RFPORT I	DOCUMENTATION		AFRL-SR-BL-TR-01-	
Public reporting burden for this collection of informa data needed, and completing and reviewing this coll this burden to Department of Defense, Washington I 4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithst	ion is estimated to average 1 hour per respo ection of information. Send comments regar teadquarters Services, Directorate for Inform inding any other provision of law, no person ION YOUR CORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRE	nse, including the time for revie ding this burden estimate or an nation Operations and Reports shall be subject to any penalty SS	wing ir y other (0704-C for failing	th in !-
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)	Final		01-9-93 to 31-10-97	
27-11-00 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
Run-Time Assurance for	Distributed Computin	g Systems		
			5b. GRANT NUMBER F49620-93-1-0409P00001	
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
		,,,	5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
B. M. McMillin				
			5e. TASK NUMBER	
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NA The University of Misso Rolla, MO 65409	ME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) uri-Rolla		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION R NUMBER	EPORT
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AG Maj. David Luginbuhl	ENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS	(ES)	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRON	YM(S)
801 N. Randolph St. Rm 732 Arlington, VA 22203-1977			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT	Г
unlimited D	BUTION STATEMEN oved for Public Relea stribution Unlimited	NTA Ase	DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3	7
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			- 2nn10109 09 <i>1</i>	f
14 ABSTRACT				
14. ABSTRACT This work, as an AASERT evaluating formal speci purposes of error detec CCSP evaluation system security calculus. We This concept through no membership protocol, a railroad trains on inte Moreover, the spinoff t can also be used as a co visualized using abstraction	Augmentation to F49 fications concurrent tion, fault tolerand for axiomatic proofs have validated entrivial examples of distributed database ersecting tracks, and echnologies from the lebugging tool for di ct glyphs. Both of the mainstream	9620-92-J-0546 cly with distri- ce, and securit s, for interval f distributed p e scheduler, o d of a secure v is work, in of istributed pro- these achievem	, has developed a powerful conc ibuted program execution for th cy. This concept is realized in 1 temporal formulae, and for a programs including a dynamic gr f a responsive system modeling warehouse management system. themselves have become useful. grams. Properties used in CCSP ents may help to bring more use	ept : e the roup can 1 e of
14. ABSTRACT This work, as an AASERT evaluating formal speci purposes of error detec CCSP evaluation system security calculus. We This concept through no membership protocol, a railroad trains on inte Moreover, the spinoff t can also be used as a d visualized using abstra formal methods into the <b>15. SUBJECT TERMS</b> Temporal Logic, Response	Augmentation to F49 fications concurrent tion, fault tolerand for axiomatic proofs have validated ontrivial examples of distributed database ersecting tracks, and echnologies from the lebugging tool for do tot glyphs. Both of mainstream.	9620-92-J-0546 cly with distri- ce, and securit s, for interval f distributed p e scheduler, of d of a secure of is work, in of istributed pro- these achievem	, has developed a powerful conc ibuted program execution for th cy. This concept is realized in 1 temporal formulae, and for a programs including a dynamic gr f a responsive system modeling warehouse management system. themselves have become useful. grams. Properties used in CCSP ents may help to bring more use	con ice of
14. ABSTRACT This work, as an AASERT evaluating formal speci purposes of error detec CCSP evaluation system security calculus. We This concept through no membership protocol, a railroad trains on inte Moreover, the spinoff t can also be used as a d visualized using abstra formal methods into the 15. SUBJECT TERMS Temporal Logic, Respons	Augmentation to F49 fications concurrent tion, fault tolerand for axiomatic proofs have validated ontrivial examples of distributed database ersecting tracks, and echnologies from the lebugging tool for do tot glyphs. Both of the mainstream.	9620-92-J-0546 tly with distri- te, and securing f distributed po- te scheduler, of d of a secure of is work, in of istributed pro- these achievemod ization 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	has developed a powerful conc ibuted program execution for th cy. This concept is realized in l temporal formulae, and for a programs including a dynamic gr f a responsive system modeling warehouse management system. themselves have become useful. grams. Properties used in CCSP ents may help to bring more use <b>18. NUMBER</b> <b>19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE</b> Bruce M. McMillin	coup coup coup can 1 coup
14. ABSTRACTThis work, as an AASERTevaluating formal specipurposes of error detectCCSP evaluation systemsecurity calculus. WeThis concept through nomembership protocol, arailroad trains on inteMoreover, the spinoff tcan also be used as a covisualized using abstratformal methods into the15. SUBJECT TERMSTemporal Logic, Response16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OFa. REPORTunclassified	Augmentation to F49 fications concurrent tion, fault tolerand for axiomatic proofs have validated ontrivial examples of distributed database ersecting tracks, and echnologies from the lebugging tool for dist to glyphs. Both of the mainstream.	9620-92-J-0546 tly with distri- ce, and security s, for interval f distributed p e scheduler, of d of a secure w is work, in of istributed pro- these achievem ization <b>17. LIMITATION</b> <b>OF ABSTRACT</b> UL	18. NUMBER 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE   18. NUMBER 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE   19. TELEPHONE NUMBER (in code) 193. 141-6435	ept i e the roup CCS can i e of E PERSS

٨

Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

# Overview

## Motivation

Our original goal of the parent project was to find ways to execute program specifications along with the actual program's execution for purposes of run-time assurance - namely for error detection within the scope of fault tolerance. If the execution of the program does not satisfy the specification at run time, then an error has occurred. Since error detection is conceptually the most difficult problem in fault tolerance, this quantification of error detection has proved quite powerful - a system need not rely on hardware or software confidence to avoid or detect errors; the specification provides the absolute truth of correctness.

