F					
REPORT	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-01-0188				
The public reporting burden for this collection of informatinating the data needed, and completing and resuggestions for reducing the burden to Department 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents shou if it does not display a currently valid OMB control n PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORMATING AND A STREEMED AND A STREEM	ormation is estimated to average 1 hour per response, incl eviewing the collection of information. Send comments re of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services Directora uld be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of la umber. DRM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.	luding the time for review garding this burden estin ate for Information Opera aw, no person shall be su	ring instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and nate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including tions and Reports (0704-0188). 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite ubject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information		
1. REPORT DATE ( <i>DD-MM-YYYY</i> ) 1-Sep-2002	2. REPORT TYPE Interim		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) Dec 1998 - Dec 2000		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Moderate exercise after altitude exposure fails to induce decompressio sickness			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER F41624-97-D-6004 5b. GRANT NUMBER		
		5c. PR 62202	5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 62202F		
6. AUTHORS James T. Webb, Ph.D., Andrew A. Pilmanis, Ph.D., and Michele D. Fischer, B.S.			5d. PROJECT NUMBER 7184		
			5e. TASK NUMBER 58		
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER 01		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Wyle Laboratories, Life Sciences, Systems and Services Inc. 2485 Gillingham Drive San Antonio, TX, 78235-5105			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE High Altitude Protection Resea	ENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) arch	10. SF AFRI	10. SPONSORING/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AFRL/HEPR		
Aircrew Protection Branch 2485 Gillingham Drive			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
San Antonio, TX 78235-5105 AFRL-HE-BR-JA-2001-0006   12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT   Approved for Public Release					
This report was published in Av	viation, Space and Environmental I	Medicine as a l	peer-reviewed article 2002;73:872-875.		
14. ABSTRACT Introduction: The objective of the exercise) on subsequent altitude altitude chamber training exposion exercise as a DCS-inducing strain for 2-h while performing mild, under the echo-imaging system and observed during recompression (50% of peak oxygen uptake, or Results. The altitude exposure subjects assigned to the poster of DCS were observed or report moderate exercise after exposite the exposure of the exercise after exposite the exposure of the exercise after exposite the exposure of the exercise after exposite the exercise after exposite the exercise after exposite the exposure of the exercise after exposite the exercis	this study was to determine the effect de decompression sickness (DCS) sures and interest from operationa ressor. Methods: After a 1-h restin upper body exercise. The subjects erved for signs and symptoms of D in (N=29) were randomly assigned to dual-cycle ergometry; N=31) and b e resulted in 48.3% DCS in the 60 exposure exercise group, 15 had do reted during or following post-expos- ure did not result in either delayed	ect of exercise incidence. Ex l personnel pro ng preoxygena were monitore CS. Subjects to post-exposu oth groups wer volunteers serv eveloped DCS sure exercise.	after altitude exposure (post-exposure isting USAF prohibition of exercise following impted our evaluation of post-exposure tion, 67 subjects were exposed to 30,000 ft ed for venous gas emboli (VGE) with an without DCS (N=31) or with DCS which re rest (control, N=29) or moderate exercise re monitored for delayed or recurring DCS. <i>v</i> ing as test or control subjects. Of 31 which resolved during descent. No cases Conclusion: The results show that ring DCS.		
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
decompression sickness, venous gas emboli, exercise, predreame, pred     16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:   17. LIMITATION OF   18. NUM     ABSTRACT   OF PAG		18. NUMBER 1 OF PAGES	9A. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. PA	THIS AGE		9b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 210-536-3439		
UUU	U UU	4			
	200410	08 26	Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239.18		

# Moderate Exercise After Altitude Exposure Fails to Induce Decompression Sickness

James T. Webb, Andrew A. Pilmanis, and Michele D. Fischer

WEBB JT, PILMANIS AA, FISCHER MD. Moderate exercise after altitude exposure fails to induce decompression sickness. Aviat Space Environ Med 2002; 73:872–5.

