

AD NO. \_\_\_\_\_ DTC PROJECT NO. 8-CO-160-UXO-021 REPORT NO. ATC-9049



# **STANDARDIZED**

# UXO TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION SITE

# **DESERT EXTREME SCORING RECORD NO. 601**

SITE LOCATION: U.S. ARMY YUMA PROVING GROUND

> DEMONSTRATOR: PARSONS 1700 BROADWAY NO. 900 DENVER, CO 80290

# TECHNOLOGY TYPE/PLATFORM: MAGNETOMETER SCHONSTEDT/HAND HELD

PREPARED BY: U.S. ARMY ABERDEEN TEST CENTER ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005-5059

**JULY 2005** 

egic Environmental Research



Prepared for: U.S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010-5401

U.S. ARMY DEVELOPMENTAL TEST COMMAND ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005-5055

20051101 029





DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED, JULY 2005.

# **DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS**

Destroy this document when no longer needed. Do not return to the originator.

The use of trade names in this document does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial hardware or software. This document may not be cited for purposes of advertisement.

|   | REI  | Form Approved<br>OMB No. 0704-0188 |                                  |   |  |                                      |   |  |  |  |
|---|--|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Deferse, Washington Headquarters Services, Director ate for information Constraition, and the sources of the source |  |                                    |                                  |   |  |                                      |   |  |  |  |
| 1. REPORT D   | July 2005         2. REPORT TYPE               |                                    |                                  |   |  |                                      | 3. DATES COVERED (From - To)<br>19 through 21 October 2004  |  |  |  |
|   | <b>SUBTITLE</b><br>IZED UXO TI<br>TREME SCOF   |                                    |                                  | DNTRACT NUMBER  |  |                                      |   |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    |                                  |   |  | 5c. PRC                              | DGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER  |  |  |  |
| 6. AUTHOR(S)<br>Overbay, Larr   | v: Robitaille.                                 | George                             |                                  |   | 7  | 5d. PRC                              | DJECT NUMBER<br>8-CO-160-UXO-021  |  |  |  |
| The Standard  |  | nnologyi                           | Demo                             | nstration Site Scoring (  | ommittee   | 5e. TAS                              | KNUMBER   |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    |                                  |   |  | 5f. WOF                              | RK UNIT NUMBER  |  |  |  |
| Commander<br>U.S. Army Ab   | erdeen Test Co<br>3-DTC-AT-SL                  | enter<br>-E                        | .,                               | ND ADDRESS(ES)  |  | 1                                    | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION<br>REPORT NUMBER<br>ATC-9049   |  |  |  |
| Commander   |  |                                    | YNAN                             | IE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES  | 5)   |                                      | 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Army En<br>ATTN: SFIM<br>Aberdeen Prov   | -AEC-ATT                                       |                                    | )5-54(                           | )1  |  |                                      | 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT<br>NUMBER(S)<br>Same as item 8   |  |  |  |
| 12. DISTRIBUT<br>Distribution u   |  | ITY STAT                           | EMEN'                            | T   | <u>,</u>   |                                      |   |  |  |  |
| 13. SUPPLEME  | NTARY NOTES                                    |                                    |                                  |   |  |                                      |   |  |  |  |
| Standardized<br>the Standardiz<br>Corps of Engin  | UXO Technolo<br>ed UXO Tech<br>neers, the Envi | ogy Dem<br>nology D<br>ronmenta    | onstra<br>emon<br>al Secu        | tion Site Desert Extren<br>stration Site Scoring C<br>urity Technology Certif | ne. Scoring R<br>ommittee. Or<br>fication Progra | ecords ha<br>ganizatio<br>am, the Si | ploded ordnance (UXO) utilizing the YPG<br>we been coordinated by Larry Overbay and<br>ns on the committee include, the U.S. Army<br>trategic Environmental Research and<br>Center, and the U.S. Army Aberdeen Test |  |  |  |
| 15. SUBJECT T<br>Parsons, UXO   |  | Technolo                           | ogy De                           | emonstration Site Prog  | ram, Desert Ex                                   | treme, M                             | agnetometer Schonstedt/Hand Held  |  |  |  |
| 16. SECURITY  | CLASSIFICATIO                                  | N OF:<br>c. THIS I                 | PAGE                             | 17. LIMITATION OF<br>ABSTRACT   | 18. NUMBER<br>OF<br>PAGES                        | 19a. NAM                             | E OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON   |  |  |  |
| Unclassified  | Unclassified                                   | Unclas                             | PHONE NUMBER (Include area code) |   |  |                                      |   |  |  |  |

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239.18

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

#### **Authors:**

Larry Overbay Jr. Matthew Boutin Military Environmental Technology Demonstration Center (METDC) U.S. Army Aberdeen Test Center (ATC) U.S. Army Aberdeen Proving Ground (APG)

> Robert Archiable EC 111, Limited Liability Company (LLC) U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground (YPG)

Rick Fling Christina McClung Aberdeen Test and Support Services (ATSS) Sverdrup Technology, Inc. U.S. Army Aberdeen Proving Ground (APG)

#### **Contributor:**

George Robitaille U.S. Army Environmental Center (AEC) U.S. Army Aberdeen Proving Ground (APG)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....

PAGE

i

|     | SECTION 1 CENED & LINEODMATION                                     |
|-----|--|
|     | SECTION 1. GENERAL INFORMATION                                     |
| 1.1 | BACKGROUND   |
| 1.2 | SCORING OBJECTIVES   |
|     | 1.2.1 Scoring Methodology  |
| 1.3 | 1.2.2 Scoring Factors STANDARD INERT ORDNANCE TARGETS              |
|     | SECTION 2. DEMONSTRATION   |
| 2.1 | DEMONSTRATOR INFORMATION   |
|     | 2.1.1 Demonstrator Point of Contact (POC) and Address              |
|     | 2.1.2 System Description   |
|     | 2.1.3 Data Processing Description                                  |
|     | 2.1.4 Data Submission Format                                       |
|     | 2.1.5 Demonstrator Quality Assurance (QA) and Quality Control (QC) |
|     | 2.1.6 Additional Records   |
| 2.2 | YPG SITE INFORMATION   |
|     | 2.2.1 Location   |
|     | 2.2.2 Soil Type  |
|     | 2.2.3 Test Areas   |
|     | SECTION 3. FIELD DATA  |
| 3.1 | DATE OF FIELD ACTIVITIES   |
| 3.2 | AREAS TESTED/NUMBER OF HOURS                                       |
| 3.3 | TEST CONDITIONS  |
|     | 3.3.1 Weather Conditions   |
|     | 3.3.2 Field Conditions   |
|     | 3.3.3 Soil Moisture  |
| 3.4 | FIELD ACTIVITIES   |
|     | 3.4.1 Setup/Mobilization   |
|     | 3.4.2 Calibration  |
|     | 3.4.3 Downtime Occasions   |
|     | 3.4.4 Data Collection  |
| . – | 3.4.5 Demobilization   |
| 5.5 | PROCESSING TIME  |
| 8.6 | DEMONSTRATOR'S FIELD PERSONNEL                                     |
| 3.7 | DEMONSTRATOR'S FIELD SURVEYING METHOD                              |
| 3.8 | SUMMARY OF DAILY LOGS  |
|     | iii  |

# SECTION 4. TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS

# **PAGE**

| 4.1 | ROC CURVES USING ALL ORDNANCE CATEGORIES             | 17 |
|-----|--|----|
| 4.2 | ROC CURVES USING ORDNANCE LARGER THAN 20 MM          | 17 |
| 4.3 | PERFORMANCE SUMMARIES                                | 17 |
| 4.4 | EFFICIENCY, REJECTION RATES, AND TYPE CLASSIFICATION | 19 |
| 4.5 | LOCATION ACCURACY                                    | 19 |

# SECTION 5. ON-SITE LABOR COSTS

# SECTION 6. COMPARISON OF RESULTS TO OPEN FIELD DEMONSTRATION

| 6.1 | SUMMARY OF RESULTS FROM OPEN FIELD DEMONSTRATION    | 23 |
|-----|---|----|
| 6.2 | COMPARISON OF ROC CURVES USING ALL ORDNANCE         |    |
|     | CATEGORIES  | 23 |
| 6.3 | COMPARISON OF ROC CURVES USING ORDNANCE LARGER THAN |    |
|     | 20 MM   | 23 |
| 6.4 | STATISTICAL COMPARISONS                             | 24 |

# **SECTION 7. APPENDIXES**

| Α | TERMS AND DEFINITIONS | A-1   |
|---|-----------------------|-------|
| В | DAILY WEATHER LOGS    | B-1   |
| С | SOIL MOISTURE         | C-1   |
| D | DAILY ACTIVITY LOGS   | D-1   |
| E | REFERENCES            | E-1   |
| F | ABBREVIATIONS         | F - 1 |
| G | DISTRIBUTION LIST     | G-1   |

#### SECTION 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

#### **1.1 BACKGROUND**

Technologies under development for the detection and discrimination of unexploded ordnance (UXO) require testing so that their performance can be characterized. To that end, Standardized Test Sites have been developed at Aberdeen Proving Ground (APG), Maryland and U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground (YPG), Arizona. These test sites provide a diversity of geology, climate, terrain, and weather as well as diversity in ordnance and clutter. Testing at these sites is independently administered and analyzed by the government for the purposes of characterizing technologies, tracking performance with system development, comparing performance of different systems, and comparing performance in different environments.

The Standardized UXO Technology Demonstration Site Program is a multi-agency program spearheaded by the U.S. Army Environmental Center (AEC). The U.S. Army Aberdeen Test Center (ATC) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Engineering Research and Development Center (ERDC) provide programmatic support. The program is being funded and supported by the Environmental Security Technology Certification Program (ESTCP), the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP) and the Army Environmental Quality Technology Program (EQT).

#### **1.2 SCORING OBJECTIVES**

The objective in the Standardized UXO Technology Demonstration Site Program is to evaluate the detection and discrimination capabilities of a given technology under various field and soil conditions. Inert munitions and clutter items are positioned in various orientations and depths in the ground.

The evaluation objectives are as follows:

a. To determine detection and discrimination effectiveness under realistic scenarios that vary targets, geology, clutter, topography, and vegetation.

b. To determine cost, time, and manpower requirements to operate the technology.

c. To determine demonstrator's ability to analyze survey data in a timely manner and provide prioritized "Target Lists" with associated confidence levels.

d. To provide independent site management to enable the collection of high quality, ground-truth, geo-referenced data for post-demonstration analysis.

### 1.2.1 Scoring Methodology

a. The scoring of the demonstrator's performance is conducted in two stages. These two stages are termed the RESPONSE STAGE and DISCRIMINATION STAGE. For both stages, the probability of detection  $(P_d)$  and the false alarms are reported as receiver-operating

characteristic (ROC) curves. False alarms are divided into those anomalies that correspond to emplaced clutter items, measuring the probability of false positive ( $P_{fp}$ ), and those that do not correspond to any known item, termed background alarms.

b. The RESPONSE STAGE scoring evaluates the ability of the system to detect emplaced targets without regard to ability to discriminate ordnance from other anomalies. For the blind grid RESPONSE STAGE, the demonstrator provides the scoring committee with a target response from each and every grid square along with a noise level below which target responses are deemed insufficient to warrant further investigation. This list is generated with minimal processing and, since a value is provided for every grid square, will include signals both above and below the system noise level.

c. The DISCRIMINATION STAGE evaluates the demonstrator's ability to correctly identify ordnance as such and to reject clutter. For the blind grid DISCRIMINATION STAGE, the demonstrator provides the scoring committee with the output of the algorithms applied in the discrimination-stage processing for each grid square. The values in this list are prioritized based on the demonstrator's determination that a grid square is likely to contain ordnance. Thus, higher output values are indicative of higher confidence that an ordnance item is present at the specified location. For digital signal processing, priority ranking is based on algorithm output. For other discrimination approaches, priority ranking is based on human (subjective) judgment. The demonstrator also specifies the threshold in the prioritized ranking that provides optimum performance, (i.e. that is expected to retain all detected ordnance and rejects the maximum amount of clutter).

d. The demonstrator is also scored on EFFICIENCY and REJECTION RATIO, which measures the effectiveness of the discrimination stage processing. The goal of discrimination is to retain the greatest number of ordnance detections from the anomaly list, while rejecting the maximum number of anomalies arising from non-ordnance items. EFFICIENCY measures the fraction of detected ordnance retained after discrimination, while the REJECTION RATIO measures the fraction of false alarms rejected. Both measures are defined relative to performance at the demonstrator-supplied level below which all responses are considered noise, i.e., the maximum ordnance detectable by the sensor and its accompanying false positive rate or background alarm rate.

e. Based on configuration of the ground truth at the standardized sites and the defined scoring methodology, there exists the possibility of having anomalies within overlapping halos and/or multiple anomalies within halos. In these cases, the following scoring logic is implemented:

(1) In situations where multiple anomalies exist within a single  $R_{halo}$ , the anomaly with the strongest response or highest ranking will be assigned to that particular ground truth item.

(2) For overlapping  $R_{halo}$  situations, ordnance has precedence over clutter. The anomaly with the strongest response or highest ranking that is closest to the center of a particular ground truth item gets assigned to that item. Remaining anomalies are retained until all matching is complete.

(3) Anomalies located within any  $R_{halo}$  that do not get associated with a particular ground truth item are thrown out and are not considered in the analysis.

f. All scoring factors are generated utilizing the Standardized UXO Probability and Plot Program, version 3.1.1.

