Nearshore airborne lidar observations

)

Alexandru Sheremet

8 Aug 2001

1 Introduction

Hwang et al. (1999a and 1999b) uses standard FFT procedures to estimate deep water 2-dimensional wavenumber spectra from lidar images. The characteristic wavelength analyzed is O(100m), homogeneity scale is O(10km) and wave evolution scale is O(100km). Knowledge of the local bathymetry allows, via the linear dispersion relation, is needed for retrieving frequency-directional spectrum.

The classical Fourier transform approach (FT) fails in the nearshore region due to the strong spatial inhomogeneities of the wavefield, generated by lower order wave-bathymetry and quadratic wave-wave interaction. Shoaling waves evolve much faster than deep-water waves, over O(1km) scales (Elgar and Guza, 1985).

2 Progress

Other approaches, such as wavelet transforms, have been ocasionally used in ocean wave data analysis. The method is relatively new and its physical interpretation has yet to be set on a solid basis.

In this first period the research has been geared toward some very basic aim: assessing the potential of the wavelet transform approach, identifying the directions of research and the implementing the numerical tools necessary to pursue them.

I have performed a extensive survey of the relevant literature, partly to educate myself on the subject, but the main concern was to identify ways to generalize the classical harmonic analysis approach to the nearshore. A summary of the ideas is presented in Subsection 2.1. The imformation is vast, the wavelet research is booming, with new papers and monographs coming out each day. Unfortunately, in the field of ocean surface waves, the method has been applied sporadically and mechanically, mainly as a drop-in replacement of the classical Fourier approach. I talk more on this in Section 2.2. There are however some very interesting achievements (not in the ocean waves field of research, though).

A considerable amount of work was also done towards building the software basis needed for numerical simulations and analysis (Subsection 2.3). This involved identification available wavelet transform software, selection of the most suited package, familiarization with its architecture. The process of complement-ing/integrating it is ongoing, and will continue for a quite a while.

Section 3 describes work in progress and further directions of research.

2.1 Generalization of classical FT

The deep-water analysis method may be regarded as a windowed FT approach (Mallat 1998), where the window width is large enough to assume practically infinite resolution in wave number in the Fourier space. In the case of a windowed FT, the Fourier functions e^{ikx} are replaced by $e^{ikx}g(x-x_0)$, where g a constant width window function of compact support translated by x_0 . Its resolution in the wavenumber space depends on the choice of the window. The corresponding Heisenberg boxes satisfy the uncertainty inequality $\sigma_k \sigma_x \leq 1/2(\sigma)$ is a measure of the resolution; classical FT corresponds to $\sigma_k = 0$ and $\sigma_x = \infty$).

1

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for Public Release Distribution Unlimited

20010813 030

The restriction of a const: width window makes the windowed FT *e* and to use on signals that exhibit strong inhomogeneity across scales. Allowing the window to vary in size reads to the Gabor transform; generalizing the family of basis functions (to satisfy some quite general "admissibility" conditions) leads to a continuous wavelet transform (CWT). These transforms conserve energy and admit the definition of an energy density.

Unlike the classical FT, both the windowed FT and the CWT representations are highly redundant. The discrete wavelet transform (DWT), based on multi-resolution analysis eliminates the redundancy by extracting a complete orthogonal set, based on a multi-resolution analysis (MRA, Wojtaszczyk 1997). Assuming that a local Fourier spectrum may be defined, the the Fourier and wavelet spectra contain equivalent information and can be retrieved from each other. Moreover, for transient, short time series, the DWT spectral estimator seems to be more robust than the classical Fourier or CWT, the latter exhibiting scale mixing problems due to overcompleteness (Pando and Fang, 1998).

The above observations seem to indicate that, by smoothly modifying the window width and the basis functions, it is possible to devise a unified approach for the spectral analysis of lidar images all the way from the deep sea into the nearshore. Such an approach would facilitate physical interpretation and the reconstruction of traditional wave field parameters (frequency-directional spectra, mean direction of propagation, mean period etc).

2.2 Physical interpretation of wavelet transform

The use of the CWT/DWT raises several important questions related to the physical interpretation of the results.

Is there an optimal wavelet shape to be used for a given purpose? The literature is extremely rich in wavelet shapes, classes and methods to generate them. Owing to it's simple analytic form, a Gaussian wave group, the Morlet wavelet (Grossmann and Morlet 1985) has been traditionally preferred in the description of progressive waves. There is no formal justification, though, to choose it over, say, a chirp wavelet (Holschneider 1998), which includes a wavenumber shift that can account for linear evolution. For two dimensional applications, Cauchy wavelets (Antoine et al. 1999) have minimal uncertainty and much better angular selectivity than Morlet wavelets. Different applications will require different wavelet features; for example, wave crest detection would use real wavelets such as the Hermitian class (Lewalle 1997). A study of shore reflection might require use of boundary wavelets (Monasse and Perrier 1998).

