AD\_\_\_\_\_

Award Number: DAMD17-99-1-9477

TITLE: Upgrade of LLU Proton Synchrotron and Switchyard for Performing Beam Scanning of Large Irregular Targets in Human Patients with Cancer

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Richard P. Levy, M.D. James M. Slater, M.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Loma Linda University Loma Linda, California 92354

REPORT DATE: October 2000

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release; Distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

# 20010216 124

	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				
Public reporting burden for this collection of inform the data needed, and completing and reviewing th reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Management and Burdet, Pagepurch Reduction F	ation is estimated to average 1 hour per response is collection of information. Send comments regar Services, Directorate for Information Operations a roject (0704-0188) Washington. DC 20503	<ul> <li>including the time for reviewing inst ding this burden estimate or any oth and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Hi</li> </ul>	ructions, searching exi er aspect of this collect ghway, Suite 1204, Ar	sting data sources, gathering and maintainin, ion of information, including suggestions for ington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of	
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE October 2000	3. REPORT TYPE AND Annual (1 Oct 9	DATES COVER 99 - 30 Ser	E <b>D</b> p 00)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Upgrade of LLU Proton : Performing Beam Scanni: Human Patients with Ca	Synchrotron and Switch ng of Large Irregular ncer	yard for Targets in	5. FUNDING N DAMD17-99	UMBERS -1-9477	
6. AUTHOR(S) Richard P. Levy, M.D. James M. Slater, M.D.					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N Loma Linda University	IAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMIN REPORT NU	G ORGANIZATION MBER	
Loma Linda, California 92354					
E-MAIL: rievy@dominion.llumc.edu 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING A	GENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(E	S)	10. SPONSORI AGENCY R	NG / MONITORING EPORT NUMBER	
U.S. Army Medical Research and Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-50	Materiel Command				
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			<u></u>		
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILIT	YSTATEMENT			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
Approved for public re	lease; Distribution un	IImited		· · · · ·	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Wor	ds)				
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Wor Optimal proton radiati spread places specific University Medical Cen to the synchrotron acc radiation with the ess modified design will p delivery of uniform be includes enhancing the to provide dynamic acc and developing an inte- scanning outside the t most of the major task and software requirement for the remaining task integration will follo	ds) on treatment of tumors design requirements o ter. The purpose of t elerator and switchyar ential beam characteri ermit modulation of be am intensity over an e extracted beam intens elerator energy change grated fast beam cut-o argeted volume. To da s described in the gra nt specifications and s will be completed in w.	having broad reg n the synchrotron his project is to d to permit the of stics needed to to am energy on a sp xtended extraction ity control; deve s for achieving s ff system to safe te, significant p nt proposal, includes the first half of	gional-dise n and swite o perform of delivery of treat such oill-to-spi on period. eloping so spill-to-spi ely inhibit progress has luding deve . The rese of next yea	ease patterns of chyard at Loma Lind engineering upgrade f therapeutic proto- tumors. The ill basis and The project scope ftware applications oill beam delivery; t proton beam from as been achieved on elopment of hardwar arch and design wor ar, and system	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Wor Optimal proton radiati spread places specific University Medical Cen to the synchrotron acc radiation with the ess modified design will p delivery of uniform be includes enhancing the to provide dynamic acc and developing an inte scanning outside the t most of the major task and software requirement for the remaining task integration will follo	ds) on treatment of tumors design requirements o ter. The purpose of t elerator and switchyar ential beam characteri ermit modulation of be am intensity over an e extracted beam intens elerator energy change grated fast beam cut-o argeted volume. To da s described in the gra nt specifications and s will be completed in w.	having broad reg n the synchrotron his project is to d to permit the o stics needed to t am energy on a sy xtended extraction ity control; devo s for achieving s ff system to safe te, significant p nt proposal, includes the first half o	gional-dise n and swite o perform of delivery of treat such pill-to-sp on period. eloping so spill-to-sp ely inhibit progress ha luding deve . The reset of next ye	ease patterns of chyard at Loma Linds engineering upgrades f therapeutic proto- tumors. The ill basis and The project scope ftware applications oill beam delivery; t proton beam from as been achieved on elopment of hardward arch and design work ar, and system	
<ul> <li>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Wor</li> <li>Optimal proton radiati spread places specific</li> <li>University Medical Cen to the synchrotron acc radiation with the ess modified design will p delivery of uniform be includes enhancing the to provide dynamic acc and developing an inte- scanning outside the t most of the major task and software requirement for the remaining task integration will follor</li> <li>14. SUBJECT TERMS</li> <li>proton, extraction, sy</li> </ul>	ds) on treatment of tumors design requirements o ter. The purpose of t elerator and switchyar ential beam characteri ermit modulation of be am intensity over an e extracted beam intens elerator energy change grated fast beam cut-o argeted volume. To da s described in the gra nt specifications and s will be completed in w.	having broad reg n the synchrotron his project is to d to permit the o stics needed to t am energy on a sy xtended extraction ity control; devo s for achieving s ff system to safe te, significant p nt proposal, income design documents the first half of witchyard, therap	gional-dise n and swite o perform of delivery of treat such of period. eloping sof spill-to-spill of period. eloping sof spill-to-spill trogress has luding develop the reset of next yea	ease patterns of chyard at Loma Linda engineering upgrades f therapeutic proton tumors. The ill basis and The project scope ftware applications pill beam delivery; t proton beam from as been achieved on elopment of hardward arch and design work ar, and system 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 42 16. PRICE CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Wor Optimal proton radiati spread places specific University Medical Cen to the synchrotron acc radiation with the ess modified design will p delivery of uniform be includes enhancing the to provide dynamic acc and developing an inte scanning outside the t most of the major task and software requirement for the remaining task integration will follo 14. SUBJECT TERMS proton, extraction, sy	ds) on treatment of tumors design requirements o ter. The purpose of t elerator and switchyar ential beam characteri ermit modulation of be am intensity over an e extracted beam intens elerator energy change grated fast beam cut-o argeted volume. To da s described in the gra nt specifications and s will be completed in w. 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	having broad reg n the synchrotron his project is to d to permit the o stics needed to t am energy on a sp xtended extraction ity control; devo s for achieving s ff system to safe te, significant p nt proposal, includes the first half of witchyard, therap OF ABSTRACT	gional-dise o perform o delivery of treat such of period. eloping so spill-to-sp ely inhibit progress ha luding devo The reset of next yes	ease patterns of chyard at Loma Linda engineering upgrades f therapeutic proton tumors. The ill basis and The project scope ftware applications pill beam delivery; t proton beam from as been achieved on elopment of hardware arch and design work ar, and system 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 42 16. PRICE CODE 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRAC	

Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 298-102

### Table of Contents

ъT

( Y

Cover	1
SF 298	2
Introduction	4
Body	4
Key Research Accomplishments	12
Reportable Outcomes	12
Conclusions	12
References	12
Appendices	13

### INTRODUCTION

n

The broad purpose of this and other engineering projects planned and currently underway at Loma Linda University Medical Center (LLUMC) is to enhance the efficacy of proton radiation therapy and to expand the range of diseases that can be treated by this modality. Before LLUMC built the world's first hospital-based proton treatment center in 1990, proton therapy was limited to a small set of tumor types and a non-clinical setting. During the last decade LLUMC has treated over 6000 patients with proton therapy in a hospital environment, and in the process has expanded the range of treatment protocols dramatically [1-9]. If LLUMC is to treat new types of tumors having broad regional disease spread , such as breast, lung, and lymphoma tumors, significant upgrades must be made to the facility's technology.

To deliver the proton beam to large irregular targets with high precision requires the use of rapid and precise variable energy changes. This project will provide for variableenergy enhancements of the accelerator and transport system (not for the treatment room). The engineering upgrades to be performed will permit modulation of beam energy on a spill-to-spill basis and delivery of uniform beam intensity over an extended extraction period.

