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13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Words)

During carcinoma progression, tumor cells often undergo changes similar but not identical to epithelial-mesenchymal transitions in embryonic development. In this study I have demonstrated that experimental stimulation of hyaluronan synthesis in normal epithelial cells is sufficient to induce mesenchymal and transformed characteristics. Using recombinant adenoviral expression of hyaluronan synthase 2, I showed that increased hyaluronan production promotes anchorage-independent growth and invasiveness, induces gelatinase production, and stimulates phosphoinositide-3-kinase/Akt pathway activity in phenotypically normal MDCK canine kidney and MCF-10A human mammary epithelial cells. Cells infected with hyaluronan synthase 2 adenovirus also acquire mesenchymal characteristics, including up-regulation of vimentin, dispersion of cytokeratin, and loss of organized adhesion proteins at intercellular boundaries. Furthermore, I showed that transforming effects of two well-described agents, hepatocyte growth factor and β-catenin, are dependent on hyaluronan-cell interactions. Thus increased expression of hyaluronan is sufficient to induce epithelial to mesenchymal transition and acquisition of transformed properties in phenotypically normal epithelial cells. In addition I have shown that the extracellular matrix metalloproteinase inducer, emmprin, promotes anchorage-independent growth and stimulates ErbB2, phosphoinositol 3-kinase/Akt, MAP kinase and focal adhesion kinase cell survival signaling pathways in a hyaluronan-dependent manner.
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Introduction:
This report describes work done by Alexandra Zoltan-Jones for the period July 1, 2003- June 30, 2004. The grant was recently transferred to Silva Krause.

Task 1: To examine the effects of perturbing hyaluronan levels on mammary tissue morphology and polarization in a three-dimensional system.

Unfortunately, I was unable to set up the three-dimensional culture system satisfactorily in our laboratory, despite assistance from the laboratory of Dr. Mina Bissell. After much discussion with Dr. Bissell and other members of my advisory committee, it was decided that this culture system was not a suitable undertaking for a graduate student, due to intense technical and time requirements. In Dr. Bissell's lab, a specialized technical investigator carries out this technique.

Task 2: To examine the effects of increased hyaluronan on regulation of cell proliferation via phosphoinositide 3-kinase/PTEN, ILK and β-catenin.

Originally the system described under Task 1 was to be used for this purpose. Instead I used standard cultures of MCF-10A mammary epithelial cells as well as MDCK kidney epithelial cells, which are the 'gold standard' system for molecular and cellular studies of epithelial-mesenchymal transformation. Using these cells we studied the effects of up-regulating endogenous hyaluronan synthesis on changes in cell characteristics associated with epithelial-mesenchymal transformation, including cell proliferation and invasion.

Using recombinant adenoviral expression of hyaluronan synthase 2, I showed that increased hyaluronan production promotes anchorage-independent growth and invasiveness, induces gelatinase production, and stimulates phosphoinositide-3-kinase/Akt pathway activity in phenotypically normal MDCK canine kidney and MCF-10A human mammary epithelial cells. Cells infected with hyaluronan synthase 2-adenovirus also acquire mesenchymal characteristics, including up-regulation of vimentin, dispersion of cytokeratin, and loss of organized adhesion proteins at intercellular boundaries. Furthermore, I showed that transforming effects of two well-described agents, hepatocyte growth factor and β-catenin, are dependent on hyaluronan-cell interactions. Perturbation of endogenous hyaluronan polymer interactions by treatment with hyaluronan oligomers, which antagonist constitutive hyaluronan-CD44 interactions, was shown to reverse the transforming effects of hepatocyte growth factor and β-catenin in MDCK canine kidney and MCF-10A human mammary epithelial cells. Also, hepatocyte growth factor and β-catenin induce assembly of hyaluronan-dependent pericellular matrices similar to those surrounding mesenchymal cells. Thus increased expression of hyaluronan is sufficient to induce epithelial to mesenchymal transition and acquisition of transformed properties in phenotypically normal epithelial cells. This study is published (Zoltan-Jones et al., 2003).

