VOLUME 96, NUMBER 4

ANALYSIS OF ANCIENT CHINESE RECORDS OF OCCULTATIONS BETWEEN PLANETS AND STARS

JAMES L. HILTON AND P. K. SEIDELMANN

U. S. Naval Observatory, 34th Street and Massachusetts Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20392

LIU CIYUAN

Shaanxi Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P. O. Box 18, Lintong, Shaanxi, People's Republic of China Received 13 November 1987; revised 9 July 1988

ABSTRACT

One hundred seventy-three historical Chinese records of occultations and appulses (conjunctions with small least separations) of planets with stars, other planets, and extended objects were examined. The observations were made by the Chinese imperial astronomers from 12 December 146 B.C. to 3 February 1761 A.D. and preserved in the Chinese dynastic histories. Sixty-six of the records were of occultations, 83 records were of appulses, and 24 records were questionable as to the objects involved. These observations were compared to both ephemerides derived from the Bretagnon (1982) planetary theory and the JPL planetary ephemeris DE102. Both of the ephemerides verified that all of the well-recorded events occurred, and usually on the recorded day. This constitutes the first comparison of planetary theories and integration with ancient Chinese observations giving a weak check of the theories over moderately long periods of time. The results of this check show no evidence within the accuracy of these observations, inferred to be about 300", for secular discrepancies in the present theories on the timescale of two thousand years. When the deceleration of the Earth's rotation was taken into account, it was also found that the events usually took place at the time of night recorded by the Chinese observers, $\sim 1/10$ of the night. This constitutes a check on the ΔT determinations independent of solar eclipse observations. Therefore, these records reveal that to the accuracy of these observations there are no serious flaws in the presently accepted planetary theories or determinations of the rotational deceleration of the Earth (ΔT) . Also, this study gives some insight into how the Chinese imperial astronomers charted the motions of the planets in the heavens.

I. INTRODUCTION

Most planetary ephemerides are based on observations made over the past two centuries, the time during which truly excellent records have been kept. However, there may be long-period perturbations in the planetary orbits which this relatively short period of observations may have failed to detect. In order to determine if these long-period perturbations exist it is necessary to turn to the older but less-exact records that exist. The time base over which the ephemerides are tested here increases by an order of magnitude, but is still only about 0.00005% the age of the solar system.

Another problem of interest is the slowing of the Earth's rotation rate due, primarily, to tidal drag. Current estimates of the rate of slowing, such as carried out by Stephenson and Morrison (1984), are based on records of total eclipses of the Sun and the difference between where the path of totality was observed and where it would have fallen if the Earth rotated uniformly on its axis. An independent check of this method can be carried out by comparing the recorded time of a celestial event such as planetary occultations and close approaches (appulses) with the time predicted by an ephemeris based on either the integration of planetary orbits or an analytic planetary theory. Obviously, this method of attack first requires that there are no long-term errors in the ephemerides. Therefore, it is necessary to address both the question of the accuracy of the planetary ephemerides and ΔT at the same time.

II. OBSERVATIONS

The Chinese have kept the most comprehensive record of celestial events of any culture on Earth. These records date back to the beginning of the former Han dynasty, 206 B.C. They are presented in the dynastic histories, a compilation of the political, administrative, and bureaucratic events of each of the Chinese dynasties. The astrological-political nature of celestial events required the observatory to be located on the palace grounds so as to have immediate access to the emperor and be under imperial control. Therefore, in addition to having a very complete record of observed celestial events, the place of observation is known with high accuracy (see Liu 1988).

The ancient Chinese histories contain several records of occultations of stars, planets, or extended objects by other planets. Liu (1988) extracted from the China Book-House (1975) edition of the 24 dynastic histories (this compilation of the Chinese dynastic histories is available in Chinese only) records of 173 occultations and appulses (very close approaches). These records were derived from observations made by the Chinese imperial astronomers at the various Chinese capitals over the period from 12 December 146 B.C. to 3 February 1761 A.D. The records are divided into three categories (see Tables I, II, and III): (1) A set of 66 occultations, from 12 February 73 A.D. to 3 February 1761, identifying the two objects involved, the recorded date of the occultation, the Chinese capital at which the observation was made, and, generally, the recorded time interval in which the occultation occurred; (2) a set of 24 questionable records (in this dataset, either the identification of the occulted object was in doubt, or the occulting planet has been misidentified. These records range in date from 17 July 403 A.D. to 16 August 1217); and (3) a dataset of 83 records of events where a planet was observed to have passed within approximately one degree of another object. (This set of records consists of observations made between 12 December 146 B.C. and 21 June 988 A.D.) The last dataset includes both the separation distance in tenths of a Chinese degree and the

1482 Astron. J. 96 (4), October 1988

0004-6256/88/041482-12\$00.90

© 1988 Am. Astron. Soc. 1482

	Report Docume	entation Page			Form Approved IB No. 0704-0188
maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	completing and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding an	o average 1 hour per response, inclu- ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Infor ny other provision of law, no person	regarding this burden estimate mation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of th , 1215 Jefferson Davis	is collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington
1. REPORT DATE OCT 1988		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVE	RED
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT	NUMBER
	t Chinese Records o	of Occultations Betw	een Planets and	5b. GRANT NUM	IBER
Stars				5c. PROGRAM E	LEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NU	MBER
James L. /Hilton; I	P. K. /Seidelmann			5e. TASK NUMB	ER
				5f. WORK UNIT	NUMBER
Astronomical App	ZATION NAME(S) AND AI lications Departmen enue NW Washingto	nt U.S. Naval Observ	vatory 3450	8. PERFORMINC REPORT NUMB	GORGANIZATION ER
9. SPONSORING/MONITO	RING AGENCY NAME(S) A	AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/M	ONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
				11. SPONSOR/M NUMBER(S)	ONITOR'S REPORT
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAII Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release, distributi	ion unlimited			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	DTES				
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	CATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	ABSTRACT UU	OF PAGES 13	RESPONSIBLE PERSON

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18 direction from the object to the planet at the time of observation for 48 of the 83 observations.

A Chinese degree is approximately 3550". There are 365.25 "degrees" in a Chinese circle, i.e., one Chinese "degree" was the mean distance the Sun moved along the ecliptic in one solar day. However, the definition of a "degree" was not constant with time, and angular measure was not always differentiated from linear measure (see, for example, Needham 1959). Therefore, there is some trouble in translating the exact angular quantities involved.

A few words need to be said about the dynastic histories, the source of the records used in this investigation.

First, the histories are not the original source of observations, but were generally compiled by imperially sanctioned Chinese historians during a subsequent dynasty. The historians worked with the imperial records left by the previous dynasty. For example, the history of the later Han dynasty (23–220 A.D.), the "Hou-han-shu" by Fan Yeh, was written during the San-kuo or Chin periods (220–420). This means that the records that have survived are only those that were available to the historians of a later dynasty. So, the astronomical records themselves are only summaries of the original observations, and a considerable amount of detail has been lost.

Second, Clark and Stephenson (1977) point out that the main function of the "Astronomical Bureau" was producing astrological prognostications, and its officials were held directly responsible for the completeness of observations as well as their predictions. Therefore, falsified records are a possibility. Eberhard (1957) argues that for a major political event that had not been astrologically prognosticated, an observation prophesying the event might be inserted into the History of the Han Dynasty after the fact. However, Bielenstein (1950, 1984) is of the opinion that rather than inserting false observations into the record, the imperial astrologers were more likely to ignore ominous portents in politically good times. For example, Bielenstein points out that with one exception the number of solar eclipses recorded during any Han emperor's reign was either less than or equal to the number of solar eclipses that should have been observed, and the proportion of eclipses recorded was inversely proportional to the emperor's perceived popularity in the dynastic histories. Sivin (1969) shows that spurious lunar eclipse records during this period may have been the result of inaccuracies in the algorithm used to predict lunar eclipses.

Third, there is the possibility of transcription errors in the records. For example, Ho Peng Yoke (1962) found the 1572 supernova described as a "hui-hsing" (broom star or comet) in the draft version of the "Ming-shih," the Ming dynasty history, but as a "k'o-hsing" (guest star) in the final edition.

Fourth, there are problems in interpreting the records. For example, the following is the translation by Stephenson and Clark (1978) of the 12 February 73 A.D. (JD 1747764) occultation of β Sco by Jupiter:

"Sixteenth year (of the Yung-p'ing reign period), first month, (day) ting-ch'ou. Jupiter trespassed against Fang Yu-ts'an; the first star at the north was not visible. (On the day) hsin-szû it finally became visible."

The translation indicates the use of the Chinese character "fan" ("invade," "offend," or "trespass against"), which has been used to describe appulses as opposed to occultations in which one of the words "yen" (conceal), "shih" (eclipse), or "ju" (enter) was generally used. However, the record goes on to describe the star as being "not visible," which would suggest that the two bodies were close enough together to be inseparable by the naked eye. Another character used in the records is "shou," "guarding," which has been translated by Ho Peng Yoke (1966) as meaning "to attach and stay by the side of (a constellation or celestial body)," or "to stay (in a particular place) without leaving," describing a planet moving slowly across the sky. Clark and Stephenson (1977) add another possible translation of shou: "moving backwards and forwards without going away." This last translation could be used to describe not just the position of the planet with respect to a star or asterism, but retrograde motion of the planet as well.

Finally, there are two major sources of observational error that must be considered: the effects of weather on seeing and irradiation within the eye of the observer. Both of these effects make an observed object appear larger than it actually is. The turbulent air and thin clouds which cause poor seeing would not have been noticed in naked-eye observations. In addition, the effects of poor seeing when noted by the Chinese astronomers were not always separated out from the planetary observation, but were sometimes used as part of the prognostication process (Staal 1984). So it is not clear whether or not poor weather conditions were taken into account when occultation observations were made.

Irradiation is a physiological effect that is dependent on the observer. An example of this effect can be found in the Ho Peng Yoke (1966) translation of "Wu-pei-chi" (Treatise on Armament Technology), dated 1628, "When (a celestial body) comes within 7 ts'un of (another) such that their rays extend toward each other ..." One ts'un corresponds to about 6'. So 7 ts'un is about 42', a very large separation. Therefore, it is possible that poor seeing and/or irradiation may have caused an observer to record an occultation when the objects were actually several minutes apart. The discussion of the results of the analysis of the records in Sec. IV indicates that the mean effect of these two sources of observational error is about 300".

III. METHOD OF ANALYSIS

a) Well-Determined Occultations and Appulse Records

The first step in the analysis of these observations was to develop a program, on the U.S. Naval Observatory IBM 4341 computer, to generate an ephemeris of the relative apparent position of the occulting planet with respect to the occulted object. The position of the planet or planets involved in the occultation was determined by use of the VSOP82 planetary theory developed by Bretagnon (1982). If the occulted object was a star or extended object, the program computed the apparent place of the object on the date of the observation using the subroutine APSTAR (Kaplan 1984). The apparent position for the extended objects, M44 and the Pleiades, were taken as the apparent place of a member star near the center of the extended object. The variable parameters in the program were (1) the initial Julian date for which the ephemerides were generated, (2) the interval of the ephemerides, (3) the number of entries in the ephemerides, (4) the occulting planet, and (5) the object being occulted. No ΔT correction was applied to these ephemerides.

The source of the J2000.0 positions, parallaxes, and radial velocities of the stars used by APSTAR was Hoffleit and Jaschek (1982). The proper motions of the stars were taken from Rhoades (1976).

The time of least separation was calculated by manually searching through the ephemeris until the least separation was found on the timescale of 0.01 day for occulted planets or stars and 0.1 day for extended objects. The ephemerides for least separation and time of least separation from the Bretagnon (1982) theory was then checked by using positions obtained from the DE102 ephemeris developed by Newhall, Standish, and Williams (1983). Since DE102 has a coordinate system closely aligned with that of 1950.0, the 1950.0 coordinates for the occulted stars were obtained from Rhoades (1976). The mean discrepancy between the DE102 relative positions and the Bretagnon (1982) relative positions of the occulting planet and the occulted object was about 2" in separation and less than 0.01 day in time.

The next step was to try to match the calculated times of least separation with the recorded times of observation. Checks were made to determine whether it was daytime or nighttime at the place of observation and whether the recorded objects were above the horizon both at the time of least separation and the time recorded for the event. To do this, it was necessary to correct the ephemeris-derived times to UT. The ΔT correction for slowing of the Earth's rotation was calculated from the algorithm given by Stephenson and Morrison (1984). Although his method of deriving the algorithm for ΔT is different, the values determined by Newton (1984) are indistinguishable at the 0.01 day level of accuracy over the period covered by the records. A standard rise/ set program was then used to test whether the Sun or occulting planet was above the horizon at the time of least separation. If the Sun was above the horizon and time of sunrise/ sunset, the separation at that time was computed and compared with the recorded data. Similarly, if the objects involved in the occultation or appulse were below the horizon at the time of least separation, then the rise time and separation at the rise time were computed and compared with the recorded data.

b) Poorly Determined Records

In order to determine whether the planet reported in an occultation was in the right part of the sky for a given observation, a short program was developed to give the positions of all the planets visible with the naked eye on a given Julian date. If the reported planet was not in the proper area, it was then determined if there were any other candidates among the other visible planets.

