Joining Army- Travel	-0.02	-5.75	-0.02	-1.71	-0.02	-1.68	-0.02	-	
MOS: Combat Service Support	-0.04	-5.30	-0.02	-0.85	-0.03	-1.02	-0.04	-	
MOS: Combat Support	-0.02	-2.43	0.00	-0.09	0.00	-0.01	-0.02	-	
Generalized Self-Efficacy									
Attrition Cognitions	-0.66	-100.67	-0.72	-27.85	-0.72	-27.87	-0.66	-	
Fitness	0.22	65.45	0.18	16.07	0.18	16.06	0.22	-	
4. Perceived Familiarity with Army	0.08	25.88	0.06	4.88	0.06	4.76	0.08	-	
Unsure About Army Career	-0.05	-16.69	-0.07	-6.44	-0.07	-6.57	0.00	-	
Core Army Values	0.07	15.29	0.09	5.68	0.09	5.58	0.07	-	
Female	-0.10	-11.32	-0.10	-3.59	-0.10	-3.55	-0.10	-	
ASVAB GT Score	0.00	6.57	0.00	1.26	0.00	1.28	0.00	-	
MOS: Combat Support	-0.04	-3.76	0.05	1.12	0.06	1.31	-0.04	-	
Married	0.03	2.71	0.03	1.02	0.03	1.18	0.03	-	
MOS: Combat Service Support	-0.01	-1.48	0.02	0.66	0.02	0.53	-0.01	-	
Stress/Strain									
Positive Army Affect	-0.60	-40.46	-0.58	-9.69	-0.59	-9.76	-0.60	-	
Race: Black	-0.50	-25.93	-0.44	-5.61	-0.44	-5.67	-0.50	-	
57h. Homesickness	0.49	21.46	0.35	3.59	0.35	3.55	0.49	-	
Unsure About Army Career	0.14	17.72	0.17	4.96	0.17	5.12	0.14	-	

Table 15. Model Parameter Estimates and Wald Statistics for Structural Models of BCT Attrition

Table 15. continued

	FY99	Cohort			FY03	Cohort		
	FY99 Model			strained Model		rained Model 1		rained Model 2
Outcome/Predictor	b	Wald	b	Wald	b	Wald	b	Wald
Stress/Strain (continued)								
Reasons for Potentially Leaving Army- All	0.04	13.50	0.06	4.10	0.06	4.17	0.04	-
Race: Hispanic	-0.33	-12.85	-0.26	-2.43	-0.25	-2.39	-0.33	-
Female	0.24	10.36	0.10	1.28	0.10	1.27	0.24	-
Race: Other	-0.33	-9.65	0.09	0.66	0.09	0.68	-0.33	-
4. Perceived Familiarity with Army	-0.07	-8.08	-0.08	-2.55	-0.08	-2.55	-0.07	-
Fitness	-0.07	-7.96	-0.04	-1.32	-0.04	-1.20	-0.07	-
Reasons for Joining Army- Personal Growth	0.07	7.93	0.01	0.19	0.01	0.15	0.07	-
Medical II: Medical History Concerns	0.07	7.51	0.15	3.63	0.15	3.56	0.07	-
Reasons for Joining Army- Travel		-6.35	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.16	-0.05	-
Married	0.14	5.45	0.10	1.24	0.09	1.14	0.14	-
MOS: Combat Support	-0.06	-2.92	-0.08	-0.89	-0.08	-0.85	-0.06	-
MOS: Combat Service Support	-0.04	-2.26	-0.17	-2.21	-0.17	-2.18	-0.04	

Note. ^aNo Wald statistics are listed for path coefficients that were constrained to equal the FY99 path coefficient estimates. For each outcome, predictors are sorted in descending order by magnitude of their Wald statistic in the FY99 cohort. Paths with statistics that exceed 1.65 in magnitude are statistically significant (p < .05, two-tailed). Significant paths appear in bold type.

the FY03 cohort than the FY99 cohort. Again, such findings are consistent with differences found in the ISC composition of BCT attrition in the two cohorts noted earlier. To help illustrate the portions of the FY99 model that remained statistically significant (i.e., cross-validated) in the FY03 cohort, Figure 3 depicts a revised structural model of BCT attrition that shows only those paths that were significant in both the FY99 *and* FY03 data sets.

The second structural model we fitted to the FY03 data was identical to the Unconstrained FY99 Model described above with the exception that the path coefficients linking predictors to BCT attrition were constrained to equal the path coefficients for those predictors as estimated in the FY99 cohort. Note that the path coefficients to the other endogenous variables in the model remained free to vary. This model is referred to as Constrained FY99 Model 1 (Attrition Paths Fixed) in Tables 14 through 16. Although this model provided a very good fit to the FY03 data based on CFI, TLI, and RMSEA statistics (essentially identical to the unconstrained model), it resulted in significantly worse fit (statistically) than the Unconstrained FY99 Model ($\chi^2(8) = 19.2, p < .05$). Such findings may lead one to conclude that constraining the path coefficients that link predictors directly to attrition to be equal to those estimated in the FY99 sample resulted in a poorer fitting model. However, caution should be taken in drawing such a conclusion because the magnitude of this decrement in fit appeared to be quite small, based on (a) other model fit statistics (i.e., CFI, TLI, and RMSEA) and (b) the multiple correlations associated with prediction of the endogenous variables—all of which were nearly identical to those found for the unconstrained model.



Figure 3. Revised Structural Model of BCT Attrition

(endogenous variables). Variables in ovals are component scores (detailed in Appendix F of the FY99 longitudinal report, Strickland, 2004). Variables bulleted Note. Only paths that were statistically significant in the FY99 and FY03 data are shown in this model. Variables in bolded boxes were modeled as outcomes within the same box lead to the same endogenous variable(s). GSE = Path to Generalized Self-Efficacy only. S/S = Path to Stress/Strain only.

Cohort/Model	R^2	R
FY99 Cohort		
FY99 Model		
BCT Attrition	.15	.39
Attrition Cognitions	.74	.86
Generalized Self-Efficacy	.89	.94
Stress/Strain	.26	.51
FY03 Cohort		
Unconstrained FY99 Model		
BCT Attrition	.22	.46
Attrition Cognitions	.68	.82
Generalized Self-Efficacy	.88	.94
Stress/Strain	.24	.49
Constrained FY99 Model 1 (Attrition Paths Fixed)		
BCT Attrition	.16	.39
Attrition Cognitions	.67	.82
Generalized Self-Efficacy	.88	.94
Stress/Strain	.24	.49
Constrained FY99 Model 2 (All Paths Fixed)		
BCT Attrition	.16	.40
Attrition Cognitions	.70	.83
Generalized Self-Efficacy	.87	.93
Stress/Strain	.24	.49

Table 16. Multiple R^2 and R Statistics for Endogenous Variables in Structural Models of BCT Attrition

The third structural model we fitted to the FY03 data was identical to the previous model with the exception that the path coefficients linking predictors to *all* endogenous variables were constrained to equal the coefficients estimated in the FY99 cohort. This model is referred to as Constrained FY99 Model 2 (All Paths Fixed) in Tables 14 through 16. Again this model provided a good fit to the FY03 data based on CFI, TLI, and RMSEA statistics, but it resulted in significantly worse fit than the model where only attrition paths were constrained ($\chi^2(39) = 145.9, p < .05$). Once again, although the differences in model fit were statistically significant, based on the other fit indexes and multiple correlations associated with the endogenous variables, this decrement in fit appeared to be small.

In addition to the SEM work described above, we also took a second approach to crossvalidating the FY99 model using logistic regression. As noted earlier, we used logistic regression weights obtained from regressing BCT attrition on all variables having direct effects on attrition (as revealed by the FY99 structural model, and estimated based on FY99 data), and applied those weights to the FY03 data to form an FY99 model composite score for each Soldier. We then compared the validity and utility of this composite for predicting BCT attrition in each cohort. Results of these analyses are shown in Table 17.

	Model Validity								
Cohort	N	r	r*	с	SE _c	Attrition Rate Top 5%	Attrition Rate Top 10%	Attrition Rate Top 15%	Base Rate
FY99 Composite in FY99 Cohort	26,118					.290	.216	.180	.057
FY99 Composite in FY03 Cohort	1,845	.28	.49	.748	.027	.228	.173	.137	.045

Table 17. Cross-Validation of the FY99 Logistic Regression Model Composite

Note. r =Correlation between FY99 model composite and BCT attrition in the given cohort. $r^* =$ Adjusted correlation. c = c-statistic indexing the relationship between probabilities resulting from the FY99 composite and BCT attrition in the given cohort. $SE_c =$ Standard error of the *c*-statistic. Lower = lower bound of Cl. Upper = upper bound of Cl. Attrit Rate Top 5% = BCT attrition rate among Soldiers scoring in the top 5% of the FY99 model composite (i.e., the predicted probabilities of attrition resulting from the model) in the given cohort. Attrit Rate Top 10% = BCT attrition rate among Soldiers scoring in the top 15% of the FY99 model composite in the given cohort. Attrit Rate Top 15% = BCT attrition rate among Soldiers scoring in the top 15% of the FY99 model composite in the given cohort. Base rate = BCT attrition rate in the sample on which validity was estimated. All *r* and *c* statistics are significant (p < .05, one-tailed).

Examination of Table 17 reveals several noteworthy findings. First, the validity of the FY99 composite for predicting BCT attrition in the FY03 cohort was nearly identical to its validity for predicting BCT attrition in the FY99 cohort. The *c*-statistics indicate that the FY99 composite discriminated between attritees and stayers at levels that were roughly 49.8% greater than chance in both cohorts. Such findings demonstrate that the FY99 composite maintained its high level of validity for predicting BCT attrition in the FY03 cohort.

A similar pattern of findings emerged with regard to the utility of the FY99 composite for identifying Soldiers at high risk of BCT attrition. For example, FY99 Soldiers scoring in the top 5% of the FY99 composite were 5.1 times more likely to attrit in BCT than the average FY99 Soldier.¹⁴ Similarly, FY03 Soldiers scoring in the top 5% of the FY99 composite were also 5.1 times more likely to attrit in BCT than the average FY03 Soldier. Such findings indicate that the FY99 composite maintained its high level of utility for identifying Soldiers at high risk for BCT attrition across cohorts.

¹⁴ The value of "5.1" was obtained by dividing the attrition rate of FY99 Soldiers scoring in the top in the top 5% of the FY99 composite by the attrition rate among all FY99 Soldiers with a composite score. Thus, were the Army to have used this composite as a screen for Soldiers entering BCT in FY99, it is predicted that they would have identified a group of Soldiers who were at five times greater risk for BCT attrition than the average Soldier.

DISCUSSION

Summary of Key Findings

Below is a summary of the results associated with the seven research questions examined in this report. A discussion of conclusions drawn from these results and suggestions for future research on the FY03 cohort are provided.

1. How demographically similar are Soldiers in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts?

The FY99 and FY03 cohorts are very similar in terms of their demographic composition. Demographic variables that showed the largest differences across cohorts were gender and race. Compared to the FY99 cohort, the FY03 *SRS sample* had proportionally more female Soldiers (FY03: 28.1% vs. FY99: 19.6%) and the FY03 entire cohort had proportionally more white Soldiers (FY03: 67.7% vs. FY99: 59.9%).

2. How similar are Soldiers in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts with regard to their standing on survey variables reflecting their experiences, attitudes, and beliefs?

As was the case with the demographic composition of the FY99 and FY03 cohorts, mean SRS and EOTS responses among Soldiers were quite similar across cohorts. The largest differences were associated with survey composites that reflect Soldiers' desire to serve their country, core Army values, and favorable reactions toward the Army (e.g., favorable military vs. civilian comparisons, affective commitment, and satisfaction with the Army). Mean scores on such variables were generally about .20 to .40 standard deviations higher for FY03 Soldiers than for FY99 Soldiers. Also of note, the top three reasons Soldiers cited for joining the Army remained the same for both cohorts: #1- Educational benefits, #2- Training in job skills, and #3-Security and stability of a job.

3. Do the survey composites formed in the FY99 cohort maintain their level of reliability (internal consistency) in the FY03 cohort?

Nearly all SRS and EOTS survey composites formed as part of the FY99 longitudinal study maintained their level of internal consistency in the FY03 cohort.

4. How similar are the base rates and composition of BCT attrition in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts?

The base rates and composition of BCT attrition in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts were quite similar, as was the distribution of attrition across the first 9 months of service. The base rate of BCT attrition in the FY03 cohort (5.1%) was slightly lower than the rate in the FY99 cohort (6.6%). The pattern in hazards (i.e., conditional monthly attrition rates) across the first 9 months of service was essentially parallel in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts. In both cohorts, the majority of BCT attrition could be attributed to two ISCs: 87 (Entry Level Performance and Character/ Trainee Discharge Program) and 16 (Medically Unqualified for Active Duty)—

(86.4% in FY99; 78.3% in FY03). Lastly, a greater percentage of BCT attrition in the FY03 cohort was linked to Medical/Physical ISCs (62.8%) than in the FY99 cohort (45.0%).

