				Form Approved		
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for this collection of inf gathering and maintaining the data needed, and collection of information, including suggestions Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 2220	ormation is estimated to average 1 d completing and reviewing the colle for reducing this burden, to Washin 2-4302, and to the Office of Manag	hour per response, including ection of information. Send ngton Headquarters Services gement and Budget Paperwo	g the time for reviewing comments regarding the Directorate for Inform ork Heduction Project	g instructions, searching existing data sources, his burden estimate, or any other aspect of this lation Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson (C704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.		
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE A	REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED			
4 TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. FUNDING NU	MBERS		
4. III EL INIO 000 III EL						
The Response of the Upper Ocean to Monsoonal Forcing				N00014-94-1-0251		
6. AUTHOR(S) Dr. Daniel L. Rudnick						
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION		
University of California, San Diego				REPORT NUMBER		
Scripps Institution of Oceanography						
Physical Oceanography Research Division				UCSD 94-1558		
9500 Gilman Drive						
La Jol	la, CA 92093-0230					
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSORING/MONITORING		
Office of Naval Research				AGENCY REPORT NUMBER		
800 North Quincy Street						
Arlingto	on, VA 22217-5660					
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT				TION CODE		
Available to public						
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)		<u> </u>				
	Please se	ee attached final r	eport			
DISTRIBUTION ST Approved for Pub Distribution Ur	ATEMENT A lic Release nlimited					
14. SUBJECT TERMS				15. NUMBER OF PAGES		
open to public				5		
				16. PRICE CODE		
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 18.	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLA	SSFICATION	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		
OF REPORT Unclassified	OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	OF ABSTRACT	issified	Same as report		
NSN 7540-01-280-5500				Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89 Prescribed by ANS Std. 239-17		

tandard F	orn	1 298	(Rev	7. 2-89)	
rescribed	by	ANS	Std.	239-18	
			298-102		

Final Report – The Response of the Upper Ocean to Monsoonal Forcing

N00014-94-1-0251 Daniel L. Rudnick Scripps Institution of Oceanography University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093-0213 Telephone: 858-534-7669 FAX: 858-534-8045 email: drudnick@ucsd.edu

This grant provided support for the collection, analysis and publication of results from the Arabian Sea Accelerated Research Initiative. The Arabian Sea is a unique setting for observations of the upper ocean because of the strength and directional steadiness of the monsoonal forcing. As part of the Arabian Sea ARI, we deployed two surface moorings of a five-mooring array to study the ocean's response to the monsoon. Each of our buoys was equipped with a meteorological package for estimating air-sea fluxes of heat and momentum, and subsurface instrumentation for measuring temperature and horizontal velocity. A variety of projects, discussed below, was brought to completion.

The ultimate goal of my research is a complete characterization of the upper ocean's response to atmospheric forcing. The forcing takes place through surface fluxes of heat, fresh water, and momentum. The response may be local and direct, or may be modified by advection and wave propagation. The Arabian Sea was an attractive region for an air-sea interaction experiment because of the strength and steadiness of the monsoons. The wind-stress spectrum was more energetic at low frequencies in the Arabian Sea than in mid-latitude locations where the forcing is dominated by storms. The Arabian Sea ARI thus provided an interesting contrast to previous experiments done at higher latitudes such as LOTUS, FASINEX, and Ocean Storms.

The ONR-funded surface moored array in the Arabian Sea consisted of a heavily instrumented central mooring (R. Weller, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution), two profiling current meters (C. Eriksen, University of Washington), and two lightweight surface moorings (D. Rudnick, Scripps Institution of Oceanography). The array was centered at 15°30'N, 61°30'E, and the moorings were separated by 50 km to resolve mesoscale oceanic features. The site was chosen to be near the climatological maximum wind in July. The moorings were set in October 1994, replaced in April 1995, and recovered in October 1995. The year-long deployment allowed observation of both the winter NE monsoon and the stronger summer SW monsoon.

20010821 059

A lightweight low-power meteorological package (MARMET) was developed specifically for this experiment. The variables measured were wind speed and direction, air and sea temperature, atmospheric pressure, and short-wave solar radiation. Each variable was recorded independently, and two of each sensor was carried. Raw data taken every 4-16 s were averaged over 7.5 min and stored in the individual sensor modules. Both 15-min and 8-h averages were computed and stored in a central logger, which also telemetered the 8-h averages via ARGOS. MARMET now forms the core meteorological suite on the ONR-sponsored SIO Marine Observatory monster buoy, and is being used on other buoys along the California coast.

The subsurface instrumentation was chosen for simplicity and ruggedness. The ADCP was mounted looking downward in the buoy bridle rather than upward in the mooring line to avoid the use of a load cage. The potential problem caused by deploying the ADCP on the buoy is aliasing by surface waves. This problem was overcome by burst sampling at once per second over 3 min once every 7.5 min. Thus, surface waves were resolved while conserving power and storage for the 6-month deployment. The ten temperature recorders per mooring, clamped directly on the wire to avoid load bearing members in the mooring line, recorded temperature at 15-min intervals.

i

Overall data recovery was excellent. All meteorological data on all buoys were returned except for wind during the first deployment of the southern buoy. The wind sensor electronics failed during a strong storm, presumably due to a lightning strike. The overall data return of meteorological variables was thus 95%. The ADCPs worked flawlessly so that horizontal velocity data return was 100%. All temperature recorders worked perfectly during the first six months. Unfortunately, we suffered some failures and losses during the SW monsoon. Five recorders were stripped from the mooring line on the north mooring, two recorders had short records, and one was recovered with the end caps and electronics gone. In spite of the problems, overall temperature data return was 82%. Weighting meteorological variables, currents, and temperatures equally, the data return on the two SIO moorings was 92%.