#### 1.2.2 Scoring Factors

Factors to be measured and evaluated as part of this demonstration include:

- a. Response Stage ROC curves:
- (1) Probability of Detection  $(P_d^{res})$ .
- (2) Probability of False Positive  $(P_{fp}^{res})$ .
- (3) Background Alarm Rate (BAR<sup>res</sup>) or Probability of Background Alarm ( $P_{BA}^{res}$ ).
- b. Discrimination Stage ROC curves:
- (1) Probability of Detection  $(P_d^{\text{disc}})$ .
- (2) Probability of False Positive  $(P_{fp}^{disc})$ .
- (3) Background Alarm Rate (BAR<sup>disc</sup>) or Probability of Background Alarm ( $P_{BA}^{disc}$ ).
- c. Metrics:
- (1) Efficiency (E).
- (2) False Positive Rejection Rate ( $R_{fp}$ ).
- (3) Background Alarm Rejection Rate ( $R_{BA}$ ).
- d. Other:
- (1) Probability of Detection by Size and Depth.
- (2) Classification by type (i.e., 20-, 40-, 105-mm, etc.).
- (3) Location accuracy.
- (4) Equipment setup, calibration time and corresponding man-hour requirements.
- (5) Survey time and corresponding man-hour requirements.

- (6) Reacquisition/resurvey time and man-hour requirements (if any).
- (7) Downtime due to system malfunctions and maintenance requirements.

#### **1.3 STANDARD AND NONSTANDARD INERT ORDNANCE TARGETS**

The standard and nonstandard ordnance items emplaced in the test areas are listed in Table 1. Standardized targets are members of a set of specific ordnance items that have identical properties to all other items in the set (caliber, configuration, size, weight, aspect ratio, material, filler, magnetic remanence, and nomenclature). Nonstandard targets are inert ordnance items having properties that differ from those in the set of standardized targets.

| Standard Type                | Nonstandard (NS)        |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 20-mm Projectile M55         | 20-mm Projectile M55    |
|                              | 20-mm Projectile M97    |
| 40-mm Grenades M385          | 40-mm Grenades M385     |
| 40-mm Projectile MKII Bodies | 40-mm Projectile M813   |
| BDU-28 Submunition           |                         |
| BLU-26 Submunition           |                         |
| M42 Submunition              |                         |
| 57-mm Projectile APC M86     |                         |
| 60-mm Mortar M49A3           | 60-mm Mortar (JPG)      |
|                              | 60-mm Mortar M49        |
| 2.75-inch Rocket M230        | 2.75-inch Rocket M230   |
|                              | 2.75-inch Rocket XM229  |
| MK 118 ROCKEYE               |                         |
| 81-mm Mortar M374            | 81-mm Mortar (JPG)      |
|                              | 81-mm Mortar M374       |
| 105-mm HEAT Rounds M456      |                         |
| 105-mm Projectile M60        | 105-mm Projectile M60   |
| 155-mm Projectile M483A1     | 155-mm Projectile M483A |
|                              | 500-lb Bomb             |

# **TABLE 1. INERT ORDNANCE TARGETS**

JPG = Jefferson Proving Ground HEAT = high-explosive antitank

#### SECTION 2. DEMONSTRATION

#### 2.1 DEMONSTRATOR INFORMATION

# 2.1.1 Demonstrator Point of Contact (POC) and Address

- POC: William J. Kelso P.E. 303-764-1932 william.kelso@parsons.com
- Address: Parsons 1700 Broadway No. 900 Denver, CO 80290

#### 2.1.2 System Description (provided by demonstrator)

Parsons will locate and flag detectable anomalies at the Standardized Test Sites (except the Active Response Area) using magnetometer (MAG) detection systems. Locations of detected anomalies will be surveyed and results reported on "dig sheets".

Parsons will safely locate and flag detectable magnetic anomalies using hand-held magnetometers (Schonstedt) within the Standardized UXO Technology Demonstration Site at APG, including the Blind Grid (.48 acres), Open Field (13.68 acres), Moguls (1.3 acres), and Wooded (1.35 acres), but not including performance the Active Response Area (3.5 acres) (fig. 1). As each anomaly is detected, its location will be marked by a pin flag.



Figure 1. Demonstrator's system, Magnetometer Schonstedt/Hand Held.

A two-man Survey Crew will next survey the flagged locations of detected anomalies using a Real-Time Kinematic (RTK) Global Positioning System (GPS) instrument. Locations will be recorded in Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) coordinates on the Standardized UXO Technology Demonstration Site Program Reporting Spreadsheets (Dig Sheets). The Survey Crew will use a Trimble 5700 RTK-GPS survey instrument in the Open Field, Blind Grid, and Moguls; and a Trimble Total Station for the wooded areas where GPS coverage is not available.

#### 2.1.3 Data Processing Description (provided by demonstrator)

The process for detection of anomalies using a magnetometer, marking with pin flags, and surveying by RTK GPS is described as follows. At the outset, lanes will be set up to organize work activities. The lanes will be set up on a 100 x 100 m grid basis and each grid will then be subdivided into lanes that are 1 m wide. The lanes will be marked using ropes stretched between tape measures. The Ordnance and Explosives (OE) technician will proceed slowly along the lane while scanning with the magnetometer until the technician detects an anomaly. Once the position of the anomaly has been determined, a pin flag will be placed at the location. Once a lane has been completed the team will move to next lane in the grid. Once all the lanes in the grid have been traversed then the team will move on to the next grid.

Once a grid has been completed, then it will become available for surveying. The surveying team will use either a Trimble 5700 or equivalent RTK GPS system for areas where vegetation doesn't prevent the use of GPS, or a Trimble Total Station in areas of dense vegetation. When using the GPS, the instrument will be placed over each flag and location recorded in a digital data logger. After that, the flag will be removed. In the case of wooded areas, the assistant will place the rod over the flags in the wooded areas and once the operator of the total station indicates that a reading has been acquired, then the assistant will remove the flag and proceed to the next point.

#### 2.1.4 Data Submission Format

Data were submitted for scoring in accordance with data submission protocols outlined in the Standardized UXO Technology Demonstration Site Handbook. These submitted data are not included in this report in order to protect ground truth information.

# 2.1.5 <u>Demonstrator Quality Assurance (QA) and Quality Control (QC) (provided by</u> <u>demonstrator)</u>

General. Parsons' Quality Assurance (QA) program consists of an integrated system of activities involving planning, quality control, quality assessment, reporting and quality improvement to ensure that the product meets defined standards of quality with a stated level of confidence. Parsons QA/Quality Control (QC) program establishes the methods and procedures that will be used during the project, and is subdivided into two parts as follows:

- Personnel and Operating Procedure QA/QC; and.
- Instrument/Equipment QA/QC.

Data Quality Objectives. This project is being conducted to establish the baseline standards of performance for the historical standards of industry for Ordnance and Explosives (OE) detection (electromagnetic detection, and magnetic detection). The data quality objective is to emulate as much as possible the historical methods and data quality achieved historically during normal operation of electromagnetic detection of OE.

Personnel and Operating Procedure QA/QC. Field QA/QC will be the responsibility of the Senior Geophysicist for the EM detection and survey activities. Field personnel will be geophysicists and operators with experience in the EM and flag (dig) from the U.S. Navy Kaho'olawe Island site where the EM and flag method was used extensively and found to be the most effective method at detecting buried metallic objects, or other location. Personnel will have received training on the equipment that they are operating.

The operators will be familiarized with site conditions by locating anomalies within the calibration lanes on two occasions. The first time will be without any indication of where the buried items are located. This will ensure that they detect all detectable items present. Once they have successfully performed this task, they will repeat the calibration lanes strip with the actual locations of the buried items marked on the surface. This will allow them to refine their positional marking techniques. Once they have completed these two steps, then the teams can proceed to acquisition over the remainder of the site.

#### Instrument/Equipment QA/QC:

Testing Procedures and Frequency. Instruments and equipment used to locate anomalies and generate survey coordinates will be tested with sufficient frequency and in such a manner that accuracy and reproducibility of results are consistent with the manufacturer's specifications.

Function Test: At least twice daily, all geophysical instruments will be function checked by one of two methods. The operational and test procedures will conform to manufacturer's standard instructions. This field test will ensure that the equipment is functioning within the allowable tolerances.

One method is performed by measuring the instrument response over the daily test grid and comparing that response to its standard response recorded prior to being placed in service. For this EE/CA, USA will establish a test grid, containing no less than two seed items, near the site trailer. Use of equipment that deviates by more than 25 percent from the standard response will be discontinued and the equipment will be repaired or replaced. The second method is performed by placing a small metallic test object on the ground in a standard orientation and centered beneath the equipment sensors. The instrument's response is recorded and compared to its initial response measured over the same object prior to being placed in service. For this project, trailer ball hitches will be used as the test objects. If the response in the field is greater than 20-percent of the initial response, the instrument will be repaired or removed from service. Preventive Maintenance. Equipment, instruments, tools, gauges, and other items requiring preventive maintenance will be serviced prior in accordance with the manufacturer's specified recommendations. Any anomalies in the instrumentation that affect the survey will be noted and the instrument replaced by the vendor. No other maintenance procedures will be used, other than charging the batteries and ensuring that the connectors stay dry.

Survey Data Quality Control:

Data Acquisition. Parsons' Quality Control program ensures the precision and accuracy of analyses by detecting errors and preventing recurrences or measuring the degree of error inherent in the activities and procedures. Any raw data from survey measurements will be appropriately recorded and notated in the field notebooks or Data Loggers.

Quality control will be conducted for all hardcopy (Dig Sheets) and electronic deliverables. At a minimum the following measures will be conducted:

- Standard coordinate systems (UTM) will be used and verified throughout the project.
- All deliverables will be peer reviewed to ensure accuracy.
- Electronic data will be backed up periodically.

Corrective Action. The following procedures have been established to assure that conditions adverse to quality such as malfunctions, deficiencies, deviations, and errors are promptly investigated, documented, evaluated, and corrected.

When a significant condition adverse to quality is noted in the field, the cause of the condition will be determined and corrective action taken to preclude repetition. Condition identification, cause, reference documents, and corrective action planned will be documented and reported to the Site Geophysicist. Implementation of corrective actions will be verified by documented follow-up action. All project personnel have the daily responsibility to promptly identify problem areas, solicit approved corrective actions, and report any condition adverse to quality.

Corrective actions will be initiated at a minimum:

- When predetermined acceptance standards are not attained.
- When procedures or data compiled are determined to be faulty.
- When equipment or instrumentation is found faulty.
- When quality assurance requirements are violated.
- As a result of system and performance audits.
- As a result of management assessment.

Field Investigation Recordkeeping:

Daily Field Activity Records. Field activity logbooks will be maintained daily, if applicable, and all entries will be recorded in ink. All personnel will use bound and numbered field logbooks with consecutively numbered pages. The following logs will be maintained.

Daily Activity Log.

- Date and recorder of field information.
- Start and end time of work activities including breaks, lunch, and down time.
- Visitors.
- Weather conditions.
- Relevant events.
- Important phone calls.
- Changes from approved or planned work instructions.
- Signature of the on-site QA/QC Manager.

#### Safety Log:

- Date and recorder of log.
- Tailgate safety briefing (time conducted and by whom).
- Weather conditions.
- Significant site events relating to safety.
- Accidents.
- Stop work due to safety.

Demonstrator's Field Personnel:

Six personnel total will be used as follows:

- Two geophysical crews each consisting of one Geophysicist and one Geophysics assistant.
- One Survey crew consisting of one Lead Surveyor and one Surveyor Assistant.

Support Equipment Required. Temporary storage space is required for overnight storage of instruments and equipment during the work.

Frequency and Radio Utilization. The Trimble GPS RTK system utilizes radio communication to transmit information from the GPS base station to the rover units. The radio can utilize a range of frequencies of .25 MHz in one of three bandwidths (410-420 MHz, 430-450 MH, or 450-470 MHz. This portion of the frequency spectrum is commonly used for accurate GPS positioning in geophysical surveying. One of the frequencies that has minimal interference from other sources will be selected and will transmit a data pulse every 1 s for a majority of the work day. The radio, which is only capable of data transmission from the GPS base station (no voice transmission), has a selectable power output of 2, 10 or 25 W. The radio licenses are held by the vendor that will supply the equipment to Parsons.

#### 2.1.6 Additional Records

The following record(s) by this vendor can be accessed via the Internet as MicroSoft Word documents at <u>www.uxotestsites.org</u>. The counterparts to this report are the Blind Grid, Scoring Record No. 606, the Open Field, Scoring Record No. 426.

## 2.2 YPG SITE INFORMATION

#### 2.2.1 Location

YPG is located adjacent to the Colorado River in the Sonoran Desert. The UXO Standardized Test Site is located south of Pole Line Road and east of the Countermine Testing and Training Range. The Open Field range, Calibration Grid, Blind Grid, Mogul area, and Desert Extreme area comprise the 350 by 500-meter general test site area. The open field site is the largest of the test sites and measures approximately 200 by 350 meters. To the east of the open field range are the calibration and blind test grids that measure 30 by 40 meters and 40 by 40 meters, respectively. South of the Open Field is the 135- by 80-meter Mogul area consisting of a sequence of man-made depressions. The Desert Extreme area is located southeast of the open field site and has dimensions of 50 by 100 meters. The Desert Extreme area, covered with desert-type vegetation, is used to test the performance of different sensor platforms in a more severe desert conditions/environment.

#### 2.2.2 <u>Soil Type</u>

Soil samples were collected at the YPG UXO Standardized Test Site by ERDC to characterize the shallow subsurface (< 3 m). Both surface grab samples and continuous soil borings were acquired. The soils were subjected to several laboratory analyses, including sieve/hydrometer, water content, magnetic susceptibility, dielectric permittivity, X-ray diffraction, and visual description.

There are two soil complexes present within the site, Riverbend-Carrizo and Cristobal-Gunsight. The Riverbend-Carrizo complex is comprised of mixed stream alluvium, whereas the Cristobal-Gunsight complex is derived from fan alluvium. The Cristobal-Gunsight complex covers the majority of the site. Most of the soil samples were classified as either a sandy loam or loamy sand, with most samples containing gravel-size particles. All samples had a measured water content less than 7 percent, except for two that contained 11-percent moisture. The majority of soil samples had water content between 1 to 2 percent. Samples containing more than 3 percent were generally deeper than 1 meter.

