Award Number: DAMD17-00-1-0422

TITLE: Adequacy of Chemotherapy Dose Intensity Among African-American Women with Her-2/neu-Positive Breast Cancer

AD

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Jennifer J. Griggs, M.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Rochester Rochester, New York 14627

REPORT DATE: September 2004

TYPE OF REPORT: Final

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

+ 	·			·		
REPORT I	DOCUMENTATION P	AGE	0	Form Approved MB No. 074-0188		
Public reporting burden for this collection of infor the data needed, and completing and reviewing reducing this burden to Washington Headquarte Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction	mation is estimated to average 1 hour per response this collection of information. Send comments rega rs Services, Directorate for Information Operations a Project (0704-0188). Washington, DC 20503	e, including the time for reviewing in rding this burden estimate or any ot and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis I	structions, searching ex her aspect of this collec Highway, Suite 1204, Ar	isting data sources, gathering and maintaining tion of information, including suggestions for dington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of		
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE September 2004	3. REPORT TYPE AND	DATES COVERED			
			E FUNDING			
Adequacy of Chemothera American Women with He	apy Dose Intensity Amon er-2/neu-Positive Breas	g African- t Cancer	5. FUNDING N DAMD17-00	<i>IUMBERS</i> -1-0422		
6. AUTHOR(S)	۶ ۲					
Jennifer J. Griggs, M	.D.					
	- 					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8 PERFORMIN	G ORGANIZATION		
University of Rochester Rochester, New York	er 14627		REPORT NU	MBER		
E-Mail: jennifer_griggs	s@urmc.rochester.edu					
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDR	ESS(ES)		10. SPONSORI AGENCY F	NG / MONITORING PEPORT NUMBER		
U.S. Army Medical Rese	earch and Materiel Comm	and				
Fort Detrick, Maryland	1 21702-5012					
11 SUDDI EMENITADY NOTES						
TI. SUPPLEMENTART NOTES						
· · ·	1					
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILI	TY STATEMENT			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE		
Approved for Public Re	elease; Distribution Uni	limited				
		······································				
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 W	ords)					
background: Breast canc therapy may be poorer in b according to race. Method modalities was determined Multivariate analyses were and treatment course, such subjects were obtained for	er outcomes in black women black women. Purpose : To i is: Chemotherapy dose prop I for 489 women treated for r used to correct for age and h as delays in therapy due to HER-2 assessment Result	n are worse than in nvestigate the quali portion, dose intensi non-metastatic brea other sociodemogra o myelosuppression s: Black race was in	white women ty of breast c ty, and time t st cancer bef aphic charac . Archival tun	The quality of adjuvant ancer adjuvant therapy between treatment ween 1985 and 2000. teristics, tumor features, nor specimens from 165		
dose proportion (.09 lower modalities. Race and body reductions below standard perform a survival analysis breast cancer adjuvant the	, p = .002) and intensity (.10 y mass index were independ doses. There was an insuffi is in this subgroup according rapy is poorer in black wome	lower, p < .001) an lently associated wi cient number of sub to quality of chemot en. Interventions to	d longer time th intentional bjects had HE therapy. Con improve the	between treatment first cycle dose R-2 positive tumors to clusions: The quality of quality of care are		
necessary to eliminate disp	parities in outcome.					
14. SUBJECT TERMS		······································	, i	15. NUMBER OF PAGES		
Breast cancer, racial	disparity, quality of a	care	-	29 16. PRICE CODE		
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIF	TICATION	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassif	ied	Unlimited		
NSN 7540-01-280-5500		0.0100011	Stan	dard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)		
		,	Presci 298-10	ibed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 2		

Prescribed	by	ANSI	Std.	Z39-18	
298-102	•				

Table of Contents

• *

ۍ .

Cover	1
SF 298	2
Table of Contents	3
Introduction	4
Body	4-9
Key Research Accomplishments	9
Reportable Outcomes	10
Conclusions	10-11
References	12
Appendices	13-29

Impact of Chemotherapy Dose and Dose Intensity on Clinical Outcome in Women with HER-2/neu-Positive Breast Cancer

Introduction

¢,

Although the incidence of breast cancer in African-American women is lower than in white women, breast cancer mortality rates and case fatality rates among African-Americans are consistently higher than those among whites (SEER http://www.census.gov). Accounting for extent of disease (localized, regional, distant) does not eliminate and racial disparities in fiveyear survival rates (SEER http://www.census.gov). There is no evidence that the mortality gap is decreasing, even in a setting where there is equal access to care (Newman et al. 2002). Differences in the quality of chemotherapy received by African-American women may provide an additional explanation for the poorer outcome among African-American women. The benefit of adjuvant chemotherapy on disease-free and overall survival in women with breast cancer is significantly diminished in patients who do not receive full doses of therapy (Bonadonna & Valagussa 1981, Bonadonna et al. 1995). There is ample evidence that many patients who are not treated on a clinical trial do not receive the recommended chemotherapy dose intensity of 85% or more (Link et al. 2001, Lyman et al. 2003, Ottevanger et al. 2002, DeMulder et al. 2002, Schaapveld et al. 2004). This multicenter study focuses on the clinical impact of suboptimal dose/dose intensity in women with HER-2/neu-positive tumors, a subgroup in whom optimal chemotherapy may be particularly critical (Muss et al. 1994, Thor et al. 1998). This study involves in-depth review of treatment records of subjects who have received chemotherapy for breast cancer and identification of the HER-2/neu oncoprotein on archival tumor specimens. The primary measures of chemotherapy quality (relative dose and dose intensity) will be related to the subjects' clinical outcome. The ultimate goal of the project is to design interventions targeting those factors that lead to lower dose intense chemotherapy in an effort to eliminate disparities in the quality of care of women with breast cancer.

Statement of Work & Research Findings

Women who were treated with adjuvant chemotherapy for stage I, II, or III breast cancer between 1985 and 2000 were included in this study. This is a multicenter study with three sites, Strong Memorial Hospital (Rochester, New York), Henry Ford Health System (Detroit, Michigan), and Singing River Hospital System (Pascagoula, Mississippi).

The progress made for each task in the Statement of Work is described below:

Task 1. Identify eligible subjects by HER-2/*neu* staining on primary tumor samples and begin preparation for data collection, Months 1-12

- a) Identify 500 eligible subjects at the four participating sites
- b) Process and send samples for staining at central laboratory
- c) Stain and interpret HER-2/neu staining in black subjects and white controls
- d) Design database in preparation for data abstraction

Impact of Chemotherapy Dose and Dose Intensity on Clinical Outcome in Women with HER-2/*neu*-Positive Breast Cancer

Identification of Subjects (Task 1a)

The original proposal was to conduct this study at four sites; one of our collaborators was not able to participate, leaving the project with three participating sites. Using the respective tumor registry systems at each of the sites, we identified and located charts for a total of 670 subjects. Of these, 579 were eligible (blacks, n = 191; whites, n = 388). Reasons for ineligibility were receipt of primary ("neoadjuvant") chemotherapy (n = 24), chemotherapy interrupted by "sandwich" radiation therapy (n = 20), male breast cancer (n = 2), stage IV disease at diagnosis (n = 3), non-eligible chemotherapy regimen (n = 30), chemotherapy given at another site (n = 5), and previous breast cancer (n = 7). Complete clinical, treatment, and outcomes data were available for 496 (86%) patients. Of these, 164 were black and 332 were white. An equivalent proportion of blacks and whites (86%) had complete data.

Our research progress at the Singing River Hospital System (SRHS) was delayed by two years. Our Principal Investigator, Dr. Raymond Wynn, at that site originally was at Louisiana State University and then took a leadership position at SRHS. There was no infrastructure available to Dr. Wynn when he first arrived. Once he secured a data manager, data abstraction proceeded quite quickly.

Retrieval and Processing of Primary Breast Cancer Tumor Blocks (Tasks 1b and 1c)

Surviving subjects were contacted to obtain written, signed informed consent at the University of Rochester and at the Singing River Hospital System. Twelve subjects at the University of Rochester declined to have their tumor blocks retrieved and assessed for overexpression of the HER-2 oncoprotein. We were unsuccessful at contacting 17 potential subjects. All subjects with available tumor blocks (n = 52) were approached at the Singing River Hospital System. All potential subjects provided written signed informed consent for tumor staining for HER-2. Tumor blocks at the Henry Ford Health System are de-identified, and linking of the clinical information with the HER-2 results was done without identifiers.

Of the 496 subjects with complete clinical data, 208 (42%) had tumor blocks available for HER-2 assessment. Among the black subjects, 65 (40%) had tumor blocks available. Among the white subjects, 143 (43%) had tumor blocks available. This retrieval rate was lower than we had expected, but such a rate is not unusual, particularly as we were retrieving blocks from as long ago as 1985.{5623}{5624} All staining was performed in the Department of Pathology at the University of Rochester by one cytotechnologist and interpreted by the study pathologist.

Satisfactory HER-2 assessment was achieved in 165 cases (80%). Of the tumors of black women, 47 (72%) were successfully assessed for HER-2 overexpression. Of the tumors of white women, 118 (82%) were successfully assessed for HER-2 overexpression. Reasons for lack of success were inadequate sample for assessment and inadequate adherence of sample to the slide.

The procurement and processing of the tumor blocks took much longer than we anticipated. The fourth year of this grant period was requested because of delays in HER-2 assessment. The cytopathologist working on the study had multiple surgeries during the four-year study period, and the pathologist working on the HER-2 interpretation was on medical leave for several months in year 3.

Database Design

(Task 1d)

We have designed and refined a comprehensive database for data entry for subjects in this study using Access 97® (Microsoft Corporation). Data were exported to SAS for analyses.

Task 2. Abstraction of data from records of women whose tumors stain positive for overexpression of HER-2/neu, Months 13-24

- a) Train data managers in data abstraction
- b) Complete data abstraction from records of eligible subjects (approximately 40 subjects at each site = 160 total*)
- c) Complete data entry and confirm consistency of data abstraction methods

*Original grant application specified 4 sites with 160 subjects with HER-2 positive tumors. As described in the first paragraph of the Research Progress, we now have three participating sites.

Train Data Managers in Data Abstraction

(Task 2a)

Data managers were trained at each of the sites. The Principal Investigator and the Study Coordinator at the University of Rochester have continuously monitored the quality of data collection and entry. As described above, training of the data manager at the Singing River Hospital System did not begin until Year 3 of the grant period.

Data Abstraction from Records of Eligible Subjects

(Task 2b)

Data collection is complete on all eligible subjects. A total of 579 subjects were eligible. Of these, 496 had complete data. With funding from the Doris Duke Charitable Foundation and additional support, we have been able to complete data abstraction on all subjects who met criteria—including subjects with both HER-2 positive and HER-2 negative tumors. We were also able to perform data abstraction from all of the five hospitals in Rochester, New York under the Doris Duke Charitable Foundation.

Data Entry and Confirm Consistency of the Data Abstraction

(Task 2c)

Data entry is complete. Continuous monitoring of the quality of data by the Principal Investigator and the Study Coordinator at the University of Rochester has ensured consistency of the data.