5. Do pre-training administrative and survey variables have similar levels of validity for predicting BCT attrition in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts?

The majority of relationships between SRS variables and BCT attrition were highly similar in the FY99 and FY03 cohorts. However, there were some exceptions. Most notably, SRS variables that reflected medical and physical factors (i.e., SRS Item 37: Medical advice against exercise, SRS Item 36: # of serious injuries before Army, and SRS Item: 35: Average fitness level before Army) had stronger relationships with BCT attrition in the FY03 cohort (relative to the FY99 cohort). With regard to administrative variables, similarly small differences in validities were observed. Lastly, it is worth noting that the variables that were most predictive of BCT attrition in the FY99 cohort (i.e., Attrition Cognitions, Generalized Self-Efficacy, Potential Reasons for Leaving the Army: All) were also among the strongest predictors of BCT attrition in the FY03 cohort.

6. Are relationships among variables in the FY99 structural model of BCT attrition maintained in the FY03 cohort?

Based on commonly used fit statistics (e.g., CFI, TLI, and RMSEA), the FY99 model provided a very good fit to the FY03 data. Nevertheless, a few of the path coefficients that were statistically significant in the FY99 cohort failed to reach significance in the FY03 cohort. However, the paths that failed to reach significance in the FY03 cohort were generally among the weaker paths from the FY99 cohort. Indeed, those variables that were the strongest predictors of the endogenous variables in the FY99 cohort remained among the strongest predictors of such variables in the FY03 cohort. Furthermore, multiple correlations between endogenous variables and predictors in the structural models examined were nearly identical across cohorts. Such findings suggest that similar levels of prediction could be obtained in the FY03 cohort with fewer of the variables used in the FY99 model.

7. Does a model of BCT attrition optimized in the FY99 cohort maintain its validity and utility for predicting BCT attrition in the FY03 cohort?

The FY99 model composite retained its high level of validity and utility for identifying Soldiers at high risk of BCT attrition across cohorts. Specifically, the FY99 model composite was able to distinguish between BCT attritees and stayers at levels that were roughly 50% greater than chance in both cohorts. Furthermore, Soldiers scoring in the top 5% of the FY99 model composite were roughly five times more likely to attrit in BCT than the average Soldier in both the FY99 and FY03 cohorts.

Conclusions

The results presented in this report suggest that the FY03 and FY99 cohorts are quite similar. Though some differences were found, they did little to diminish the predictive validity and utility of variables and models identified as salient predictors of BCT attrition in the FY99

longitudinal study. In light of these findings, recommendations made based on the FY99 cohort's longitudinal study with regard to how the Army can use these results to manage BCT attrition still hold (McCloy & Strickland, 2004).

Recommendations for Future Research on the FY03 Cohort

Although this report provided answers to many questions regarding the similarity of the FY99 and FY03 cohorts, several important questions remain to be answered. For example, in this report we focused on only one type of attrition—BCT attrition. At the time this report was written, the FY03 cohort had yet to mature enough for examination of attrition in and through later time periods (e.g. AIT, OSUT, and in-unit). As the FY99 longitudinal report revealed, different variables tend to be predictive of attrition at different points in time. As such, future research efforts on the FY03 cohort should assess whether variables and models identified as salient predictors of these later types of attrition by the FY99 study retain their predictive validity and utility in the FY03 cohort. Furthermore, such research should continue to examine EOTS variables as well, which were collected after Soldiers exited BCT (thus, their validity was not examined in the present report). Such research efforts would also allow one to ascertain whether the FY99 structural models of AIT and in-unit attrition generalize to the FY03 cohort.

Examining later attrition in the FY03 cohort would also be important in light of potential differences between cohorts that may emerge once Soldiers join their unit. For example, whereas the typical FY99 Soldier would have likely been assigned to a unit at a base in the United States, the typical FY03 Soldier might be deployed to a war zone in Iraq. Assessing how such differences might affect the degree to which administrative and survey variables predict in-unit attrition would shed further light on how results from the FY99 study generalize to cohorts that function during times of war. As the FY03 cohort ages, it will be possible to draw more complete comparisons to the FY99 cohort in this regard.

Lastly, future research should compare self-reported exit survey responses from the FY99 and FY03 cohorts to assess their similarity for attrition during various time periods (e.g., BCT, AIT, in-unit). In this report, we focused our comparison of the composition of BCT attrition based on ISC only. It would be interesting to assess whether the same findings regarding differences in the composition of BCT attrition (e.g., Medical/Physical attrition was more prevalent in FY03) are found when using self-reported reasons for attrition as opposed to ISCs.

REFERENCES

Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. Psychological Bulletin, 112, 155-159.

- Hanley, J. A., & McNeil, B. J. (1982). The meaning and use of the area under a Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve. *Radiology*, 143, 29-36.
- Hu, L.T. & Bentler, P.M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indices in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 6, 1-55.
- Kemery, E.R., Dunlap, W.P., & Griffeth, R.W. (1988). Correction for variance restriction in point-biserial correlations. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 73, 688-691.
- Laurence, J.H. (1993). Education standards and military selection: From the beginning. In T. Trent & J.H. Laurence (Eds.), *Adaptability screening for the Armed Forces* (pp. 1-40). Washington, DC: Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense.
- Laurence, J. H., Naughton, J., & Harris, D. A. (1996). *Attrition revisited: Identifying the problem and its solutions* (Research Note 96-20). Alexandria, VA: U. S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences.
- Legree, P. (2004, May). FY03 cohort study: Trends in influences on attrition in initial entry training. Presented at the External Review Panel of ARI Projects: Attrition Determinants and Management, Arlington, VA.
- McCloy, R.A. & Strickland, W. J. (2004). Recommendations. In W.J. Strickland (Ed.), A longitudinal study of first term attrition and reenlistment among FY1999 enlisted accessions. Alexandria, VA: Human Resources Research Organization.
- Muthen, L.K. & Muthen, B.O. (2001). *Mplus user's guide*. 2nd Edition. Los Angeles: Muthen & Muthen.
- Putka, D.J. (2004a). Composition and prediction of attrition through 48 months of service. In
 W.J. Strickland (Ed.), A longitudinal study of first term attrition and reenlistment among FY1999 enlisted accessions. Alexandria, VA: Human Resources Research Organization.
- Putka, D.J. (2004b). Modeling basic combat training attrition. In W.J. Strickland (Ed.), *A* longitudinal study of first term attrition and reenlistment among FY1999 enlisted accessions. Alexandria, VA: Human Resources Research Organization.
- Putka, D.J., & McCloy, R.A. (2001, October). A primer on signal detection theory: Applications to the prediction of attrition in the U.S. Army. Presentation to the U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences at the Human Resource Research Organization, Alexandria, VA.
- Strickland, W.J. (Ed.) (2004). A longitudinal study of first term attrition and reenlistment among FY1999 enlisted accessions. Alexandria, VA: Human Resources Research Organization.
- Van Iddekinge, C.H. (2004). Survey composite development and coding of criteria. In W.J. Strickland (Ed.), A longitudinal study of first term attrition and reenlistment among FY1999 enlisted accessions. Alexandria, VA: Human Resources Research Organization.

APPENDIX

SOLDIER RECEPTION SURVEY



SURVEY APPROVAL AUTHORITY: U.S. ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR THE BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES SURVEY CONTROL NUMBER: PT 60-18A The **Soldier Reception Survey** is part of a research project to evaluate the attitudes and experiences of enlisted personnel during their careers in the United States Army. In this project, the U.S. Army Research Institute (ARI) will assess the expectations and impressions of new recruits, soldiers completing training, and personnel exiting the Army. The project covers a wide range of issues related to soldiers' jobs, careers, and the Army as a whole. The overall purpose is to provide senior Army leaders information for recruiting, training, and retaining a high quality force of soliders.

Thank you for your support for this survey program.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

- The Department of the Army may collect the information requested in this survey under the authority of 10 United States Code 2358. Providing information in this questionnaire is voluntary. Failure to respond to any specific question will not result in any penalty.
- 2. Public Law 93-573 (Privacy Act of 1974) requires that you be informed of the purpose and uses to be made of the information collected. The information collected in the survey will be used solely for research purposes. Your Social Security Number (SSN) is requested only for linking data files. Use of SSNs is authorized by Executive Order 9397. In accordance with federal regulations, the survey data will be safeguarded to protect your privacy. After we have used your SSN to create the data files, a new identification code will be created to replace your SSN. The file linking your SSN to the new ID code will be properly secured to preserve confidentiality. Only survey statisticians involved in collecting or preparing the information for analysis will have access to completed questionnaires. Only group statistics will be reported.

How to fill out this survey.

Read each question carefully and mark your answers directly on this form.

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

Please use a No. 2 pencil.

Please completely fill in the response as shown in the examples:

Some questions ask you to mark ONLY ONE answer.

What component of the Army did you join? Mark the option that best describes you.

- Active Army.
- C Reserve
- O National Guard

Other questions ask you to mark MORE THAN ONE answer.

Which of the following strongly influenced you to join the Army? MARK ALL THAT APPLY.

- O Parent(s)/Guardian(s)
- Friend(s)
- O Wile/Husband/Girlfriend/Boyfriend

Athletic Goach
 Teacher

1. Listed below are some reasons why people join the Army. How important was each of these reasons in your decision to join the Army?

Extremely important	
Very important	
Moderately Important	No. ANN
Slightly important	
Not at all important	
	No series
a. Army advertising	ŝ
b. Army recruiter	Ê
c. Desire to serve my country	Ŷ
d. Develop self-discipline	
e. Earn more money than previous job(s). 10200	
f. Educational benefits	j.
g. Family social support services	
h. Get away from a personal problem (1) (2) (3) (5)	
i. Influence of family	
j. Influence of friends	
k. Lack of civilian job opportunities	
I. Medical care, coverage and benefits. 00000	
m. Military tradition in family	
n. Need to be on my own	
o. Pay and allowances	i an
p. Security and stability of a job	
q. Training in job skills	
r. Chance to travel	
s. Repayment of loans	Ē
t. Prove that I could do it	
u. Make Army a career	
v. Become more mature	
w. Take time out to decide about my	-
life plans	
x. Gain job experience	
y. Escape from a bad neighborhood (2) (2) (3) (3)	
z. Needed a place to live	
aa. Chance for adventure	

2. Which of the following strongly influenced you to join the Army? MARK ALL THAT APPLY.

- O Parent(s)/Guardian(s)
- O Brother/Sister
- O Friend(s)
- O Wife/Husband/Girlfriend/Boyfriend
- O Athletic Coach
- ◯ Teacher
- O School Guidance Counselor
- O ROTC student
- O ROTC cadre member
- Service member
- **O** Recruiter
- O Radio advertisement
- O Television advertisement
- O Printed advertisement

3. What component of the Army did you join? Mark that option that best describes you.

- O Active Army
- Reserve
- O National Guard

- 4. How famillar are you with what will be expected of you in the Army?
 - O Extremely familiar
 - O Very familiar
 - O Moderately familiar
 - O A little familiar
 - O Not at all familiar

5. How long did you participate in the Delayed Entry Program (DEP)?

- O Less than 1 month
- O 1 month
- O 2 months
- O 3 months
- O 4 months
- 5 months
- O 6 months
- O 7 months
- O 8 months
- O 9 months
- O 10 months
- O 11 months
- O 12 months

6. How often did your recruiter hold DEP activities?

- O More than once a month
- About once a month
- O Less than once a month
- O Never
- O Don't know

7. How often did you attend DEP activities?

- O More than once a month
- O About once a month
- O Less than once a month
- O Never (Why not? Please list below)
- 8. In which of the following activities did you participate while in the DEP? MARK ALL THAT APPLY.
 - O None
 - \bigcirc Social functions with other people in the DEP \bigcirc Films, speakers, or question and answer sessions
 - to get more information about Army
 - O Training sessions; for example, drill and ceremonies or first aid training
 - O Field trips to Army posts
 - O Physical training/exercise sessions
 - O Other types of DEP activities (please list below)

NANNAN

		xt	ien	ek	r in	100	HT
	1999 - 1997 -				igezz IDO		
		Ű.) In	DO	rta	nt	2
	Moderately				A		
	Somewhat im	po	rta	nt			ŝ.
	Slightly impor		110.00				
	Not at all importan	i	ť.				
			- Williams	it.	5 1 1 1		87.03C
а.	Loyalty to the United States		24722				1
	Army	0	0	9	0	3	(
b.	Taking responsibility for your						
	actions and decisions	\odot	2	3	4	\odot	(
C.	Putting what is good for others		- -				7
	above your own welfare	Ξ	0	9	•	6	(
d.	Dedication to serving the						1.0112
	United States, even to risking		1	Si i		新聞の	
	your life in its defense	0	0	0	٢	\mathfrak{S}	(
е.	Commitment to working as a		1				
	member of a team	0	0	3	٩	ౕ	1
f.	Dedication to learning your job			č, r			
	and doing it well	0	2	\odot	٢	3	(
g.	Personal drive to succeed in	觯					
-					٢		
	Being honest, open and truthful.	Ð	2	Θ	٩	3	(
	Being courageous	0	2	Θ	٩	6	(
J.	Standing up for what you firmly		~		~		
1-					0		
	Working with others tactfully						
i.	Exhibiting excellent appearance.	U S	ଥ	ğ	()	(S)	(
m. r	High moral standards	Ų	খ	છ	٩	છ	(
11.	Building and maintaining physical fitness and stamina		0				

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

10. In what year were you born?

19



 \odot

1

2

3

٩

(5)

6

 $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$

3

൭

۲

6

 $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$

1

ര

12. Are you: O Male O Female

- 13. How many dependent children do you have (for whom you provide financial support)? O None O 1 O 2 O 3 O 4 or more
- 14. Are you of Hispanic/Spanish origin or ancestry (of any race)?
 - O No
 - O Yes, Mexican, Mexican-American, Chicano
 - O Yes, Puerto Rican
 - O Yes, other Hispanic/Spanish

15. What is your racial background?

- O American Indian, Eskimo or Aleut
- O Asian or Pacific Islander
- O Black
- O White

ABOUT YOUR EDUCATION

16. Fill in the clrcles for each grade you finished. It's OK to choose more than one type of school. Fill a circle for EACH grade you finished.