An X-ray diffraction analysis on four soil samples indicated a basic mineralogy of quartz, calcite, mica, feldspar, magnetite, and some clay. The presence of magnetite imparted a moderate magnetic susceptibility, with volume susceptibilities generally greater than 100 by 10-5 SI.

For more details concerning the soil properties at the YPG test site, go to <u>www.uxotestsites.org</u> on the web to view the entire soils description report.

# 2.2.3 Test Areas

A description of the test site areas at YPG is included in Table 2.

| Area             | Description   |
|------------------|---|
| Calibration Grid | Contains the 15 standard ordnance items buried in six positions at various angles and depths to allow demonstrator equipment calibration. |
| Blind Grid       | Contains 400 grid cells in a 0.16-hectare (0.39-acre) site. The center of each grid cell contains ordnance, clutter, or nothing.          |
| Open Field       | A 4-hectare (10-acre) site containing open areas, dips, ruts, and obstructions, including vegetation.                                     |
| Desert Extreme   | A 1.23-acre area consisting of a sequence of man-made depressions, covered with desert-type vegetation.                                   |

# TABLE 2.TEST SITE AREAS

#### SECTION 3. FIELD DATA

### 3.1 DATE OF FIELD ACTIVITIES (19 through 21 October 2004)

#### **3.2 AREAS TESTED/NUMBER OF HOURS**

Areas tested and total number of hours operated at each site are summarized in Table 3.

# TABLE 3. AREAS TESTED AND<br/>NUMBER OF HOURS

| Area              | Number of Hours |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| Calibration Lanes | 0.33            |
| Desert Extreme    | 6.05            |

#### 3.3 TEST CONDITIONS

#### 3.3.1 Weather Conditions

A YPG weather station located approximately one mile west of the test site was used to record average temperature and precipitation on a half hour basis for each day of operation. The temperatures listed in Table 4 represent the average temperature during field operations from 0700 to 1700 hours while precipitation data represents a daily total amount of rainfall. Hourly weather logs used to generate this summary are provided in Appendix B.

## **TABLE 4. TEMPERATURE/PRECIPITATION DATA SUMMARY**

| Date, 2004 | Average Temperature, °C | Total Daily Precipitation, in. |
|------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 19 October | 23.0                    | 0.00                           |
| 20 October | 23.9                    | 0.00                           |
| 21 October | N/A                     | N/A                            |

#### 3.3.2 Field Conditions

The field was dry and the weather was warm throughout the Parson survey.

#### 3.3.3 Soil Moisture

Three soil probes were placed at various locations within the site to capture soil moisture data: Blind Grid, Calibration, Open Field, and Mogul areas. Measurements were collected in percent moisture and were taken twice daily (morning and afternoon) from five different soil depths (1 to 6 in., 6 to 12 in., 12 to 24 in., 24 to 36 in., and 36 to 48 in.) from each probe. Soil moisture logs are included in Appendix C.

## 3.4 FIELD ACTIVITIES

#### 3.4.1 Setup/Mobilization

These activities included initial mobilization and daily equipment preparation and break down. A seven-person crew took 30 minutes to perform the initial setup and mobilization. There was 55 minutes of daily equipment preparation and end of the day equipment break down lasted 18 minutes.

# 3.4.2 <u>Calibration</u>

Parsons spent a total of 20 minutes in the calibration lanes, all of which was spent collecting data.

#### 3.4.3 **Downtime Occasions**

Occasions of downtime are grouped into five categories: equipment/data checks or equipment maintenance, equipment failure and repair, weather, Demonstration Site issues, or breaks/lunch. All downtime is included for the purposes of calculating labor costs (section 5) except for downtime due to Demonstration Site issues. Demonstration Site issues, while noted in the Daily Log, are considered non-chargeable downtime for the purposes of calculating labor costs and are not discussed. Breaks and lunches are discussed in this section and billed to the total Site Survey area.

**3.4.3.1** Equipment/data checks, maintenance. Equipment data checks and maintenance activities accounted for 1-hour and 25 minutes of site usage time. These activities included changing out batteries and routine data checks to ensure the data was being properly recorded/collected. Parsons spent no time for breaks and lunches.

**3.4.3.2** <u>Equipment failure or repair</u>. No time was needed to resolve equipment failures that occurred while surveying the Desert Extreme.

**3.4.3.3** <u>Weather</u>. No weather delays occurred during the survey.

#### 3.4.4 Data Collection

Parsons spent a total time of 6 hours and 3 minutes in the Desert Extreme area, 3 hours and 25 minutes of which was spent collecting data.

#### 3.4.5 <u>Demobilization</u>

The Parsons survey crew went on to conducted a full demonstration of the site. Therefore, demobilization did not occur until 21 October 2004. On that day, it took the crew 50 minutes to break down and pack up their equipment.

# 3.5 PROCESSING TIME

Parsons submitted the raw data from the demonstration activities on the last day of the demonstration, as required. The scoring submittal data was also provided within the required 30-day timeframe.

## 3.6 DEMONSTRATOR'S FIELD PERSONNEL

Ben MCcallister Bart Hoestra Eric Tennyson 4 additonal site survey personnel

# 3.7 DEMONSTRATOR'S FIELD SURVEYING METHOD

Parsons set up grids in the desert extreme and surveyed them in a linear fashion. Parsons also collected data in a north to south direction.

# 3.8 SUMMARY OF DAILY LOGS

Daily logs capture all field activities during this demonstration and are located in Appendix D. Activities pertinent to this specific demonstration are indicated in highlighted text.

#### SECTION 4. TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS

## 4.1 ROC CURVES USING ALL ORDNANCE CATEGORIES

(Not applicable for this technology)

# 4.2 ROC CURVES USING ORDNANCE LARGER THAN 20 MM

(Not applicable for this technology)

#### 4.3 PERFORMANCE SUMMARIES

Results for the Desert Extreme test, broken out by size, depth and nonstandard ordnance, are presented in Tables 5a and 5b (for cost results, see section 5). Results by size and depth include both standard and nonstandard ordnance. The results by size show how well the demonstrator did at detecting/discriminating ordnance of a certain caliber range (see app A for size definitions). The results are relative to the number of ordnances emplaced. Depth is measured from the geometric center of anomalies.

The RESPONSE STAGE results are derived from the list of anomalies above the demonstrator-provided noise level. The results for the DISCRIMINATION STAGE are derived from the demonstrator's recommended threshold for optimizing UXO field cleanup by minimizing false digs and maximizing ordnance recovery. The lower 90-percent confidence limit on probability of detection and probability of false positive was calculated assuming that the number of detections and false positives are binomially distributed random variables. All results in Tables 5a and 5b have been rounded to protect the ground truth. However, lower confidence limits were calculated using actual results.

The overall ground truth is composed of ferrous and non-ferrous anomalies. Due to limitations of the magnetometer, the non-ferrous items cannot be detected. Therefore, the summary presented in Table 5a exhibits results based on the subset of the ground truth that is solely the ferrous anomalies. Table 5b exhibits results based on the full ground truth. All other tables presented in this section are based on scoring against the ferrous only ground truth. The response stage noise level and recommended discrimination stage threshold values are provided by the demonstrator.

|                                | By Size |          |                   |        |        | By Size |       | By Depth, m |      |
|--------------------------------|---------|----------|-------------------|--------|--------|---------|-------|-------------|------|
| Metric                         | Overall | Standard | Nonstandard       | Small  | Medium | Large   | < 0.3 | 0.3 to <1   | >= 1 |
|                                |         |          | <b>RESPONSE S</b> | TAGE   |        |         |       |             |      |
| P <sub>d</sub>                 | 0.45    | 0.50     | 0.40              | 0.45   | 0.45   | 0.60    | 0.60  | 0.30        | 0.20 |
| P <sub>d</sub> Low 90% Conf    | 0.41    | 0.43     | 0.31              | 0.34   | 0.34   | 0.43    | 0.49  | 0.22        | 0.02 |
| Pd Upper 90% Conf              | 0.54    | 0.60     | 0.52              | 0.54   | 0.56   | 0.77    | 0.66  | 0.44        | 0.58 |
| P <sub>fp</sub>                | 0.75    | -        | -                 | -      | -      | -       | 0.80  | 0.65        | 0.00 |
| Pfp Low 90% Conf               | 0.72    | -        | -                 | -      | -      | -       | 0.76  | 0.54        | 0.00 |
| P <sub>fp</sub> Upper 90% Conf | 0.79    | -        |                   | -      | -      | -       | 0.84  | 0.71        | 0.90 |
| BAR                            | 0.20    | -        | -                 | -      | -      | -       | -     | -           | -    |
|                                |         |          | DISCRIMINATIO     | N STAG | E      |         |       |             |      |
| P <sub>d</sub>                 | N/A     | N/A      | N/A               | N/A    | N/A    | N/A     | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |
| Pd Low 90% Conf                | N/A     | N/A      | N/A               | N/A    | N/A    | N/A     | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |
| P <sub>d</sub> Upper 90% Conf  | N/A     | N/A      | N/A               | N/A    | N/A    | N/A     | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |
| P <sub>fp</sub>                | N/A     | -        |                   | -      | -      | -       | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |
| P <sub>fp</sub> Low 90% Conf   | N/A     | -        | -                 | -      | -      | -       | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |
| P <sub>fp</sub> Upper 90% Conf | N/A     | -        | -                 | -      | -      | -       | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |
| BAR                            | N/A     | -        | -                 | -      | -      | -       | -     | -           | -    |

# TABLE 5a. SUMMARY OF DESERT EXTREME RESULTS (FERROUS ONLY)

Response Stage Noise Level: 0.50

Recommended Discrimination Stage Threshold: 0.50

|                                |         |          |               |         | By Size  |       |       | By Depth, m |      |  |
|--------------------------------|---------|----------|---------------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-------------|------|--|
| Metric                         | Overall | Standard | Nonstandard   | Small   | Medium   | Large | < 0.3 | 0.3 to <1   | >=1  |  |
|                                |         |          | RESPONSE S    | STAGE   | <b>.</b> |       | -     |             |      |  |
| P <sub>d</sub>                 | 0.40    | 0.40     | 0.40          | 0.30    | 0.45     | 0.60  | 0.45  | 0.30        | 0.20 |  |
| Pd Low 90% Conf                | 0.34    | 0.34     | 0.28          | 0.24    | 0.34     | 0.43  | 0.39  | 0.20        | 0.02 |  |
| P <sub>d</sub> Upper 90% Conf  | 0.46    | 0.50     | 0.48          | 0.40    | 0.56     | 0.77  | 0.55  | 0.40        | 0.58 |  |
| P <sub>fp</sub>                | 0.75    | -        | •             | -       | -        | -     | 0.80  | 0.65        | 0.00 |  |
| Pfp Low 90% Conf               | 0.72    | -        |               | -       | -        | -     | 0.76  | 0.54        | 0.00 |  |
| Pfp Upper 90% Conf             | 0.79    | -        | -             | -       | -        | -     | 0.84  | 0.71        | 0.90 |  |
| BAR                            | 0.20    |          | -             | -       | -        | -     | -     | -           | -    |  |
|                                |         |          | DISCRIMINATIO | ON STAG | E        |       |       |             |      |  |
| P <sub>d</sub>                 | N/A     | N/A      | N/A           | N/A     | N/A      | N/A   | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |  |
| Pd Low 90% Conf                | N/A     | N/A      | N/A           | N/A     | N/A      | N/A   | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |  |
| Pd Upper 90% Conf              | N/A     | N/A      | N/A           | N/A     | N/A      | N/A   | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |  |
| P <sub>fp</sub>                | N/A     | -        | ••            | -       | -        | -     | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |  |
| P <sub>fp</sub> Low 90% Conf   | N/A     | -        | •             | -       | -        | -     | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |  |
| P <sub>fp</sub> Upper 90% Conf | N/A     | -        | •             | -       | -        | -     | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |  |
| BAR                            | N/A     | -        | ~             | -       | -        | -     | -     | -           | -    |  |

# TABLE 5b.SUMMARY OF DESERT EXTREME RESULTS<br/>(FULL GROUND TRUTH)

Response Stage Noise Level: 0.50 Recommended Discrimination Stage Threshold 0.50

Note: The recommended discrimination stage threshold values are provided by the demonstrator. No discrimination algorithm was applied. Therefore, the discrimination stage results are not applicable.

#### 4.4 EFFICIENCY, REJECTION RATES, AND TYPE CLASSIFICATION

Due to technical limitations of the system used for this demonstration, no attempt was made to discriminate. Therefore, the following tables presented in this section are not applicable.

Efficiency and rejection rates are calculated to quantify the discrimination ability at specific points of interest on the ROC curve: (1) at the point where no decrease in  $P_d$  is suffered (i.e., the efficiency is by definition equal to one) and (2) at the operator selected threshold. These values are reported in Table 6.

|                       | Efficiency (E) | False Positive<br>Rejection Rate | Background Alarm<br>Rejection Rate |
|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| At Operating Point    | N/A            | N/A                              | N/A                                |
| With No Loss of $P_d$ | N/A            | N/A                              | N/A                                |

# TABLE 6. EFFICIENCY AND REJECTION RATES

At the demonstrator's recommended setting, the ordnance items that were detected and correctly discriminated were further scored on whether their correct type could be identified (table 7). Correct type examples include "20-mm projectile, 105-mm HEAT Projectile, and 2.75-inch Rocket". A list of the standard type declaration required for each ordnance item was provided to demonstrators prior to testing. For example, the standard type for the three example items are 20mmP, 105H, and 2.75in, respectively.

# TABLE 7. CORRECT TYPE CLASSIFICATION OF TARGETS CORRECTLY DISCRIMINATED AS UXO

| Size    | Percentage Correct |
|---------|--------------------|
| Small   | N/A                |
| Medium  | N/A                |
| Large   | N/A                |
| Overall | N/A                |

#### 4.5 LOCATION ACCURACY

The mean location error and standard deviations appear in Table 8. These calculations are based on average missed depth for ordnance correctly identified in the discrimination stage. Depths are measured from the closest point of the ordnance to the surface. For the Blind Grid, only depth errors are calculated, since (X, Y) positions are known to be the centers of each grid square.

|          | Mean  | Standard Deviation |
|----------|-------|--------------------|
| Northing | -0.02 | 0.14               |
| Easting  | -0.03 | 0.14               |
| Depth    | N/A   | N/A                |

# TABLE 8. MEAN LOCATION ERROR AND<br/>STANDARD DEVIATION (M)

Note: Demonstrator did not attempt to declare depth of detection.