Introduction: The objective of this study was to determine the effect of exercise after altitude exposure (post-exposure exercise) on subsequent altitude decompression sickness (DCS) incidence. Existing USAF prohibition of exercise following altitude chamber training exposures and interest from operational personnel prompted our evaluation of post-exposure exercise as a DCS-inducing stressor. Methods: After a 1-h resting preoxygenation, 67 subjects were exposed to 30,000 ft for 2-h while performing mild, upper body exercise. The subjects were monitored for venous gas emboli (VGE) with an echo-imaging system and observed for signs and symptoms of DCS. Subjects without DCS (n = 31) or with DCS which resolved during recompression (n = 29) were randomly assigned to post-exposure rest (control, n = 29) or moderate exercise (50% of peak oxygen uptake, dual-cycle ergometry; n = 31) and both groups were monitored for delayed or recurring DCS. Results: The altitude exposure resulted in 48.3% DCS in the 60 volunteers serving as test or control subjects. Of 31 subjects assigned to the post-exposure exercise group, 15 had developed DCS which resolved during descent. No cases of DCS were observed or reported during or following post-exposure exercise. Conclusion: The results show that moderate exercise after exposure did not result in either delayed-onset or recurring DCS.

**Keywords:** altitude, DCS, VGE, emboli, decompression sickness, exercise, denitrogenation, preoxygenation, prebreathe.

A NECDOTAL REPORTS OF DCS presumably arising from post-exposure exercise have prompted our research on the possible relationship. U.S. Air Force personnel are taught that exercise performed very soon after an altitude exposure may cause DCS to occur or to recur and could become a serious factor in mission success or failure.

Research at Brooks AFB (Air Force Research Laboratory, AFRL), over the past 20 yr has allowed accumulation of a large database on altitude DCS (AFRL DCS Research Database) including extensive data on DCS symptoms and venous gas emboli (VGE). The vast majority of symptoms occur while at altitude. The AFRL Hypobaric DCS Research Database shows 33 of 2810 exposures with delayed-onset or recurring DCS symptoms. None of these delayed-onset or recurring DCS symptoms were provoked by exercise after exposure. Some reports from the literature also indicate occasional delayed-onset or recurring altitude DCS symptoms (8,3).

It is generally accepted that gas bubbles are the cause of DCS symptoms (4). It is also commonly accepted that the large majority of altitude DCS symptoms are caused by extravascular gas bubbles (8), not circulating VGE (8,2) which we routinely observe during altitude exposures using echocardiography. In previous studies, we have also observed VGE following recompression to ground level, although they were not associated with recurring or delayed DCS symptoms. The presence of VGE does not predict DCS because DCS without bubbles and bubbles without DCS are common occurrences (9). However, VGE resident at altitude or at ground level after exposure provide an objective measure of overall decompression stress.

During any operational altitude exposure, exercise may be involved in any of the three phases; before exposure, during exposure, and post-exposure. Exercise during preoxygenation provides protection from DCS (11,12). The literature is replete with reports of exercise increasing DCS incidence during exposure to altitude (4-7,9,13); however, we could find no citations in the research literature about the effects of post-exposure exercise on DCS based on actual experimental trials. Some interpretations of post-exposure exercise effects on DCS may have been based on the effects of exercise after decompression from diving (6), which is analogous to exercise during altitude decompression. Both decompressions involve supersaturation of body tissues with nitrogen, whereas recompression from altitude to ground level represents cessation of nitrogen supersaturation. Despite the lack of any experimental evidence, the U.S. Air Force restricts personnel from physical exercise for 12 h following hypobaric chamber training exposures (1).

This study tested the effect of post-exposure exercise on incidence and severity of post-exposure VGE and DCS symptoms in comparison to a resting post-exposure

From the Air Force Research Laboratory, AFRL/HEPR, High Altitude Protection Research at Brooks AFB, San Antonio, TX (A. A. Pilmanis); Wyle Laboratories, Life Sciences, Systems, and Services (J. T. Webb and M. D. Fischer).

This manuscript was received for review in June 2001. It was revised in November 2001 and March 2002. It was accepted for publication in May 2002.