These goals are stated broadly in the STATEMENT OF WORK in the original proposal as follows:

Objective 1: Upgrade the LLU proton synchrotron to maximize beam intensity and create slow extraction capabilities, producing a stable beam of uniform intensity to satisfy beam-scanning requirements.

Objective 2: Upgrade the switchyard to be capable of responding to the variable energy beam characteristics and efficiently deliver the beam to each treatment room.

The specific tasks involved in achieving these objectives are better described in the METHODS section of the original proposal than in the STATEMENT OF WORK. Therefore, the progress on this project will be described below with reference to the specific tasks outlined in the METHODS section.

### BODY

The plan of work in the original proposal was broken down into 9 tasks in the METHODS section (tasks a through i). Progress on each task will be described separately. Please refer to the Gantt chart on page 11 for project timeline statuses.

a. Enhance the synchrotron extracted beam intensity control to provide uniform extracted proton beam intensity from the accelerator with the goal of +/-5% uniformity and an intensity selection range of 20 to 1.

1. Develop an injected beam intensity control system via debuncher in the injection line.

2. Develop instrumentation for monitoring and verifying correct beam intensity within the synchrotron ring for each accelerator cycle.

(Tasks a1 and a2 have been combined into a single project and will be described as such).

The primary goal of the Injected Intensity project is to control and measure the quantity of protons injected into the accelerator every cycle. The control will be accomplished by adjusting the phase of the RF field in the debuncher cavity through a software interface that will run automatically in the background. Currently the controls for the debuncher are manual dials. Hardware will be required to allow the software to control the debuncher.

The measurements will be accomplished by sampling the signals coming from the current torroids in the injection line and ring at specific times. The injection level will then be compared in software with the level that was requested in the treatment plan. If it is not within tolerance, the spill will be aborted. Hardware will be required to condition and sample the signals from the current torroids.

### Work performed to date:

۰.

٦

- A study was completed that determined the transfer function between the current from the dipole holec and the ring frequency.
- A study was completed showing that the RF phase shift control of the debuncher was able to control the injected intensity over the required range.
- Hardware was tested to make sure that it could sample data fast enough and in the correct sequence to meet safety requirements. Based on the results we were able to specify the hardware required for the project.
- The software algorithm was tested to make sure that it could do the required calculations within the time constraints of the safety requirements.

Proof of concept studies are complete. The software and hardware prototypes of the control system are complete and testing and data collection will begin soon.

3. Develop enhancements of dipole magnet power supplies that will provide dynamic response for reducing ripple, thereby improving the stability of the extracted beam.

The objective of this task is to reduce the noise and ripple of the magnetic field in the ring dipoles to levels that enable beam stacking. This will be accomplished by upgrading the "E" supplies.

### Work performed to date:

x I

A study performed demonstrated that the noise and ripple can be dramatically reduced by synchronizing the SCR firing to a time base instead of synchronizing to multiple zero crossing points.

4. Develop enhancements of ring quadrupole magnet power supplies that will provide dynamic response for reducing ripple and improving stability.

A modified design will improve stability of the quadrupole field in the accelerator ring during extraction. This will include reducing the 400Hz ripple in the "D" supplies.

### Work performed to date:

This project will be performed in year 2.

5. Add extracted beam intensity detector for use in feedback system for enhancing control and uniformity of beam spill.

For beam scanning to function it must be able to deliver a specific dose to an area. This occurs in one of two ways: dose per time is controlled at the accelerator, or dwell time per area is controlled by the scanning magnets. The drawback of changing dwell time is that the maximum speed of the scanning magnets must be slowed whenever extraction exceeds the average rate.

The extraction intensity control monitors and controls the extraction of protons from the accelerator to control dose extracted per time. This will allow the scanning magnets to function closer to their maximum scanning frequency. Higher scanning frequency will allow for multiple scans delivering less dose in the same time. This delivers the same dose but allows for greater averaging so that small intensity fluctuations become even smaller.

This task will allow any arbitrary extraction profile command to be realized provided the extraction profile does not require bandwidth higher than the extraction intensity control system. A static command will be used, but the system is built to support future commands that change within a given layer. This will allow greater control of delivered dose.

### Work performed to date:

x 1

,

- Produced controllable extraction (not limited to specific shape).
- Suppressed extraction indefinitely (Previous record ~50ms).
- Controlled 500 ms extraction. (additional time for scanning up from 150 ms)
- Produced better signal-to-noise bandwidth product than the Fermi model.

b. Implement improved control of the 10-degree bending magnet downstream of the beam dump. Integrate a shunt magnet power supply into the beam transport control system to provide independent precision adjustment of beam transport extraction magnet, thus eliminating complex trim magnet corrections throughout the remaining transport system.

At present, a pair of bending magnets between the accelerator and the beam transport line are both controlled by the same power supply. Because the magnets having a common power source, the amount of beam-steering capability through this pair is limited. This project will add a second power supply to shunt current away from the second of the two magnets, thus allowing steering at an additional point within the pair and reducing the need for compensating steering magnets farther down the beamline.

### Work performed to date:

Testing has verified that the addition of this magnet will reduce the need for compensating magnets. The requirements and design specifications have been completed, and integration will begin soon.

c. Develop software applications to provide dynamic accelerator energy changes for achieving spill-to-spill beam delivery. Also perform interpolation of magnet ramps from small set of discrete energies to achieve continuously-variable energy capability.

In order to implement active beam scanning (Bragg-peak stacking), the PBTS must support a wide range of energies it is capable of delivering in one treatment. The purpose of this task is to modify the existing software control system to support multiple segments in one beam delivery process. The modified software pre-calculates the necessary parameters for all specified segments and distributes the information between all modules in the PBTS. The Segment List also contains multi-leaf collimator information to support future multi-leaf collimator devices.

### Work performed to date:

- Developed calibration factors interpolation algorithm based on energy, intensity, cone size, scatter 1 and 2 positions
- Developed treatment parameter distribution and verification and selection protocol

- New software is capable of:
  - Configuring one or more energies from a palette of 18000 in one delivery
  - Stacking Bragg-peaks, allowing for more precise treatments without modulator propeller; and
  - Delivering multi-leaf collimator protocols (not supported by hardware), which would allow delivery of an arbitrarily shaped fields without manufacturing of apertures.
- System supports active scanning protocols (not supported by hardware, additional software modules required to control new hardware), allowing precision treatments without passive beam degrading devices.

d. Develop an integrated fast beam cut-off system to safely inhibit proton beam from scanning outside targeted volume. Develop a hardwired system capable of delivering proton beam within approximately 100  $\mu$ sec of planned request and will allow beam delivery to be quickly interrupted as needed to end normal active beam delivery, as well as terminate beam under unsafe conditions.

Beam scanning produces a much more intense beam spot than passive scattering, requiring faster abort capability. The abort system protects the patient from unintended radiation. This "abort" signal will be produced by the selection verification portion of the safety system. The actuator will be an existing single-turn magnet that was designed for fast-abort but was never integrated into the control system.

Work performed to date:

Initial work has focused on fixing the existing fast abort device, locating its documentation and defining the interface between it and the new selection verification board. New firmware has been written for the new selection verification board, which will allow it to interface with the fast abort device.

e. Perform the synchrotron sextupole magnet installation.

This task will not be performed under this proposal. The sextupoles were purchased and installed using non-DOD funding.

f. Implement design of an accelerator control console providing ergonomic display equipment layout and integrated equipment racks and control cabling.

This task includes the modeling of the key equipment, racks, and associated hardware; establishing and documenting the new equipment layout and associated schematic changes; and procuring and installing this new equipment

Work performed to date:

This project is still in early preliminary design stages. The basic component modeling has been completed and preliminary layouts are underway.

g. Develop test and diagnostic utilities with graphical user interface to ensure proper functioning of the accelerator timing system and the beam profile detectors (Multi-Segmented Ion Chambers) in the switchyard.

