					Form Approved	
REI Public reporting burden for this colle	Ction of information is as	UMENTATIO	N FAGE	viewing instructions, s	UMB NO. 0704-0188 searching existing data sources gathering and maintaining the	
data needed, and completing and rev this burden to Department of Defens 4302. Respondents should be aware	iewing this collection of e, Washington Headqua that notwithstanding any	information. Send comments rega rters Services, Directorate for In v other provision of law, no perso	arding this burden estimate or an formation Operations and Repo n shall be subject to any penalty	ny other aspect of this rts (0704-0188), 1215 y for failing to comply	collection of information, including suggestions for reducing Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington. VA 22202- with a collection of information if it do* not display a currently	
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM	-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE	= 2'		B. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
21-05-200	01	Confere	nce Proceeding		March II-I, 2001	
4. TITLE AND SUBTILE						
Application of En	vironmental	. Cell Transmiss	sion Electron Coroosion	Ę	56. GRANT NUMBER	
MICLOSCOPY CO MIC	10010109100			5	C. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
					PE0601153N	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5	a. PROJECT NUMBER	
Brenda J. Little,	Pope, Tyrone 1	Daulton, and Richard		5e TASK NUMBER		
		1. 101		Ę	If. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANI	ZATION NAME(S)	AND ADDRESS (ES)			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT	
Nevel Deservet	abaratarr				NUMBER	
Oceanography Div	ision					
Stennis Space C	enter, MS	39529-5004				
					PP/7303-00-0031	
9 SPONSORING / MONIT	DRING AGENCY	NAME/S) AND ADDRES	(S/FS)		0. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
3. SP 010011110 / <i>MONT</i>						
Office of Naval 1	Research					
800 N. Quincy St.	217-5660			1	NUMBER(S)	
Allington, VA 222	.1/-5000					
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAIL	ABILITY STATE	IENT				
Approved for pul	olic releas	e, distribution	is unlimited			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	TES					
Corrosion 2001						
A ABOTHAOT						
14. ADSTRACT						
The spatial/chemi	cal relatio	nship between b	pacteria, their	r biofilms	, and metal substrata was	
examined in an en	vironmental	cell transmiss	sion electron r	microscope	equipped with an energy loss	
spectrometer. The	advantage	of environmenta	al cell transmi	ission ele less their	ctron microscopy is that natural state, with high	
spatial resolutio	n.	can be examined	i, in more or .	Tess cherr	natural state, with high	
					DADDD0 07/	
				_ 70	1111XUX U34	
IS. SUBJECT TERMS	tod anotine					
microscopy, hydra	lea specime	312				
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC		17. LIMITATION	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
	DETDACT		UF ABSTRACT	UF PAGES	Brenda J. Little	
Unclassified Un	classified	Unclassified	SAR	7	code)	
					(228) 688-5494	

