AD

GRANT NUMBER DAMD17-97-1-7059

TITLE: Isolation of Genes Required for the Regulated Separation of Sister Chromatids

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Duncan J. Clarke, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: The Scripps Research Institute La Jolla, California 92037

REPORT DATE: June 1999

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

# 20000303 140

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden for this collection of informa gathering and maintaining the data needed, and com collection of information, including suggestions for r Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4	tion is estimated to average 1 hour per res pleting and reviewing the collection of info educing this burden, to Washington Headq 1302, and to the Office of Management an	ponse, including the time for reviewing in rmation. Send comments regarding this uarters Services, Directorate for Informat d Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project	nstructions, searching existing data sources, burden estimate or any other aspect of this ion Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson 0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE June 1999	3. REPORT TYPE AND DA Annual (1 Jun	TES COVERED 9 98 - 31 May 99)
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5.	FUNDING NUMBERS
Isolation of Genes Required for the	Regulated Separation of Sis	ter Chromatids DA	AMD17-97-1-7059
6. AUTHOR(S)			
Duncan J. Clarke, Ph.D.			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
The Scripps Research Institute La Jolla, California 92037			
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGE U.S. Army Medical Research and Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-50	NCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS( Materiel Command 12	ES) 10	SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY S	STATEMENT	12	b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word)	sl		
Aneuploidy is a significant fact controls causes aneuploidy. Ou fidelity of chromosome segrega identified a novel Pds1-depend- with mitotic anaphase. It is a previously described. A detailed required for regulated chromoso revealed a likely mechanism thr Rad23 and Ddi1 contain a nove proteins. Rad23 and Ddi1 UBA closely related human homolog checkpoint mechanisms are a p	tor in the tumorogenic progress in goal has been to characterise ation. The anaphase inhibitor 1 ent checkpoint pathway that pr distinct control system from th d characterisation of this checkpoint segregation were identified, rough which Rad23 and Ddi1 m d protein interaction domain (U s are essential for genetic intera- ues that are likely to be require otential cause of aneuploidy th	ion of breast cells. Failure in checkpoint proteins required Pds1p is critically involved in events aneuploidy by coordin e established S-phase checkpo point pathway is underway. T Rad23 and Ddi1. Structure/f ay regulate Pds1 (by binding BA) that binds to ubiquitin an actions with a <i>pds1</i> mutant. The d for human checkpoint contr at contributes to the etiology	a cell cycle checkpoint to maintain the a this regulation. We ating DNA replication bint pathways wo more genes function studies to ubiqutinated Pds1). d ubiquitinated nese genes have ols. Failure in such of breast cancer.
14. SUBJECT TERMS Breast Cancer			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 23
Aneuploidy, Non-disjunction	on, Chromosome segregation,	Cohesion proteins	16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 18	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICAT	TION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRAC

.

.

#### FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army.

\_\_\_\_\_ Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

\_\_\_\_\_ Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

<u>Citations of constitute an official Department of Army</u> endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, national Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

V For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.

JC/ In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.

In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.

Signature

## Table of contents

•

٠

•

	Page number
Front cover	1
SF298	2
Foreword	3
Table of contents	4
Revised SOW	5
Introduction	6
Body of 1999 annual report	7-11
Figures	12-13
Appendices	14-15
1. Key accomplishments	14
2. Reportable outcomes	15

6.20.99

### Statement Of Work (Revised for the period 6/1/1998-5/31/2000)

Isolation of genes required for the regulated separation of sister chromatids

PI: Duncan J. Clarke, Ph.D. DAMD17-97-1-7059

### Technical objective 1 (revised and expanded) Screens for new proteins involved in chromatid segregation

Task 1. Months 13-36. Detailed analysis of the Pds1-dependent checkpoint that couples DNA replication with mitosis.

Task 2. Months 13-24. Determine the relationship between the sensitivity of pds1 mutant cells to the DNA replication inhibitor hydroxyurea and the pds1 mutant S-phase checkpoint defect. Does the checkpoint defect result in chromosome non-disjunction?

Task 3. Months 13-36. Compare the Pds1-dependent S-phase checkpoint pathway with the established checkpoint pathways that couple DNA replication with mitosis.

Task 4. Months 13-24. Analysis of other factors that affect Pds1 function.

Task 5. Months 13-36. Determine the functions of the *pds1* mutant suppressors, Rad23 and Ddi1.

