InGaP/InGaAs-on-Ge Concentrator Solar Cell for Space Power Generation

Contract—

NAS3-99174

Author-

Samar Sinharoy

Date—

October 12, 2000

Contractor-

Essential Research, Inc. 6410 Eastland Rd. Suite D Cleveland, OH 44142

These SBIR data are furnished with SBIR rights under Contract No. NAS3-99174. For a period of 4 years after acceptance of all items to be delivered under this contract, the Government agrees to use these data for Government purposes only, and they shall not be disclosed outside the Government (including disclosure for procurement purposes) during such period without permission of the Contractor, except that, subject to the foregoing use and disclosure prohibitions, such data may be disclosed for use by support Contractors. After the aforesaid 4-year period the Government has a royalty-free license to use, and to authorize others to use on its behalf, these data for Government purposes, but is relieved of all disclosure prohibitions and assumes no liability for unauthorized use of these data by third parties. This Notice shall be affixed to any reproductions of these data, in whole or in part.

ESSENTIAL RESEARCH

Δ

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for Public Release **Distribution Unlimited**

DTIC QUALEEY INSEND 4

20001020 103

WORK PERFORMED DURING THIS PERIOD

Task 1: Growth and characterization of a lattice-matched passivating window for InGaP

 $Al_{0.33}In_{0.67}P$ has been chosen as the lattice-matched passivating front and back window layer of the 1.62–eV InGaP top cell. As described in the previous report, secondary electron mass spectrometry (SIMS) analysis of the films revealed that the oxygen concentration in these films was in the low to mid-10¹⁷/cm³ level. In order to reduce the oxygen content in the AlInP window layer, we are planning to replace our precursor for aluminum (TMAI) with an ultra-pure, very low oxygen containing TMAI source. This should be accomplished within the next month.

Task 4. Fabrication and testing of two-terminal InGaP/InGaAs monolithic tandem cells on GaAs substrates.

Figure 1 shows a schematic diagram of the current design of our dual-junction cell. The 1.1–eV bottom cell is comprised of a $0.5-\mu m n+ In_{0.2}Ga_{0.8}As$ emitter, a $3.0-\mu m p In_{0.2}Ga_{0.8}As$ base and a $0.05-\mu m In_{0.68}Ga_{0.32}P$ window layer. The 1.62-eV top cell in the initial design⁵ consisted of a $0.05-\mu m n+ In_{0.68}Ga_{0.32}P$ emitter, a $1.5-\mu m p In_{0.68}Ga_{0.32}P$ base, a $0.05-\mu m p+In_{0.68}Ga_{0.32}P$ back surface field, and a $0.05-\mu m n A I_{0.33}In_{0.67}P$ window layer. We have thinned the top cell in the current design as described below. A $p++/n++ In_{0.2}Ga_{0.8}As$ tunnel junction test structure, with the same thickness ($0.05 \ \mu m$) and doping levels ($1x \ 10^{19} \ cm^3$) used in the dual-junction cell was evaluated prior to its incorporation in the dual-junction cell. We have chosen to use InGaAs as the tunnel junction interconnect compound since it is easily degenerately doped. All of the dual-junction cell structures were grown on GaAs substrates. Time constraints prevented us from completing the development of the GaAs-on-Ge growth process. As a result, no cell structures were grown on GaAs-coated Ge.



Figure 1. Epi-layer structure of the 1.62 eV InGaP/1.1 eV InGaAs dual-junction cell.

The first batch of 1.6-eV/1.1-eV dual-junction cells processed in our laboratory was bottom cell current limited.⁵ This was believed to be one of the reasons for the low AM0, onesun efficiency (12.9% without AR-coating, estimated to be 17% with an optimized antireflection coating) of those cells. Calculations have since shown that in order to obtain 100% quantum efficiency (QE) from the bottom cell, while maintaining a QE of at least 90% from the top cell, the top cell had to be thinned to 0.33 µm (compared to 1.65 µm in the first batch). Shown in Figure 2 is the light current-voltage (I-V) characteristic of a 1.62-eV/1.1-eV n/p dualjunction cell with a thinned top cell.⁵ The cell is characterized by an open-circuit voltage (Voc) of 1.73 mV, a short-circuit current density (Jsc) of 19.14 mA, a fill factor (FF) of 78.5%, and an AM0, one-sun efficiency of 19.07%. The top cell in this case consisted of a $0.05-\mu m$ n+ In_{0.68}Ga_{0.32}P emitter, a $0.3-\mu m$ p In₆₈Ga_{.32}P base, a $0.05-\mu m$ p+ In_{.68}Ga_{.32}P back surface field, and a $0.04-\mu m$ n Al_{.33}In_{.67}P window layer. The efficiency of this dual-junction cell, although higher than the first batch, is still significantly lower than the predicted value of 27%. The external quantum efficiency (EQE) of the subcells of the dual-junction cells was measured using appropriate filters, and the results are shown in Figure 3. .

6-Month Report





Figure 2.-I-V characteristics of an AR-coated 1-cm² 1.62-eV n/p InGaP/1.1-eV InGaAs dualjunction cell.



Figure 3.-External quantum efficiency of the subcells of a 1-cm² 1.62-eV n/p InGaP/ 1.1-eVInGaAs dual-junction cell.

