NPS-PH-00-001

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California





August 2000

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

20001107 088

Prepared for: Littoral Warfare Advanced Development (LWAD) Program Arlington, VA 22217

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California 93943-5000

RADM David R. Ellison, USN Superintendent

Richard Elster Provost

This report was prepared for the Littoral Warfare Advanced Development (LWAD) Program and funded by the Office of Naval Research Code 321SS.

This report was prepared by:

CDR Mitch Shipley Military Instructor

Reviewed by:

N'A

William B. Maier Department of Physics Chairman

Released by:

David W. Netzer Associate Provost and Dean of Research

| REPORT DO | Fo | rm approved | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | OMB No 0704-0188 | | | | | |
| of information, including suggestions for reduci. Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the | formation is estimated to average 1 hour per respo completing and reviewing the collection of inform ng this burden, to Washington Headquarters Servi he Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork 1 | ation. Send comments | regarding this burden estin | nate or any other aspect of this collection | | |
| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave bla | nk) 2. REPORT DATE | 3. REPO | DRT TYPE AND DATES COVERED | | | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE | | | 5. FUNDING | | | |
| Environmentally Adaptive Sonar | Technology (EAST) Project Report for | or | | | | |
| Participation in Littoral Warfare Ac | lvanced Development 99-3 Sea Test | | N0001400WR20 | 068 | | |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) CDR Mitchell N. Shipley | | | | | | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIC Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000 | 8. PERFORMING REPORT NUMB | | | | | |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING A Office of Naval Research Code 321SS 800 N. Quincy St Arlington, VA 22217-5000 | 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER | | | | | |
| U. S. Government. | Report are those of the author and do not r | eflect the official | policy or position of th | e Department of Defense or the | | |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILI Approved for public release; distribut | | | 12b. DISTRIBUTIO | N CODE | | |
| shallow water target detect pulse (TRAP) as a method The approach of the EAST Research Laboratory (AAR more realistic environment The at sea experimental 1) Conduct a feasibility syster 2) At sea demonstration of ab | Environmentally Adaptive Sonar Tech ion for Navy active sonar systems. Th of real time correction of propagation project is predominantly experimenta L) shallow water tank facility. Comp | the current invest dispersion of the ally based in the puter modeling is AD 99-3 were t system. | igation involves using e energy in an active Naval Postgraduate S also used to extend wo fold: | g a time reversed acoustic sonar transmit waveform. Schools Advanced Acoustic the experimental results to | | |
| 14. SUBJECT TERMS Time Reversal Acoustics, Active Sonar | ······································ | 15. NUMBER OF PAGES | | | | |
| | | | | 16. PRICE CODE | | |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified | 19. SECURITY OF ABSTR Unclassified | | 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL | | |
| NSN 7540-01-280-5800 | | | Standard Form 2 Prescribed by A | 298 (Rev. 2-89) | | |

٠.

1 Introduction

The objective of the Environmentally Adaptive Sonar Technology (EAST) project is to develop approaches to improve shallow water target detection for Navy active sonar systems. The current investigations involves using a time reversed acoustic pulse (TRAP) as a method of real time correction of propagation dispersion of the energy in an active sonar transmit waveform. The approach of the EAST project is predominantly experimentally based in the Naval Postgraduate Schools Advanced Acoustic Research Laboratory (AARL) shallow water tank facility. Computer modeling is also used to extend the experimental results to more realistic environments.

The TRAP sonar approach is to use time reversal acoustic (TRA) methods to remove the environmental distortion of the target echo in shallow water environments. This is done in real time by construction of a time reversed acoustic pulse (TRAP) from the acoustic reception of a standard active pulse. The TRAP is then transmitted and produces an improved coherence and signal level of the target echo back at the receiver. The CONOPS for this LWAD sea test was a direct comparison of the TRAP echo detection to the standard active pulse detection.

2 **Experimental Objectives**

The participation of the EAST project in LWAD 99-3 was an opportunity to conduct some early risk reduction at sea testing by leveraging experimental assets already in place for the test. The at sea experimental objectives of the EAST project were two fold:

1) Conduct a feasibility systems engineering test of a TRAP sonar system.

2) At sea demonstration of about a 3dB improvement in SNR using a basic TRAP sonar approach over standard match filter active sonar signal processing for a single element sonar system.

