AD

Award Number: DAMD17-98-1-8027

1

TITLE: Telomere Maintenance in the Absence of Telomerase

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Victoria Lundblad Ph.D., Aylin Rizki

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Baylor College of Medicine Houston, Texas 77030

REPORT DATE: April 2000

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual Summary

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE   |   |  | Form Approved  |   |  |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| REFORT DOCOMENTATION PAGE   |   |  | UN<br>Insctions searching evis                         | /IB INO. U/4-U188   |  |
| the data feeded, and completing and reviewing this<br>reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters<br>Management and Budget Paperwork Reduction P  | s collection of information. Send comments regard<br>Services, Directorate for Information Operations ar<br>reject (0704-0188) Washington, DC 20503 | ling this burden estimate or any oth<br>ad Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis H | er aspect of this collecti<br>ighway, Suite 1204, Arli | on of information, including suggestions for<br>ington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of |  |
| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank   | ) 2. REPORT DATE  | 3. REPORT TYPE AND   | DATES COVERE   | D   |  |
|   | April 2000  | Annual Summary   | (1 Apr 99  | - 31 Mar 00)  |  |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE<br>Telomere Maintenance in  | 1 the Absence of Telome   | erase  | 5. FUNDING NUMBERS<br>DAMD17-98-1-8027                 |   |  |
|   |   |  |  |   |  |
| <b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b><br>Victoria Lundblad Ph.D.<br>Aylin Rizki   |   |  |  |   |  |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PER<br>Baular College of Medicine PER   |   | 8. PERFORMING<br>REPORT NUI  | FORMING ORGANIZATION<br>ORT NUMBER                     |   |  |
| Houston Texas 77030   |   |  |  |   |  |
| E-MAIL:   |   |  |  |   |  |
| ar039811@bem.tmc.edu  |   |  |  |   |  |
| 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING A  | GENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES  | 5)   | 10. SPONSORI   | RING / MONITORING   |  |
|   |   |  | AGENCY R   | EPORT NUMBER  |  |
| U.S. Army Medical Research and  | Materiel Command  |  |  |   |  |
| Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-50   | )12   |  |  |   |  |
|   |   |  |  |   |  |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES   |   |  |  |   |  |
| This report contains co   | olored photographs  |  |  |   |  |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY  | Y STATEMENT   |  |  | 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE  |  |
| Approved for public release; distr  | ibution unlimited   |  |  |   |  |
|   |   |  |  |   |  |
| 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Wo  | rds)  |  |  |   |  |
| Telomere maintenance is critical to oncogenesis. Therefore, understanding both telomerase-dependent and telomerase-independent pathways of maintenance will be important for therapeutic strategies. In the budding yeasts <i>S. cerevisiae</i> and <i>K. lactis</i> , telomerase-independent survival is mediated via <i>RAD52</i> -dependent recombination which results in amplification of telomeric and subtelomeric repeat sequences. Since these repeat sequences are not identical, the mismatch repair pathway (MMR) could potentially block recombination between such homeologous sequences. We have shown that mutations in the MMR genes <i>MSH2</i> , <i>MLH1</i> , or <i>PMS1</i> , as well as double mutations in <i>MSH3</i> and <i>MSH6</i> , enhance telomerase-independent survival in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> in a <i>RAD52</i> -dependent survival. Preliminary results also show that disrupting <i>MSH2</i> in <i>K. lactis</i> enhances telomerase-independent survival, albeit to a lesser extent than in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> . This is consistent with the much larger number of potential mismatches in <i>S. cerevisae</i> compared to <i>K. lactis</i> telomeres, which are more similar to human telomeres. These results suggest the possibility that enhanced telomeric recombination in human cells with MMR defects may contribute to cell immortalization in the absence of telomerase reactivation |   |  |  |   |  |
| 14. SUBJECT TERMS<br>Breast Cancer, Telomere Maintenance, Telomerase, Recombination,  |   | on,  | 15. NUMBER OF PAGES<br>26                              |   |  |
| MISMACCH REPAIL, SULVIVAL ENHANCEMENT   |   |  | 16. PRICE CODE   |   |  |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>OF REPORT  | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>OF THIS PAGE   | 19. SECURITY CLASSIF<br>OF ABSTRACT  |  | 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT  |  |
| Unclassified  | Unclassified  | Unclassif  | ied  | Unlimited   |  |
| NSN 7540-01-280-5500  |   |  | Stan<br>Presci<br>298-10                               | aard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)<br>Ibed by ANSI Std. 239-18<br>12                                 |  |

20010301 115

#### FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army.

\_\_\_\_ Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

\_\_\_\_ Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

\_\_\_\_\_ Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

X In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, national Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

<u>X</u> For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.

X In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

 $\underline{X}$  In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.

 $\underline{N/A}$  In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.

PI - Signature

Date

### **Table of Contents**

. .

PAGE (S)

| Cover                        |       |
|------------------------------|-------|
| SF 298                       |       |
| Foreword                     |       |
| Introduction                 | 4     |
| Body                         | 5-8   |
| Key Research Accomplishments | 9     |
| Reportable Outcomes          | 10-12 |
| Conclusions                  | 13-14 |
| References                   | 15-17 |
| Appendices                   | 18-25 |

#### **INTRODUCTION**

In eukaryotes, the ends of linear chromosomes are protected from damage and rearrangements by a complex of G-rich repetitive sequences and protein, called telomeres. Replication and maintenance of telomeres is necessary for normal cellular proliferation. In most eukaryotes, the reverse transcriptase telomerase is responsible for replicating the end of a chromosome and thus maintaining telomere length. Absence of telomerase activity results in progressive telomere shortening with continued cell division. When telomeres are too short to maintain function, most cells stop dividing. Loss of viability with continued propagation of telomerase-minus cells is called senescence. Although senescing human somatic cells repress telomerase expression, the majority of tumors and immortalized cell lines reactivate telomerase. Interestingly, however, 10-15% of tumors can survive the absence of telomerase by maintaining their telomeres via an alternative pathway called ALT<sup>1-3</sup>. Such alternative mechanisms could contribute to breast cancer as well, since 19-32% of early breast tumors and 5% of the advanced tumors were shown to be telomerase-minus<sup>4</sup>.

