

Numerical evaluation of the Evans function by Magnus integration

Edinburgh, July 1, 2004

Nairo Aparicio, Simon Malham & Marcel Oliver

Outline

- Linear non-autonomous ODEs with parameters
- Toy problem: modified Airy equation
- WKB asymptotics and error analysis
- The Evans function

Acknowledgments

T. Bridges, A. Iserles, C. Lubich, J. Niesen & Anonymous Referee

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 03 JAN 2005		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Numerical evaluation of the Evans function by Magnus integration				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) International University Of Bremen				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM001749, Lie Group Methods And Control Theory Workshop Held on 28 June 2004 - 1 July 2004., The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

1. Linear non-autonomous ODEs with parameters

Example: Sturm–Liouville eigenvalue problem

$$y''(x) + u(x)y(x) + \lambda y(x) = 0$$

plus boundary conditions, or

$$Y'(x) = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Y + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -u(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix} Y$$

In general: need to solve IVPs of the form

$$\dot{Y} = A(t, \lambda) Y, \quad Y(0) = Y_0$$

$$A(t, \lambda) = A_0(t) + \sum_{k=1}^p \lambda_k A_k(t)$$

2. Problem features

- Need to solve the equation for many different parameter values
- System can become oscillatory or stiff
- Potential is slowly varying, and typically computed numerically

Idea

- Use methods that work well for near-autonomous, oscillatory problems: *Magnus integrators*
- Collect all parameter independent parts, and evaluate them in a *precomputation step*

3. Neumann series

$$\dot{S} = A(t) S, \quad S(0) = I$$

can be written in integral form as

$$(I - K) \circ S \equiv S(t) - \int_0^t A(\tau) S(\tau) d\tau = I$$

Neumann series solution

$$\begin{aligned} S(t) &= (I - K)^{-1} \circ I \\ &= (I + K + K^2 + K^3 + \dots) \circ I \\ &= I + \int_0^t A(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^t A(\tau_1) \int_0^{\tau_1} A(\tau_2) d\tau_2 d\tau_1 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Convergence criterion:

$$\int_0^t \|A(\tau)\| d\tau < \infty$$

4. Magnus series

Write $S(t) = e^{\sigma(t)}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(t) &= \ln S(t) \\ &= \mathbf{K} \circ I + (\mathbf{K}^2 \circ I - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{K} \circ I)^2) \\ &\quad + \left(\mathbf{K}^3 \circ I - \frac{1}{2}((\mathbf{K}^2 \circ I)(\mathbf{K} \circ I) + (\mathbf{K} \circ I)(\mathbf{K}^2 \circ I)) + \frac{1}{3}(\mathbf{K} \circ I)^3 \right) \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &\equiv s_1 + s_2 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}s_1 &= \int_0^t A(\tau) \, d\tau, \\ s_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_1} [A(\tau_1), A(\tau_2)] \, d\tau_2 \, d\tau_1\end{aligned}$$

5. Convergence of the Magnus series

The Magnus expansion converges in the Euclidean 2-norm provided

$$\int_0^t \|A(\tau)\| d\tau < \frac{r_0}{\nu}$$

where

$$r_0 = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(2 + \frac{1}{2}\tau(1 - \cot(\frac{1}{2}\tau))\right)^{-1} d\tau = 2.173737\dots$$

and $\nu \leq 2$ is the smallest constant such that

$$\|[A_1, A_2]\| \leq \nu \|A_1\| \|A_2\|$$

(Moan, 2002).

Rough estimate

$$\int_0^t \|A(\tau)\| d\tau < 1.09$$

guarantees convergence.