	PUBLIC school	PRIVATE school	Classes mostly at HOME	GED equivalency
Grade 1	0	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	N O. B	Ō	<u>Š</u>
Grade 3	0	0	0	
Grade 4	0.	O	<u> TŌ</u>	Ö
Grade 5	0	0	0	Ô
Grade 6	O	O	O .	Ō
Grade 7	0	0	0	Õ
Grade 8	0,	C O	Ō	Ŏ
Grade 9	0	0	0	Ö
Grade 10	0	O .	O	Ó
Grade 11	0	0	0	Õ
Grade 12	O	O.	O I	Ó

IMPORTANT: Make sure you filled a circle above for EVERY GRADE you finished.

- 17. Did you finish high school?
 - No
 Yes. If yes, choose the credential(s) you earned when you finished high school.
 Fill in at least one circle:
 - O Diploma earned from a public or private traditional day school
 - O Diploma earned from an adult (continuation) school
 - O Diploma issued by parents or tutors for home schooling
 - Diploma issued by an association, school, or state for home schooling
 - O Diploma issued by a vocational or technical school
 - O Diploma issued by a correspondence school
 - O GED equivalency diploma
 - Certificate for high school attendance or completion

- 4 -

- 18. Did you finish one semester/quarter of college (at least 4 courses)? Do not include advanced placement courses you took in high school.
 - No Yes. If yes, fill in your highest level:
 - One or more semesters/quarters of college
 - One or more semesters/quarters of vocational college
 - O An associate degree
 - O A bachelor's or higher degree
- 19. Did you participate in the National Guard ChalieNGe program?
 - O No O Yes. If yes, did you graduate from ChalleNGe?

O No O Yes

- 20. What was your average grade during the time you were in high school?
 - O A or A-O B+ or B
 - OB- or C+
 - OC or C-
 - O D or lower

21. Are you planning to go to college?

- O Yes, while on active duty during this enlistment
- O Yes, after I complete this term of active duty
- () No
- O Undecided
- 22. Did you ever get into trouble at school for doing any of the things below? Mark all things for which you were sent to the principal's office. MARK ALL THAT APPLY.
 - OI was never sent to the principal's office
 - O Missing class
 - O Skipping school
 - **O**Fighting
 - O Being disorderly
 - O Bad language
 - **O** Smoking
 - O Talking back to teachers
 - O Other reasons

23. Were you ever expelled from high school or junior high (intermediate school)?

⊖Yes ⊖No

- 24. Were you ever suspended from high school or junior high (intermediate school)?
 - ⊖Yes ⊖No

- 25. If you ever thought about quitting high school, show why. MARK ALL THAT APPLY.
 - O I never thought about quitting high school
 - O My family needed money or needed me at home
 - I was expelled or suspended
 - O I was bored, wasn't learning anything useful
 - O I got married or became a parent
 - O I was getting bad grades
 - \bigcirc I didn't get along with the other students
 - O The rules were too strict
 - O I wasn't going to graduate on time
 - O I didn't get along with the teachers, counselors, or the principal
 - O I wanted to work full time
 - O Other reasons
- 26. During high school, did you participate in any of the following activities? MARK ONE RESPONSE FOR EACH ACTIVITY.

Participated as a Leader	or Officer
Partic	lpated
Did Not Particip	ate
a. Athletic teams	000
b. Drama, music, art, chorus	000
c. School clubs	
d Other alube (Secure "V" 4 H ate)	$\alpha \cap \alpha$

- 27. During your high school years, what size city or area did you live in?
 - O Large city (over 300,000 people)
 - O Suburb of a large city
 - O Medium-sized city (50,000-300,000)
 - O Small city or town (under 50,000)
 - O Rural area

28. What is your current marital status?

- O Married
- O Legally separated or filing for divorce
- O Single, never married
- O Single, engaged to be married
- O Divorced
- 29. Is there an important girlfriend/boyfriend in your life right now?
 - O Does not apply; I am currently married

O No

	30. Is your spouse/girlfriend/boyfriend currently working in a civilian job (including a job with the U.S. Army/Department of Defense)? MARK ONE.	36. During the two years before entering the Army, how many fairly serious physical injuries did you receive?
	O Does not apply; I do not have a spouse/	○ None ○ 6-9
K	girlfriend/boyfriend	○ 1-2 ○ 10 or more
	 Does not apply; my spouse/girlfriend/boyfriend is on active duty 	○ 3-5
	⊖ Yes, full-time	37. During the two years before entering the Army,
, ,	O Yes, part-time	were you ever advised by a medical practitioner not
]	O No, but is currently looking for work	to participate in any exercise or sport programs?
-	 No, not looking for work but would like to work No, does not want to work now 	○ Yes ○ No
		38. Have you ever left a job for any of the following reasons? MARK ALL THAT APPLY.
	31. How supportive is your spouse/girlfriend/boyfriend	\bigcirc I haven't had a job outside the home
	of your making a career of the Army?	O I went back to school
}	O Does not apply; I do not have a spouse/	O The pay was not good
ł 🚥	girlfriend/boyfriend	O I was laid off
	O Very supportive	O I was fired
2	O Fairly supportive	O I found a better job
	O Mixed or neutral	O I moved to another location
	O Fairly unsupportive	O I didn't get along with my supervisor
; mm	O Very unsupportive	O I was arrested
		O There was no chance to get ahead
j 🚥		 The working conditions were bad (dangerous,
2 m	32. When your spouse/girlfriend/boyfriend was	hot, dusty, etc.)
ξ 🚥	growing up, did he/she have a parent/guardian	O To join the military
÷	who was career active duty military?	O Other reasons
1.000	\bigcirc Does not apply; I do not have a spouse/	
_	girlfriend/boyfriend	39. Did you need to get a moral walver in order to
	○ Yes	be accepted by the Army?
. – 11	○ No	O Yes O No
{ •••	○ Don't know	40 Did you need to get a medical waives in ander to
<u> </u>		40. Did you need to get a medical waiver in order to
		be accepted by the Army?
	33. Is your spouse/girlfriend currently pregnant?	O Yes O No
	O Does not apply; I do not have a female spouse/	41. What is the length of your enlistment term?
	girlfriend	O 2 years
	O Yes	O 3 years
	 No, but plans to be within one year No, but maybe in the future 	0 4 years
	\bigcirc No, and does not plan to be	O More than 4 years
	O Don't know	O More man 4 years
		42. During the last 6 months before entering the DEP, how often did you smoke cigarettes?
	34. During the last 12 months, have you (or your	O Never
	spouse/girifriend) given birth to a child?	O Rarely
	O Does not apply	O Once a week or so
1	O Yes	O 2-3 times a week
÷	O No	O 4-5 times a week
		○ Daily
	35. During the two years before entering the Army, what was your average level of fitness?	43. During the last 6 months before entering the DEP, how often did you drink alcoholic beverages?
	Very high	○ Never
	High	O Rarely
	Moderate	O Once a week or so
:	O Low	O 2-3 times a week
ζ -	Very low	O 4-5 times a week
Ē -		○ Daily
Ē —		
κ		
		- 6 -

KKKK

ľ

ķ

44. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your military life? MARK A RESPONSE FOR EACH.

Strong	ly Agr	ee
1	gree	
Disagr	60 .2	
Carren Second		
66		
	変換	
60	തെര	ŝ
6	Ma	.
. W E		X
	S.	
· 🖉 🕑		
		と言う
题。	國。	١.
©		ı.
题	讈。	羅
. W Q	\mathbf{O}	6
ϩ	$\Theta $	0
		题
00	00	Θ
	Disagr agree e .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	•• .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000

45. From what you know and have heard about the Army and civilian life, please indicate how you believe conditions in the military are compared with conditions in a civilian job you could realistically expect to get. MARK A RESPONSE FOR EACH.

Much Better in Army Somewhat Better in Army About the Same Somewhat Better In Civilian Life Much Better in Civilian Life Don't Know c. Benefits other than retirement 123650 d. Assistance for civilian education ... (12) (1) (5) e. Opportunities to advance in your f. Opportunities for job safisfaction ... 1230000 i. Time for personal/family life 123056 k. Level of professionalism in the

46. How confident are you that you will: MARK A RESPONSE FOR EACH. Extremely Confident Very Confident Moderately Confident Slightly Confident Not Confident At All b. Complete your term of obligation 0 2 3 4 6 c. Meet the Army's physical 47. The kind of work I enjoy most is available: O only in the military. O primarily in the military. O equally in the military and civilian world. O primarily in the civilian world. O only in the civilian world. 48. Considering both your own qualifications and current labor market conditions, how difficult do you think it would be for you to find a good civilian job right now? O Very difficult ○ Difficult O Not particularly difficult or easy O Easy O Very easy 49. My personal or family situation would make it difficult for me to leave the Army in the next year or so. O Strongly agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree 50. Which ONE of the following best describes your current Army career intentions? MARK ONE. O PROBABLY stay in until retirement O DEFINITELY stay in until retirement

O PROBABLY stay in beyond my present obligation,

O DEFINITELY stay in beyond my present obligation,

O PROBABLY leave upon completion of my present

O DEFINITELY leave upon completion of my present

but not necessarily to retirement

but not necessarily to retirement

obligation

obligation

- 7 -

51. How many years of active duty service do you expect to have completed by the time you leave the Army? (Zero if no active duty) 0 0) (1) (2) Õ 2 ā Ĩ ŏ (5) 6 $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ ۲ ര 52. A male friend who you think is qualified asks your advice about joining the Army. Would you recommend that he ... (MARK ONE) O join the Army? O join another military service? O not join a military service? 53. A female friend who you think is qualified asks your advice about joining the Army. Would you recommend that she ... (MARK ONE) O join the Army? O join another military service? O not join a military service? 54. Do any of the following cause you to be unsure about an Army career? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. O My lack of experience in the Army O My career goals are unclear O Unsure of chances for promotion O Changes in Army job opportunities O Changes in the Army mission O Possibility of being subjected to sexual or racial discrimination O None of the above 55. How has your commitment to an Army career changed since you signed your Army contract? O Much greater now O Somewhat greater now O About the same as it was when I signed O Somewhat less now O Much less now 56. At the present time, how do you feel about your decision to enlist in the Army? O Definitely made the right decision O Probably made the right decision

- O Not sure
- O Probably made the wrong decision
- O Definitely made the wrong decision

57. Assume you were to leave the Army in the next six months. Which of the following reasons for leaving would likely apply in your case? RESPOND TO EACH ITEM.

	Not Likely to Apply
	Likely to Apply
a.	Failure to meet physical requirements
b.	Problems adjusting to Army life
C.	Family problems at home
d.	Pregnancy
e.	Disciplinary problems; minor offense
f.	Poor academic performance
g.	Illness/medical condition
h.	Homesickness
i.	Lack of motivation; boredom
	Problems with supervisors
k.	Racial discrimination
1.	Sexual or gender discrimination
n.	Better job opportunities outside the Army
	Injuries sustained during training
	Not getting desired military job
	Sexual harassment
	One or more serious offenses
	Not getting along with others

58. At the present time, what level of strain, conflict or stress - If any - are you experiencing about your stay in the Army?

O Very high O High

- O Low O Very low
- O Moderate O None

59. How would you rate your current level of morale?

O Very high	O Low
O High	O Very low
O Moderate	

- 60. Have you participated in Values Training since entering the Army?
 - O Yes O No
- 61. Enter your Social Security Number below.