Technical objective 2. Characterization of CST1 (Discontinued - no longer relevant; see 1998 annual report)

Technical objective 3. Characterization of DAM1 (Discontinued - no longer relevant; see 1998 annual report)

#### Introduction

#### Subject, purpose and scope of research

Aneuploidy is a prevalent genetic affliction and a significant factor in malignancies including those of the breast. Since aneuploidy can result from mitotic non-disjunction, understanding the process of chromosome segregation is crucial. Segregation fidelity relies on elaborate mechanics, precisely regulated by cell cycle checkpoint controls. The purpose of this research has been to identify new proteins that are required for this regulation. In budding yeast, chromosome segregation is controlled by ubiquitin-dependent degradation of the anaphase inhibitor Pds1p. During an unpurturbed cell cycle, Pds1p becomes poly-ubiquitinated at the metaphase to anaphase transition by multi-enzyme APC/cyclosome complexes; the modified forms are then recognized and degraded by 26S proteasome particles. Pds1p degradation initiates displacement of chromatid cohesion proteins, thus allowing sister separation and the onset of anaphase. Our goal has been to characterize new proteins that function to maintain the fidelity of chromosome segregation. Pds1p is critically involved in this regulation. Therefore we aimed to identify new proteins that interact with Pds1p. We describe the identification of two genes which are required for regulated chromosome segregation: these genes have very closely related human homologues. In addition we have identified a novel Pds1-dependent checkpoint pathway is underway.

#### Body of 1999 annual report

#### 1. Results

In the 1998 annual report we described evidence that Pds1 is required for S-phase checkpoint control. In the last 12 months we have performed a detailed analysis of this checkpoint system. This study has revealed that a novel Pds1p-dependent checkpoint coordinates DNA replication with mitotic anaphase. The Pds1-dependent checkpoint is a distinct control system from the established S-phase checkpoint pathways previously described. Thus, there are 2 separate pathways involved in coordinating replication with anaphase. Loss of either of these checkpoint controls causes aneuploidy.

We have also made progress in characterizing the functions of Rad23 and Ddi1, 2 novel high copy suppressors of a *pds1* mutant (pds1-128). Rad23 and Ddi1 are likely to be important regulators of chromosome segregation. However, dissection of their functions in this process is a complex task. Rad23 is a multi-domain protein required for nucleotide excision repair (NER), but has a second unknown function responsible for the suppression of the *pds1* S-phase checkpoint defect. Ddi1 has a very similar structure to Rad23, and thus may perform similar functions. The multi-functionality of these proteins makes analysis of the phenotypes of rad23 and ddi1 mutants inherrently complicated. For this reason we decided to employ a structure/function study of Rad23 and Ddi1. First we asked whether specific domains of Rad23 and Ddi1 are required for high copy suppression of *pds1* mutant cells. This lead to a very exciting observation that the UBA domains of Rad23 and Ddi1 are essential for the suppression. The function of UBA domains is not known, though they are present in different classes of enzyme involved in ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis; an intriguing coincidence given the dependence of Pds1p proteolysis on the ubiquitin system. Futher analysis of the UBAs revealed that they are novel protein interaction domains. Rad23 and Ddi1 form heteroand homo-dimers and in addition can interact with ubiquitin and ubiquitinated proteins. We find that most of these interactions are UBA-dependent. The UBA-dependent suppression of the *pds1* S-phase checkpoint defect suggests that the UBAs mediate checkpoint activation.

## **1.1** Characterization of the Pds1-dependent S-phase checkpoint *1.1a*

## Premature spindle elongation and loss of sister chromatid cohesion during S-phase in pds1 muntants

For a detailed study of the role of Pds1p in S phase checkpoint control, we

monitored the onset of anaphase in kinetic cell cycle analysis experiments. In budding veast, mitotic spindles assemble during S-phase. Replication is normally completed before short G2 spindles form, but in the presence of 100mM hydroxyurea (HU), replication proceeds at a reduced rate and the S-phase checkpoint must delay anaphase to allow the completion of replication. Under these conditions, loss of S phase checkpoint control can be unequivocally demonstrated by measuring the relative timing of DNA replication and the onset of anaphase. Wild type and pds1-128 cells were synchronized in G1, then released into rich medium containing 100mM HU. To estimate the timing of anaphase onset, sister centromere separation (SCS) was monitored (Fig. 1). Although both strains budded and progressed through S phase with similar timing, SCS was advanced in pds1-128. At least 36% of budded pds1-128 cells had undergone sister centromere separation at a time when most cells were still in S phase, according to FACScan analysis (not shown). Thus, pds1-128 cells engage in premature sister centromere separation when the coupling of S phase and mitosis is challenged. In addition, mitotic spindles elongated prematurely in the pds1-128 mutant (Fig. 2). pds1-128 cells initiated anaphase when about 2/3 of the genome had been replicated.