Having then determined if there was a planet in the right part of the sky, the first program described was used to determine (1) the date of the occultation, if it was uncertain, and/ or (2) the objects reported occulting each other from the possible planetary candidates and a short list of possible occulted objects determined from the records.

IV. RESULTS

a) Well-Observed Occultations

Of the original 66 records of well-determined occultations shown in Table I, only seven actual occultations occurred. All of these occurred when a planet passed in front of either M44 or the Pleiades, both of which are extended objects. Of the remaining 59 observations, 11 events occurred in which the two objects passed so close to one another that they would definitely have been inseparable by the unaided eye.

According to Needham, the smallest Chinese angular unit of measurement actually used corresponds to about 4'. However, the historical Chinese units of measure are not precise and may have changed significantly over the period of observations. It is possible that the translation of the "occultation" observations actually meant that the separation between the planet and the second object was less than this smallest angular distance. If so, an additional 19 events meet this criterion. This leaves only 30 "occultations" to be explained. Of these remaining "occultations," the range of separation at closest approach is about 5' to 71'. The mean least separation for a close approach was 9!4.

Of the 66 observations, 34 had their closest approach according to the ephemerides during the daytime. These daytime close approaches included 13 of the 21 approaches with greater than 15' least separation. The daytime close approaches also included six of the seven actual occultations with extended objects, but these objects have large enough angular sizes so that they were still occulted during the nighttime hours. Of the nine approaches too close to separate by eye, five occurred during the daytime. Of these, five "occultations" still had a separation of less than 1' after nightfall and three others had a separation of 4' or less after sunset. Of the 19 small least-separation "occultations," nine occurred during the daytime and three of them still had less than 4' separations during the night. Looking at the nighttime close approaches, it was found that only eight of the 32 observations had separations of greater than 15', but six of these large-separation "occultations" had their closest approach occur while the planet and the "occulted" object were below the horizon. In summary, of the 66 observations, seven would have been seen as actual occultations, five would have been seen too close together to separate by eye, 14 had visual approaches of <4', ten seen as approaches between 10' and 15', two seen as approaches > 15', and 28 would have been seen as "occultations" that had occurred during the daytime. Finally, it was determined that all of the times of observation for events that happened during the daytime or while the "occulting" planet was below the horizon were recorded at the time closest to the event after the sun set or the occulting planet rose above the horizon.

The 32 "occultations" that definitely took place during the nighttime were selected to test the change in the slowing of the Earth's rotation rate determined by Stephenson and Morrison (1984). The ΔT for each record is given in Table I. This was done by comparing the time of night for which the close approaches were recorded in the Chinese records with the time determined by the planetary theory. The planetary theory values were then corrected for slowing of the Earth's rotation with time using the method developed by Stephenson and Morrison (1984). Of the 32 observations, 26 of the recorded observations agreed with the calculations as to the time of night that the event occurred, and five observations in which the time of closest approach occurred while the planet and the "occulted" object were below the horizon. The final observation has a discrepancy in the observed minus calculated time of greater than 8 hr, so it cannot be adequately accounted for except by an observer error or an error in the transcription or interpretation of the record. Therefore, except for the one questionable record, the time of the observations agrees with the time of the calculated closest approach when the change in time as determined by Stephenson and Morrison (1984) is included. As noted before, at the 0.01 day level of accuracy it was not possible to differentiate between the algorithms of Stephenson and Morrison (1984) and Newton (1984). Since the time of the night of 1988AJ....96.1482H

Obj. Involved. Rec. time Planet Object (JD + T) Begin End	. Rec. ti ct (JD + Begin	ਸ ਼ +	End End		Dist.	UDBELVEN CLOBERT St. R.A. De	Dec.	Calculated Jullan Date	Closest Dist.	Approach R.A.	Dec.	T T days	¶∕n	n/v	Comments
r B 8co	6.16 6.48	6.48	6.48 116	. 911		- %	- 9	1747765.44	5 .		. 98	919			
1 7 Gem -9.48 9.19	-9.68 6.19	6.19	0.19 513	613		4.0-	505	1858422.64	613	-7.6	591	9.11	5		: vi
Mars -6.65 9.64 0	-9.95 9.94	9.94	-	693		-46.2	-64	1855681.28	266	-7.9	-238	9.19	Ð		Ľ
Venus Mars -19.19 19.193 59 Mars 5.Vir 4.20 4.49 674	-19.119 19.193		G	69		4. 2 1	69	1858839.86	60	₹.	69	9.7	5 1	>	
A 201 - 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10						A. 0	101	1000010./0					5 7		
B VIT 6.28 6.42	6.28 6.42	.28 6.42		54	•	20.0	454	1868172.15	524	11.0	404		5 5	5	
Mars 8.31 8.42	0.31 0.42	0.42	0.42 41	41	0	26.8	-112	1869986.#2	26	6.6	18	0.10	5	:	نا
7 Vir 8.32 8.42	6 .32 9 .42	.32 8.42	B.42 7	1	794	-42.4	482	1872914.50	216	6.7	192	9.19	U		Ŀ
19.16 19.48 3	19.16 19.48 3	6.48	~	8		- 6.6	303	1907309.24	326	9.9 -	983		5	>	p(3), J.
r p.aco 19.191 19.44 B.Vir 64.31 64.44 20	0.91 0.41 20	9.41	20	20.		-117.2	10	1000076 02	03	37 1	10001		5 3	>	د ہ نہ
er # Vir -6.62 6.12	-0.02 0.12	9.12	9.12 43	4		-6.4	431	1911962.72	427	-11.2	-392	6.68			i : j
7 Bgr -6.62 6.39	-6.62 6.39	6.39		26	80	-4.6	262	1916266.30	268	-4.6	262	9.99	-	×	
d Leo 6.23 8.46	6.23 6.46	23 8.46		393		-13.7	338	1926265.64	263	6.1	237	9.98	.		a (1), c.
Jupiter o Leo - 19.190 19.41 030 Mara 19.020 - 6.63 6.22 1012	-00.000 00.001 -00.003 00.22 1	18-41 6-22		1012		-13.3	1802	1928956.82 1938456 14	1912	-12.7	- 592	8.6	9 5	>	J.
7 Chc -6.62 6.12	-6.62 6.12	62 6.12	-	4126		116.7	3182	1930485.62	4016	40.9	3966	. 68	: 7	•	
Du Che -0	-0.62 0.12	9.12	9.12 2188	3188		14.8	-2269	1936487.86	2183	-29.1	-2143	9.08	9		b(1), c.
0 Sco - 19.92 9.14		9 .14		37		-1.0	-34	1932768.94	37		-34	9.98	5	>	
Lindfor 7 1.00 - 44 44 143	9.24 9.40 - 4 45 4 4	0.4.9		143			0.0	1933292.48	99		62	8.6	וס	:	
B VIr -6.61 6.69	-6.61 6.69	6.6.6	6.69 582	682		37.3	183	1969394.73	386		366	19.9	- 7	>	0(e), a, J
1 Lib -6.62 6.16	-0.02 0.15	6.15	9.15 729	729		42.0	397	1978766.72	692	16.3	549	9.0	5		b(1), c.
Jupiter 8.29 8.44 4	8.29 8.44 4	4 4 4	9.44 428	428	_	-64.4	-4210	1997805.33	4281		-4210	9.90	5	>	k K
Jupiter Pisco 19.21 19.48 162 Jupiter Bisco – 4.43 14.134	9.21 9.49 -0.03 0.41	87.8 41	10.48 10. 14 1 19.	0		9.9 1 1	-132	1999948.66 7443524 16	145	- 9.Q			9	:	b(1). •. 1
B Sco 6.30 6.48	6.39 6.48	9.48	9.48 93	6.0		18.4	868	2003736.34	936	18.4	808		= 6	~ >	
er M44 -8.94 8.49 3	9.40	9.40	9.49 31	31	3167	-44.8	-3166	2006309.7	3166		-3122	9.92	U,	•	ند تم ت و
6.10	6.10	6.10		12	_	-28.3	1214	2922596.4	1128	a o 1	1691	9.95	5	>	Ŀ.
1944 19.20 19.42 2 19. Vir - 19.42 19.22	90.20 90.43 2 - 04.012 14.22 2	9.43 9.25		2	•	-112.3	-1512	2023732.0	1712	-10.9	-1697	9.0	• •	:	P(1). C. h
BE E SET 61.37 61.49	6.87 6.49		9.49 17	5	763	9.8-	-1699	2026431.39	1763		014		= =	<u>ہ</u>	-i •
r b 8co 6.16 6.47	B.16 B.47	9.47	9.47 80	8	ġ	-54.4	330	2029385.92	860		332	9,95	. 7	•	, 1 1
19 Tau - 9.66 5.16		6.10 1	6 .16 140	140	8	93.1	-724	2929767.64	1187	34.3	-1983	9,96	P		b(1). c. h
Velius // VIC 19-31 19-44 14819 Mere NAA 18-24 18-32 18-78			8.44 148 8 43 147	140		80.8	-374	2059055.94	179	0.0	147		5 1	2	
Leo 8.20 8.43	0 9.20 9.43	6.43	9.43 32	ä	<u>م</u>	4.6	316	2080729.27	322		316			>	ч ч.
c Gem -#.#6 #.19	-6.66 6.19	9.19	9.19 29	0	•	- 6.3	-296	2001142.06	296	.0	-296		=	. >	a (1).
0 Vir 9.22 9.47	9.22 9.47	9.47	9.47 93	ŝ	3	-62.9	488	2093962.92	143	3.4	133		Ð	1	ſ.)
Saturn 9.13 9.46	9.13 9.46	9.49	9.46 216	216		- 6.6	199	2096379.26	216	-6.6	199	.83	5	>	a (2), c.
		9 - 40 9 - 40		830		21.9	769	2098050.90	835	23.0	761		υ.		Ĵ
Maars e Ciic - 19.190 19.319 14.019 Venus sien 14.20 14.42 1460				100		-18.7	286-	29.0180602	440	-11.1			9	:	ė
r B Sco – 6.64 6.37	- 6.64 6.37	6.37	1.37 2.01	201		- 4.9	283	2008862.14	102	- 4 B	1541		5	> >	8
B Vir 6.29 6.44	6.29 6.44	6.44	1.44 177	17		4.5	174	2111060 32	177		174		= 6	× :	
1 Lib -0.07 0.01 1	-0.07 0.61	6.61	9.61 1085	1085		0	-1685	2113233.86	067	-24.4	- 028		5 7	>	
µ Chc 8.27 8.41	9.27 9.41	9.41	9.41 159	169		-13.0	-1492	2121966.26	1564		-1402		, ,	>	
T VIF 8.12 8.48	6.12 6.48	6.48	9.48 2	Ň		6.0	216	2122194.46	231	6.9	218	0.02	: =	• >	
B VIr -0	-9.95 9.94	10.0 10.0	8.84 46	46		-22.6	308	2146316.14	133	2.6	127		4	. 1	
Jupiter 6.68 6.42	. 9.68 9.42	9.08 0.42	1.42		•								4	5	
8.87 9.46						4	6 -	2148655.36	2	4	8 1	6.62	= =	= >	•
	2 22	9.97 9.4	21	9 10	1303	6.4 - 18.6	-3 1278	2148655.36 2149435.74	7 1277	4.8	-3 1277			= >	ن م