.

#### SECTION 5. ON-SITE LABOR COSTS

A standardized estimate for labor costs associated with this effort was calculated as follows: the first person at the test site was designated "supervisor", the second person was designated "data analyst", and the third and following personnel were considered "field support". Standardized hourly labor rates were charged by title: supervisor at \$95.00/hour, data analyst at \$57.00/hour, and field support at \$28.50/hour.

Government representatives monitored on-site activity. All on-site activities were grouped into one of ten categories: initial setup/mobilization, daily setup/stop, calibration, collecting data, downtime due to break/lunch, downtime due to equipment failure, downtime due to equipment/data checks or maintenance, downtime due to weather, downtime due to demonstration site issue, or demobilization. See Appendix D for the daily activity log. See section 3.4 for a summary of field activities.

The standardized cost estimate associated with the labor needed to perform the field activities is presented in Table 9. Note that calibration time includes time spent in the Calibration Lanes as well as field calibrations. "Site survey time" includes daily setup/stop time, collecting data, breaks/lunch, downtime due to equipment/data checks or maintenance, downtime due to failure, and downtime due to weather.

|               | No. People | Hourly Wage   | Hours | Cost       |
|---------------|------------|---------------|-------|------------|
|               |            | Initial Setup |       | E          |
| Supervisor    | 1          | \$95.00       | 0.50  | \$47.50    |
| Data Analyst  | 1          | 57.00         | 0.50  | 28.50      |
| Field Support | 4          | 28.50         | 0.50  | 57.00      |
| SubTotal      |            |               |       | \$133.00   |
|               |            | Calibration   |       |            |
| Supervisor    | 1          | \$95.00       | 0.33  | \$31.35    |
| Data Analyst  | 1          | 57.00         | 0.33  | 18.81      |
| Field Support | 4          | 28.50         | 0.33  | 37.62      |
| SubTotal      |            |               |       | \$87.78    |
|               |            | Site Survey   |       |            |
| Supervisor    | 1          | \$95.00       | 6.05  | \$547.75   |
| Data Analyst  | 1          | 57.00         | 6.05  | 344.85     |
| Field Support | 5          | 28.50         | 6.05  | 862.13     |
| SubTotal      |            |               |       | \$1,754.73 |

#### TABLE 9.ON-SITE LABOR COSTS

See notes at end of table.

# TABLE 9 (CONT'D)

|               | No. People | Hourly Wage    | Hours   | Cost       |
|---------------|------------|----------------|---------|------------|
|               | ]          | Demobilization |         |            |
| Supervisor    | 1          | \$95.00        | 0.83    | \$78.85    |
| Data Analyst  | 1          | 57.00          | 0.83    | 47.31      |
| Field Support | 5          | 28.50          | 0.83    | 118.28     |
| Subtotal      |            |                | <u></u> | \$244.44   |
| Total         |            |                | <u></u> | \$2,219.95 |

Notes: Calibration time includes time spent in the Calibration Lanes as well as calibration before each data run.

Site Survey time includes daily setup/stop time, collecting data, breaks/lunch, downtime due to system maintenance, failure, and weather.

# SECTION 6. COMPARISON OF RESULTS TO OPEN FIELD DEMONSTRATION (BASED ON FERROUS ONLY GROUND TRUTH)

# 6.1 SUMMARY OF RESULTS FROM OPEN FIELD DEMONSTRATION

Table 10 shows the results from the Open Field survey conducted prior to surveying the Desert Extreme during the same site visit in October of 2004. Due to the system utilizing magnetometer type sensors, all results presented in the following section have been based on performance scoring against the ferrous only ground truth anomalies. For more details on the Open Field survey results reference section 2.1.6.

|                                |         |          |               |        | By Size |       |       | By Depth, 1 | n    |
|--------------------------------|---------|----------|---------------|--------|---------|-------|-------|-------------|------|
| Metric                         | Overall | Standard | Nonstandard   | Small  | Medium  | Large | < 0.3 | 0.3 to <1   | >= 1 |
|                                |         |          | RESPONSE ST   | ГAGE   |         |       |       |             |      |
| P <sub>d</sub>                 | 0.60    | 0.55     | 0.65          | 0.50   | 0.65    | 0.75  | 0.65  | 0.65        | 0.25 |
| Pd Low 90% Conf                | 0.57    | 0.50     | 0.62          | 0.46   | 0.58    | 0.69  | 0.59  | 0.60        | 0.16 |
| P <sub>d</sub> Upper 90% Conf  | 0.63    | 0.59     | 0.71          | 0.56   | 0.69    | 0.81  | 0.67  | 0.71        | 0.36 |
| P <sub>fp</sub>                | 0.65    | -        | -             | -      | -       | -     | 0.70  | 0.60        | 0.00 |
| Pfp Low 90% Conf               | 0.66    | -        | -             | -      | -       | -     | 0.68  | 0.59        | 0.00 |
| P <sub>fp</sub> Upper 90% Conf | 0.69    | -        | -             | -      | -       | -     | 0.72  | 0.65        | 0.21 |
| BAR                            | 0.50    | -        | -             | -      | -       | -     | -     | -           | -    |
|                                |         |          | DISCRIMINATIO | N STAG | E       |       |       |             |      |
| P <sub>d</sub>                 | N/A     | N/A      | N/A           | N/A    | N/A     | N/A   | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |
| Pd Low 90% Conf                | N/A     | N/A      | N/A           | N/A    | N/A     | N/A   | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |
| P <sub>d</sub> Upper 90% Conf  | N/A     | N/A      | N/A           | N/A    | N/A     | N/A   | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |
| P <sub>fp</sub>                | N/A     | -        | -             | -      | -       | -     | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |
| Pfp Low 90% Conf               | N/A     | -        | -             | -      | -       | -     | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |
| P <sub>fp</sub> Upper 90% Conf | N/A     | -        | -             | -      | -       | -     | N/A   | N/A         | N/A  |
| BAR                            | N/A     | -        | -             | -      | -       | -     | -     | -           | -    |

# TABLE 10.SUMMARY OF OPEN FIELD RESULTS FOR THEMAG SCHONSTEDT (FERROUS ONLY)

#### 6.2 COMPARISON OF ROC CURVES USING ALL ORDNANCE CATEGORIES

(Not applicable for this technology)

# 6.3 COMPARISON OF ROC CURVES USING ORDNANCE LARGER THAN 20 MM

(Not applicable for this technology)

## 6.4 STATISTICAL COMPARISONS

Statistical Chi-square significance tests were used to compare results between the Open Field and Desert Extreme scenarios. The intent of the comparison is to determine if the feature introduced in each scenario has a degrading effect on the performance of the sensor system. However, any modifications in the UXO sensor system during the test, like changes in the processing or changes in the selection of the operating threshold, will also contribute to performance differences.

The Chi-square test for comparison between ratios was used at a significance level of 0.05 to compare Open Field to Desert Extreme with regard to  $P_d^{res}$ ,  $P_d^{disc}$ ,  $P_{fp}^{res}$  and  $P_{fp}^{disc}$ , Efficiency and Rejection Rate. These results are presented in Table 11. A detailed explanation and example of the Chi-square application is located in Appendix A.

| Metric                          | Small           | Medium          | Large           | Overall         |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| P <sub>d</sub> <sup>res</sup>   | Not Significant | Not Significant | Not Significant | Significant     |
| P <sub>d</sub> <sup>disc</sup>  | N/A             | N/A             | N/A             | N/A             |
| P <sub>fp</sub> <sup>res</sup>  | Not Significant | Not Significant | Not Significant | Not Significant |
| P <sub>fp</sub> <sup>disc</sup> | -               | -               | -               | N/A             |
| Efficiency                      | -               | -               | -               | N/A             |
| Rejection rate                  | -               | <b>.</b> .      | -               | N/A             |

# TABLE 11. CHI-SQUARE RESULTS – OPEN FIELD VERSUS DESERT EXTREME

#### SECTION 7. APPENDIXES

## APPENDIX A. TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

#### GENERAL DEFINITIONS

Anomaly: Location of a system response deemed to warrant further investigation by the demonstrator for consideration as an emplaced ordnance item.

Detection: An anomaly location that is within R<sub>halo</sub> of an emplaced ordnance item.

Emplaced Ordnance: An ordnance item buried by the government at a specified location in the test site.

Emplaced Clutter: A clutter item (i.e., non-ordnance item) buried by the government at a specified location in the test site.

 $R_{halo}$ : A pre-determined radius about the periphery of an emplaced item (clutter or ordnance) within which a location identified by the demonstrator as being of interest is considered to be a response from that item. If multiple declarations lie within  $R_{halo}$  of any item (clutter or ordnance), the declaration with the highest signal output within the  $R_{halo}$  will be utilized. For the purpose of this program, a circular halo 0.5 meters in radius will be placed around the center of the object for all clutter and ordnance items less than 0.6 meters in length. When ordnance items are longer than 0.6 meters, the halo becomes an ellipse where the minor axis remains 1 meter and the major axis is equal to the length of the ordnance plus 1 meter.

Small Ordnance: Caliber of ordnance less than or equal to 40 mm (includes 20-mm projectile, 40-mm projectile, submunitions BLU-26, BLU-63, and M42).

Medium Ordnance: Caliber of ordnance greater than 40 mm and less than or equal to 81 mm (includes 57-mm projectile, 60-mm mortar, 2.75 in. Rocket, MK118 Rockeye, 81-mm mortar).

Large Ordnance: Caliber of ordnance greater than 81 mm (includes 105-mm HEAT, 105-mm projectile, 155-mm projectile, 500-pound bomb).

Shallow: Items buried less than 0.3 meter below ground surface.

Medium: Items buried greater than or equal to 0.3 meter and less than 1 meter below ground surface.

Deep: Items buried greater than or equal to 1 meter below ground surface.

Response Stage Noise Level: The level that represents the point below which anomalies are not considered detectable. Demonstrators are required to provide the recommended noise level for the Blind Grid test area.

Discrimination Stage Threshold: The demonstrator selected threshold level that they believe provides optimum performance of the system by retaining all detectable ordnance and rejecting the maximum amount of clutter. This level defines the subset of anomalies the demonstrator would recommend digging based on discrimination.

Binomially Distributed Random Variable: A random variable of the type which has only two possible outcomes, say success and failure, is repeated for n independent trials with the probability p of success and the probability 1-p of failure being the same for each trial. The number of successes x observed in the n trials is an estimate of p and is considered to be a binomially distributed random variable.

#### **RESPONSE AND DISCRIMINATION STAGE DATA**

The scoring of the demonstrator's performance is conducted in two stages. These two stages are termed the RESPONSE STAGE and DISCRIMINATION STAGE. For both stages, the probability of detection  $(P_d)$  and the false alarms are reported as receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. False alarms are divided into those anomalies that correspond to emplaced clutter items, measuring the probability of false positive  $(P_{fp})$  and those that do not correspond to any known item, termed background alarms.

The RESPONSE STAGE scoring evaluates the ability of the system to detect emplaced targets without regard to ability to discriminate ordnance from other anomalies. For the RESPONSE STAGE, the demonstrator provides the scoring committee with the location and signal strength of all anomalies that the demonstrator has deemed sufficient to warrant further investigation and/or processing as potential emplaced ordnance items. This list is generated with minimal processing (e.g., this list will include all signals above the system noise threshold). As such, it represents the most inclusive list of anomalies.

The DISCRIMINATION STAGE evaluates the demonstrator's ability to correctly identify ordnance as such, and to reject clutter. For the same locations as in the RESPONSE STAGE anomaly list, the DISCRIMINATION STAGE list contains the output of the algorithms applied in the discrimination-stage processing. This list is prioritized based on the demonstrator's determination that an anomaly location is likely to contain ordnance. Thus, higher output values are indicative of higher confidence that an ordnance item is present at the specified location. For electronic signal processing, priority ranking is based on algorithm output. For other systems, priority ranking is based on human judgment. The demonstrator also selects the threshold that the demonstrator believes will provide "optimum" system performance, (i.e., that retains all the detected ordnance and rejects the maximum amount of clutter).

Note: The two lists provided by the demonstrator contain identical numbers of potential target locations. They differ only in the priority ranking of the declarations.

#### **RESPONSE STAGE DEFINITIONS**

Response Stage Probability of Detection  $(P_d^{res})$ :  $P_d^{res} = (No. of response-stage detections)/$  (No. of emplaced ordnance in the test site).

Response Stage False Positive (fp<sup>res</sup>): An anomaly location that is within  $R_{halo}$  of an emplaced clutter item.

Response Stage Probability of False Positive  $(P_{fp}^{res})$ :  $P_{fp}^{res} = (No. of response-stage false positives)/(No. of emplaced clutter items).$ 

Response Stage Background Alarm (ba<sup>res</sup>): An anomaly in a blind grid cell that contains neither emplaced ordnance nor an emplaced clutter item. An anomaly location in the open field or scenarios that is outside  $R_{halo}$  of any emplaced ordnance or emplaced clutter item.

Response Stage Probability of Background Alarm ( $P_{ba}^{res}$ ): Blind Grid only:  $P_{ba}^{res} =$  (No. of response-stage background alarms)/(No. of empty grid locations).

Response Stage Background Alarm Rate (BAR<sup>res</sup>): Open Field only:  $BAR^{res} = (No. of response-stage background alarms)/(arbitrary constant).$ 

Note that the quantities  $P_d^{res}$ ,  $P_{fp}^{res}$ ,  $P_{ba}^{res}$ , and BAR<sup>res</sup> are functions of t<sup>res</sup>, the threshold applied to the response-stage signal strength. These quantities can therefore be written as  $P_d^{res}(t^{res})$ ,  $P_{fp}^{res}(t^{res})$ ,  $P_{ba}^{res}(t^{res})$ , and BAR<sup>res</sup>(t<sup>res</sup>).