Telomerase-independent survival has also been observed in other organisms such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*<sup>5</sup> and *Kluyveromyces lactis*<sup>6</sup>. The seminal characterization of telomerase-independent survivors of *S. cerevisiae* showed that *RAD52*-dependent recombination events could amplify telomeric and subtelomeric sequences and produce a functional telomere. A subset of *S. cerevisiae* as well as *K. lactis* survivors and ALT cells were shown to have greatly elongated telomeres, suggesting that commonalities in the mechanism of telomere maintenance may exist between these budding yeast survivors and human ALT cells. Since telomere maintenance is critical to oncogenesis, understanding both telomerase-dependent and telomerase-independent pathways will be important for therapeutic strategies.

We investigated the effect of mismatch repair (MMR) defects on telomerase-independent survival in *S. cerevisiae* and *K. lactis*. MMR has been shown to block recombination between imperfectly matched sequences<sup>7-9</sup>. Since telomeric and subtelomeric repeat sequences are not identical at different chromosome ends, we proposed that MMR could block recombination between such sequences and therefore repress telomerase-independent survival. Our results support this hypothesis and suggest the possibility that enhanced telomeric recombination in cells with MMR defects, including breast tissue<sup>10-15</sup>, may contribute to cell immortalization in the absence of telomerase reactivation during oncogenesis.

### BODY

### Methods

#### Yeast Strains

Gene disruptions were performed by standard yeast transformation and homologous recombination methods. The telomeric heterology was targeted to the telomere as described in 16.

#### Growth Analysis

Serial streakout analysis: Growth phenotype was assessed by streaking for single colonies successively for up to 4X streakout from freshly dissected spores; successive streakouts were then reassembled on the same plate, growth properties were scored blind using an arbitrary scale of A(no growth) to E(wildtype-like growth). Whether growth of one mutant strain was better than another was determined by comparing the percent streakouts of each genotype showing the same growth characteristic at each successive streakout. For a subset of strains, at the point where successive streakouts were reassembled, cells from the colonies used for reassembly were resuspended in water, counted by hemacytometer and equivalent numbers of cells were placed in microtiter dishes. 10 fold dilutions were done in dishes and cells were stamped onto rich media plates. Dilutions and streakouts were growth for 2-3 days at 30°C. Results of dilution analysis and streakout scoring were similar.

*Competition experiments:* Cells from spore colonies of the desired genotypes were grown to log phase in rich media. Cultures were titered by hemacytometer and  $10^4$  cells/ml of two different strains were mixed together in 5 ml rich media and grown for ~21 hours (or until visible growth occured in late senescing cultures). At the end of the growth period, cell counts were assessed by hemacytometer, ~1000 cells were plated for subsequent genotype determinations, the culture was diluted to  $2x10^4$  cells/ml and regrown. Percentage of viable cells of each strain in the culture was determined by replica plating onto the appropriate selective media.

*Liquid growth assays:* At least three independent samples of each strain were used.  $5x10^5$  cells from freshly dissected spore colonies were used to start 5 ml cultures in rich media. After ~21 hours of growth, cultures were titered by hemacytometer and diluted to  $10^5$  cells/ml. This was repeated for 9 days. Change in cell density over time was plotted. At each time point, the mean change in cell density of cultures of two different genotypes were compared, and the statistical significance of any difference in means was determined by the student's t-test. p values of 0.05 or lower were considered significant.

#### DNA preparations, Southerns, Y' quantitation

Yeast genomic DNA preparations and Southerns to quantitate Y' element levels were performed as previously described<sup>5</sup>. Phosporimager quantitation was used to determine the signal intensity for the long and short Y' bands compared to an internal control band.

#### Results

# MMR defects in S. cerevisiae enhance telomerase-independent survival in a RAD52-dependent manner

In *S. cerevisiae*, the mismatch repair protein Msh2 binds mismatches, either in complex with Msh3 or Msh6<sup>17</sup>. Msh3 and Msh6 have somewhat redundant functions in mismatch binding, such that Msh3 binds loop mismatches whereas Msh6 preferably binds to single-base mismatches<sup>18,19</sup>. Mlh1-Pms1 heterodimer binds to the Msh/DNA complex in order to initiate mismatch repair. Mlh1 can also form heterodimers with Mlh2 or Mlh3, however, the Mlh1-Pms1 heterodimer appears to

play the most significant role in MMR<sup>20-23</sup>. Mutations in MSH2, MLH1 or in PMS1 have been shown to increase recombination between imperfectly matched sequences<sup>7-9</sup>. Mutations in MSH3and MSH6 affect homeologous recombination differentially, depending on the nature of the heteroduplex formed and the assay system used<sup>9,24</sup>. However, a double mutation in MSH3 and MSH6 enhance homeologous recombination to similar levels as does a mutation in  $MSH2^9$ .

We tested the effect of mismatch repair mutations on telomerase-independent survival by comparing the growth phenotypes of multiple independent isolates of telomerase-minus strains to telomerase-minus strains that were also  $msh2-\Delta$ ,  $mlh1-\Delta$ ,  $pms1-\Delta$ ,  $msh3-\Delta$ ,  $msh6-\Delta$ , or  $msh3\Delta msh6\Delta$ . Three different methods were used in order to assess growth differences between strains: 1)Comparative assessment of growth phenotype of successive single colony streakouts, 2) liquid competition experiments, 3) liquid growth assays. Collectively, our results show that  $msh2-\Delta$ ,  $mlh1-\Delta$ , or  $pms1-\Delta$  enhance telomerase-independent growth significantly (Figures 1 and 2).  $msh3-\Delta$  and  $msh6-\Delta$  did not exhibit detectable enhancement of telomerase-independent survival. However, a double mutation of  $msh3-\Delta msh6-\Delta$  enhanced survival significantly (Figure 1). Our results are consistent with the model that disruptions in these mismatch repair genes are enhancing telomerase-independent survival via an effect on homeologous telomeric and/or subtelomeric recombination.

RAD52-dependent recombination is required for propagating a telomerase-deficient strain i.e. for establishment of telomerase-independent survivors<sup>5</sup>. We have also shown that RAD52 is needed for maintenance of such survivors (data not shown). We found that in the absence of RAD52, a telomerase-deficient strain could not be propagated, even when mismatch repair was defective (Figure 3). This shows that the enhancement of telomerase-independent survival by mismatch repair defects is through a recombination dependent pathway, and is not a complete bypass effect.

A proofreading defective POL3 does not enhance telomerase-independent survival Defects in MMR increase mutation rates, as well as homeologous recombination rates. Therefore, we tested the possibility that just increasing the number of mutations in a telomerase-defective strain might enhance survival, presumably by introducing suppressor mutations. We found that a *pol3-01* mutation that renders DNA polymerase  $\delta$  proofreading defective, thus producing mutation rates similar to that of *msh2-\Delta*<sup>25-31</sup>, has no effect on telomerase-independent survival (Figure 4). This result strongly suggests that it is <u>not</u> the increased number of mutations or the increased probability of acquiring suppressor mutations that enhance telomerase-independent survival in mismatch repair defective strains.