1485

Data 2161781 Saturn 2162698 Mars 2162698 Mars 2162686 Mars 2162686 Mars 2162686 Mars 2189268 Mars 2199266 Venue 2199265 Venue 2199265 Venue 2199266 Venue 2199266 Venue 2296197 Mars 2296197 Mars 2296197 Mars 2296197 Mars 2296104 Mars 2296104 Mars 2296104 Mars 2396096 Mars 23992696 Mars 2396096 Venue 2396096 Mars 2396096 Venue 2396096 Mars 2396096 Venue 2396096 Mars 2396096 Venue 2396096 Mars 2396096 Mars 2396000 Mars 23960000 Mars 23960000 Mars 23960000 Mars 2396000 Mar	Baturn Mars Mars Mars Mars Mars Jupiter Jupiter Jupiter Mars Column number recorded Planer recorded re		Recorded Julian	Obj. Involved Planet Obje	volved. Object	Rec.	time + T)	obaer Dist.	Observed Closest st. R.A. De	best Dec.	Calculated Closest Approach Julian Dist. R.A.	Closest Dist.	Approach R.A.	Dec.	Delta	¢∕n	n/y	Comments
2151791 Baturn 21515668 Mars 2152658 Mars 2155668 Mars 216568 Mars 216568 Mars 218789 Jupiter 2197987 Jupiter 2199286 Venus 2199286 Venus 2199286 Venus 2236917 Jupiter 2199286 Venus 2236917 Jupiter 2236917 Jupiter 2236917 Jupiter 2236917 Jupiter 2236917 Jupiter 22369696 Mars 22369696 Mars 2236917 Jupiter 2236917 Jupiter 2192867 Mars 2236917 Jupiter 2192867 Mars 2236917 Jupiter 2192867 Mars 2236917 Jupiter 2192867 Mars 2236917 Jupiter 2192867 Mars 22369147 Jupiter 2192867 Mars 2236917 Jupiter 2197867 Mars 2236917 Jupiter 2197867 Mars 2236917 Jupiter 2197877 Jupiter 2107877 Jupiter 2197877 Jupiter 21978777 Jupiter 21	2151791 Saturn 2151791 Saturn 21526586 Mars 2152658 Mars 2152658 Mars 218578 Jupiter 218778 Jupiter 218785 Jupiter 2192265 Venus 2192265 Venus 2192265 Venus 2192265 Venus 2192265 Venus 2295817 Jupiter 2295817 Jupiter 2295817 Jupiter 2295817 Jupiter 2295817 Jupiter 2295861 Jupiter 22958616 Jupiter 23958696 Jupiter 10 11 117 Comments Jupiter Recorded date Was Recorded		Date			Begin	End				Date				daya			
2161598 Mars 2162698 Mars 2162698 Mars 2162686 Mars 218379 Jupiter 218778 Jupiter 2197287 Jupiter 2199286 Venus 2199286 Venus 223699 Jupiter 223699 Jupiter 223699 Jupiter 22364280 Mars 22364280 Mars 22364280 Mars 22364280 Mars 23364280 Mars 233640 Mars 23460 Mar	2161598 Mars 2162678 Mars 2162678 Mars 2162678 Mars 218578 Jupiter 219286 Mars 219286 Mars 219286 Mars 219286 Mars 219286 Mars 219286 Mars 219286 Mars 219286 Mars 2295926 Mars 2395896 Jupiter 2395896 Jupiter		9161761	Geturn	u Gam	1	6 37	• 8 •	8 4	. 8	2161792.36	. 6	•"	•	6.62	Ð		a(1). f.
2162695 Mars 2162666 Mars 2162666 Mars 2187486 Mars 2187486 Mars 2189789 Jupiter 2199799 Jupiter 2199285 Venus 2199285 Venus 2199285 Venus 223964286 Mars 23356981 Jupiter 23356981 Jupiter 23356980 Mars 23356981 Jupiter 23356980 Mars 23356981 Jupiter 23356980 Mars 23356981 Jupiter 23356981 Jupiter 11: The separation 13: Comments approach 13: Comments approach 200881 approach oc 200881 approa	2162695 Mars 2162666 Mars 2162666 Mars 218748 Jupiter 218785 Jupiter 2199285 Venus 2199285 Venus 2199285 Venus 2236191 Jupiter 223691 Jupiter 223691 Jupiter 2236926 Mars 2236926 Mars 2236926 Mars 223692 Mars 22364286 Mars 22364280 Mars 22364280 Mars 22364280 Mars 22364280 Mars 22364280 Mars 22364280 Mars 22364280 Mars 22364280 Mars 22364280 Mars 2364280 Mars 2364000 Mars 23640000 Mars 23640000 Mars 23640000 Mars 236400000 Mars 236400000 Mars 2364000000 Mars 2364000000000000000000000000000000000000		2161508	Mara		-6,60	9.97	144	-10.3	37	2161968.11	64	0.0	7	9.82	Ð		Ĵ
2162679 Mars 2166686 Mars 2166686 Mars 218768 Jupiter 2197876 Jupiter 2197876 Jupiter 2197876 Venue 2236286 Jupiter 2236286 Jupiter 2236286 Jupiter 2236286 Jupiter 2236286 Jupiter 2236206 Mars 2236286 Jupiter 2236206 Mars 2236206 Mars 2236206 Mars 2236206 Mars 2236206 Mars 2236206 Mars 2236206 Mars 2236206 Mars 2236206 Mars 2236206 Mars 2236204 Mars 2236204 Mars 2335604 Mars 3356004 Mars 2335604 Mars 3356004 Mars 2335604 Mars 3356004 Mars 2335604 Mars 2345604 Mars 235604 Mars 235604 Mars 235604 Mars 235604 Mars 235604 Mars 235604 Mars 235604 Mars 235604 Mars	2162679 Mars 2166686 Mars 2166686 Mars 2197878 Jupiter 2197878 Jupiter 2199286 Mars 2199286 Jupiter 22992861 Jupiter 22364286 Mars 22364286 Mars 22364286 Mars 22364286 Mars 22364286 Mars 22364286 Mars 22364286 Mars 22364286 Mars 22364286 Mars 23364286 Mars 2336428 Mars 2336428 Mars 2336428 Mars 233640 4 Column 11: The separation 11: The separation 11: The separation 11: The separation 11: The separation 11: Comment approach oc Closest		2152638	Mare	Jupiter	-6.12	9.00	141	-6.8	114	2162038.05		-3.2	128	9.92	4	5	ui
2166666 Mars 2166666 Mars 2197879 Jupiter 2197879 Jupiter 2199286 Venus 22964286 Mars 22964286 Mars 22364286 Mars 22364286 Mars 2336596 Jupiter 2336596 Jupiter 2336596 Jupiter 23364286 Mars 23364286 Mars 2336428 Mars 233642 Mars 10 11: The second of a 11: The second date was 236428 Mars 233642 Mars 233642 Mars 2336428 Mars 234644 Mars 236646 Mars 200000 Mars 2000000 Mars 2000000 Mars 2000000000000000000000000000000000000	2166666 Mars 2166666 Mars 2197379 Jupiter 2197379 Jupiter 2199266 Jupiter 2199266 Jupiter 22367981 Jupiter 22367981 Jupiter 2236696 Jupiter 2336696 Jupiter 3336696 Jupiter 101 17: Comments, se Recorded date was Recorded date was Rec		2162679	Mare	7 Ser	-6.61	9.38	1699	-22.6	1671	2162679.46	1695	-31.4	1641	6.62	Ð		·
2166662 Mars 219768 Jupiter 219726 Jupiter 2199266 Venus 2199266 Venus 2296706 Venus 2296706 Jupiter 23967067 Jupiter 23967067 Jupiter 23967067 Jupiter 23967067 Jupiter 23967067 Jupiter 23967067 Jupiter 23967067 Jupiter 23967067 Jupiter 23967067 Jupiter 11 2: The columnia 12: The second of 13: The second of 13: The second of 13: The separation 13: The separation 14: The separation 14: The separation 14: The separation 15: Comments, se Closeft approach of Closeft	2166992 Mars 2197488 Mars 2197269 Jupiter 2197265 Venus 2197265 Venus 223957987 Jupiter 223957987 Jupiter 223957987 Jupiter 23957987 Jupiter 23957987 Mars 23957987 Mars 23957987 Jupiter 23957987 Jupiter 23957987 Jupiter 23957987 Jupiter 23957987 Jupiter 23957987 Jupiter 23957987 Jupiter 23957987 Jupiter 2395797067 Octobert approach 13: The separatist 13: The separatist 14: Comments approach 200481 approach of 200481 approach of		2156586	Mars	B VIr	-6.63	0.20	244	16.8	67	2166686.84	164	9.9	146	6.0 3	U		÷
218748 Mars 219748 Mars 219748 Jupiter 219789 Jupiter 219286 Venus 2238617 Jupiter 22386286 Mars 2335698 Mars 2335698 Jupiter 2335698 Mars 2335698 Jupiter 2335698 Mars 2335698 Mars 2335598 Mars 233559	218748 Mars 2197378 Jupiter 2197378 Jupiter 2197385 Venus 2228817 Jupiter 2236596 Jupiter 2336596 Jupiter 23364286 Mars 23364286 Mars 23364280 Mars 23364280 Mars 2336428 Mars 2336428 Mars 236428 Mars 23642 Mars 236428 Mars 236428 Mars 236428 Mars 236428 Mars 23642 Mars 23642 Mars 23642 Mars 23642 Mars 23646 Mars 23646 Mars 23646 Mars 23646 Mars 23646 Mars 23646 Mars 23646 Mars 23646 Mars 236666 Mars 2366666 Mars 236666 Mars 236666 Mars 236666 Mars 236666 Mars 236666 Mars 2366666 Mars 23666666 Mars 23666666 Mars 23666666 Mars 2366666666666 Mars 23666666666666666666666666666666666666		2168862	Mare	M44	9.26	9.42	1743	-39.6	-1664	2168662.5	1726	-24.3	-1691	6.03	Ð		म
2189786 Jupiter 2197876 Jupiter 2197876 Jupiter 2199286 Jupiter 22364286 Mars 22364286 Mars 23364286 Mars 2336428 Mars 2336428 Mars 2336428 Mars 2336428 Mars 233642 Mars 233642 Mars 2336428 Mars 233642 Mars 234644444444444444444444444444444444444	2189766 Jupiter 2197876 Jupiter 2197876 Jupiter 219928617 Jupiter 22364286 Mars 2336696 Jupiter 2335696 Jupiter 2335696 Jupiter 2335696 Mars 1716 Column 11 2: The column 12: The separation 13: The calculate 13: The calculate 13: The separation 13: The separation 14: The separation 15: Closest spproach of Closest spproach of Clo		2187488	Mars	14 Sco	6.29	9.48	1123	20.4	1086	2187487.42	1123	29.4	1986	6.61	4	>	
2197879 Jupiter 2199286 Venus 2238615 Venus 223869817 Jupiter 2336696 Jupiter 2336696 Jupiter 2336696 Jupiter 2336696 Jupiter 2336696 Jupiter 2336696 Jupiter 11 2: The column 12: The second of 13: The second of 13: The calculate 13: The separation 13: The separation 14: The separation 13: The separation 14: The separation 15: Comments, se 10: Comm	2197879 Jupiter 2199286 Vanus 223861987 Mars 223867987 Mars 23356986 Jupiter 23864286 Mars 23864286 Mars 23864286 2004841 Mars 23864286 2004841 Mars 23864286 2004841 Mars 2004861 Mars 2004860 Mars 2004861 Mars 2004860 Mars 20048600 Mars 2004860000000000000000000000000000000000	_	2189786	Jupiter	B Sco	9.93	9.40	112	1.9	109	2189779.14	112	1.9	169	6.01	E	>	
2199286 Venue 2236917 Jupiter 2236969 Jupiter 2236696 Jupiter 2336696 Jupiter	2199285 Venue 2298187 Mars 2387987 Mars 2387987 Mars 2387987 Mars 2386286 Jupiter 2386286 Jupiter 2386286 Jupiter 2386286 Jupiter 2386286 Jupiter 2385286 Jupiter		2197870	Jupiter	n Vir	-9.92	9.18	196	-3.3	- 63	2197879.94	106	-3.3	0.0		4	>	
22256917 Jupiter 23355967 Mars 23355968 Mars 2335596 Mars 2335596 Mars 2335596 Mars 2335596 Mars 2335596 Mars 2335596 Mars 235596 Mars 235569 Mars 235596 Mars 235	2225617 Jupiter 2237566 Jupiter 2336266 Jupiter 23364286 Mars 23364286 Mars 23364286 Mars 23364286 Mars 23364286 Mars 23364286 Mars 23364286 Mars 24 The Column 25 The Paneth 26 The encludate 27 The calculate 27 The calculate 27 The calculate 27 The calculate 27 The calculate 27 The calculate 28 The calculate 28 The calculate 28 The calculate 28 The calculate 28 The calculate 28 The calculate 29 The calculate 20 The calculate 28		2199205	Venus	V Bgr	9.35	9.47	4324	-21.4	-4313	2199266.22	4324	-19.6	-4316	9.91	6	=	
2397987 Mars 23954286 Mars 23956266 Jupiter 23956266 Mars 23956266 Mars 23956266 Mars 23956266 Mars 23956266 Mars 23956206 Mars 23956206 Mars 23956206 Mars 23956206 Mars 23956206 Mars 23956200 Mars 2395620 Mars 239567620 Mars 239567670 Mar	2397987 Mars 2395826 Jupiter 2335626 Mars 2335626 Mars 2335626 Mars 2335626 Mars 2335626 Mars 2335626 Mars 2335626 Mars 23356286 Mars 23356666 Mars 233566666666666666666666666666666666666		2220017	Jupiter	B Sco	9.23	9.47	318	-18.6	-176	2220018.00	228	-3.6	-323	0.0	σ		
2335696 Jupiter 2335696 Mars 2364286 Mars 2311 The recorded in 1: The recorded in 2: The beginnin 6: The beginnin 6: The estudiate in 3: The calculate in 9: The calculate in 11: The separation in 13: The separation in 13: The separation in 13: The separation in 13: Comments, se calculate in 17: Comments, se in 17: Comments, se closest approach of closest approach	2336596 Jupiter 23364286 Mars 23964286 Mars 23964286 Mars 23064286 Mars 23064280 Mars 23064280 Mars 23064280 Mars 23064286 Mars 23064280 Mars 230642800 Mars 23064400 Mars 23064400 Mars 23064400000 Mars 23064000 Mars 23064000 Mars 23064000 M		2367987	Mars	Jupiter	-0.12	8.80	2	1.1	140	2307986.93	19	1.1			c •	>	
nation of the Column in 1: The number of in 2: The planet line in 5: The planet line in 6: The second of in 7: The calculation in 10: The calculation in 11: The separation in 13: The calculation in 14: The calculation in 13: The separation in 14: The calculation in 16: Closet approach in 17: Comments, se itme period. itme	nation of the Column in 1: The number of in 2: The planet limit in 4: The planet limit in 5: The selfulat in 7: The calculate in 18: The calculate in 19: The separation in 11: The separation in 11: The separation in 13: The separation in 13: The separation in 13: The separation in 13: The separation in 14: The separation in 15: Comments, separation Recorded date was Recorded date was Recorde			Jupiter Mars	Saturn Jupiter	-6.16		1346	-14.7 86.8	800 1	2330094.80	99 98	8.9 8.9				5	
n 1: The number n 2: The planet in n 3: The planet in n 5: The beginnin n 6: The beginnin n 16: The calculate n 18: The calculate n 13: The calculate n 13: The separation n 14: The calculate n 13: The separation n 14: The calculate n 15: Comments approach time period. n 16: Coset approach oc Closet approach oc	n 1: The number n 2: The second of n 6: The beginnin 6: The end of t n 6: The end of t n 1: The calculate n 1: The calculate n 1: The separation 1: The separation n 1: Comments Recorded date was Recorded date was Re	plan		the Column		1 4												