In order to minimize the time to recognize, locate and fix a system failure (in a component, embedded system, or functional area), a set of diagnostic graphical user interfaces is being created for use by field service personnel.

### Work performed to date:

The following utilities have been developed:

- Detector System Diagnostic provides set of commands necessary to troubleshoot the detector system, including Recycling Integrator, Preset Scalar boards, detector state machine, proctor and monitor.
- Power Supply System Diagnostic includes commands to set, read and modify settings for arbitrary power supplies. Shows operating parameter values not otherwise available through treatment tools
- MSIC/MWIC diagnostic application troubleshoots Multi-Segment Ion Chambers and Multi-Wire Ion Chamber devices.
- Gantry Motion System Diagnostic Application allows users to issue various commands associated with gantry motion system
- Motions Systems Diagnostic Application services motion devices in the treatment nozzle (x-ray tube, scatterer 1, scatterer 2, modulation wheel propeller, etc.)
- VME bus Clock Generator Diagnostic Application programs and verifies VCG board (PBTS timing system)
- VME bus Clock Timer Diagnostic Application programs and verifies VCT board (PBTS timing system)
- VxWorks<sup>™</sup> Monitor Diagnostic Application monitors dynamic memory in VME creates
- High Voltage System Diagnostic Application provides range of commands necessary to set, monitor and troubleshoot the high voltage supplies for the detector electronics.
- Selection Verification Diagnostic Application services selection verification board
- Dipole Switch Controller Diagnostic Application services DSC board.

h. Develop an accelerator and beam transport control system configuration management tool for maintaining control settings and limits for treatment, calibration and commissioning modes of operation. The goal of the Proton Beam Therapy System Configuration Management System is to maintain control settings and limits for treatment and non-treatment modes of operation while maintaining data integrity. It provides a WIMP (Windows, Icon, Mouse, Pointer) Graphical User Interface for user-friendly interaction with the system.

The system requires user authentication and distinguishes between privileges for each of the authorized users. Authorized users have permissions to propose changes to the treatment data set or apply changes to non-treatment sets. The Clinical Configuration Manager has the responsibility of approving or rejecting proposed changes to treatment data. This system will improve reliability by providing a mechanism for maintaining data integrity.

In the current system, if the configuration tool is offline, beam delivery is prevented to any of the nozzles. The PBTS Configuration Management System will minimize downtime by eliminating this single point failure.

### Work performed to date:

. 1

۲

The requirements, design, and initial code development are complete. The project is currently in detailed testing mode.

i. Enhance the beam transport magnet power supply and electronic control system command and readback accuracy and repeatability needed for precision active beam treatments.

Effective beam scanning requires a change in the field of the dipole magnets from spill to spill. The work on this task is aimed at making the power supplies regulate current better, change current faster and readback current more accurately.

### Work performed to date:

The work done so far has allowed better response from the switchyard dipoles, better frequency response from the switchyard quadrupole supplies and better offset, gain and readback from the trim magnet supplies

# **Figure 1: Project Schedule**

		2000 2001
₽	Task Name	Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep
-	DOD 1 Project	
2	A1 and A2: Injected Intensity	
m	A3: Field Control	
4	A4: Quadrupole Field Stability	
s	A5: Extraction Intensity Monitor	
ω	B: Bend 10	
~	C: Variable Energy Software	
ω	D: Fast Abort	
ŋ	F1 and F2: Control Room Upgrades	
5	G: Diagnostic Utilities	
7	H: Configuration Software	
12	I: Switchy ard Magnents	

. .

۰.

11

### **KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS**

- Completion of proof-of-concept studies on injected intensity project.
- Completion of software and hardware prototypes for injected intensity project.
- Completion of proof-of-concept studies for noise and ripple reduction.
- Produced controllable extraction; extended extraction period.
- Significant development of control software for variable energy.
- Development of firmware for selection verification device for fast-abort system.
- Significant development of test and diagnostic utilities software.
- Improved response of switchyard dipoles, switchyard quadrupole supplies, and trim magnet supplies.

### **REPORTABLE OUTCOMES**

N/A

### CONCLUSIONS

Work on most of the tasks is underway. Requirements and design work has been completed on most of the open tasks. It is anticipated that the proposed work will be completed on schedule.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Yonemoto, L.T., et al., Phase I/II study of proton beam irradiation for the treatment of subfoveal choroidal neovascularization in age-related macular degeneration: treatment techniques and preliminary results. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys, 1996. **36**(4): p. 867-71.
- 2. Yonemoto, L.T., et al., Combined proton and photon conformal radiation therapy for locally advanced carcinoma of the prostate: preliminary results of a phase I/II study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys, 1997. **37**(1): p. 21-9.
- Lin, R., et al., Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma: Repeat Treatment with Conformal Proton Therapy--Dose-Volume Histogram Analysis. Radiology, 1999(213): p. 489-494.
- 4. Bush, D.A., et al., Proton-Beam Radiotherapy for Early-Stage Lung Cancer. Chest, 1999. **116**: p. 1313-1319.
- 5. Slater, J.D., et al., Conformal Proton Therapy for Early-stage Prostate Cancer. Urology, 1999. 53(5): p. 978-84.
- 6. Rossi, C.J., Conformal Proton Beam Therapy of Prostate Cancer--Update on the Loma Linda University Medical Center Experience. Strahlentherapie und Onkologie, 1999. 175(Suppl. 11): p. 82-84.

- 7. Hug, E.B. and J.D. Slater, *Proton Radiation Therapy for Pediatric Malignancies: Status Report.* Strahlentherapie und Onkologie, 1999. **175**(Suppl. 11): p. 89-91.
- 8. Hug, E.B., et al., Proton radiation therapy for chordomas and chondrosarcomas of the skull base. J. Neurosurg., 1999. 91: p. 432-439.
- 9. Yonemoto, L.T., et al., Dose Response in Treatment of Subfoveal Choridal Neovascularization in Age-Related Macular Degeneration: Results of a Phase I/II Dose-Escalation Study Using Proton Radiotherapy. Journal of Radiosurgery, 2000. 3(1): p. 47-.

### APPENDICES

Appendix A: PBTS Configuration Management System Software Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (Document #3001496)

Appendix B: A, B, C type power supply analysis (Document #2001779 Rev B)

The appendices referenced above are attached to the end of this document. Additional requirements specifications, design documents, and other analyses supported by this project are available upon request, including:

- Injected Intensity Software Development Plan (Document #3001147)
- Injected Intensity Software Requirement (Document #3001148)
- Injected Intensity Software Test Plan (Document #3001149)
- Injected Intensity Software Design Document (Document #3001150)
- Injected Intensity Control Sub-System Requirement Specification (Document #2001694)
- Injected Intensity Instrumentation and Beam Inhibit Sub-System Requirement Specification (Document #2001694)
- *White Paper*: Inquiry For a Revised Configuration Management System. (Addresses the needs of a PBTS configuration management system).
- *White Paper*: Database Study. (Identifies the available options for data storage and the pros and cons of those options).
- PBTS Configuration Management System SRS (Document #3001447)
- PBTS Configuration Management System STP (Document #3001485)
- PBTS Configuration Management System HDD (Document #3001486)
- PBTS Configuration Management System SDD (Document #3001514)
- High level variable energy system software requirements specification
- Revised software requirements specifications for existing modules
- High level software design for variable energy system
- Revised detailed design documentation for existing modules
- Implementation (for newly created modules and revised code for existing ones)
- Test Plan, Test Case Specification, Test Scripts and Test validation reports for entire variable energy related software modules

Principal Investigator: Dr. Richard P. Levy

• '

. .