· •

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

		* 1	•		
PUBLICATION OR I	PRESENTAT	ION RELEASE I	REQUEST	• <i>S</i>	NRLINST 5600.2
REFERENCES AND ENCLO	SURES 20TYPE	OF PUBLICATION OR	PRESENTATION	3. ADMINISTRATIV	E INFORMATION
Ref: (a) NRL Instruction 5600	).2 ( ) Abstr ( ) Book	ract only, published (	) Abstract only, not publish ) Book Chapter	STRN <u>PP/730</u> Boute Sheet No	300-0031
(b) NRL Instruction 5510	).40D ( ) Conf	erence Proceedings (	I Conference Proceedings (not refereed)	Job Order No.	
Encl: (1) Two copies of subje	ct () Invite	ed speaker (	) Multimedia report	Classification	<u>x</u> uc
paper (or abstract)	() Journ	<ul> <li>Journal article (refereed)</li> <li>Oral Presentation, published</li> </ul>	) Oral Presentation, not pub	blished Sponsor	
	( ) Othe	r, explain		approval obtained	yesno
LAUTHOR DIDL	7			<u>¥</u>	
Title of Paper or Presentation	ו		<i>(1</i> )	TECHNICAL URUN DRUD ARD ADD	INFORMATION DUPL
Application of Tr	ransmission	Electron Micro	oscopy to MLC		
Author(s) Name(s) (First, Mi,	Last), Code, Affil	iation if not NRL			
Brenda Little, Ro	obert Pope,	Tyrone Daulton	n, Richard Ray	4104	-3013
		Comments - how	1 .		name a tra saratan manan S
t is intended to offer this	paper to the	corregion 200	L (Name of Cont	ference)	
March 11-	16, 2001 at	George Brown	Convention Cente	r in Houston, Texa	IS
		(Date, Place and C	Classification of Conference)		
and/or for publication in _	iName ar	d Classification of Publica	ation)	(Name of Publisher	)
After presentation or publ	liantian dartinor	publication/presen	tation data will be ente	ered in the publications da	ata base, in
t is the opinion of the au This paper does not violat communicated to the Lab This subject paper (has	the (a). thor that the sul te any disclosure oratory in confic ) (has never_	oject paper (is) of trade secrets or lence. This paper (o ) been incorpora	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside loes ) (does not ated in an official NRL F	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns v ) contain and militarily Report.	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog
t is the opinion of the automore the providence with reference t is the opinion of the automore the test of test o	thor that the sul thor that the sul te any disclosure oratory in confic ) (has never Code ( <i>Principal</i> )	oject paper (is) of trade secrets or lence. This paper (o ) been incorpora Author)	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside loes) (does not X ated in an official NRL F	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns v ) contain and militarily Report.	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog
accordance with reference it is the opinion of the automount This paper does not violat communicated to the Lab This subject paper (has	thor that the sul thor that the sul te any disclosure oratory in confic ) (has never Code ( <i>Principal</i> )	oject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or lence. This paper (c ) been incorpora Author/	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside does) (does not X ated in an official NRL F	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns with ) contain and militarily Report.	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog
This presentation of public accordance with reference it is the opinion of the automotion This paper does not violat communicated to the Lab This subject paper (has	thor that the sul thor that the sul te any disclosure oratory in confic ) (has never Code ( <i>Principal</i> ,	bject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or depce. This paper (o ) been incorpora Author) SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside does ) (does not A ated in an official NRL F Ated in An official NRL F Ater DATE	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns v ) contain and militarily Report. (Signature).	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog
CODE	thor that the sul thor that the sul te any disclosure oratory in confic ) (has never) Code (Principal )	bject paper (is) of trade secrets or dence. This paper (o ) been incorpora Author) SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside loes ) (does not X ated in an official NRL F DATE	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns w ) contain and militarily Report. (Signature) COMME	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog
Arter presentation of public accordance with reference it is the opinion of the au This paper does not violat communicated to the Lab This subject paper (has	thor that the sul thor that the sul te any disclosure oratory in confic ) (has never Code ( <i>Principal</i> )	bject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or lence. This paper (c ) been incorpora Author) SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside loes ) (does not X ated in an official NRL F DATE DATE	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns w ) contain and militarily Report. (Signature) COMME	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog 
Arter presentation of public accordance with reference it is the opinion of the au- This paper does not violat communicated to the Lab This subject paper (has <u>B.Little</u> Name and <u>B.ROUTING/APPROVAL</u> CODE	thor that the sul thor that the sul te any disclosure oratory in confic ) (has never) Code ( <i>Principal</i> )	bject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or lence. This paper (c ) been incorpora Author) SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside loes ) (does not X ated in an official NRL F DATE	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns v ) contain and militarily report. (Signature) COMME	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog
Accordance with reference t is the opinion of the au- This paper does not violat communicated to the Lab This subject paper (has	thor that the sul thor that the sul te any disclosure oratory in confic ) (has never Code ( <i>Principal</i> )	bject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or lence. This paper (c ) been incorpora Author) SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside does) (does not X ated in an official NRL F 	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns w ) contain and militarily Report. (Signature) COMME	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog 
Author (s) Author	thor that the sul thor that the sul te any disclosure oratory in confic ) (has never Code ( <i>Principal</i> )	bject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or dence. This paper (o ) been incorpora Author) SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside does ) (does not 2 ated in an official NRL F DATE DATE	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns v ) contain and militarily Report. (Signature). COMME	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog NTS
Arter presentation of public accordance with reference it is the opinion of the au This paper does not violat communicated to the Lab This subject paper (has	(a). thor that the sult te any disclosure oratory in confic _) (has never) Code (Principal ) S Due the sult Code (Principal )	bject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or lence. This paper (o ) been incorpora Author/ SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside does) (does not Z ated in an official NRL F 	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns with refer ) contain and militarily Report. (Signature) COMME	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog 
Author(s) Author(s) Author(s) Section Head Name and Section Head Batting Section Head Section Head	thor that the sul thor that the sul te any disclosure oratory in confic ) (has never) Code ( <i>Principal</i> )	bject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or lence. This paper (c ) been incorpora Author) SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside does) (does not X ated in an official NRL F DATE DATE	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns v ) contain and militarily report. (Signature) COMME	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog NTS