nn 2: The recorded nn 3: The planet line nn 6: The befinnin n 7: The calculato nn 19: The calculato nn 19: The calculato nn 19: The separation nn 11: The separation nn 13: The separation nn 14: The separation nn 15: Comments, separation seconded date was Recorded date wa	nn 2: The recorded nn 3: The planet lin f: The beginnin f: The beginnin f: The encoulate nn 1: The calculate nn 1: The calculate nn 1: The calculate nn 1: The calculate nn 1: The separation nn 1: The separation time seconded date was recorded date was reco	lumn		he number	of the obt	Bervation	in chro	onologica	al order.									
un 3: The planet li 1. 4: The second of 1. 5: The end of t 1. 7: The calculate 1. 8: The calculate 1. 11: The separation 1. 12: The separation 1. 13: The separation 1. 15: Closest approach 1. 17: Comments, se 1. 18: Coset approach oc 1. 18: Closet approach oc 1. 1	un 3: The planet li 1. 4: The second of 1. 5: The end of t 1. 7: The calculate 1. 9: The calculate 1. 11: The separatic 1. 12: The separatic 1. 13: The separatic 1. 14: The separatic 1. 15: Comments, se Recorded date was Recorded date wa	umn I	ä			verted to	In Jull	an Day	Number.		rmbol ⁷ denot	ie us se	pproximat	e date.				
n 4: The second of 1: 5: The beginnin 1: 7: The calculate 1: 7: The calculate 1: 7: The calculate 1: 7: The separation 1: 7: The separation 1: 7: 1: 7: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	nn 4: The second of nn 5: The beginnin nn 7: The calculate nn 9: The calculate nn 19: The calculate nn 11: The separation nn 13: The separation not 13: The separation nn 13: The separation nn 13: The separation nn 13: The separation nn 13: The separation not 13: The separation not 13: The separation not 13: The separation not 13: The separation separation not 13: The separation not 13: The separation separa	Iumn	3:			n the occ	ultation											
n 5: The beginnin 1: The end of t 1: The calculate 1: 19: The calculate 1: 19: The calculate 1: 10: The calculate 1: 11: The separation 1: 11: The separation 1: 10: The separation 1: 10: The separation 1: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 1	n 5: The beginnin 6: The end of t n 6: The end of t n 9: The calculate n 11: The calculate n 12: The separation 13: The separation 14: The separation 14: The separation 14: The separation 15: Comments approach of 10: Seconded date was Recorded date was Recorded date was 10: Seconded date was 10:	umn	4		object inve	ni bevla	the occ	ultation										
n 6: The end of t n 7: The calculato n 19: The calculato n 19: The calculato n 11: The separation n 13: The separation n 15: Coset approach n 16: Coset approach n 17: Comments, se n 17: Comments, se n 17: Comments, se coset approach oc Closet approach oc	n 6: The end of t n 7: The calculato n 19: The calculato n 19: The calculato n 11: The separatio n 13: The separatio n 15: Closet approach n 15: Closet approach n 17: Comments, se nn 17: Comments, se nn 17: Comments, se closet approach oc Closet approach oc	umn	ë		ng of the i	recorded	window	of time	for the	observe	tion convert	ad to th	• fraction	a lo	Julian Da	Š		
In 7: The calculate B: The calculate In 18: The calculate In 11: The separation I 11: The separation I 13: The separation I 15: Closest approach I 16: Closest approach I 17: Comments, se In 16: Closest approach Recorded date was Recorded date was Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Cl	In 7: The calculate In 8: The calculate In 19: The calculate In 11: The separation In 12: The separation I 14: The separation I 15: Closest approact In 15: Closest approact In 17: Comments, se Recorded date was Recorded date was R	umn	ë			opula pe	w of the	ne for t	he obser	vation c	converted to	the frac	tion of a	Jullan	Day.			
IN 8: The calculate IN 9: The calculate IN 11: The separation IN 13: The separation IN 13: The separation IS: Comments approach In 16: Closest approach Im 17: Comments, se Im 17: Comments, se In 17: Comments, se	nn 8: The calculate nn 9: The calculate nn 11: The separation nn 12: The separation nn 13: The separation nn 13: The separation nn 16: Closest approach nn 17: Comments, se Recorded date was Recorded date was Recorded date was Closest approach oc Closest approach oc	umn	7:		ą	oparation	betwee	an the t	wo objec	te durin	ng the time w	Indow.						
n 9: The calculate n 19: The calculate n 11: The separation 13: The separation 14: The separation 14: The calculate n 16: [foloset approach time period. n 17: Comments, se n 17: Comments, se n 17: Comments, se conset approach oc closest approach oc	n 9: The calculate n 11: The separation n 13: The separation n 14: The separation n 14: The separation n 15: Comments approach time period. Intervent approach oc Closest approach oc	umn	8 0		đ	tion in Ri	Ight Aet	cension	for the	separati	on given in t	Column 7						
In 18: The calculate In 11: The separation In 13: The separation I 14: The calculate In 16: Closest approach In 16: Closest approach In 17: Comments, se In 17: Commen	In 18: The calculate In 11: The separation I1: The separation I1: The separation I1: Commentation I1: Commentation In 15: Commenta, se In 17: Commenta, se In 17: Commenta, se In 17: Commenta, se In 17: Commentation In 17: Comm	umn	ä		P	tion in de	sclinati	on for t	he separ	ation gi	ven in Colum	n 7.						
III: The separation is: The separation is: The separation is: Closest approach in 16: Closest approach in 17: Comments, se closest approach oc clo	III: The separation 11: The separation 12: The separation 13: The separation 14: The separation 15: Closest approach un 15: Closest approach seconded date was Recorded date was Recorded date was Recorded date was Closest approach oc co Closest approach oc co co closest approach oc co closest approach oc co closest approach oc closest approach o	umn	1 0 :		Ā	f least as	paratio	ė										
m 12: The separation in 13: The separation in 14: The separation in 15: Closest approach in 16: Closest approach in 17: Commenta, as time period. in 17: Commenta, as in 17: Commenta, as closest approach oc Closest approach oc	nn 12: The separation 13: The separation 13: The separation 13: Closest approach in 15: Closest approach in 17: Comments, se Recorded date was Recorded date was Recorded date was Closest approach oc Closest	umn	11:		Ģ	calculate	ad time	of lens	t separat	tion.								
In 13: The separation 14: The calculated in 14: The calculated in 16:16 closest approach of time period. In 17: Comments, se in 17: Comments, se corded date was Recorded date was Recorded date was Closest approach of Closest a	In 13: The separation 14: The calculation 16:Closest approach in 16:Closest approach in 17: Comments, se in 17: Comments, se in 17: Comments, se closest approach oc C	lumn	12:			It Ascens	ilon at	the time	of leas	t separa	ition.							
In 14: The calculate In 15:Closest approach In 15:Closest approach time period. In 17: Comments, se In 17: Comments, se Recorded date was Recorded date was Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc	In 14: The calculate in 16:Closest approach in 16:Closest approach time period. In 17: Comments, se in 17:	lumn	13:		ion in decl	lination a	nt the t	Ime of	least sep	aration.								
In 16:Closest approach In 16:If closest approach In 17: Comments, se In 17: Comments, se Recorded date was Recorded date was Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc	In 16:Closest approach time period. In 17: Comments, se in 17: Comments, se Recorded date was Recorded date was Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Planet is moving vi	lumn	ÿ		v.	of Delta	T In Ju	lian Day		-								
In 16:16 (locent approd time period. In 17: Comments, se recorded date was Recorded date was Recorded date was Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc	In 16:16 closest appros time period. In 17: Comments, se Recorded date was Recorded date was Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Closest approach oc Fianet a outlet	lumn	15:Close	st approac	sh occurred	during ((q) the	daytime	or (n)	the nigh	ttime.	:	•					
time period. In 17: Comments, Recorded date wa Recorded date wa Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach	time period. In 17: Comments, Recorded date wa Recorded date wa Closest approach Closest ap	lumn	16:If clo	sest appr	oach occurs	red durin	g the n	Ighttime	, (y) II	occurred	I IN THE FECO	Tded tim	e period.	or (n)	N DID 11	n occu	r auri	
IN 17: Comments, lotes: Recorded date wa Recorded date wa Closest approach Closest approach	IN 17: Comments, lotes: Recorded date wa Recorded date wa Recorded date wa Recorded date wa Closest approach Closest approach		ä.		•	•												
iotes: Recorded date wa Recorded date wa Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach	iotes: Recorded date wa Recorded date wa Recorded date wa Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Extended objet: Member of Jupits: Planet is moving	lumn			ee the Foo	tnotes												
Recorded date wa Recorded date wa Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach	Recorded date wa Recorded date wa Recorded date wa Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Extended objet: Mamber of Jupits: Planet is moving																	
Recorded date wa Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Extended object.	Recorded date wa Recorded date wa Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Extended object. Member of Jupiter Planet is moving	Ìä	Recorded	date was	n dave	urly.												
Closet approach Closet approach Closet approach Closet approach Closet approach Extended object.	Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Closest approach Standed object. Member of Jupits Planet is moving	ż	Recorded	date vas	avab r													
Closest approach occurred during evening twilight. Closest approach occurred during morning twilight. Closest approach occurred after aunriae. Closest approach occurred while objects were below the horizon. Extended object.	Closest approach occurred during evening twilight. Closest approach occurred during morning twilight. Closest approach occurred while objects were below the horizon. Extended objects Seo group of 'occuitations' Member of Jupiter-B Seo group of 'occuitations' Planet is moving very alowly across sky (<2' /day).		Closest a	a construction of the section of the		fore auna	tet.											
Closest approach occurred during morning twilight. Closest approach occurred after sunriae. Closest approach occurred while objects were below the horizon. Extended object.	Closest approach occurred during morning twilight. Closest approach occurred after sunrise. Closest approach occurred while objects were below the horizon. Extended object. Memmer of Jupiter-B Sco group of 'occultations' Planet is moving very slowly across sky (<2' /day).			o Homoreda		ieve ever	nine tu	llicht										
Closest approach occurred after sunrise. Closest approach occurred while objects were below the horizon. Extended object. Member of Jupiter-B Sco group of 'occultations.'	Closest approach occurred after sumtee. Closest approach occurred while objects were below the horizon. Extended object. Member of Jupiter-B Sco group of 'occultations.' Planet is moving very slowly across sky (<2' /day).		Closest .					illeht.										
Closest approach occurred while objects were below the horizon. Extended object. Member of Jupiter-6 Sco group of occultations.	Clonest approach occurred while objects were below the horizon. Extended object. Member of Jupiter-B Sco group of 'occultations.' Planet is moving very slowly across sky (<2' /day).		Closent a			ter sunrh												
Extended object. Member of Jupiter-B Sco group of 'occultations.'	Extended object. Member of Jupiter-B &co group of 'occultations.' Planet is moving very alowly across sky (<2' /day).				te permon	and other	te vere	below	the horis	ton.								
Member ouget: Member of Jupiter-B Sco group of 'occultations.' Mission is a statistic statistic statistics of the factory	Member of Jupiter-B Sco group of 'occultations' Planet is moving very slowly across sky (<2' /day).		Extended 1															
	Remote of Jupicer P act group of court of court activity. Planet is moving very slowly across sky ((2' /day).		Vambar /	fundtor.		an of loce												
	LIGUEL 18 WOALD ALL STATA STATA WELDE ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL AL			or auptor.		up or oct	ontento											