# **APPENDIX A**



### Department Of Defense

PBTS Configuration Management System

# **Software Failure Modes and Effects Analysis**

Document No.: 3001496 Revision: A

Title: Software Failure Modes and Effects Analysis Document No.: 3001496 Revision: A

Proprietary

### Proprietary Optivus Technology, Inc.

# **Revision History**

•
· .· .

**1** 

1, 1

### Proprietary **Optivus Technology, Inc.**

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	PURPOSE	1
2.0	SCOPE OF DOCUMENT	1
3.0	REFERENCE DOCUMENTS	1
4.0	DEVELOPING THE FAILURE MODES AND EFFECTS CATALOG	1
5.0	OCCURRENCE LEVELS AND SEVERITY CATEGORIES	1
6.0	FAILURE MODE MITIGATION	5
7.0	SUMMARY	5

Title: Software Failure Modes and Effects Analysis Document No.: 3001496 Revision: A

• • •

, **''** 

i

### 1.0 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to evaluate the safety aspects of the Proton Beam Treatment System (PBTS) configuration system software through a failure modes and effects analysis. A safety hazard can be described as the probability of an undesirable event with the magnitude of foreseeable consequences. Foreseeable consequences may include damage to equipment or reputation, loss of money, injury to patients or personnel, etc. The seriousness of these consequences may range from negligible to catastrophic.

### **2.0 Scope of Document**

We'll first provide a table listing failure mode probabilities and then a table listing a hazard's possible severity. Then we'll identify possible failure modes and list their probability, severity level and how they can be mitigated.

### **3.0 Reference Documents**

To support this failure modes and effects analysis, the following reference documents should provide a better understanding of PBTS configuration management software and the safety aspects of the configuration system.

• PBTS Configuration Management System Software Requirements Specification, Document #3001447

• PBTS Configuration Management System High Level Design, Document #3001486

### 4.0 Developing the Failure Modes and Effects Catalog

This catalog is a qualitative form of analysis that denotes possible failure modes associated with the PBTS. The catalog contains the following elements:

- Identification of failure modes and their associated root causes
- Evaluates the effects of each failure mode towards patients and operating personnel
- Determines the probability of occurrence level for each failure mode
- Categorizes each failure mode in regards to level of severity.

Failure modes are categorized by functional area. Some failure modes are common in the software regardless of functional area and shall be listed first. These are generally memory allocation failures, out of tolerance conditions, etc.

### **5.0 Occurrence Levels and Severity Categories**

1

# Proprietary **Optivus Technology, Inc.**

Each of the failure modes was evaluated for probability of occurrence levels and severity categories. This evaluation process is summarized in the below.

Level	Failure Mode Probability Of Occurrence	Failure Mode Occurrence Description
А	Frequent	Often experienced or likely to occur
В	Moderate	Several times experienced or occurring
С	Occasional	Sometimes experienced or occurring
D	Remote	May be experienced or may occur
Е	Unlikely	Unlikely to be experienced or to occur
F	Impossible	Practically impossible

Category	Hazard Severity	Failure Mode Effect Description
I	Catastrophic	Causes death, total disability, loss of company image, detrimental financial loss, system loss
II	Critical	Causes severe injury with partial disability, severe loss of image, large financial loss, partial system loss
III	Marginal	Causes injury, transient loss of image, indirect financial loss, system damage
IV	Negligible	Causes minor injury, minor image or financial loss, minor system damage

Many of the failure modes may come from base classes and support classes whose failure modes have already been identified in the PBTS Software Failure Modes and Effects Analysis. They will not be re-stated here.

Failures caused by operating system or miscellaneous programming errors

. . .

Proprietary

Failure Mode	Cause	Level	Effect	Cat	Mitigation	Revised Level/Cat
memory allocation failed	memory full	E	inability to proceed	IV	- garbage collection - smart memory allocation schemes for Message, ByteString classes	
memory allocation failed	memory fragmentation	A	inability to proceed	IV	regular system maintenance	E/IV
file system error	OS	D	system halts	II	code review, code test	E/IV
division by 0	programming error	D	math exception	III	code review, test	E/IV
memory leaks	programming error	С	segmentation fault	IV	code review, test	E/IV
system sluggish	exhaustive error detection/ correction	В	thrashing	III	limit error checking to critical errors only. focus on recovery (vs. correction)	D/III

Failure modes caused by user error

Failure Mode	Cause	Level	Effect		Mitigation	Revised Level/Cat
tolerance values are incorrect	user enters incorrect tolerances	С	possible hazardous system state wrong dose delivered hardware tolerances exceeded	Π	protocol with checks for changing configurations; compare configuration values against hardwired hardware limits	D/III
values specified are out of tolerance	user specifies out of tolerance value	B	possible injury to patient and or personnel possible damage to equipment	II	software will compare all values with baseline to ensure tolerances are met	E/IV
invalid data is not trapped	user enters invalid data	B	application locks up or seg fault detected		trap invalid data and inform user	E/IV

Database Failure Modes

Title: Software Failure Modes and Effects Analysis	3		

Document No.: 3001496 Revision: A

Failure Mode	Cause	Level	Effect	Cat	Mitigation	Revised Level/Cat
Database Server crashes	network problem internal error, server stops responding	В	treatment and tuning delayed until back online	II	Do not rely on database for retrieval; <u>provide file system</u> <u>storage where</u> <u>processes will</u> <u>retrieve</u> <u>configuration data</u>	E/IV
Client cannot connect to database server	network error	С	treatment and tuning delayed	III	Do not rely on database for retrieval; <u>provide file system</u> <u>storage where</u> <u>processor will</u> <u>retrieve</u> <u>configuration data</u>	E/IV
Database is corrupted	server crashed during an update to data	С	user changes not saved data may not be reliable	III	maintain backup of database at least to last saved configuration	E/IV
Failure to updated field	field is in more than one location	В	may treat with outdated information	Π	normalize database	E/IV

Failure Modes when generating files

Failure Mode	Cause	Level	Effect	Cat	Mitigation	Revised Level/Cat
Database and Reports are out of sync	Report was never generated	С	treatments proceed with possibly outdated configurations	III	compare data and time stamp of database and file	E/IV
Generated report is corrupted	Not all data was written to file(s)	С	treatment and tuning delayed	п	<u>checksum verifying</u> <u>files against</u> database	E/IV
Report not generated	No space left on device	D	treatment may be delayed	II	Hard drives that exceed our memory needs	E/IV
NFS Error while generating report	Network error	D	treatment may be delayed	п		

Title: Software Failure Modes and Effects Analysis Document No.: 3001496 Revision: A

4

### 6.0 Failure Mode Mitigation

The mitigation process evaluates the failure modes and effects and establishes a form of corrective action to reduce their risk. Both acceptable and unacceptable failure modes are mitigated to ensure a safer system.

### 7.0 Summary

The primary purpose of the failure modes and effects analysis is to determine the failure modes and reduce their associated risks as much as possible. Using system requirements and software architecture, the failure modes of each functional area, as well as the system as a whole, can be identified. This failure modes and effects analysis is then used during detail design to insure mitigation is included in the design and implementation.

Proprietary

1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Principal Investigator: Dr. Richard P. Levy

# **APPENDIX B**

Doc # 2001779 Rev:B

# A,B,C type power supply analysis

دي دار و م

Used for "tuning" an instance of an ABC type power supply.

This is a Mathcad generated document.

File: Vert. Trim Supply type: A Magnet type: Sextupole magnet. Date: 5/17/00

Author: Greg Jenkins and Darrin Taylor

Optivus Technology, Inc.