Author(s) Author(s) Section Head Section Head Section Head Name and Section Head N/A Division Head	lication, pertiner e (a). thor that the sul te any disclosure oratory in confic ) (has never) Code ( <i>Principal</i> )	bject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or lence. This paper (o ) been incorpora Author/ SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside does) (does not Z ated in an official NRL F DATE	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns with refer ) contain and militarily Report. (Signature) COMME	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog NTS
Author (s) Author (s) Author (s) Section Head Name and Section Head N/A Division Head	thor that the sul thor that the sul te any disclosure oratory in confic ) (has never) Code ( <i>Principal</i> ,	bject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or lence. This paper (c ) been incorpora Author) SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside loes ) (does not Y ated in an official NRL F DATE DATE	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns w ) contain and militarily report. (Signature) COMME	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog NTS NTS roved. this Division, the has)
Author(s) Section Head Section Head Section Head N/A Division Head	Code (Principal )	bject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or lence. This paper (o ) been incorpora Author/ SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside does) (does not Z ated in an official NRL F DATE	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns w ) contain and militarily Report. (Signature) COMME	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog NTS NTS Froved. his Division, the has) sified. Sed
Arter presentation of public accordance with reference it is the opinion of the au This paper does not violat communicated to the Lab This subject paper (has <u>B.Little</u> Name and 5 ROUTING/APPROVAL CODE Author(s) Little Section Head N/A Branch Head N/A Division Head Security, Code 7030.1	Code (Principal)	bject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or lence. This paper (c ) been incorpora Author) SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside loes ) (does not Y ated in an official NRL F DATE DATE CLE Mac MO DATE	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns w ) contain and militarily report. (Signature) COMME	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog NTS NTS f broved. this Division, the has) sified. ased. GC - CB - C
Arter presentation of public accordance with reference it is the opinion of the au This paper does not violat communicated to the Lab This subject paper (has	Pertures         e (a).         thor that the sulter         the any disclosure         oratory in confic        ) (has never)         Code (Principal)	bject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or lence. This paper (o ) been incorpora Author/ SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside does) (does not 2 ated in an official NRL F DATE DATE CLE Marc 200 20 Sep 0 D 20 Sep 0 D	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns w ) contain and militarily Report. (Signature) COMME 1. Release of this paper is ap 2. To the best knowledge of subject matter of this paper (las paper or abstract was relea 2. A copy is filed in this office	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog NTS NTS Proved. this Division, the has) sified. ased. SCC - CSB- C
Arter presentation of public accordance with reference It is the opinion of the au This paper does not violat communicated to the Lab This subject paper (has <u>B.Little</u> Name and 5 ROUTING/APPROVAL CODE Author(s) Little Section Head N/A Branch Head N/A Division Head Security, Code 7030.1 Office of Counsel, Code 1008.3 ADOR/Director NCST	Code (Principal)	bject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or lence. This paper (c ) been incorpora Author) SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside does) (does not X ated in an official NRL F DATE DATE DATE 205ep 0 0 20 Sep 2k	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns w ) contain and militarily report. (Signature) COMME 1. Release of this paper is app 2. To the best knowledge of 1 subject matter of this paper (I (has never) been class 1) Paper or abstract was relea 2. A copy is filed in this office	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog NTS NTS rence NTS stried. sified. ased. SC - CS - C
Arter presentation of publicaccordance with reference accordance with reference t is the opinion of the author of the author of the author of the lab This subject paper (has <u>B.Little</u> <u>B.Little</u> Name and <b>B.OUTING/APPROVAL</b> CODE Author (s) Section Head <u>N/A</u> Branch Head <u>N/A</u> Division Head Security, Code 7030.1 Office of Counsel, Code 1008.3 ADOR/Director NCST Bublic Affairs (//ocenter)	Code (Principal)	bject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or lence. This paper (o ) been incorpora Author/ SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside does) (does not 2 ated in an official NRL F DATE DATE DATE 205ep 0 0 20 Sep 2k	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns w ) contain and militarily Report. (Signature) COMME 1. Release of this paper is ap 2. To the best knowledge of subject matter of this paper (las (has never) been clas D Paper or abstract was relea 2. A copy is filed in this office	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog NTS NTS froved. this Division, the has) sified. ased. SCC - CSB - C
Section Head N/A Division Head N/A Division Head N/A Division Head Security, Code 7030.1 Diffice of Counsel, Code 1008.3 ADOR/Director NCST Public Affairs (Unclassified/ Unlimited Only), Code	Code (Principal)	bject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or lence. This paper (c ) been incorpora Author) SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside loes ) (does not 2 ated in an official NRL F DATE DATE DATE 205ep 0 0 20 Sep 2 k	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns w ) contain and militarily report. (Signature) COMME 1. Release of this paper is ap 2. To the best knowledge of subject matter of this paper (I (has never) been clas 1) Paper or abstract was relea 2. A copy is filed in this office	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog NTS NTS proved. this Division, the has) sified. ased. SC - CFB- (
Arter presentation of publicaccordance with reference t is the opinion of the author of the subject paper (has	Realition, pertinely         e (a).         thor that the sulter any disclosure         oratory in confic        ) (has never	bject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or lence. This paper (o ) been incorpora Author/ SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside does_) (does not 2 ated in an official NRL F DATE DATE DATE 205000 20502k	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns w ) contain and militarily Report. (Signature) COMME 1. Release of this paper is app 2. To the best knowledge of subject matter of this paper (I (has never) been clas 1) Paper or abstract was relea 2. A copy is filed in this offic	rence (b). vhich have been critical technolog NTS NTS froved. this Division, the has} sified. ased. & CSB-1
Author, Code	Realition, pertinely         e (a).         thor that the sulter         oratory in confic        ) (has never)         Code (Principal)	bject paper, (is) e of trade secrets or lence. This paper (c ) been incorpora Author/ SIGNATURE	(is not X) classified suggestions of outside does) (does not 2 ated in an official NRL F DATE DATE DATE 205ep 0 D 20 Sep 2 k	, in accordance with refer individuals or concerns w ) contain and militarily Report. (Signature) COMME 1. Release of this paper is app 2. To the best knowledge of 1 subject matter of this paper (I (has never) been clas D Paper or abstract was relea 2. A copy is filed in this office	rence (b). which have been critical technolog NTS NTS proved. this Division, the has