© American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System

the ephemerides corrected for ΔT agrees with the recorded observations for the nighttime "occultations," this also provides a positive check on whether the closest approach occurred during the daytime or nighttime.

Many of the recorded "occultations" had their closest approaches during the daytime, when they could not have been seen. Some of the events, such as record number 1, would have appeared as if they were occultations because of the small least-visible separation during the night, the effects of irradiation, and the changing background brightness of the morning or evening twilight sky. Other observations may be the result of a transcriber recording an appulse as an occultation.

A third possible explanation can be inferred from Needham (1959). Needham documents a case in which an imperial astronomer discovered that his staff had been submitting ephemerides rather than actual observations of events. Table III shows that records were kept of both the distance and direction of the planet from the background object. It is therefore possible that the records of daytime "occultations" are based on the apparent motion of the planet from one observation to the next describing a path that would appear to cause an occultation of the background object by the planet. This may explain the occurrence of many of the "occultations," such as record number 5 in Table I, where the least separation visible is about 15' but the motion of the planet takes it from the northwest to the southeast with respect to the background object during the daytime. This scenario can also be used to explain the six nighttime observations in which the planet and background object would have been below the horizon at the time of least separation.

It is expected that the indirect observations would have greater mean least separations. The increase in mean least separation is a result of the difficulties in making measurements of naked-eye observations. For the nighttime "occultations" where the objects were above the horizon, the mean least separation is 310". This least separation is best explained to be a result of the combined effects of atmospheric seeing and irradiation from the bright planetary objects. For the daytime "occultations," the mean least separation is 742". For eight of the daytime least separations, the least visible separation, that is, the least separation after sunset, would have been 318" or less, so these records should be taken as observed occultations. If these are removed from the daytime least separations, the mean least separation for davtime approaches becomes 970", and for the nighttime "occultations" where the object was below the horizon, the mean least separation was 1479". Therefore, it is likely that some Chinese astronomers would record inferred events as having occurred.

All of the records considered in this section agree with ephemerides that a close approach occurred between the two objects in a given observation. The nighttime "occultations" have a mean separation of 300" when the objects were above the horizon. This error is most easily explained by the effects of weather and irradiation. So, there is no evidence of any systematic problems with current planetary theories such as DE102 and Bretagnon (1982). However, the rather large observational error of 300" is inferred from the mean least separation. This means that the records only weakly constrain the planetary ephemerides. The weakness of the constraint is easily seen by considering that a 300" observational error over 2000 yr is the equivalent of a secular error of 3" in the planetary positions over 20 yr. This error is orders of magnitude larger than current observations of the planets would suggest possible.

Two other patterns appeared in the analysis of this group of well-determined "occultations." First, there were eight observations of approaches between Jupiter and the star β Scorpii. In each instance, the observation was made at a time when Jupiter was in retrograde motion in the region of β Scorpii, resulting in a "triple" conjunction. This event occurs at about 96 yr intervals. The recorded observation also corresponds to the closest, within the observational error, of the three conjunctions between Jupiter and the star. This suggests that the observers were using the occulted objects as markers for the motion of the planets in the sky.

Second, the large majority of the records not involving two planets were clustered near the northern and the southern limits of the ecliptic. This suggests that the observations were used, at least in part, to map the northern and southern limits of the positions of the planets in the sky. However, the distribution of observations may be the result of selection effects in the records because the northern and southern extremes of the ecliptic are also where it cuts across the disk of the galaxy.

b) Poorly Determined Records

From the ambiguous records shown in Table II, four useful observations were discovered.

First, on 16 August 435, JD 1880170.4, Mars passed through M44 and then 70 days later passed within 32" of the star σ Leo. This was recorded as a single, undifferentiated event mentioning both of the occulted objects. This interpretation would tend to support the idea proposed above that the stars and extended objects were being used as points to trace the paths of the planets in the sky.

The second useful event to be found in the poor observations is a close pass of 5'5 between Mars and the star ρ Capricorni on JD 1890945.5 (6 February 465). This event confirms another planet-star close approach that was both recorded and predicted from a planetary ephemeris.

Third, in an event similar to the Jupiter- β Scorpii observations described above, in 537 Jupiter moved in retrograde motion in the vicinity of π Sagittarii. The planet had two close approaches of 82.23 and 87.84 from the star, about 70 days apart. These two events appear to have been recorded as a single event at a date midway in between the two close approaches.

Fourth, there is a record of a very close pass between Venus and Saturn in the year 573. According to the ephemerides, this event occurred on JD 1930709.44 (29 December 573). The least separation between the planets was about 67".

Like the observations in the well-determined occultation dataset, the observations tend to show evidence that the stars were being used as "signposts" in determining the planets' motions across the sky. Also, the observations tend to cluster around those stars at the northern and southern limits of the ecliptic, possibly testing the northern and southern limits of the positions of the planets in the sky.

c) Close Approaches

The 83 observations of the close approaches are shown in Table III. The recorded separations vary between 200" and 3200" and have a recorded accuracy, inferred from the records, of about 180", or 0.05 Chinese degrees. Like the ob-

No.	Date	Planet	Object	Notes
1	1868451 7/17/403	Jupiter	φ Sgr	Moon, Jupiter was > 6 hr away.
2	1869333 12/15/405	Venus	<i>ω</i> Sco	"Fan"?, Venus 154' to the north at conjunction.
3	1880169 8/16/435	Mars	M44 or σ Leo	On JD 1880170.2 Mars was in M44, 28:01 from the center, on JD 1880240.04 Mars was 31.5 from σ Leo.
4	1890944 2/14/465	Mars	o Cap, ρ Cap, π Cap	On JD 1890945.50 Venus was 5'50 from <i>o</i> Cap.
5	1917312 4/25/537	Jupiter	π Sgr	"Fan"? Jupiter moved in retrograde motion in this area of the sky and there were two close approaches between Jupiter and this star. On JD 1917269.0 the separation was 82'23 and on JD 1917338.7 the separation was 87'84. The given date is approximately halfway between the two close approaches.
6	~ 1930527 573	Venus	Saturn	Between μ Sco and τ Sgr on JD 1930709.44, Venus was 67" from Saturn.
7	1933281 1/13/581	Venus	Mercury	Conjunction with $> 4^{\circ}$ separation on JD 1933281.
8	~2018367 ~12/27/813	Mars	€ Vir	On JD 2018353.0 separation between Mars and ϵ Vir was 68'65.
9	2074809	Mars	α Sco	Moon, Mars > 6 hr away.
0	2085467	Mars	λ Sgr	Moon, Mars > 10 hr away.
1	2086450 5/22/1000	Venus	Mercury	On JD 2086447.28 the separation between Venus and Mercury was 23'80.
2	2087117	Venus	?	
3	2087119	Venus	β Tau	Venus > 9 hr away.
4	2088306	Venus	σ Sco	
5	2091323	Venus	lpha Tau	Moon
6	2091900 4/24/1015	Mars	ε Gem	Mars was 52!7 N of star on JD 2091655.6 or 8/23/1014.
7	2092676 6/8/1017	Mars	γ Vir or σ Leo	On JD 2092674.52 Mars was 45'27 from σ Leo.
8	2093824	Venus	π Sco	Moon
9	2093867	Venus	β Tau	Moon
0	2094237	Mars	au Sgr	Moon
21	2108370 5/27/1060	Mars	M44	On JD 2108361.6 Mars was in M44 110" from the center.

TABLE II. Analysis of records from the Chinese dynastic histories in which the recorded events were characterized as occultations, but the objects involved in the events are uncertain.

	Date	No.
2147106 Saturn 6/16/1166		2

Mars

Mars

36 Gem

Jupiter

23

24

2149378

9/4/1172 2149378

8/16/1217

servations recorded as occultations, many of the times of	
least separation occurred either during the daytime or while	le
the objects were below the horizon. The separation recorded	t
at the time when the planet was visible agrees, generally,	a
with the separation that is calculated for that time. The mean	V
absolute difference between the predicted least visible sepa-	а
ration and the recorded separation at the recorded time is	r
473". While this separation is a factor of 1.5 greater than the	4
spread for the occultation observations, this may be the re-	S
sult of the additional difficulty in translating the Chinese	S
angular measurements rather than a decrease in the accura-	а
cy of the observations over the occultation observations.	с

The first close-approach record is different from the other observations. Rather than being the record of a single close approach, it is a record of a double conjunction between Mercury and Venus. The recorded date is approximately midway between the two approaches. Both of the conjunctions have been recorded as numbers 1 and 1a in Table III.

For four of the observations, the date of least separation is later than the date recorded, but the separation on the recorded date agrees with the calculated separation for that date. This indicates that it was likely that there was bad weather on the date of least separation and the observer then recorded what he saw on a previous night.

For the 48 observations with directions given, 38 agree with the direction computed. Of the ten observations that disagree with the calculated direction, five are off by approximately 180° and probably represent a mistake in head and tail ends of the direction vector. The head-tail ambiguity is definitely the case for observation number 2, where both the objects involved are planets.

Although the observations of the close approaches were recorded between 146 B.C. and 988 A.D., observations 4–42 come from the 7 yr span 487–494 (JD 1899195–1901686) and hence probably represent the work of a particular imperial astronomer. Similarly, observations 63–70 cover 767–771 and observations 72–81 cover the 10 yr period 821–830. Therefore, it is possible that many of the records of close approaches were recorded by observers who were more careful than the observers who reported the daytime "occultations." An alternate explanation, suggested by Bielenstein (1950, 1984), is that the clustering of the appulses may be the result of the political conditions in the Chinese court because of the astrological uses of the records. The second explanation, however, also depends on the relative impor-

tance of appulses to eclipses in Chinese astrological tradition.

Notes

The planets Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn and the Moon were all within 5° of ω Sgr at this time. The Moon should have occulted the star at about JD 2147106.0 and Mars at about JD 2147107.5 but missed Jupiter and Saturn by about 2° to 3°.

Mars passed 88'68 north of 36 Gem on JD 214.9379.1.

of Jupiter on JD 2165796.00.

Mars passed 32'46 south

Therefore, there is no evidence in the records of close approaches that there are any problems with the current methods of integrating planetary orbits or developing planetary theories. However, with an approximate observational accuracy of 300", this is a weak constraint. In addition, there is no evidence of problems with the ΔT determination of Stephenson and Morrison (1984).

An additional test for systematic errors in ΔT and the mean longitude of the planets was made by plotting the difference between the reported time for a given separation between two objects and the calculated time for that separation. If an error in the mean longitude of a planet existed, it would show up as a systematic trend in time differences between the observed and calculated times of separation for that planet. If there was an error in the parametrization of ΔT , it would show up as a systematic trend in the differences between the observed and calculated times of separation for all the planets. Figure 1(a) shows this plot for Venus. The circles represent recorded occultations by Venus for which the closest approach was calculated to have occurred during the daytime, the triangles represent recorded occultations for which the closest approach was calculated to have occurred during the night, and the \times 's represent the records of "fans" or close approaches. Figure 1(b) shows the segment of Fig. 1(a) from JD 1890000 to JD 1910000 so that the detail in the "fan" records around JD 1900000 can be seen. As can be seen, there is no evidence for any systematic errors. However, the daytime conjunctions (circles) have the greatest scatter, while almost all the \times 's are on the line for zero observed minus calculated errors, so there is no evidence for systematic problems in either ΔT or mean longitude for Venus. The lack of evidence for systematic error is the same for the other planets observed as well.

V. CONCLUSIONS

There are four main conclusions that can be drawn from the analysis of the Chinese observations of planetary occultations.

First, a large number of the sightings that were reported as occultations are actually records of appulses between the two objects in which the time of closest approach occurred during the daylight hours. In all but two cases, when the