**Defects in RAD1, RAD10, EXO1 do not affect telomerase-independent survival** The mismatch repair proteins Msh2 and Msh3, together with endonuclease components Rad1 and Rad10, are required for a specific type of repeat recombination, called Single Strand Annealing (SSA) when the process involves removal of non-homologous sequences 32,33. SSA can result in removal of intervening sequences when it occurs between tandem repeats. Since telomeric and subtelomeric regions contain numerous tandem repeats, it is conceivable that the telomeraseindependent survival enhancement effect we observed in  $msh2-\Delta$  could result, at least in part, from an inability to remove repeats by SSA (if such events occur), thereby stabilizing subtelomeric and telomeric repeat elements. We tested this by examining the effects of disrupting *RAD1* or *RAD10* on telomerase-independent survival and found that they have no effect on such survival (Figure 5). This supports the hypothesis that the role of *MSH2* in telomerase-independent survival is through effects on homeologous recombination, rather than SSA. *EXO1* is a 5' to 3' exonuclease that interacts with *MSH2*. Genetically, *EXO1* is in the same pathway as *MSH2*, possibly playing a role in the actual repair of mismatched DNA<sup>34,35</sup>. *exo1-* $\Delta$  has a modest increase in mutation rate, and a small increase in homeologous recombination of certain substrates. We found that *exo1-* $\Delta$  has no effect on telomerase-independent survival. Therefore, downstream events required for repair of mismatches, such as the actual removal of mismatches, must be irrelevant to telomerase-independent survival. This is consistent with the role of *MSH2* in telomerase-independent survival being via an effect on homeologous recombination.

### MSH2 disruption in Kluyveromyces lactis enhances telomerase-independent survival

S. cervisiae telomeres consist of irregular  $G_{1-3}T$  repeats, whereas human telomerase adds a perfect  $T_2AG_3$  repeat to chromosome ends. Therefore, we wanted to determine if the enhancement of telomerase-independent survival by mismatch repair defects was a phenomenon specific to a yeast with irregular telomeres or would also be observed in organisms where telomerase adds perfect telomeric repeats. Expecting to see such survival enhancement was reasonable, since it has been shown that the centromere-proximal region of the  $T_2AG_3$  telomeric tract of human telomeres is somewhat degenerate, with potentially even higher levels of mismatches between subtelomeric regions<sup>36-40</sup>. These regions could provide a block to homeologous recombination via MMR. We investigated this in *K. lactis*, a budding yeast whose telomerase adds 25 bp perfect telomeric repeats to a G-rich telomere tract length that is approximately the same length as in *S. cerevisiae*.<sup>41</sup>. This yeast has a *RAD52*-dependent, telomerase-independent survival pathway that involves amplification of telomeric repeats, similar to *S. cerevisiae*<sup>6</sup>.

Since no K. lactis mismatch repair gene had been cloned, we first cloned the klMSH2 gene. We used degenerate PCR primers in the well conserved ATPase domain of MSH2 homologs to amplify the similar K. lactis genomic DNA region. Using this fragment as a probe we screened an E. coli cDNA library and identified a clone, that when sequenced showed 75% similarity and 59% identity to the S. cerevisiae MSH2 gene at the amino acid level across the entire gene, lining up with previously identified, well conserved MSH2 domains (Figure 6A). Disruption of klMSH2, replacing ~1kb mid-section of the klMSH2 gene with scHIS3, produces a ~100 fold increase in mutation rate, showing that MSH2 function is obliterated by this disruption.

We then compared the growth of telomerase-minus strains with double mutant strains that were also  $klmsh2-\Delta$ . In preliminary liquid competition experiments, the  $klmsh2-\Delta$  telomerase-minus strain won the competition in 2/4, was represented at a higher proportion in 1/4, and was competed out by the klMSH2 telomerase-minus strain in 1/4 of the cultures (Figure 6B); compared to 7/7 of  $msh2-\Delta$  telomerase-minus strains winning the competition against telomerase-minus strains in S. *cerevisiae*. These initial results show that an MMR defect in K. *lactis* enhances telomeraseindependent survival, albeit to a lesser extent than in S. *cerevisiae*. This suggests that MMR defects can enhance telomerase-independent survival even in an organism whose telomerase adds regular repeats, and strengthens the possibility that a similar enhancement in human cells may contribute to cell immortalization in human cells without reactivating telomerase.

## MMR deficiency causes a small increase in subtelomeric Y' repeat amplification of telomerase-minus S. cerevisiae

We determined the levels of a certain type of subtelomeric repeat element, called the Y' element, in MMR deficient and proficient telomerase-minus strains at two successive time points during growth. We found that there is at most a two-fold increase in Y' levels in the absence of MMR (Table 1). Since Y' elements within a strain are ~99% identical<sup>42</sup>, it is possible that most of the survival ehancement effect observed in MMR deficient cells is due to a relief of a block to telomere-

telomere recombination, or recombination between less similar subtelomeric repeat elements, such as X elements or COS genes<sup>43</sup>.

### Development of a telomeric recombination assay for direct determination of changes in end recombination in the absence of MMR

We are currently developing an assay to measure the frequency with which a 4 nt sequence change introduced into a specific telomere marked with URA3 moves to a Y' containing telomere (2/3 of telomeres are Y' containing). Movement of this heterology to a Y' telomere should be detectable by the appearance of a PCR product between a unique Y' sequence primer and a telomeric primer that preferentially anneals to telomeric sequences that have the heterology, but not to wildtype telomeric sequences.

We introduced a 4 nt change (BsgI site) into chromosome XIV-R in wildtype,  $msh2-\Delta$ ,  $est2-\Delta$ ,  $est2-\Delta msh2-\Delta$ .strains in order to compare their telomeric recombination rates (Figure 7A). We worked out PCR conditions that give a PCR product with the telomeric primer that has the Bsg1 site but not with the telomeric primer that is wildtype (Figure 7B). We are currently working on testing whether we can observe telomere-telomere recombination in vivo, using PCR to monitor whether this 4nt change moves to other telomeres.