In collaborative studies with other members of the lab, I also provided evidence that the extracellular matrix metalloproteinase inducer, emmprin, stimulates hyaluronan production in human mammary tumor cells, and promotes anchorage-independent growth and drug resistance in a hyaluronan dependent manner (Misra et al., 2003; Marieb et al., 2004). Using this information, I went on to demonstrate that, in weakly malignant MDA-MB-436 human mammary carcinoma cells and in phenotypically normal MCF-10A human mammary epithelial cells, over-expression of emmprin stimulates both hyaluronan production and expression of CD44, a major cell-surface hyaluronan receptor. I also showed that elevated emmprin in MCF-10A cells promotes their anchorage-independent growth in soft agar and increases activity of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt, MAP kinase and focal adhesion kinase cell survival signaling.
pathways. These effects are reversed by perturbation of endogenous hyaluronan-cell interactions by treatment with HA oligomers. Furthermore, I showed that emmprin-stimulated, hyaluronan-CD44 interactions regulate upstream events that are known to control these cell survival pathways, specifically CD44-ezrin and CD44-ErbB2 interactions, as well as activation of ErbB2. Treatment with hyaluronan oligomers causes dissociation of these complexes, thus indicating that endogenous hyaluronan polymer is necessary for the CD44-ezrin and CD44-ErbB2 interactions. This work is being prepared for publication.

Task 3: To examine the effects of hyaluronan on focal adhesion kinase activity.

As part of the work described under task 2, I also showed that increased hyaluronan production stimulates focal adhesion kinase activity in weakly malignant MDA-MB-436 human mammary carcinoma cells and in phenotypically normal MCF-10A human mammary epithelial cells, and that treatment with hyaluronan oligomers, which antagonize constitutive hyaluronan-CD44 interactions, reverse this effect.

Key accomplishments
- Showed that increased hyaluronan is sufficient for epithelial-mesenchymal transformation in phenotypically normal epithelial cells
- Showed that induction of epithelial-mesenchymal transformation by hepatocyte growth factor and β-catenin is dependent on hyaluronan
- Showed that increased hyaluronan production causes increased phosphoinositide 3-kinase /Akt pathway activity
- Assisted in studies showing that emmprin stimulates hyaluronan production, and promotes anchorage-independent growth and drug resistance in a hyaluronan dependent manner
- Showed that emmprin stimulates ErbB2 signaling and phosphoinositide 3-kinase /Akt, MAP kinase and focal adhesion kinase cell survival signaling pathways in a hyaluronan dependent manner

Outcomes
- Completed Ph.D. in Cell, Molecular and Developmental Biology at Tufts University
- Published three manuscripts – see references
- Obtained Postdoctoral position studying breast cancer at National Cancer Institute
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Sequences that are present in a given species or strain while absent from or different
in any other organisms can be used to distinguish the target organism from other
related or un-related species. Such DNA signatures are particularly important for
the identification of genetic source of drug resistance of a strain or for the detection
of organisms that can be used as biological agents in warfare or terrorism. Most
approaches used to find DNA signatures are laboratory based, require a great
deal of effort and can only distinguish between two organisms at a time. We
propose a more efficient and cost-effective bioinformatics approach that allows
identification of genomic fingerprints for a target organism. We validated our
approach using a custom microarray, using sequences identified as DNA fingerprints
of Bacillus anthracis. Hybridization results showed that the sequences found using
our algorithm were truly unique to B. anthracis and were able to distinguish B.
anthracis from its close relatives B. cereus and B. thuringiensis.

1. Introduction

The area of organism identification using DNA sequences has many appli-
cations in various life science areas. However, there are also many chal-
lenges. For instance, sheep pox and goat pox viruses are so closely related
that they cannot be distinguished using clinical signs, pathogenesis or sero-
reactivity. Furthermore, both cross-infectivity and cross-resistance have
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been reported\textsuperscript{36} to the point that the two were thought to be caused by a single viral species. However, genetic analysis demonstrated that sheep pox and goat pox are actually caused by two related, but genetically distinct viruses. Furthermore, the identification of a few base pair differences in the sequence coding for the P32 protein allowed the design of a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR RFLP) assay able to distinguish between the two species. This assay involves a PCR amplification with a common primer, followed by a digestion with a \textit{Hinf I} restriction enzyme that produces fragments of different sizes allowing the identification of the two species.