	ġ	Recorded Julian Date	Obj. Involved. Planet Object	olved. Object	Rec. Time (JD+T)	Rec. Dist.	Rec. Dir.	Closest Dist.	Diet obe. R.A.	Dec.	Calculated Closest Approach Julian Dist. R.A. Date	Closet Dist.	Approach R.A.	Dec	Delta daye	a/n	r/x	Comments
	1.		Menonal	Venue		48.6		•	-	•	1668861.96	6926	168.0	5446	6.19	Ð		a(26).
		1668077	Mercury	Venue		400					1668126.29	1727	-61.8	1481	0.19	5		Þ(30).
	1	-1711942	Mare	Jupiter		200	N N	267	-6.2	242	1711946.39	267	6.9	242	.17	ء ۽	•	xi Ni
	ø	1742164	Mare	σ Leo	8 .35	199	ļ	669		-343	1742164.27	474		104-		= =	5	
	4	1899195	Venue	d Leo	9 .36	1699		2469		8/21-	1800208 48	271		226		, 1		: •-i
NUMAR NUMAR <th< td=""><td>6</td><td>1899268</td><td>Venue</td><td># VIT</td><td>80.00 10 10</td><td>9441</td><td>6 6 2,</td><td>10/1</td><td>9 1</td><td>10</td><td>1699222.86</td><td>2</td><td></td><td>2</td><td>0.0</td><td>-</td><td>></td><td></td></th<>	6	1899268	Venue	# VIT	80.00 10 10	9441	6 6 2,	10/1	9 1	10	1699222.86	2		2	0.0	-	>	
		1899222	Indter			2600	Z	2069	67.3	1875	1899249.97	2056	49.4	1919	9.90	Ψ		a(3), f.
1000000 Verture D.VI		1899428	Venue	Mars	-0.01	1000	z	1278	-14.8	1262	1899428.19	1278	-14.8	1262	6.60	۲.	>	
1000001 Mars 0 L/b 7.00 2.00		1899496	Venue	B VIr	19.9-	1400	35	1341	86.9	-426	1899496.67	149	3.8	139	8.8			
1000000 Marx PEC 700 70	•	1899624	Mars	a Lib	6.38	2599	z	1346	-36.1	1236	1899625.69	864	21.12	505 -2181			>	
		1899662	Mare	B Sco	6.38	2199	2	0.000	• •	1917-	18996653.18	563	16.6	484		. 13	•	۰
Norw Total Norw Total Norw Total Norw	N 6	1899662		10 ACT		2644		9078		-2979	1899786.47	3678	64.4	-2979		5	>	
10000000 Value 6 / Les 2.33 1000 1.30 -0.000		1966183	Mara	a Che	99.9	766	88	616	80	-660	1966182.86	661	- 6.3	- 596		9		ن ،
1000000 Vrame V/V 647 1000 603 -127 -700 1000000 Vrame > 550 < 44	- 10	1966287	Venue	a Leo	.34	2699	8	3343		-2683	1998287.61	3621		-2964		73 1		ر. دریار
1000000 Value Seco All Table Table <tht< td=""><td>•</td><td>1966328</td><td>Venus</td><td>e Vir</td><td>6.37</td><td>1899</td><td></td><td>1639</td><td>~</td><td>868-</td><td>1999328.84</td><td>361</td><td>2.6</td><td>978-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tht<>	•	1966328	Venus	e Vir	6.37	1899		1639	~	868-	1999328.84	361	2.6	978-				
1000000 VANU PSC0 TAT Desc Desc TAT Desc Desc <thdesc< th=""> <thdesc< th=""> <thdesc< th=""> <thdesc< td="" th<=""><td>~</td><td>1966362</td><td>Kars</td><td>er Sco</td><td>9.6</td><td>9911</td><td>-</td><td>1998</td><td>2.00-</td><td>1010</td><td>1 000305.04</td><td>1366</td><td>34.6</td><td>1233</td><td></td><td>, ,</td><td>></td><td>•</td></thdesc<></thdesc<></thdesc<></thdesc<>	~	1966362	Kars	er Sco	9.6	9911	-	1998	2.00-	1010	1 000305.04	1366	34.6	1233		, ,	>	•
Person Juptice Same		1988365	Venue	2 200		445		1780	118.7	-666	1900366.53	1672	-26.7	-1665		. 7	•	a(I), f.
1999401 Mark Sauth 200 1170 1187 -006 1994401.00 100 -100 100 1000077 Suth 1.40 -111 1.00 -101 1.00		100000000	Venue	Refurm		25,040		2016	-206.0	242	1966476.89	981	-18.1	947		P		f. J. K.
1000001 Marx JUDIC 2301 400 -1220 150000 2411 15000 150000 2411 15000 150000 1511 2411 15000 150000 2411 15000 150000 2411 15000 150000 </td <td></td> <td>1966481</td> <td>Mare</td> <td>Saturn</td> <td>9.36</td> <td>2699</td> <td>-</td> <td>1789</td> <td>118.7</td> <td>-696</td> <td>1966488.56</td> <td>1018</td> <td>19.6</td> <td>-986</td> <td>8.80</td> <td>9</td> <td></td> <td>r. J. I.</td>		1966481	Mare	Saturn	9.36	2699	-	1789	118.7	-696	1966488.56	1018	19.6	-986	8.80	9		r. J. I.
199667 Vanue Class 1328 7 -1264 1328 7 -201		1986481	Mars	Jupiter	9.36	2100	83	2012	48.6	-1929	1966481.18	2012	48.6	-1929		< 1	>	•
1060017 Saturn 1 Arr 1.1 2000 -14.1 2000 21.1 2000 21.1 2000 21.1 2000 21.1 2000 21.1 2000 21.1 2000 21.1 2000 21.1 2000 21.1 2000 21.1 2000 21.1 2000 </td <td></td> <td>1988697</td> <td>Venue</td> <td>0 Leo</td> <td>-6.61</td> <td>1499</td> <td>z</td> <td>1268</td> <td>9.2</td> <td>-1264</td> <td>1999696.77</td> <td>1139</td> <td>- 29.1</td> <td>0001-</td> <td></td> <td>• •</td> <td></td> <td>يد ن ز</td>		1988697	Venue	0 Leo	-6.61	1499	z	1268	9 .2	-1264	1999696.77	1139	- 29.1	0001-		• •		يد ن ز
1999/25 JUNIC A.M. M. 200 1		1988617	Saturn	1 Agr	6.10	2090	N N	00/2	1.18	A007	1 900000 41	2243		2173			6	b(4).
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1988628	Seturn	42 AGE		1999		2866	-149.2	1869	1966726.67	2367	-46.4	2268	9.9.9	7		c, J, K,
1996004 Venue C Str -446 Y 171 199 171		1900692	Venue	e Sgr	-6.60	100	Z	2167	16.8	2147	1998692.03	2167	15.8	2147	9.90	4	>	
1996847 Marx C Gen 8.4 768 0.41 -205 1996943.33 174 -135 174 175 101 175 101 175 101		1988694	Venus	O Sgr	-0.05	498		171	0.0	171	1966694.68	2		171		5 1	>	- (1)-
1999047 Marx 7 Cmc 4.37 2.00 4.37 2.00 4.37 2.00 4.37 2.00 4.37 2.00 4.37 2.00 4.37 2.00 4.37 2.00 4.37 2.00 4.37 2.00 4.37 2.00 4.37 2.00 4.37 2.00 4.37 2.00 4.37 2.00 4.37 2.00 4.37 2.00 4.37 1.00 4.37	•	1980847	Mars	e Gen	9.94	196		906	69.1	966-	1999847.00	10	0 0 N -	174		9 6	5	a (2)
19991275 Venue 1.000 1.37 2.014 1.964 2.405 1.9990176 2.021 3.07 1.000 19991275 Venue Juptier -9.05 2.19 NY 1.001 3.17 1.001 3.07		1966696	Mare	A Che		1402	6	1344	040	268	1966946.84			3		. 0	:	
1991175 Vanua Jupiter -0.0 2190 NV 200 -112.1 817 1981175.46 1047 -63.9 1318 490 1 1991255 Vanua Tone 237 2196 117.5 1001 -63.7 1002 -69.0 100 1001 -63.7 1002 -69.0 10 -63.6 11 -63.2 -60.0 211 -1004 99.0 10 1001 -63.2 -1004 99.0 10 1001 -63.2 -1004 99.0 10 1001 -63.2 -1004 99.0 10 1001 1001 -101.1 1001 -101.1 1001	- 0	1000000	Venue	d Leo	0.87	2866	1 61	2914	-169.4	2466	190001.75	2021	33.7	1963		Ð		j
1991217 Marx Saturn 3.37 1105 1267 1207 1207 1207 1207 1203 1207 1203		1961176	Venue	Jupiter	98.9-	2166	AN	1869	-112.1	817	1901175.28	1467	بغ	1318		۲.	5	
1981266 Venue X Gen 222 -100 222 -100 222 -100 222 -100 222 -100 222 -100 222 -100 222 -100 222 -100 222 -100 22		1981217	Mars	Saturn	6.37	2166	AN	2137	-126.9	1075	1901217.84	1671	۰	1562		• •	6	
1991284 Warm Desc 1001 2000 2000 2000 1001 2000		1901265	Venus			0012		2428		-2462	1961266.26	2428		-2462			: >	•
1991057 Vanua 0 Vir 6.30 1460 8V 1357 1991453.12 966 -58.6 -993 59 179 1991464 Vanua 6 Vir 6.30 1460 8V 1317 1994466.18 1199 117 1495 50 1491446.18 1199 117 1495 50 1491446.18 1199 117 1495 50 1491446.18 1199 117 1495 50 1491446.18 1199 117 1495 50 1491446.18 1191 1491 70 <t< td=""><td>• •</td><td>1961264</td><td>Mara</td><td>Jupiter</td><td>10.9</td><td>2166</td><td>A 9</td><td>2598</td><td></td><td>-984</td><td>1991285.54</td><td>Ξ</td><td>-</td><td>î</td><td>9.90</td><td>٦</td><td></td><td>f. 1.</td></t<>	• •	1961264	Mara	Jupiter	10.9	2166	A 9	2598		-984	1991285.54	Ξ	-	î	9.90	٦		f. 1.
1981464 Mara X Gam 6.19 1466 N 1126 1176 1126 <t< td=""><td></td><td>1961433</td><td>Venue</td><td>e vir</td><td>6.36</td><td>1400</td><td>8</td><td>1367</td><td>38.6</td><td>-1227</td><td>1901433.92</td><td>966</td><td>-26.8</td><td>696-</td><td>8.8</td><td>٩</td><td></td><td>B(1), C.</td></t<>		1961433	Venue	e vir	6.36	1400	8	1367	38.6	-1227	1901433.92	966	-26.8	696-	8.8	٩		B (1), C.
1991475 Vanue V80 239 NE 143 1441 147	•	1981464	Mars	X Gem	6.19	1400	Z	1180	11.3	1176	1991466.18	1189	6.11 9 9 9 9	1178		c 1	>	
1990.060 Marx Mat M		1981475	Venus	V Sco	9.30	2199	AN	2437	136.5	1413	1 0014/4-00	1041	0.02					ء - ن
199106 Marx Four <	-	1981668	Mars	K44		8892	z		0.0	8401	10.0001001	404	1.01	466		, ,	5	
1972/50 Uptor 7 1 <th< td=""><td></td><td>1901686</td><td>Mare</td><td></td><td>14.4</td><td>4 4 4 -</td><td>2</td><td>494 494</td><td>- 37.5</td><td>360</td><td>1964166.14</td><td>433</td><td></td><td>428</td><td>99.0</td><td>: -</td><td>: ></td><td>k. 1.</td></th<>		1901686	Mare		14.4	4 4 4 -	2	494 494	- 37.5	360	1964166.14	433		428	99.0	: -	: >	k. 1.
197739 Juptier 7 VI 612 469 066 -18.8 -088 1987544.15 066 -18.0 -666 6.6 16 7 7 19687715 468 -116.4 -666 6.6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		1967261	Juniter	A VIT	9.37	1866		1666	48.8	1378	1997269.93	1645	87.7	1441	9.9	7	•	
1989877.12 Jupiter 6 8c0 8.37 499 049 -37.1 -345 19989877.12 488 -11.9 -441 5.9 n n 1999163 Jupiter 2480 5.23 1199 2.254 -461 5.12 -345 1998169.14 2395 09.3 2767 5.9 n n 1991789 Jupiter 2480 5.23 1199 063 38.8 776 1917789.71 234 -46.9 -2162 5.6 10 1 1912437 Jupiter 7 800 5.26 1499 052 38.8 776 1917789.71 255 -46.9 5.9 0 1 1912437 Jupiter 6 827 6.4 789 832 -25.8 -769 1912437.29 631 -17.6 -718 5.9 n n 1912437 Jupiter 7 800 6.6 78 832 -25.8 -769 1912437.29 631 -17.6 -794 5.9 n n 1912437 Jupiter 7 800 6.6 78 832 25.7 760 1912437.29 631 -17.6 -794 5.9 n n 1912437 Jupiter 7 818 499 832 25.7 760 1912437.29 631 -17.6 -794 5.9 n n 1912447 Jupiter 7 818 499 832 25.7 760 1912437.29 631 -17.6 774 5.9 1.9 1 191424 Mara Jupiter 7.88 499 622 13.8 479 1916439.439 631 1.7.6 774 5.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1		1967539	Jupiter	7 VIC	9.92	466		669	-18.8	-698	1907549.15	669	-18.8	-698	8.8	5	>	.
1998-163 Jupiter ar Sec 8.23 1899 E 2895 68.8 2757 1998169.14 2899 09.8 2767 1.99 h h 1917863 Jupiter 7 Sec 8.23 1199 2284 -46.8 -2182 1998168.12 2284 -46.5 -2182 5.98 h y 19117863 Jupiter 7 VI: 8.28 1499 962 38.8 776 19177857.19 935 24.7 869 5.96 h y 1912382 Vanua 0 Ser 6.46 1199 713 -1.8 -713 1912381.96 713 -1.6 -713 5.96 h y 1912382 Vanua 0 Sec 6.46 7799 832 -26.7 766 1912457.29 831 -17.6 -794 5.96 h y 1912437 Jupiter 5 Sec 7.46 799 632 26.7 766 1912457.29 831 -17.6 -794 5.90 h y 1912482 Vanua 0 Jupiter 7.98 469 522 13.8 469 1916429.54 681 28.0 469 5.90 h y	•	1968676	Jupiter	B Sco	9.37	499		649	-37.1	-346	1968677.12	488	-11.	-461		ء ،	2 ا	
1998163 Jupiter y Kec 9.23 1199 2284 -46.9 -1212 19981091.2 2294 -40.9 -12 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	~	1968163	Jupiter	# 8co	g .23	1866	6	2895	69.8	2767	1998169.14	2882		1918		5 1	= :	(0). P(0).
1917/38 UNIGAT & VIT 9.28 1499 713 -1.8 713 1022391.08 713 -1.8 1211 1912/38 Uniukar 9 Sco 8.48 799 832 -28.9 -799 1912497.29 631 -17.9 1214 1912437 Jupiter 9 Sco 8.48 799 832 -28.9 7799 1912497.29 631 -17.6 1912439 489 19104247.29 631 -17.6 1912439 489 191042447.29 13.0 13.6 13.6 13.6 13.6 13.6 13.6 13.6 13.6		1968163	Jupiter	¥ 8co	6.53			22294	9.04-	7417-	21.0010041	4277	24.7				•	
1912-002 Varue 0 507		1911789	Jupiter					70A	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	a	1012381.08	113		-713			>	نه:
1914139 Venue a Vir 6.61 1466 882 26.7 766 1914136.64 881 28.6 1914134 Venue a Vir 6.61 1466 882 13.8 466 1914134.33 522 13.8		1012437	Jubiter	B Sco		2002		832	-20.0	-786	1912437.29	831	-17.6	-794		5	, E	L. J.
1916624 Mara Jubiter 0.38 400 522 13.8 486 1916624.33 522 13.8		1914139	Venue	a Vir	10.0	1495		883	26.7	786	1914139.64	881	28.8	769	6.6	2	>	j
			÷													•	•	