		-	-		Τ	
00	0	0	0	0	00	0
$\Theta \odot$	0	\bigcirc	Ð	0	Ð()	Ø
Q Q	9	0	2	0	22	Q
	2	e S	2	ဖြ	30	ୁଞ୍ଚ
	X	6	2		90 8) (5	2
Č.	ă	ŏ	õ	6	9 C 9 C	ă
õÕ	ð	õ	ð	ŏ	ĎÕ	õ
00	Ø	3	0	Õ	D (8	6
90	0	9	0	0	99))))

SOLDIER END-OF-TRAINING SURVEY



SURVEY APPROVAL AUTHORITY: U.S. ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR THE BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES SURVEY CONTROL NUMBER: PT 60-18C

The **Soldier End-of-Training Survey** is part of a research project to evaluate the attitudes and experiences of enlisted personnel during their careers in the United States Army. The U.S. Army Research Institute (ARI) will assess the expectations and impressions of new recruits as well as enlisted personnel who have recently completed training and personnel exiting the Army. The project covers a wide range of issues related to soldiers' jobs, careers, and the Army as a whole. The overall purpose is to provide senior Army leaders information for recruiting, training, and retaining a high quality force of soliders.

Thank you for your support for this survey program.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

- 1. The Department of the Army may collect the information requested in this survey under the authority of 10 United States Code 2358. Providing information in this questionnaire is voluntary. Failure to respond to any specific question will not result in any penalty.
- 2. Public Law 93-573 (Privacy Act of 1974) requires that you be informed of the purpose and uses to be made of the information collected. The information collected in the survey will be used solely for research purposes. Your Social Security Number (SSN) is requested only for linking data files. Use of SSNs is authorized by Executive Order 9397. In accordance with federal regulations, the survey data will be safeguarded to protect your privacy. After we have used your SSN to create the data files, a new identification code will be created to replace your SSN. The file linking your SSN to the new ID code will be properly secured to preserve confidentiality. Only survey statisticians involved in collecting or preparing the information for analysis will have access to completed questionnaires. Only group statistics will be reported.



- What component of the Army did you join? Mark the option that best describes you.
 - Active Army
 - Reserve
 - O National Guard

2. Enter your Social Security Number below.

			-			1				
0	<u>()</u>	0		0	0			0	0	\odot
\odot	1	0		1	\odot		1	\odot	\odot	\odot
2	2	2		2	2		2	2	2	2
3	3	3		3	3		3	3	3	0
۲	٩	۲		٩	۲		٩	٩	•	\odot
5	٢	3		5	5		(5)	6	5	5
6	<u>(6</u>)	6		6	۲		6	-	6	
\bigcirc	D	\odot		$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$	\odot		D	-		\bigcirc
۲	(8)	3		(8)	8		8	۲	(8)	0
۹	9	۹		9	۲		۲	<u>)</u>	<u> ()</u>	9

3. What stage of training are you currently completing? MARK ONE.

- Basic Combat Training (BCT)
- O Advanced Individual Training (AIT)
- One Station Unit Training (OSUT)

4. How satisfied are you with the training you have received since you entered the Army?

- Very satisfied
- Satisfied
- O Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- Dissatisfied
- Very dissatisfied

5. How realistic were the expectations you had about Army life before you joined the Army?

- Very realistic
- O Mostly realistic
- O Mostly unrealistic
- Very unrealistic

6. Have you participated in Values Training since entering the Army?

- O Yes
- O No

7. How has Army life compared with your expectations before you joined the Army?

- O Much better than I expected
- Somewhat better than I expected
- O About the same as I expected
- Somewhat worse than I expected
- Much worse than I expected

8. To what extent has your most recent training MARK A RESPONSE FOR EACH.

NNNN

1

	2	A state of the	- 4
	54 an 19	Very great extent	- 2
		Great extent	- 2
		지수는 사람이 있는 것 같아요. 이 것 같아요. 이 가지 않는 것 않는 것 같아요. 이 가지 않는 것 않는	•• <u>}</u>
	6. P.		- 2
		Not at all	- 2
	N	o basis to judge	
	a. contributed toward yo		- 2
	professional developn		- 2
	b. instilled Army values?	•	- 2
	c. prepared you to perfo	rm your 👘 👘 🖷	- 2
	Army duties?		-)
	d. prepared you for your	future	- 7
	Army assignments? .		- 3
		-	-)
9.	How has your commitm		-]
	changed during this tra	aining?	- 2
	O Much greater now		
	O Somewhat greater r	NOW T	≓ 3 - 3
	O About the same as i	it was when I started training	- 3
	O Somewhat less now		- 3
	O Much less now	-	-)
			-)
10.		e your Army experiences	- 3
			- 2
		t will help you perform a	
	civilian job?	•	-
	O Extremely positive e	effect O No effect	- 3
	O Very positive effect	○ Don't know	- 7
	O Little effect		
		-	
11.	What effect, if any, have	e your Army experiences had	_ `
		- ,,	_ /
	on the development of	personal characteristics and	= 3
	on the development of		
	on the development of	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job?	
	on the development of <u>attitudes</u> that will help	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct O Negative effect	
	on the development of <u>attitudes</u> that will help O Strong positive effect	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct O Negative effect O Strong negative effect	
	on the development of attitudes that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct O Negative effect O Strong negative effect O No basis to judge	
12.	on the development of <u>attitudes</u> that will help O Strong positive effect O Postive effect No effect How does your physica	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct O Negative effect O Strong negative effect O No basis to judge	
12.	on the development of <u>attitudes</u> that will help O Strong positive effect O Postive effect No effect How does your physica	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct O Negative effect O Strong negative effect O No basis to judge	
12.	on the development of attitudes that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct ORegative effect Strong negative effect No basis to judge al health compare now to first entered the Army?	
12.	on the development of attitudes that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f Much better now	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct ORegative effect Strong negative effect No basis to judge al health compare now to first entered the Army? OSomewhat worse now	
12.	on the development of attitudes that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f Much better now Somewhat better now	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct ORegative effect Strong negative effect No basis to judge al health compare now to first entered the Army? Somewhat worse now ow OMuch worse now	
12.	on the development of attitudes that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f Much better now	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct O Negative effect Strong negative effect No basis to judge al health compare now to irst entered the Army? O Somewhat worse now W O Much worse now it was	
	on the development of attitudes that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f Much better now Somewhat better now	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct OREGATION Negative effect Strong negative effect No basis to judge al health compare now to first entered the Army? OSomewhat worse now Much worse now it was	
	on the development of <u>attitudes</u> that will help O Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f O Much better now O Somewhat better now About the same as it During your recent trai	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct OREGATION Negative effect Strong negative effect No basis to judge al health compare now to first entered the Army? OSomewhat worse now Much worse now it was	
	on the development of <u>attitudes</u> that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f Much better now Somewhat better now About the same as it During your recent trai medical problems/injur	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct ORGATIVE effect Strong negative effect No basis to judge al health compare now to first entered the Army? Somewhat worse now Much worse now it was ning, did you have any	
	on the development of attitudes that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f Much better now Somewhat better now About the same as it During your recent trai medical problems/injur Doctor or the Troop Me	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct ORGATIVE effect Strong negative effect No basis to judge al health compare now to first entered the Army? Somewhat worse now Much worse now it was ning, did you have any ries for which you visited a	
	on the development of <u>attitudes</u> that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f Much better now Somewhat better now About the same as it During your recent trai medical problems/injur Doctor or the Troop Me Yes	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct	
	on the development of attitudes that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f Much better now Somewhat better now About the same as it During your recent trai medical problems/injur Doctor or the Troop Me	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct	
13.	on the development of <u>attitudes</u> that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f Much better now Somewhat better now About the same as it During your recent trai medical problems/injur Doctor or the Troop Me Yes No	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct	
13.	on the development of <u>attitudes</u> that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f Much better now Somewhat better now About the same as it During your recent trai medical problems/injur Doctor or the Troop Me Yes No Before you enlisted, we	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct	
13.	on the development of <u>attitudes</u> that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f Much better now Somewhat better now About the same as it During your recent trai medical problems/injur Doctor or the Troop Me Yes No Before you enlisted, we medical practitioner no	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct	
13.	on the development of <u>attitudes</u> that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f Much better now Somewhat better now About the same as it During your recent trai medical problems/injur Doctor or the Troop Me Yes No Before you enlisted, we medical practitioner no	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct	
13.	on the development of <u>attitudes</u> that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f Much better now Somewhat better now Somewhat better now About the same as it During your recent trai medical problems/injur Doctor or the Troop Me Yes No Before you enlisted, we medical practitioner no physical exercise or sp a problem/injury simila	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct	
13.	on the development of <u>attitudes</u> that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f Much better now Somewhat better now Somewhat better now About the same as it During your recent train medical problems/injur Doctor or the Troop Me Yes No Before you enlisted, we medical practitioner no physical exercise or sp a problem/injury simila during your recent train	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct	
13.	on the development of <u>attitudes</u> that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f Much better now Somewhat better now About the same as it During your recent train medical problems/injur Doctor or the Troop Me Yes No Before you enlisted, we medical practitioner no physical exercise or sp a problem/injury simila during your recent train Yes	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct	
13.	on the development of <u>attitudes</u> that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f Much better now Somewhat better now Somewhat better now About the same as it During your recent trai medical problems/injur Doctor or the Troop Me Yes No Before you enlisted, we medical practitioner no physical exercise or sp a problem/injury simila during your recent trai Yes No	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct	
13.	on the development of <u>attitudes</u> that will help Strong positive effect Postive effect No effect How does your physica what it was when you f Much better now Somewhat better now Somewhat better now About the same as it During your recent trai medical problems/injur Doctor or the Troop Me Yes No Before you enlisted, we medical practitioner no physical exercise or sp a problem/injury simila during your recent trai Yes No	personal characteristics and you perform a civilian job? ct	

