REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	AFRL-SR-BL-TR-01-
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including t gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send c collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwoo	7
Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperword 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) 2. REPORT DATE 3. REPORT	
	1 November 1997 - 30 September 1998
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5. FUNDING NUMBERS
Bandwidth Efficient Aeronautical Telemetry Modem and Transceiver Research	h F49620-98-1-0097
6. AUTHOR(S) Professor Shih-Ho Wang	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of California at Davis	REPORT NUMBER
Davis, CA 95616	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	10. SPONSORING/MONITORING
AFOSR	AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
801 North Randolph Street, Room 732 Arlington, VA 22203-1977	F49620-98-1-0097
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
12a. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release.	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
	AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFOSR)
	NOTION DE TRANQUITTAL ATIC. THIS LECHNUAL REPORT
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)	HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND IS APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEAS
In RF power and spectral efficient digital communication systems, the transmitter AW FRISSON 105117845012.1051178450012.1051178450012.1051178450012.105117845000000000000000000000000000000000000	
narrow-band spectrum and constant envelope. To meet these requirements, it is desirable to use spectrally efficient	
modulation techniques. FQPSK [1-2] 'and 16-state Superposed QAM (16-SQAM [1], [3], also called FQAM) have been	
demonstrated to achieve higher Dower and spectral efficiency, and also show good error probabilities in NLA and Additive	
White Gaussian Noise (AWG.N) channels- These signals contain "offset" property in quadrature (Q) channel l relative to	
in-phase (I) channel, which prevents the envelopes of the modulated signals from crossing zeros and allows to achieve higher	
power efficiency [2].	
14. SUBJECT TERMS	15. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. JUDJEU I IERIVIJ	15. NOMBER OF FAGES
	16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 19. SECURITY OF REPORT OF THIS PAGE OF ABSTR	CLASSIFICATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
	Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) (EG)

.

•

QUU2

Final Technical Report for

Bandwidth Efficient Aeronautical Telemetry Modem and Transceiver Research

Principal Investigator:

Prof. Shih-Ho Wang Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of California at Davis Davis, CA 95616

(539) 752-7390 wang@ece.ucdavis.edu

AFOSR F49620-98-1-0097

Grant Number:

Ending Date:

9/30/1998

20010226 104

INTRODUCTION

In RF power and spectral efficient digital communication systems, the transmitted signals are highly required to have both narrow-band spectrum and constant envelope. To meet these requirements, it is desirable to use spectrally efficient modulation techniques. FQPSK [1-2] and 16-state Superposed QAM (16-SQAM [1], [3], also called FQAM) have been demonstrated to achieve higher power and spectral efficiency, and also show good error probabilities in NLA and Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN) channels. These signals contain "offset" property in quadrature (Q) channel relative to in-phase (I) channel, which prevents the envelopes of the modulated signals from

ور. بي بتبعه .

crossing zeros and allows to achieve higher power efficiency [2].

The spectral efficient modulations, however, are more vulnerable to frequency selective fading when they are transmitted through multipath propagation channels which introduce Intersymbol Interference (ISI) and degrade system performance. To improve system performance it is important for receivers to compensate for the distorted signals by using equalizer. Conventionally, the coefficients of adaptive equalizers are updated in startup period with the aid of a training sequence, which is known at both the transmitter and the receiver. However training sequence based equalization is not an attractive feature and may not be practical in many applications. So blind equalization can play a very important role in these applications.

Blind equalization relies solely on the equalized output signal and a *priori* statistical knowledge of the transmitted data constellation. Godard's algorithm (GA) [4], which belongs to CMA [5], for complex two-dimensional data communication systems is the most widely referenced technique in both industry and academia due to its simple and easy to be implemented in digital signal processing (DSP) chip. However, blind equalization algorithm converges very slowly compared to the training sequence baser: equalization which employs the *least-mean-square* (LMS) algorithm. In order to speed up the convergence process, a variety of improved techniques based on Godard's algorithm have been appeared in the literature, but most of them are applied to traditional QAM, or nonoffset QAM.