Is then the choice of the wavelet critical for the results of the analysis? General statements about wavelets behaviour can also be made. Statistical and spectral properties are well understood and seem to be realtively insensitive to the type of wavelet used in the analysis (Nason et al. 1997, Masry 1998, Perrier et al. 1995, Pando and Fang 1998, Brillinger 1996).

The concern here is not the "mechanical" decomposition/reconstruction of the lidar images, but the retrieval of meaningful physical quantities, and their definitions stem directly from the governing equations of the physical processes. Wavelet use with partial differential equations suffers from the fact that they are not eigenfunctions of space/time- invariant operators (eg. ∇ , ∂_t). The decomposition yields infinite system of equations, making analytical approaches difficult. Studies have been restricted mostly to numerical solutions algorithms (Bacry et al. 1991, Konno and Lomdahl 1994, Beylkin and Coult 1998, Monasse and Perrier 1998). This argument is, however, somewhat deceptive, since the wavelet decompositions are tools best fitted to transient/inhomogeneous problems, which are not really described by invariant operators. Very simple and intriguing results obtained by Lewalle 1997/1998, using Hermitian wavelets on the Poisson and the diffusion equation indicate, that they can be very effective tools if used not just as drop-in replacement of the classical Fourier analysis.

2.3 Numerical implementation

Generic implementations for the different wavelet transforms are available as free downloadable packages on the Internet, a few of them designed to be used in the Matlab environment. A short list comprises the W-transform Toolbox, which provides a class of discrete transforms that treats signal endpoints differently than usual and allows signals of any length to be handled efficiently; the Uvi-Wave Toolbox, developed by the Signal Theory Group, University of Vigo, and provides filter generation, wavelet/wavelet packet transforms and MRA; WaveLa m Stanford University. With over one t' and Matlab files, datasets, and demonstration scripts, the latter is the most comprehensive package. WaveLab is a collection of Matlab functions that have been used by the authors and collaborators to implement a variety of computational algorithms related to wavelet analysis. A partial list of the techniques include orthogonal/biorthogonal wavelet transforms, translation-invariant wavelets, interpolating wavelet transforms, cosine packets, wavelet packets, matching pursuit. One nice feature is that some computationally expensive routines have been implemented as Matlab MEX functions.

The WaveLab package is being used at present as the basis for the development of a number of complementary numerical routines (eg. routines for generating different type of wavelets, such as Cauchy, cirp, boundary wavelets). A certain amount of time was and will continue to be spent for the familiarization with the architecture of the package and for its integration with the rest of the software under development (data retrieval routines, deep-water classical 2D Fourier analysis, etc).

3 Present and further directions of research

Nearshore lidar images contain traces of numerous complex processes, from random directionally spread waves undergoing nonlinear shoaling, to wave breaking, shear currents, over unknown bathymetry.

In this phase, the stress of the study is set on the mathematical formulation of the first order (linear) problem and implementation of the necessary numerical tool, which has to be done in a tightly controled environment. The research is therefore limited at present to simulated unidirectional random wave fields (normal incidence to the shoreline), over a very mildly sloping bottom (known bathymetry, the wave field can be approximated locally by a superposition of plave waves to a very good degree of accuracy). The analysis domain does not extend into the surf zone.

The immediate goal is to build an algorithm capable of reconstructing the local frequency spectra from simulated unidirectional lidar images.

A direct lidar data analysis approach being pursued at present is outlined in Subsection 2.1. The classical FT approach is being compared with the DWT based Fourier spectral analysis in the deep water domainin order to establish the capabilities of the latter method. The DWT approach can be extended into shallow water; assuming the results are comparable, the study will create a platform for testing the performance of the method in the nearshore and evaluating (from a data analysis/synthesis point of view) the impact of using different wavelet shapes.

The retrieval of frequency spectra from wavelet analysis requires the knowledge of the relationship between the wavelet scale and the frequency (similar to the Fourier dispersion relation). The search for such a relation follows the ideas outlined in Subsection 2.2. This is essentially an attempt to derive evolution equations for wavelet coefficients starting from the governing equations, using an approach similar to the one described in Agnon (1999). Using operational calculus, Agnon was able to derive a general mild slope equation that accounts for all the terms that are linear in the derivatives (to any order) of the depth, and reduces, under additional assumptions, to well known forms given by Berkhof (1972), Kirby (1986) and Chamberlain and Porter (1995). The formal solution to the governing equations does not use the explicitly a "local" plane wave structure for the wave, which makes it suitable for a wavelet decomposition approach.