6. Toy problem: a modified Airy equation

$$y''(t) + (1 + \lambda)(t^2 + \lambda) y(t) = 0$$

or

$$Y' = A_0(t) + \lambda A_1 Y$$


with

$$A_0(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -t^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$


Note:

- For λ large, behavior is dominated by constant frequency fast oscillations
- *Type A equation* according to Degani and Schiff (2003)
- Quadratic t dependence exposes generic leading order error term for moderate λ


Global error for $\lambda = 1$ at $t = 10$







Global error as a function of λ at $t = 10$ with $N = 2^{13}$



Global error as a function of λ at $t = 1$ with $N = 512$



Order reduction when $\log \lambda \approx 5$



7. Classical order

Write

$$A(t+h) = a_0 + a_1 h + a_2 h^2 + \dots$$

where, for the modified Airy equation,

$$a_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 + \lambda \\ -(t^2 + \lambda) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -2t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Leading local truncation error for forth order Magnus

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{\text{mag}} &= \frac{h^5}{720} (2 [a_0, [a_0, a_2]] + 3 [a_1, [a_1, a_0]] + [a_0, [a_0, [a_0, a_1]]]) + \mathcal{O}(h^6) \\ &= \frac{h^5}{720} 4(1 + \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 2t(1 + \lambda)(t^2 + \lambda) & (1 + \lambda) \\ -5t^2 + \lambda & -2t(1 + \lambda)(t^2 + \lambda) \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(h^6) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(h^5) \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) \end{aligned}$$

8. WKB analysis

On the interval $[t, t + h]$, write

$$A(t + h) = C(t) + H(t, h)$$

where $H(t, h) = \mathcal{O}(h)$. Assume that

$$C = XDX^{-1}$$

with X an unitary matrix, i.e. $X^{-1} = X^*$. Factor the flow map

$$S(h) = X e^{Dh} \tilde{S}(h)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{S}'(h) &= \tilde{A}(t, h) \tilde{S}(h) \\ \tilde{S}(0) &= X^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\tilde{A}(t, h) = e^{-Dh} X^{-1} H X e^{Dh}$$

9. Modified Magnus – RCMS

The rescaled equation can be used as a basis for a numerical method, usually in the form

$$\hat{S}'(h) = e^{-Ch} H e^{Ch} \hat{S}(h)$$

This equation can be solved by Magnus expansion

- “Modified Magnus method” (Iserles, 2002)
- “Right correction Magnus series” (Degani and Schiff, 2003)
- or by Neumann expansion (Iserles, 2004)

This approach is not taken here.

- Interferes with precomputation
- Implementation issues
- Superior behavior only for very large λ

10. Asymptotic solution for modified Airy

For the modified Airy equation,

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{A}(t, h) &= \exp(-Dh) X^{-1} \hat{a}(t, h) X \exp(Dh) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}i(2th + h^2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-2i\mu h} \\ -e^{2i\mu h} & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h}{\lambda}\right). \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, the first term of the Magnus expansion is

$$\tilde{s}_1 = \int_0^h \tilde{A}(t, h_1) dh_1 = \frac{1}{2}i \left(th^2 + \frac{h^3}{3} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h}{\lambda}\right)$$

and therefore

$$S(h) = \exp(Ch) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(th^2 + \frac{h^3}{3}\right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h}{\lambda}\right)\right)$$

11. Local error indicators for Magnus-4

Recall that

$$S^{\text{mag}}(h) = e^{s_1 + s_2}$$

where

$$s_1 = \int_0^h (C + H(h_1)) dh_1 = Ch + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$
$$s_2 = \int_0^h \int_0^{h_1} [C + H(h_1), C + H(h_2)] dh_2 dh_1 = \mathcal{O}(h^3\lambda)$$

The exponent is diagonalized by $s_1 + s_2 = X^{\text{mag}} D^{\text{mag}} (X^{\text{mag}})^{-1}$ where

$$D^{\text{mag}} = Dh + \tilde{s}_1 - \frac{i\lambda t^2 h^5}{72} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{h.o.t.}$$

$$X^{\text{mag}} = X - \frac{th^2}{6\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{h.o.t.}$$

12. Local error indicators II

Altogether, we find that

$$S^{\text{mag}}(h) = X \exp(D^{\text{mag}}) X^{-1} + \frac{th^2}{6} \sin(\mu^{\text{mag}}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{h.o.t}$$