In this paper, we consider a class of offset QAM modulations as our target to study the application of blind channel equalization. Specifically, we present an efficient method to create error signal by alternatively sampling the equalized output signal at a bit rate of T_b between I and Q channels. Then we use the well-known constant modules algorithm (CMA) [4] to achieve a fast convergence speed for offset QAM modems. The goal of this paper is to investigate how the blind equalization using CMA method can be applied to the NLA RF power efficient FQPSK and FQAM systems.

FQPSK AND FQAM SYSTEMS

A. FQPSK Signals

FQPSK systems have been described in numerous references [1-2], [6], [8]. In the family of these systems, FQPSK-B, a constant envelope modulation with baseband waveform-shaped and cross-correlated between I and Q channels, has been successfully demonstrated to have both power and spectral efficiency and good bit error rate (BER) performance for several applications.

B. FQAM Signals

One of FQAM's is a 16-state offset QAM modulation and could be constructed by parallel-type with two independent FQAM (previously designed as SQAM) modulators [1], [3]. A block diagram of FQAM modulator is shown in Fig. 1. Input NRZ data D(t) enter a serial-to-parallel converter (S/P) to be split into four parallel NRZ sequences $I_1(t)$, $Q_1(t)$, $I_2(t)$, and $Q_2(t)$, which go to two FQAM modulators labeled by #1 and #2, respectively. These two FQAM modulators are identical to each other. The modulated signals $Y_1(t)$ and $Y_2(t)$ pass through hardlimiters, which approximate the characteristics of a fully saturated amplifier and also remove envelope fluctuation

÷ .

ONR SEATTLE

of the modulated signal such that the outputs of $Z_1(t)$ and $Z_2(t)$ do not suffer further degradation from non-linear amplifications. The output voltage level of HPA1 is twice that of HPA2, that is, the saturated output power of HPA1 is 6 dB higher than that of HPA2. Finally, a modulated FQAM signal is represented as

$$s(t) = \sum_{k} \{a_{k} p(t - kT_{s}) \cos(2\pi f_{c}t) + b_{k} p(t - (k - 1/2)T_{s}) \sin(2\pi f_{c}t)\}$$
(1)

where a_k , $b_k = \pm 1, \pm 3$, independent and equiprobable. T_k is symbol duration and $T_k = 4T_k$. p(t) is pulse signal of FQAM defined as [3].

Fig. 2 shows power spectrum density (PSD) of FQAM signal passing through a hardlimited nonlinear channel. Conventional 16-QAM signals cannot operate in a non-linear channel without significant spectral distortion while FQAM signals may operate in it without significant spectral distortion. Symbol Error Rate (SER) of FQAM through hardlimited and AWGN channels is given in Fig. 3, where its performance degradation is about 0.8 dB at $P(e)=10^{-6}$ compared to ideal 16-QAM signal in a linear channel.

BLIND EQUALIZATION FOR OFFSET MODEMS

A mathematical structure of adaptive equalizer for offset QAM and PSK is depicted in Fig. 4. The sampled complex input signal v(nT/2) is fed to a feedforward (FF) finite impulse response (FIR) filter with N taps spaced T/2 delay line in a part of fractionally-spaced equalizer. The delay signals are weighted by $\{W_k, i=0,1,\dots,N-1\}$ and summed. Then the summed signal is subtracted by the other summed signal from the feedback (FB) FIR filter part to produce the equalized signal \hat{I}_k . The equalized signal is split into the real and imaginary parts corresponding to the signals in I and Q channels. The quantization provides the basic decision detection. In the case of M=4, such as OQPSK, FQPSK and GMSK, a simple binary decision device is modeled. In the case of M=16, such as 16-QAM and FQAM, a 4-level quantizer is used. A equalizer is called as linear equalizer (DFE).



Fig. 1. Block diagram of parallel-type FQAM modulator [1], [3].