P.I. Jennifer J. Griggs, MD, MPH

Task 3. Analysis of data, Months 25-36

- a) Design multivariate regression models
- b) Conduct analyses
- c) Interpret data with investigators in Consortium
- d) Begin designing interventions based upon results

Analysis of Data

Tasks 3a, 3b, 3c.

The purpose of this study was to examine patterns of care received for women with primary (non-metastatic) breast cancer. We investigated the quality of chemotherapy as measured by the dose proportion (actual: expected doses of chemotherapy) and the dose intensity (which takes into account the time required to complete chemotherapy). We also examined the time between treatment modalities. The results of the analyses that follow include the findings of subjects included through the grant reviewed in this report (DAMD 17-00-0422) and through a grant from the Doris Duke Charitable Foundation. The combined funding mechanisms have allowed for analyses in 489 women. An additional study, described below, demonstrated that insurance status is associated with the likelihood of having one's primary breast cancer stained for HER-2.

Quality of Chemotherapy. The methods and results of these analyses, as well as the interpretation of the data and a discussion of the implications, are described in the enclosed manuscript. In brief, we have demonstrated that black women and overweight and obese women receive lower dose proportion and dose intensity than white women and lean women. (Griggs et al., 2003) In multivariate analyses, dose proportion was .09 lower (p = .002), and relative dose proportion was .10 (p < .001) lower in non-overweight African-Americans than whites. Obesity was associated with lower dose proportion (p < .01) and relative dose proportion (p < .03). Race and body mass index were independently associated with intentional first cycle dose reductions below standard dosing regimens. Non-overweight African-Americans (p < .05) and overweight and obese African-American and white women (p < .001) were more likely to have first cycle dose reductions than non-overweight whites. We have thus demonstrated that black race and obesity are independently associated with suboptimal quality of chemotherapy after controlling for age, coexisting medical problems, and socioeconomic status. We have also shown that first cycle dose reductions (intentional dose reductions) are more common among blacks and heavier women.

Time between Treatment Modalities. We have also examined racial disparities in the duration from diagnosis to surgery, and from surgery to the start of chemotherapy. Data abstracted from medical oncology treatment charts included sociodemographic characteristics (age, race, insurance), comorbid conditions, tumor features (e.g. tumor size, estrogen and progesterone receptor status and nodal involvement), and treatment course (type of surgery, whether the woman had reconstructive surgery, and the timing of diagnosis, definitive surgery, and the

Impact of Chemotherapy Dose and Dose Intensity on Clinical Outcome in Women with HER-2/*neu*-Positive Breast Cancer

chemotherapy). Women's addresses were mapped to their census-block group to obtain neighborhood estimates of median income.

Subject characteristics were compared by race using t-tests, chi-sq tests and Fisher's exact test as appropriate. The relationship between race and the time between diagnosis and surgery, diagnosis and chemotherapy, and surgery and chemotherapy was examined using Kaplan-Meier curves compared with the log rank test. The independent effect of race was examined in multivariate analyses using Cox's partially nonparametric proportional hazards models. Covariates in these models included age, weight categories, comorbidity shown to delay surgical healing, tumor characteristics, node status, surgery type, year of treatment and treatment site.

Black women experienced significantly longer time periods between diagnosis and the start of chemotherapy (68.1 versus 52.6 days, p<.001). Black women also experienced longer durations for both components of this period, from diagnosis to surgery (22.9 versus 13.8 days, p<.001) and to a lesser extent, from surgery to the start of chemotherapy (46.9 versus 40.8 days, p=.04). The Cox models indicated that black women receiving mastectomy without reconstruction experienced longer durations between diagnosis and chemotherapy than did white women after controlling for the above-described variables.

Women with larger tumors experienced shorter durations from diagnosis to the start of chemotherapy, while women found to have 4 or more positive lymph nodes after surgery progressed more rapidly to their chemotherapy. Insurance status and median per capita income in the census block group were not significantly associated the time to treatment.

The findings from this work were presented at the AcademyHealth annual meeting in 2003. The corresponding manuscript is in preparation. This portion of the project was done in Years 3 and 4

Survival Analysis. The final portion of this project is the survival analysis in women whose tumors overexpressed HER-2. We retrieved tumor blocks from fewer subjects than we had anticipated. We had a 42% tumor block retrieval rate. It is in these patients that dose proportion and relative dose intensity are most important.{Muss, Thor, et al. 1994 3704 /id}{Thor, Berry, et al. 1998 3703 /id} The unexpected number of missing blocks or tumors where the cancer could not be located has decreased the number of potential subjects for the survival analysis substantially. We have HER-2 results on the tumors of 47 black women and 118 white women (total n = 165). Of these 165 tumor blocks, 65 were positive for overexpression of the HER-2 oncoprotein. There was substantial heterogeneity in the regimens that were used in the subjects included in this study. Because of the small number of HER-2 positive tumors and the variety of chemotherapy regimens, we were not able to perform a survival analysis according to HER-2 status and chemotherapy quality as originally proposed in our grant application.

Additional Findings. A supplemental project led by our Investigator at Henry Ford Health System was performed to determine which patient or tumor characteristics were associated with HER-2 ascertainment among breast cancer patients after HER-2 assessment methods were commercially available. Insurance status and expression of the estrogen receptor were shown to be independently associated with HER-2 assessment (Stark et al. 2004). This work was recently published in the *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. The manuscript is included with this report. This work was done in Years 3 and 4.

Impact of Chemotherapy Dose and Dose Intensity on Clinical Outcome in Women with HER-2/neu-Positive Breast Cancer

Design Interventions to Address Disparities.

(Task 3d.)

Having demonstrated disparities in the quality of breast cancer care according to race, socioeconomic status, and obesity status, we are now designing two additional research projects. The first is a natural outgrowth of the project funded through the Department of Defense. We are submitting a grant application to the National Institutes of Health to expand our network of research sites to include Louisiana State University and George Washington University. We will be collecting clinical and treatment information on 3,000 patients treated for Stage I, II, or III breast cancer. The purpose of the proposed project is to investigate the impact of race, socioeconomic status, and obesity status on the quality of care from diagnosis to completion of all treatment (surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation therapy). We will then examine the impact of the quality of care on breast cancer on breast cancer outcomes. The second project in development is a Patient Navigator Program for patients with abnormal screening mammograms or clinical breast exams. Such programs may help overcome the systematic obstacles faced by vulnerable populations (Freeman & Payne 2000).

Key Research Accomplishments

- 1. We have developed a network of breast cancer treatment sites.
- 2. We have developed a data collection system, comprehensive database, and statistical program to calculate dose and dose intensity of a variety of chemotherapy regimens for early-stage breast cancer.
- 3. We have demonstrated that disparities exist among different ethnic/racial groups in the administration of chemotherapy for early-stage breast cancer.
- 4. We have demonstrated that the time between various treatment modalities in breast cancer is longer for black women than for whites.
- 5. We have shown that obesity impacts chemotherapy prescribing patterns.

Award No. DAMD17-00-1-0422

Impact of Chemotherapy Dose and Dose Intensity on Clinical Outcome in Women with HER-2/*neu*-Positive Breast Cancer

Reportable Outcomes

- 1. "Ethnicity and Age Predict Suboptimal Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Breast Cancer," Abstract and Podium Presentation, Annual Meeting of the Academy of Health Services Research, June 2001.
- 2. "Do Physician Prescribing Patterns Differ by Ethnicity in the Treatment of Localized Breast Cancer?" Abstract, Annual Meeting of the Academy of Health Services Research, June 2001.
- 3. "Racial Disparity in Breast Cancer Chemotherapy," Presentation at the Henry Ford Health System Cancer Center Grand Rounds, November 30, 2001.
- 4. "Racial Variation in Breast Cancer Adjuvant Therapy," Cancer Center Grand Rounds, Medical College of Virginia, Richmond, Virginia, February 2002.
- 5. "Quality of Breast Cancer Adjuvant Chemotherapy in African-American and Caucasian Women," Presented at the San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium, San Antonio, Texas, December 2002.
- 6. "Disparities in Breast Cancer Treatment," Presentation, Rochester Clinical Research Curriculum, March 2003.
- 7. "Racial Disparities in Breast Cancer Care: Evidence for Systematic Disparities in Care" Grand Rounds, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, March 2003.
- 8. "Racial Disparity in the Dose and Dose Intensity of Breast Cancer Adjuvant Chemotherapy." Manuscript, *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*. 81:21-31, 2003.
- 9. Sorbero MES and Griggs JJ. Do African-American Women Experience More Delays in the Treatment of Breast Cancer Than White Women? AcademyHealth Annual Research Meeting, June 2003.
- 10. Griggs JJ, Sorbero MES, Stark PhD. First Cycle Dose Reductions in African-American and Overweight Women Receiving Breast Cancer Adjuvant Therapy. Presented at American Society of Clinical Oncology Annual Meeting, Chicago, IL June 2003.
- 11. Stark A, Kucera G, Lu M, Claud S, Griggs J. Influence of health insurance status on inclusion of HER-2/neu testing in the diagnostic workup of breast cancer patients. Manuscript. Internatl J Qual Health Care. 16:1-5.

Conclusions

We have demonstrated that there is systematic disparity in the quality of adjuvant breast cancer chemotherapy according to race, obesity status, and income. Other investigators have demonstrated that the quality of chemotherapy varies in patients treated off of clinical trials (Link et al. 2001, Lyman et al. 2003, Ottevanger et al. 2002, DeMulder et al. 2002, Schaapveld et al. 2004). Our work is the first to examine the impact of race on the quality of chemotherapy and to show that race predicts suboptimal chemotherapy for early stage breast cancer. Biological and socioeconomic differences do not explain the disparity in the treatment. Physician prescribing patterns, manifested by the use of first cycle dose reductions, appear to impart a significant impact on the outcome measures of our study, namely dose proportion and relative dose intensity. Women who are obese, black, and living below the median income are most likely to receive intentionally reduced chemotherapy doses. The systematic difference in the quality of chemotherapy may contribute to the higher case-fatality rate among African-American women and economically disadvantaged women with breast cancer.

Impact of Chemotherapy Dose and Dose Intensity on Clinical Outcome in Women with HER-2/neu-Positive Breast Cancer

We have also shown that the time to proceed through the multiple types of treatment, that is, surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation therapy, is longer in black women. A recent article demonstrated that time to treatment is longer in blacks but did not examine each of the intervals as we have (Gwyn et al. 2004).

Thus far, our measures are process measures of quality of care. If such dose reductions have an impact on outcome, and they appear to, (Morrow et al. 2002, Budman et al. 1998) we may have identified a contributing factor to the inferior breast cancer outcomes seen in black women, heavy women, and poorer women. Interventions to eliminate the disparities in the quality of chemotherapy have the potential to improve the quality of care for minority women and economically disadvantaged women with breast cancer. Management of localized breast cancer is complicated and requires coordination of care across multiple specialties. Our work raises the possibility that the process of care differs between racial/ethnic and socioeconomic groups. Our ongoing research program will be linking processes of care with outcomes. We will be submitting an R01 to the National Institutes of Health for February 1, 2005. This grant application will also be testing interventions to eliminate disparities in the quality of chemotherapy.