- 3 -

df Disease indicate second and some second with the	
15. Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements. MARK A RESPONSE FOR EACH.	17. Since arriving at your present Army post, have <u>YOU</u> been sexually harassed? MARK ONE.
Strongly agree	○ No → GO TO Q. 21 ○ Yes, 3 times
Agree	O Yes, 1 time O Yes, 4 or more times
Neither agree nor disagree Disagree	O Yes, 2 times
Strongly disagree	THE NEXT THREE QUESTIONS ARE ABOUT THE SEXUAL HARASSMENT SITUATION WHICH HAD THE
a. The Army will allow me to maintain	GREATEST EFFECT ON YOU.
the standard of living I want for	18. Who sexually harassed YOU? MARK ONE.
myself/my family	○ An officer ○ A civilian employee
b. The Army will allow me to maintain	
the kind of balance I want between	○ An enlisted person ○ Someone else
my work and personal life	(not an NCO)
c. Even if I had an offer of a bit more	
pay from a civilian organization, I	19. Was this person in your unit? O No O Yes
would be reluctant to leave the Army	
d. I would discourage a close friend	20. Did you report the sexual harassment incident
from joining the Army 12300	to your chain of command or other military
e. The demands of the Army make it	authority? MARK ONE.
difficult to have the kind of family life	\bigcirc Yes, but I am not aware of the results.
I would like	O Yes, and something was done.
f. I can count on Army people to help	\bigcirc Yes, and nothing was done about it.
out when needed	\bigcirc No, I handled it myself.
g. I frequently feel like leaving the Army 12305	 No, I didn't think anyone would do anything
h. I am quite proud to tell people that	about it.
I am in the Army	\bigcirc No, it was a minor incident and it didn't really
i. For me, a rewarding career	bother me.
can compensate for limited	\bigcirc No, I was afraid of reprisals from the chain of
	command
personal/family time	command.
personal/family time	command.
personal/family time	command.
personal/family time	
personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have
 personal/family time	
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY.
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. O No O Yes, national origin
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. ONO Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. ONO Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, religious (age, weight, etc.)
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. ONO Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. ONO Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, religious (age, weight, etc.)
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. No Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, religious (age, weight, etc.) Yes, gender (sex) THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT THE DISCRIMINATION SITUATION WHICH HAD THE GREATEST EFFECT ON YOU.
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. No Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, religious Yes, gender (sex) THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT THE DISCRIMINATION SITUATION WHICH HAD THE GREATEST EFFECT ON YOU. 22. Dld you report the discrimination incident to
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. No Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, religious Yes, gender (sex) THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT THE DISCRIMINATION SITUATION WHICH HAD THE GREATEST EFFECT ON YOU. 22. Did you report the discrimination incident to your chain of command or other military
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. No Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, religious (age, weight, etc.) Yes, gender (sex) PLEASE LIST BELOW. THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT THE DISCRIMINATION SITUATION WHICH HAD THE GREATEST EFFECT ON YOU. 22. Did you report the discrimination incident to your chain of command or other military authority? MARK ONE.
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. No Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, religious (age, weight, etc.) Yes, gender (sex) PLEASE LIST BELOW. THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT THE DISCRIMINATION SITUATION WHICH HAD THE GREATEST EFFECT ON YOU. 22. Dld you report the discrimination incident to your chain of command or other military authority? MARK ONE. Does not apply; I have not been subjected to discrimination.
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. No Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, religious (age, weight, etc.) Yes, gender (sex) PLEASE LIST BELOW. THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT THE DISCRIMINATION SITUATION WHICH HAD THE GREATEST EFFECT ON YOU. 22. Dld you report the discrimination incident to your chain of command or other military authority? MARK ONE. Does not apply; I have not been subjected to
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. No Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, religious (age, weight, etc.) Yes, gender (sex) PLEASE LIST BELOW. THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT THE DISCRIMINATION SITUATION WHICH HAD THE GREATEST EFFECT ON YOU. 22. Dld you report the discrimination incident to your chain of command or other military authority? MARK ONE. Does not apply; I have not been subjected to discrimination.
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. No Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, religious (age, weight, etc.) Yes, gender (sex) PLEASE LIST BELOW. THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT THE DISCRIMINATION SITUATION WHICH HAD THE GREATEST EFFECT ON YOU. 22. Dld you report the discrimination incident to your chain of command or other military authority? MARK ONE. Does not apply; I have not been subjected to discrimination. Yes, but I am not aware of the results.
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. No Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, religious (age, weight, etc.) Yes, gender (sex) PLEASE LIST BELOW. THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT THE DISCRIMINATION SITUATION WHICH HAD THE GREATEST EFFECT ON YOU. 22. Dld you report the discrimination incident to your chain of command or other military authority? MARK ONE. Does not apply; I have not been subjected to discrimination. Yes, but I am not aware of the results. Yes, and something was done.
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. No Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, religious (age, weight, etc.) Yes, gender (sex) PLEASE LIST BELOW. THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT THE DISCRIMINATION SITUATION WHICH HAD THE GREATEST EFFECT ON YOU. 22. Did you report the discrimination incident to your chain of command or other military authority? MARK ONE. Does not apply; I have not been subjected to discrimination. Yes, but I am not aware of the results. Yes, and something was done. Yes, and nothing was done about it. No, I handled it myself. No, I handled it myself.
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. No Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, religious (age, weight, etc.) Yes, gender (sex) PLEASE LIST BELOW. THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT THE DISCRIMINATION SITUATION WHICH HAD THE GREATEST EFFECT ON YOU. 22. Dld you report the discrimination incident to your chain of command or other military authority? MARK ONE. Does not apply; I have not been subjected to discrimination. Yes, but I am not aware of the results. Yes, and something was done. Yes, and nothing was done about it. No, I handled it myself. No, I didn't think anyone would do anything about it.
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. No Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, religious (age, weight, etc.) Yes, gender (sex) PLEASE LIST BELOW. THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT THE DISCRIMINATION SITUATION WHICH HAD THE GREATEST EFFECT ON YOU. 22. Did you report the discrimination incident to your chain of command or other military authority? MARK ONE. Does not apply; I have not been subjected to discrimination. Yes, but I am not aware of the results. Yes, and something was done. Yes, and nothing was done about it. No, I handled it myself. No, I handled it myself.
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. No Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, religious (age, weight, etc.) Yes, gender (sex) PLEASE LIST BELOW. THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT THE DISCRIMINATION SITUATION WHICH HAD THE GREATEST EFFECT ON YOU. 22. Dld you report the discrimination incident to your chain of command or other military authority? MARK ONE. Does not apply; I have not been subjected to discrimination. Yes, but I am not aware of the results. Yes, and something was done. Yes, and nothing was done about it. No, I didn't think anyone would do anything about it. No, it was a minor incident and it didn't really bother me.
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. No Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, religious (age, weight, etc.) Yes, gender (sex) PLEASE LIST BELOW. THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT THE DISCRIMINATION SITUATION WHICH HAD THE GREATEST EFFECT ON YOU. 22. Did you report the discrimination incident to your chain of command or other military authority? MARK ONE. Does not apply; I have not been subjected to discrimination. Yes, and something was done. Yes, and nothing was done about it. No, I didn't think anyone would do anything about it. No, I didn't think anyone would do anything about it. No, I was a fraid of reprisals from the chain of
 personal/family time	21. Since arriving at your present Army post, have you been subjected to discrimination? MARK ALL THAT APPLY. No Yes, national origin Yes, racial Yes, other Yes, religious (age, weight, etc.) Yes, gender (sex) PLEASE LIST BELOW. THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT THE DISCRIMINATION SITUATION WHICH HAD THE GREATEST EFFECT ON YOU. 22. Dld you report the discrimination incident to your chain of command or other military authority? MARK ONE. Does not apply; I have not been subjected to discrimination. Yes, but I am not aware of the results. Yes, and something was done. Yes, and nothing was done about it. No, I didn't think anyone would do anything about it. No, it was a minor incident and it didn't really bother me.

- 4 -

- 23. Since arriving at your present Army post, has military justice been administered fairly to you and your peers?
 - \bigcirc Yes \bigcirc No
 - ⊖ Don't know
- 24. Since arriving at your present Army post, was the most <u>severe</u> punishment for the <u>same type</u> of offense usually given to: MARK ALL THAT APPLY.
 - O Does not apply; all are treated the same.
 - ⊖ Men
 - O Women
 - ◯ Whites
 - ⊖ Blacks
 - ⊖ Hispanics
 - Some other race/ethnic group (PLEASE LIST)
- 25. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of Army life <u>at the present</u> <u>time</u>. MARK A RESPONSE FOR EACH.

	Very satisfied
	Satisfied
	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
	Dissatisfied
	Very dissatisfied
Н	ow satisfied are you with
a.	your relationships with peers?
b.	
	commissioned officers?
C.	your relationships with
	commissioned officers?
d.	the Army's gender mix?
е.	
f.	barracks living?
g.	physical fitness training?
h.	your amount of personal freedom? (123)
i.	the Army's structured, ordered
	lifestyle?
j.	
	contract?
k.	your MOS?
1.	and more extended to be care large and the set of the s
	personal life goals? 1236
m.	your life as an enlisted soldier?
n.	being away from family and
	friends?
0.	your personal and family life?

26. How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your most recent training unit?

following statements about your most recent training unit?
-
Strongly agree
Neither agree nor disagree Disagree
Strongly disagree
Not applicable
a. The leaders in the unit set high
standards for soldiers in terms of
good behavior and discipline 123056
b. The leaders in the unit were more
interested in looking good than
being good
c. I was impressed with the quality
of leadership in the unit
d. I would go for help with a personal
problem to people in my chain of
command
e. The leaders in the unit were not
concerned with the way soldiers
treat each other as long as the
job/training gets done
f. The leaders in the unit were more
interested in furthering their careers
than in the well-being of their soldiers . 123456
g. Leaders in the unit treated soldiers
with respect
h. The leaders most always got
willing and whole-hearted
cooperation from the soldiers
in the unit
command were a good source
of support for soldiers
27. Suppose your drill sergeants were to compare your performance to other soldiers in your
training company. How would they rate your
Truly exceptional (top 5%)
Outstanding (upper 15%) Above average (upper 30%)
Average (middle 40%)
Below average (bottom 30%)
a. EFFORT (such as willingness to give
your best effort and assist others to
make sure the job gets done)
b. PERSONAL DISCIPLINE (such
as willingness to follow Army
regulations, orders, and Standard
Operating Procedure, and display
respect for superiors)
b. PHYSICAL FITNESS (effectiveness
in maintaining military standards of
physical fitness)
c. OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS IN
MOST RECENT TRAINING

28. From what you know and have heard about the Army and civilian life, please indicate how you believe	31. How confident are you that you will: MARK A RESPONSE FOR EACH.
conditions in the military are compared with	Future to Confiden
conditions in a civilian job you could realistically	Extremely Confider Very Confident
expect to get. MARK A RESPONSE FOR EACH.	Moderately Confident
	Slightly Confident
Much better in Army	Not Confident At All
Somewhat better in Army	
About the same	a. Adapt to Army life
Somewhat better in civillan life	b. Complete your term of obligation 1234
Much better in civilian life	c. Meet the Army's physical requirements. (1234)
Don't know	d. Have the skills to perform well in your
	next assignment 1234
a. Pay	e. Earn promotions in the Army
b. Retirement benefits	
c. Benefits other than retirement 123456	
d. Assistance for civilian education 123456	32. How important is each of the following
e. Opportunities to advance in your	TO YOU PERSONALLY?
chosen field	Extremely importa
f. Opportunities for job satisfaction () (2) (3) (5) (6)	Very important
g. Quality of co-workers	Quite Important
h. Working hours/schedule	Moderately important
i. Time for personal/family life	Somewhat Important
j. Overall quality of life 123456	Slightly important
k. Level of professionalism in the	Not at all important
organization	
I. Personal freedom	a. Loyalty to the United
m. Job security	States Army
n. Total family income	b. Loyalty to your unit or
	organization
29. The kind of work I enjoy most is available:	c. Taking responsibility for
\bigcirc only in the military. \bigcirc primarily in the civilian	your actions and decisions 12346
O primarily in the military. world.	d. Putting what is good for your
\bigcirc equally in the military \bigcirc only in the civilian world.	fellow soldiers, unit, and the
and civilian world. O Don't know	nation before your own welfare 1 2 3 4 5 6
	e. Dedication to serving the United
30. Please indicate your level of agreement with using	States, even to risking your own
the Army for the following types of missions. MARK A RESPONSE FOR EACH.	life in its defense
MARK A RESPONSE FOR EACH.	f. Commitment to working as a
Strongly agree	member of a team
Agree	g. Dedication to learning your job
Neither agree nor disagree	and doing it well
Disagree	h. Personal drive to succeed in your work and advance
Strongly disagree	
	i. Being honest, open, and truthful. 123450
a. Combat the flow of illegal drugs into	j. Being disciplined and courageous in battle
the U.S	6
b. Provide humanitarian relief in the	k. Standing up for what you firmly believe is right
U.S. in areas hit by a major disaster 1233	
c. Provide humanitarian relief outside	I. Working with others tactfully and with military courtesy
the U.S. (such as Bosnia, Somalia,	
Rwanda, Haiti)	m. Exhibiting excellent military bearing and appearance
d. Be part of a United Nations peace-	• • •
keeping force wherever needed	n. Equal opportunity regardless of gender
e. Combat terrorism which threatens	U
U.S. citizens	o. High moral standards both
f. Maintain a military presence in	on-duty and off-duty
overseas areas of vital interest to the	p. Building and maintaining
U.S. in order to prevent problems ① ② ④ ④	physical fitness and stamina 123365
g. Provide training to federal, state, and	
other public employees	

- As you see it now, how well do your <u>personal</u> values match the values of the Army?
 - Extremely well
 - 🗇 Very well
 - O Neither well nor poorly
 - O Poorly
 - Not at all

34. As you see it now, to what extent are you the type of individual that the Army wants as a soldier?

- O Very great extent
- O Great extent
- O Moderate extent
- ◯ Slight extent
- O Not at all

35. Assume you were to leave the Army in the next six months. Which of the following reasons would likely apply in your case? RESPOND TO EACH ITEM.

Not Likely to Apply

	Likely to Apply
a.F	Failure to meet physical requirements
b. F	Problems adjusting to Army life
c. F	Family problems at home
d. F	Pregnancy
e. (Dne or more serious (UCMJ) offenses
f. N	Ainor offenses or disciplinary problems 🔘
g. F	Poor academic performance
h. I	Iness/medical condition
i. H	łomesicknessQ
j. L	.ack of motivation, boredom
k. F	Problems with supervisors
	Racial discrimination
	Sexual or gender discrimination
	Better job opportunities outside the Army $\ldots {igodot}$
	njuries sustained during training
p. N	Not getting desired military job
	Sexual harassment
	Not getting along with others
	Naintaining weight standards
t. C	Drug/alcohol abuse
u. N	Nental health problems
	Infair punishment
w. V	erbal abuse from training staff members

36. At the present time, what level of strain, conflict or stress -- if any -- are you experiencing?

Very high
 High
 Moderate

Very high
 High
 Moderate

○ Low
 ○ Very low
 ○ None

37. How would you rate your current level of morale?

- 38. Considering both your own qualifications and current labor market conditions, how difficult do you think it would be for you to find a good civilian job right now?
 - O Very difficult

 - O Not particularly difficult or easy
 - ⊖ Easy
 - Very easy
 - O Does not apply; I already have a good civilian job
- **39.** My personal or family situation would make it difficult for me to leave the Army in the next year or so.
 - Strongly agree
 - Agree
 - O Neither agree nor disagree
 - O Disagree
 - O Strongly disagree
- 40. A <u>male</u> friend who you think is qualified asks your advice about joining the Army. Would you recommend that he ...
 - join the Army?
 - join another military service?
 - O not join a military service?
- 41. A <u>female</u> friend who you think is qualified asks your advice about joining the Army. Would you recommend that she...
 - join the Army?
 join another military service?
 not join a military service?
- 42. Are you:
 - O Male O Female
- 43. Are you of Hispanic/Spanish origin or ancestry (of any race)?
 - O No
 - O Yes, Mexican, Mexican-American, Chicano
 - Yes, Puerto Rican
 - Yes, other Hispanic/Spanish

44. What is your racial background?

- O American Indian, Eskimo or Aleut
- O Asian or Pacific Islander
- O Black
- O White