# A,B,C type power supply analysis

The INVERPOWER supplies used in the accelerator are 40 Khz switching supplies. These supplies use multiple converter banks in parallel to provide the total power. Each bank is a seperate two transistor "Buck" type forward converter. The supplies use current mode feedback in the inner loop and voltage and current feedback in the outer loops. This MathCad document will provide an analysis to tune the feedback loops for a particular type and instance of supply. This analysis assumes that all modifications have been made to the MPI board to allow true current mode feedback and fix other problems uncovered during this investagation (see related documents). The design criteria for the compensators also assumes inductive loads. For a particular type of supply and magnet, update all varables and identify file, supply, magnet and date on cover sheet and the modification report page at the end of this document. The modification report can then be used for updating the supply. <u>Power supply parameters</u>

Max Current output	I <sub>m</sub> := 200
Max Voltage output	V <sub>m</sub> := 10
Control voltage range	V <sub>c</sub> := 10
<u>Filter and load model</u>	

Load resistance and inductance	R <sub>0</sub> := 35.7·mΩ	L <sub>o</sub> := 1.6·mh
Filter inductor resistance and inductance	$R_{f} = 5 \cdot m\Omega$	$L_f := 70 \cdot uh$
Filter capacitor resistance and capacitance	$R_{esr} := 20 \cdot m\Omega$	$C_f := 6100 \cdot uf$
Input line filter inductance and capacitance	$L_i := 1 \cdot mh$	C <sub>i</sub> := 3600∙uf

Transformer	mode	
-------------	------	--

Note: Type A supply has Cf=6100uf and B & C supply Cf=2000uf Type A & B Li=1mh and Ci=3600uf. Type C supply Li=1.3mh and Ci=10800uf

Transformer and line primary circuit resistance	$R_p := 1.8 \cdot \Omega$
Current transformation ratio	$N_i = \frac{20}{3}$
Reflected impedance transformation ratio	$N_z := N_i^2$

Converter model Note: Type A supply is Ni=20/3 and B & C supply Ni=16/3

Load impedance and admitance	$Z_0(s) := R_0 + s \cdot L_0$	$Y_0(s) := \frac{1}{Z_0(s)}$
Filter capacitor impedance and admitance	$Z_c(s) := R_{esr} + \frac{1}{s \cdot C_f}$	$Y_{c}(s) := \frac{1}{Z_{c}(s)}$
Load and capacitor in parallel	$Y_{co}(s) := Y_{c}(s) + Y_{o}(s)$	$Z_{co}(s) := \frac{1}{Y_{co}(s)}$
Filter inductor impedance	$Z_{l}(s) := R_{f} + s \cdot L_{f}$	
Total secondary impedance	$Z_{s}(s) = Z_{co}(s) + Z_{l}(s)$	
Reflected primary impedance	$Z_{p}(s) := Z_{s}(s) \cdot N_{z}$	
Total primary impedance and admitance	$Z_{t}(s) := R_{p} + Z_{p}(s)$	$Y_t(s) := \frac{1}{Z_t(s)}$

1

### 

# Inner current loop foward path

### Pulse width modulator

The PWM gain is determined by the peak to peak amplitude of the clock signal at the comparitor inside the UC3846 chip. Measured at pin 1 of IC46:

The clock is divided down by the following resisters:  $R182 = 470 \cdot \Omega$   $R181 = 1 \cdot k\Omega$ R147 := 390

The divide ratio is:

$$d_{ck} := \frac{1}{R182 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{R181} + \frac{1}{R147}\right) + 1}$$

If both outputs of the UC3846 chip are used this creates full frequency (35 to 45 Khz) alternate bank firing at maximum duty cycle (80 to 90%). If only one output is used then only half frequency simultaneous firing can occure with reduced gain at half the maximum duty cycle. Check wiring of supply and set b=1 for both or b=2 for one output uNote: A supply is b=2 and B & C supply is b=1

The maximum duty cycle depends on the duty cycle of the  $t_{on} := 22.52 \cdot us$ clock signal Measure the rise time (ton) and the fall time (toff)  $t_{off} = 4.41 \cdot us$ (See "Oscillator analysis" at end of this document to calculate these values)

 $t_{ck} := t_{on} + t_{off}$   $f_{ck} := \frac{1}{t_{ck}}$   $dc_{max} := \frac{t_{on}}{t_{on} + t_{off}}$ 

K<sub>pwm</sub> := 3

 $t_s := t_{ck} \cdot b$   $f_s := \frac{1}{t}$ 

The clock frequency and maximum duty cycle are:

The PWM chip has an amplifier with a gain of 3 that both clock and feedback nass through Taking into account the 3X gain, the final gain with duty cycle limiting is:

Sampling

The sampling period and frequency is:

The sampling effect is modeled with the following transfer function: (The main significance is the phase lag the sampling contributes to the loop)

$$G_{s}(s) := \frac{1 - e^{-t_{s} \cdot s}}{t_{s} \cdot s}$$



Sampler transfer function

 $f_{ck} = 37.133 \cdot khz$ dc <sub>max</sub> = 0.836

b := 2

$$G_{pwm} := \left(\frac{1}{ck_{pp} \cdot d_{ck} \cdot K_{pwm}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{dc_{max}}{b}\right) \qquad G_{pwm} = 0.212$$

$$f_{s} = 18.567 \cdot khz$$

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $ck_{pp} := 1.76 \cdot volts$ 

# Inner current loop foward path

### Input line

The power supply input is a 3 phase source that is full wave (peak) rectified and filtered. Line to neutral is 120 volts rms making 208 volts rms line to line. This is modeled as a gain that is pulse width modulated.

Line:  $G_{\text{line}} = 120 \cdot \sqrt{3} \cdot \text{volts}$  Three phase peak rectifier (gain):  $G_{\text{peak}} = \sqrt{2}$ 

DC voltage to the converters is:

 $G_{line} \cdot G_{peak} = 293.939 \cdot volts$ 

The input line filter transfer function is:

### Foward path gain

$$Z_{i}(s) := s \cdot L_{i} + R_{p}$$

$$Y_{i}(s) := s \cdot C_{i} + \frac{1}{Z_{p}(s)}$$

$$G_{l}(s) := \frac{1}{Z_{i}(s) \cdot Y_{i}(s) + 1}$$

The following fudge factor was created to match the model with masurments made on a power supply. This variation is attributed to energy storage and loss in the primary and secondary snubber circuits, transformer and inductor core losses and parasatics affecting the inner loop foward path (converter) gain.

$$ff = Fudge factor$$
  $Gi_{ff} = 1.13$ 

The output is a current converted from a modulated voltage by the total primary addmitance of the converter. Total inner loop foward path gain is:

**Open loop** DC voltage to current gain from pin 7 of PWM chip to primary current is:

$r_{i}(s) = 0$	pwm <sup>.0</sup>	s(s).01	ff <sup>.0</sup>	line <sup>.0</sup>	peak' 1	t(s)

	$ G_i(.001i)  = 19.497$
In DBs:	$M(G_{i}(.001i)) = 25.799 \cdot DB$



### Inner loop foward path Transfer function

# Inner current loop feedback path

Primary	current
I I IIII AL Y	Current

sensor The output transformer prymary current is fed back from a 200:1 current sense transformer with a turns ratio of This current is transformed to a voltage by the impedence of two 4.7 ohm resistors in series creating a current sense resistance of Q 1 ohme Feedback gain factor Ki

There is a current transformer for each bank in a particular supply. These are unity gain buffered with differental input op-amps and summed togather at IC40. The gain Ki of IC40 is set by resistor R160 so Ki = R160/R163 with  $R_{163} = 1 \cdot k\Omega$ R163 fixed:

R160 is used to set the feedback path gain and therefore establishes the closed loop gain from pin 7 of the PWM chip to the primary of the output transformer. A 3 volt range at pin 7 should correspond with the max current out as transfromed from primary to secondary. This current is either load limited by the max voltage or current limited by the max current of the supply. Max current with this load is:  $\frac{V_m}{V_m} = 280.112 \cdot amps$ 

Max current with this load is: Ro

 $I_m = 200 \cdot \text{amps}$  Secondary current or:  $I_m \cdot \frac{1}{N_s} = 30 \cdot \text{amps}$ Limit to:

Therefore the closed loop gain should be equal or greater than:

(R160 will be calculated below to extablish this gain) Current feedback voltage divider and gain

The feedback current is voltage divided by the same resistors as the clock divider with a divide ratio of Feedback path gain

Again a feedback path fudge factor was needed to match the model with the supply. We do not have a good excuse for this other than both factors were hahaan Closed loop DC gain

R160 can now be calculated to establish the desired closed loop gain Gicx. Define Gix as the foward path gain at DC with a 10% low line condition:

 $K_{i} := \frac{G_{ix} - G_{icx}}{N_{s} \cdot R_{s} \cdot d_{i} \cdot K_{pwm} \cdot Hi_{ff} \cdot G_{icx} \cdot G_{ix}} \qquad K_{i} = 1.564$ Then the gain of IC40 must be: R160 :=  $K_1 \cdot R163$  R160 = 1.564 ·  $k\Omega$  R160 := 1.6 ·  $k\Omega$  $K_i := \frac{R160}{R163}$ Therefore calculate R160: and select standard value.