Further research will involve a slow relaxation of the initial assumptions, starting with discarding the very mild slope assumption and the unidirectionality of the waves. In the following stage, the work will focus on the derivation of an algorithm for the reconstruction of the directional frequency spectrum and associated parameters.

4 References

Agnon, Y. Phys. Rev. E 59, 1999 Antoine et al., Appl. Comp. Harmonic Analysis 6, 1999 Bacry et al. Technical Report, Courant Inst. of Mathematical Sciences 591, 1991 Berkhoff, J. C., Proc. 13th Intl. Conf. Coastal Eng. ASCE 471, 1972 Beylkin, G. and Coult, N. Appl. Comp. Harmonic Analysis 5, 1998 Brillinger, D., Nonparametric istics 6, 1996 Chamberlain P. G. and Porter, J. J. Fluid Mech. 291, 1995 Elgar, S. and and Guza, R. T., J. Fluid Mech. 161, 1985. Holschneider, M. Wavelets: an analysis tool. Clarendon Press, Oxford. 1998 Hwang et al., J. Phys. Oceanogr., in press., 1999a. Hwang et al., J. Phys. Oceanogr., in press., 1999b. Kirby, J. T. J. Fluid Mech. 162, 1986 Konno, H. and Lomdahl, P., J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 63(11) ,1994 Lewalle, J., Phys. Rev. E 55(2), 1997 Lewalle, J., J. Math. Phys. 39(8), 1998 Mallat, S. Academic Press, 1998 Masry, E., Signal Processing 64, 1998 Monasse, P. and Perrier, V, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 29(4), 1998 Nason et al., Technical Report 516, Dept. of Statistics, Stanford University, 1997 Pando, J. and Fang, L-Z., Phys. Rev. E, 57(3), 1998

Perrier et al., J. Math. Phys., 36(3), 1995

Wojtaszczyk, P. A mathematical introduction to wavelets Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997

4

	·		Form Approved		
REPORT D	OMB No. 0704-0188				
Public reporting burden for this collection of i	me for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources,				
gathering and maintaining the data needed, a	and completing and reviewing the collection of ns for reducing the burden to Washington Hear 2202-4302. and to the Office of Management a	Information. Send comment douarters Services. Director	s regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this rate for Informtion Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson duction Project (0704-0188). Washington, DC 20503.		
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED (FROM - TO)		
08-08-2001	Final (Te	chnical) Repor	t 19-08-1999 - 31-03-2001		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			CONTRACT NUMBER		
Nearshore Airborne Lidar (Observations				
		5b.	GRANT NUMBER		
			N00173-99-1-G905		
		5c.	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d.	PROJECT NUMBER		
Sheremet, Alexandru					
		5e.	TASK NUMBER		
		5F.	WORK UNIT NUMBER		
			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAM University of California, Sa	REPORT NUMBER				
Scripps Institution of Ocea					
Office of Contract and Gra	nt Administration				
Mail Code 0210					
La Jolla, CA 92093-0210 9. sponsoring/monitoring agene		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
Office of Naval Research F	NRL				
4520 Executive Drive	11. SPONSORING/MONITORING				
	AGENCY REPORT NUMBER				
Suite 300	AGENCY REPORT NOMBER				
San Diego, CA 92121-3019					
12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STA					
Approved for public release					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			·		
wavenumber spectra from scale is $O(10km)$ and wav linear dispersion relation, The classical Fourier inhomogeneities of the wa teraction. Shoaling waves 1985).	a lidar images. The character we evolution scale is $O(100 km)$ is needed for retrieving freq transform approach (FT) far avefield, generated by lower s evolve much faster than dec a wavelet transforms, have be	eristic wavelengt n). Knowledge of uency-directiona ails in the nearsh order wave-bath ep-water waves, o een ocasionally u	hore region due to the strong spatial symetry and quadratic wave-wave in- over $O(1km)$ scales (Elgar and Guza, sed in ocean wave data analysis. The		
	and its physical interpretation	m has yet to be s			
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
nearshore, lidar, wavelet, o					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:	17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER 19a	A. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		

٠

.

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS	ABSTRACT	OF PAGES	Sheremet, Alexandru	
		PAGE			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)	
U	U	U	Unclassified Unlimited	5	858-534-4333	

.