3 Experimental Approach

The EAST project extensively leveraged equipment of the LWAD program and other participating projects to conduct the experiment. All wet end equipment was provided by several projects. The Forward Scatter Echo Detection (ForSED) and LWAD Backscatter Measurement System provided the source and the receiver. The source was a single low frequency XF-4 operated near the hydrophones resonance of about 400 hz. The 3 dB down bandwidth of the XF-4 was 400 hz. The single element receiver was one element of a multi-element, 37.5 foot vertical line array of model E100a Dual Sensitivity Hydrophones. The receive array was suspended directly below the XF-4 source element. This configuration resulted in a quasi bi-static omni directional source/receiver sonar system. The Multistatic ASW Capability Enhancement (MACE) project provided the source amplifier van capable of driving the XF-4 source at a maximum power of better than 200 dB at the thirty second ping rate required by the EAST project.

The experimental target geometry is shown in figure(1). The objective of the geometry was to provide beam aspect, low doppler target returns to the source/receive array. Starting at

minimum range the target then proceeded to open range following the ladder track on subsequent legs of the geometry. On subsequent runs of the geometry the range of the entire five leg sequence could be moved out as detection allowed. The initial CPA range was one nautical mile.



Figure (1): Experimental Geometry. Five leg ladder pattern in increasing range from the source/receive ship (designated by Mark)

The dry end for signal generation, processing and data storage was provided by the EAST project. The equipment consisted of a set of high data rate (5Mhz) digital to analogue / analogue to digital cards housed in a standard 233 Mhz Pentium II computer chassis. The interfacing software was all written in Matlab and provided the real time control and analysis capability for the TRAP sonar system.

4 Test Results

The EAST project was originally scheduled to get a single five hour target data collection event. As the test evolved, two additional collection opportunities were made available to the EAST project. On each of these three events, numbered event 32, 33 and 33a, the target geometry in figure (1) was run. Sufficient time existed between the events to conduct a quick look analysis of the data and reconfigure the system before the next event. The availability of this extra time between events proved to be beneficial as early results indicated a severe platform noise problem existed. The time between events was well used in making sure all possible avenues to overcome the noise problem were explored.

Table (1) presents the ping log for the three events. Between the three events over 400 ping sets were transmitted. A ping set consisted of an initial ping and a second time reversed ping

constructed in real time from the reception from the initial ping. The system was able to sustain a ping set transmit rate of one ping set per minute.

As can seen in table, different combinations of transmit frequency, pulse width, filter settings, and the like were tried. These variations were the result of attempting to overcome the noise problem initially encountered in the first event. While these variations were a good engineering test of the real time flexibility of the system, they were unsuccessful in overcoming the high ambient noise problem.

5 Summary

The EAST projects first objective of a systems engineering test was fully met. With over 400 ping sets transmitted between the three events, with substantial variation of the system settings between and during events, the real time implementation of a time reversed based sonar system was demonstrated. The second objective of validating the laboratory tank tests results between a basic TRAP sonar approach and standard match filter active sonar signal processing was not met due to the high platform noise levels encountered. As with any sea test experience, however, many issues were brought to light that will have impact on the success of future tests of the system. As a highly equipment leveraged, risk reduction experiment, the EAST projects participation in LWAD 99-3 was highly successful.

6 Acknowledgements

This report was sponsored by the Office of Naval Research Code 321SS, Program Manager Mr. Ken Dial.