The local error $S(h) - S^{\text{mag}}(h)$ has leading order contributions


$$E_1^{\text{loc}} \equiv -\frac{\lambda t^2 h^5}{72} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \mu^{\text{mag}} & -\cos \mu^{\text{mag}} \\ \cos \mu^{\text{mag}} & \sin \mu^{\text{mag}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E_2^{\text{loc}} \equiv -\frac{th^2}{6} \sin(\mu^{\text{mag}}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E_3^{\text{loc}} \equiv \frac{th}{\lambda} \sin(\mu^{\text{mag}}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{th}{2\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2\mu h - \mu^{\text{mag}}) & \sin(2\mu h - \mu^{\text{mag}}) \\ \sin(2\mu h - \mu^{\text{mag}}) & -\cos(2\mu h - \mu^{\text{mag}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E_4^{\text{loc}} \equiv \frac{it}{4\lambda^2} \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\mu^{\text{mag}} - 2\mu h) - \sin \mu^{\text{mag}} & \cos(\mu^{\text{mag}} - 2\mu h) - \cos \mu^{\text{mag}} \\ \cos(\mu^{\text{mag}} - 2\mu h) - \cos \mu^{\text{mag}} & -\sin(\mu^{\text{mag}} - 2\mu h) + \sin \mu^{\text{mag}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Local error indicators for the modified Airy equation



13. Global error indicators for Magnus-4

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\text{global}} &= S(0, T) - S^{\text{mag}}(0, T) \\ &= \prod_{n=0}^{N-1} (S^{\text{mag}}(t_n, t_{n+1}) + E_n^{\text{loc}}) - \prod_{n=0}^{N-1} S^{\text{mag}}(t_n, t_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} S^{\text{mag}}(t_{n+1}, T) E_n^{\text{loc}} S^{\text{mag}}(0, t_n) + \mathcal{O}(\|E^{\text{loc}}\|^2/h) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\text{mag}}(0, T) &= \prod_{n=N-1}^0 X^{\text{mag}}(t_n) \exp(D^{\text{mag}}(t_n)) (X^{\text{mag}}(t_n))^{-1} \\ &= X \exp(D^{\text{mag}}(0, T)) X^{-1} + \text{h.o.t.} \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$E^{\text{global}} \approx X \left[\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \exp(D^{\text{mag}}(t_{n+1}, T)) X^{-1} E_n^{\text{loc}} X \exp(D^{\text{mag}}(0, t_n)) \right] X^{-1}$$

14. Global error indicators II

For example, the local error term E_2^{loc} contributes globally

$$E^{\text{global}} = \frac{h^2}{6} X \left[\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} t_n \sin(\mu^{\text{mag}}(t_n)) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \exp(i\sigma_n) \\ \exp(-i\sigma_n) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] X^{-1} + \text{h.o.t.}$$


where

$$\sigma_n = 2t_n\lambda - (T + h)\lambda + f(t_n) + \mathcal{O}(h)$$

To the same order of approximation, this corresponds to an oscillatory integral of the type

$$h \text{ bdd}(h, \lambda) \int_0^T g(t) e^{-2i\lambda t} dt = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h}{\lambda}\right)$$

Global error indicators for the modified Airy equation



15. Precomputation

$$\begin{aligned}
 K^n \circ I &= (K_0 + \lambda K_1 + \mu K_2)^n \circ I \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^k \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(j,k,n)} K_{\alpha_1} \circ \dots \circ K_{\alpha_n} \circ I \right) \lambda^j \mu^{k-j} \\
 &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^k \Gamma_{j,k,n}(t) \lambda^j \mu^{k-j}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_{j,k,n}(t) &\equiv \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(j,k,n)} K_{\alpha_1} \circ \dots \circ K_{\alpha_n} \circ I \\
 \mathcal{P}(j,k,n) &= \text{Permutations} \left\{ \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-k}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_j, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{k-j} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Precomputation for the Neumann series

$$\begin{aligned} S_N^{\text{neu}}(t; \lambda, \mu) &= \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^k \Gamma_{j,k,n}(t) \lambda^j \mu^{k-j} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^k \Lambda_{j,k}^N(t) \lambda^j \mu^{k-j} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{j,k}^N(t) = \sum_{n=k}^N \Gamma_{j,k,n}(t) = \sum_{n=k}^N \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(j,k,n)} K_{\alpha_1} \circ \dots \circ K_{\alpha_n} \circ I$$

- Similar expansion for the exponent in the Magnus series
- *But*: Cannot precompute exponentiation
- Other possible splittings?