45. What is your current marital status?

- Married
- O Legally separated or filing for divorce
- Single, never married
- Single, engaged to be married
- Widowed

46.	How many dependent children do you have (for whom you provide financial support)?	52. W c
	⊖ None	N
	$ \bigcirc 1 \\ \bigcirc 2 $:
	ි 3	;
	○ 4 or more	:
7.	Is there an important girlfriend/boyfriend in your life right now?	
	 Does not apply; I am currently married Yes 	
48	How supportive/unsupportive is your spouse/	
	girlfriend/boyfriend of your making a career of	
	the Army?	53. H
	 Does not apply; I do not have a spouse/girlfriend/boyfriend 	e ti
	○ Very supportive	
	Fairly supportive Mixed as poutrol	
	Mixed or neutral Fairly unsupportive	
	○ Very unsupportive	
49.	Did you finish high school?	
	○ No ○ Yes. If yes, choose the credential(s) you	
	earned when you finished high school.	
	Fill in at least one circle: Diploma – earned from a public or 	
	private traditional day school	
	 Diploma – earned from an adult 	
	(continuation) school Diploma – issued by parents or tutors 	54. C
	for home schooling	a
	 Diploma – issued by an association, 	
	school, or state for home schooling O Diploma – issued by a vocational or	
	technical school	
	 Diploma – issued by a 	
	correspondence school GED equivalency diploma	
	Certificate – for high school attendance	
	or completion	
50.	Are you planning to go to college?	55. 1
	O Yes, while on active duty during this enlistment	
	 Yes, after I complete this term of duty No 	
51	. How IMPORTANT TO YOU is it that you complete	
31	your enlistment obligation?	
	 Extremely important 	
	O Very important	
	 Moderately important Slightly important 	
	 O Signity important O Not at all important 	
		1

- 52. Which ONE of the following best describes your current active duty Army career intentions? MARK ONE.
 - O PROBABLY stay until retirement
 - O DEFINITELY stay until retirement
 - PROBABLY stay in beyond my present obligation, but not necessarily to retirement
 - O DEFINITELY stay in beyond my present obligation, but not necessarily to retirement
 - PROBABLY leave upon completion of my present obligation
 - DEFINITELY leave upon completion of my present obligation
 - O Does not apply; I am not active duty Army
- 53. How many years of active duty service do you <u>expect</u> to have completed by the time you leave the Army? (Zero if no active duty)

0	0
(1) (2)	\bigcirc
(3)	3
	Õ
	3
	6
	0
	(9)

- 54. Do any of the following cause you to be unsure about an Army career? MARK ALL THAT APPLY.
 - O My lack of experience in the Army
 - O My career goals are unclear
 - O Unsure of chances for promotion
 - O Changes in Army job opportunities
 - O Changes in the Army mission
 - Possibility of being subjected to sexual or racial discrimination
 - O None of the above

- 8 -

55. Enter your Social Security Number below.

\prod		-			-				
	$) \bigcirc$		0	0		0	0	0	
00	$) \bigcirc$		(\mathfrak{I})	\odot		\odot	1	$_{\odot}$	1
22)②		2	2		2	-	➁	
33)3		3	3		٩	3	3	٩
00)		٩	٩		٩	~	٢	~~
56)(5)		(5)	3		٩	(5)	(5)	(5)
10 -	0		6	٢		6	~	۲	6
00)))		Ø	Ð		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Ð	Ø
)()		8	۲		8	(8)	(8)	۲
$\mathbf{@}$	\mathbb{O}		۲	۲		(9)	(9)	9	(9)

FY 2003 SOLDIER RECEPTION SURVEY

SURVEY APPROVAL AUTHORITY: U.S. ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR THE BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES SURVEY CONTROL NUMBER: PT 60-51A The **Soldier Reception Survey** is part of a research project to evaluate the attitudes and experiences of enlisted personnel during their careers in the United States Army. In this project, the U.S. Army Research Institute (ARI) will assess the expectations and impressions of new recruits, soldiers completing training, and personnel exiting the Army. The project covers a wide range of issues related to soldiers' jobs, careers, and the Army as a whole. The overall purpose is to provide senior Army leaders information for recruiting, training, and retaining a high quality force of soliders.

Thank you for your support for this survey program.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

- 1. The Department of the Army may collect the information requested in this survey under the authority of 10 United States Code 2358. Providing information in this questionnaire is voluntary. Failure to respond to any specific question will not result in any penalty.
- 2. Public Law 93-573 (Privacy Act of 1974) requires that you be informed of the purpose and uses to be made of the information collected. The information collected in the survey will be used solely for research purposes. Your Social Security Number (SSN) is requested only for linking data files, and possibly extracting information from other sources (e.g., questionnaires, personnel files, etc.). Use of SSNs is authorized by Executive Order 9397. In accordance with federal regulations, the survey data will be safeguarded to protect your privacy. After we have used your SSN to create the data files, a new identification code will be created to replace your SSN. The file linking your SSN to the new ID code will be properly secured to preserve confidentiality. Only survey statisticians involved in collecting or preparing the information for analysis will have access to completed questionnaires. Only group statistics will be reported.



1. Listed below are some reasons why people join the Army. How important was each of these reasons in your decision to join the Army?

Extremely important Very important Moderately important Slightly important Not at all important e. Earn more money than previous job(s). 12345 h. Get away from a personal problem ... 12345 k. Lack of civilian job opportunities 12345 I. Medical care, coverage and benefits... 1233(4)5 w. Take time out to decide about my y. Escape from a bad neighborhood ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ bb. To fight the global war on terrorism ... 123335

2. Which of the following strongly influenced you to join the Army? MARK ALL THAT APPLY.

- O Parent(s)/Guardian(s)
- O Brother/Sister
- O Friend(s)
- O Wife/Husband/Girlfriend/Boyfriend
- O Athletic Coach
- O Teacher
- School Guidance Counselor
- O ROTC student
- O ROTC cadre member
- O Service member
- O Recruiter
- O Radio advertisement
- O Television advertisement
- O Printed advertisement
- O World events
- O Something I've always wanted to do

- 3. With whom were you living on your 16th birthday? MARK ALL THAT APPLY.
 - O Mother
 - O Father
 - O Stepmother
 - O Stepfather
 - O Grandparent(s)
 - O Other individuals
 - O Lived alone
- 4. On your 16th birthday, how likely did you think it was that you would enter military service?
 - O Definitely
 - O Probably
 - O Probably not
 - O Definitely not
 - O I did not think about it
- 5. What component of the Army did you join? Mark that option that best describes you. MARK ONE.
 - O Active Army
 - O Reserve
 - O National Guard
- 6. How familiar are you with what will be expected of you in the Army?
 - O Extremely familiar
 - O Very familiar
 - O Moderately familiar
 - O A little familiar
 - O Not at all familiar
- 7. How long did you participate in the Delayed Entry Program (DEP)?
 - O Less than 1 month
 - O 1 month
 - O 2 months
 - O 3 months
 - O 4 months
 - O 5 months
 - O 6 months
 - O 7 months
 - O 8 months
 - O 9 months
 - O 10 months
 - O 11 months
 - O 12 months

8. How often did your recruiter hold DEP activities?

- O More than once a month
- O About once a month
- O Less than once a month
- O Never
- O Don't know

9. How often did you attend DEP activities?

- O More than once a month
- O About once a month
- O Less than once a month
- O Never (Why not? Please list below)

10. In which of the following activities did you participate while in the DEP? MARK ALL THAT APPLY.

- O None
- O Social functions with other people in the DEP
- O Films, speakers, or question and answer sessions to get more information about Army
- O Training sessions; for example, drill and ceremonies or first aid training
- O Field trips to Army posts
- O Physical training/exercise sessions
- O Other types of DEP activities (please list below)

11. How important is each of the following TO YOU PERSONALLY?



BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- 12. When you were growing up, did you have a parent/ guardian who was career active duty military? O Yes O No
- 13. Are you:
 - O Male O Female
- 14. How many dependent children do you have (for whom you provide financial support)?
- 15. Are you of Hispanic/Spanish origin or ancestry (of any race)?
 - O No
 - O Yes, Mexican, Mexican-American, Chicano
 - O Yes, Puerto Rican
 - O Yes, other Hispanic/Spanish

16. What is your racial background?

- O American Indian, Eskimo or Aleut
- O Asian or Pacific Islander
- O Black
- O White

ABOUT YOUR EDUCATION

17. Did you finish high school?

O No O Yes. If yes, choose the credential(s) you earned when you finished high school. Fill in at least one circle: O Diploma – earned from a public or private traditional day school O Diploma - earned from an adult (continuation) school O Diploma - issued by parents or tutors for home schooling O Diploma - issued by an association, school, or state for home schooling O Diploma – issued by a vocational or technical school O Diploma – issued by a correspondence school O GED equivalency diploma O Certificate – for high school attendance or completion

- Did you finish one semester/quarter of college (at least 4 courses)? Do not include advanced placement courses you took in high school.
 - O No O Yes. If yes, fill in your highest level:
 - O One or more semesters/quarters of college
 - O One or more semesters/quarters of vocational college
 - O An associate degree
 - O A bachelor's or higher degree

19. Did you participate in the National Guard ChalleNGe program?

O No O Yes. If yes, did you graduate from ChalleNGe?

O No O Yes

- 20. What was your average grade during the time you were in high school?
 - O A or A-
 - O B+ or B
 - O B- or C+
 - O C or C-
 - O D or lower

21. Are you planning to go to college?

- O Yes, while on active duty during this enlistment
- O Yes, after I complete this term of active duty
- O No
- O Undecided
- 22. Did you ever get into trouble at school for doing any of the things below? Mark all things for which you were sent to the principal's office. MARK ALL THAT APPLY.
 - O I was never sent to the principal's office
 - O Missing class
 - O Skipping school
 - **O** Fighting
 - O Being disorderly
 - O Bad language
 - O Smoking
 - O Talking back to teachers
 - O Other reasons
- 23. Were you ever expelled from high school or junior high (intermediate school)?
 - O Yes
 - O No
- 24. Were you ever suspended from high school or junior high (intermediate school)?
 - O Yes O No

- 25. If you ever thought about quitting high school, show why. MARK ALL THAT APPLY.
 - O I never thought about quitting high school
 - O My family needed money or needed me at home
 - O I was expelled or suspended
 - O I was bored, wasn't learning anything useful
 - O I got married or became a parent
 - O I was getting bad grades
 - O I didn't get along with the other students
 - O The rules were too strict
 - O I wasn't going to graduate on time
 - O I didn't get along with the teachers, counselors, or the principal
 - O I wanted to work full time
 - O Other reasons
- 26. During high school, did you participate in any of the following activities? MARK ONE RESPONSE FOR EACH ACTIVITY.

	Participated as a Leader	
1	Partie	cipated
	Did Not Partici	pate
Athletic teams		000
Drama, music,	art, chorus	000
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Other clubs (So	couts, "Y", 4-H, etc.)	000

27. During your high school years, what size city or area did you live in?

- O Large city (over 300,000 people)
- O Suburb of a large city
- O Medium-sized city (50,000-300,000)
- O Small city or town (under 50,000)
- O Rural area

a. b. c.

d.

28. What is your current marital status?

- O Married
- O Legally separated or filing for divorce
- O Single, never married
- O Single, engaged to be married
- O Divorced
- O Widowed

29. Is there an important girlfriend/boyfriend in your life right now?

- O Does not apply; I am currently married
- O Yes

O No

- Is your spouse/girlfriend/boyfriend currently working in a civilian job (including a job with the U.S. Army/Department of Defense)? MARK ONE.
 - O Does not apply; I do not have a spouse/ girlfriend/boyfriend
 - O Does not apply; my spouse/girlfriend/boyfriend is on active duty
 - O Yes, full-time
 - O Yes, part-time
 - O No, but is currently looking for work
 - O No, not looking for work but would like to work
 - O No, does not want to work now

31. How supportive is your spouse/girlfriend/boyfriend of your joining of the Army?

- O Does not apply; I do not have a spouse/ girlfriend/boyfriend
- O Very supportive
- O Fairly supportive
- O Mixed or neutral
- O Fairly unsupportive
- O Very unsupportive

32. When your spouse/girlfriend/boyfriend was growing up, did he/she have a parent/guardian who was <u>career</u> active duty military?

- O Does not apply; I do not have a spouse/ girlfriend/boyfriend
- O Yes
- O No
- O Don't know

33. Is your spouse/girlfriend currently pregnant?

- O Does not apply; I do not have a female spouse/ girlfriend
- O Yes
- O No, but plans to be within one year
- O No, but maybe in the future
- O No, and does not plan to be
- O Don't know

34. During the last 12 months, have you (or your spouse/girlfriend) given birth to a child?

- O Does not apply
- O Yes
- O No

35. During the two years before entering the Army, what was your average level of fitness?

- O Very high
- O High
- O Moderate
- O Low
- O Very low

- 36. During the two years before entering the Army, how many fairly serious physical injuries did you receive?
 - None
 6-9
 1-2
 10 or more
 3-5
- 37. During the two years before entering the Army, were you ever advised by a medical practitioner not to participate in any exercise or sport programs?
 - O Yes O No
- 38. Have you ever left a job for any of the following reasons? MARK ALL THAT APPLY.
 - O I haven't had a job outside the home
 - O I went back to school
 - O The pay was not good
 - O I was laid off
 - O I was fired
 - O I found a better job
 - O I moved to another location
 - O I didn't get along with my supervisor
 - O I was arrested
 - O There was no chance to get ahead
 - The working conditions were bad (dangerous, hot, dusty, etc.)
 - O To join the military
 - O Other reasons
- 39. Did you need to get a moral waiver in order to be accepted by the Army?