رد د من ا

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i$



Fig. 2. PSD of FQAM in hardlimited NLA channel. Fig. 3. SER of FQAM in hardlimited NLA channel. Ideal 16-QAM in linear channel.





رد، بينتوم

171

A. Blind Equalization for FQPSK

In the adaptive equalization, the coefficients of the equalizer are carried out by minimizing mean square error (MSE) which is difference between the equalizer output and the desired data symbol. Unfortunately, in the case of blind equalization, the desired symbol is unknown. CMA blind approach is to minimize a cost function whose minimum is equivalent to minimizing MSE and depends on the output of the equalizer and a priori knowledge of statistics of the transmitted data constellation. A general cost function proposed by Godard [4] is

> $D^{p} = E(\left|\hat{I}_{k}\right|^{p} - R_{p})^{2}$ (2)

where p is a positive and real integer, R_p is a positive real constant. Minimization of D' with respect to the equalizer coefficients can result in a coefficient update equation. Of particular importance is the case p=2, which leads to the relatively simple algorithm called as Godard's algorithm (GA) and fast convergence speed compared with p=1

$$C_{k+1} = C_k + \mu V_k \hat{I}_k (R_2 - |\hat{I}_k|^2)$$
(3)

$$R_{2} = \frac{E(|I_{k}|^{4})}{E(|I_{k}|^{2})}$$
(4)

where $I_k = a_k + jb_k$ is kth symbol in the transmitter, μ is the step size parameter and the superscript * denotes complex conjugate. In the trained equalization, the cost function is

$$CF = E(\left|I_{k} - \hat{I}_{k}\right|^{2})$$
⁽⁵⁾

Minimization of CF with respect to the equalizer coefficients leads to LMS algorithm

$$C_{i,j} = C_i + \mu V_t (I_k - \hat{I}_k)$$
(0)

where μ is the step-size parameter for LMS, I_k is the desired symbol sequence which may be either the trained sequence in startup period or the decision-directed (DD) sequence in tracking period. In startup period, I_k is known by the receiver and is replaced by decision-directed value of sgn (\hat{I}_k) in tracking period because the eye is open. Compared (3) with (6), the update equation can be written in the following general form

$$C_{k+1} = C_k + \mu e_k V_k^* \tag{7}$$

where the expression of the error signal e_k for the CMA and the LMS follows immediately from (3) and (6), respectively.

with

For the envelope normalized symbol constellations, or maximum value in I or Q channel is normalized to 1, R_2 is equal to 1 for FQPSK due to its constant envelopes. In the modified CMA, the error signal e_k is created by alternatively sampling the equalized signal \hat{I}_k between I and Q channels and is expressed as

$$e_{k} = \hat{I}_{k} (R_{2} - |\hat{I}_{k}|^{2})$$

$$= \begin{cases} \alpha_{k} (1 - \alpha_{k}^{2}), & t = kT_{s} \\ j\beta_{k} (1 - \beta_{k}^{2}), & t = kT_{s} + T_{s} / 2 \end{cases}$$
(8)

Unlike QPSK, where the error signal e_k always takes a complex value, the error signal e_k for offset QPSK may be either real or imaginary, depending on a certain time t. In the case of trained equalization, where LMS algorithm is used, the error signal e_k is

$$e_{k} = (I_{k} - \hat{I}_{k})$$

$$= \begin{cases} a_{k} - \alpha_{k}, & t = kT_{j} \\ j(b_{k} - \beta_{k}), & t = kT_{j} + T_{j}/2 \end{cases}$$
(9)

In general, the error signal in the blind equalization goes to zero very slowly than one in the trained equalization so that blind algorithm converges slowly than LMS algorithm.

B. Blind Equalization for FQAM

ŝ

FQAM could be modeled as an offset 16-QAM with Feher's patented processors [1]. Being considered constellation at sampling points, FQAM data constellation is depicted in Fig. 5. In CMA, the constant R is a function of the statistics of the symbols in the data constellation. CMA

6







Fig. 6. Learning curves for NLA RF power efficient FOPSK. LE: FF=21 taps, E./N.=20 dB.