Reference List

- Bonadonna G, Valagussa P. Dose-response effect of adjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer. New Engl J Med 1981;304(1):10-15.
- Budman DR, Berry DA, Cirrincione CT, Henderson IC, Wood WC, Weiss RB et al. Dose and dose intensity as determinants of outcome in the adjuvant treatment of breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 1998;90(16):1205-1211.
- Griggs JJ, Sorbero MES, Stark AT, Heininger SH, Dick AW. Racial disparity in the dose and dose intensity of breast cancer adjuvant chemotherapy. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2003;81:21-31.
- Gwyn K, Bondy ML, Cohen DS, Lund MJ, Liff JM, Flagg EW et al. Racial differences in diagnosis, treatment, and clinical delays in a population-based study of patients with newly diagnosed breast carcinoma. Cancer 2004;100(8):1595-1604.
- Link BK, Budd GT, Scott S, Dickman E, Paul D, Lawless G et al. Delivering adjuvant chemotherapy to women with early-stage breast carcinoma: current patterns of care. Cancer 2001;92(6):1354-1367.
- Lyman GH, Dale DC, Crawford J. Incidence and predictors of low dose-intensity in adjuvant breast cancer chemotherapy: a nationwide study of community practices. J Clin Oncol 2003;21(24):4524-4531.
- Morrow T, Siegel M, Boone S, Lawless G, Carter W. Chemotherapy dose intensity determination as a quality of care measure for managed care organizations in the treatment of early-stage breast cancer. Am J Med Qual 2002;17(6):218-224.
- Muss HB, Thor AD, Berry DA, Kute T, Liu ET, Koerner F et al. c-*erb*B-2 expression and response to adjuvant therapy in women with node-positive early breast cancer. New Engl J Med 1994;330:1260-1266.
- Newman LA, Mason J, Cote D, Vin Y, Carolin K, Bouwman D et al. African-American ethnicity, socioeconomic status, and breast cancer survival: A meta-analysis of 14 studies involving over 10,000 African-American and 40,000 White American patients with carcinoma of the breast. Cancer 2002;94(11):2844-2854.
- Ottevanger PB, De Mulder PH, Grol RP, Van Lier H, Beex LV. Effects of quality of treatment on prognosis in primary breast cancer patients treated in daily practice. Anticancer Res 2002;22(1A):459-465.
- Schaapveld M, De Vries EG, Van der Graaf WT, Otter R, Willemse PH. Quality of adjuvant CMF chemotherapy for node-positive primary breast cancer: a population-based study. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2004;130(10):581-590.
- SEER Website. See http://www.census.gov. Accessed 24 Nov 2004.
- Stark A, Kucera G, Lu M, Claud S, Griggs J. Influence of health insurance status on inclusion of HER-2/neu testing in the diagnostic workup of breast cancer patients. Int J Qual Health Care 2004;16(6):517-521.
- Thor AD, Berry DA, Budman DR, Muss HB, Kute T, Henderson IC et al. erbB-2, p53, and efficacy of adjuvant therapy in lymph node-positive breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 1998;90(18):1346-1360.

P.I. Jennifer J. Griggs, MD, MPH

Award Number:DAMD17-00-1-0422 Impact of Chemotherapy Dose and Dose Intensity on Clinical Outcome in Women with HER-2/neu-Positive Breast Cancer

Appendix

List of Personnel:

4

Z

. •

University of Rochester

Principal Investigator	Jennifer J. Griggs, MD, MPH
Pathologist	Linda M. Schiffauer, MD
Sr. Project Coordinator	Susanne Heininger, RN

Henry Ford Health System

Site Investigator	Azadeh Stark, PhD
Site Project Coordinator	Gena Kucera, MPH
Histotechnologist	Bridget Elington

Singing River Health System

Site Investigator	Raymond B. Wynn, MD
Data Manager	Carolyn Freeman



Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 81: 21–31, 2003. © 2003 Kluwer Academic Publishers. Printed in the Netherlands.

Report

Racial disparity in the dose and dose intensity of breast cancer adjuvant chemotherapy

Jennifer J. Griggs^{1,2}, Melony E.S. Sorbero³, Azadeh T. Stark⁴, Susanne E. Heininger¹, and Andrew W. Dick²

¹Department of Medicine, Hematology/Oncology; ²Department of Community and Preventive Medicine University of Rochester, Rochester, NY; ³RAND, Pittsburgh, PA; ⁴Henry Ford Health System, Josephine Ford Cancer Center, Detroit, MI, USA

Key words: breast cancer, chemotherapy, obesity, racial disparity

Summary

Purpose. The purpose of this study was to investigate the impact of race and obesity on dose and dose intensity of adjuvant chemotherapy.

Methods. We abstracted data on patient/tumor characteristics, treatment course, physicians' intention to give a first cycle dose reduction, and reasons for dose reductions/delays from oncology records of 489 women treated from 1985 to 1997 in 10 treatment sites in two geographical regions. Administered doses and dose intensity were compared to standard regimens. Multivariate regression models determined the impact of race and body mass index (BMI) on dose proportion (actual:expected doses) and relative dose intensity (RDI) controlling for patient characteristics, comorbidity, chemotherapy regimen, site, and year of treatment. Logistic regressions explored race and BMI versus use of first cycle dose reductions.

Results. African-Americans received lower chemotherapy dose proportion and RDI than whites (0.80 vs. 0.85, p = 0.03 and 0.76 vs. 0.80, p = 0.01). In multivariate analyses, dose proportion was 0.09 lower (p = 0.002), and RDI was 0.10 (p < 0.001) lower in non-overweight African-Americans than whites. Obesity was associated with lower dose proportion (p < 0.01) and RDI (p < 0.03). Race and BMI were independently associated with first cycle dose reductions. Non-overweight African-Americans (p < 0.05) and overweight and obese African-American and white women (p < 0.001) were more likely to have first cycle dose reductions than non-overweight whites.

Conclusion. We identified systematic differences in the administration of chemotherapy given to African-Americans and to overweight and obese women. These differences may contribute to documented disparities in outcome.

Introduction

The incidence of breast cancer is lower in African-American women, but breast cancer mortality rates and case fatality rates among African-Americans are consistently higher than among whites [1]. The incidence of breast cancer in 1999, for example, was 123.9 per 100,000 in black women versus 143 per 100,000 in white women, but corresponding mortality rates were 35.8 per 100,000 in blacks versus 26.3 per 100,000 in whites [1]. Even after correction for stage at presentation and tumor biology, disparities in outcome persist [2-4]. Adjustment for socioeconomic status (SES) accounts for some but generally not all of the association between race and poorer outcome [2, 3, 5–10].

African-Americans treated on chemotherapy protocols appear to benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy with not only the same relative risk reduction in breast cancer mortality but also similar stage-specific outcome [11, 12]. This finding supports the conjecture that uniform treatment results in uniform outcome and argues against the hypothesis that race confers an independent deleterious effect on disease-specific outcome.

22 JJ Griggs et al.

Large retrospective analyses suggest that the beneficial impact of adjuvant chemotherapy on disease-free and overall survival in women with breast cancer is diminished when full doses of therapy are not given [13–17]. Studies addressing the use or planned use of adjuvant therapy in African-Americans and whites have generally not demonstrated disparities in the use or intention to use chemotherapy [3, 18].

We hypothesized that, among women who do receive chemotherapy, there are systematic differences in the administration of chemotherapy given to African-American and white women. The possibility that differences exist in the delivery of chemotherapy has been raised [2, 19] but not well studied. We also investigated the impact of obesity on prescribing patterns for African-American and white women undergoing adjuvant breast cancer chemotherapy. The practice of dose reduction in overweight and obese women continues [20] despite evidence that using actual body weight for chemotherapy dose calculations is safe [21, 22]. As obesity is more prevalent in African-Americans [23, 24], the practice of basing doses on ideal or adjusted body weight might lead to systematically lower doses in African-Americans.

Subjects and methods

Study subjects. We identified African-American and white women as potentially eligible using the Monroe County (New York) and Henry Ford Health System (Michigan) tumor registries. Subjects identified were treated at 10 treatment sites, including university and community hospitals (five of six treatment sites in Rochester, New York and all five medical oncology treatment sites within the Henry Ford Health System in Detroit, Michigan). Eligibility criteria included treatment between 1985 and 1997 with cyclophosphamide-containing adjuvant chemotherapy for localized or regional (stages I, II, or III) breast cancer. Patients treated with primary (neoadjuvant) chemotherapy, chemotherapy interrupted by radiation ('sandwich radiation'), or on high-dose chemotherapy protocols were excluded. We also excluded women who had had a previous breast cancer.

Data collection. The University of Rochester Research Subjects Review Board (RSRB #08217) and the Henry Ford Health System Institutional Review Board (HFHS IRB #900) approved the data collection protocol. Six trained abstractors performed the chart audits. A senior health project nurse at the University of Rochester repeated the data abstraction on at least 30 charts reviewed by each of the six abstractors and on a random sample of 125 subjects included in the final database. The senior project nurse did all of the data entry.

We abstracted detailed information from the medical oncology charts and treatment records on (1) subject characteristics, including age, self-assigned race/ethnicity, insurance type, address for census block group assignment, height, weight, and coexisting medical conditions (see below), (2) tumor characteristics, including tumor size, number of lymph nodes involved, estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR) status, and histologic grade, (3) chemotherapy regimen and treatment course, dates of treatment, dose of each drug administered, body surface area used for calculation of the drug doses, and whether a dose reduction from standard doses was used for the initial cycle of chemotherapy, (4) treatment site, (5) reasons for changes in chemotherapy doses or delays in treatment, side effects, hospitalizations, and discontinuation of therapy. White blood cell counts and absolute neutrophil counts (ANC) were recorded whenever available. Coexisting illnesses were recorded using the conditions in the Charlson index [25]. Menopausal status was determined using the medical oncologists' notes in the medical oncology record, which generally contained enough information to make a determination.

For patients treated in Rochester, the medical record was used to identify whether or not patients had private insurance. For the Henry Ford Health System patients, information on insurance was collected from the Patient Master Index database, a central repository for data on patient encounters at Henry Ford Hospital and all HFHS satellites. Those with Medicare were identified as having private insurance if they indicated having a supplemental policy in addition to Medicare Part A.

For each subject, the address at the time of diagnosis was used to identify the census block group. The socioeconomic information for the census block group, including measures of income and education, was determined from 1990 census data using Landview[®]III Environmental Mapping Software (US Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, Bureau of the Census, 1997). The use of census block group assignment in the assessment of missing individual level SES data has been shown to generate data that correlates with individual level data [26]. The *actual* doses of chemotherapy subjects received were summed across all visits to determine the total dose of each drug. The *expected* doses of chemotherapy were determined using height, weight at the time of the first treatment, and calculated body surface area according to standard chemotherapy protocols. The actual and expected durations of chemotherapy were also determined for each subject.

Outcome measures. We measured four characteristics of chemotherapy treatment. Each measure was calculated for each subject.

- (1) Dose proportion: ratio of actual to expected doses of chemotherapy in standard adjuvant chemotherapy regimens. This was calculated for each drug in the chemotherapy regimen. The ratios were then averaged to determine an overall dose proportion for the regimen.
- (2) Time ratio: ratio of the actual to expected duration of chemotherapy.
- (3) Relative dose intensity (RDI): dose proportion ×1/time ratio.
- (4) Reductions of doses at the initiation of treatment: a measure of whether or not a reduction in the doses of chemotherapy drugs used at the initiation of treatment was documented in the treating provider's note in the subject's chart.