O Yes O No

- 40. Did you need to get a medical waiver in order to be accepted by the Army?
 - O Yes O No
- 41. What is the length of your enlistment term?
 - O 2 years
 - O 3 years
 - O 4 years
 - O More than 4 years
- 42. During the last 6 months before entering the DEP, how often did you smoke cigarettes?
 - O Never
 - **O** Rarely
 - O Once a week or so
 - O 2-3 times a week
 - O 4-5 times a week
 - O Daily
- 43. During the last 6 months before entering the DEP, how often did you drink alcoholic beverages?
 - O Never
 - **O** Rarely
 - O Once a week or so
 - O 2-3 times a week
 - 🔿 4-5 times a week
 - 🔿 Daily

44. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your military life? MARK A RESPONSE FOR EACH.

Strongly Agree Agree
Neither Agree nor Disagree Disagree
Strongly Disagree
a. The Army has a great deal of
personal meaning to me
b. It would be too costly for me to leave
the Army in the near future
c. I am afraid of what might happen if I
quit the Army without having another
job lined up
d. Too much in my life would be
disrupted if I decided I wanted to
leave the Army now
e. I feel a strong sense of belonging to
the Army
f. I feel "emotionally attached" to the
Army
g. One of the problems of leaving the
Army would be the lack of good
alternatives

45. From what you know and have heard about the Army and civilian life, please indicate how you believe conditions in the military are compared with conditions in a civilian job you could realistically expect to get. MARK A RESPONSE FOR EACH.

Much Better in Army Somewhat Better in Army About the Same Somewhat Better in Civilian Life Much Better in Civilian Life Don't Know c. Benefits other than retirement 123456 d. Assistance for civilian education ... 12346 e. Opportunities to advance in your f. Opportunities for job safisfaction ... 12345 i. Time for personal/family life 123450 k. Level of professionalism in the

46. How confident are you that you will: MARK A RESPONSE FOR EACH.

47. The kind of work I enjoy most is available:

- O only in the military.
- O primarily in the military.
- O equally in the military and civilian world.
- O primarily in the civilian world.
- O only in the civilian world.
- 48. Considering both your own qualifications and current labor market conditions, how difficult do you think it would be for you to find a good civilian job right now?
 - O Very difficult
 - O Difficult
 - O Not particularly difficult or easy
 - O Easy
 - O Very easy
- 49. My personal or family situation would make it difficult for me to leave the Army in the next year or so.
 - O Strongly agree
 - O Aaree
 - O Neither agree nor disagree
 - O Strongly agree
 - O Agree
- 50. The people most important to me would be extremely disappointed if I dropped out of the Army before completing my enlistment obligation.
 - O Strongly agree
 - O Aaree
 - O Neither agree nor disagree
 - O Strongly agree
 - O Agree

- 51. Which ONE of the following best describes your current Army career intentions? MARK ONE.
 - O PROBABLY stay in until retirement
 - O DEFINITELY stay in until retirement
 - PROBABLY stay in beyond my present obligation, but not necessarily to retirement
 - O DEFINITELY stay in beyond my present obligation, but not necessarily to retirement
 - O PROBABLY leave upon completion of my present obligation
 - O DEFINITELY leave upon completion of my present obligation
- 52. How IMPORTANT TO YOU is it that you complete your enlistment obligation?
 - O Extremely important
 - O Very important
 - O Moderately important
 - O Slightly important
 - O Not at all important
- 53. A male friend who you think is qualified asks your advice about joining the Army. Would you recommend that he ... (MARK ONE)
 - O join the Army?
 - O join another military service?
 - O not join a military service?
- 54. A female friend who you think is qualified asks your advice about joining the Army. Would you recommend that she . . . (MARK ONE)
 - O join the Army?
 - O join another military service?
 - O not join a military service?
- 55. Do any of the following cause you to be unsure about an Army career? MARK ALL THAT APPLY.
 - O My lack of experience in the Army
 - O My career goals are unclear
 - O Unsure of chances for promotion
 - O Changes in Army job opportunities
 - O Increased chances of fighting in a war
 - O Possibility of being subjected to sexual or racial discrimination
 - O None of the above
- 56. How has your commitment to an Army career changed since you signed your Army contract?
 - O Much greater now
 - O Somewhat greater now
 - O About the same as it was when I signed
 - O Somewhat less now
 - O Much less now

57. At the present time, how do you feel about your decision to enlist in the Army?

- O Definitely made the right decision
- O Probably made the right decision
- O Not sure
- O Probably made the wrong decision
- O Definitely made the wrong decision

- 58. Assume you were to leave the Army in the next six months. Which of the following reasons for leaving would likely apply in your case? RESPOND TO EACH ITEM.
 - Not Likely to Apply

	Likely to Apply	
a.	Failure to meet physical requirements	0
b.	Problems adjusting to Army life	Ο
c.	Family problems at home	Ο
d.	Pregnancy	000
e.	Disciplinary problems; minor offense O	
f.	Poor academic performance	0
	Illness/medical conditionO	0
	Homesickness O	Ο
	Lack of motivation; boredom	0
	Problems with supervisorsO	0
	Racial discrimination	0
	Sexual or gender discrimination	0
	Better job opportunities outside the ArmyO	0
	Injuries sustained during training	O
	Not getting desired military job	0
	Sexual harassment	0
	One or more serious offenses	Q
	Not getting along with others	0
	Maintaining weight standards	Õ
	Drug/alcohol abuse	Õ
	Mental health problems	Õ
	Unfair punishment	Õ
w.	Verbal abuse from training staff members O	Ο

59. At the present time, what level of strain, conflict or stress – if any – are you experiencing about your stay in the Army?

- Very high
 Low
 High
 Very low
 Moderate
 None
- 60. How would you rate your current level of morale?
 - Very high○ Low○ High○ Very low
 - O Moderate
- 61. Enter your Social Security Number below.

		-			-				
\odot			0	0		0	0	0	\odot
00)0		\odot	0		\odot			\odot
00	00		0	2		2	2	2	
30	00		3	3		3	3	3	3
00	0.0		0	٩		1	4	٩	٩
6) (1	5	(5)		5	5	5	(5)
)6		6	6		6	6	_	6
00	DO		$\overline{\mathcal{O}}$	$\overline{\mathcal{O}}$].	\bigcirc	1	$\overline{\mathcal{O}}$	$\overline{\mathcal{O}}$
0			0	1		0	0	1	
90	00		0	0		9	9	٩	9

FY 2003 SOLDIER END-OF-TRAINING SURVEY



SURVEY APPROVAL AUTHORITY: U.S. ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR THE BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES SURVEY CONTROL NUMBER: PT 60-51C The **Soldier End-of-Training Survey** is part of a research project to evaluate the attitudes and experiences of enlisted personnel during their careers in the United States Army. The U.S. Army Research Institute (ARI) will assess the expectations and impressions of new recruits as well as enlisted personnel who have recently completed training and personnel exiting the Army. The project covers a wide range of issues related to soldiers' jobs, careers, and the Army as a whole. The overall purpose is to provide senior Army leaders information for recruiting, training, and retaining a high quality force of soliders.

Thank you for your support for this survey program.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

- 1. The Department of the Army may collect the information requested in this survey under the authority of 10 United States Code 2358. Providing information in this questionnaire is voluntary. Failure to respond to any specific question will not result in any penalty.
- 2. Public Law 93-573 (Privacy Act of 1974) requires that you be informed of the purpose and uses to be made of the information collected. The information collected in the survey will be used solely for research purposes. Your Social Security Number (SSN) is requested only for linking data files, and possibly extracting information from other sources (e.g., questionnaires, personnel files, etc.). Use of SSNs is authorized by Executive Order 9397. In accordance with federal regulations, the survey data will be safeguarded to protect your privacy. After we have used your SSN to create the data files, a new identification code will be created to replace your SSN. The file linking your SSN to the new ID code will be properly secured to preserve confidentiality. Only survey statisticians involved in collecting or preparing the information for analysis will have access to completed questionnaires. Only group statistics will be reported.

How to fill out this survey.		
Read each question carefully, and mark your answers direct	y on this form	n.
MARKING INSTRUCTIONS		
 Please use a No. 2 pencil. 	CORRECT	INCORREC
Please completely fill in the response as shown in the examples:	0000	Ø806
Some questions ask you to mark ONLY ONE answer.		
What stage of training are you currently completing? MARK ONE.		
 Basic Training (BT) Advanced Individual Training (AIT) One Station Unit Training (OSUT) 		
Other questions ask you to mark MORE THAN ONE answer.		
Since you joined the Army, was the most <u>severe</u> punishment for the usually given to: MARK ALL THAT APPLY.	<u>same type of</u>	offense
O Does not apply; all are treated the same		
Men O Women		
Whites		
Blacks		
O Hispanics		
O Some other race/ethnic group (PLEASE LIST)	가 가 있는 것을 가 있었다. 같은 사람이 있는 것을 가 같은 것을 가 있다.	

1. What component of the Army did you join? Mark 7. How has your commitment to an Army career the option that best describes you. changed during this training? O Active Army O Much greater now O Reserve O Somewhat greater now O National Guard O About the same as it was when I started training O Somewhat less now O Much less now 2. What stage of training are you currently completing? MARK ONE. 8. What effect, if any, have your Army experiences O Basic Combat Training (BCT) had on the development of specific job knowledge, O Advanced Individual Training (AIT) skills, and abilities that will help you perform a O One Station Unit Training (OSUT) civilian job? O Extremely positive effect O No effect 3. How satisfied are you with the training you have O Very positive effect O Don't know received since you entered the Army? O Little effect O Verv satisfied O Satisfied 9. What effect, if any, have your Army experiences had O Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied on the development of personal characteristics and O Dissatisfied attitudes that will help you perform a civilian job? O Very dissatisfied O Strong positive effect O Negative effect O Postive effect O Strong negative effect 4. How realistic were the expectations you had about O No effect O No basis to judge Army life before you joined the Army? O Very realistic 10. How does your physical health compare now to O Mostly realistic what it was when you first entered the Army? O Mostly unrealistic O Very unrealistic O Much better now O Somewhat worse now O Somewhat better now O Much worse now O About the same as it was 5. How has Army life compared with your expectations before you joined the Army? 11. During your recent training, did you have any O Much better than I expected medical problems/injuries for which you visited a O Somewhat better than I expected Doctor or the Troop Medical Command (TMC)? O About the same as I expected O Somewhat worse than I expected O Yes O Much worse than I expected O No 6. To what extent has your most recent training 12. Before you enlisted, were you ever advised by a MARK A RESPONSE FOR EACH. medical practitioner not to participate in any physical exercise or sports program because of a problem/injury similar to the one you had Very great extent during your recent training? Great extent Moderate extent O Yes Slight extent O No Not at all O Does not apply; I had no medical problem/ No basis to judge injury during training a. contributed toward your c. prepared you to perform your d. prepared you for your future Army assignments? 123456

13.	Please indicate your level of agreement with the
	following statements. MARK A RESPONSE FOR
	EACH.

	un. Beiserenten	Strongly agree
		Aaree
	Neither agree nor	disadree
		agree
	Strongly disag	reé
a.	The Army will allow me to maintain	
	the standard of living I want for	- 2012 - 2012 - 20
	myself/my family	.00000
b	The Army will allow me to maintain	
	the kind of balance I want between	
	my work and personal life	.00000
~	Even if I had an offer of a bit more	
υ.	pay from a civilian organization, I	
	would be reluctant to leave the Army.	.0234
А	I would discourage a close friend	
u.	from joining the Army	.0234(
6	The demands of the Army make it	
с.	difficult to have the kind of family life	
	I would like	.0230
f	I can count on Army people to help	
1.	out when needed	
a	I frequently feel like leaving the Army	
	am quite proud to tell people that	
	I am in the Army	
;	For me, a rewarding career	
1.	can compensate for limited	
	personal/family time	
i	I can get ahead in the Army doing	
J.	the kinds of work I like best	
k	The Army has a great deal of	
	positive meaning to me	
1.	I feel a strong sense of belonging	
	to the Army	1234
m.	I feel "emotionally attached" to	
	the Army	
n.	One of the problems of leaving	
	the Army would be the lack of	
	good alternatives	1234

- 14. Since arriving at your present Army post, did you get into any serious trouble (UCMJ offenses)?
 - O No

 - O Yes, just once O Yes, two or three times
 - O Yes, four or more times

15. Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of Army life <u>at the present</u> <u>time</u>. MARK A RESPONSE FOR EACH.