. . . . . .

ta tana sin

``

<b>te</b> tois		N'STATEMENTS (Author to check approprate statement and fill in reason as required)
D	A	Approved for public release, distribution is unlimited.
[]	) B ( (	Distribution authorized to U.S. Government agencies only (check reason below):         Prorian Government Information       [] Contractor Performance Evaluation       [] Cite 'Specific Authority         Proprietary Information       [] Administrative/Operational Use       [] Critical Technology         Test and Evaluation       [] Software Documentation       [] Premature Dissemination         Date statement applied
		Other requests for this document shall be referred to (Insert Controlling DoD Office 7
[]	) C [ [	<ul> <li>Distribution authorized to U.S. Government agencies and their contractors (check reason below):</li> <li>Critical Technology         <ul> <li>Foreign Government Information</li> <li>Administrative/Operational Use</li></ul></li></ul>
		Other requests for this document shall be referred to
[]	] D [ [ [	Distribution authorized to DoD and DoD contractors only (check reason below):         Foreign Government Information       [] Critical Technology         Software Documentation       [] Critical Technology         Administrative/Operational Use       [] Cite 'Specific Authority         Date statement applied
	•	Other requests for this document shall be referred to
	[ [ [ ]	Export Limitations       []       Premature Dissemination       []       Citotal rectificity         Foreign Government Information       []       Software Documentation       []       Cite "Specific Authority
[ ]	] F.	Further dissemination only as directed by
[]	X	Distribution authorized to U.S. Government agencies and private individuals or enterprises eligible to obtain export-controlled technical data in accordance with regulations implementing 10 U.S.C. 140c. Date statement applied Other requests for this document shall be referred to
*For	NR	, publications, this is usually the Commanding Officer, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20375-5320
7. OT	HEF	LIMITATION
<u>**cisteriste</u>	Class	[ ] Classification only [ ] NOFORN [ ] DTIC exempt (explain)         sifeation Review (Initial/Date)         Substantive changes made in this document after approval by Classification Review and Public Release invalidate these reviews. Therefore, if any substantive changes are made by the author, Technical Information, or anyone else, the document must be returned for another Classification Review and Public Release.
8. INS	TR	UCTIONS
Auth routi	nor o ing i	completes and submits this form with the manuscript via line channels to the division head for review and approval according to the in Section 4.
	1. 1	NRL Reports
	2. 1	Vemorandum Reports
	3. I I	NRL Publications or other books, brochures, pamphiets, proceedings, or any other printed publications
NDW-NRL	5219	/4358 (REV. 2-95) (BACK)



# APPLICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL CELL TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROSCOPY TO MICROBIOLOGICALLY INFLUENCED CORROSION

Paper

01266

Brenda J. Little, Robert K. Pope, Tyrone L. Daulton, and Richard I. Ray Naval Research Laboratory, Stennis Space Center, MS, 39529 USA