زدر وزروج

minimizes the dispersion of the equalizer's output samples \hat{I}_{i} around a circle of R.

It should be noted that, even in the absence of noise, the error signal e_1 generally does not go to zero. This is because all tracks of points on constellation can not be represented by a constant circle of R. For the constellation of FQAM shown in Fig. 5, R_2 equals to 8.2 by using equation (4). Compared to trained equalizer, which employs the LMS algorithm to update equalizer's coefficients, blind equalization algorithm converges very slowly. Like a blind equalizer for offset FQPSK, a blind equalizer for FQAM should be switched to the decision-directed (DD) equalization mode once the eye signals are open in order to speed up the convergence process and reduce the error signal e_1 in tracking period.

COMPUTER SIMULATIONS

A. Multipath fading model

There is one fieruently referenced multipath fading model in line-of-sight microwave and aeronautical communications: three-path model [7]. Three-path fading model describes the multipath propagation in terms of a primary ray and a dominant interference ray, where a primary ray consists of two rays with a 180 phase degree between them and is characterized as a flat attenuation. Rummler used the simplest form of mathematical modeling function whose impulse response is

$$h(k) = a\delta(k) - abe^{i\theta}\delta(k-\tau)$$
⁽¹⁰⁾

where the parameters a and b control a flat loss term and the relative depth of the fading, respectively. Phase shift $\theta = \omega_n \tau$ and is chosen to set a null position from the signal carrier. ω_n , called as the notch frequency, is the angular frequency of fade minimum measured from the band center. τ is the delay difference between primary and dominant rays and usually fixed at 6.3 ns, which has been accepted by many researchers but may be chosen as any convenient value.

B. Blind Equalization for FQPSK

In our simulation, FQPSK modulated IF signals are assumed to be transmitted over multipath channels corrupted by AWGN. An IF model is chosen for a convenience to deal with an asymmetrical notch location from the signal center frequency. In the receiver, the received signal faded by multipath propagation is first coherently demodulated by a phase-locked carrier signal, then passes through Butterworth low-pass filter. The input signal to the equalizer is sampled at bit rate of T_{z} , and fed to a fractionally-spaced blind equalizer. Blind equalizer is initialized to be all zeros except for the unity center coefficient. In the simulation, a LE is used in start-up period and DFE is switched in tracking period.

First we compare CMA with LMS algorithm for NLA FQPSK. Fig. 6 shows the simulation results of MSE. As we can see that the convergence rate of CMA is not much slower than that of trained LMS. The received eye diagrams are given in Fig. 7. In Fig. 6 and Fig. 7, the parameters

7

ç.....

رور بنيوند بيرج



Fig. 7. Received eye diagrams of RF faded FQPSK signals. Notch is set at edge of bandwidth and its depth is 16 dB, $\tau = 9$ samples. LE: FF=21 taps. E₀/N₀=20 dB. (a). Before equalizer. (b) After blind equalizer.



Fig. 8. BER performance of NLA FQPSK. Notch depth is 16 dB, LE: FF=10, DFE; FF=5, FB=5.



of both a and b are set to 0.8, corresponding to a notch depth of 16 dB. τ is set to 9 samples away from the primary ray (one sample per bit), and phase shift θ is chosen to set a null at the edge of bandwidth, which corresponds to the worst case due to an asymmetrical distortion (see Fig. 11). BER of NLA FQPSK is simulated in DD mode after eye signals are open and shown in Fig. 8. where DFE outperforms LE due to avoiding noise enhancement problems.