Statistical analyses. Descriptive analyses of the subject characteristics and the outcome measures were performed for the entire sample and by racial/ethnic group. Comparisons between groups were performed using Student's t-tests, chi-squared tests, and Fisher's exact tests as appropriate. All tests for significance were two-sided. Dose proportion, the time component, and RDI were analyzed using multivariate regression to determine the effect of race controlling for other subject characteristics, including the body mass index (BMI) categories of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute [27] and initial white blood cell count, tumor characteristics, chemotherapy regimen, treatment site, year of treatment, and reasons for dose changes or treatment delays as independent variables. We included a full set of year of diagnosis indicator variables to account for time effects. We tested down to a specification that included a single indicator variable to identify if treatment occurred after 1993. The multivariate analyses for dose proportion and RDI were performed with cyclophosphamide alone as well as for the other drug(s) in the regimen without the cyclophosphamide. This was done in an attempt to reduce the variability that would arise from rounding doses of oral cyclophosphamide.

Due to the degree of multicollinearity on the SES variables, the dichotomous variable for per capita income above the sample median was the only census block group level SES measure kept in the models. The results were robust to a variety of specifications for the SES variables. Interactions between race and the other covariates were also examined.

As the outcome measures were sufficiently skewed as to result in heteroskedastic error terms, we explored simple transformations for the measures that would normalize the error terms, such as the natural log of the measure. Complex transformations, which would reduce the interpretability of our results, would be required to normalize the error terms. Hence, we opted to use robust standard errors to account for the heteroskedasticity in the error term rather than transform the dependent variables [28]. This generates unbiased parameter estimates but is a less efficient analytical method, resulting in wider confidence intervals than transforming the dependent variables.

Dose reductions at the initiation of treatment were modeled using logistic regression controlling for subject characteristics, including race, age, BMI categories, and initial white blood cell count, tumor characteristics, chemotherapy regimen, treatment site, and year of treatment, parameterized as described above.

Analyses were performed using SAS Version 8.01 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC) and Stata 7.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX).

Results

Subject characteristics. The African-Americans included in our study were similar to the whites with respect to age, menopausal status, tumor size and number of positive nodes (Tables 1 and 2). African-Americans, however, were more likely to be obese (BMI \geq 30, 43% vs. 21%, p < 0.0001) and to live in census block groups with lower per capita income (\$12,304 vs. \$17,700, p < 0.0001). African-Americans were less likely to be privately insured (84% vs. 94%, p < 0.01) and were less likely to have ER positive tumors (46% vs. 66%, p < 0.001). In addition, African-American women were more likely than white women to have coexisting illnesses. For example, 19% of the African-Americans in our sample had a Charlson index of 1 compared to 8% of the

24 JJ Griggs et al.

Table 1. Subject characteristics by race, n = 489

	Whites $n = 380$	African-Americans n = 109	p-value
Mean age (SD)	48.5 (10.2)	48.6 (10.9)	NS
Menopausal status, n (%)			
Premenopausal	217 (58)	54 (50)	٦
Perimenopausal	25 (7)	8 (7)	
Postmenopausal	134 (36)	46 (43)	INS
Unknown	4 (0.01)	1 (1)	J
Charlson index, n (%)			
0	337 (89)	85 (78)	٦
1	32 (8)	21 (19)	lan
2	8 (2)	2 (2)	(^{0.02}
3+	3 (1)	1 (1)	J
BMI categories, Ref. [27]			
Mean (SD)	26.8 (5.9)	29.5 (7.0)	٦
Underweight (BMI \leq 18.5), n (%)	9 (2.3)	3 (2.8)	
Healthy weight (18.5 < BMI < 25), n (%)	156 (41)	29 (26)	<0.001
Overweight ($25 \le BMI < 30$), <i>n</i> (%)	134 (36)	31 (29)	
Obese (BMI \ge 30), <i>n</i> (%)	81 (21)	46 (43)	J
Mean first WBC (SD)	7.1 (2.0)	6.3 (1.9)	<0.001
Use of granulocyte colony stimulating factor, n (%)	22 (5.8)	6 (5.5)	NS
Census block group below poverty level, n (%)	27 (7)	24 (22)	<0.001
Per capita income (SD)	17700 (7000)	12300 (5900)	<0.001
Average median income (SD)	41870 (16789)	26519 (14600)	<0.001
Private insurance, n (%)	357 (94)	92 (84)	0.01
Underinsured, n (%)	24 (6)	17 (16)	<0.001
Dose proportion (mean)	0.85	0.80	0.03
Dose intensity (mean)	0.80	0.76	0.01

whites (p = 0.001). There were no differences in the proportion of subjects with higher Charlson scores (2 or more).

Dose proportion and RDI. The mean unadjusted dose proportion among African-Americans was 0.80 compared with a mean unadjusted dose proportion of 0.85 among the whites (difference in dose proportion of 0.05, p = 0.03). The mean unadjusted RDI was likewise lower for African-Americans than for whites (0.76 vs. 0.80, p = 0.01). There was no difference in the time component between the two groups (1.07 for African-Americans and 1.06 for whites, p = 0.87). Seventy-two percent of the whites received a dose proportion of 0.80 or greater compared with only 61% of the African-Americans (p = 0.02). Multivariate regression results. We performed multivariate analyses controlling for tumor characteristics, coexisting medical problems, obesity, per capita income of the subjects' census block group, insurance type (private v.s. non-private), chemotherapy regimen, treatment site, whether chemotherapy was given before or after 1993, and reasons for dose changes and treatment delays (Table 3). The reasons for dose changes and delays are listed in Table 4. Fifteen subjects had missing data, such as tumor size and lymph node status, and were excluded from the multivariate analyses.

Dose proportion. The dose proportion in nonoverweight African-Americans was 0.09 lower than in non-overweight whites (p=0.002). Similarly,

Table 2.	Tumor	characteristics	by	race,	n	=	489	
----------	-------	-----------------	----	-------	---	---	-----	--

	Whites $(n = 380)$	African- Americans (n = 109)	<i>p</i> -value
Tumor size, n (9	%)		
<2 cm	173 (46)	39 (36)	٦
2–5 cm	177 (47)	54 (50)	0.05
>5 cm	30 (8)	16 (15)	J
Lymph node inv	olvement, n (%)		
None	136 (36)	41 (38)	٦
1-3	147 (39)	52 (48)	
4-9 /	54 (14)	8 (7)	0.12
10+	40 (10)	8 (7)	J
Estrogen recepto	or, n (%)		
Positive	252 (66)	50 (46)	٦
Negative	122 (32)	58 (53)	{ 0.001
Unknown	6 (2)	1 (1)	J
Progesterone rec	ceptor, n (%)		
Positive	228 (60)	50 (46)	٦
Negative	139 (37)	56 (51)	0.05
Unknown	13 (3)	3 (3)	J

overweight and obese women, regardless of race, received substantially lower dose proportions than did non-overweight whites (-0.11 in overweight African-Americans, p < 0.001; -0.08 in obese African-Americans, p < 0.01; -0.02 in overweight whites, p = 0.14; -0.09 in obese whites, p < 0.001). Initial (pre-treatment) white blood cell count was related to dose proportion in that each rise of $1000 \,\mu l^{-1}$ in the white blood cell count was associated with an increase in dose proportion of 0.007. Women with the largest tumors (over 5 cm) received higher dose proportion, which was marginally significant. There were also significant variations in dose proportion by chemotherapy regimen (Table 3). Women treated before 1993 received significantly lower dose proportion. Dose changes due to low ANC, delays or termination of treatment due to side effects, and patients' deciding to terminate chemotherapy were significantly associated with lower dose proportion. Other tumor characteristics, coexisting medical problems, age at diagnosis, insurance status, per capita income and changing regimens were not associated with dose proportion. There were no significant changes in the findings when the dose proportion of cyclophosphamide alone was the dependent variable or when cyclophosphamide was excluded from the analyses.

Time ratio (Table 3). The ratio of the actual to expected time to completion of chemotherapy did not differ between African-Americans and non-overweight whites. The time ratio was, however, 0.04 lower in overweight and obese whites than in non-overweight whites. Initial white blood cell count was negatively associated with the time ratio. Changing chemotherapy regimens was associated with a higher time ratio, indicating that changing regimens increased the amount of time it takes women to complete their chemotherapy. In addition, delays in treatment due to low ANC, low white blood cell counts, and missed appointments lengthened the time it took women to complete chemotherapy. There were also significant variations in the time ratio by site and chemotherapy regimen. Age at diagnosis, coexisting medical problems, tumor characteristics, insurance status and per capita income were not significantly related to the time ratio.

Relative dose intensity (Table 3). The RDI in nonoverweight African-Americans was 0.10 lower than in non-overweight whites (p < 0.001). Overweight and obese African-Americans and obese whites also had significantly lower RDI than non-overweight whites (-0.10 for overweight African-Americans, p < 0.01;-0.07 for obese African-Americans, p < 0.03, and -0.06 for obese whites, p < 0.01). Initial (pretreatment) white blood cell count was associated with an increase in RDI of 0.012 for each $1000 \mu l^{-1}$ increase in white blood cell count. Dose changes due to low ANC, delays in treatment due to low white blood cell counts, delays due to missed appointments, and delays or termination of treatment due to side effects were significantly associated with lower RDI, as were patients' deciding to terminate chemotherapy. There were also significant variations by chemotherapy regimen. Increasing age at diagnosis was associated with small but statistically significant decreases in RDI. Women with private insurance received lower RDI. Changing regimens and being treated before 1993 were associated with lower RDI. Women with the largest tumors, those over 5 cm, received higher RDI. Other tumor characteristics, coexisting medical problems, and per capita income were not associated with RDI. There were no significant changes in the findings when the RDI of cyclophosphamide alone was the dependent variable or when cyclophosphamide was excluded from the analyses.