The issue of distinguishing between different species is somewhat academic if the two species exhibit both cross-infectivity and, most importantly, allow passive cross-protection as the sheep pox and goat pox do.\textsuperscript{35} However, this is not always the case. Genes that are present in certain isolates of a given bacterial species and are substantially different or absent from others can determine important strain-specific traits such as drug resistance\textsuperscript{13} and virulence.\textsuperscript{31} As an example, \textit{B. anthracis}, \textit{B. cereus}, and \textit{B. thuringiensis} are genetically so close that it has been proposed to consider them a single species.\textsuperscript{25} At the same time, these bacteria are very different on a phenotypic level. \textit{B. cereus} is a frequent food contaminant but only a mild opportunistic human pathogen;\textsuperscript{10,20} \textit{B. thuringiensis} is actually a useful bacterium being used as a pesticide\textsuperscript{46} while \textit{B. anthracis} is a virulent pathogen for mammals that has been used as a bio-terror and biological warfare agent.\textsuperscript{12,53}

In such cases, the identification of an organism-specific DNA sequence gains an increased importance. Even if such sequences are not functionally active, they can still be extremely useful if used as genetic fingerprints. DNA sequences that are present in a given species while absent from any other organisms can be used to distinguish the target organism from other related or un-related species. If such genetic fingerprints were available for organisms that can be potentially used as biological or terrorist weapons, the task of rapid threat identification, characterization, and selection of appropriate medical countermeasures could be immensely facilitated. Genetic fingerprints can also aid identification of genetic source of drug resistance of a strain,\textsuperscript{17} which can be useful to drug developers in pharmacogenomics.
2. Existing work

The existing work in the areas of organism identification using DNA signatures can be divided into two different categories. One approach uses a laboratory assay to identify the organism. Techniques used include amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH) and custom DNA microarrays. A second approach uses a purely bioinformatics analysis of the characteristics of the genomes of various species and extracts those features that are characteristic to individual species.

The laboratory based approach does not necessarily require information about the entire genomes involved and is better suited for the development of assays for monitoring and identification of biological threats. For instance, SSH, a PCR-based DNA subtraction method, allows identification of genomic sequence differences in a "tester" DNA relative to a "driver" DNA. AFLP relies on the analysis of a fluorescence based signal proportional to the size of various DNA fragments. SSH and AFLP have been successfully used to identify genomic sequence differences between various strains or species of bacteria. The major drawback of this approach is that it permits identification of genomic differences only between two organisms. For instance, in order to differentiate two species, one needs to use an SSH assay to compare each strain of one species with each strain of the other species. Clearly, this approach cannot be used to provide a genomic signature that would differentiate a given organism from all others.

The in silico approach to identifying genomic signatures is usually based on an analysis of the entire genomes involved and aims at extracting features such as species-specific codon usage. Comparative sequence analysis has also been useful in detecting intronic and intergenic regions as well as uncovering novel repeated structures. Several genome scale alignment tools are available: MUMmer, AVID, MGA, WABA, and GLASS among others. TaxPlot provides visual representation of protein homologs in microbial and eukaryotic genomes. Most of these pair-wise alignment tools assume that the input genomes are closely related. Therefore, there will be a mapping
of large subsequences between the two input genomes. In turn, they assume that these large subsequences, appearing in the same order in the closely related genomes, are very likely to be part of the final alignment. These regions are used as anchors for the alignment of the input genomes.

In general, anchor-based genome alignment programs first create a suffix tree from the two input genomes. A suffix tree is a compact representation of all suffixes in the input string. A suffix of a string is a substring starting at any position in the string and extending up to the end of the string. Next, the suffix tree is searched for sequences that appear in both input genomes. These exact matching subsequences are known as maximal exact matches (MEMs). The anchors are chosen from these MEMs. Different programs apply different criteria for the selection of anchors. For instance, MUMmer uses the longest increasing subsequence (LIS) for the selection of anchors. MUMmer allows the selection of overlapping anchors whereas AVID and MGA only select non-overlapping anchors. Since MGA allows alignment of more than two genomes, it only selects MEMs that are present in all of the input genomes. AVID first finds the length of the longest MEM and discards all the MEMs that are less than half the length of the longest MEM. After selecting the anchors, MUMmer employs a variant of the Smith-Waterman algorithm to close the gaps between the anchors. MGA and AVID close the gaps by recursively creating suffix trees for the non-anchored parts of the input genomes and hence, gradually reducing the gap sizes. Once the gaps are smaller than a threshold, MGA and AVID close them using the ClustalW and Needleman-Wunsch algorithms, respectively.