# The effect of the complete absence of any subtelomeric sequences in a chromosome end

In an effort to better charaterize factors that promote telomerase-independent survival, we tested whether the complete absence of subtelomeric repeats from both ends of a chromosome would decrease the frequency with which survivors are generated. We compared the growth of a telomerase-deficient strain in which the subtelomeric regions of chromosome III were deleted (using unpublished strains kindly provided by Dr. Jim Haber) to a strain with wildtype chromosome III has no detectable effect on telomerase-independent survival (data not shown). Since telomerase-deficient strains require RAD52-dependent recombination to maintain their telomeres, this result is consistent with telomere-telomere recombination between irregular, mismatched telomeric repeats being able to produce a functional telomere.

#### **Key Research Accomplishments**

-We showed that MMR defects enhance telomerase-independent survival in S. cerevisiae in a RAD52-dependent manner.

-We showed that increasing mutation rates to levels observed in mmr via a proofreading defective polymerase  $\delta$  does not enhance telomerase-independent survival.

-We determined that MMR defects have very little effect on the levels of a subtelomeric repeat element that is 99% identical within a strain.

-We cloned and disrupted an MMR gene in K. *lactis*, a budding yeast with regular telomeric repeats, and preliminary data shows that an MMR defect enhances telomerase-independent survival in K. *lactis*, albeit to a lesser extent than in S. *cerevisiae*.

-We developed strains and optimized PCR conditions for a new telomeric recombination assay. We initiated experiments to test MMR proficient and deficient telomerase-minus strains for telomere-telomere recombination levels.

Reportable Outcomes -Abstract and poster presentation: Yeast Genetics and Molecular Biology Meeting of the Genetics Society of America. University of Maryland, College Park. July 1998. -Abstract, poster presentation, and invited short talk: FASEB Summer Conference. Genetic Recombination and Chromosome Rearrangements. Snowmass, Colorado. August 1999.

#### Centromeres and Telomeres

Poster

#### **354**

Telomere maintenance in the absence of telomerase in S. cereviŝiae. Aylin Rizki, Victoria Lundblad. Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, TX 77030, USA.

Telomerase-deficient S. cerevisiae cells have progressively shorter telomeres, and once telomeres become too short to maintain function, the majority of the cells in the population stop dividing. We have initiated an analysis of the properties of single cells from early versus late telomerase-deficient cultures. Initial studies indicate that the lifespan of telomerase-minus cells is progressively reduced with continued propagation of the culture, whereas the lifespan of wilde type cells remains constant. This suggests that telomerase-defective strains display progressive aging, in contrast to the fixed alteration in aging that occurs in mutant strains such as sir4-42 or sgs1 strains. We are currently asking whether there is a progressive increase in aging-related phenotypes, such as sterility or nucleolar fragmentation, in telomerase mutant strains. A second area of investigation focuses on the subpopulation of cells that can survive the eventual consequence of telomerase deficiency. Such 'survivors' undergo substantial amplification of both telomeric DNA as well as adjacent subtelomeric repeats. Both the formation and maintenance of survivor strains are dependent on RAD52 function. We are currently testing the requirement for other components of the homologous recombination pathway, as well as determining the effects of mutations in genes involved in mismatch repair, single-strand annealing, direct-repeat recombination, and cellular lifespan.

Yeast Genetics and Molecular Biology Meeting of GSA. June 98

#### 1999 FASEB SUMMER RESEARCH CONFERENCES ABSTRACT FORM

**Conference number:**1176

#### (See reverse side for list of conferences)

If you would like to present a poster, you may use this form to prepare your abstract. Please send the abstract with your application form. Notification of poster acceptance will accompany registration materials.

| Presenting author (<br>Name: Ay | please provide full name rather than initials)<br>/lin Rizki |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Institution: Ba                 | vlor College of Medicine                                     |
| Lab/Department:Mo               | lecular and Human Genetics                                   |
| Phone: (7                       | 13) 798–7747   |
| Email address: (7               | 13) 198-5386 , email: ar039811@bcm.tmc.edu                   |

#### Telomere Maintenance In The Absence Of Telomerase In Saccharomyces cerevisiae: Role of Mismatch Repair Aylin Rizki<sup>1</sup> and Vicki Lundblad<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Verna and Marrs McLean Department of Biochemistry and <sup>2</sup>Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX

In eukaryotes, the ends of linear chromosomes are protected from damage and rearrangements by a complex of G-rich repetitive sequences and protein, called telomeres. Replication and maintenance of telomeres is necessary for normal cellular proliferation. In most eukaryotes, the reverse transcriptase telomerase is responsible for replicating the end of a chromosome and thus maintaining telomere length. Absence of telomerase activity results in progressive telomere shortening with continued cell division. When telomeres are too short to maintain function, most cells stop dividing. However, in *S. cerevisiae*, a subpopulation of cells can escape the lethal consequences of the absence of telomerase. This is not specific to budding yeast, as telomerase-independent survivors also have been observed in fission yeast and human cells.

In S. cerevisiae, survivors arise as a result of RAD52-mediated amplification of both telomeric and subtelomeric repeats. We have previously proposed that in the absence of telomerase, recombination can restore the  $G_{1,3}T$  telomeric repeats necessary for telomere function and thereby maintain cell survival. Since the terminal G-rich sequences are not perfectly homologous in S. cerevisiae, this could provide a potential barrier to recombination and therefore reduce the frequency with which survivors are generated. We have tested this, by demonstrating that mutations in genes in the mismatch repair pathway, previously shown to increase homeologous recombination frequencies, enhance telomerase-independent survival in a RAD52-dependent manner. Since little or no additional amplification of sub-telomeric repeats is observed in these survivors, this suggests that the absence of mismatch repair genes removes a block to  $G_{1,3}T$ - $G_{1,3}T$  recombination. We are specifically testing this model by observing the effects of mismatch repair defects on the recombination behavior of individual telomeres in which the terminal  $G_{1,3}T$  tract has been marked by a very minimal sequence change. In addition, characterization of the effect of a mismatch repair defect on the frequency with which telomerase-defective survivors arise in Kluvyeromyces lactis, a budding yeast that has perfectly homologous G-rich telomeric repeats, are under study.

#### Author Disclosure

Check if there is a possible conflict of interest in presenting this information on the part of the author (s) or presenter, so that it may be noted in the Program.