Address reprint requests to: James T. Webb, Ph.D. (Lead Scientist, Wyle Laboratories, Life Sciences, Systems, and Services), 13818 Chittim Oak, San Antonio, TX 78232; email: james.webb@brooks.af.mil.

Reprint & Copyright © by Aerospace Medical Association, Alexandria, VA.

Subject, N	Age, yr	Height, cm	Weight, kg	BMI (wt/ht, m²)	Vo₂peak, ml • kg <sup>-1</sup> • min <sup>-1</sup>
60* 29 Resting 30 Exercising	$31.6 \pm 6.2$ $31.6 \pm 5.5$ $31.6 \pm 7.0$	$176.7 \pm 7.6$ $176.3 \pm 7.3$ $177.2 \pm 8.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 82.9 \pm 11.7 \\ 82.4 \pm 9.7 \\ 83.3 \pm 13.4 \end{array}$	$26.5 \pm 2.7 \\ 26.5 \pm 2.6 \\ 26.4 \pm 2.9$	42.5 ± 6.9 42.8 ± 6.5 42.3 ± 7.3

TABLE I. SUBJECT ANTHROPOMETRIC (MEAN  $\pm$  SD).

\*All subjects who entered resting vs. exercising decision tree (did not have symptoms at ground level) (see Fig. 1).

control. The hypothesis was that post-exposure exercise would result in delayed or recurring cases of DCS following an altitude exposure resulting in approximately 50% DCS. With 50% incidence available for either increased or decreased DCS incidence due to post-exposure exercise, a statistical difference was more feasible to obtain.

# METHODS

The voluntary, fully informed consent of the 67 male subjects (Table I) used in this research was obtained in accordance with Air Force Instruction 40-402 (1) and a protocol approved by the Brooks IRB. All subjects passed an appropriate physical examination and were representative of the USAF rated aircrew and NASA EVA crew population. Subjects were not allowed to participate in scuba diving, hyperbaric exposures, or flying for at least 72 h before each scheduled altitude exposure. Seven of the subjects who developed DCS were removed from the study prior to beginning postexposure test or control activity due to referral for possible hyperbaric oxygen therapy to alleviate symptoms (Table II; Fig. 1). Anthropometric and other subject information is shown in Table I for the 60 volunteers who became either test or control subjects.

Prior to each 2-h, 30,000-ft research chamber exposure, a physician conducted a short physical examination of subjects to identify any signs of illness or other problem that would endanger the subject or bias the experimental results. Breathing gas during the 1-h preoxygenation, while decompressed, and during descent was 100% oxygen (aviator's breathing oxygen; normal analysis 99.7–99.8% oxygen). A neck-seal respirator made by Intertechnique<sup>®</sup> (Plaisir Cedex, France) was used to deliver oxygen. This mask provided a slight (2 cm of water) positive pressure, which reduced the opportunity for inboard leaks of nitrogen from the atmosphere and was more comfortable than the standard aviator's mask.

At 16-min intervals during the exposure, the subjects were monitored for VGE using a Hewlett Packard Sonos 1000 Doppler/Echo-Imaging System. This system permits both audio and visual monitoring and recording of gas emboli in all four chambers of the heart and allows for easier and more accurate determination of emboli presence than Doppler alone. Detection of any left ventricular gas emboli (LVGE) was made possible due to these echo-imaging sessions and was cause for immediate recompression to avoid potential serious symptoms resulting from arterial gas emboli. VGE were graded using a modified Spencer Scale (10) where Grade I is observation of any VGE, the criteria used for determination of the time of VGE onset and percent incidence reported in **Table III** and **Fig. 2**.

During exposure, subjects performed three, mild, upper-body exercises (rope pull, torque wrench, and arm crank) as described in detail in Webb et al. (12) and represented approximately 15–20% of maximal oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ ). The subjects walked less than 10 steps between exercise stations and the echo-imaging station at 4-min intervals.