#### DISCRIMINATION STAGE DEFINITIONS

Discrimination: The application of a signal processing algorithm or human judgment to response-stage data that discriminates ordnance from clutter. Discrimination should identify anomalies that the demonstrator has high confidence correspond to ordnance, as well as those that the demonstrator has high confidence correspond to nonordnance or background returns. The former should be ranked with highest priority and the latter with lowest.

Discrimination Stage Probability of Detection  $(P_d^{disc})$ :  $P_d^{disc} = (No. of discrimination-stage detections)/(No. of emplaced ordnance in the test site).$ 

Discrimination Stage False Positive ( $fp^{disc}$ ): An anomaly location that is within  $R_{halo}$  of an emplaced clutter item.

Discrimination Stage Probability of False Positive ( $P_{fp}^{disc}$ ):  $P_{fp}^{disc} =$  (No. of discrimination stage false positives)/(No. of emplaced clutter items).

Discrimination Stage Background Alarm ( $ba^{disc}$ ): An anomaly in a blind grid cell that contains neither emplaced ordnance nor an emplaced clutter item. An anomaly location in the open field or scenarios that is outside  $R_{halo}$  of any emplaced ordnance or emplaced clutter item.

Discrimination Stage Probability of Background Alarm ( $P_{ba}^{disc}$ ):  $P_{ba}^{disc} = (No. of discrimination-stage background alarms)/(No. of empty grid locations).$ 

Discrimination Stage Background Alarm Rate (BAR<sup>disc</sup>): BAR<sup>disc</sup> = (No. of discrimination-stage background alarms)/(arbitrary constant).

Note that the quantities  $P_d^{disc}$ ,  $P_{fp}^{disc}$ ,  $P_{ba}^{disc}$ , and BAR<sup>disc</sup> are functions of t<sup>disc</sup>, the threshold applied to the discrimination-stage signal strength. These quantities can therefore be written as  $P_d^{disc}(t^{disc})$ ,  $P_{fp}^{disc}(t^{disc})$ ,  $P_{ba}^{disc}(t^{disc})$ , and BAR<sup>disc</sup>(t^{disc}).

#### **RECEIVER-OPERATING CHARACERISTIC (ROC) CURVES**

ROC curves at both the response and discrimination stages can be constructed based on the above definitions. The ROC curves plot the relationship between  $P_d$  versus  $P_{fp}$  and  $P_d$  versus BAR or  $P_{ba}$  as the threshold applied to the signal strength is varied from its minimum ( $t_{min}$ ) to its maximum ( $t_{max}$ ) value.<sup>1</sup> Figure A-1 shows how  $P_d$  versus  $P_{fp}$  and  $P_d$  versus BAR are combined into ROC curves. Note that the "res" and "disc" superscripts have been suppressed from all the variables for clarity.



Figure A-1. ROC curves for open field testing. Each curve applies to both the response and discrimination stages.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Strictly speaking, ROC curves plot the  $P_d$  versus  $P_{ba}$  over a pre-determined and fixed number of detection opportunities (some of the opportunities are located over ordnance and others are located over clutter or blank spots). In an open field scenario, each system suppresses its signal strength reports until some bare-minimum signal response is received by the system. Consequently, the open field ROC curves do not have information from low signal-output locations, and, furthermore, different contractors report their signals over a different set of locations on the ground. These ROC curves are thus not true to the strict definition of ROC curves as defined in textbooks on detection theory. Note, however, that the ROC curves obtained in the Blind Grid test sites are true ROC curves.

#### METRICS TO CHARACTERIZE THE DISCRIMINATION STAGE

The demonstrator is also scored on efficiency and rejection ratio, which measure the effectiveness of the discrimination stage processing. The goal of discrimination is to retain the greatest number of ordnance detections from the anomaly list, while rejecting the maximum number of anomalies arising from nonordnance items. The efficiency measures the amount of detected ordnance retained by the discrimination, while the rejection ratio measures the fraction of false alarms rejected. Both measures are defined relative to the entire response list, i.e., the maximum ordnance detectable by the sensor and its accompanying false positive rate or background alarm rate.

Efficiency (E):  $E = P_d^{disc}(t^{disc})/P_d^{res}(t_{min}^{res})$ ; Measures (at a threshold of interest), the degree to which the maximum theoretical detection performance of the sensor system (as determined by the response stage tmin) is preserved after application of discrimination techniques. Efficiency is a number between 0 and 1. An efficiency of 1 implies that all of the ordnance initially detected in the response stage was retained at the specified threshold in the discrimination stage,  $t^{disc}$ .

False Positive Rejection Rate ( $R_{fp}$ ):  $R_{fp} = 1 - [P_{fp}^{disc}(t^{disc})/P_{fp}^{res}(t_{min}^{res})]$ ; Measures (at a threshold of interest), the degree to which the sensor system's false positive performance is improved over the maximum false positive performance (as determined by the response stage tmin). The rejection rate is a number between 0 and 1. A rejection rate of 1 implies that all emplaced clutter initially detected in the response stage were correctly rejected at the specified threshold in the discrimination stage.

Background Alarm Rejection Rate (R<sub>ba</sub>):

Blind Grid:  $R_{ba} = 1 - [P_{ba}^{disc}(t^{disc})/P_{ba}^{res}(t_{min}^{res})].$ Open Field:  $R_{ba} = 1 - [BAR^{disc}(t^{disc})/BAR^{res}(t_{min}^{res})]).$ 

Measures the degree to which the discrimination stage correctly rejects background alarms initially detected in the response stage. The rejection rate is a number between 0 and 1. A rejection rate of 1 implies that all background alarms initially detected in the response stage were rejected at the specified threshold in the discrimination stage.

#### CHI-SQUARE COMPARISON EXPLANATION:

The Chi-square test for differences in probabilities (or  $2 \times 2$  contingency table) is used to analyze two samples drawn from two different populations to see if both populations have the same or different proportions of elements in a certain category. More specifically, two random samples are drawn, one from each population, to test the null hypothesis that the probability of event A (some specified event) is the same for both populations (ref 3).

A 2 x 2 contingency table is used in the Standardized UXO Technology Demonstration Site Program to determine if there is reason to believe that the proportion of ordnance correctly detected/discriminated by demonstrator X's system is significantly degraded by the more challenging terrain feature introduced. The test statistic of the 2 x 2 contingency table is the Chi-square distribution with one degree of freedom. Since an association between the more challenging terrain feature and relatively degraded performance is sought, a one-sided test is performed. A significance level of 0.05 is chosen which sets a critical decision limit of 2.71 from the Chi-square distribution with one degree of freedom. It is a critical decision limit because if the test statistic calculated from the data exceeds this value, the two proportions tested will be considered significantly different. If the test statistic calculated from the data is less than this value, the two proportions tested will be considered not significantly different.

An exception must be applied when either a 0 or 100 percent success rate occurs in the sample data. The Chi-square test cannot be used in these instances. Instead, Fischer's test is used and the critical decision limit for one-sided tests is the chosen significance level, which in this case is 0.05. With Fischer's test, if the test statistic is less than the critical value, the proportions are considered to be significantly different.

Standardized UXO Technology Demonstration Site examples, where blind grid results are compared to those from the open field and open field results are compared to those from one of the scenarios, follow. It should be noted that a significant result does not prove a cause and effect relationship exists between the two populations of interest; however, it does serve as a tool to indicate that one data set has experienced a degradation in system performance at a large enough level than can be accounted for merely by chance or random variation. Note also that a result that is not significant indicates that there is not enough evidence to declare that anything more than chance or random variation within the same population is at work between the two data sets being compared.

Demonstrator X achieves the following overall results after surveying each of the three progressively more difficult areas using the same system (results indicate the number of ordnance detected divided by the number of ordnance emplaced):

| Blind Grid                        | Open Field | Moguls      |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| $P_d^{res} 100/100 = 1.0$         | 8/10 = .80 | 20/33 = .61 |
| $P_d^{\text{disc}} 80/100 = 0.80$ | 6/10 = .60 | 8/33 = .24  |

 $P_d^{res}$ : BLIND GRID versus OPEN FIELD. Using the example data above to compare probabilities of detection in the response stage, all 100 ordnance out of 100 emplaced ordnance items were detected in the blind grid while 8 ordnance out of 10 emplaced were detected in the open field. Fischer's test must be used since a 100 percent success rate occurs in the data. Fischer's test uses the four input values to calculate a test statistic of 0.0075 that is compared against the critical value of 0.05. Since the test statistic is less than the critical value, the smaller response stage detection rate (0.80) is considered to be significantly less at the 0.05 level of significance. While a significant result does not prove a cause and effect relationship exists between the change in survey area and degradation in performance, it does indicate that the detection ability of demonstrator X's system seems to have been degraded in the open field relative to results from the blind grid using the same system.  $P_d^{disc}$ : BLIND GRID versus OPEN FIELD. Using the example data above to compare probabilities of detection in the discrimination stage, 80 out of 100 emplaced ordnance items were correctly discriminated as ordnance in blind grid testing while 6 ordnance out of 10 emplaced were correctly discriminated as such in open field-testing. Those four values are used to calculate a test statistic of 1.12. Since the test statistic is less than the critical value of 2.71, the two discrimination stage detection rates are considered to be not significantly different at the 0.05 level of significance.

 $P_d^{res}$ : OPEN FIELD versus MOGULS. Using the example data above to compare probabilities of detection in the response stage, 8 out of 10 and 20 out of 33 are used to calculate a test statistic of 0.56. Since the test statistic is less than the critical value of 2.71, the two response stage detection rates are considered to be not significantly different at the 0.05 level of significance.

 $P_d^{disc}$ : OPEN FIELD versus MOGULS. Using the example data above to compare probabilities of detection in the discrimination stage, 6 out of 10 and 8 out of 33 are used to calculate a test statistic of 2.98. Since the test statistic is greater than the critical value of 2.71, the smaller discrimination stage detection rate is considered to be significantly less at the 0.05 level of significance. While a significant result does not prove a cause and effect relationship exists between the change in survey area and degradation in performance, it does indicate that the ability of demonstrator X to correctly discriminate seems to have been degraded by the mogul terrain relative to results from the flat open field using the same system.

# APPENDIX B. DAILY WEATHER LOGS

# TABLE B-1. WEATHER LOG

| 12 October 2004 |                |                     |  |  |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Time            | Temperature °C | Precipitation (in.) |  |  |
| 07:00           | 15.7           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 08:00           | 20.9           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 09:00           | 23.0           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 10:00           | 25.1           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 11:00           | 26.0           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 12:00           | 28.6           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 13:00           | 30.2           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 14:00           | 30.6           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 15:00           | 31.0           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 16:00           | 31.8           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 17:00           | 30.6           | 0.00                |  |  |

| 13 October 2004 |                |                     |  |  |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Time            | Temperature °C | Precipitation (in.) |  |  |
| 07:00           | 15.8           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 08:00           | 18.8           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 09:00           | 22.3           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 10:00           | 23.5           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 11:00           | 26.0           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 12:00           | 28.5           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 13:00           | 29.9           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 14:00           | 30.4           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 15:00           | 33.0           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 16:00           | 33.4           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 17:00           | 31.4           | 0.00                |  |  |
| 14 October 2004 |                                     |      |  |  |  |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| Time            | Time Temperature °C Precipitation ( |      |  |  |  |
| 07:00           | 23.1                                | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 08:00           | 25.3                                | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 09:00           | 28.4                                | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 10:00           | 29.9                                | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 11:00           | 30.9                                | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 12:00           | 31.8                                | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 13:00           | 32.4                                | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 14:00           | 32.8                                | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 15:00           | 33.4                                | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 16:00           | 33.4                                | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 17:00           | 33.3                                | 0.00 |  |  |  |

|       | 15 October 2004 |                     |  |  |  |
|-------|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Time  | Temperature °C  | Precipitation (in.) |  |  |  |
| 07:00 | 16.2            | 0.00                |  |  |  |
| 08:00 | 16.4            | 0.00                |  |  |  |
| 09:00 | 22.5            | 0.00                |  |  |  |
| 10:00 | 25.6            | 0.00                |  |  |  |
| 11:00 | 27.7            | 0.00                |  |  |  |
| 12:00 | 30.5            | 0.00                |  |  |  |
| 13:00 | 31.5            | 0.00                |  |  |  |
| 14:00 | 32.1            | 0.00                |  |  |  |
| 15:00 | 32.5            | 0.00                |  |  |  |
| 16:00 | 31.9            | 0.00                |  |  |  |
| 17:00 | 31.1            | 0.00                |  |  |  |

| 18 October 2004 |                       |      |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------|--|--|
| Time            | Time Temperature °C P |      |  |  |
| 07:00           | 17.7                  | 0.00 |  |  |
| 08:00           | 18.4                  | 0.00 |  |  |
| 09:00           | 21.0                  | 0.00 |  |  |
| 10:00           | 22.9                  | 0.00 |  |  |
| 11:00           | 24.3                  | 0.00 |  |  |
| 12:00           | 25.4                  | 0.00 |  |  |
| 13:00           | 25.7                  | 0.00 |  |  |
| 14:00           | 26.2                  | 0.00 |  |  |
| 15:00           | 26.2                  | 0.00 |  |  |
| 16:00           | 26.2                  | 0.00 |  |  |
| 17:00           | 25.9                  | 0.00 |  |  |

| 19 October 2004 |                                   |      |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------|--|--|
| Time            | Time Temperature °C Precipitation |      |  |  |
| 07:00           | 15.5                              | 0.00 |  |  |
| 08:00           | 18.0                              | 0.00 |  |  |
| 09:00           | 20.6                              | 0.00 |  |  |
| 10:00           | 21.8                              | 0.00 |  |  |
| 11:00           | 24.2                              | 0.00 |  |  |
| 12:00           | 24.6                              | 0.00 |  |  |
| 13:00           | 25.6                              | 0.00 |  |  |
| 14:00           | 25.3                              | 0.00 |  |  |
| 15:00           | 26.2                              | 0.00 |  |  |
| 16:00           | 26.1                              | 0.00 |  |  |
| 17:00           | 25.2                              | 0.00 |  |  |

|       | 20 October 2004                       |      |  |  |  |
|-------|---------------------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| Time  | Fime Temperature °C Precipitation (in |      |  |  |  |
| 07:00 | 18.2                                  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 08:00 | 19.8                                  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 09:00 | 22.4                                  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 10:00 | 23.6                                  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 11:00 | 25.0                                  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 12:00 | 25.5                                  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 13:00 | 26.3                                  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 14:00 | 26.5                                  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 15:00 | 25.8                                  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 16:00 | 25.5                                  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 17:00 | 23.9                                  | 0.00 |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX C. SOIL MOISTURE