26 JJ Griggs et al.

Table 3. Multivariate regression on dose proportion, time ratio and dose intensity, selected results

Variable	Dose proportion		Time ratio		RDI	
	Coefficient (SE)	р	Coefficient (SE)	p	Coefficient (SE)	р
Healthy weight and underweight	-0.089 (0.029)	0.002	0.010 (0.016)	0.52	-0.101 (0.030)	0.001
African-American						
Overweight African-American	-0.113 (0.032)	0.001	-0.027 (0.021)	0.21	-0.098 (0.032)	0.003
Obese African-American	-0.076 (0.029)	0.009	-0.025 (0.021)	0.23	-0.066 (0.026)	0.012
Overweight white	-0.024 (0.016)	0.14	-0.037 (0.013)	0.006	0.003 (0.017)	0.86
Obese white	-0.085 (0.022)	<0.001	-0.036 (0.015)	0.02	-0.058 (0.022)	0.009
Age at diagnosis	-0.001 (0.0007)	0.12	0.0002 (0.0006)	0.74	-0.002 (0.0007)	0.03
Comorbid conditions	0.021 (0.020)	0.29	-0.002 (0.017)	0.89	0.026 (0.021)	0.21
(Charlson index ≥ 1)						
No lymph node involvement	-0.026 (0.016)	0.11	-0.012 (0.013)	0.33	-0.022 (0.016)	0.18
Private insurance	-0.040 (0.027)	0.14	0.008 (0.021)	0.71	-0.053 (0.027)	0.05
Census block group per capita	0.011 (0.015)	0.48	0.010 (0.012)	0.41	0.003 (0.016)	0.85
income > median						
Dose changes due to low ANC	-0.035 (0.014)	0.01	-	-	-0.026 (0.012)	0.03
Delays due to low ANC	0.023 (0.008)	0.004	0.027 (0.007)	<0.001	-0.001 (0.007)	0.87
Delays due to low white blood	-0.001 (0.008)	0.90	0.028 (0.007)	<0.001	-0.018 (0.008)	0.02
Cell counts	0.000 (0.036)	0.76	0.056 (0.018)	6 002	-0.048 (0.024)	0.04
Delays due to missed appointments	-0.008 (0.020)	-0.001	0.030 (0.018)	0.002	0.048 (0.024)	~0.04
due to side effects	-0.167 (0.036)	<0.001	0.008 (0.019)	0.09	-0.172 (0.052)	<0.001
Termination of treatment due to	-0.241 (0.084)	0.004	-0.111 (0.046)	0.02	-0.169 (0.084)	0.04
patient decision	0.042 (0.016)	A 009	0.017 (0.013)	0.17	0.025 (0.156)	0.03
Treated before 1993	-0.042 (0.016)	0.008	-0.017 (0.013)	0.17	-0.035 (0.150)	0.05
Compared to CA*						
CAF intravenous, day 1, q. 21 days	-0.173 (0.029)	<0.001	0.028 (0.027)	0.30	-0.183 (0.030)	<0.001
CAF oral, days 1, 8, q. 28 days	-0.101 (0.027)	<0.001	-0.075 (0.020)	<0.001	-0.057 (0.029)	0.05
CMF intravenous, days 1, 8, q. 21 days	-0.052 (0.020)	0.008	0.048 (0.019)	0.01	-0.084 (0.023)	<0.001
CMF intravenous, day 1, q. 21 days	-0.036 (0.034)	0.29	0.050 (0.033)	0.13	-0.071 (0.035)	0.04
CMF intravenous, days 1, 8, q. 28 days	-0.001 (0.047)	0.98	-0.102 (0.050)	0.04	0.090 (0.059)	0.13
CMF oral, days 1, 8, q. 28 days	-0.087 (0.019)	<0.001	-0.047 (0.019)	0.012	-0.057 (0.022)	0.01
CNF	-0.088 (0.034)	0.010	-0.052 (0.031)	0.09	-0.116 (0.036)	0.001
Change in regimen	-0.029 (0.029)	0.31	0.127 (0.019)	<0.001	-0.057 (0.024)	0.02

* C = cyclophosphamide, A = doxorubicin (adriamycin), M = methotrexate, F = 5-fluorouracil, N = mitoxantrone.

First cycle dose reductions. Logistic regressions on dose reductions at the initiation of treatment generated findings similar to the patterns described above (Table 5). Non-overweight African-Americans (OR = 3.7, p < 0.05), overweight African-Americans (OR = 19.4, p < 0.001), obese African-Americans (OR = 11.5, p < 0.001), overweight whites (OR = 5.2, p < 0.001), and obese whites (OR = 21.4, p < 0.001) were more likely to have first cycle dose reductions than were nonoverweight whites. Initial dose reductions were more common before 1993 (OR = 3.5, p < 0.001). Women living in census block groups with per capita incomes above the median for our sample were less likely to have their first cycle doses reduced. There were significant differences by treatment site in the tendency to reduce doses at the start of treatment. Tumor characteristics, chemotherapy regimen, coexisting medical problems, age at diagnosis, white blood cell count at the start of treatment, and insurance status were not associated with initial cycle dose reductions. Table 4. Frequency of dose changes and delays in treatment by race

Variable	Whites	African- Americans	<i>p</i> -value
Dose changes and number of dose changes $n(\%)$			
Dose changes due to low ANC n (%)	75 (19.7)	17 (15.6)	NS
Mean number for those having dose changes	1.4	2.2	NS
Dose changes due to low white blood cell counts, n (%)	64 (16.8)	18 (16.5)	NS
Mean number for those having dose changes	1.5	1.8	NS
Dose changes due to side effects, n (%)	56 (14.7)	12 (11.0)	NS
Mean number for those having dose changes	1.3	1.2	NS
Dose changes due to weight loss, n (%)	10 (2.6)	5 (4.6)	NS
Mean number for those having dose changes	1.5	1.0	NS
Delays and number of delays			
Delays due to acute illness, n (%)	17 (4.7)	12 (11.0)	0.01
Mean number for those having delays	1.0	1.3	0.10
Delays due to hospitalization, n (%)	26 (6.8)	1 (0.9)	0.02
Mean number for those having delays	1.2	1.0	NS
Delays due to low ANC, n (%)	155 (40.8)	27 (24.8)	0.002
Mean number for those having delays	1.6	2.0	NS
Delays due to low white blood cell counts, n (%)	114 (30.0)	28 (25.7)	NS
Mean number for those having delays	1.7	2.0	NS
Delays due to missed appointments, n (%)	3.2 (12)	8.3 (9)	0.02
Mean number for those having delays	1.1	1.8	0.07
Delays and termination of treatment due to side effects, n (%)	30 (7.9)	7 (6.4)	NS
Mean number for those having delays	1.1	1.1	NS
Delays due to surgical complications, n (%)	2 (0.5)	2 (1.8)	NS
Mean number for those having delays	1	1.5	NS
Delays due to vacations, n (%)	11 (2.9)	2 (1.8)	NS
Mean number for those having delays	1.1	1.0	NS
Termination of treatment due to patient decision, n (%)	4 (1.1)	4 (3.7)	0.06

Table 5. Logistic regression to predict dose reductions at initiation of treatment, selected results

Variable	Odds ratio	95% CI	p
Healthy weight and underweight white	1.00	-	-
Healthy weight and underweight African-American	3.73	1.27-10.96	0.02
Overweight African-American	19.39	4.57-82.21	<0.001
Obese African American	11.54	3.21-41.54	<0.001
Overweight white	5.19	2.19-12.29	<0.001
Obese white	21.40	7.88-58.10	<0.001
Age at diagnosis	1.02	0.99-1.05	0.18
Presence of comorbidity	0.50	0.18-1.36	0.17
White blood cell count at start of chemotherapy	1.02	0.86-1.21	0.81
Private insurance	1.55	0.56-4.28	0.40
Census block group per capita income > median	0.49	0.25-0.98	0.04
Treated before 1993	3.48	1.63-7.41	0.001

Discussion

We have identified systematic differences in the administration of chemotherapy given to African-American women and to overweight and obese women regardless of race. African-Americans and overweight and obese women in our sample received lower adjuvant chemotherapy dose proportion and dose intensity after correcting for clinical and other sociodemographic characteristics. We have also demonstrated that more African-Americans had chemotherapy dose reductions for the first cycle of treatment, independent of weight and that overweight and obese women had more initial dose reductions, independent of race. The relationships between race and BMI and our outcome measures of dose proportion, dose intensity, and first cycle dose reductions were strengthened when we controlled for tumor characteristics, coexisting medical problems, income, type of insurance, and reasons for dose changes and treatment delays (including missed appointments).

Biological and medical reasons, such as differences in tolerance of therapy, comorbidity, or leukocyte counts, do not explain the differences in adjuvant chemotherapy treatment between African-American and white women. There is no evidence in our subjects that the African-American patients experienced more chemotherapy dose delays due to side effects than whites, and in fact others have found that there may be greater tolerance of therapy among African-Americans [29]. We also found no evidence that delays due to low ANC account for the lower dose proportion and RDI among African-Americans; more of the whites in our sample had delays in treatment due to low ANC (40.8% of whites v.s. 24.8% of African-Americans, p = 0.002). Thus, despite the association of black race with lower leukocyte counts [30, 31], we found no evidence that lower white blood cell counts accounted for the difference in dose proportion or RDI among the whites and African-Americans in our sample.

Measures of SES, such as per capita income of the census block group and the type of health care insurance, do not appear to play a role in the treatment differences we found. It is likely that SES would play a greater role in patterns of care such as referral for chemotherapy, recommendations for chemotherapy, and perhaps acceptance of therapy by the patient rather than the quality of chemotherapy administered once the decision to treat with adjuvant chemotherapy is made. Missed appointments, more common in the African-Americans, were associated with a lower dose intensity and may reflect the challenges posed by economic obstacles, such as difficulties with transportation or with job-related barriers. A limitation of this study is the fact that income and education information were not available at the level of the individual. Most of our measures of SES (other than type of insurance) are based on census block group assignment. The use of census-level data has been validated as a method for overcoming missing socioeconomic data in patient records [26], but had we been able to collect individual-level SES information, such as income, education, wealth, and occupation, we may have found an association with SES and our measures of quality of care. Moreover, chart audit, no matter how detailed, cannot provide information on family roles, such as care of young children or elderly parents, and social support networks. These factors may account for some of the observed treatment differences between ethnic groups.

Much of the literature on health care disparities has demonstrated that African-Americans are less likely than whites to receive intervention for the same condition. For example, Bach et al. demonstrated that African-Americans are less likely to have potentially curative surgery for stage I or II lung cancer [32], and Mandelblatt et al. recently confirmed previous findings that African-American Medicare beneficiaries are less likely to have radiation therapy after breast conserving surgery [33]. In these cases, it is difficult to determine at which point in the process of care the disparity arises: Are patients not being referred for surgery or radiation? Are they not being offered such therapies? Or are they declining treatments that are offered to them? Our study focuses on the patterns of care in patients who have been referred to medical oncology care, have been advised to receive chemotherapy, and have agreed to start adjuvant chemotherapy. Our process measures of dose proportion and RDI are focused therefore on what has been called 'realized access', that is, access to and receipt of high quality care necessary for favorable outcomes [34]. It appears that African-American women are experiencing a different process of care than the white women and that two of the possible factors - biological and socioeconomic factors - do not explain these variations.

An additional explanation for the racial disparities in the administration of chemotherapy we describe is that interactions between health care providers and their African-American patients differ from those with

their white patients [35-38]. Health care providers, the majority of whom are non-minority, may hold beliefs and assumptions, conscious or unconscious, about their patients' ability to comply with or to tolerate chemotherapy and the side effects, and these assumptions may differ according to patient race/ethnicity. In a survey of 193 physicians with a total of over 618 patient encounters, physicians perceived African-American patients as, among other things, less likely to adhere to medical advice, more likely to lack social support, and less likely to participate in cardiac rehabilitation [37]. In our study, the association between race and first cycle dose reductions may reflect similar differences in physician assumptions about their African-American patients in terms of the patients' social support or ability to tolerate chemotherapy. The disparities in care that we have described may also reflect communication gaps between patient and provider about, for example, the goals of therapy, the anticipated side effects, the management of side effects, and the potential impact of dose reductions and delays [35, 37, 39].