		Very	satisfied	
	والمراجع والمحاري والمحاري والمراجع المراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمحار والمحار والمحار والمحار		isfied	d -
	Neither satisfied nor o	dissatisfi	ed	, r
		satisfied		• •
	Very dissat	isfied		
Ho	ow satisfied are you with			ie Constantino Constantino
a.	your relationships with peers?	(1)(2) 3 4 6	Ð
b.			i de la companya de la	
	commissioned officers?)346	9
c.	your relationships with	i de		:
	commissioned officers?			
d.	the Army's gender mix?			
e.				
f.	barracks living?			
g.	physical fitness training?			
h.	your amount of personal freedom?			9
i.	the Army's structured, ordered	1963년 1973년 - 1973년		1
	lifestyle?			5
j.	the length of your enlistment		물란 문	
	contract?			5
k.	your MOS?			5)
I.	the time available to pursue your			i.
	personal life goals?		000	5)
m.	your life as an enlisted soldier?		234(5)
n.		1.4		
	friends?		2 3 4 (5
о.	your personal and family life?		234(5

16.	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your most recent training unit?							
		S	trong A	y agi gree	'ee			
	Neither agree no		isagre	e e				
	D Strongly disa	isa	gree					
			ю. С. С. С					
	Not applicab	e						
	The leadens in the unit set high							
	a. The leaders in the unit set high standards for soldiers in terms of							
	good behavior and discipline	ക	ൈര	രം	NO.			
	b. The leaders in the unit were more	0	ୖୄ	Ge	ر م			
	interested in looking good than		U (AS) SYRE					
	being good		ଭର	6	ൈ			
	c. I was impressed with the quality	0	తత					
	of leadership in the unit	ብ	໑໑	<u>A</u> 6	ດ			
	d. I would go for help with a personal	Ċ	<u> </u>	್ಷ ್ಷ ಆ ಕಟ್ಟ				
	problem to people in my chain of							
	command	ጠ	രര	A 6	ൈ			
	e. The leaders in the unit were not	0	ee S	<u> </u>				
	concerned with the way soldiers							
	treat each other as long as the							
	job/training gets done	ര	മര	A 6	തെ			
	f. The leaders in the unit were more	0	\sim					
	interested in furthering their careers							
	than in the well-being of their soldiers.	എ	23	(4) (5)(6)			
	g. Leaders in the unit treated soldiers	Č						
	with respect	1	23	(4) (5)6			
	h. The leaders most always got	Ũ						
	willing and whole-hearted							
	cooperation from the soldiers							
	in the unit	1	23	45	6			
	i. The NCOs in my chain of							
	command were a good source		re E					
	of support for soldiers	1	23	45	00			
17.	Suppose your drill sergeants were to your performance to other soldiers in training company. How would they r Truly exc Outstanding Above average (u Average (midd Below average (bottom)	in y ate pti g (u ipp ile	your your ional (ipper er 30% 40%)	 top 5 15%)	%)			
	a. EFFORT (such as willingness to giv	е						
	your best effort and assist others to make sure the job gets done)		10	തര	െ			
	b. PERSONAL DISCIPLINE (such	•••						
	as willingness to follow Army							
	regulations, orders, and Standard							
	Operating Procedure, and display							
	respect for superiors)		10	34	ை			
	b. PHYSICAL FITNESS (effectiveness							
	in maintaining military standards of	•						
	physical fitness)		10	34) (5)			
	c. OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS IN							
	MOST RECENT TRAINING		12	34)(5)			
			а та , 🛩					

3

18. From what you know and have heard about the Army and civilian life, please indicate how you believe conditions in the military are compared with conditions in a civilian job you could realistically expect to get. MARK A RESPONSE FOR EACH.

Much better in Army Somewhat better in Army About the same Somewhat better in civilian life Much better in civilian life Don't know
a. Pay
b. Retirement benefits
c. Benefits other than retirement 123456
d. Assistance for civilian education 123456
e. Opportunities to advance in your
chosen field
f. Opportunities for job satisfaction 123456
g. Quality of co-workers
h. Working hours/schedule
i. Time for personal/family life
j. Overall quality of life
k. Level of professionalism in the
organization
I. Personal freedom
m. Job security
n. Total family income

19. The kind of work I enjoy most is available:

me kind of work renjoy m	00110 414
\bigcirc only in the military.	O prima
O primarily in the military.	world
O equally in the military	🔿 only i
O and civilian world.	🔿 Don't

- O primarily in the civilian world.
- O only in the civilian world.
- 🔵 Don't know

20. How confident are you that you will: MARK A RESPONSE FOR EACH.

Extremely Confident
Very Confident
Moderately Confident
Slightly Confident
Not Confident At All
a. Adapt to Army life
b. Complete your term of obligation 1233 (3)
c. Meet the Army's physical requirements. 12345
d. Have the skills to perform well in your
next assignment
e. Earn promotions in the Army

21. How important is each of the following TO YOU PERSONALLY?

	Extremely Important
● 最新時代の時間ではたいはないのです。	Very important
	uite important
「読みにくていただ」。 シリング だいがく ひかい スパン かいしょう オート	/ important
Somewhat in	and a second
Slightly impo	「「「「」」「「」」を見ていていた。「「「」」「「」」「「」」「「」」」「「」」」
Not at all importa	
NOT at all importa	
a. Loyalty to the United	
States Army	000000000000000000000000000000000000
b. Loyalty to your unit or	
organization	000000000000000000000000000000000000
c. Taking responsibility for	國 统 统 图
your actions and decisions	.1234567
d. Putting what is good for your	
fellow soldiers, unit, and the	
nation before your own welfare.	. 1234567
e. Dedication to serving the United	
States, even to risking your own	
life in its defense	.000000
f. Commitment to working as a	
member of a team	.000000
g. Dedication to learning your job	BR R K
and doing it well	.0234567
h. Personal drive to succeed in	
your work and advance	.0234567
i. Being honest, open, and truthful	المسارية والمسارية المشاول مساركه
j. Being disciplined and	1917년 1927년 1841년 184180401800000000000000000000000000000
courageous in battle	. 1234567
k. Standing up for what you firmly	
believe is right	.0034660
I. Working with others tactfully	
and with military courtesy	. 1234567
m. Exhibiting excellent military	
bearing and appearance	. 1234567
n. Equal opportunity regardless	
of gender	.1234567
o. High moral standards both	
on-duty and off-duty	.1234567
p. Building and maintaining	
physical fitness and stamina	
· ·	

22. As you see it now, how well do your <u>personal</u> values match the values of the Army?

- O Extremely well
- O Very well
- O Neither well nor poorly
- O Poorly
- O Not at all

23. As you see it now, to what extent are you the type of individual that the Army wants as a soldier?

- O Very great extent
- O Great extent
- O Moderate extent
- O Slight extent
- O Not at all

- 24. Army training offers many challenges. Was there ever a time during training when it seemed likely that you would not complete your training?
 - O Yes
 - O No
 - O Do not remember
- 25. Why did it seem likely you would not complete your training? (Mark all that apply.)
 - O Not applicable, it always seemed likely I would complete training.
 - O I was having problems meeting Army standards (e.g., physical, academic, conduct).
 - O I was having problems adjusting to Army life (e.g., lack of motivation, boredom, problems with supervisors, not getting along with others).
 - O Due to medical condition(s) (e.g., illness, injury, pregnancy).
 - O I needed/wanted to go home (e.g., family problems, homesickness).
 - I was being treated unfairly (e.g., sexual/gender/racial discrimination, harassment, didn't get the Army job I wanted).
 - O I thought my opportunities were better outside the Army (e.g., job, school).
 - O Other (please specify) ___

26. Was the situation you identified in the last question:

- Not applicable, I did not experience any of the situation listed
- O Mostly caused by you
- O Mostly caused by the Army
- O Caused by both you and the Army

O Beyond anyone's control

27. In your own words, how were you able to overcome the challenges you experienced and successfully complete Army training?

28. Assume you were to leave the Army in the next six months. Which of the following reasons would likely apply in your case? RESPOND TO EACH ITEM.

Not Likely to Apply

	Likely to Apply	
a.	Failure to meet physical requirements	0
	Problems adjusting to Army life	Ô
	Family problems at home	O
d.	Pregnancy O	0
	One or more serious (UCMJ) offensesO	O
	Minor offenses or disciplinary problems	Ο
	Poor academic performance	ŏ
h.	Illness/medical condition	0
i.	Homesickness	O
j.	Lack of motivation, boredom	O
	Problems with supervisors	0
	Racial discrimination	ŏ
	Sexual or gender discrimination	Q
	Better job opportunities outside the Army Q	ŏ
	Injuries sustained during training	0
	Not getting desired military job	0000
	Sexual harassment	Õ
	Not getting along with others	Q
	Maintaining weight standards	\odot
	Drug/alcohol abuse	00
	Mental health problems	
	Unfair punishment	õ
w.	Verbal abuse from supervisors	0

29. At the present time, what level of strain, conflict or stress -- if any -- are you experiencing?

Very high
 High
 Moderate

O Low O Very Iow O None

30. How would you rate your current level of morale?

Very highHighModerate

O Low

- O Very low
- 31. Considering both your own qualifications and current labor market conditions, how difficult do you think it would be for you to find a good civilian job right now?
 - O Very difficult
 - O Difficult
 - O Not particularly difficult or easy
 - O Easy
 - O Very easy
 - O Does not apply; I already have a good civilian job

- 32. My personal or family situation would make it difficult for me to leave the Army in the next year or so.
 - O Strongly agree
 - O Agree
 - O Neither agree nor disagree
 - O Disagree
 - O Strongly disagree
- 33. The people most important to me would be extremely disappointed if I dropped out of the Army before completing my enlistment obligation.
 - O Strongly agree
 - O Agree
 - O Neither agree nor disagree
 - O Disagree
 - O Strongly disagree
- 34. A <u>male</u> friend who you think is qualified asks your advice about joining the Army. Would you recommend that he ...
 - O join the Army?
 - O join another military service?
 - O not join a military service?
- 35. A <u>female</u> friend who you think is qualified asks your advice about joining the Army. Would you recommend that she ...
 - O join the Army?
 - O join another military service?
 - O not join a military service?
- 36. Are you:
 - O Male O Female
- 37. Are you of Hispanic/Spanish origin or ancestry (of any race)?
 - O No
 - O Yes, Mexican, Mexican-American, Chicano
 - O Yes, Puerto Rican
 - O Yes, other Hispanic/Spanish

38. What is your racial background?

- O American Indian, Eskimo or Aleut
- O Asian or Pacific Islander
- O Black
- O White

39. What is your current marital status?

- O Married
- O Legally separated or filing for divorce
- O Single, never married
- O Single, engaged to be married
- O Divorced
- O Widowed

- 40. How many dependent children do you have (for whom you provide financial support)?
 - O None
 - O 1
 - O 2
 - Оз
 - O 4 or more
- 41. Is there an important girlfriend/boyfriend in your life right now?
 - O Does not apply; I am currently married
 - O Yes
 - O No

42. How supportive/unsupportive is your spouse/ girlfriend/boyfriend of your being in the Army?

- Does not apply; I do not have a spouse/girlfriend/boyfriend
- O Very supportive
- O Fairly supportive
- O Mixed or neutral
- O Fairly unsupportive
- O Very unsupportive

43. Are you planning to go to college?

- O Yes, while on active duty during this enlistment
- O Yes, after I complete this term of duty
- O No
- O Undecided

44. How IMPORTANT TO YOU is it that you complete your enlistment obligation?

- O Extremely important
- O Very important
- O Moderately important
- O Slightly important
- O Not at all important

45. Which ONE of the following best describes your current active duty Army career intentions? MARK ONE.

- O PROBABLY stay until retirement
- O DEFINITELY stay until retirement
- O PROBABLY stay in beyond my present obligation, but not necessarily to retirement
- O DEFINITELY stay in beyond my present obligation, but not necessarily to retirement
- O PROBABLY leave upon completion of my present obligation
- DEFINITELY leave upon completion of my present obligation
- O Does not apply; I am not active duty Army

46. Do any of the following cause you to be unsure about an Army career? MARK ALL THAT APPLY.

- O My lack of experience in the Army
- O My career goals are unclear
- O Unsure of chances for promotion
- O Changes in Army job opportunities
- O Increased chances of fighting in the war
- O Possibility of being subjected to sexual or racial discrimination
- O None of the above

47. Enter your Social Security Number below.

			1			_				
\odot	0	0		0	0		0	0	0	0
\odot	\odot	0		\odot	0		\odot	1	1	0
0	2	0		0	2		2	2	2	2
3	3	3		3	3		3	3	3	3
0	•	4		(4)	4		(4)	٩	٩	(
5	(5)	(5)		6	6		5	6	5	6
6	6	6		6	6		6	6	6	6
0	0	O		Ō	Ø		Ō	Õ		· . · · ·
1	1	1		۲	8		ø	1		1
9	9	9		9	9		9	್ಷ	-	