By superposition the feedback passes through the 3X gain (Kpwm) amplifier inside the chip also. The total inner current loop feedback path gain is:  $H_{i}(s) = N_{s} \cdot R_{s} \cdot K_{i} \cdot d_{i} \cdot K_{pwm} \cdot H_{i} ff$ 

At low line voltage define:	$G_{iv}(s) := G_i(s) \cdot .9$	The <b>close</b> d	$G_{ix}(s) = \frac{G_{ix}(s)}{1 - \frac{G_{ix}($
		loop gain is	$G_{ic}(s) + 1 + G_{ix}(s) + H_i(s)$
Therefore the low frequency low line voltage then meets	y closed loop gain at the requirements	then:	$G_{ic}(.001i) = 9.903$
stated above:		In DBs:	$M(G_{ic}(.001i) = 19.915 \cdot DB$

$$G_{icx} = \frac{I_{m}}{3 \cdot \text{volts } N_{i}} \qquad G_{icx} = 10$$
  
$$H_{i} := \left[\frac{1}{R181 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{R182} - \frac{1}{R147}\right) + 1}\right]$$

 $N_s := \frac{1}{200}$ 

 $R_s := 2 \cdot 4.7 \cdot \Omega$ 

$$d_{i} := \left[\frac{1}{R181 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{R182} - \frac{1}{R147}\right) + 1}\right]$$

$$H_{i} ff := 1.11$$

$$G_{ix} = |G_i(.001 \cdot i)| \cdot 0.9$$

Primary current

4

# Inner current loop transfer functions

### Inner loop open





ľ<sub>n</sub>

5

# Voltage loop foward path

### <u>Voltage loop "plant"</u>

To design the compensator it is usefull to look at the "plant" to be compensated. In this case the plant is made up of the inner closed loop function. The current transformation ratio of the transformer, and the current to voltage transformation impeadance of the filter capacitor and load in parallel. Plant transfer function:  $P_{v}(s) := G_{ic}(s) \cdot N_{i} \cdot Z_{co}(s)$ 



This pole will be used to set the zero of the compensator.

Observation only: there is a pole/zero cancellation by the product of Gic and Zco at (again only aproximated):

$$\frac{1}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot \sqrt{L_0 \cdot C_f}} = 50.944 \cdot hz$$

### Compensator design

The function of the compensator is to provied high loop gain at low frequencies to reduce static error and provide a zero to help cancel the Lf, Cf pole shown above. A pole will be placed above the zero to attinuate high frequency noise.

Select R210 for the required open loop gain for crossover at 90 degrees phase margin and C108 for both convenience and reasonable R247 value:

**R247** will be calculated to cancel the Lf, Cf pole in the plant:  $R247 = \frac{1}{C108} \sqrt{L_f C_f}$  R247 = 0.653 · k $\Omega$ Select standard value: Select standard value:

C67 will be calculated to be some factor above the zero: (factor not critical but C68 = C67 must hald) The resulting transfer function of the compensator is:

 $C67 = \frac{C108}{10}$   $C67 = 100 \cdot nf$ 1  $\mathbf{C}$  (s) =

$$\frac{C_{v}(s) - \frac{1}{\left(s \cdot C67 - \frac{1}{R247 + \frac{1}{s \cdot C108}}\right) \cdot R210}}{\frac{C67 - C108}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot C67 \cdot C108 \cdot R247}} = 2.633 \cdot khz$$

The "flat portion" gain is:

of this function is:

The zero and pole frequency

 $\frac{1}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot C108 \cdot R247} = 239.331 \cdot hz$ 

# Voltage loop foward path

Compensator transfer function



The total foward path consist of the compensator, inner loop (closed), transformer current transformation ratio, and a current to voltage transformation impeadance consisting of the filter capacitor and load (ie the plant as described above).

 $G_{v}(s) := C_{v}(s) \cdot G_{ic}(s) \cdot N_{i} \cdot Z_{co}(s)$ Or:  $G_{v}(s) := C_{v}(s) \cdot P_{v}(s)$ 

# Voltage loop foward path transfer function



### Feedback path gain

ų.,

4

At low frequencies the foward path gain is high so the feedback path gain sets the closed loop gain. In this case its a constant set by the control voltage to maximum ouput voltage ratio.

$$K_v := \frac{V_c}{V_m}$$
 Feedback gain is:  $K_v = 1$   
Closed loop gain is then:  $\frac{1}{V_v} = 1$ 

$$\frac{1}{K_v} = 1$$





### Current loop "plant"

Again to design the compensator it is usefull to look at the "plant" to be compensated. In this case the plant is made up of the voltage closed loop function and the voltage to current transformation admittance of the load.

### Plant transfer function:

$$P_{c}(s) := G_{vc}(s) \cdot Y_{0}(s)$$





This pole can be canceled by the zero of the compensator.

### Compensator design

The function of the compensator is to provied high loop gain at low frequencies to reduce static error and provide a zero to help cancel the Ro, Lo pole shown above. A pole will be placed above the zero to attinuate high frequency noise.

Select R222 for the required open loop gain for crossover at 90 degrees phase margin and C107 for both convenience and reasonable value of R246:

(Note: R196 = R222) R222 :=  $20 \cdot k\Omega$  $C107 := 1 \cdot uf$ R246 :=  $\frac{L_o}{C107 \cdot R_o}$  R246 = 44.818 • kΩ R246 := 44.2 • kΩ R203 will be calculated to cancel the Ro, Lo pole in the plant: C93 :=  $\frac{C107}{10}$  C93 = 100 •nf C93 will be calculated to be some factor above C93 := 100 · nf the zero: (not critical)  $C_{c}(s) := \frac{1}{\left| \frac{1}{s \cdot C93 + \frac{1}{R246 + \frac{1}{s \cdot C107}}} \right| \cdot R222}$ The resulting transfer function of the compensator is:  $\frac{C93 - C107}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot C93 \cdot C107 \cdot R246} = 39.609 \cdot hz$ The zero and pole frequency  $= 3.601 \cdot hz$ of this function is: 2·π·C107·R246  $\frac{R246}{R222} = 2.21$  In DBs: M  $\frac{R246}{R222} = 6.888 \cdot DB$ The "flat portion" gain is:

# Current loop foward path

Compensator transfer function



The total foward path consist of the compensator, voltage loop (closed) and the voltage to current transformation admitance of the load (ie the plant as described above).

$$G_{c}(s) := C_{c}(s) \cdot G_{vc}(s) \cdot Y_{o}(s)$$
  
Or: 
$$G_{c}(s) := C_{c}(s) \cdot P_{c}(s)$$



### Current loop foward path transfer function

### Feedback path gain

· •

At low frequencies the foward path gain is high so the feedback path gain sets the closed loop gain. In this case its a constant set by the control voltage to maximum ouput current ratio.