Actually doing this is difficult even in the sequential environment as one must ask the question ``What is an appropriate level of specification and how does it correspond with the resulting program code?" In the distributed parallel environment with which we are concerned, the challenge becomes greater due to the absence of a globally consistent state in which to evaluate the specification.

The ASSERT funding beyond the parent project's goal was to increase the participation of women in Computer Science while addressing the problems of a run-time system and of visualizing program behavior.

### Methodology

The notion of ``the program satisfies the specification' is a powerful abstraction as it immediately draws the researcher into the area of formal logic to express the specification. This, coupled with an existing set of axioms and inference rules for a particular (programming) language provides the appropriate level of representation for run-time error checking. Essentially, the same tools used in program verification are immediately applicable to run-time assurance, namely execution of the proof outline in either a predicate or temporal framework.

Our work provides the run-time semantics to carry out such executions, possibly in the presence of failed hardware and/or software or security intrusions. Nor are we limited to formalized verification systems; our methods work quite well with informally specified assertions. We have developed a set of tools (described below) to carry out these evaluations.

# **Technical Details**

### The Axiomatic Approach to Program Verification

The axiomatic approach to program verification is based on making assertions about program variables before, during and after program execution. These assertions characterize properties of program variables and relationships between them at various stages of program execution.

### **Overall Proof Approach.**

Distributed programs are composed of a set of communicating sequential processes. In many programs, it is desirable to save part of the communication sequence between processes. This is done with use of ``dummy" or *auxiliary* variables that relate program variables of one process to program variables of another. In general, to prove properties about the program, first properties of each component process are derived in isolation. These properties are combined to obtain the properties of the whole program using ``global" auxiliary variables; if the proofs do not *interfere*, then this composition is valid. We use Hoare's CSP as a model.

#### **Operational Evaluation of Axiomatic Assertions**

Taking an application's proof outline from the verification environment to the distributed operational environment is not a straightforward task. Since assertions may involve global annotations to the program state, we need some way of communicating this state, efficiently. Observing that no state change can influence a CSP process until some communication occurs (since process states are local), we can simply defer update of global state information until an algorithmic communication occurs. Thus, each communication in CSP is augmented with two functions which prepare copies of a processes' global auxiliary variables for communication and unions these variables into a process' local state, respectively. Since we only need to send the most recent copy (and only a newer copy) variables are time stamped with a Lamport clock. Then, the latest copy of each global auxiliary variable is merged with the local processes' state. These communicated auxiliary variables, in turn, along with the sequential processes' state, are what the assertions are evaluated against.

These ideas were embodied in the package CCSP which is reported in:

"CCSP - A Formal System for Distributed Program Debugging," *Programming and Computer Software*, Plenum Publishers, Vol. 21. No. 1, 1995, pp. 45-50, E. Arrowsmith and B. McMillin.

The full CCSP source is available from http://www.umr.edu/ecl.html

#### **Program Visualization**

The understanding of program behavior is becoming vitally more important now that software is becoming an integral part of industry and everyday life. However, even the best documented code is often not sufficient enough to completely and correctly relay the actual program behavior. The problem lies beyond being familiar with the programming language and is hidden in the complex mathematics, which govern the program's behavior. This behavior is not easily detected and varies from one program to the next. We propose a method for describing program behavior using two general properties of iterative programs: feasibility and progress. This method can be easily applied to trivial and simple code but an automated tool is required to generate the properties for more realistic code. Therefore, an automated program visualization tool was developed to illustrate the program's behavior in terms of the two properties proposed. *Wheels* take as input program code, reverse engineers the behavior by analyzing the code and then visually relays the extracted information back to the user allowing the user to gain a visual understanding of program behavior. The intent of this research is to use this understanding as a means of learning and teaching as well as a means for providing run time assurance to check the expected behavior.

#### This work is reported in

ù

"Wheels: An Automated Program Analysis Tool," The 8th International Conf. on Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering, June 10-12, 1996, Lake Tahoe, NV, pp. 269-276 (with A. Sun).

# **Student Participants**

.

J

Name	Торіс	Status
Elizabeth Arrowsmith	Developed the CCSP System	Left the Program to pursue Ph.D. at Washington University
Aggie Sun	Declarative Approach to Generalizing the Understanding of Program Behavior through Program Visualization	Ph.D. 1996

The following students were supported during the lifetime of this grant.

# Contribution

We feel we have developed a powerful concept in evaluating formal specifications concurrently with distributed program execution. Moreover, the spinoff technologies from this work, in of themselves have become useful. CCSP can also be used as a debugging tool for distributed programs. Temporal Subsumption functions as a quick and powerful proof checker for Hoare triples. Both of these achievements may help to bring more use of formal methods into the mainstream.

It is unfortunate that, despite a one-year extension, no suitable women Ph.D. students wer found and the  $3^{rd}$  year funding had to be turned back.