These large number of tools are all geared towards finding large-scale similarities between two or more genomes. Our focus here is different. While these algorithms were developed to find sequence similarities, our goal is to find sequence dissimilarities. These two problems are related but not reciprocal. Simply put, one cannot just take the complement of the sequences found in a similarity search and use them as genomic signatures. The main reason is related to the fact that a search aiming to find similarity will sometimes discard entire blocks after only a summary inspection because they are not sufficiently similar to the target sequence. On the other hand, a search aiming to find dissimilarities, i.e., unique signatures, has to actually focus on exactly those areas that are discarded without extensive analysis during the similarity search.

Here, we propose an algorithm for finding genomic fingerprints that distinguish an organism from all other organisms with known genomes.
As the number of sequenced organisms increases, this approach has the potential to substitute existing laboratory based approaches such as AFLP and SSH.

In this paper, we used this approach to find a genetic signature for \( B. \text{anthracis} \). Identification of genomic regions unique to \( B. \text{anthracis} \) can provide clues to its genetic relationship to other highly similar organisms. Related work for the detection of \( B. \text{anthracis} \) used plasmid-encoded toxin genes for rapid DNA-based assays. However, these failed to detect non-plasmid containing strains of \( B. \text{anthracis} \) isolated from the environment. Also, there have been efforts to design real-time PCR assays. However, these assays only targeted a single locus and they yielded false-positive results with some strains of \( B. \text{cereus} \).

3. Analysis methods

Our goal is to find unique DNA sub-sequences for a given target genome across all available known genomes. An obvious approach is to compare (i.e., align) the genome of our interest against all available known genomes. These alignments will reveal the parts of the target genome that do not align with any other genome (i.e., are unique to the target genome). However, this seemingly simple approach is computationally very expensive. The GenBank database at NCBI contains nucleotide sequences from more than 140,000 organisms. The length of these genomes vary from a few thousand base pairs to a few billion base pairs. Aligning the input genome with each of these genomes is computationally unfeasible.

The amount of computation can be considerably reduced by using the phylogenetic background of the target. Today biologists agree that various organisms have evolved from common ancestors. During evolution, functional genomic elements are conserved. Hence, two closely related genomes are expected to have many matching subsequences. If a subsequence that distinguishes the target from all organisms exists, this subsequence will also distinguish the target from its closest relative. Hence, a good initial set of potential genomic signatures can be obtained by comparing the target only with its closest relative and by retaining only those sequences that are different. Subsequently, each of these potential signatures is compared with all other known genomes. This approach drastically reduces both the number of comparisons required as well as the length of sequences to be compared (from a few million to a few thousand base pairs, at most).

In order to find the exact matching sequences between the target and its
closest relative, we start by using their concatenated sequences to create a suffix tree. We then use a suffix tree search algorithm as the one employed in MUMmer to find the exact matching sequences in both genomes. Since our goal is to determine a set of relatively short sequences to be used on a microarray type assay, we have to search both the forward and the reverse strands. Any sequences that match between the two organisms are removed from further consideration. The result is a set of short segments of the target genome that can be considered potential signatures. These are then compared with all sequences in the blast-nt database from NCBI. We consider a sequence is unique for the target genome if it does not align to any sequence from any other organism with an expected value (E-value) less than a threshold of 0.01. Fig. 1 provides an overview of this approach.

Figure 1. The genomic fingerprinting approach. Two genomes are searched for exact matching subsequences (MEMs). The MEMs are removed from the target genome and the remaining segments of the target genome \((A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n)\) are searched against the nt database. If the length of a segment is less than the user specified length, it is discarded and not searched in the nt database. As shown, if a sequence does not align with any sequence from another organism with \(E\) value less than the specified threshold it is considered as a sequence unique to the target genome.

4. Results and discussion

In order to validate our approach, we designed a custom microarray using sequences identified as genomic fingerprints for \(B.\) \textit{anthracis}. This array
was then hybridized with *B. anthracis* and *B. cereus*.