#### Conclusions

We demonstrated that MMR defects in *S. cerevisiae* enhance telomerase-independent survival in a *RAD52*-dependent manner. Inability of a proofreading defective polymerase that increases mutation rates similar to mmr<sup>-</sup> cells to enhance such survival suggests that the mmr<sup>-</sup> effect is not a general mutator effect. We propose that increased homeologous recombination in telomeric and subtelomeric regions in mmr<sup>-</sup> strains could enhance telomerase-independent survival. We are developing a telomeric recombination assay to test this more directly. We also found that this enhancement effect is not specific to a budding yeast with irregular telomeric repeats that provide a barrier to homeologous recombination. MMR defects in *K. lactis*, a yeast whose telomerase adds perfect telomeric repeats, also enhance telomerase-independent survival. This strengthens the possibility that MMR defects may increase chances of cell immortalization without re-activating telomerase via an effect on chromosome end recombination in human cells. Although human telomeres have perfect repeats, we propose that a similar enhancement effect may manifest itself as a removal of a block to homeologous recombination in the variable subtelomeric regions or the ~1kb of degenerate telomeric repeat region at the base of human telomeres.

Defects in the human homologues of *MSH2*, *MLH1 and PMS1* genes have been shown to cause Human Hereditary Non-Polyposis Colon Cancer (HNPCC)<sup>45-51</sup>. There is accumulating evidence that defects in these genes are present in some sporadic tumors as well, including some breast tumors<sup>10-15</sup>. If our results in budding yeasts prove to be relevant for human cell immortalization, this would have a tremendous effect on therapies based on inhibition of telomerase. If MMR defects in human cells enhance telomerase-independent cell growth via a boost in telomeric recombination, then inhibiting telomerase may not be an effective therapeutical method for treating mmr<sup>-</sup> tumors.

We have pursued our proposed research goals diligently. However, following up on some very interesting results regarding the mismatch repair effect, we have made some modifications to our actual plan, or SOW, as described below:

<u>Specific Aim 1: Determination of the role of subtelomeric sequences and telomeric recombination in telomere maintenance in the absence of telomerase</u>

Previous (1A) and (1B) Monitor the behaviour in S. cerevisiae of an artificial subtelomeric repeat

Modified (1A) and (1B) Determine the levels of the Y' subtelomeric repeat element in MMR deficient and proficient telomerase-minus strains Tasks 1-9 (Modified-see Body): Months 1-9: COMPLETED

Previous (1C) Convert K. lactis yeast to a strain that has artificial subtelomeric repeats

Modified (1C) Determine the effect of a mismatch repair defect on telomeraseindependent survival in K. lactis

Tasks 10-13 (Modified-see Body): Months 10-13: COMPLETED

(1D) Determine the effect of the complete absence of any subtelomeric sequences in a chromosome end Tasks 14-17: Months 8-12: COMPLETED

(1E) Determine the frequency of telomeric recombination between G-rich repeats Tasks 18-20: Months 13-17: COMPLETED Task 21: Months 18-20: IN PROGRESS <u>Specific Aim 2: Determination of the genetic factors involved in the</u> <u>establishment, maintenance, and frequency of occurence of survivors</u> (2A) Determine if recombination is required for the maintenance of survivors

Tasks 22,23: Months 19-20: COMPLETED

(2B) Determine the effect of mismatch repair gene mutations on the frequency of survivor formation in S. cerevisiae Tasks 24-26: Months 24-26: COMPLETED Task 27: Months 25-27: IN PROGRESS

Previous (2C) A mutant screen for mutations that increase the frequency of survivors

Modified (2C) A candidate gene approach to determination of factors that are important for telomerase-independent survival

**Task 28:** Months 27-30: Determine if a DNA damage checkpoint response is involved in promoting recombination events required for telomerase-independent survival, by observing the effect of checkpoint gene mutations on telomerase-independent survival.

**Task 29:** Months 30-33: Determine if factors, such as the Ku70/Ku80 or *CDC13*, that have been proposed to protect chromosome ends from being recognized as damage are no longer at the telomere, allowing recombination events to occur at a much higher frequency in the absence of telomerase, by in vivo formaldehyde crosslinking analysis.

**Task 30:** Months 33-36. Determine if mechanisms that block recombination in wildtype cells, e.g. MMR, are supressed in telomerase-deficient cells. Test if there is an increase in mutation rates, double strand breaks, recombination intermediates (Chi structures), and single strandedness in telomeric regions as an indication of such supression.

#### References

1. Bryan, T.M., Englezou, A., Gupta, J., Bacchetti, S. & Reddel, R.R. Telomere elongation in immortal human cells without detectable telomerase activity. *Embo J* 14, 4240-4248 (1995).

2. Bryan, T.M., Englezou, A., Dalla-Pozza, L., Dunham, M.A. & Reddel, R.R. Evidence for an alternative mechanism for maintaining telomere length in human tumors and tumor-derived cell lines [see comments]. *Nat Med* **3**, 1271-1274 (1997).

3. Bryan, T.M. & Reddel, R.R. Telomere dynamics and telomerase activity in in vitro immortalised human cells. *Eur J Cancer* **33**, 767-773 (1997).

4. Hiyama, E. *et al.* Telomerase activity in human breast tumors. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **88**, 116-122 (1996).

5. Lundblad, V. & Blackburn, E.H. An alternative pathway for yeast telomere maintenance rescues est1- senescence. *Cell* **73**, 347-360 (1993).

6. McEachern, M.J. & Blackburn, E.H. Cap-prevented recombination between terminal telomeric repeat arrays (telomere CPR) maintains telomeres in Kluyveromyces lactis lacking telomerase. *Genes Dev* **10**, 1822-1834 (1996).

7. Bailis, A.M. & Rothstein, R. A defect in mismatch repair in Saccharomyces cerevisiae stimulates ectopic recombination between homeologous genes by an excision repair dependent process. *Genetics* **126**, 535-547 (1990).

8. Datta, A., Adjiri, A., New, L., Crouse, G.F. & Jinks Robertson, S. Mitotic crossovers between diverged sequences are regulated by mismatch repair proteins in Saccaromyces cerevisiae. *Mol Cell Biol* **16**, 1085-1093 (1996).

9. Nicholson, A., Hendrix, M., Jinks-Robertson, S. & Crouse, G.F. Regulation of mitotic homeologous recombination in yeast. Functions of mismatch repair and nucleotide excision repair genes. *Genetics* **154**, 133-146 (2000).

10. Bergthorsson, J.T., Egilsson, V., Gudmundsson, J., Arason, A. & Ingvarsson, S. Identification of a breast tumor with microsatellite instability in a potential carrier of the hereditary non-polyposis colon cancer trait. *Clin Genet* 47, 305-310 (1995).

11. Risinger, J.I., Barrett, J.C., Watson, P., Lynch, H.T. & Boyd, J. Molecular genetic evidence of the occurrence of breast cancer as an integral tumor in patients with the hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal carcinoma syndrome. *Cancer* **77**, 1836-1843 (1996).