$$K_c := \frac{V_c}{I_m}$$
 Feedback gain is:  $K_c = 0.05$   
Closed loop gain is then:  $\frac{1}{K_c} = 20$ 

# Current loop transfer functions



# Stability plots

Time domain analysis showed that 90 degree phase margins gave good step response so stability should be good. Here is the Nyquist plots for all three loops for a quick check. Nyquist chart

scale := ceil
$$\left(\frac{db}{20}\right)$$
 Py(x) := if $\left(|x| > 10^{-\text{scale}}, \log\left(|x| \cdot 10^{\text{scale}}\right), 10^{-\text{scale}}\right) \cdot \sin(\arg(x))$  m := 0.. scale 2 a := 0,  $\frac{2 \cdot \pi}{36} \dots 2 \cdot \pi$   
Px(x) := if $\left(|x| > 10^{-\text{scale}}, \log\left(|x| \cdot 10^{\text{scale}}\right), 10^{-\text{scale}}\right) \cdot \cos(\arg(x))$  g(a, m) := e<sup>i</sup> · a·m

This is a polor plot of the open loop gains of each feedback loop. Unlike most Nyquist plots the magnitude scale on this plot is logrithmic with each circle representing 20 DBs (x10) or one log cycle. The -1 gain point (0 DBs @ 180 degrees) is marked with an X. If any feedback loop gain line encircles this point the loop will be unstable. The points of the magnitude scale (circles) are 10 degrees apart so the phase margin can be estimated by the nimber of degrees between the -1 mark (X) and where the open loop gain line crosses the -1 gain circle. The gain margin is the magnitude of the distance between the -1 mark and where the gain line crosses the horizontal axis on the negitive side.



 $Px(G_{io(s_n)}), Px(G_{vo(s_n)}), Px(G_{co(s_n)})), Re(g(a,m)), -scale$ 

## Over current shutdown

The PWM chip (UC3846) has an over current shut down input (SD) on pin 16. At a voltage of 350mv on this pin the chip will cut off the pulse outputs. IC40 pin 6 is connected to pin 16 through a voltage divider with a divide ratio, including internal 6K resistor, of:

<u>د ان م</u>

R165 := 20·k
$$\Omega$$
 R220 := 6.19·k $\Omega$  d sd :=  $\frac{1}{\text{R165} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\text{R220}} + \frac{1}{6 \cdot k\Omega}\right)}$ 

Working backwards around the loop, shut down will occure at a total transformer primary current (the sum of all banks firing simultaneously) of:

$$I_{sdp} := (350 \cdot mv) \cdot \frac{1}{d_{sd}} \cdot \frac{1}{N_s \cdot R_s \cdot K_i} \qquad I_{sdp} = 30.552 \cdot amps$$

This translates to a total transformer secondary current of:  $I_{sds} := I_{sdp} \cdot N_i$   $I_{sds} = 203.681 \cdot amps$ 

The switching (Mosfet) transistors are rated at 44 amps continuous current. Peak pulse current rating is 165 amps, see data sheet. "A" type supplies use one to carry the full primary current. The B and C supplies use two in parallel for 88 amps max current. The transformer primary and secondary current, for each bank, required by the load depends on the number of banks firing at the same time. The full output current requirements for each supply type and mode (simultaneous/alternate) option are:

Type/current mode	Total # banks	# firing simultaneously	Secondary current per bank	Primary current ner hank
* A/200amps simultaneous	2	2	 100 amps	$100 \cdot \frac{3}{20} = 15 \cdot \text{amps}$
A/200amps alternate	2	1	$200 \mathrm{\ amps}$	$200 \cdot \frac{3}{20} = 30 \cdot \text{amps}$
B/250 amps simultaneous	2	2	125 amps	$125 \cdot \frac{3}{16} = 23.438 \cdot \text{amps}$
* B/250 amps alternate	2	1	$250 \mathrm{~amps}$	$250 \cdot \frac{3}{16} = 46.875 \cdot \text{amps}$
* C/750 amps alternate	6	3	250 amps	$250 \cdot \frac{3}{16} = 46.875 \cdot \text{amps}$

The highest current is the B and C type supply with two transistors using 46.8 amps out of 88 max. Next is the A supply running in alternate mode using 30 amps out of 44 amps max (single transistor). The other cases are 50% or less of maximum continuous currents. Any one bank is limited to about 45% maximum duty cycle independent of simultaneous or alternate mode firing. Therefore the transistors are pulsed at 45% max duty cycle, so the use of continuous current ratings is very conservative.

The \* represents the way the three supplies are currently wired to operate.

# PWM chip Oscillator analysis

The UC1846 PWM chip uses an internal oscillator circuit to create a ramp signal. This is compared with the error signal at pin 7 to create the pulse width modulated output signal. The varations in perameters from chip to chip make it hard to calculate the frequency and "dead time" for a particular chip. For this reason measured values are used in the above analysis. The following analysis can be used for calculated values if needed but the masured results may vary due

**Theory**: A constant current is used to charge a capacitor Ct (C66 pin 8) to an upper threshold. Then a discharge current is switched on until a lower threshold is reached. The upper and lower threshold is not specified in the data sheet and will vary from chip to chip but was masured to have a delta of about 1.76 volts. "Deadtime" is the discharge time and a sync signal on pin 10 has a width equal to this time. The charge current is set by resistor Rt (R143 pin 9) through a current mirror that is referenced to Vref (pin 2) at 5.1 volts. The voltage at pin 9 across Rt is two diod drops (2\*Vd) down from Vref and sets the charge current. During discharge this current subtracts from the discharge current. The discharge current Id is shown in the data sheet as 12 ma but was masured in one case as 7.3 ma. Oscillator frequency is not critical (40Khz +-5 will do) but deadtime and therefore maximum duty cycle must be known to set the inner loop gain.

Rt :=

Id := '

Use or set the following values: Notes: Rt is R143 and Ct is C66. delta V is the peak to peak clock signal measured at pin 8. The voltage across Rt is Vr:

19 A.

) set the I	nner loop gain	L <b>.</b>
3.01∙kΩ	Ct := 15.35·nf	$\Delta V := 1.76 \cdot \text{volts}$
7.324·ma	Vd = 0.745	

Vref := 5 1.volte

er	 5.1.	voits	

The voltage across Rt is Vr:	$Vr := Vref - 2 \cdot Vd$	Vr = 3.61
Charge current Ion is:	Ion := $\frac{Vr}{Rt}$	Ion = 1.199 •ma
Discharge current Ioff is:	Ioff ∶= Id – Ion	Ioff = 6.125 •ma
Rise time ton is:	ton := $\Delta V \cdot \frac{Ct}{Ion}$	$ton = 22.526 \cdot us$
Fall time or deadtime toff is:	$toff := \Delta V \cdot \frac{Ct}{Ioff}$	toff = 4.411 •us
Total period is:	t := ton + toff	t = 26.937 •us
Oscillator frequency is:	$f:=\frac{1}{t}$	f = 37.124 •khz
Deadtime toff sets the maximum duty cycle to:	$dc_{\max} := \frac{ton}{ton - toff}$	dc $_{\rm max} = 0.836$

To match a measured supply with this calculation measure Vref at pin 2 and set above then measure Vr at pin 9 and set here. Then Vd will be:

Measured Vr: 
$$Vr = 3.61$$
  $Vd = \frac{Vref - Vr}{2}$   $Vd = 0.745$ 

Then Ct can be adjusted for ton and Id can be adjusted for toff as measured.