In order to find a genomic signature for *B. anthracis* we proceeded as follows. We searched the *B. anthracis* str. *Ames* genome (GenBank contig accession number NC.03997) for subsequences of 30 base pairs or more matching anywhere (direct and reverse strand) with sequences from the genome of *B. cereus* ATCC 14579 (GenBank contig accession number NC.004722). We chose *B. cereus* ATCC 14579 genome as a closely related genome because it is considered to be a good representative of the *B. cereus* family. Then, we removed all of matching sequences from the *B. anthracis* genome. This step produced over 6,000 sequences of length 50 or more. These sequences were then searched against the nt database using blastn. The sequences in the BLAST output that were not found in any other organism with E value less than 0.01 were retrieved and considered part of the genomic fingerprints of *B. anthracis*. There were 140 such sequences. Note that this analysis stage also removed sequences that matched the genomes of other close relatives of *B. anthracis*, such as *B. thuringiensis*, without ever directly comparing them. These 140 target sequences were provided to CombiMatrix (Mukilteo, WA) for the design of a custom microarray. CombiMatrix designed 2 probes for 80 target sequences and 1 probe for 22 target sequences (for a total of 182 probes for 102 target sequences) with melting temperature in the range of 70°C to 75°C and a length of 35 base pairs or more. Probes of the required length and melting temperatures could not be identified for the remaining 38 target sequences. The microarray was designed with three replicates of each of the 182 probes.

The custom microarray was then hybridized with samples of *B. anthracis* and *B. cereus*. The hybridization results showed that 18 probes only hybridized to the *B. anthracis* sequences indicating that they were true genomic fingerprints of *B. anthracis*. Table 1 provides the positions of the sequences on *B. anthracis* genome that were found to be unique in the microarray experiment.

Surprisingly, many of the initial 182 probes also hybridized with *B. cereus*. We further searched these cross-hybridizing probes against the blast-nt database. For the probes that hybridized to *B. cereus* the results of this comparison showed that although the target sequences of those probes are only present in *B. anthracis*, the part of the target sequence on which the probes were designed was not unique to *B. anthracis* and is present in other genomes. This shows that the probe design stage lost some specificity due to its unique added requirements: melting temperatures in a very narrow range, limited lengths, etc. In all cases, although the initial,
longer sequence was unique across the blast-nr database, by selecting a shorter subsequence, the probe became unspecific. Hence, another BLAST search is recommended before printing the assay, to check whether the sub-sequences selected as probes continue to be good signatures for the target organism.

Table 1. The following 18 probes identify 17 unique sequences of *B. anthracis* (Ames). The first and second columns indicate the start and end, respectively, of the target sequences from *B. anthracis*. The third and the fourth column are the start and end positions, respectively, on the corresponding target sequences for which probes were designed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence start</th>
<th>Sequence end</th>
<th>Probe start</th>
<th>Probe end</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>175,231</td>
<td>175,455</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175,567</td>
<td>175,677</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>488,976</td>
<td>489,620</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>945,569</td>
<td>946,506</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,629,522</td>
<td>1,630,538</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,629,522</td>
<td>1,630,538</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,845,001</td>
<td>1,845,303</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,021,535</td>
<td>2,022,919</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,098,619</td>
<td>2,099,274</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,783,190</td>
<td>2,783,405</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,918,788</td>
<td>2,920,251</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>1,013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,037,056</td>
<td>3,038,113</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,524,649</td>
<td>3,524,731</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,808,069</td>
<td>3,809,046</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,821,617</td>
<td>3,822,163</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,374,564</td>
<td>4,375,478</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,375,581</td>
<td>4,376,123</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,935,408</td>
<td>4,935,482</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusion

DNA sequences that are present in a given species or strain while absent from any other organism can be used to distinguish the target organism from other related or un-related species. The identification of such DNA signatures is particularly important for organisms that may be potentially used as biological warfare agents or terrorism threats.

Most approaches used to identify DNA signatures are laboratory based and require a significant effort and time. A bioinformatics approach can provide results faster and more efficiently. However, most tools built for
genome comparisons only allow alignment of two genomes at a time. Using this approach to find unique DNA signatures across all known organisms is unfeasible. In addition, all existing tools are limited to finding the similarity between two genomes. In contrast, looking for DNA signatures requires the development of tools that identify sequence dissimilarities. In this paper, we describe an approach to find the DNA fingerprints of an organism. We used this approach to find a set of unique sequences for *B. anthracis* which were then used to design probes for a DNA microarray. The hybridization results revealed that a subset of these probes were truly unique to *B. anthracis* and were able to distinguish between *B. anthracis* and *B. cereus*, which is a close genetic relative.
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