12. Benachenhou, N., Guiral, S., Gorska-Flipot, I., Labuda, D. & Sinnett, D. Frequent loss of heterozygosity at the DNA mismatch-repair loci hMLH1 and hMSH3 in sporadic breast cancer. Br J Cancer 79, 1012-1017 (1999).

13. Dillon, E.K., de Boer, W.B., Papadimitriou, J.M. & Turbett, G.R. Microsatellite instability and loss of heterozygosity in mammary carcinoma and its probable precursors. Br J Cancer 76, 156-162 (1997).

14. Huang, Y. *et al.* Microsatellite instability during the immortalization and transformation of human breast epithelial cells in vitro. *Mol Carcinog* 24, 118-127 (1999).

15. Boyd, J. et al. Male breast cancer in the hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer syndrome. Breast Cancer Res Treat 53, 87-91 (1999).

16. Gottschling, D.E., Aparicio, O.M., Billington, B.L. & Zakian, V.A. Position effect at S. cerevisiae telomeres: reversible repression of Pol II transcription. *Cell* **63**, 751-762 (1990).

17. Acharya, S. et al. hMSH2 forms specific mispair-binding complexes with hMSH3 and hMSH6. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93, 13629-13634 (1996).

18. Marsischky, G.T., Filosi, N., Kane, M.F. & Kolodner, R. Redundancy of Saccharomyces cerevisiae MSH3 and MSH6 in MSH2-dependent mismatch repair. *Genes Dev* **10**, 407-420 (1996).

19. Marsischky, G.T. & Kolodner, R.D. Biochemical characterization of the interaction between the Saccharomyces cerevisiae MSH2-MSH6 complex and mispaired bases in DNA. *J Biol Chem* **274**, 26668-26682 (1999).

20. Prolla, T.A., Pang, Q., Alani, E., Kolodner, R.D. & Liskay, R.M. MLH1, PMS1, and MSH2 interactions during the initiation of DNA mismatch repair in yeast. *Science* **265**, 1091-1093 (1994).

21. Habraken, Y., Sung, P., Prakash, L. & Prakash, S. Enhancement of MSH2-MSH3mediated mismatch recognition by the yeast MLH1-PMS1 complex. *Curr Biol* **7**, 790-793 (1997).

22. Habraken, Y., Sung, P., Prakash, L. & Prakash, S. ATP-dependent assembly of a ternary complex consisting of a DNA mismatch and the yeast MSH2-MSH6 and MLH1-PMS1 protein complexes. *J Biol Chem* **273**, 9837-9841 (1998).

23. Flores-Rozas, H. & Kolodner, R.D. The Saccharomyces cerevisiae MLH3 gene functions in MSH3-dependent suppression of frameshift mutations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **95**, 12404-12409 (1998).

24. Selva, E.M., New, L., Crouse, G.F. & Lahue, R.S. Mismatch correction acts as a barrier to homeologous recombination in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics* **139**, 1175-1188 (1995).

25. Boulet, A., Simon, M., Faye, G., Bauer, G.A. & Burgers, P.M. Structure and function of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae CDC2 gene encoding the large subunit of DNA polymerase III. *Embo J* **8**, 1849-1854 (1989).

26. Morrison, A., Bell, J.B., Kunkel, T.A. & Sugino, A. Eukaryotic DNA polymerase amino acid sequence required for 3'----5' exonuclease activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **88**, 9473-9477 (1991).

27. Shcherbakova, P.V. & Pavlov, Y.I. 3'-->5' exonucleases of DNA polymerases epsilon and delta correct base analog induced DNA replication errors on opposite DNA strands in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics* 142, 717-726 (1996).

28. Tran, H.T., Keen, J.D., Kricker, M., Resnick, M.A. & Gordenin, D.A. Hypermutability of homonucleotide runs in mismatch repair and DNA polymerase proofreading yeast mutants. *Mol Cell Biol* **17**, 2859-2865 (1997).

29. Tran, H.T., Degtyareva, N.P., Gordenin, D.A. & Resnick, M.A. Genetic factors affecting the impact of DNA polymerase delta proofreading activity on mutation avoidance in yeast. *Genetics* **152**, 47-59 (1999).

30. Tran, H.T., Gordenin, D.A. & Resnick, M.A. The 3'-->5' exonucleases of DNA polymerases delta and epsilon and the 5'-->3' exonuclease Exo1 have major roles in postreplication mutation avoidance in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Mol Cell Biol* **19**, 2000-2007 (1999).

31. Drotschmann, K. *et al.* Mutator phenotypes of yeast strains heterozygous for mutations in the MSH2 gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**, 2970-2975 (1999).

32. Paques, F. & Haber, J.E. Two pathways for removal of nonhomologous DNA ends during double-strand break repair in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Mol Cell Biol* **17**, 6765-6771 (1997).

33. Sugawara, N., Paques, F., Colaiacovo, M. & Haber, J.E. Role of Saccharomyces cerevisiae Msh2 and Msh3 repair proteins in double-strand break-induced recombination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **94**, 9214-9219 (1997).

34. Fiorentini, P., Huang, K.N., Tishkoff, D.X., Kolodner, R.D. & Symington, L.S. Exonuclease I of Saccharomyces cerevisiae functions in mitotic recombination in vivo and in vitro. *Mol Cell Biol* **17**, 2764-2773 (1997).

35. Tishkoff, D.X. *et al.* Identification and characterization of Saccharomyces cerevisiae EXO1, a gene encoding an exonuclease that interacts with MSH2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **94**, 7487-7492 (1997).

36. Allshire, R.C., Dempster, M. & Hastie, N.D. Human telomeres contain at least three types of G-rich repeat distributed non-randomly. *Nucleic Acids Res* **17**, 4611-4627 (1989).

37. Brown, W.R. *et al.* Structure and polymorphism of human telomere-associated DNA. *Cell* **63**, 119-132 (1990).

38. Cross, S. *et al.* The structure of a subterminal repeated sequence present on many human chromosomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **18**, 6649-6657 (1990).

39. Pryde, F.E., Gorham, H.C. & Louis, E.J. Chromosome ends: all the same under their caps. Curr Opin Genet Dev 7, 822-828 (1997).

40. Flint, J. *et al.* Sequence comparison of human and yeast telomeres identifies structurally distinct subtelomeric domains. *Hum Mol Genet* **6**, 1305-1313 (1997).

41. Fulton, T.B. & Blackburn, E.H. Identification of Kluyveromyces lactis telomerase: discontinuous synthesis along the 30-nucleotide-long templating domain. *Mol Cell Biol* **18**, 4961-4970 (1998).