# ABSTRACT

The spatial/chemical relationship between bacteria, their biofilms, and metal substrata was examined in an environmental cell transmission electron microscope equipped with an energy loss spectrometer. The advantage of environmental cell transmission electron microscopy is that unfixed, hydrated specimens can be examined, in more or less their natural state, with high spatial resolution.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Microbiologically influenced corrosion (MIC) has been studied by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Localization of bacteria on metal surfaces and their distribution throughout corrosion products have been examined.<sup>1-3</sup> Copper immobilization by bacterial biofilms during microbial colonization of copper surfaces has been demonstrated.<sup>2</sup> Bacteria were arranged in parallel sheets between layers of corrosion products on a copper-nickel (90:10) alloy.<sup>3</sup> In that work, it is reported that bacteria can be associated with copper in several different ways: copper can be bound in extracellular mucilages, corrosion products and copper particles can be encrusted on cell walls or impregnate cell interiors. However, the study of MIC by conventional TEM is limited because the techniques of fixation, dehydration, embedding, and microtoming, necessary to prepare thin specimens, are known to alter delicate cellular structures and introduce artifacts. Fixation and dehydration preserve some of the structure, but buffer and organic solvent washes remove soluble ions from extracellular and intracellular sources. Furthermore, dehydration causes extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) to collapse into a web-like biofilm network. One technique to preserve EPS morphology is high-pressure freezing (HPF) and freeze substitution.<sup>4</sup> However, HPF is suitable for small samples and not adaptable for larger samples normally of interest in corrosion.

Environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM) has provided valuable data on dehydration artifacts<sup>5</sup> and has advanced the study of hydrated microorganisms as well as marine aggregates.<sup>6-8</sup> Environmental cell (EC)-TEM would clearly be advantageous because unfixed, hydrated

#### Publication Right

Government work published by NACE International with permission of the author(s). Requests for permission to publish this manuscript in any form, in part or in whole must be made in writing to NACE International, Publications Division, P.O. Box 218340, Houston, Texas 77218-8340. The material presented and the views expressed in this paper are solely those of the author(s) and are not necessarily endorsed by the Association. Printed in the U.S.A.

bacteria can be examined, in more or less their natural state, in association with corroding metals at high spatial resolution. Here we report the preliminary results of an EC-TEM study of *Pseudomonas* with corroding iron particles and *Oceanospirillum* with corroding copper. We show the capability of performing elemental analysis with electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) and the potential for oxidation state determination in an EC- transmission electron microscope.

## **METHODS**

#### Examination by EC-TEM and Conventional TEM

In this study, a JEOL JEM-3010 transmission electron microscope operating at 300 keV was used. It is equipped with a JEOL EC system which has interchangeable EC specimen holders, an energy dispersive x-ray spectrometer (EDXS), and a Gatan imaging filter (GIF200) capable of EELS measurements. The microscope was equipped with two EC specimen holders: a two-line gas EC and a four-line gas/liquid EC. These specimen holders are connected to the EC system by flexible, stainless steel lines. Both in situ holders are capable of circulating dry or water saturated gas, the latter enables the examination of hydrated specimens (gas circulation is supported by two lines). The four-line holder has two additional lines which can be used to independently inject several microliters of two different liquids. Each EC holder consists of a small cylindrical cell sealed by two electron transparent windows on the top and bottom (see Figure 1 for the 4-line cell used in this study). The windows were fabricated from 15 - 20 nm thick amorphous carbon (a-C) films that cover seven hexagonally arrayed, 0.15 mm apertures on a 3.5 mm diameter Cu disk (see Figures 2 and 3). Prior to use, the windowed grids were tested to withstand a pressure differential of 250 torr for one minute. The a-C films, if produced properly, can be strong enough to sustain a pressure differential up to 1/2 atmosphere. A computer controls the EC system (Figure 4) and facilitates the operation of inserting and removing the EC holder from the microscope column without breaching the delicate windows.

In this study, the EC was operated at 100 torr with room air (saturated with water vapor) circulating through the cell at a rate of  $\approx 2$  liter/min. Specimens were supported on the lower a-C film window. The microscope was also equipped with conventional single- and double-tilt specimen holders for conventional TEM. The single-tilt holder has an adapter which accepts windowed EC grids for analysis following their use in the EC holder.

#### Copper in Natural Seawater

Copper foils (99.9% pure, 150-microns thick) were cut into tapering triangles (1.5 cm tall triangle with a 0.5 - 1.0 cm base). Triangular tips were sandwiched between glass plates and pressed overnight to straighten. Triangles were degreased in acetone and cleaned in 100% ethanol. Foils were attached to a glass base with a silicone adhesive and placed in flowing, aerated Key West seawater for 30 days. After exposure, foils were fixed in 4% gluteraldehyde in 0.2 µm filtered seawater at 4° C overnight and processed through a graded series of filtered seawater/distilled water, and finally into distilled water. Foil samples were dehydrated through a graded water/ethanol series, followed with an acetone wash and embedded into Spurr's resin (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Ft. Washington, PA). Samples were sectioned on a Leica Ultracut UCT ultramicrotome, and the resulting 100 nm sections examined by conventional TEM using EELS.