C. Blind Equalization for FQAM

Fig. 9 shows the simulation result of learning curve for NLA FQAM system in a dynamic fading channel where changing speed of the notch position is set to $f_1/128$ by using CMA and LMS algorithm, where f_1 is symbol rate. Like blind equalization for FQPSK system, the operation mode should be switched to DD mode from CMA mode once eye signals are open, which corresponds to that MSE is less than -15 dB. Also after DD mode is chosen, a feedback part of equalizer is added to adaptive equalizer in order to cancel "postcursor ISI" caused by past data symbols. It can be seen from Fig. 9 that the coefficients of DFE are adaptively updated in DD mode to track channel changing after CMA mode is switched to DD mode at iterations (symbols) of 2048. Constellations of the received FQAM signals through NLA and dynamic fading channels at the equalizer's input and output are given in Fig. 10, where change rate of notch position is set to $f_1/128$.

To evaluate the performance degradation vs. the notch position, SER curves of FQAM using LE only at $E_b / N_a = 15$ dB are obtained by setting notch depth=12 dB (a=0.8, b=0.6), and varying ω_a . It can be seen from Fig. 11 that the notch position has much more effects on the SER around the edge-band ($f_a T_f = 0.6$). Note that 3 dB bandwidth of the receiver is normalized to $B_i T_f = 0.53$, where B_i is a 3 dB bandwidth and T_f is symbol duration.

CONCLUSIONS

An efficient blind equalization of NLA power efficient systems for FQPSK and FQAM is presented in multipath frequency selective fading environment. By employing offset sampling strategy at the equalizer's output, a modified CMA with fast convergence speed has been developed for NLA offset QAM. Computer simulation using the CMA demonstrated the great improvement in opening eye signals for FQPSK and FQAM systems.



Fig. 10. Constellations of FQAM in the receiver through a dynamic fading channel. Notch depth is 16 dB, FF=15 taps, FB=15 taps. E₀/N₀=25 dB. (a). Before equalizer. (b) After equalizer.

v£i U⊥U

REFERENCES

[1]. Feher K. et al., U.S. Patents 4,567,602; 4,644,565; 5,491,457; 4,339,724; 5,784,402, postpatent improvements and other U.S. and international patents pending. For Feher patented FQPSK and GMSK licensing and technology transfer information, contact:

FQPSK Consortium-Digcom, Inc., c/o Dr. Feher; 44685 Country Club Dr.; El Macero, CA 95618; Tel. 530-753-0738; Fax 530-753-1788; E-mail feherk@yahoo.com

[2]. Feher K., <u>Wireless Digital Communications: Modulation and Spread Spectrum Applications</u>, Prentice Hall, 1995, Chapter 4, pp. 111-250.

[3]. Seo J. S. and Feher K., "Bandwidth Compressive 16-State SQAM Moderns Through Saturated Amplifiers," <u>IEEE Trans. on Communications</u>, Vol. COM-35, No.3, March 1987, pp.339-345.0,

[4]. Godard D. N., "Self-Recovering Equalization and Carrier Tracking in Two-Dimensional Data Communication Systems," <u>IEEE Trans. on Communications</u>, Vol. COM-28, Nov. 1980, pp.1867-1875.

[5]. Treichler J. R. et al., "A New Approach to Multipath Correction of Constant Modulus Signals," IEEE Trans. on Acoustics. Speech. And Signal Processing, Vol. ASSP-31, No. 2, April 1983, pp. 459-472.

[6]. Law E.L. and Feher K., "FQPSK Versus PCM/FM for Aeronautical Telemetry Applications: Spectral Occupancy and Bit Error Probability Comparisons," <u>Proceedings of International</u> <u>Telemetering Conference (ITC'97)</u>, October 27-30, 1997, Las Vegas, NV.

[7]. Rummler W. D. et al., "Multipath Fading Channel Models for Microwave Digital Radio," <u>IEEE Communications Magazine</u>, Vol. 24, No. 11, November 1986.

[8]. Terziev G., "Experiment Hardware Research and T&E on Efficient High-Speed adaptive Blind Feher Equalizer for FQPSK and Other Systems," <u>Proceedings of International Telemetering</u> <u>Conference (ITC'99)</u>, Oct. 25-28, 1999, Las Vegas, NV.