16. Application: Reaction diffusion systems

$$U_t = BU_{\xi\xi} + cU_\xi + F(U)$$

Example: Autocatalytic two-component system

$$u_t = \delta u_{\xi\xi} + cu_\xi - uv^m$$

$$v_t = v_{\xi\xi} + cv_\xi + uv^m$$

Front-type boundary conditions

$$(u, v) \rightarrow (1, 0) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow -\infty$$

$$(u, v) \rightarrow (0, 1) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty$$

Traveling wave in moving frame

$$U(\xi, t) = U_c(\xi)$$

17. Stability of traveling waves

Perturbation ansatz:

$$U(\xi, t) = U_c(\xi) + \hat{U}(\xi) e^{\lambda t}$$

Plugging this into the reaction-diffusion system

$$U_t = BU_{\xi\xi} + cU_\xi + F(U)$$

yields

$$\lambda \hat{U} = [B\partial_{\xi\xi} + cI\partial_\xi + DF(U_c(\xi))] \hat{U}$$

with $\hat{U}(\xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty$.


Reformulation

$$Y' = A(\xi, \lambda) Y,$$

where $Y = (\hat{U}, \hat{U}_\xi)$, and

$$A(\xi, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} O & I \\ B^{-1}(\lambda - DF(U_c(\xi))) & -cB^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Spectrum of the linearized traveling wave operator



18. The Evans function

Limiting systems

$$A_{\pm}(\lambda) = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty} A(\xi, \lambda)$$

- Assume A_- has a k -dimensional **unstable manifold**
- A^+ has an $n - k$ -dimensional **stable manifold**
- Look for intersection under the “evolution” of the BVP

Wronskian

$$\begin{aligned} D(\lambda) &= e^{-\int_0^{\xi} \text{Tr } A(x, \lambda) dx} \cdot \det \left(Y_1^-(\xi; \lambda) \cdots Y_k^-(\xi; \lambda) \ Y_{k+1}^+(\xi; \lambda) \cdots Y_{2n-k}^+(\xi; \lambda) \right) \\ &= e^{-\int_0^{\xi} \text{Tr } A(x, \lambda) dx} \cdot \left(Y_1^- \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_k^- \right) \wedge \left(Y_{k+1}^+ \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{2n-k}^+ \right) \\ &\equiv e^{-\int_0^{\xi} \text{Tr } A(x, \lambda) dx} \cdot \left(U_-(\xi; \lambda) \wedge U_+(\xi; \lambda) \right) \end{aligned}$$

(Prefactor ensures ξ -independence.)

19. Properties of the Evans function

(Evans, 1975; Alexander, Gardner & Jones, 1990)

- Zeros correspond to eigenvalues
- Order of the zero corresponds to algebraic multiplicity
- Analytic to the right of the essential spectrum
- Can use argument principle to determine number of zeros in the right half plane

Numerical issues

- May want to rescale solution by expected exponential growth
- Computing second most unstable eigenspace is numerically unstable

→ *Projection methods* or *Exterior product representation*

20. Performance comparison

Near the ground state

- Magnus works well
- Implicit/Explicit Runge–Kutta perform better


In the stiff regime ($\lambda = iy$ for y large)

- Magnus works well in the interesting regime
- Implicit RK performs better
- Explicit RK cannot be used





In the oscillatory regime (near the essential spectrum)

- Magnus is the best
- Explicit RK clearly worse than implicit RK

Error behavior in the stiff regime ($N = 128,512$)



Error behavior in the oscillatory regime



21. Conclusions

Magnus integrators offer

- Unconditional stability
- Superior performance in highly oscillatory regimes
- Possibility of *a priori* stepsize control

Would I recommend Magnus?

- If higher bound states exist or are suspected
- If implementation time does not matter (e.g. for library codes)
- Generally, if good splitting methods can be found (→ Jitse Niesen)
- Discontinuous potentials?