An early publication summarized preliminary results from the moored array (Rudnick et al. 1997). Typical wind speeds were 5 m s⁻¹ during the NE monsoon, increasing to greater than 10 m s⁻¹ during the SW monsoon. Thus, wind stress was four times stronger during the SW monsoon. The atmosphere to ocean heat flux was negative during the NE monsoon. The mixed layer deepened during both monsoons, but for different reasons. The deepening during the NE monsoon was caused by convection, while that during the SW monsoon was a result of wind stirring. Velocity was dominated by mesoscale geostrophic eddies. Direct wind driving was

especially evident during the SW monsoon. Mesoscale events had a controlling effect on chlorophyll.

A complete description of the ocean's response to the monsoon has been submitted, and is very close to publication (Weller et al. 2001). One-dimensional budgets of heat and salt were proven to hold except at times of strong advective events associated with geostrophic eddies. The relative effects of buoyancy-flux-driven convection and wind stirring on mixed-layer deepening was quantified through the ratio of the Obukhov length to the mixed-layer depth. The moored observations were put in context using satellite altimetry, confirming the presence of eddies.

The effect of horizontal advection on the heat budget was explicitly quantified (Fischer et al. 2001). The most striking effect was the offshore advection of upwelled water in the form of a filament. The upwelled water was strongly correlated with maxima in chlorophyll. Remarkable is the long distance the water traveled (~600 km) offshore to reach the moorings.

The 1995 SW monsoon was notable for having a double onset. The dynamics of double onsets was the topic of a study examining the moored observations, satellite data, and atmospheric analyses (Flatau et al. 2001). An examination of double onsets over 32 years revealed the 1995 onset to be, in a sense, the best example. The development of the first "bogus" onset depends on the timing of the Madden-Julian oscillation and the development of warm sea surface temperature in the Bay of Bengal.

į

The density ratio in the world's oceans was studied using data collected in a variety of ONR-sponsored experiments, including the Arabian Sea (Rudnick and Martin 2001). The mixedlayer density ratio was found to be typically one when mixed layer were deep, and when significant thermohaline variability existed. This behavior is consistent with recent theoretical models suggesting the horizontal diffusivity in the mixed layer is a growing function of density gradient. The density ratio was observed to be two in the thermocline, consistent with previous results. The transition from a density ratio of one in the mixed layer to two beneath is surprisingly sharp.

3

References

Refereed

- Fischer, A. S., R. A. Weller, D. L. Rudnick, C. C. Eriksen, C. M. Lee, K. H. Brink, C. A. Fox, and R. R. Leben, 2001: Mesoscale eddies, coastal upwelling, and the upper ocean heat budget in the Arabian Sea. *Deep-Sea Res.*, submitted.
- Flatau, M., P. Flatau, and D. L. Rudnick, 2001: The dynamics of double monsoon onsets. J. *Climate*, in press.
- Rudnick, D. L., and J. P. Martin, 2001: On the horizontal density ratio in the upper ocean. Dyn. Atmos. Oceans, in press.
- Rudnick, D. L., R. A. Weller, C. C. Eriksen, T. D. Dickey, J. Marra, and C. Langdon, 1997: Moored instruments weather Arabian Sea monsoons, yield data. *Eos, Trans. Amer. Geophys. Union*, 78, 117, 120-121.
- Weller, R. A., A. S. Fischer, D. L. Rudnick, C. E. Eriksen, T. D. Dickey, J. Marra, C. Fox, and R. Leben, 2001: Moored observations of upper ocean response to the monsoon in the Arabian Sea during 1994-1995. *Deep-Sea Res.*, submitted.

Abstracts, Talks and Reports

- Rudnick, D. L., 1996: Central mooring array recovered from Arabian Sea. U.S. JGOFS News, 7(2) 1-4.
- Rudnick, D. L., 1996: The response of the upper ocean to monsoonal forcing: Preliminary results. *Eos Trans. AGU*, **76**(3) (suppl.): OS4.
- Rudnick, D. L., 1996: Oceanic response to surface momentum and heat fluxes during the monsoons. *Eos Trans. AGU*, **77**(46) (suppl.): F381.
- Langdon, C., C. Kinkade, J. Marra, T. Dickey, R. Weller, and D. Rudnick, 1996: Response of primary production to monsoonal forcing, observations based on oxygen dynamics. *Eos Trans. AGU*, 77(46) (suppl.): F390.
- Fischer, A. S., T. Dickey, C. Eriksen, J. Marra, D. Rudnick, and R. A. Weller, 1998: Moored observations of horizontal advection and the upper ocean heat budget in the Arabian Sea. *Eos Trans. AGU*, **79**(1) (suppl.): OS11.

- Flatau, M. K., P. J. Flatau, D. L. Rudnick, and R. A. Weller, 1998: Onset of monsoon in 1995 -Arabian Sea response and large scale atmospheric forcing. *Eos Trans. AGU*, **79**(1) (suppl.): OS12.
- Flatau, M., P. Flatau, D. Rudnick, and P. P. Niiler, 1999: Intraseasonal oscillations and Asian monsoon onset. Proceedings of a Conference on the TOGA Coupled Ocean-Atmosphere Response Experiment (COARE), Boulder, CO, World Climate Research Programme.
- Rudnick, D. L., and J. P. Martin, 1999: On the horizontal density ratio in the upper ocean. *Eos Trans. AGU*, **80**(49) (suppl.): OS129.
- Rudnick, D. L., and J. P. Martin, 2000: Horizontal gradients of temperature, salinity, and velocity in the mixed layer. *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, **2**, 117.

i