1490 HILTON ET AL.: CHINESE RECORDS OF OCCULTATIONS

© American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System

Three Thre Three Three <tht< th=""><th>Date</th><th>Planet</th><th>Jag. Involved. Janet Ohlact</th><th>Rec.</th><th>Rec.</th><th>Rec.</th><th>Closest</th><th>Closest Dist Obs.</th><th>ž</th><th>Calculated Closest Approach</th><th>Closest</th><th>Approaci</th><th>-</th><th>Delta</th><th>¶∕n</th><th>n/v</th><th>Commente</th></tht<>	Date	Planet	Jag. Involved. Janet Ohlact	Rec.	Rec.	Rec.	Closest	Closest Dist Obs.	ž	Calculated Closest Approach	Closest	Approaci	-	Delta	¶∕n	n/v	Commente
66 19102 68 19102 68 19278 68 19278 68 19289 68 19289 68 19289 68 29814 68 29815 68 29815 69 29814 60 00 00 00 00 00 000				(T+dL)		. 110				Date	., ner.			days			
667 199162 657 19267 657 19267 657 19263 651 19263 651 19263 651 19263 653 19726 653 19725 654 29918 655 29918 655 29918 655 29918 655 29918 655 29918 655 29918 71 299482 73 299482 73 299482 73 29928 74 29928 75 29928 76 292216 78 292216 78 292216 78 292216 78 292246 78 292246 78 29246 78 29246 78 291000 910000 910000 9100000	66 1916207	Jupiter	n Vir	6.16	466	z	747	16.4	.17	1916266.86	746	17 6	. 163	4 48	-		
65 19295 65 19295 65 19295 65 19295 65 19295 65 19295 65 19295 65 29918 65 29918 66 29918 67 29918 68 29918 68 29918 68 29918 68 29918 68 29918 68 29918 66 299238 66 299238 67 299238 68 299238 69 01umn 12: 601umn 13: 01umn 13: 601umn 13: 01umn 13: 601umn 13: 01umn 16: 77 780236 7800106 01umn 12: 701um 12: 01umn 16: 701um 12: 01umn 16: 701um 16: 201um 12: 701um 16: 01um 10: 701u		Jupiter	a VIr	6.16	266	•	197	-9.6	137	1916253.91		8	3				<u>ب</u> د ن
858 19226 851 19226 853 19226 853 295914 853 295914 854 256914 855 256914 855 256914 855 256916 855 256916 855 256916 855 256916 856 256916 857 256929 858 259218 858 259218 111 2289218 858 259218 121 2289218 121 2289218 121 2289218 121 2289218 121 2289218 121 2289218 121 2289218 121 2289218 122 228218 123 22822 123 2282 123 2282 123 22822 123 22822 123 22822 123 22822 123 22822 123 22822 123 22822 123 2282 123 2882 123 28		Venus	- VIF	9.38	1169	8E	263	17.4	43	1910769.15	134	3.8	122	9.0	6	5	
00 119285 01 12285 03 29914 03 29914 03 29914 03 29914 03 29914 03 29914 03 29914 03 29914 04 29914 05 29914 05 29914 05 29914 06 29914 07 29914 08 299215 08 299215 08 299215 08 299215 09 299215 01 299225 01 299225 01 299225 01 29014 01 29014 01 29014 01 29014 01 29014 01 2014 01 2014 01 2014 01 2014	-	Venue	Mars	- 6.63	160		762	-21.2	628	1927487.10	762	-21.2	628	9,99	£	>	,
1 19320 85 29616 85 29616 86 29616 86 29616 86 29616 86 29616 86 29616 86 29616 86 29616 86 29616 86 29616 86 29616 86 29616 86 29616 86 29618 86 29618 86 296216 86 296216 86 296216 86 296236 86 296236 86 296236 86 296236 86 296236 86 296236 86 296236 86 296236 86 296236 86 296236 86 296236 86 2961000 9010000 <td< td=""><td></td><td>A en la</td><td>9 1 1</td><td>50.00</td><td>3698</td><td></td><td>1419</td><td>78.8</td><td>868</td><td>1928396.66</td><td></td><td>18.8</td><td>1879</td><td>9.98</td><td>Ð</td><td></td><td>j.</td></td<>		A en la	9 1 1	50.00	3698		1419	78.8	868	1928396.66		18.8	1879	9.98	Ð		j.
289145 289145 28915 289145 28916 289145 28917 289145 28918 289145 28918 289145 28918 289145 28918 28915 28918 28915 28918 28915 28918 28916 28918 28916 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28918 28914 28914 28914 28914 28914 28914 28914 28914 28914 2814 28914 2814 281414 2814 281414 2		Verguer	Verue	14.9			468		467	1928607.39	Ŧ	18.6	413		c ·	>	
88 29816 88 29816 88 29816 88 29816 88 29816 89 29816 89 29816 89 29816 89 29816 89 29816 89 29816 89 29816 80 29816 80 298216 81 298216 82 298216 83 298218 84 298216 84 298216 84 298216 84 298216 84 298216 84 298216 84 298216 84 298216 84 298216 84 298216 84 298216 85 298246 85 298246 90 290 90 290 90 290 <td></td> <td>Mara</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4407</td> <td></td> <td>8447</td> <td></td> <td>- 1819</td> <td>1930193.76</td> <td>1162</td> <td>39.0</td> <td>-1982</td> <td></td> <td>η.</td> <td></td> <td>.</td>		Mara			4407		8447		- 1819	1930193.76	1162	39.0	-1982		η.		.
364 2961 2961 37 2961 2961 38 2961 2961 38 2961 2961 38 2961 2961 38 2961 2961 38 2961 2961 38 2961 2962 38 2961 2922 38 2962 2922 38 2962 2922 38 2962 2922 38 2962 2922 38 2962 2922 38 2962 2922 38 2962 2922 38 2962 2922 38 2962 2922 38 2962 2922 38 2962 2922 38 2962 2922 38 2962 292 38 2962 292 38 2960 292 20 20		Jupiter	1 Gem		2644		1466		1021	1 932467.59 2441444 48	IAII		1100				L .
35 295 295 37 295 295 38 295 295 39 295 295 39 295 295 39 295 295 39 295 295 30 295 295 30 295 295 30 295 295 30 295 295 31 295 295 31 295 295 32 295 295 32 295 295 32 295 295 32 295 295 32 295 295 335 395 295 336 0 0 0 336 0 0 0 336 0 0 0 0 336 0 0 0 0 336 0 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Mare</td><td>2 Ser</td><td>19.9</td><td>1944</td><td></td><td>1745</td><td></td><td>1744</td><td>04.4551447</td><td>1971</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		Mare	2 Ser	19.9	1944		1745		1744	04.4551447	1971		-				
28518 28618 28618 28618 28618 28628 28688 28688 28688 28688 28688 28688 286888 286888 286888 286888 286888 2868888 2868888 28688888 2868888888888		Venue	Tau		2866		2326		2467	2001450.60			00/1				B (1), C.
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		Venus	# VII	6.38	2166		1947		-1877	2001866 26	1044		1001		, ,	•	ا
1		Mars	a VIF	6.37	1800				1199	2001878.68	629		1000			:	.
1		Venus	e vir	9.38	1486			-102.4	245	2001878.71	316		-287				
28428 28428 284218 284218 284218 284218 285 28518 285 28518 285 28518 285 28518 285 28518 285 28518 285 28518 285 28518 28528 28528 28528 28528 28528 28228 28528 28228 28228 28228 28228 28228 28228 28228 28228 28228 28228 28228 28228 28228 2828 28228 2828 2828 2828 2828 2828 2828 2828 2828 2828 2828 2828 2828 2828 2828 2828 2828 291 291 2828 291		Mare	8 Cap	9.90	700			-72.3	646	2662969.44	892	-21.9	838	99.9		-	
17 282183 282183 282183 282184 282183 282185 282183 282181 282183 282182 282183 282183 282183 282183 282183 282183 282282 282183 282282 282183 282282 28213 282282 28228 282282 28228 282282 28228 282282 2828145 282828 2828145 282828 2828145 282828 2828145 282828 2828145 282828 2828145 282828 2828145 2828145 2828145 2828145 2828145 2828145 2828145 2828145 2828145 2828145 2828145 2828145 2828145 2828145 2828145 2828145 2828145 2828145 <		Mare	1 Aqr	6.64	1499				-28	2662967.68	448	-19.6	422	9.80	. 70	;	J.
		Mare	a Leo	8.34	1486	AN			741	2018317.16	864	17.0	765	9.90	5	5	
28212 6 22215 6 22813 6 22813 6 22813 8 28236 8 28236 8 28236 8 28236 8 28236 8 28236 2 282 2 28236 2 28236 2 28256 2 2826 2 286 2 286		Venus	Pielades	6.63	1660	8E	1333		-1236	2021001.01	1333	36.6	-1236	9.90	Ð		ų.
7 29213: 6 29223: 7 29223: 8 29232: 29232: 29232: 11 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 29232: 2910: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200: 200:		Venus			2088		2984		-1663	2021038.91	1428	9.90	-1367	9.90	9		c. k. l.
2822155 282255 11 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28226 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28225 28226 28225 28226 28225 28226 28225 28226 28226 28226 2010mm 12: 2910mm 13: 2910mm 13: 2010mm 13: 2010mm 13: 2010mm 13: 2010mm 13: 2010mm 13: 2010mm 13: 2010mm 13: 2000000 2010mm 13: 20000000 2010mm 13: 200000000 2000						ç	1421		129	2021212.67	926	9 . (298		9.		
77 28220 8 28237 8 28238 8 28238 8 28238 8 28238 8 28238 8 28238 28258 28258 28258 28258 28258 28258 28258 28258 28258 28258		Venue	A Ser		26.44	9	1052		1122-	2421331.40	2022		7477-				
28227: 28227: 28227: 28227: 282815(5):		Venue	200		3200	•	3212	3.401	180-	2022261 67	2826		2445		• •		
28227 28228 28289 28		Venue	d Can		32.66		1090	-167.6	1080	10.1007242	1746		1464				م ن
<pre>28238 28238 28015 28016 28016 28016 28016 28016 28016 28016 28016 28016 28016 28016 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2</pre>		Saturn	36 Gem	6.18	2640		2279	97.8	1824	2422762 42	1810		0.01		, ,		- د - :
(1) 282465 282465 2826165 2		Venue	o Leo		2866		1622		-1178	2623611.56	1485	-36.7	-1384				: ; ; _
28616; 28626; 286666; 28666666; 2866666; 2866666; 286666; 2866666; 2866666; 2866666; 286666; 2866		Mare	¢ Vir	9.36	1800	MN	1916		1916	2024638.75	1716	43.9	1686	9	9		
		Mars	d Leo	9.31	1866	z	1937	98.1	1414	2081616.78	1769	38.9	1674		9		
		Baturn	11 8gr	9.18	1808		4698	-2.4	-3483	2682686.67	3396	8.0	-3364	6.03	Ð		
iolumn 1: olumn 2: olumn 3: olumn 6: olumn 6: olumn 7: olumn 12: olumn 13: olumn 14: olumn 14: o	ixplanation of C	olumns in	Table III:														
		e number	of the obse	rvation in	a chrono	logical	order.										
		a observed	date of o	beervation	CONVER	ted to	a Julian	Day Num	iber.								
		e planet h	nvolved in	appulse.													
		s other ob	ject involv	ed in the	appulse												
mm 7: mm 8: mm 9: mm 12: mm 12		e approxin	iate time o	f the obse	rvation	In Tex	tions of	a Jullan	Day.								
mm 8: object 7 mm 12: mm 12: mm 13: mm 13: mm 13: mm 13: mm 15: mm 15: mm 15: mm 17: percent Record Closent Closent Closent Closent Closent Closent Closent Cl	ë ;	e recorded	separation	between	the two	object	I CONVER	ted to se	conds o	arc.							
object 1 mm 9: mm 12: mm 12: mm 12: mm 12: mm 13: mm 14: mm 14: mm 15: mm 15: mm 17: mm 17: mm 17: mm 17: mm 17: mm 17: mm 17: mm 17: mm 17: mm 16: mm 16: mm 16: mm 16: mm 16: mm 16: mm 16: mm 17: mm 18: mm 19: mm 18: mm 19: mm 19: m		relation	d least as	nom the f			anet at	the recoi						ł		•	
olumn 8: The calculated separation in Right Accention at the separation given in Column 8. Olumn 12: The calculated separation in Right Accentation at the separation given in Column 8. Olumn 12: The calculated separation in Right Accentation at the time of least separation. Diumn 13: The calculated separation in Right Accentation at the time of least separation. Diumn 15: The calculated separation in Right Accentation at the time of least separation. Diumn 15: Closest sepreach occurred during the nighttime. (y) it occurred in the recorded time period, or (n) it did not occur during the recorded time period. Diumn 17: Comments, see the Footnotes. Diumn 17: Comments, see the Footnotes. Diumn 17: Comments, see the Pootnotes. Diumn 17: Comments, are the day late. Diumn 17: Comments, are the adving the nighttime. Diumn 17: Comments, are the Pootnotes. Diumn 17: Comments, are the Pootnotes. Diumn 17: Comments, are the Pootnotes. Diumn 17: Comments approach occurred during the nighttime. Diumn 17: Comments, are the Pootnotes. Diumn 17: Comments, are the Pootnotes. Diumn 17: Comments approach occurred during the nighttime. Diumn 17: Comments are not days late. Closest approach occurred during arealight. Closest approach occurred during morning twillph. Closest approach occurred during arealight. Closest approach occurred during arealight. Closest approach occurred during arealight. Closest approach occurred after aurine. Closest approach occurred after aurine. Closest approach occurred after aurine. Extended object. Fand 18 only approximate.	ţ	ode neels	the the hor					opleo eun		AITHIN & TO	days of	the reco	orded tim	e, aner		Dan nad	set. or th
<pre>duam 1: The calculated separation in declination at the superior given in column 9. Duam 1:: The calculated time of least separation at the time of least separation. Duam 13: The calculated separation in Right Accension at the time of least separation. Duam 15: The calculated separation in Right Accension at the time of least separation. Duam 15: The calculated separation in Right Accension at the time of least separation. Duam 16: The calculated separation in Right accented for the nighttime. Duam 16:Closest approach occurred during (b) the daylians of (n) the nighttime. Duam 16:Closest approach occurred during (b) the daylians of (n) the recorded time period, or (n) it did not occur during the recorded Unim 16:Closest approach occurred during (b) the occurred in the recorded time period, or (n) it did not occur during the recorded Unim 17: Comments, see the Pootnotes. Diam 17: Comments are the Pootnotes. Diam 17:</pre>	ä	t calculate	d senarati	n in Pich	t Accen	ton at		a noteere	at any								
Dumm 11: The calculated time of least separation. Dumm 12: The calculated separation at the time of least separation. Dumm 13: The calculated separation in declination at the time of least separation. Dumm 15: The value of Delta T in fractions of a Julian Day. Dumm 15: The value of Delta T in fractions of a Julian Day. Dumm 15: The value of Delta T in fractions of a Julian Day. Dumm 16: The value of Delta T in fractions of a Julian Day. Dumm 16: The value of Delta T in fractions of a Julian Day. Dumm 16: The value of Delta T in fractions of a Julian Day. Dumm 16: The value of Delta T in fractions of a Julian Day. The specied courted during the inghttime. (y) it occurred in the recorded time period, or (n) it did not occur during the recorded time period. Dimm 17: Comments, see the Footnotes. Dimm 17: Comments, see the Pootnotes. Dimm 18: Comments, see the Pootnotes. Distribution of the test at the Pootnotes. Extended object. Mant 18 morthy very Jony to recutration. Distribution is only approximate. Distribution is only approximate.	16:	+ calculate	d separati	on in deci	Instion		anneret!		in Colu								
Diumn 12: The calculated separation in Right Accension at the time of least separation. Diumn 13: The value of Delta T in Fractions of a Julian Day. Diumn 15:/Closest approach occurred during (d) the daytime or (n) the nighttime. Diumn 15:/Closest approach occurred during the nighttime. Diumn 17: Commente, see the Footnotes. Diumn 17: Commente, see the Footnotes. Diumn 17: Commente, see the Pootnotes. Diumn 17: Commente, see the Pootnotes. Diame 17: Diame 18: Diame 19: Diame 19		h calculate	d time of	least sepa	ration.												
<pre>Diumn 13: The calculated separation in declination at the time of least separation. Diumn 14: The value of Delta T in fractions of a Julian Dy. Diumn 16://n: If closest approach occurred during (a) the dyllan of (n) the nighttime. The period. Diumn 17: Comments, see the Footnotes. Diumn 17: Comments, see the Footnotes. Diumn 17: Comments, see the Footnotes. Dis Recorded date was n days late. Dis Recorded date rear late. Dis Recorded date rear late. Dis Recorded date nonly porting willow. Dis Recorded date nonly porting willow. Dis Recorded date nonly actor. Dis Recorded date nonly porting willow. Dis Recorded date nonly actor. Dis Recorded date nonly porting willow. Dis Recorded date nonly porting was non porting was porting was non por</pre>		h calculate	d separati	on in Righ	t Ascen	Non at	the tim	a of least	t senere	tion							
olumn 14: The value of Delta T in fractions of a Julian Day. Diumn 15:70: The value of Delta T in fractions of a Julian Day. It is period. Unum 17: Commenta, see the Pootnotes. Journ 17: Commenta, see the Pootnotes. Dis Recorded date was n days aarly. Dis Recorded date was n days aarly. Closest approach occurred willen dyfers unnest. Closest approach occurred wille objects were below the horizon. Extended object. Recorded date was lower below the horizon. Extended object. Farnet a only across aky (22'day). Date recorded the only approach occurred wille object were below the horizon. Extended object. Farnet a only approximate.		o calculate	d separati	on in deci	Ination	at the	time of	least sen	aration								
olumn 16:Closest approach occurred during (a) the daytime or (n) the nighttime. Diumn 16:Closest approach occurred during the nighttime. (y) it occurred in the recorded time period, or (n) it did not occur during the recorded time period. Diumn 17: Comments, see the Footnotes. Diumn 17: Comments, see the Footnotes. Diam period date was n days late. Disect approach occurred during morning trailight. Closest approach occurred while objects were below the horizon. Extended object. Planet is mory approach. Diam footnotes aby (c2' day). Date recorded is only approach.		b value of	Delta T ir	fractions	UL a Ju	d unit											
<pre>blumn 16:Y/m: If closest approach occurred during the nighttime. (y) it occurred in the recorded time period.</pre>	olumn 15:Closest	t approach	occurred	during (d)	the day	time o	r (n) the	o nighttin									
time period. Diumn 17: Comments, see the Pootnotes. Dis Recorded date was n days aarly. Dis Recorded date was n days aarly. Dis Recorded date was n days lats. Closest approach occurred wirls evaluat striight. Closest approach occurred wirls objects were below the horizon. Extended object. Curred wills objects were below the horizon.	olumn 16:y/n: 1	if closest	approach o	ccurred du	uring the	night	time. (y)	It occur	red in t	he recorded t	ime peri	od. or (n	i) it did	not occ	ur duri	ne the	recorded
olumn votnot (n): (n):	time period	<u>.</u>)									;		
ootnot :(n): :(n):		ments, se	e the Footi	notes.													
:(u) :(u)																	
	ž.																
Ë		date was	A days ear	×.													
			a days late														
	Closest an	Promoti of	and berring	re summer		;											
		The second second	tan naing	unieve of													
		promen oc	curred duri	ng mornin	g twillg	ij											
		prosen oc	curred and	r sunrise.		:											
		promen en				IOW LIN	horizon	_									
		ubject.		fundant fr													
			SCO GLOUD	Inoco. Jo	tations.												
lutus recorde la only approximate. Lamat manufactur la elvar d'una d'una d'una d'una de la companie en an el companie de la companie de la companie		A SULAON	LY BIOWIN	ACTORS SKY	(<3. /di	۲. ۲.											
LEBEL BENEFICIAN 18 STVAN TAP TAP ANYAN TIMA AF Abaancelah ah a		no al papi	ty approxin		:												