© Essential Research, Inc. 2000

6-Month Report

CURRENT PROBLEMS AND PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Analysis of the I-V and EQE data and comparison with computer modeled data shows that the performance of the dual-junction cell is still being controlled by the bottom cell, in spite of the top cell thinning. This is evidenced by the fact that the measured AMO, Jsc of the dualjunction cell prior to AR-coating was 14.4 mA/cm², whereas the Jsc of the stand-alone InGaP cell under similar conditions was 15.9 mA/cm². If we further thin the top cell to achieve current matching conditions, then the Jsc of the dual-junction cell is expected to be the average of these two values, which is 15.2 mA/cm². The addition of an AR-coating will increase the value of the Jsc by a factor of 1.4, bringing it up to 21.2 mA/cm². Combining this Jsc with our experimental values of reverse saturation current density (J₀) of 1.7×10^{-33} A and a FF of 78.5%, we could obtain a Voc of 1.74 V, and an efficiency of 21.1%. Thus, optimization of top cell thinning alone will immediately improve the efficiency of the dual cell by 2 efficiency points.

Another factor limiting the performance of our dual-junction cell is the use of a 1.1-eV InGaAs tunnel junction, which absorbs some of the red light that the bottom cell is designed to convert. Calculations indicate that the use of a non-absorbing tunnel junction (such as 1.62-eV InGaP) would result in a 6% increase in the Jsc of the bottom cell. Since our dual-junction cell is bottom cell current-limited, this would increase the Jsc of the bottom cell to 15.3 mA/cm^2 without AR-coating. If we thin the top cell to achieve current matching conditions, then following the same argument as in the previous paragraph, Jsc of the dual-junction cell would bring the efficiency up to 21.8%, using the same calculations as in the previous paragraph. SIMS analysis of our dual-junction cell structure has shown no evidence of dopant diffusion from the tunnel junction into the active layers.

Further improvement in the dual-junction cell efficiency is possible by thinning the front window. Modeling has shown that while the actual front window thickness is 400 Å, about half of the carriers generated therein are collected, so that the effective window thickness is only 200 Å. If we reduce the actual window thickness to 200 Å, we will have an effective window thickness of about 100 Å. This would result in an efficiency of 22.1% for the dual-junction cell. Significant improvements in the AlInP window layer quality is anticipated when the unacceptably high oxygen concentration $(1.0 \times 10^{17}/\text{cm}^2)$ in that layer is reduced. Calculations show that a perfect (no loss) window would result in a further improvement in efficiency from 22.1% to 24.3%.

WORK TO BE PERFORMED DURING THE NEXT PERIOD

During the next reporting period, the emphasis will be on large area pulse solar simulator (LAPPS) testing of the individual InGaP and InGaAs cells under 1 to 20 sun concentrations. These tests could not be done during the current reporting period, because the test facility at the Glenn research center is being rebuilt. The cell structures and processing parameters will continue to be optimized to realize the highest possible efficiency values under concentrator conditions. For the dual-junction cell, initial efforts during the next reporting period will be limited to optimizing the structure and processing to obtain the highest efficiency values under AMO, one-sun conditions, until the individual cell structures are optimized for higher concentrations.

COST AND COMPLETION ESTIMATES

The current costs have been calculated and the estimates are as follows:

- Total Costs (cumulative) through September 22, 2000.....\$ 82,406
- Estimated Costs for the following quarter......\$ 50,000
- Estimated Costs to the contract completion \$213,427

			Form Approved		
			OMB No. 0704-0188		
gathering and maintaining the data needed, and collection of information, including suggestions fi Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 2220	completing and reviewing the collection of or reducing this burden, to Washington Hea 2-4302, and to the Office of Management a	information. Send comments regar dquarters Services, Directorate for I nd Budget, Paperwork Reduction P	information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson roject (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.		
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE October 12, 2000	3. REPORT TYPE AN Interim - 6-month	D DATES COVERED 3/22/00 9/22/00		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. FUNDING NUMBERS		
InGaP/InGaAs-on-Ge Concentrator Solar Cell for Space Power Generation					
2171H710 (S)			NAS3-99174		
Dr. Samar Sinharoy					
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME (S) AND ADDRESS (ES)			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION		
Essential Research, Inc.			REPORT NUMBER		
23811 Chagrin Blvd., Suite 280			NAS3-99174		
Cleveland, OH 44122					
, SPONSORING/MONITORING AGEN	CYNAME (S) AND ADDRESS (ES)		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING		
National Agropoution and Sn	and Administration		AGENCY REPORT NUMBER		
Glenn Research Center	National Aeronautics and Space Administration Glenn Research Center				
21000 Brookpark Rd.					
Cleveland, OH 44135					
1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		I			
2a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY S	JIATEMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE		
Unclassified – Unlimited					
onolussiika onininka					
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)					
The passivating front and	back window layer of the top of	ell has been chosen.			
We have chosen to use InG	aAs as the tunnel junction inte	rconnect compound sinc	e it is easily degenerately		
doped. All of the dual junc	ction cell structures were grow	n on GaAs substrates. Ti	me constraints prevented		
us from completing the de	velopment of the GaAs-on-Ge	growth process.	-		
Analysis of the I-V and EQ	s still being controlled by the	ontrom cell in spite of to	ows that the performance		
improvement in the dual-ju	unction cell efficiency is possi	ble by thinning the front	window.		
1 5					
4. SUBJECT TERMS			7		
Space Power; photovoltaics;	Indium Gallium Phosphide; C	concentrator Solar Cells	16. PRICE CODE		
7. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 1	8. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICA	TION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified			
			Standard Form 208 (Pour 2-80)		
SN /540-01-280-5500			Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 298-102		

rescribed	by	ANSI	Std.	Z39-18	
98-102	-				