EAST TRAP Ping Log

| | | Transmit | Pulse 24 | -lanning 🐨 | Band | Number | | | |
|------------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|--|-------------|-----------|--|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Number of | frequency | Width | Nindowed | Pass Filter | of Filter | Sample | Recorded on | Recorded on |
| · | Ping Sets: | (hz):希望書 | (ms) 🚝 (| <u>y/n) </u> | (hz) | taps | Depth | Channel | Channel 2 |
| Event 32 | 13 | | 12.5 | | 500-700 | 1500 | 150K | phone 16 | tx1 |
| | 23 | 400 | 12.5y | | 500-700 | 1500 | 150K | phone 16 | tx1 |
| | 2 | 400 | 12.5 | | 300-700 | 1500 | 150K | phone 16 | tx1 |
| Lit bisker enhand then | 26 | 400 | 12.5y | | 300-700 | 1500 | 150K | phone 16 | Unfiltered phone 15 |
| | 37 | 400 | 12.5y | | 300-700 | 1500 | 150K | phone 16 | Unfiltered phone 15 |
| | 36 | 400 | 12.5y | | 300-700 | 1500 | 150K | phone 16 | Unfiltered phone 15 |
| | 2 | 400 | 12.5n | | 300-700 | 1500 | 150K | phone 16 | Unfiltered phone 15 |
| | 3 | 400 | 12.5n | | 300-700 | 1500 | 150K | phone 16 | Unfiltered phone 15 |
| | 2 | 400 | 12.5n | | 300-700 | 1500 | 150K | phone 16 | Unfiltered phone 15 |
| | 2 | 400 | 12.5y | | 300-700 | 1500 | 150K | | Unfiltered phone 15 |
| | 4 | 400 | 12.5y | | 300-700 | 1500 | 150K | | Unfiltered phone 15 |
| | 12 | 400 | 50y | | 300-700 | 1500 | 150K | | Unfiltered phone 15 |
| | 5 | 400 | 50y | | 300-700 | 1500 | 150K | | Unfiltered phone 15 |
| Event Total | 167 | | | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Event 33 | 25 | 495 | 10.1y | | 350-750 | 1500 | 70K | phone 16 | Unfiltered phone 14 |
| - | 4 | 495 | 10.1y | ¥ | 190-500 | 1500 | 70K | | Unfiltered phone 14 |
| | 1 | 495 | 10.1y | 4 | 45-545 | 1500 | 70K | phone 16 | Unfiltered phone 14 |
| | 4 | 495 | 10.1y | ¥ | 45-545 | 1500 | 100K | | Unfiltered phone 14 |
| | 2 | 495 | 50.5y | | 45-545 | 1500 | | phone 16 | Unfiltered phone 14 |
| | 7 | 495 | 50.5y | | 45-545 | 1500 | 100K | phone 16 | Unfiltered phone 14 |
| | 3 | 495 | <u>50.5y</u> | 4 | 45-545 | 1500 | 100K | phone 12 | Unfiltered phone 13 |
| | 5 | 495 | 101.0y | 4 | 90-500 | 500 | 110K | phone 12 | Unfiltered phone 11 |
| | 28 | 495 | 10.1y | | 45-545 | 500 | 150K | phone 12 | tx1 |
| | 14 | 495 | 10.1y | 4 | 45-545 | 500 | 150K | phone 12 | tx1 |
| Event Total | 93 | | | | | | | | |
| Event 34 | 61 | 495 | 10.1n | 3 | 00-800 | 100 | 100K | phone 12 | Unfiltered phone 11 |
| | 50 | 390 | 12.8n | 2 | 00-800 | 100 | 100K | | Unfiltered phone 11 |
| | 46 | 390 | 12.8n | 2 | 00-800 | 100 | 100K | | Unfiltered phone 11 |
| Event Total | 157 | | | | | | | <u> </u> | • |
| Total Ping Sets | 417 | | | | . . | | | | |
| 0613 | 71/ | | <u>N</u> | ote: All data | a sampled a | at 10Khz | ······································ | ····· | |

Table 1: Ping log of LWAD 99-3 EAST Events

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

| 1. | DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| | 8725 JOHN J. KINGMAN RD, STE 0944 |
| | FT. BELVOIR, VA 22060-6218 |
| | |
| 2. | DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY, CODE 013 |
| | NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL |
| | MONTEREY, CA 93943-5100 |
| 3. | |
| э. | RESEARCH OFFICE, CODE 09 |
| | NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL |
| | MONTEREY, CA 93943-5138 |
| 4. | OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH |
| | 800 N. QUINCY STREET |
| | ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5660 |
| | ATTN KEN DIAL (CODE 321SS) |
| | CDR SCOTT TILDEN (CODE 321B) |
| | JOHN TAGUE (CODE 321US) |
| | NANCY HARNED (CODE 321W) |
| 5. | 2 COMMANDING OFFICER |
| 2. | NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY |
| | 4555 OVERLOOK AVE SW |
| | WASHINGTON DC 20375-5320 |
| | ATTN RESEARCH LIBRARY (CODE 5220) |
| | B PASEWARK (CODE 7127) |
| | F ERSKINE (CODE 7142) |
| | E KUNZ (CODE 7142) |
| | D FROMM (CODE 7142) |
| | |
| 6. | NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL |
| | MONTEREY, CA 93943-5138 |
| | ATTN CDR M. N. SHIPLEY (CODE PH/SM) |