As with African-American women, overweight and obese women appear to be experiencing systematic differences in chemotherapy administration independent of comorbidity, SES, treatment site, and age. Multiple investigators have challenged the practice of reducing chemotherapy doses in obese women, a practice motivated by a desire to avoid excessive toxicity. There is mounting evidence that obese women do not experience increased toxicity when dosed according to actual body weight and that the use of ideal or adjusted body weight may in fact compromise efficacy [21, 22, 40, 41].

Of note, our findings did not apply only to the obese women (BMI \geq 30). Compared to healthy weight and underweight whites, whites who are classified as 'overweight' $(25 \le BMI < 30)$ were over five times as likely to have a first cycle dose reduction, and overweight African-Americans were 19 times more likely to experience such a dose reduction. Our results are similar to those in a study of over 500 women treated with breast cancer adjuvant chemotherapy between 1990 and 1998 at a single site in Toronto. Ontario. In this study, the majority of patients prescribed a first cycle dose reduction were overweight rather than obese [20]. Our finding that dose reductions for the first cycle of chemotherapy were less common after the 1993 suggests that some providers have changed their prescribing practices for some of their patients.

Racial disparity in adjuvant chemotherapy 29

We studied women treated before the widespread use of granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) in patients undergoing breast cancer adjuvant chemotherapy. Practice patterns and the resulting dose proportion and dose intensity may be altered by use of G-CSF; the impact of G-CSF on dose proportion and dose intensity in different populations would be an area worth investigating.

Further exploration of the underlying reasons for these discrepancies in the administration of adjuvant chemotherapy may identify correctable causes of outcome disparity in breast cancer. Dose proportion and dose intensity of standard dose adjuvant chemotherapy, measured using the RDI or the summation dose intensity of Hryniuk et al.[42], may represent measures of quality of care [43] that could be used further in examining disparities in cancer outcome. In particular, the practice of first cycle dose reduction that we observed suggests differences in prescribing patterns according to patient characteristics.

Would our findings be replicated in other populations in, for example, other geographic locations and other practices? If so, the strength of the associations between race and BMI with dose proportion and intensity would be compelling. If our findings were not consistently seen in other settings, such variability in practice would further suggest that dose proportion, dose intensity, and the use of first cycle dose reductions are all modifiable patterns of care.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge Frances A. Collichio, MD for her contribution to the initial concept for the project. This work has been supported by the US Army Medical Research and Materiel Command under DAMD17-00-1-0422 and by the Doris Duke Charitable Foundation.

References

- SEER website. See http://www.census.gov. SEER website 2003
- Newman LA, Mason J, Cote D, Vin Y, Carolin K, Bouwman D, Colditz GA: African-American ethnicity, socioeconomic status, and breast cancer survival: A meta-analysis of 14 studies involving over 10,000 African-American and 40,000 White American patients with carcinoma of the breast. Cancer 94: 2844–2854, 2002
- 3. Eley JW, Hill HA, Chen VW, Austin DF, Wesley MN, Muss HB, Greenberg RS, Coates RJ, Correa P, Redmond CK, et al.:

Racial differences in survival from breast cancer. Results of the National Cancer Institute Black/White Cancer Survival Study. JAMA 272: 947–954, 1994

- Joslyn SA, West MM: Racial differences in breast carcinoma survival. Cancer 88: 114–123, 2000
- Bradley CJ, Given CW, Roberts C: Race, socioeconomic status, and breast cancer treatment and survival. J Natl Cancer Inst 94: 490–496, 2002
- Yood MU, Johnson CC, Blount A, Abrams J, Wolman E, McCarthy BD, Raju U, Nathanson DS, Worsham M, Wolman SR: Race and differences in breast cancer survival in a managed care population. J Natl Cancer Inst 91: 1487–1491, 1999
- Perkins P, Cooksley CD, Cox JD: Breast cancer. Is ethnicity an independent prognostic factor for survival? Cancer 78: 1241-1247, 1996
- Bassett MT, Krieger N: Social class and black-white differences in breast cancer survival. Am J Public Health 76: 1400-1403, 1986
- Cross CK, Harris J, Recht A: Race, socioeconomic status, and breast carcinoma in the US: What have we learned from clinical studies. Cancer 95: 1988-99, 2002
- Shavers VL, Harlan LC, Stevens JL: Racial/ethnic variation in clinical presentation, treatment, and survival among breast cancer patients under age 35. Cancer 97: 134–147, 2003
- Roach III M, Cirrincione C, Budman D, Hayes D, Berry D, Younger J, Hart R, Henderson IC: Race and survival from breast cancer: Based on Cancer and Leukemia Group B trial 8541. Cancer J Sci Am 3: 107–112, 1997
- Dignam JJ: Efficacy of systemic adjuvant therapy for breast cancer in African-American and Caucasian women. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr 36–43, 2001
- Bonadonna G, Valagussa P: Dose-response effect of adjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer. New Engl J Med 304: 10–15, 1981
- Bonadonna G, Valagussa P, Moliterni A, Zambetti M, Brambilla C: Adjuvant cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and fluorouracil in node-positive breast cancer: the results of 20 years of follow-up. New Engl J Med 332: 901-906, 1995
- Wood WC, Budman DR, Korzun AH, Cooper MR, Younger J, Hart RD, Moore A, Ellerton JA, Norton L, Ferree CR, et al.: Dose and dose intensity of adjuvant chemotherapy for Stage II, node-positive breast carcinoma. New Engl J Med 330: 1253-1259, 1994
- Budman DR, Berry DA, Cirrincione CT, Henderson IC, Wood WC, Weiss RB, Ferree CR, Muss HB, Green MR, Norton L, Frei E3: Dose and dose intensity as determinants of outcome in the adjuvant treatment of breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 90: 1205-1211, 1998
- Colleoni M, Price K, Castiglione-Gertsch M, Goldhirsch A, Coates A, Lindtner J, Collins J, Gelber RD, Thurlimann B, Rudenstam CM: Dose-response effect of adjuvant cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, 5-fluorouracil (CMF) in node-positive breast cancer. International Breast Cancer Study Group. Eur J Cancer 34: 1693-1700, 1998
- Muss HB, Hunter CP, Wesley M, Correa P, Chen VW, Greenberg RS, Eley JW, Austin DF, Kurman R, Edwards BK: Treatment plans for black and white women with Stage II node-positive breast cancer. The National Cancer Institute Black/White Cancer Survival Study experience. Cancer 70: 2460-2467, 1992
- Kiefe CI: Race/ethnicity and cancer survival: the elusive target of biological differences. JAMA 287: 2138-2139, 2002

- Madarnas Y, Sawka CA, Franssen E, Bjarnason GA: Are medical oncologists biased in their treatment of the large woman with breast cancer? Breast Cancer Res Treat 66: 123–133, 2001
- Rosner GL, Hargis JB, Hollis DR, Budman DR, Weiss RB, Henderson IC, Schilsky RL: Relationship between toxicity and obesity in women receiving adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer: results from Cancer and Leukemia Group B Study 8541. J Clin Oncol 14: 3000-3008, 1996
- Smith TJ, Desch CE: Neutropenia-wise and pound-foolish: Safe and effective chemotherapy in massively obese patients. South Med J 84: 883–885, 1991
- Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL, Johnson CJ: Prevalence and trends in obesity among US adults, 1999–2000. JAMA 288: 1723–1727, 2002
- Mokdad AH, Ford ES, Bowman BA, Dietz WH, Vinicor F, Bales VS, Marks JS: Prevalence of obesity, diabetes, and obesity-related health risk factors, 2001. JAMA 289: 76-79, 2003
- Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR: A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. J Chronic Dis 40: 373-383. 1987
- Krieger N: Overcoming the absence of socioeconomic data in medical records: validation and application of a census-based methodology. Am J Public Health 82: 703-710, 1992
- 27. nhlbisupport.com/bmi 2003
- 28. Greene WH: Econometric Analysis. 2nd edn, 1993
- McCollum AD, Catalano PJ, Haller DG, Mayer RJ, MacDonald JS, Benson AB3, Fuchs CS: Outcomes and toxicity in African-American and Caucasian patients in a randomized adjuvant chemotherapy trial for colon cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 94: 1160–1167, 2002
- Reed WW, Diehl LF: Leukopenia, neutropenia, and reduced hemoglobin levels in healthy American blacks. Arch Int Med 151: 501-505, 1991
- Castro OL, Haddy TB, Rana SR: Age- and sex-related blood cell values in healthy black Americans. Public Health Rep 102: 232-237, 1987
- Bach PB, Cramer LD, Warren JL, Begg CB: Racial differences in the treatment of early-stage lung cancer. N Engl J Med 341: 1198–1205, 1999
- Mandelblatt JS, Kerner JF, Hadley J, Hwang YT, Eggert L, Johnson LE, Gold K: Variations in breast carcinoma treatment in older Medicare beneficiaries: Is it black or white? Cancer 95: 1401-1414, 2002
- Mandelblatt JS, Yabroff KR, Kerner JF: Equitable access to cancer services: a review of barriers to quality care. Cancer 86: 2378-2390, 1999
- Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care. 2002
- van Ryn M: Research on the provider contribution to race/ethnicity disparities in medical care. Med Care 40: 1140-1151, 2002
- van Ryn M, Burke J: The effect of patient race and socioeconomic status on physicians' perceptions of patients. Soc Sci Med 50: 813-828, 2000
- Cooper-Patrick L, Gallo JJ, Gonzales JJ, Vu HT, Powe NR, Nelson C, Ford DE: Race, gender, and partnership in the patient-physician relationship. JAMA 282: 583–589, 1999
- Balsa AI, McGuire TG: Statistical discrimination in health care. J Health Econ 20: 881-907, 2001
- 40. Poikonen P, Blomqvist C, Joensuu H: Effect of obesity on the leukocyte nadir in women treated with adjuvant

³⁰ JJ Griggs et al.

Racial disparity in adjuvant chemotherapy 31

cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and fluorouracil dosed according to body surface area. Acta Oncol 40: 67-71, 2001

- Gelman RS, Tormey DC, Betensky R, Mansour EG, Falkson HC, Falkson G, Creech RH, Haller DG: Actual versus ideal weight in the calculation of surface area: effects on dose of 11 chemotherapy agents. Cancer Treat Rep 71: 907–911, 1987
- Hryniuk W, Frei III E, Wright FA: A single scale for comparing dose-intensity of all chemotherapy regimens in breast cancer: summation dose-intensity. J Clin Oncol 16: 3137-3147, 1998
- 43. Morrow T, Siegel M, Boone S, Lawless G, Carter W: Chemotherapy dose intensity determination as a quality of care measure for managed care organizations in the treatment of early-stage breast cancer. Am J Med Qual 17: 218–224, 2002

Address for offprints and correspondence: Jennifer J. Griggs, MD, MPH, Department of Medicine, Hematology/Oncology and Department of Community and Preventive Medicine, University of Rochester, 601 Elmwood Avenue, Box 704, Rochester, NY 14642, USA; *Tel.:* +1-585-275-4797; *Fax:* +1-585-756-4448; *E-mail:* jennifer_griggs@urmc.rochester.edu International Journal for Quality in Health Care 2004; Volume 16, Number 6: pp. 1-5

10.1093/intqhc/mzh085

Influence of health insurance status on inclusion of HER-2/*neu* testing in the diagnostic workup of breast cancer patients

AZADEH STARK¹, GENA KUCERA¹, MEI LU², SARAH CLAUD¹ AND JENNIFER GRIGGS³

¹Josephine Ford Cancer Center, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, ²Department of Biostatistics and Research Epidemiology, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, ³Department of Medicine, Hematology/Oncology and Department of Community and Preventive Medicine, University of Rochester

Abstract

Objectives. To assess the prevalence of HER-2/neu testing in a community-based health care system shortly after the approval of several laboratory kits for HER-2/neu testing for diagnostic purposes by the US Food and Drug Administration and to discern the best discriminating variables for inclusion of the test in the diagnostic workup of breast cancer patients.