### Demonstrator: PARSONS

### MAG & FLAG

Date: 12 October 2004 Times: 0800 hours, 1300 hours

| Probe Location:     | Layer, in. | AM Reading, % | PM Reading, % |
|---------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| Calibration Area    | 0 to 6     | 1.5           | 1.8           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.2           | 2.2           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.7           | 3.7           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 3.6           | 3.6           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 4.1           | 4.1           |
| Mogul Area          | 0 to 6     | 1.6           | 1.6           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.0           | 2.0           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.8           | 3.8           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 4.0           | 4.0           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 4.0           | 4.0           |
| Desert Extreme Area | 0 to 6     | 1.6           | 1.6           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.0           | 2.0           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.3           | 3.3           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 3.7           | 3.7           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 3.9           | 3.9           |

Date: 13 October 2004 Times: 0640 hours, 1330 hours

| Probe Location:     | Layer, in. | AM Reading, % | PM Reading, % |
|---------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| Calibration Area    | 0 to 6     | 1.6           | 1.6           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.2           | 2.2           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.7           | 3.7           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 3.6           | 3.6           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 4.1           | 4.1           |
| Mogul Area          | 0 to 6     | 1.7           | 1.7           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.0           | 2.0           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.7           | 3.7           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 3.9           | 3.9           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 4.0           | 4.0           |
| Desert Extreme Area | 0 to 6     | 1.6           | 1.6           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.0           | 2.0           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.4           | 3.4           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 3.9           | 3.9           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 4.1           | 4.1           |

Date: 14 October 2004 Times: 0700 hours, 1230 hours

| Probe Location:     | Layer, in. | AM Reading, % | PM Reading, % |
|---------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| Calibration Area    | 0 to 6     | 1.6           | 1.6           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.2           | 2.2           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.7           | 3.7           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 3.6           | 3.6           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 4.1           | 4.1           |
| Mogul Area          | 0 to 6     | 1.7           | 1.7           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.0           | 2.0           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.6           | 3.6           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 3.9           | 3.9           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 4.0           | 4.0           |
| Desert Extreme Area | 0 to 6     | 1.6           | 1.6           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.0           | 2.0           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.4           | 3.4           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 3.9           | 3.9           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 4.1           | 4.1           |

Date: 15 October 2004 Times: 0630 hours, 1130 hours

| Probe Location:     | Layer, in. | AM Reading, % | PM Reading, % |
|---------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| Calibration Area    | 0 to 6     | 1.6           | 1.6           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.2           | 2.2           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.7           | 3.7           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 3.6           | 3.6           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 4.1           | 4.1           |
| Mogul Area          | 0 to 6     | 1.7           | 1.7           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.0           | 2.0           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.6           | 3.6           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 3.9           | 3.9           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 4.0           | 4.0           |
| Desert Extreme Area | 0 to 6     | 1.6           | 1.6           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.0           | 2.0           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.4           | 3.4           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 3.9           | 3.9           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 4.1           | 4.1           |

Date: 18 October 2004 Times: 0700 hours, 1300 hours

| Probe Location:     | Layer, in. | AM Reading, % | PM Reading, % |
|---------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| Calibration Area    | 0 to 6     | 1.9           | 1.6           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.2           | 2.2           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.7           | 3.7           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 3.6           | 3.6           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 4.1           | 4.1           |
| Mogul Area          | 0 to 6     | 1.7           | 1.6           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.0           | 2.1           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.6           | 3.4           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 3.9           | 3.9           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 4.0           | 4.0           |
| Desert Extreme Area | 0 to 6     | 1.7           | 1.6           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.0           | 2.3           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.4           | 3.2           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 3.9           | 3.9           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 4.1           | 4.0           |

Date: 19 October 2004 Times: 0630 hours, 1300 hours

| Probe Location:     | Layer, in. | AM Reading, % | PM Reading, % |
|---------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| Calibration Area    | 0 to 6     | 1.8           | 1.8           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.2           | 2.2           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.7           | 3.7           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 3.6           | 3.6           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 4.1           | 4.1           |
| Mogul Area          | 0 to 6     | 1.6           | 1.6           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.0           | 2.1           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.6           | 3.4           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 3.9           | 4.0           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 4.0           | 4.0           |
| Desert Extreme Area | 0 to 6     | 1.7           | 1.6           |
|                     | 6 to 12    | 2.0           | 1.8           |
|                     | 12 to 24   | 3.4           | 3.2           |
|                     | 24 to 36   | 3.9           | 3.9           |
|                     | 36 to 48   | 4.1           | 4.0           |

Date: 20 October 2004 Times: 0645 hours, NA

| Probe Location:     | Layer,   | AM Reading, % | PM Reading, % |
|---------------------|----------|---------------|---------------|
|                     | in.      |               |               |
| Calibration Area    | 0 to 6   | 1.8           | NA            |
|                     | 6 to 12  | 2.2           | NA            |
|                     | 12 to 24 | 3.7           | NA            |
|                     | 24 to 36 | 3.6           | NA            |
|                     | 36 to 48 | 4.1           | NA            |
| Mogul Area          | 0 to 6   | 1.6           | NA            |
| -                   | 6 to 12  | 2.0           | NA            |
|                     | 12 to 24 | 3.4           | NA            |
|                     | 24 to 36 | 3.9           | NA            |
|                     | 36 to 48 | 4.0           | NA            |
| Desert Extreme Area | 0 to 6   | 1.7           | NA            |
|                     | 6 to 12  | 2.0           | NA            |
|                     | 12 to 24 | 3.4           | NA            |
|                     | 24 to 36 | 3.9           | NA            |
|                     | 36 to 48 | 4.1           | NA            |

| ·                                | -1-              | 1   | 1  | 1  | 1   | r   |             | 1   | r   | r   | 11  |
|----------------------------------|------------------|---|--|--|---|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|
|                                  | FIEId Conditions | WARM                                      | WARM   | WARM   | HOT   | HOT   | HOT         | HOT   | HOT   | HOT   | НОТ   |
| Et al.                           | Field CO         | SUNNY                                     | ANNUS  | SUNNY  | SUNNY   | ANNUS   | YNNVS       | SUNNY   | YNNUS   | SUNNY   | SUNNY   |
| Do 410                           | rauern           | NA  | LINEAR   | LINEAR   | NA  | LINEAR  | NA          | LINEAR  | NA  | LINEAR  | NA  |
| Track<br>Method=Other<br>Evaluin |                  | NA  | NA   | NA   | NA  | CHIPS   | NA          | CHIPS   | NA  | CHIPS   | NA  |
| Track                            | MERION           | NA  | NA   | NA   | NA  | GPS   | NA          | GPS   | NA  | GPS   | NA  |
| Operational Status -<br>Comments |                  | SETUP/MOBILIZATION                        | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>NORTH TO SOUTH<br>TOTAL 344 HITS | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>NORTH TO SOUTH | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID A5 | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID A5 | LUNCH       | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID A5 | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID B5 | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID B5 | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID C5 |
| Onerational Status               | MAG & FLAG       | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION | COLLECT DATA   | COLLECT DATA                                       | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION         | COLLECT DATA  | BREAK/LUNCH | COLLECT DATA  | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION         | COLLECT DATA  | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION         |
| Duration,<br>min                 |                  | 30  | 20   | 45   | 35  | 105   | 65          | 10  | 13  | 76  | ∞   |
| Status<br>Stop<br>Time           |                  | 0800                                      | 0820   | 0905   | 0940  | 1125  | 1230        | 1240  | 1253  | 1409  | 1417  |
| Status<br>Start<br>Time          |                  | 0730                                      | 0800   | 0820   | 0905  | 0940  | 1125        | 1230  | 1240  | 1253  | 1409  |
| Area Tested                      |                  | CALIBRATION<br>LANES                      | CALIBRATION<br>LANES   | BLIND TEST<br>GRID                                 | OPEN FIELD  | OPEN FIELD  | OPEN FIELD  | OPEN FIELD  | OPEN FIELD  | OPEN FIELD  | OPEN FIELD  |
| No. of<br>Peonle                 | 2407 C           | 6   | 6  | . 6  | 6   | 6   | 9           | 9   | 6   | 6   | 9   |
| Date                             |                  | 10/12/2004                                | 10/12/2004   | 10/12/2004   | 10/12/2004  | 10/12/2004  | 10/12/2004  | 10/12/2004  | 10/12/2004  | 10/12/2004  | 10/12/2004  |

# APPENDIX D. DAILY ACTIVITY LOGS

D-1

Note: Activities pertinent to this specific demonstration are indicated in highlighted text.

| , r-   | No of      | <b>A</b>      | Status | Status |                 |   |   | Ę               | Track                   |         |                  |            |
|--------|------------|---------------|--------|--------|-----------------|---|---|-----------------|-------------------------|---------|------------------|------------|
| People | <u>ب</u> و | Tested        | Time   | Time   | Durauon,<br>min | <b>Operational Status</b>                 | Operational Status -<br>Comments                            | Irack<br>Method | Method=Other<br>Explain | Pattern | Field Conditions | nditions   |
|        |            |               |        |        |                 | MAG &                                     | MAG & FLAG  |                 |                         |         |                  |            |
| 9      |            | OPEN          | 1417   | 1520   | 63              | COLLECT DATA                              | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID C5 | GPS             | CHIPS                   | LINFAR  | ANNIIS           | тон        |
| e e    |            | OPEN          | 1520   | 1530   | 10              | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION | END OF DAILY<br>OPERATIONS/<br>EQUIPMENT<br>BREAKDOWN       | NA N            | AN AN                   | AN AN   | ANNIIS           | TCH<br>TCH |
|        | 6          | OPEN          | 0640   | 0655   | 15              | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION | SETUP/MOBILIZATION  | NA              | NA                      | NA      | SUNNY            | COOL       |
|        | 7          | OPEN<br>FIELD | 0655   | 0725   | 30              | COLLECT DATA                              | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID C5 | GPS             | CHIPS                   | LINEAR  | SUNNY            | COOL       |
|        | L          | OPEN<br>FIELD | 0725   | 0855   | 90              | COLLECT DATA                              | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID D5 | GPS             | CHIPS                   | LINEAR  | SUNNY            | WARM       |
| I      | 7          | OPEN          | 0855   | 0060   | S               | BREAK/LUNCH                               | BREAK   | NA              | NA                      | NA      | SUNNY            | HOT        |
| 1      | 7          | OPEN<br>FIELD | 0060   | 0160   | 10              | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID E5           | NA              | NA                      | NA      | SUNNY            | HOT        |
|        | ٢          | OPEN          | 0910   | 1015   | 65              | COLLECT DATA                              | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID E5 | SdD             | CHIPS                   | LINEAR  | NNNS             | HOT        |
| l      | 7          | OPEN<br>FIELD | 1015   | 1025   | 10              | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID F5           | NA              | NA                      | NA      | SUNNY            | HOT        |
| 1      | 7          | OPEN<br>FIELD | 1025   | 1045   | 20              | COLLECT DATA                              | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID F5 | GPS             | CHIPS                   | LINEAR  | ANNUS            | НОТ        |

| -                  |                                  |            |  | _                |  | _            |               |   |   |            |   | _   |               |   |   |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------|--|------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|---|------------|---|---|---------------|---|---|
| ,                  | d<br>ions                        |            |  | HOT              |  | НОТ          | НОТ           | HOT   | TOU   | IOH        | НОТ   | НОТ   | HOT           | НОТ   | HOT   |
|                    | Field<br>Conditions              |            |  | SUNNY            |  | SUNNY        | SUNNY         | SUNNY   | CLIMMA  | INNDO      | ANNUS   | ANNIS   | SUNNY         | ANNIS   | SUNNY   |
|                    | Pattern                          |            |  | NA               |  | LINEAR       | ΝA            | NA  |   | LINEAK     | AN  | LINEAR  | NA            | LINEAR  | NA  |
| Track              | Method=Other<br>Explain          |            |  | NA               |  | CHIPS        | AN            | NA  | SULLY   | CHIFS      | NA  | CHIPS   | NA            | CHIPS   | NA  |
|                    | 1 rack<br>Method                 |            |  | NA               |  | GPS          | NA            | NA  | Sac   | 25         | NA  | GPS   | NA            | GPS   | NA  |
| Constinued Clarker | Operational Status -<br>Comments | FLAG       | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA | <b>GRID G4</b>   | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST | GRID G4      | LUNCH         | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID F4 | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>CDID EA |            | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID E4 | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID E4 | BREAK         | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID E4 | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID D4 |
|                    | <b>Operational Status</b>        | MAG & FLAG | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/                  | STOP/CALIBRATION |  | COLLECT DATA | BREAK/LUNCH   | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION         |   |            | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION         | COLLECT DATA  | BREAK/LUNCH   | COLLECT DATA  | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION         |
| Durotion           | Durauon,<br>min                  |            |  | 10               |  | 35           | 50            | 10  | 20  | 60         | ŝ   | 31  | 20            | 30  | 10  |
| Status             | Jime                             |            |  | 1055             |  | 1130         | 1220          | 1230  | 1370  | 1747       | 1334  | 1405  | 1425          | 1455  | 1505  |
| Status             | Time                             |            |  | 1045             |  | 1055         | 1130          | 1220  | 1330  | 0071       | 1329  | 1334  | 1405          | 1425  | 1455  |
| Δ του              | Tested                           |            | OPEN                                   | FIELD            | OPEN   | FIELD        | OPEN<br>FIELD | OPEN<br>FIELD                                     | OPEN<br>FIFL D  |            | OPEN<br>FIELD                                     | OPEN  | OPEN<br>FIELD | OPEN<br>FIELD   | OPEN<br>FIELD                                     |
| No of              | People                           |            |  | 7                |  | 7            | 7             | 7   | ٢   |            | 7   | 7   | 7             | 7   | 7   |
|                    | Date                             |            |  | 10/13/2004       |  | 10/13/2004   | 10/13/2004    | 10/13/2004  | M00/21/01   | LOOFICTIOT | 10/13/2004  | 10/13/2004  | 10/13/2004    | 10/13/2004  | 10/13/2004  |