Or just calculate thus:Measured ton:ton = 22.526·us
$$Ct := \frac{ton \cdot Ion}{\Delta V}$$
 $Ct = 15.35 \cdot nf$ Measured toff:toff = 4.411·usId :=  $\frac{\Delta V \cdot Ct}{toff} + Ion$ Id = 7.324 \cdot ma

These values can then be plugged in above to get you in the ballpark. A side note, the data sheet formulas did not seem to be very accurate.

# Variables and constants used

### Power supply parameters

- I<sub>m</sub> Max Current output
- v<sub>m</sub> Max Voltage output
- v<sub>c</sub> Control voltage range

### Filter and load model

- R<sub>o</sub> Load resistance
- L<sub>o</sub> Load inductance
- R<sub>f</sub> Filter inductor resistance
- L<sub>f</sub> Filter inductor inductance
- R<sub>esr</sub> Filter capacitor effective series resistance
- C<sub>f</sub> Filter capacitor capacitance

### Transformer model

- R<sub>p</sub> Transformer and line primary circuit resistance
- N<sub>i</sub> Current transformation ratio
- N<sub>z</sub> Reflected impeadance transformation ratio

### Converter model

- $Z_{o}(s)$  Load impedance
- $Y_{o}(s)$  Load admittance
- $Z_{c}(s)$  Filter capacitor impedance and admittance
- $Y_{c}(s)$  Filter capacitor admittance
- $Y_{co}(s)$  Load and capacitor in parallel admittance
- $Z_{co}(s)$  Load and capacitor in parallel impedance
- Z<sub>l</sub>(s) Filter inductor impedance
- Z<sub>s</sub>(s) Total secondary impedance
- $Z_{p}(s)$  Reflected primary impedance
- $Z_{t}(s)$  Total primary impedance
- Y<sub>t</sub>(s) Total primary admittance

### Pulse width modulator

- $_{ck_{\,\text{DD}}}$   $\,$  PWM  $\,$  peak to peak clock amplitude  $\,$
- b PWM "outputs used" switch
- d<sub>ck</sub> PWM clock divider ratio
- κ<sub>pwm</sub> 3X amp gain in PWM chip
- t on Clock rise time period
- t<sub>off</sub> Clock fall time period
- t<sub>ck</sub> Total clock period
- f<sub>ck</sub> Clock frequency

### <u>Sampling</u>

- t<sub>s</sub> Sampler period
- f <sub>s</sub> Sampler frequency
- $G_{s}(s)$  Sampler transfer function

### Input line

- G<sub>line</sub> Three phase line (gain)
- G<sub>peak</sub> Peak rectifier (gain)

### Primary current

### $\frac{\tilde{N}^{2}nsor}{s}$ Current sensor turns ratio

R<sub>s</sub> Current sense resistor

### Inner current loop

- <sub>Gi ff</sub> Foward path fudge factor
- G<sub>i</sub>(s) Total foward path gain
- κ<sub>i</sub> Feedback gain constant
- d<sub>i</sub> Feedback path divider
- <sub>Hi ff</sub> Feedback path fudge factor
- H<sub>i</sub>(s) Total feedback path gain
- G<sub>io</sub>(s) Open loop gain
- G<sub>ic</sub>(s) Closed loop gain
- $G_{il}(s)$  Closed loop line sinsitivity

### <u>Voltage loop</u>

- P<sub>v</sub>(s) Foward path "Plant" gain
- $C_{v}(s)$  Loop compensator
- $G_{v}(s)$  Total foward path gain
- $K_v$  Feedback gain constant
- $G_{vo}(s)$  Open loop gain
- $G_{vc}(s)$  Closed loop gain
- $G_{vl}(s)$  Closed loop line sensitivity

### Current loop

- $P_{c}(s)$  Foward path "Plant" gain
- $C_{c}(s)$  Loop compensator
- $G_{c}(s)$  Total foward path gain
- к<sub>c</sub> Feedback gain constant
- $G_{co}(s)$  Open loop gain
- $G_{cc}(s)$  Closed loop gain
- G<sub>cl</sub>(s) Closed loop line sensitivity

# Define functions (Tools)

Ω≡1	$k\Omega \equiv 10^3$	mΩ≡	10 <sup>-3</sup>	$mh \equiv 10^{-3}$	uh≡10 <sup>-6</sup>	$mf \equiv 10^{-3}$	uf≡10 <sup>-6</sup>	$nf \equiv 10^{-9}$
$khz = 10^3$	hz≡1	amps ≡ 1	volts $\equiv 1$	<b>DB</b> = 1	$us \equiv 10^{-6}$	$mv \equiv 10^{-3}$	$ma = 10^{-3}$	
start ≡0.1 · hz M(x) ≡20 · lo	end≡100 g(  x  )	)·khz db≡60	points≡300 scale	$n \equiv 0$ points $P(x) \equiv arg(x)$ .	$\frac{-1}{db}$ inc:	$\frac{\log(\text{end}) - \log(\text{start})}{\text{points}}$	$f_n = 10^{n \cdot inc}$ $s_n = i \cdot 2 \cdot \tau$	c+log(start) t∙f <sub>n</sub>

### **Related documents**

1 . I . I

.

- 1) "LLUMC Proton Power Supply System Study", Doc # 2001151
- 2) "Electronic Schematic Magnet Power Inverter" Doc # 2001142
- 3) "Electric Schematic PDC Output Interface" Doc # 2001143

Note: If J12, J13, J11 and J10 sockets are **not** in good condition and "Tight", remove the sockets and solder the componets in.

A. 100 . 8

,

Remove D72, D73, D78, D79, D85, D86, D90, D91 and replace each with two diodes in series so a two diode drop occurs instead of a one diode drop.

Rev:B Doc # 2001779	pply Modification R	Ceport I Su Magnet t Da	File: Vtrim pplv tvpe: A vpe: Vert Trim te: 5-17-00			
This modification is for a power	r supply with the following p	arameters				
Max Current output		I = 200				
Max Voltage output		V = 10				
Load resistance and inductance	$R_{o} = 35.7 \cdot m$	$R_{n} = 35.7 \cdot m\Omega$ $L_{m} = 1.6 \cdot mh$				
Over current shutdown current	: Useds	$I_{odc} = 203.681 \cdot \text{amps}$				
The following componets provid listed above. Perform modificat following parts for this "tuning"	les the "tuning" for the param ions below if required and in ". See the updated schematic	neters stall the s.	Qty Reqd.			
Inner current loop gain	IC40 feedback resistor:	$R160 = 1.6 \cdot k\Omega$	1			
Voltage loop compensator	R148=R149=R151=R210:	$R210 = 20 \cdot k\Omega$	4			
C108 is installed in J10 near SW1. C109 is added in series with R248 across resistor R149.	C108=C109:	C108 = 1 •uf	2			
R247 is installed in J11 near SW1.	R247=R248:	R247 = $0.665 \cdot k\Omega$	2			
	C67=C68:	C67 = 100 •nf	2			
Current loop compensator	R196=R222:	R222 = $20 \cdot k\Omega$	2			
C107 is installed in J13 near S	W3.	C107 = 1 •uf	1			
R246 is installed in J12 near S	W3.	R246 = 44.2 • k $\Omega$	1			
		C93 = 100 •nf	1			
Over current shutdown		R165 = $20 \cdot k\Omega$	1			
		$R220 = 6.19 \cdot k\Omega$	1			
<u>Modifications</u> Check to see if the following mo been done. If not, perform the fo board up to date.	odifications to the MPI board ollowing procedure to bring t	have he				

+

Remove the following parts:	C73, C74, C77, C79, C80, C84, C86, C87, C96, C98, C99, C102, C104, C105, C88, IC40 (OP77), IC46 (MC1458).
	Remove (unplug) SW1 and SW3 dip switches.
	Install AD711 an anna fan IO40

Replace OP-AMPs:Install AD711 op-amp for IC40Install AD712 op-amp for IC46

Replace and/or add the componets listed in the "tuning" section above. Some of the parts are new and installed as follows: