AD

Award Number: DAMD17-99-1-9351

TITLE: Study of the Regulation of erbB Signaling by Receptormediated Endocytosis

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Anthony Lee, M.S., B.A. Mark A. Lemmon, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-3246

REPORT DATE: May 2000

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual Summary

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

20001020 039

DIIC QUALITY INCEPETED 4

		AGE		Form Approved	
REFURI DUCUIVIEINIAIIUN PAGE			OMB No. 074-0188		
the data needed, and completing and reviewing this reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Pr	s collection of information. Send comments regar Services, Directorate for Information Operations a oject (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503	ding this burden estimate or any othe nd Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Hig	er aspect of this collect ghway, Suite 1204, Arl	ion of information, including suggestions for ington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of	
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) 2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND	DATES COVERE	D	
1	May 2000	Annual Summary	(1 May 99	- 30 Apr 00)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		D	5. FUNDING N	UMBERS	
Study of the Regulation	n of erbB Signaling by	Receptor-	DAMD17-99-	-1-9351	
mediated Endocytosis					
6. AUTHOR(S)					
Mark A Lemmon Ph D					
Mark A. Benenon, In.D.					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104	4-3246				
E-MAIL:					
anthonyl@mail.med.upenn.edu					
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AC	GENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(E	S)	10. SPONSORI		
			AGENCY R	EPORT NUMBER	
U.S. Army Medical Research and	Materiel Command				
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21/02-50	012				
11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		1		·····	
TT. GOTTEEMENTAAT NOTES					
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY	STATEMENT			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
Approved for public release; distr	ibution unlimited				
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Wor	'ds)				
Endocytosis of erbB re	ceptors is an importan	t regulator of e	rbB recept	or signaling. Given	
that abnormal erbB si	gnaling may lead to	cellular transf	ormation,	it is important to	
understand the factors	s that determine its	regulation. Er	bB-2, the	erbB receptor that	
forms heterodimers wit	h all other erbB rece	ptors, is widely	implicate	d in the development	
of breast cancer. Erb	B-2 does not have a ki	nown ligand and	has an int	rinsically slow rate	
of endocytosis/down-re	gulation. An attract	ive hypothesis i	s that er	bB-2 associates with	
regulated slower than	comb homodimora	nt signaling com	plexes be	cause they are down-	
to contribute to cellu	eibb nonouimers. Pic	d gangor It i	ited erbB	signaling is thought	
study and establish a	link between regulation	on of endocytori	s the goal	. of this project to	
signaling that may cont	ribute to cancer deve	lopment.	s/down-reg	diation and abnormal	
14. SUBJECT TERMS endocytosis, erbB-2, heterodimer, down-regulation				15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
				12	
		16. PRICE CODE			
		40.00000000			
OF REPORT	OF THIS PAGE	TH. SECURITY CLASSIFI	CATION	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassifi	ed	Unlimited	
NSN 7540-01-280-5500			Stan	dard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)	
····			Presc 298-10	ribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18	

Table of Contents

.

.

Cover	
SF 298	
Introduction	1
Body	2-6
Key Research Accomplishments	7
Reportable Outcomes	7
Conclusions	8
References	9
Appendices	NA

.

Introduction

The premise of my project is that co-expression of erbB-2 with EGF receptor (EGFR), or erbB-1, decreases ligand-induced down-regulation of EGFR, and boosts its signaling. The effect of erbB-2 overexpression is likely to contribute to the development and progression of breast cancer. It is thought that such a decrease in the down-regulation of EGFR, a normal consequence of EGFR signaling, allows active receptors to persist in cells and leads to more sustained level of mitogenic signaling, which can result in cellular transformation. Since erbB-2 forms heterodimers with the other erbB receptors, and has an intrinsically lower rate of internalization (Baulinda *et al.*, 1996), it follows that an erbB-1/-2 complex should be internalized slower than an erbB-1 homodimer. My plan is to mutate erbB-2's cytoplasmic domain, which in erbB-1 has been shown to mediate erbB-1 trafficking, in order to increase the rate of erbB-2 endocytosis/down-regulation, and to determine how enhancing erbB-2 internalization might reduce its oncogenecity.

Body

The first task in the statement of work is to make deletion mutants of erbB-1, -3 and -4, by removing cytoplasmic domain C-terminal to their kinase domain, and express them in a suitable cell line. The goal is to assess the importance of the cytoplasmic domain in the regulation of endocytosis/down-regulation of each receptor. Once that is established, then erbB-2 is to be co-transfected into each of the cell lines. This will allow me to evaluate the influence of erbB-2 on each of the receptor. I have changed this plan because of a recent paper from Waterman et al. (1999) that established the principle I aimed to show: the cytoplasmic domains of the erbB receptors are responsible for regulating down-regulation. These published studies have allowed me to begin mine with a more advanced line of questioning. Waterman et al. replaced the cytoplasmic domain of EGFR, with the corresponding part in erbB-3. The chimeric erbB-1/erbB-3 is downregulated substantially less than EGFR itself in response to EGF. The reason for the decrease in down-regulation is that the chimeric receptor is diverted from degradation in lysosomes to the recycling pathway. ErbB-3 itself is destined primarily to the recycling pathway (Waterman et al., 1998), so the transfer of the cytoplasmic domain of erbB-3 into erbB-1 confers to the chimeric receptor the endocytosis properties of erbB-3. The decrease in down-regulation leads to elevated level of signaling, which mediates cell growth and survival in 32D cells. In view of this evidence, my first task is less essential because the principle has been established.

Jumping my first task, I have immediately focused on developing a quantitative assay to measure internalization of EGF and other ligands. In my previous work in my

2

lab I have used immunofluorescence to study the endocytosis of transferrin in the presence of normal and mutant dynamin (Lee *et al.*, 1999). This assay suffers from the fact that it is qualitative. The quantitative assay that I have chosen is an ELISA assay, based on the protocol developed by Sandra Schmid's lab (Smythe *et al.*, 1992). At this point I have the assay working reproducibly in my hands. I can reliably show that internalization of ligands occurs when cells are incubated with ligand and shifted to 37 °C. At the end of paper is a representative result showing internalization of ligand (transferring) in a time-course experiment.

To demonstrate the soundness of the assay, I have measured the internalization of ligands by cells in which wild type and mutant dynamin have been stably transfected. The mutant dynamin, K44A, is a dominant-negative mutant that inhibits receptormediated endocytosis in vivo. The prediction is that one will see normal internalization in cells transfected with normal dynamin, but internalization will be suppressed in K44Atransfected cells. This is what we found.

The next phase of my project will be to make mutated erbB-2, by introducing the endocytosis sequence of erbB-1 into erbB-2. I will then co-transfect normal and mutant erbB-2s with other erbB receptors, and study the effects of their overexpression on EGF internalization and signaling in transfected cells. In light of the results of Waterman *et al.*, I shall also do the converse experiment and introduce part of the cytoplasmic end of erbB-2 into that of erbB-1. If, as expected, some or all of the mutations in erbB-2 do change the endocytosis behavior of erbB-2 and that of another erbB receptor that forms heterodimers with erbB-2, then the part of erbB-2 that was replaced can be introduced

into the erbB receptor directly. The prediction is that even in cells without normal erbB-2 the resultant chimeric receptor will behave as if erbB-2 were present.

.

Internalization of transferrin In a time-course experiment

.

÷

•

.

time internal-		OD (492 nm)		std. dev.	
ization (min)	run #1	run #2	run #3		
0	0	0.011	0.012	0.00767	0.00665833
2	0.048	0.039	0.027	0.038	0.01053565
5	0.083	0.084	0.108	0.0917	0.01415392
10	0.092	0.103	0.136	0.1107	0.02289833
20	0.135	0.116	0.133	0.128	0.01044031

Internalization of transferrin In a time-course experiment

+

Transferrin Internalized



Time of internalization (in min)

Key Research Accomplishments

•

٠

- development of a quantitative assay that can be used to measure internalization of EGF/EGFR;
- show that the assay is sound with cells that express normal and mutant dynamins.

Reportable Outcomes

None yet.

Conclusions

The principle behind the first task- cytoplasmic domains of erbB receptors are important in the regulation of endocytosis/down-regulation- is shown by Waterman *et al.* (1999). Given that I shifted my focus to the development of a quantitative assay for measuring EGF/EGFR internalization, which will be invaluable later when I begin to introduce normal and mutant erbB-2 into cells expressing other erbB receptors and study the effects of their overexpression on EGF internalization.

"So What"

To show that motifs in erbB receptors that mediate internalization/downregulation also affect their signaling, because aberrant signaling of erbB receptors can lead to cellular transformation, it is essential that a sensitive assay that measures small difference in endocytosis is developed. This way, one can meaningfully compare behavior of cells expressing normal and mutant erbB-2. If we can establish that the oncogenecity of erbB-2 depends on its internalization characteristics, this would argue that the endocytosis machinery could be a useful drug target.

References

Baulinda J, Kraus MH, Alimandi M, Di Fiore PP, and Carpenter G. (1996) J. Biol. Chem. 271, 5251-7.

Waterman H, Alroy I, Strano S, Seger R, and Yarden Y. (1999) EMBO J. 18, 3348-58.

Waterman H, Sabanai I, Geiger B, and Yarden Y. (1998) J. Biol. Chem. 273, 13819-27.

Lee A, Frank DW, Marks MS, and Lemmon MA (1999) Curr. Biol. 9, 261-4.

Smythe E, Redelmeier TE, and Schmid SL. (1992) Methods in Enzymology 219, 223-34.