D-3

| Operational Status-<br>MAG & FLAG     Track<br>Comments     Method<br>Method     Explain     Pattern     Field Conditions       MAG & FLAG     Comments     Method     Explain     Pattern     Field Conditions       MAG & FLAG     COLLECTED DATA     BUDRECTIONAL     BEATTO WALT     Explain     Field Conditions       STOPICALIBRATION     BLDRECTIONAL     BLDRECTIONAL     BLDRECTIONAL     BLDRECTIONAL     HOT       STOPICALIBRATION     SETUPDALLY     SETUPADALLY     SETUPNOBILIZATION     NA     NA     HOT       STOPICALIBRATION     SETUPDALLY     SETUPICALIBRATION     SETUPICALIBRATION     NA     NA     HOT       STOPICALIBRATION     BLDRECTIONAL     BLDRECTIONAL     NA     NA     NA     HOT       STOPICALIBRATION     BLDRECTIONAL     BLDRECTIONAL     NA     NA     NA     HOT       STORICALIBRATION     BLDRECTIONAL     BLDRECTIONAL     NA     NA     SUNNY     HOT       STOPICALIBRATION     BLDRECTIONAL     BLDRECTIONAL     NA     NA     SUNNY     HOT       START     SETUPDALLY <th>Status</th> | Status           |
|---|------------------|
| & FLAG & FLAG   & FLAG BI-DIRECTIONAL   BI-DIRECTIONAL BI-DIRECTIONAL   BI-DIRECTIONAL BI-DIRECTIONAL   EAST TO WEST GPS   COLLECTED DATA NA   SETUP/MOBILIZATION NA   SETUPMOBILIZATION NA   SETUPMOBILIZATION NA   SETUPMOBILIZATION NA   SETUPMOBILIZATION NA   COLLECTED DATA BI-DIRECTIONAL   EAST TO WEST GPS   CHIPS LINEAR   SETUPMOBILIZATION NA   BI-DIRECTIONAL NA   BI-DIRECTIONAL NA   BI-DIRECTIONAL NA   SETUPMOBILIZATION NA   SETUPMOBILIZATION NA   SETUPMOBILIZATION NA   BI-DIRECTIONAL BI-DIRECTIONAL   EAST TO WEST GPS   GRID C4 NA   NA NA   BI-DIRECTIONAL   EAST TO WEST   GRID C4   NA <   | Duration,<br>min |
| COLLECTED DATABI-DIRECTIONALBI-DIRECTIONALEAST TO WESTGRID D4GRID D4SETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONCOLLECTED DATABI-DIRECTIONALEAST TO WESTGRID C4COLLECTED DATABI-DIRECTIONALEAST TO WESTGRID C4COLLECTED DATABI-DIRECTIONALEND OF DAILYOPERATIONS'GRID C4GRID C4GRID C4GRID C4GRID C4NANANANANANANASETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONBI-DIRECTIONALEAST TO WESTGRID B4NASETUP/MOBILIZATIONBI-DIRECTIONALEAST TO WESTGRID B4NANASETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATIONSETUP/MOBILIZATION <th></th>  |                  |
| SETUPMOBILIZATION NA NA   SET UP TEST AREA NA NA   BI-DIRECTIONAL EAST TO WEST GPS   EAST TO WEST GRID C4 GPS CHIPS   LEAST TO WEST GRID C4 GPS CHIPS   END OF DAILY OPERATIONS/ EQUIPMENT NA   END OF DAILY OPERATIONS/ EQUIPMENT NA   EQUIPMENT NA NA NA   EQUIPMENT NA NA NA   SET UP TEST AREA NA NA NA   BLDIRECTIONAL EAST TO WEST GPS CHIPS   | 20               |
| COLLECTED DATA   COLLECTED DATA     BI-DIRECTIONAL   BI-DIRECTIONAL     EAST TO WEST   GRD C4     GRID C4   GPS     CRID C4   GPS     EAST TO WEST   GRD C4     BND OF DAILY   OPERATIONS/     END OF DAILY   NA     NOPERATIONS/   EQUIPMENT     BREAKDOWN   NA     SETUP/MOBILIZATION   NA     SET UP TEST AREA   NA     GRID C4   NA     SET UP TEST AREA   NA     GRID C4   NA     GRID C4   NA     NA   NA     GRID C4   NA     BI-DIRECTIONAL   EAST TO WEST     GRID C4   GPS     COLLECTED DATA   BI-DIRECTIONAL     EAST TO WEST   GPS     GRID B4   NA     COLLECTED DATA   BI-DIRECTIONAL     EAST TO WEST   GPS     GRID B4   NA     GRID B4   NA     GRID B4   NA     GRID B4   NA     BRAK   NA     SETUP/MOBILIZATION  | S                |
| END OF DAILY<br>OPERATIONS/<br>EQUIPMENTNANANANANANABREAKDOWNNANANASET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID C4NANANASET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID C4NANANASET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID C4NANANASET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID C4NANANACOLLECTED DATA<br>GRID C4NANANABI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID B4GPSCHIPSLINEARSET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID B4NANANASET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID B4NANANASET UP TEST TO WEST<br>GRID B4NANANASET UP TEST TO WEST<br>  | 10               |
| SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID C4<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA   | 15               |
| COLLECTED DATACOLLECTED DATABI-DIRECTIONALBI-DIRECTIONALEAST TO WESTGRID C4GRID C4GPSGRID C4GPSGRID C4GPSSET UP TEST AREANASET UP TEST AREANAGRID B4NACOLLECTED DATABI-DIRECTIONALEAST TO WESTGRID B4GPSCOLLECTED DATABI-DIRECTIONALEAST TO WESTGRID B4NANANANASETUPMOBILIZATIONSETUPMOBILIZATIONSETUPMOBILIZATIONSETUPMOBILIZATIONSETUPMOBILIZATIONSETUPMOBILIZATION   | 35               |
| SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID B4<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA<br>NA   | 50               |
| COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID B4 GPS CHIPS LINEAR<br>BREAK NA NA NA NA<br>SETUPMOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA  | 10               |
| BREAK NA NA NA NA SETUP/MOBILIZATION SETUP/MOBILIZATION SETUP TEST ARFA   | 65               |
| SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET TIP TEST AREA   | 15               |
| ATION   | 20               |

| _      | _                    | -              | -  | -            | 1   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|--------|----------------------|----------------|--|--------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|        |                      | FIEID CONDUMIN | LOI  | YUNIW        | HOT<br>WINDY                                      | HOT                                   | НОТ   | НОТ   | HOT   | HOT   | HOT   | COOL                                      | COOL  |
|        | Deland.              | rieia Co       |  | SUNNY        | SUNNY   | <b>NNNS</b>                           | ANNUS   | SUNNY   | XNNNS   | NNNS  | ANNUS   | SUNNY                                     | SUNNY   |
|        | Dottom               | rauerii        |  | LINEAR       | NA  | NA                                    | LINEAR  | NA  | LINEAR  | NA  | AN  | NA  | LINEAR  |
| Track  | Method=Other         | EXPIAIL        |  | CHIPS        | AN  | NA                                    | CHIPS   | NA  | CHIPS   | NA  | AN  | NA  | CHIPS   |
|        | Track                | INTERTIOR      |  | GPS          | AN  | NA                                    | CPS   | AN  | GPS   | AN  | NA  | AN  | GPS   |
|        | Operational Status - | MAG & FLAG     | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>FACT TO WEET | GRID A4      | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID A3 | LUNCH                                 | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID A3 | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID B3 | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID B3 | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID C3 | END OF DAILY<br>OPERATIONS/<br>EQUIPMENT<br>BREAKDOWN | SETUP/MOBILIZATION                        | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID C3 |
|        | Onerational Status   | MAG 8          |  | COLLECT DATA | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION         | BREAK/LUNCH                           | COLLECT DATA  | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION         | COLLECT DATA  | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION         | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION             | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION | COLLECT DATA  |
|        | Duration,<br>min     |                |  | 75           | 25  | 30                                    | 60  | 10  | 60  | 15  | 20  | 15  | 55  |
| Status | Stop<br>Time         | 2007           |  | 1050         | 1115  | 1145                                  | 1245  | 1255  | 1355  | 1410  | 1430  | 0645                                      | 0740  |
| Status | Start<br>Time        |                |  | 0935         | 1050  | 1115                                  | 1145  | 1245  | 1255  | 1355  | 1410  | 0630                                      | 0645  |
|        | Area<br>Tested       | THOMA          | OPEN   | FIELD        | OPEN<br>FIELD                                     | OPEN<br>FIELD                         | OPEN<br>FIELD   | OPEN<br>FIEL.D                                    | OPEN<br>FIELD   | OPEN<br>FIEL.D                                    | OPEN  | OPEN<br>FIEL.D                            | OPEN  |
|        | No. of<br>People     | 21002 4        |  | 7            | ٢   | 7                                     | 7   | 7   | 7   | ٢   | 7   | L   | 7   |
|        | Date                 |                |  | 10/14/2004   | 10/14/2004  | 10/14/2004                            | 10/14/2004  | 10/14/2004  | 10/14/2004  | 10/14/2004  | 10/14/2004  | 10/15/2004                                | 10/15/2004  |

|            |                  |                | Status        | Status       |                  |   |   |                 | Track                   |         |                  |          |
|------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|---|---|-----------------|-------------------------|---------|------------------|----------|
| ~ Ã        | No. of<br>People | Area<br>Tested | Start<br>Time | Stop<br>Time | Duration,<br>min | <b>Operational Status</b>                 | Operational Status -<br>Comments                            | Track<br>Method | Method=Other<br>Exnlain | Pattern | Field Conditions | nditione |
|            |                  |                |               |              |                  | MAG &                                     | MAG & FLAG  |                 | IIIIII                  | Taurati |                  | STOTIC   |
|            | 7                | OPEN<br>FIELD  | 0740          | 0745         | 5                | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID D3           | NA              | AN<br>NA                | AN      | ANNIS            | IOUU     |
|            | ٢                | OPEN<br>FIELD  | 0745          | 0845         | 60               | COLLECT DATA                              | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID D3 | GPS             | CHIPS                   | LINEAR  | ANNUS            | COOL     |
|            | 7                | OPEN<br>FIELD  | 0845          | 0060         | 15               | BREAK/LUNCH                               | BREAK   | AN              | NA                      | AN      | SUNNY            | WARM     |
|            | ٢                | OPEN<br>FIELD  | 0060          | 0925         | 25               | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID E3           | NA              | NA                      | NA      | SUNNY            | WARM     |
|            | 7                | OPEN<br>FIELD  | 0925          | 1020         | 55               | COLLECT DATA                              | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID E3 | SdD             | CHIPS                   | LINEAR  | SUNNY            | WARM     |
|            | ٢                | OPEN<br>FIELD  | 1020          | 1027         | 7                | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID F3           | AN              | NA                      | NA      | SUNNY            | WARM     |
|            | 7                | OPEN<br>FIELD  | 1027          | 1105         | 38               | COLLECT DATA                              | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID F3 | GPS             | CHIPS                   | LINEAR  | SUNNY            | HOT      |
|            | 7                | OPEN           | 1105          | 1145         | 40               | BREAK/LUNCH                               | TUNCH   | NA              | NA                      | NA      | SUNNY            | HOT      |
| 10/15/2004 | 7                | OPEN<br>FIELD  | 1145          | 1220         | 35               | COLLECT DATA                              | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID F3 | SdD             | CHIPS                   | LINEAR  | SUNNY            | HOT      |
|            | 7                | OPEN<br>FIELD  | 1220          | 1225         | s                | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID G3           | NA              | AN                      | NA      | SUNNY            | HOT      |

| No. of Area Start Stop Duration. | Status Status<br>Start Stop Durati | Status<br>Stop Durati | Duration. |     |   | Onerational Status -  | Track  | Track<br>Method-Other   |         |          |                  |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----|---|---|--------|-------------------------|---------|----------|------------------|
| Tested Time Time                 | Time Time                          | Time                  | Ē         | , u | <b>Operational Status</b>                 | Comments  | Method | Metnoa=Utner<br>Explain | Pattern | Field Co | Field Conditions |
|                                  |                                    |                       |           |     | MAG &                                     | MAG & FLAG  |        |                         |         |          |                  |
|                                  |                                    |                       |           |     |   | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL                            |        |                         |         |          |                  |
| 7 FIELD 1225 1310 45             | 1225 1310                          |                       | 45        |     | COLLECT DATA                              | EAST TO WEST<br>GRID G3                                     | GPS    | CHIPS                   | LINEAR  | ANNUS    | нот              |
| 7 FIELD 1310 1325 15             | 1310 1325                          |                       | 15        |     | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID G2           | NA     | AN<br>N                 | AN N    | CLININ   | цОт              |
| 7 PFIELD 1325 1330 5             | 1325 1330                          |                       | v,        |     | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION | END OF DAILY<br>OPERATIONS/<br>EQUIPMENT<br>BRFAKDOWN       | ΨN     | AN N                    |         | THINDS   | 1011             |
| 7 FIELD 0640 0705 25             | 0640 0705                          |                       | 25        |     | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID G2           | NA     | NA                      | AN AN   | ANNNS    | COOL             |
| 7 FIELD 0705 0800 55             | 0705 0800                          |                       | 55        |     | COLLECT DATA                              | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID G2 | GPS    | CHIPS                   | LINEAR  | ANNNS    | COOL             |
| 7 OPEN 0800 0805 5 S             | 0800 0805 5                        | 5                     |           | S   | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID F2           | NA     | NA                      | AN      | SUNNY    | COOL             |
| 7 FTELD 0805 0905 60             | 0805 0905                          |                       | 60        |     | COLLECT DATA                              | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID F2 | GPS    | CHIPS                   | LINEAR  | ANNIS    | COOL             |
| 7 FIELD 0905 0920 15             | 0905 0920                          |                       | 15        |     | BREAK/LUNCH                               | BREAK   | NA     | NA                      | NA      | NNNS     | WARM             |
| 7 OPEN 0920 0930 10              | 0920 0930                          |                       | 10        |     | COLLECT DATA                              | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID E2           | NA     | NA                      | NA      | SUNNY    | WARM             |
| 7 PIELD 0930 1015 45             | 0930 1015                          |                       | 45        |     | COLLECT DATA                              | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID E2 | GPS    | CHIPS                   | LINEAR  | SUNNY    | WARM             |