Design. A retrospective cross-sectional study was designed to analyze data for the period beginning 1 January 1999 and ending 31 December 2000.

Setting. Henry Ford Health System, the largest health care system in southeastern Michigan, is a comprehensive, self-contained system.

Study participants. Four hundred and fifty-one women diagnosed with primary invasive breast cancers were consecutively sampled from the tumor registry of the Henry Ford Health System.

Results. The proportion of women tested for HER-2/*neu* increased by 2-fold during year 2 of the observation. Absence of estrogen receptors (OR = 1.96, 95% CI 1.15–3.21), physicians with specialty in surgery (OR = 6.21, 95% CI 2.88–13.33, P = 0.0001), and having a capitated insurance (OR = 1.59, 95% CI 1.06–2.44, P = 0.027) were associated with HER-2/*neu* testing.

Conclusion. Absence of estrogen receptors was the only pathological characteristic associated with HER-2/neu testing. The effect of specialization in surgery on the increased likelihood of HER-2/neu testing can be explained mostly by the 'patient volume effect'. The observed disparity in the delivery of innovative diagnostic approaches to cancer patients was influenced by the type of health insurance. Implementation of institutional policies can improve in providing universal quality of care for all patients regardless of their health insurance.

Keywords: biomarker, breast cancer, health insurance, HER-2/neu

Introduction

Recent advances in biotechnology have made the concept of the formulation of molecular targeted adjuvant therapy a reality [1]. The human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER-2/*neu*) is a well-characterized biomarker in the biology of breast cancer which has had immediate impact on clinical medicine. The HER-2/*neu* biomarker is an independent prognostic marker and is associated with a relative resistance to anthracyclinebased chemotherapy and possibly tamoxifen therapy [2–6]. HER-2/*neu* testing among women diagnosed with stage IV breast cancer identifies potential candidates for Herceptin adjuvant antibody treatment [6].

In 1998, the US Food and Drug Administration approved several laboratory kits for evaluation of the HER-2/nen biomarker in clinical settings [7–9]. Two years later, the College of American Pathologists and the American Society of Clinical Oncology issued a series of recommendations regarding the significance of HER-2/*neu* testing in the diagnostic workup of breast cancer patients [10,11]. Despite extensive data indicating the value of HER-2/*neu* testing in clinical settings, the availability of approved laboratory kits, and recommendations from the two organizations, it was not until 2001 that several large health care facilities took initiatives to implement institutional policies to incorporate testing for the HER-2/*neu* biomarker in the diagnostic workup of breast cancer patients.

To our knowledge, no systematic investigation has been conducted to assess the variables that discriminate the inclusion of a novel biomarker with diagnostic value in the clinical workup of cancer patients. We undertook a retrospective

Address reprint requests to Azadeh Stark, Henry Ford Health System, Josephine Ford Cancer Center, One Ford Place, 5C, Detroit, MI 48202, USA. E-mail: astark I@hfhs.org

International Journal for Quality in Health Care vol. 16 no. 6

© International Society for Quality in Health Care and Oxford University Press 2004; all rights reserved

7

•

A. Stark et al.

cross-sectional study to evaluate the proportion of women who were tested for HER-2/*neu* and to determine the variables that were associated with HER-2/*neu* testing of a woman at the initial clinical presentation of her breast cancer.

Methods

Study population

The study participants were patients at the Henry Ford Health System, the largest health care provider in southeastern Michigan. About 60% of the patient population at the Henry Ford Health System are insured through the Health Alliance Plan, a large, not-for-profit, mixed-model health maintenance organization. The remaining 40% have coverage through the traditional fee-for-service insurance, Medicare, or Medicaid.

We identified women diagnosed with primary breast cancers from the tumor registry of the Henry Ford Health System. The other eligibility criteria were: (i) date of diagnosis of the first primary breast cancer between 1 January 1999 and 31 December 2000; (ii) patients received treatment and post-treatment follow-up at either the main hospital campus of the Henry Ford Health System or one of its satellite hospitals or clinics, and (iii) pathological diagnosis of stage I, II, III, or IV. Women diagnosed with stage 0 breast cancer were excluded from the study since HER-2/neu testing does not influence clinical decisions about their course of treatment. Women diagnosed with recurrent breast cancer were excluded to reduce the potential confounding effect of disease severity.

Data collection

When a patient is first seen at any of the Henry Ford Health System facilities for any reason, he/she is assigned a permanent and unique lifetime medical record number and entered into the Master Patient Index, which serves as the central data repository for each patient. The Master Patient Index database is useful in obtaining demographic and health insurance data. The electronic medical records contain notes from physicians, radiology and pathology records, and clinical laboratory results.

The data collection of this project was exempt from requiring written informed consent, stipulated by the US Department of Health and Human Services regulation 45 CFR 46, Nos. 3 and 5. Data were collected from existing databases and no study participant was contacted. The Institutional Review Board at Henry Ford Health System approved this study (IRB # 1369).

We abstracted data on (i) patient characteristics, (ii) tumor characteristics, and (iii) results of HER-2/new testing, date of request and specialty of physician who had submitted the request. Insurance plans were then categorized into feefor-service, capitated insurance, Medicare, or Medicaid. If patients with Medicare Part A had supplemental policies, then their insurance was classified as fee-for-service or capitated, depending on its type. Patients with fee-for-service insurance were self-referred, whereas patients with capitated insurance were referred by a primary care physician to a surgeon or medical oncologist.

Statistical methods

We used descriptive statistics to summarize the characteristics of the study population. The variable 'age at the time of diagnosis' was categorized into six age groups: <40, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, 70–79, and 80 years and older. We classified breast cancers based on their expression of the estrogen receptor status into two phenotypes: positive and negative. Cancer stage was classified as I–IV and nuclear grades as 1–3. Axillary nodal involvement was classified into four groups: (i) lymph nodes were not evaluated, (ii) evaluated but negative for metastasis, (iii) only sentinel node positive for metastasis, and (iv) sentinel and axillary lymph nodes positive for metastasis. Finally, we dichotomized the study participants into two groups 'evaluated for HER-2/*net*' and 'not evaluated for HER-2/*net*'.

We applied multivariate logistic regression to determine the variables associated with inclusion of HER-2/neu testing in the diagnostic workup of patients. In developing the best fitted model, we first estimated the individual effect of each variable on HER-2/neu testing. Correlations between different variables were estimated and the multi-collinearity effect was prevented by including in the model only variables with coefficient values of ≤ 0.70 [12]. Variables with a *P*-value of <0.10 from the univariate analyses were considered candidate variables. Interactions between variables were also tested at $P \leq 0.1$. The initial model was built using the forward selection approach. The final model contained only variables that were significant at $P \le 0.05$ and interaction terms that remained significant at $P \leq 0.10$. We adjusted the final model for the year of diagnosis to account for time effects. Finally, we used the combination of logistic regression and the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve to detect the best discriminative factors for inclusion of HER-2/neu testing at the time of diagnosis [13,14]. The curve is a graphic display of predictive accuracy of the logistic model with the area under the curve equal to the C-index. A C-index of 0.8 or greater suggests that the model has some utility in predicting outcomes in clinical settings [15]. The Statistical Analysis System (SAS, Cary, NC, USA), version 8.2 was used to conduct the statistical analyses.

Results

We identified a total of 482 women from the tumor registry at Henry Ford Health System. After reviewing medical records, we excluded 31 women from the study because: (i) of electronic restriction on accessing medical records (n = 16, 3%), (ii) of recurrent cancers (n = 2, 0.4%), (iii) date of diagnosis was prior to 1999 (n = 1, 0.1%), (iv) patients were metastatic at the time of diagnosis and refused any medical intervention (n = 4, 0.8%), (v) patients opted to be treated elsewhere (n = 4, 0.8%), and (vi) referral to Henry Ford Health System for a second opinion (n =4, 0.8%). A total of 451 women remained in the study.

The proportion of women whose cancers were evaluated for HER-2/*neu* was 51.9% (n = 234). Of these women, 72 (30.8%) were evaluated for HER-2/*neu* in 1999 and 162 (69.2%) in 2000, an ~2-fold increase (Table 1). On average women who were tested for HER-2/*neu* were younger (57.8 ± 13.4 years)

Variables	Tested for HER-2, n (%)	Not tested for HER-2, n (%)	P-value	
Year of diagnosis				
1999	72 (30.9)	163 (74.8)	< 0.0001	
2000	161 (74.5)	55 (25.2)		
Age at diagnosis				
Mean (±SD)	57.8 (±13.4)	62.0 (±14.1)	0.001	
Physicians' specialty				
Surgery	220 (95.2)	173 (79.4)	<0.0001	
Oncologist	11 (4.8)	45 (20.6)		
Insurance type				
Capitated coverage	141 (60.3)	99 (45.6)	0.02	
Fee-for-service	93 (39.7)	118 (54.4)		
Estrogen receptor status				
Positive	163 (69.7)	175 (80.6)	0.03	
Negative	69 (29.5)	41 (18.9)		
Unknown	2 (1.3)	1 (0.5)		
Stage			0.66	
Ĩ	119 (51.1)	102 (46.8)		
II	93 (39.9)	95 (43.6)		
III & IV	21 (9.0)	21 (9.6)		
Nuclear grade ²				
Well differentiated	36 (15.4)	42 (19.4)	0.008	
Moderately differentiated	89 (38.0)	104 (47.9)		
Poorly differentiated	106 (45.3)	65 (29.9)		
Unknown	3 (1.3)	6 (2.8)		
Nodal involvement				
Evaluated, negative	142 (60.7)	124 (57.1)	0.70	
Positive, sentinel node only	32 (14.8)	34 (14.5)		
Positive, sentinel & axillary	37 (17.0)	48 (20.5)		
Not evaluated	10 (4.3)	24 (11.1)		

 Table I Comparison of the two groups of women 'evaluated for HER-2/new status' and 'not evaluated for HER-2/new status'

 for selected characteristics

than those who were not $(62.0 \pm 14.1 \text{ years})$ (P = 0.001). Oncologists and surgeons requested testing of HER-2/new for 11 (4.8%) and 220 (95.2%) patients, respectively. About 60% (n = 141) of women with capitated insurance coverage and 40% (n = 93) of women with fee-for-service insurance were tested for HER-2/new.