Endpoints (test termination criteria) of the exposures

Profile <sup>†</sup>	Symptom Description	Subjects Affected, N	Onset Time <sup>‡‡</sup>
Δ	Ioint Pain	12	56 (26-95)
A	Pain other	1	85
Δ	Tingling and joint pain <sup>‡</sup> **	1	61
B	Loint Pain	13	70 (36–114)
R	Tinolino	1	47
B	Disance and fingling	1	24
Č	Skin Mottling <sup>th</sup> Numbress Joint Pain	1	65
C‡	Atavitation at Dizziness <sup>th</sup> Eatione <sup>th</sup> Light Headedness, <sup>th</sup> Joint Pain, <sup>th</sup> Nausea, <sup>th</sup> Headache <sup>th</sup>	1	88
C‡	Substanal Distress <sup>††</sup>	1	27
C.	Pine & Needles Hot/cold sensation <sup>++</sup>	1	45
C*	Line Pain	1	42
C <sup>±</sup>	Junt Failt	1	38
C‡	Joint Pain, Muscle Pain <sup>++</sup>	ī	88

TABLE II. SYMPTOMS EXPERIENCED BY 36 OF THE 67 SUBJECTS.

<sup>+</sup>A, post-exposure rest; B, post-exposure exercise; C, removed from study due to HBO therapy, post-exposure breathing of 100% oxygen, or presence of LVGE.

\*Subject presented with left ventricular gas emboli (LVGE).

<sup>‡</sup>Received hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBO).

\*\*Symptom present after recompression.

\*\*Symptom recurred after recompression.

#Onset time = Median of first symptom (range) in min.



Fig. 1. Random selection decision tree based on exposure results.

were: 1) completion of the 2-h scheduled exposure; 2) development of any DCS signs or symptoms including respiratory symptoms, neurologic symptoms, paresthesia, and constant pain; 3) detection of LVGE. On recompression to ground-level pressure, subjects with no DCS symptoms or with resolution of DCS symptoms doffed their oxygen masks, began breathing room air, and were examined by a physician to determine if referral for examination by a hyperbaric treatment physician was necessary. After the physician ensured that any or all symptoms were alleviated, the 60 subjects who either did not develop DCS symptoms (n = 31) or whose symptoms resolved on descent (n = 29) were randomly divided into two groups; exercising (n = 31) or resting (n = 29) (Fig. 1). The resting group served as the control for the exercising test group. Chi square tests were used to compare results from the control and test groups (level of confidence, p < 0.05).

The post-exposure exercise consisted of three, 15-min periods of 60 rpm dual-cycle ergometry at 50% of  $VO_{2peak}$ , beginning 5 min after recompression. The dual-cycle ergometer consisted of a Monarch® 818 leg ergometer (Varberg, Sweden) and a Monarch® 881 Rehab Trainer mounted so the subject could sit upright pedaling the 818 leg ergometer while reaching forward to operate the 881 arm ergometer. To determine the appropriate resistance settings and target heart rate during the post-exposure moderate exercise periods, subjects were tested to  $VO_{2peak}$  (mean R value > 1.1) with dual-cycle ergometry. The post-exposure exercise was accomplished while breathing room air and neither exercising nor resting subjects were restricted from nor-

TABLE III. RESULTS (MEANS) DURING AND AFTER EXPOSURE TO 30,000-FT FOR 2-H WITH MILD EXERCISE.

Subject N	% DCS during Exposure	% VGE during Exposure
60*	48.3	76.7
29 Resting	48.3	79.3
31 Exercising	48.4	74.2

\*All subjects who entered resting vs. exercising decision tree (did not have symptoms at ground level) (see Fig. 1).

mal activity, including walking and eating. The exercise periods were separated by 15 min of rest that included VGE monitoring as necessary to determine when VGE resolved at ground level. Resting subjects were also monitored for VGE to determine time of resolution. Due to equipment and personnel limitations, the resting subjects were monitored for post-exposure VGE 10 min earlier than the exercising subjects.

## RESULTS

No DCS symptoms resulted from post-exposure exercise after this provocative altitude exposure. Table II shows the symptoms developed during the exposures and their disposition. The subjects removed from the test and control groups clearly had developed symptoms which required at least precautionary postbreathe with 100% oxygen. Table III and Fig. 1 show the DCS and VGE results of the exposure for the 60 subjects who entered the decision tree to determine post-exposure activity (Fig. 1).