## Pseudomonas putida / Iron System

*Pseudomonas putida (P. putida)*, provided by Dr. J. Jones-Meehan (Naval Research Laboratory, DC) was maintained in brain heart infusion broth at room temperature and the cells were transferred to fresh medium every two days. Fresh log phase cultures were washed three times with distilled water and placed onto an a-C coated slot grid and examined by conventional TEM under high vacuum.

Iron filings were prepared from carbon steel (C1010) by light sanding with 600 grit abrasive paper and isolated from the abrasive grit using a Teflon® coated magnet. Iron filings were then degreased with acetone, washed with ethanol, and placed into sterile distilled water. Filings were allowed to corrode (~ 3 days) until corrosion products were visible with a dissecting microscope. Fresh log phase cultures of *P. putida* (maintained as above) were washed three times with distilled water, placed into a tube containing corroding iron particles, and allowed to incubated over night on a rotator at room temperature. An aliquot of solution containing suspended iron particles and bacteria were placed onto the a-C film of the lower EC windowed grid. Particles were allowed to settle for 5 minutes and excess solution was wicked away with filter paper. The EC chamber was sealed and inserted in the column of the microscope and examined under an atmosphere of 100 torr of saturated water vapor.

### Oceanospirillum / Copper System

Oceanospirillum sp. from Dr. D. White (Oak Ridge National Laboratories, Oak Ridge, TN) was maintained in marine broth at room temperature. Copper filings were prepared from 99.9% pure copper foils by sanding with 600 grit sand paper, collected by rinsing into a test tube with distilled water, degreased with acetone, washed with ethanol, and placed into Key West seawater that had been filtered (0.2 µm pore filter size) and pasteurized (70° C for 30 minutes). Filings were allowed to corrode overnight. Fresh log phase cells were washed three times in sterile seawater and placed into a tube containing corpording copper filings and incubated overnight on a rotator at room temperature. For EC-TEM analysis, the filings/bacteria were centrifuged, supernatant was removed, and the precipitate rinsed with dilute seawater then distilled water. This was repeated again using distilled water to remove trace salts. An aliquot of solution containing suspended copper particles and bacteria was loaded into the EC specimen holder (as outlined above) and examined under an atmosphere of 100 torr of saturated water vapor.

#### RESULTS

Conventional TEM and EELS were used to examine corrosion products on 99% pure copper foils exposed to natural seawater containing indigenous microorganisms (Figure 5). For the determination of the oxidation state of metal atoms at high spatial resolution, techniques using EELS have been previously developed.<sup>9-12</sup> Basically, these techniques compare the differences in fine structure of  $L_{2,3}$  absorption edges (dominated by excited electron dipole transitions between the core  $2p_{3/2}$  and  $2p_{1/2}$  spinorbit split levels to unoccupied 3d levels) of metals in standards of known oxidation state. Spectra of different 3d<sup>n</sup> configurations differ and can be used to identify the valency (related to the number of holes in the 3d levels) of an element. For copper metal, the 3d level is filled and consequently the edge at 931 eV has a flat plateau. In comparison, Cu<sup>+2</sup> has one unoccupied state (hole) in the 3d level allowing transitions and the presence of a peak at the 931 eV edge<sup>13</sup>. As show in Figure 5, the surface of the copper metal exposed to natural seawater is progressively oxidized as evident by the increase in the 931 eV peak in going from the bulk metal to the surface.

,

Examination of viable *P. putida* deposited on an a-C coated TEM grid placed directly into the microscope column under high vacuum show the typical rod-shaped bacteria, however damage is readily apparent (Figure 6). Internal structures such as the nucleoid and other electron dense structures are visible, however cell membranes are often ruptured by decompression under high vacuum in the column. Furthermore, EPS quickly become filamentous and web-like as they dehydrate under the electron beam.

In comparison, when viable *P. putida* is examined in the EC at 100 torr, under a circulation of air saturated with water vapor, these artifacts are not observed (Figure 7). Cells remain plump/hydrated and the EPS retain moisture and appear as a continuous layer. In Figure 7, examples are shown where *P. putida* is not in direct contact with the corroding particle, rather a thick layer of EPS is found between the bacterium and the corroding particle. Although decompression and dehydration damage is avoided in the EC, electron beam damage of viable *P. putida* is observed within several minutes of electron beam exposure (Figure 8). Degradation results from destruction of weak Van der Waals biomolecular bonds when ionizing energy is transferred by inelastic electron scattering. Earlier studies<sup>14-16</sup> demonstrated that amino acids and bases of nucleic acids show effects of irradiation damage for doses as low as  $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$  to  $6 \times 10^{-1}$  C-cm<sup>-2</sup>. In Figure 8, progressive damage to a cell nucleoid is readily apparent.