D-7

| Г      |                      | Т                | 1   |   | T.            |   |  |   |               |   | 1   |   |
|--------|----------------------|------------------|---|---|---------------|---|--|---|---------------|---|---|---|
|        |                      | Field Conditions |   | WARM  | WARM          | WARM  | WARM   | WARM  | WARM          | WARM  | WARM  | WARM<br>WINDY                                   |
|        |                      | Field Co         | CITATATA  | ANNUS   | ANNIS         | ANNUS   | SUNNY  | ANNUS   | SUNNY         | SUNNY   | ANNIS   | SUNNY   |
|        |                      | rauem            | N N   | LINEAR  | AN AN         | LINEAR  | AN   | LINFAR  | NA            | NA  | LINEAR  | NA  |
| Track  | Method=Other         | Explain          | VV  | CHIPS   | NA            | CHIPS   | AN   | CHIPS   | NA            | NA  | CHIPS<br>TOTAL<br>3858<br>HITS                              | NA  |
|        | Track                | MITIN            | NA  | GPS   | AN            | GPS   | AN   | GPS   | NA            | AN  | GPS   | AN  |
|        | Operational Status - | 1                | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID D7 | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID D2 | TUNCH         | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID C2 | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRIDB2 | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID B2 | BREAK         | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID A2 | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRID A2 | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>MOGUL |
|        | Operational Status   | MAG & FLAG       | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION         | COLLECT DATA  | BREAK/LUNCH   | COLLECT DATA  | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION        | COLLECT DATA  | BREAK/LUNCH   | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION         | COLLECT DATA  | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION       |
|        | Duration,<br>min     |                  | 5   | 60  | 50            | 40  | Ś  | 40  | 10            | 10  | 45  | 20  |
| Status | Stop<br>Time         |                  | 1020  | 1120  | 1210          | 1250  | 1255   | 1335  | 1345          | 1355  | 1440  | 1500  |
| Status | Start<br>Time        |                  | 1015  | 1020  | 1120          | 1210  | 1250   | 1255  | 1335          | 1345  | 1355  | 1440  |
|        | Area<br>Tested       |                  | OPEN<br>FIELD                                     | OPEN<br>FIELD   | OPEN<br>FIELD | OPEN<br>FIELD   | OPEN<br>FIELD                                    | OPEN<br>FIELD   | OPEN<br>FIELD | OPEN<br>FIELD                                     | OPEN<br>FIELD   | MOGUL   |
|        | No. of<br>People     |                  | 7   | ٢   | 7             | ۲   | 7  | 2   | 7             | 7   | ٢   | 7   |
|        | Date                 |                  | 10/18/2004  | 10/18/2004  | 10/18/2004    | 10/18/2004  | 10/18/2004                                       | 10/18/2004  | 10/18/2004    | 10/18/2004  | 10/18/2004  | 10/18/2004                                      |

D-9

|                             | tions                   |            | WARM   | HOT         | HOT   | HOT   | HOT                                    | HOT   |   |  |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------|--|-------------|---|---|--|---|---|--|
|                             | <b>Field Conditions</b> |            |  | · · · ·     | <u> </u>  |   |  |   | <u> </u>  |  |
|                             | Field                   |            | SUNNY  | SUNNY       | ANNIIS  | ANNIS   | ANNIS                                  | ANNIIS  | SITNNY  |  |
|                             | Pattern                 |            | LINEAR   | NA          | N N   | I INFAR   | NA                                     | N   | NA  | 1<br>1<br>2<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1 |
| Track<br>Method=Other       | Explain                 |            | CHIPS TOTAL<br>MOGUL 674<br>HITS                                   | NA          | Ϋ́Υ   | CHIPS   | NA                                     | Ϋ́Ν   | ŇĂ  |  |
| Track                       | Method                  |            | SAD  | AN          | ΫN  | GPS   | NA                                     | NA<br>NA  | NA  |  |
| <b>Operational Status -</b> | Comments                | AG         | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>EAST TO WEST<br>GRIDS J1/12/13 | BREAK       | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>YUMA EXTREME<br>GRID G7 | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>NORTH TO SOUTH<br>GRID G7 | DOWNLOAD DATA                          | END OF DAILY<br>OPERATIONS/<br>EQUIPMENT<br>BREAKDOWN | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID H7 | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>NORTH TO SOUTH   |
|                             | Operational Status      | MAG & FLAG | COLLECT DATA   | BREAK/LUNCH | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION                         | COLLECT DATA  | DOWNTIME DUE TO<br>EQUIP<br>MAIN/CHECK | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION             | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION         |  |
| Duration,                   | uim                     |            | 70   | 15          | 15  | 65  | <u>30</u>                              | 8   | 25  | QX   |
| Status<br>Stop              | TIMe                    |            | 1310   | 1325        | 1340  | 1445  | 1515                                   | 1523  | 0 <u>7</u> 00                                     | UIIIU  |
| Status<br>Start             | TIME                    |            | 1200   | 1310        | 1325  | 1340  | 1445                                   | 1515  | 0635  | 020  |
| Area                        | I ested                 |            | MOGUL  | MOGUL       | YUMA<br>EXTREME   | YUMA<br>EXTREME   | YUMA<br>EXTREME                        | YUMA<br>EXTREME                                       | YUMA<br>EXTREME                                   | YUMA<br>EXTREME  |
| No. of<br>Decodo            | reome                   |            | 7  | 7           | ٢   | ٢   | 7                                      | 7   | 7   | Ļ  |
| Date                        | Date                    |            | 10/19/2004   | 10/19/2004  | 10/19/2004  | 10/19/2004  | 10/19/2004                             | 10/19/2004  | 10/20/2004  | 10/20/2004   |

Note: Activities pertinent to this specific demonstration are indicated in highlighted text.

| Date       | No. of<br>People | Area<br>Tested                     | Status<br>Start<br>Time | Status<br>Stop<br>Time | Duration,<br>min | Operational Status                     | Operational Status -<br>Comments                              | Track<br>Method | Track<br>Method=Other<br>Fxnlain | Pattern | Field Conditions | ditione |
|------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|---|-----------------|----------------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
|            |                  |                                    |                         |                        |                  | MAG & FLAG                             |   |                 |                                  |         | TICH COL         | SILDIUM |
| 100000     | ٢                | YUMA<br>EXTREME<br>YUMA<br>EXTREME | 0170                    | 0220                   | ç                | SETUP/DAILY<br>START/<br>START/        | SETUP/MOBILIZATION<br>SET UP TEST AREA                        | ;               |                                  |         |                  |         |
| 5          |                  |                                    |                         | 2222                   |                  | STORYCALIBINATION                      | UKID H8   | AN              | NA                               | NA      | SUNNY            | COOL    |
| 10/20/2004 | ٢                | YUMA<br>EXTREME                    | 0750                    | 0840                   | 50               | COLLECT DATA                           | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>NORTH TO SOUTH<br>GRID H8 | GPS             | CHIPS                            | LINEAR  | SUNNY            | COOL    |
|            |                  |                                    |                         |                        |                  | SETUP/DAILY                            | SETUP/MOBILIZATION  |                 |                                  |         |                  | 2000    |
| 10/20/2004 | 7                | YUMA<br>EXTREME                    | 0840                    | 0845                   | 5                | START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION             | SET UP TEST AREA<br>GRID G8                                   | NA              | NA                               | NA      | SUNNY            | COOL    |
|            |                  | YUMA                               |                         |                        |                  |  | COLLECTED DATA<br>BI-DIRECTIONAL<br>NORTH TO SOUTH            |                 | CHIPS<br>TOTAL                   |         |                  |         |
| 10/20/2004 | ٢                | EXTREME                            | 0845                    | 0935                   | 50               | COLLECT DATA                           | GRID G8   | GPS             | 454 HITS                         | LINEAR  | SUNNY            | COOL    |
| 10/20/2004 | ۲                | YUMA<br>EXTREME                    | 0935                    | 0945                   | Î0               | DOWNTIME DUE TO<br>EQUIP<br>MAIN/CHECK | DOWNLOAD DATA   | NA              | ŊĂ                               | ٩N      | ANNIS            |         |
|            |                  |                                    |                         |                        |                  | DOWNTIME DUE TO                        |   |                 |                                  | Y FLT   | TATATOO          | 2000    |
| 10/20/2004 | 7                | YUMA<br>EXTREME                    | 0945                    | 1030                   | 45               | EQUIP<br>MAIN/CHECK                    | CHECK DATA  | NA              | NA                               | NA      | NNNS             | COOL    |
|            |                  |                                    |                         |                        |                  | SETUP/DAILY                            | END OF DAILY<br>OPERATIONS/                                   |                 |                                  |         |                  |         |
| 10/20/2004 | 7                | YUMA<br>EXTREME                    | 1030                    | 1040                   | 10               | START/<br>STOP/CALIBRATION             | EQUIPMENT<br>BREAKDOWN  | NA              | NA                               | NA      | SUNNY            | COOL    |
| ,          |                  | YUMA                               |                         |                        |                  |  | DEMOBILIZATION<br>END OF TEST                                 |                 |                                  |         |                  |         |
| 10/21/2004 | -                | EXTREME                            | 0710                    | 0800                   | 50               | DEMOBILIZATION                         | <b>TURN-IN DATA</b>   | NA              | NA                               | NA      | CLOUDY           | RAIN    |

Note: Activities pertinent to this specific demonstration are indicated in highlighted text.

#### **APPENDIX E. REFERENCES**

- 1. Standardized UXO Technology Demonstration Site Handbook, DTC Project No. 8-CO-160-000-473, Report No. ATC-8349, March 2002.
- 2. Aberdeen Proving Ground Soil Survey Report, October 1998.
- 3. Data Summary, UXO Standardized Test Site: APG Soils Description, May 2002.
- 4. Yuma Proving Ground Soil Survey Report, May 2003.
- 5. Practical Nonparametric Statistics, W.J. Conover, John Wiley & Sons, 1980, pages 144 through 151.

(Page E-2 Blank)

## **APPENDIX F. ABBREVIATIONS**

| AEC   | = | U.S. Army Environmental Center   |
|-------|---|--|
| APG   | = | Aberdeen Proving Ground  |
| ASCII | = | American Standard Code for Information Interchange.                      |
| ATC   | = | U.S. Army Aberdeen Test Center   |
| EM    | = | electromagnetic  |
| EMI   | Ξ | electromagnetic interference   |
| EMIS  | = | Electromagnetic Induction Spectroscopy                                   |
| ERDC  | = | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Engineering Research and Development Center |
| ESTCP | = | Environmental Security Technology Certification Program                  |
| EQT   | = | Army Environmental Quality Technology Program                            |
| GPS   | = | Global Positioning System  |
| JPG   | Π | Jefferson Proving Ground   |
| MAG   | = | Magnetometer   |
| OE    | = | Ordnance and Explosives  |
| POC   | = | point of contact   |
| QA    | = | quality assurance  |
| QC    | = | quality control  |
| ROC   | = | receiver-operating characteristic  |
| RTK   | = | real time kinematic  |
| RTS   | Ξ | Robotic Total Station  |
| SERDP | = | Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program                 |
| UTM   |   | Universal Transverse Mercator  |
| UXO   | = | unexploded ordnance  |
| YPG   | = | U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground  |
|       |   |  |

## APPENDIX G. DISTRIBUTION LIST

### DTC Project No.8-CO-160-UXO-021

| Addressee   | No. of<br><u>Copies</u> |
|---|-------------------------|
| Commander<br>U.S. Army Environmental Center<br>ATTN: SFIM-AEC-ATT (Mr. George Robitaille)<br>Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5401                               | 2                       |
| Parsons<br>ATTN: (Mr. William J. Kelso P.E.)<br>1700 Broadway No. 900<br>Denver, CO 80290   | 1                       |
| SERDP/ESTCP<br>ATTN: (Ms. Anne Andrews)<br>901 North Stuart Street, Suite 303<br>Arlington, VA 22203  | 1                       |
| Commander<br>U.S. Army Aberdeen Test Center<br>ATTN: CSTE-DTC-SL-E (Mr. Larry Overbay)<br>(Library)<br>CSTE-DTC-AT-CS-R<br>Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5059 | 1<br>1<br>1             |
| Defense Technical Information Center<br>8725 John J. Kingman Road, STE 0944<br>Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6218  | 2                       |

Secondary distribution is controlled by Commander, U.S. Army Environmental Center, ATTN: SFIM-AEC-ATT.