Post-exposure VGE monitoring indicated 13 resting subjects and 8 exercising subjects with VGE during the first monitoring period, which occurred 10 min into the resting subjects' post-exposure activity and 20 min into the exercising subjects' post-exposure activity. No significance is attached to the difference due to timing of



**Fig. 2.** VGE (upper, grey line) and DCS (lower, black line) of 60 subjects (exercising and resting post-exposure groups).

# EXERCISE AFTER ALTITUDE EXPOSURE—WEBB ET AL.

monitoring. None of the exercising subjects had VGE remaining by the second monitoring period, which began 50 min after recompression. One resting subject had VGE still present during the third monitoring period, which began 68 min after recompression. These postexposure findings are consistent with data from other studies resulting in similar levels of DCS (AFRL DCS Research Database).

### DISCUSSION

The failure of moderate exercise after recompression to elicit DCS was unexpected and counter to the hypothesis. Recompression apparently reduced the size and number of gas bubbles remaining at the end of the exposures to non-symptomatic levels under the conditions of this experiment. The provocative nature of this experiment, yielding 76.7% VGE and 48.3% DCS, should have made more subjects susceptible to any DCS-causing stress after exposure than would a more operationally relevant exposure with much less DCS risk. The rapid resolution of VGE following two, 15-min periods of moderate exercise at ground level tends to indicate that moderate exercise at ground level, after decompression, does not increase DCS or VGE incidence.

#### CONCLUSIONS

No cases of recurring or delayed DCS occurred with post-exposure exercuse, suggesting that exercise after recompression from altitude exposure does not increase DCS risk.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was sponsored in part by USSOCOM and the Air Force Research Laboratory, Brooks AFB, TX, USAF Contract F-41624-97-D- 6004. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of Ms. Heather O. Alexander in all aspects of subject affairs, including procurement of subjects, scheduling of subjects both for experiments and for training and examinations, and monitoring of subjects at altitude.

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the United States Air Force.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Air Force Instruction 11-403, 1 Jul 1999.
- 2. Butler BD, Hills BA. The lung as a filter for microbubbles. J Appl Physiol 1979; 47:537–43.
- Davis JC, Sheffield PJ, Schuknecht L, et al. Altitude decompression sickness: hyperbaric therapy results in 145 cases. Aviat Space Environ Med 1977; 48:722–30.
- DeHart RL, ed. Fundamentals of aerospace medicine, 2nd ed. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins, 1996; 139.
- Ernsting J, King P, eds. Aviation medicine. London: Butterworths, 1988; 19–20, 23.
- Fulton JF, ed. Decompression sickness. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Co., 1951; 5, 27–30.
- Gillies JA, ed. A textbook of aviation physiology. Oxford: Pergamon Press, 1965; 123–4, 134.
- Gray JS. Present status of the problem of decompression sickness. Brooks AFB, TX: U. S. Air Force, 1944; SAM Report, Project #458; 46 pp.
- Pilmanis AA, Olson RM, Fischer MD, et al. Exercise-induced altitude decompression sickness. Aviat Space Environ Med 1999; 70:22–9.
- Spencer MP. Decompression limits for compressed air determined by ultrasonically detected blood bubbles. J Appl Physiol 1976; 40:229–35.
- Webb JP, Ryder HW, Engel GL, et al. The effect on susceptibility to decompression sickness of preflight oxygen inhalation at rest as compared to oxygen inhalation during strenuous exercise. Comm. Aviat. Med. Report #134. Cincinnati, OH: College of Medicine, University of Ohio, 1943; 6 pp.
- Webb JT, Fischer MD, Heaps CL, Pilmanis AA. Exercise-enhanced preoxygenation increases protection from decompression sickness. Aviat Space Environ Med 1996; 67:618–24.
- Webb JT, Krause KM, Pilmanis AA, et al.. The effect of exposure to 35,000 ft on incidence of altitude decompression sickness. Aviat Space Environ Med 2001; 72:509–12.