42. Pryde, F.E. & Louis, E.J. Saccharomyces cerevisiae telomeres. A review. *Biochemistry* (Mosc) 62, 1232-1241 (1997).

43. Louis, E.J., Naumova, E.S., Lee, A., Naumov, G. & Haber, J.E. The chromosome end in yeast: its mosaic nature and influence on recombinational dynamics. *Genetics* **136**, 789-802 (1994).

44. Chen, C. & Kolodner, R.D. Gross chromosomal rearrangements in Saccharomyces cerevisiae replication and recombination defective mutants. *Nat Genet* 23, 81-85 (1999).

45. Borresen, A.L. *et al.* Somatic mutations in the hMSH2 gene in microsatellite unstable colorectal carcinomas. *Hum Mol Genet* **4**, 2065-2072 (1995).

46. Fishel, R. *et al.* The human mutator gene homolog MSH2 and its association with hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer [published erratum appears in Cell 1994 Apr 8;77(1):167]. *Cell* **75**, 1027-1038 (1993).

47. Prolla, T.A. *et al.* Tumour susceptibility and spontaneous mutation in mice deficient in Mlh1, Pms1 and Pms2 DNA mismatch repair. *Nat Genet* **18**, 276-279 (1998).

48. Aaltonen, L.A. & Peltomaki, P. Genes involved in hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal carcinoma. *Anticancer Res* 14, 1657-1660 (1994).

49. Jiricny, J. Mismatch repair and cancer. Cancer Surv 28, 47-68 (1996).

50. Eshleman, J.R. & Markowitz, S.D. Mismatch repair defects in human carcinogenesis. *Hum Mol Genet* 5, 1489-1494 (1996).

51. Peltomaki, P. DNA mismatch repair gene mutations in human cancer. *Environ Health Perspect* **105 Suppl 4**, 775-780 (1997).

#### Figure Legends

# Figure 1. Effect of mmr- $\Delta$ mutations on the serial streakout growth of telomerase-defective (est2- $\Delta$ ) strains

Multiple independent spore colonies of each genotype were serially streaked out to 4X. The number of samples of each genotype is indicated in paranthesis e.g.  $est2-\Delta msh2-\Delta(18)$ . The level of growth of each streakout was scored as described in Methods. Each graph shows the distribution of growth scores of each genotype for each streakout point. e.g. 100% of the 18 est2- $\Delta msh2-\Delta 1X$  streakouts were given a growth score of E (wildtype-like growth). Going from left to right of each row, graphs represent growth distribution of 1x, 2x, 3x, and 4x streakouts.

# Figure 2. Effect of mmr- $\Delta$ mutations on growth rates of telomerase-deficient strains in liquid competition experiments

Equal numbers of cells from spore colonies of two different strains (e.g.  $est2-\Delta$  and  $est2-\Delta msh2-\Delta$ ) were grown together in culture. Each culture was propagated and the percentage of viable cells of each strain in culture were determined as described in Methods. Graphs of %viable cells of each genotype was plotted against time in culture. As a control, the effect of  $mmr-\Delta$  mutations on telomerase-proficient cells was also determined (e.g. wt vs.  $msh2-\Delta$ ).

#### Figure 3. Effect of rad52- $\Delta$ on mmr- $\Delta$ telomerase-deficient strains

Multiple independent spore colonies of the indicated genotypes were streaked out and their growth was compared as described in Methods. This figure shows an example of the results of these experiments, showing growth at 1x (25 generations) and 2x( 50 generations) streakouts. Multiple samples from  $msh2-\Delta$ ,  $mlh1-\Delta$ ,  $pms1-\Delta$ ,  $msh3-\Delta$ ,  $msh6-\Delta$ , and  $msh3-msh6-\Delta$  strains were tested similarly. Results were comparable that shown for one  $msh2-\Delta$  sample in this figure.

#### Figure 4. Effect of pol3-01 on telomerase-independent survival

(A) Three independent samples of each genotype were subjected to liquid growth experiments as described in Methods. Each point on this graph shows the mean value of the number of cells in three cultures of the same genotype at each time point. The numbers in paranthesis are p-values calculated by Student's t-test, comparing the *est-* $\Delta$  to the *pol3-01est2-* $\Delta$  strains at the indicated time point. p<= 0.05 reflects a significant difference between the compared data sets. (B) Serial streakout assessment of growth, as described for Figure 1.

### Figure 5. Effect of rad1- $\Delta$ , rad10 $\Delta$ , and exo1- $\Delta$ mutations on the serial streakout growth of telomerase-defective strains

Serial streakout assessment of growth, as described for Figure 1.

### Figure 6. Effect of K. lactis msh2- $\Delta$ mutation on telomerase-independent (ter1- $\Delta$ ) growth

(A) Amino acid sequence alignment of *S. cerevisiae* and *K.lactis MSH2* genes, using ClustalW and Boxshade. Black shading shows identity, grey shading shows similarity between amino acids. (B) Liquid competition experiments, as described for Figure 2.

#### Figure 7. Telomeric Recombination Assay

(A) Schematic representation of chromosome XIV-R end in strains which have the 4 nt change (Bsg1 site) targeted to the telomere. A URA3 marker was used to select for targeting. The Bsg1-telomeric primer has a 4 nt change in its 3' terminus, compared to a wildtype telomeric primer. (B) In strains that have the Bsg1 targeted to chr XIV-R, PCR reactions using a forward URA3 or Y' primer and a reverse wildtype- or Bsg1-telomeric primer are expected to give the results indicated. As a negative control, a strain that does not have the targeted change at its chr XIV-R was used in parallel PCR reactions.



.'





20

Figure 3.

.`



Figure 4.



Figure 5.