If the water-saturated gas circulating through the EC is replaced with circulating room air, excess moisture in EPS is removed and image resolution is improved (Figure 9). Dehydrating the EPS by circulating (or injecting bursts of) room air improves spectra acquired by EELS. In this study, *P. putida* are often observed encrusted with electron beam dense material which EELS identified as iron rich (Figure 10). For iron, the differences in core-loss fine structure produced by changes in oxidation state are not as conspicuous as with copper. Before the oxidation state of the iron associated with the cells can be determined, a series of iron mineral standards, each with well defined single iron valance state, need to be measured. These measurements are currently being performed.

As shown with *P. putida*, when hydrated *Oceanospirillum* together with corroding copper filings are examined in the EC, the cells and their biofilms are intact (Figure 11). Cells remain plump/hydrated and the EPS retain moisture and appear as a continuous layer. Similar to that observed for *P. putida*, many of the *Oceanospirillum* are encrusted with electron dense material, presumably corrosion products (Figure 11). Initial attempts to acquire EELS core-loss spectra from the copper corrosion products encrusting the *Oceanospirillum* were unsuccessful, presumably because the EPS layer was sufficiently thick to obscure core loss features with background inelastic scattering.

# DISCUSSION

The capability to image hydrated specimens inside a transmission electron microscope is not a new development. Since the 1970's, many groups have developed cells for transmission electron microscopes and have performed EC-TEM experiments. In the field of microbiology, Clarke *et al.* (1973) observed the production of cellular projections;<sup>17</sup> Parsons *et al.* (1974) visualized whole tissue culture cells;<sup>18</sup> Hui (1976) demonstrated movement of lipids in a membrane bilayer;<sup>19</sup> Fukushima *et al.* (1982) measured radiation damage to specimens;<sup>20</sup> Suda *et al.* (1992) examined the contraction of muscle fibers;<sup>21</sup> and Sugi *et al.* (1997) demonstrated the movement of the head domain of myosin molecules caused by hydrolysis of adenosine triphosphate.<sup>22</sup> While this in no way represents all the papers published during this period, it gives an overview of the types of experiments being carried out with an EC in the field of microbiology.

The ability to analyze the energy loss of the electron beam as it interacts with a specimen in the EC, allows elemental identification, as well as the potential for oxidation state determination and elemental mapping using energy-filtered images. Elemental maps are produced by using energy selecting slits that allow only those electrons that have loss energy corresponding to a core-loss edge of a particular element to form the image. The ability to acquire images, selected area electron diffraction patterns, EELS spectra, and potentially energy-filtered elemental maps of hydrated specimens in the EC will facilitate study of the location of metal species in bacteria and associated biopolymers.

#### CONCLUSIONS

In this study, the EC-TEM was used to directly observe hydrated bacteria in association with corroding iron and copper. Chemical information was acquired with high spatial resolution using EELS. In principle, it should be possible to determine the valence state of corrosion products in the EC, greatly facilitating the study of MIC.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. J. Jones-Meehan of the Naval Research Laboratory and Dr. D. White of Oak Ridge National Laboratories for providing bacteria used in this study. The work was performed under Program Element 0601153N, NRL Contribution number pp/7303-00-0031.

#### REFERENCES

¥

1. W.A. Chiou, N. Kohyama, B. Little, P. Wagner, and M. Meshii, "TEM Study of a Biofilm on Copper Corrosion," p. 220-221, Proceedings of Microscopy and Microanalysis (San Francisco, CA: 1996).

2. G. Blunn, "Biological Fouling of Copper and Copper Alloys," p. 567-575, Biodeterioration VI (Essex, England: The Biodeterioration Society, 1986).

3. G. Blunn, and E.B. Gareth-Jones, Marine Biodeterioration (New Delhi, India: Oxford & IBH Publishing Co., 1988), p. 747-756.

4. D.F. Parsons, Cell Biophysics 13, (1998): p. 159.

5. B.J. Little, P. Wagner, R. Ray, R. Pope, and R. Scheetz, Journal of Industrial Microbiology 8 (1991): p. 213.

6. S.P. Collins, R.K. Pope, R.I. Ray, P.A.Wagner, and B.J. Little, Micros. Research Tech. 25 (1993): p. 398.

7. D.M. Lavoie, B.J. Little, R.I. Ray, R.H. Bennett, M.W. Lambert, V. Asper, and R.J. Baerwald, Journal of Microscopy 178, 2 (1994): p. 101.

- 8. R. Ray, B. Little, P. Wagner, and K. Hart, Scanning 19, (1997): p. 98.
- 9. K. M. Krishnan, Ultramicroscopy 32, (1990): p. 309.