TABLE III. (continued)

1491

1492 HILTON ET AL.: CHINESE RECORDS OF OCCULTATIONS



FIG. 1.(a) Observed minus calculated time for a given separation for Venus. The circles represent the observations recorded as occultations for which the closest approaches occurred during the daytime. The triangles represent the recorded occultations where the closest approaches occurred during the night. The \times 's represent the records of "fans" or appulses. (b) This is the same as (a) but shows the detail from JD 1890000 to 1910000.

closest approach was observed, the distance was less than 15'. For a few of the observations, an actual occultation occurred when the occulted object was extended, and in a few cases the two objects were actually observed to come close enough together that they were not separable by the naked eye. Additional problems that might have resulted in the recording of an occultation are poor weather conditions and irradiation. However, all of the records are of real events, in agreement with Bielenstein's hypothesis that portents were common enough that, rather than manufacturing them for the record, they would be ignored when they were not needed politically. This means that the Chinese records should not be used for statistical purposes because an event may have been ignored not only because it may not have been observed but also because it may not have been politically useful.

Second, the observations appear to be used as markers in the sky for various aspects of the motions of the planets. Examples of these markers: (1) the series of observations of Jupiter and β Scorpii, which were all made when Jupiter was in retrograde motion in the area, and (2) the two observations from the "poor" observations, which consisted of a date, a planet, and two objects occulted several weeks apart. In the latter example, it was shown that the planet actually made close passes to both the objects, and the date was the time for the planet to pass the first of these "markers."

Third, there is no evidence within the accuracy of these observations to make us think that there are any inherent difficulties in present planetary theories with periods of about 2000 yr. This constitutes the first check of the planetary theories against this large body of Chinese observations. However, this evidence is weak.

Finally, from the observed very close approaches that occurred during the nighttime hours, the time that was recorded for their closest approach and the time that was calculated verify the ΔT correction derived by Stephenson and Morrison (1984). This confirmation of ΔT is important because the observations do not depend on the position of the Moon, as do previous determinations of ΔT . However, the errors involved in the timing of the individual events make it impossible to distinguish betwen the ΔT value of Stephenson and Morrison (1984) and that of Newton (1984).

In general, this study verifies the reliability of ancient Chinese records of planetary occultations and gives an example of how these records can be used in modern astronomy. The Chinese records of celestial events include many different kinds of events such as planetary positions, lunar occultations, comets, and eclipses. These records have only been sparingly used by people such as Morrison and Stephenson (1977) and Newton (1984), mainly because most of the records exist in Chinese only and have difficulties inherent in translation and interpretation. Therefore, the best method of attacking these records is as a collaboration between astronomers and historians knowledgeable in the language and traditions of Chinese astronomy.

The authors would like to thank Drs. A. Fiala and L. Doggett, who read through the drafts of this paper and made many helpful suggestions for improvements in it. We would also like to thank both of the referees for their helpful comments.

REFERENCES

Bielenstein, H. (1950). Bull. Mus. Far East. Antiquities 22, 127. Bielenstein, H. (1984). Bull. Mus. Far East. Antiquities 56, 97. Bretagnon, P. (1982). Astron. Astrophys. 114, 278.

- China Book-House (1975). A Compilation of Astronomical Chapters in the 24 Histories (Zhong Shuju, Beijing, People's Republic of China).
- Clark, D. H., and Stephenson, F. R. (1977). *The Historical Supernovae* (Pergamon, London).
- Eberhard, W. (1957). In *Chinese Thought and Institutions*, edited by J. K. Fairbank (University of Chicago, Chicago), pp. 33–70, 345–352.

Hoffleit, D., and Jaschek, L. (1982). *The Bright Star Catalogue*, Fourth Revised Edition (Yale University Observatory, New Haven, CT).

Ho Peng Yoke (1962). Vistas Astron. 5, 127.

- Ho Peng Yoke (1966). The Astronomical Chapters of the Chin Shu (Mouton, Paris).
- Kaplan, G. H. (1984). APPLE: Vector/Matrix Method Apparent Place Routines, U. S. Nav. Obs. Int. Memo. (unpublished).

Liu Ciyuan (1988). Earth, Moon, and Planets 40, 111.

Needham, J., and Wang, L. (1959). Mathematics and the Sciences of the

Heavens and the Earth Science and Civilization in China, Vol. 3 (Cambridge University, Cambridge).

- Newhall, XX, Standish, E. M., Jr., and Williams, J. G. (1983). Astron. Astrophys. 125, 150.
- Newton, R. R. (1984). *The Moon's Acceleration and Its Physical Origins*, Vol. 2 (The Johns Hopkins Unversity, Baltimore).
- Rhoades, J. W. (1976). A Reduced Star Catalogue Containing 5116 Designated Stars, JPL Tech. Memo 33-758.
- Sivin, N. (1969). Cosmos and Computation in Early Chinese Mathematical Astronomy (E. J. Brill, Leiden).
- Stall, J. D. W. (1984). Stars of Jade: Astronomy and Star Lore of Very Ancient Imperial China (Writ, Decatur, GA).
- Stephenson, F. R., and Clark, D. H. (1978). Applications of Early Astronomical Records (Oxford University, New York).
- Stephenson, F. R., and Morrison, L. V. (1984). Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London A 313, 47.

THE ASTRONOMICAL JOURNAL

VOLUME 96, NUMBER 4

ERRATUM: "GALACTIC LATITUDE DISTRIBUTION OF BULGE M GIANTS AT $l = 0^{\circ}$ " [ASTRON. J. 95, 1400 (1988)]

V. M. BLANCO

Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, National Optical Astronomy Observatories, Casilla 603, La Serena, Chile Received 23 June 1988

ABSTRACT

The sentence following Eq. (3) should read as follows: "In $\Phi(M)$, the luminosity function, $M = m - 5 \log r/10 - A(r)$, A(r) being the interstellar absorption."

0004-6256/88/041494-01\$00.90

© 1988 Am. Astron. Soc. 1494

© American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System