•



### Figure 6.

# **A.**

| K.lactis     | 1   | MRPLDAC SRFIIL OR SEDS OE VEWT SORS ON TIRLDE SDIVITVGDDAL FYADOV SHD                      |
|--------------|-----|--|
| S.cerevisiae | 1   | MSSTRPELKESDT SEERNE YKKT OG LPKKPLETIRLTDEGDIVTVIGSDAIFTADSVIHT                           |
| K.lactis     | 59  | S STARDCKIDL AHFANF DL QE PL KYTTUSIQIY GNLLET SIMEIGKRIEIN DR NYKILET                     |
| S.cerevisiae | 61  | Q SVARNGQL DP TTAK NF NEPT KYTTYSIQYL AT ILERLCI I DIGYRYEINDR GYKLIKS                     |
| K.lactis     | 119 | A SPECILE ON DELL VE SVET NIVLASLEL VFRETAA SEVET CTEVSEVD VERVER I ELFDPLDE               |
| S.cerevisiae | 119 | A SPECIE ON WERNEN I DS SITTASLEVQVI – SQDEECT I EVAFIDTATEVEN DI VDE                      |
| K.lactis     | 179 | E VESHLESCIIQLGI KECHIP DIRD IP SHANDI KKIL SVIBRC SIVV SEVKPSDENGKDV                      |
| S.cerevisiae | 178 | E VI SHLESFLIQLGV KECHVQDITS IS IS NAEHQKVI IV IDRCGCVITILKISEFSEKDV                       |
| K.lactis     | 239 | E ADDA KUÇGDEL SILSI S-KIŞAN CLƏAÇ SILLI YUNI HINE ANVƏNFEY IDÜSÜ SOFIKL                   |
| S.cerevisiae | 238 | ELDUT KLIGDDIALSIP OKTSKI SUGAÇI ALIG TÜQIL SEQ DQVƏKTEL VE UKUKEFUKL                      |
| K.lactis     | 298 | DASALKALHYFPTGSQGHTALLSYSSPQKCSSLFQLLDRCKTHSGVRALHET                                       |
| S.cere∓isiae | 298 | DASALKALHLFPQGPQHPFGSHHLAYSGFTSAGHSGKYTSLFQLLDHCKTHAGVRLLHET                               |
| K.lactis     | 350 | L KQ PL TD I DQI TKRH DL VE FF HD QL EL RS SL QE ECLP SV PD I R RL TKKL QK IG HL ED VL K   |
| S.cerevisiae | 358 | L KQ PL TN I D EI I KRH DL VD VL I DQI EL RQ HL TS EVLP HI PD I R RL TKKL I KRG HL ED VL K |
| K.lactis     | 410 | I TOFA QH TPTU SD LL KO KT BE TB – S HD LH IIT KEVLUDPHL EN AT THEELK BL TEPT TY T         |
| S.cerevisiae | 418 | I TOF S KRIPELTO TFT SFLEDDS PTEPT HELT R STTUAPLS HHTE PUSEFE BUTETT DL                   |
| K.lactis     | 469 | EATEE THEF HI KTEF HIQL SIIRTILDEL KDATRITHLD TADD LGFD PEKKIKLE HIHL                      |
| S.cerevisiae | 478 | Datee Thef hi ktef heel gkir skidtirdet hsthid saed lgfd pd kkikle hhhlh                   |
| K.lactis     | 529 | G VCHRLTRIDAKALROHKKTI EL STYKAGIFFSTKEL KITAEETSELOKKTEOOOASLVK                           |
| S.cerevisiae | 538 | G VCHRLTRIDAKELRKHKKTI EL STYKAGIFFSTKOLKSTABETHILOKEVDKOOSALVR                            |
| K.lactis     | 589 | E IY SITL SITP TLEKLSYTTAQLDI LC SFAQ YS STAPIPTY RP KHYPLHDQ BRTTELIAS                    |
| S.cerevisiae | 598 | E II BITLTTTP TFEKLSLYLAHLDY IA SFAHTS STAPIPTIRP KLHPHD SE – RRTHLISS                     |
| K.lactis     | 649 | RHPUVEHQDDVTFISHDVKLVQGDSEFIVITGPHHGGKSTVIRQIGVICLHAQIGCFVPC                               |
| S.cerevisiae | 657 | RHPVLEHQDDISFISHDVTLESGKGDFHIITGPHHGGKSTVIRQVGVISLHAQIGCFVPC                               |
| K.lactis     | 709 | DEARIAAYDAILCRY GAGD SQLKGY SYFRINE DLEYASILKNAL HISLVI YDELGRGY SYY                       |
| S.cerevisiae | 717 | EEAEIAIYDAILCRY GAGD SQLKGY SYFRIYE DLEYASILKNASKISL II YDELGRGY SYY                       |
| K.lactis     | 769 | D GF GL TV SI SE HI AT LINC FT LF AT HE HE LT TLAD KLDD V SHIHX VA HI ED HG S HI S         |
| S.cerevisiae | 777 | D GF GL AVAIAE HI AS KIGC FALF AT HE HE LT EL SEKLPAVK HIHV VA HI EKELKE QKHD D            |
| K.lactis     | 826 | D DITLLY KY EAGS SD Q S FG I HYA EY YQ FP SKIYI HAKRKAAEL ED LKKDED SL KKAKL SP            |
| S.cerevisiae | 837 | E DITLLY KY EPGI SD Q S FG I HYA EY YQ FP EKIY KHAKRKALELDD LKTHE DLKKAKL SL               |
| K.lactis     | 886 | E DI VLETE SMEKSMEV. CKELEKHNILDRLDDP SVQEE6 VDELKQQI BULAREED TT SLF                      |
| S.cerevisiae | 897 | QEV BEGNIRMKALMKE, IRKVE E6MMDP SKITEBASQNKIQELLRAIAMEPEKEUD D                             |
| K.lactis     | 946 | ŦD₩¥RBTI¶  |
| S.cerevisiae | 955 | ŢLKŢIKĂ  |

### Figure 6.

•'



Table 1.

| Genotype                      | Normalized Y' level | , +/-SD, (#samples) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                               | From 1x colonies    | From 2x colonies    |
| est1-A                        | 48 ±/-31 (19)       | 107/-31(10)         |
| $est1-\Delta$ msh2- $\Delta$  | 7.3 +/-3.1 (22)     | 14.2 +/-6.4 (22)    |
|                               |                     |                     |
| est2-∆                        | 4.4 +/-3.3 (24)     | 8.8 +/-4.7 (24)     |
| est2-∆ mlh1-∆                 | 5.4 +/-3.3 (23)     | 12.2 +/-17.7 (21)   |
| est2-∆                        | 2.3 +/-1.0 (11)     | 2.8 +/-0.8 (11)     |
| est2- $\Delta$ pms1- $\Delta$ | 3.1 +/-1.4 (8)      | 5.4 +/-3.8 (8)      |

### Figure 7.



### 3'GTCTACACACACCCAC

primer specific for telo with BsgI site

**B.** 

| forward<br>primer | reverse<br>primer      | if site does<br>NOT move | if site<br>moves |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| ><br>URA3         | <<br>wiltype telomeric | +                        | +                |
| URA3              | Bsgl-telomeric         | +                        | +                |
| Y'                | wildtype telomeric     | +                        | +                |
| Y'                | Bsgl-telomeric         | -                        | +                |
|                   |                        |                          |                  |