10. J. H. Paterson and O. L. Krivanek, Ultramicroscopy 32, (1990): p. 319.

11. G. Cressey, C. M. B. Henderson, and, G. van der Laan, Phys. Chem. Minerals 20, (1993): p. 111.

12. P. A. van Aken, B. Liebscher, and V. J. Styrsa, Phys. Chem Minerals 25, (1998): p. 323.

13. D. H. Pearson, C. C. Ahn, and B. Fultz, Phys. Rev. B 50 (1994): p.12969.

14. L. Reimer, Naturforschung A 15, (1960): p. 405.

15. A.V. Crewe, M. Isaacson, and D. Johnson, Proceedings of the 28th Annual Meeting of the Electron Microscopy Society of America, (1970): p. 264-265

16. R. M. Glaeser, J. Ultrastruct. Res. 36, (1971): p. 466.

17. J.A. Clarke, P.R. Ward, and A.J. Salsbury, J. Microscopy 97, 3 (1973): p. 365.

18. D.F. Parsons, Journal of Microscopy 100, 2 (1974): p. 153.

19. S.W. Hui., "Observation of Membrane Movement by Electron Microscopy," p. 159-170, Membranes and Neoplasia: New Approaches and Strategies, (New York: T. Vincent, 1976).

20. K. Fukushima, M. Katoh, and A. Fukami, Journal of Electron Microscopy 31, 2 (1982) p. 119.

21. H. Suda, A. Ishikawa, and A. Fukami, Journal of Electron Microscopy 41, (1992) p. 223.

.

٤

22. H. Sugi, T. Akimoto, K. Sutoh, S. Chaen, N. Oishi, and S. Suzuki, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94 (1997) p. 4378.



Figure 1. A diagram of EC specimen chamber for the 4-line EC *in situ* holder. Contrary to the diagram, the four lines lie in the same horizontal plane and are arranged radially around the specimen chamber, rather than the simplistic vertical arrangement shown here.



Figure 2. Seven hole EC-TEM Cu grids. (a) upper surface of grid where the a-C film window is affixed; (b) underside of grid showing concave recess; (c) cross section showing underside at an angle; (d-e) cross sections of grids showing depth of the concave recess, the size of grid holes, and the thickness of support bars. Scale bars equal 0.5 mm.



Figure 3. Images of EC-TEM windowed grids following use in the EC. (a) upper grid containing material dislodged from lower grid during course of an experiment; (b) lower grid containing corroded iron filings and bacteria. Note that the carbon support film is intact on both the upper and lower grids. Scale bar is  $100 \mu m$ .



G

¥

Figure 4. Diagrammatic representation of the EC control system.



Figure 5. Copper corrosion in natural seawater. (a) conventional TEM micrograph of copper foil with associated corrosion products, scale bar is 200 nm. (b) EELS spectra from the three regions indicated in (a). The pre-edge background was subtracted from the spectra and deconvolution was used to remove plural scattering. The spectra are normalized to the post-edge background and offset from one another. The three spectra show  $Cu^0$  at the base metal A, and progressively higher oxidation from B to C.



Figure 6. *Pseudomonas putida* on an a-C support film as imaged under high vacuum using conventional TEM. (a-b) dehydration and rupture of the cell membrane is apparent and the associated extracellular polymers exhibit filamentous structures between cells. Scale bar is  $1.0 \,\mu\text{m}$ .



Figure 7. *Pseudomonas putida* on corroding Fe filings as imaged in the EC at 100 torr. (a-b) note the complete hydration and smoothness of the bacteria and the fluffy appearance of the extracellular polymer attaching the bacteria to the corroding Fe particle. Scale bar is  $1.0 \mu m$ .



Figure 8. Series of images of hydrated *Pseudomonas putida* in the EC at 100 torr as a function of time (several minutes). Progressive electron beam damage to the nucleoid region is evident. Insert is a higher magnification of the nucleoid area.



Figure 9. *Pseudomonas putida* imaged in the EC at 100 torr after removal of excess moisture by circulation of dry air through the environmental chamber. Scale bar is 200 nm.



Figure 10. (a) *Pseudomonas putida* in the EC at 100 torr after removal of excess moisture by circulation of dry air through the environmental chamber. The cell is encrusted with electron dense particulates. (b) EELS spectrum from the bacteria demonstrate the presence of Fe based on the presence of the  $L_3$  and  $L_2$  core loss features of Fe. The core-loss regime spectrum is pre-edge background subtracted and deconvolution was used to remove plural scattering.



Ł

Figure 11. *Oceanospirillum* sp. on corroding copper filings in the EC at 100 torr (a) while circulating air saturated with water vapor, (b) while circulating room air. Note the complete hydration and smoothness of the extracellular polymer. Scale bar is 200 nm.