Among women tested for HER-2/*neu*, 30% (n = 69) were estrogen receptor negative. Of women who were not tested for HER-2/*neu*, 19% (n = 41) were diagnosed with cancers not expressing estrogen receptors (P = 0.03). Finally, of the women who were tested for HER-2/*neu*, 45% (n = 106) were diagnosed with poorly differentiated nuclear grade cancers, compared with 30% (n = 65) women. This difference was statistically significant (P = 0.008). patient's treatment were the best indicators for HER-2/new testing. Women who were insured through a fee-for-service type insurance were less likely to be tested for HER-2/new relative to those who were insured through a capitated insurance (OR = 0.55, 95% CI 0.36–0.85, P = 0.008). The likelihood of HER-2/new testing increased by almost 2-fold if a woman was diagnosed with estrogen-receptor-negative cancer (OR = 1.92, 95% CI 1.15–3.21, P = 0.013). Finally, the likelihood of a woman being evaluated for HER-2/new increased by almost 6-fold (OR = 6.2, 95% CI 2.88–13.33, P = 0.0001) if the referral physician was specialized in surgery. Results of the ROC analysis yielded C-indexes of 0.799, indicating a moderate discriminative model.

Multivariate logistic regression modeling

Results from the multivariate logistic regression modeling are presented in Table 2. Type of health insurance, estrogen receptor status, and specialty of physician in charge of the

Discussion

.

We conducted a retrospective analysis to assess the proportion of women who were tested for HER-2/neu shortly after the availability of standardized laboratory kits for use in the clinical

A. Stark et al.

			an 1	0.504 072	
Variable	$\frac{\text{Tested for}}{\text{bdness of fit} = 0.342)^3} \text{HER-2} \qquad \text{for HER-2}$	Not tested	OR'	95% CI ²	P
Model (goodness of fit = 0.342) ³					
Insurance type					
Capitated coverage	141	99	1.00	-	-
Fee-for-service	93	118	0.63	0.41-0.94	0.027
Estrogen receptor status ⁴					
Positive	163	175	1.00	-	_
Negative	69	41	1.96	1.15-3.21	0.013
Physician's specialty					
Oncology	11	45	1.00	-	-
Surgery	220	173	6.21	2.88–13.33	0.0001

 Table 2 Variables best associated with HER-2/neu testing of breast cancer patients at the initial clinical presentation of cancer:

 results of the multivariate logistic regression analyses

¹Odds ratio.

4

²95% confidence interval.

³Adjusted for the year of diagnosis.

setting. During the period of 1999 and 2000, testing for HER-2/neu status was based on the discernment of the physician in charge of the patient's treatment; thus, we evaluated the variables that best discriminated for inclusion of the test in the diagnostic workup of breast cancer patients. Women whose cancers were characterized by the absence of estrogen receptor were more likely to be tested. Our finding concurs with the clinical correlative studies and laboratory experimental studies describing an inverse association between the HER-2/neu biomarkers and the presence of estrogen receptors [2-4,16].

We report that the differential in testing of the HER-2/new biomarker could have been in response to the physicians' learning process, the associated diagnostic costs, and patients' health insurance coverage. Between 1999 and 2000, the proportion of women who were tested for HER-2/neu increased by almost 2-fold. We attribute this increase to the learning curve phenomenon. Our literature search of the Medline database yielded a >1.5-fold increase in the scientific coverage of HER-2/neu laboratory testing between 1999 and 2000. This increase might have been an influencing factor for accepting the validity and reliability of the kits for diagnostic purposes. Also, in 2000, a series of recommendations regarding HER-2/neu testing, one of which was that the routine testing of HER-2/neu should be a component of the diagnostic workup for every woman diagnosed with breast cancer, was published [10,11]. Finally, patients' knowledge about HER-2/neu testing might have been another reason for the observed increase. Within this study population, two women were evaluated for HER-2/neu despite having excellent prognoses (well differentiated tumor grade, stage I, no nodal involvement and positivity for hormonal receptors). An in-depth review of their medical records indicated that these patients were self-educated about the issue of HER-2/neu testing and had requested that their cancers be tested for HER-2/neu.

Surgeons were more likely to test their patients for HER-2/ *nen*. We attribute this observation to the group of surgeons with a subspecialty of surgical oncology. There is no support in the literature for the effect of surgical specialty or clinical experience on HER-2/neu testing or other biomarkers with potential diagnostic value. However, others have reported improved survival of breast cancer patients when treated by surgical oncologists [17]. The effect of surgeon specialization on increased survival among breast cancer patients has been attributed to: (i) pure volume effect, (ii) surgical skills and (iii) more appropriate use of adjuvant therapies [17]. In this study, the observed higher percentage of requests for HER-2/neu testing by surgical oncologists might have been due to the 'volume effect'. At the Henry Ford Health System, the majority of breast cancer patients are referred to surgical oncologists for confirmation of a suspicious mammogram or a palpable lump in the breast.

Women who were insured through a fee-for-service plan were less likely to be tested for HER-2/neu relative to those who had coverage through capitated insurance. There is no controversy regarding billing for HER-2/neu testing, however, reimbursement may vary by payer and by national region [18]. Participants in this study were from the same area; therefore, reimbursement variation by region could not have been an influencing factor. Also, since the participating physicians at Henry Ford Health System provide medical care to patients regardless of their insurance plan (fee-for-service, capitated, Medicare, or Medicaid), the variable 'physician in charge' was not a significant confounding factor. Our data indicate that insurance and the out-of-pocket costs might have been the reasons for the observed differences in HER-2/neu testing. Evaluation of the billing data and consultation with several individuals in the Department of Patient Financial Services and the Revenue Manager at the Department of Pathology suggested that between 1999 and 2000 the largest fee-for-service health insurance provider for this population accepted a maximum of \$675.35 and \$181.90 for HER-2/neu testing, depending on the type of laboratory testing. In contrast, capitated insurance covered the entire cost. Therefore, we propose that some physicians might have refrained from HER-2/neu testing simply to reduce the burden of out-of-pocket cost on

their patients. The disparity in the health service delivery and utilization of effective care between fee-for-service and capitated insurance has been reported [19-21]. One of the theoretical benefits of capitated insurance is the philosophy of reducing cost by emphasizing primary and secondary interventions and transferring the cost-saving initiatives to patients. However, others suggest that health care providers tend to increase utilization of services for patients whose insurance coverage has minimal or no out-of-pocket costs in order to recover the revenue losses caused by cost-sharing insurances [22]. The observed higher probability of HER-2/neu testing in the diagnostic workup of breast cancer patients with capitated insurance might have been to offset losses in revenue. Regardless of the reason, disparity in quality of care delivery due to the effect of health insurance exists and has been reported for medical conditions other than breast cancer [23]. In 2001, many institutions including the Henry Ford Health System implemented policies that testing for HER-2/neu should be a component of the diagnostic workup of any woman newly diagnosed with invasive breast cancer of any stage. Implementation of this policy has standardized the quality of care for every single breast cancer patient.

We acknowledge the limitations of the present study. Firstly, the scope of this study was limited to only one institution and one geographical region. Secondly, due to the relatively small sample size the study did not have adequate statistical power to discern the influence of socio-economic status on HER-2/*neu* testing.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the US Army Medical Research and Material Command under DAMD17-00-1-0422 and by the Josephine Ford Cancer Center Research Fund.

References

- 1. Liotta L, Petricoin E. Molecular profiling of human cancer. Nat Rev Genet 2000; 1: 48–56.
- Tetu B, Brisson J. Prognostic significance of Her-2/new positive breast carcinoma as a particular subset with peculiar clinical behavior. Clin Cancer Res 2002; 8: 520-525.
- Carlomagno C, Perrone F, Gallo C et al. c-erbB-2 overexpression decreases the benefit of adjuvant tamoxifen in early-stage breast cancer without axillary lymph node metastases. J Clin Oncol 1996; 14: 2702–2708.
- Slamon DJ, Clark GM, Wong SG et al. Human breast cancer: Correlation of relapse and survival with amplification of the Her-2/neu oncogene. Science 1987; 235: 177-181.
- Petit T, Borel C, Ghnassia JP et al. Chemotherapy response of breast cancer depends on Her-2 status and anthracycline dose intensity in the neoadjuvant setting. *Clin Cancer Res* 2001; 7: 1577–1581.
- Stebbing J, Copson E, O'Reilly S. Herceptin (trastuzamab) in advanced breast cancer. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2000; 26: 287–290.

- Funkhouser WK, Kaiser-Rogers K. Review: Significance of, and optimal screening for, *Her-2* gene amplification and protein overexpression in breast carcinoma. *Ann Clin Lab Sci* 2001; 31: 349–357.
- Hanna W, Kahn HGJ, Trudeau M. Evaluation of the HER-2/ new (erbB-2) status in breast cancer: From bench to bedside. Med Pathol 1999; 12: 827-834.
- Piccart M. Closing remarks and treatment guidelines. Eur J Cancer 2001; 37: 30-33.
- Fitzgibbons PL, Page DI, Weaver D et al. Prognostic factors in breast cancer: College of American Pathologists Consensus Statement 1999. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2000; 124: 966-978, 2000.
- Bast RC, Ravidn P, Hayes DE et al. 2000 update of recommendations for the use of tumor markers in breast and colorectal cancer: clinical practice guidelines of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. J Clin Oncol 2001; 19: 1865–1978.
- Hosmer D, Lemeshow S. Applied Logistic Regression. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1997.
- Harrell FE. Predicting outcomes: Applied Survival Analysis and Logistic Regression. Charlottesville, VA: University of Virginia Press, 1997: 126–139.
- Erdreich LS, Lee ET. Use of relative operating characteristic analysis in epidemiology, a method for dealing with subjective judgment. Am J Epidemiol 1981; 114: 649-662.
- Choi BK. Slopes of receiver operating characteristic curve and likelihood ratios for a diagnostic test. Am J Epidemiol 1998; 148: 1127-1132.
- Taucher S, Rudas M, Mader RM et al. Do we need HER-2/neu testing for all patients with primary care carcinoma? Cancer 2003; 98: 2547-2553.
- Skinner KA, Helsper JT, Deapen D et al. Breast cancer: Do specialists make a difference? Ann Surg Oncol 2003; 10: 606-615.
- Zarbo RJ, Hammond EH. Conference summary, strategic science symposium, Her-2/neu testing of breast cancer patients in clinical practice. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2003; 127: 549-553.
- Riley GF, Potosky AL, Lubitz JD et al. Stage of cancer at diagnosis for Medicare HMO and fee-for-service enrollees. Am J Public Health 1994; 84: 1598-1604.
- Potosky AL, Merrill RM, Riley GF et al. Breast cancer survival and treatment in health maintenance organization and fee-forservice setting. J Natl Cancer Inst 1997; 89: 1683–1691.
- Gordon NP, Rundall TG, Parker L. Type of health care coverage and the likelihood of being screened for cancer. *Med Care* 1998; 36: 636-645.
- Rasell ME. Cost sharing in health insurance—A reexamination. New Engl J Med 1995; 332: 1164–1168.
- Blanchard JC, Haywood YC, Scott C. Racial and ethnic disparities in health: an emergency medicine perspective. *Acad Emerg Med* 2003; 10: 1289-1293.

5

•

Accepted for publication 13 August 2004