#### 1.1b

#### The pds1 mutant S-phase checkpoint defect results in aneuploidy

Uncoupling S phase from mitosis in pds1-128 cells resulted in aberrant anaphase (Fig 3) that caused aneuploidy. After one generation in the presence of HU, a population of cells with less than 1N DNA content was detectable by FACScan analysis. 30% of the newly divided cells exhibited gain or loss of the centromere region of chromosome IV (Fig. 4), indicating that many nuclei failed to segregate evenly. After 3 generation times in HU, 50% of cells had an excess of centromere region IV signals, and 21% had <1N DNA content. These abortive attempts at anaphase closely resemble those described for *scc1* mutants, in which sister chromatid cohesion fails to become established during S phase. We conclude that *pds1* mutants are defective in S-phase checkpoint control and that this defect results in aneuploidy.

#### 1.1c

#### The Pds1-dependent checkpoint is a novel S-phase checkpoint pathway

To gain more information about the Pds1-dependent S-phase checkpoint, we compared the checkpoint defect of pds1-128 with that of other S-phase checkpoint mutants, *mec1* and *rad53*. When the *mec1* checkpoint defect was analysed during partial inhibition of replication, we observed that *mec1* cells initiated anaphase as soon as

spindle assembly occurred (Fig. 5). This was also true of *rad53* mutant cells (not shown). In contrast, although *pds1-128* cells began anaphase prematurely, there was a distinct period in early S phase when mitosis was restrained. Therefore, Pds1, as well as Mec1 and Rad53, is essential to coordinate ongoing DNA replication with mitosis. Although all of these proteins are required, they must act at different times in S phase and in distinct pathways. Mec1/Rad53 must function either independently of Pds1 throughout S phase, or independently of Pds1 in early S phase but upstream of Pds1 later in S phase. We have proposed that an event intrinsic to the progression of DNA replication elicits a switch in the mode of checkpoint regulation (Fig 6). Since Pds1p is required for maintaining sister centromere cohesion, the Pds1p-dependent pathway may operate only once centromere cohesion has been established.

#### 1.2 Structure/function analysis of Rad23 and Ddi1

#### 1.2a

#### Rad23 UBA2 is dispensible for nucleotide excision repair

We tested whether the C-terminal UBA of Rad23 (UBA2) is required for the nucleotide excision repair function Rad23 by replacing the endogenous RAD23 gene with a  $rad23\Delta UBA2[MYC]6X$  fusion or a full length RAD23[MYC]6X fusion. Both fusion proteins were present in equal amounts in yeast cells (not shown). These strains were wild type with regard to UV sensitivity; clearly Rad23 UBA2 is dispensible for nucleotide excision repair.

#### 1.1b

## RAD23 and DDI1 UBA domains are required for suppression of the pds1-128 S-phase checkpoint defect

Rad23p and Ddi1p may have a redundant function in the S phase checkpoint. Since they share a conserved UBA domain, we suspected that these domains might mediate the checkpoint signal. If correct, deletions of the *RAD23* and *DDI1* UBA domains should abolish their suppressor effects on *pds1-128*. Therefore we made truncated versions of *RAD23* and *DDI1* (*GAL1:rad23\DeltaUBA2* and *GAL1:ddi1\DeltaUBA*) which lack the C-terminal UBA domains (about 10% of each protein deleted) under the control of the *GAL1* promoter. These were unable to rescue the temperature sensitivity of *pds1-128* when expressed from the *GAL1* promoter, though full length versions of either protein did rescue (Fig 7). The lack of rescue was not due to a reduced stability of the truncated proteins since tagged versions (*GAL1:rad23\DeltaUBA2[MYC]6X*,

GAL1:RAD23[MYC]6X,  $GAL1:ddi1\Delta UBA[HIS]6X$  and GAL1:DD11[HIS]6X) were present at the same level in yeast cells and gave the same result in the pds1-128 rescue assay.

The ability of the mutant forms to rescue the hydroxyurea sensitivity of pds1-128 was also examined. Induction of  $GAL1:ddi1\Delta UBA$  was unable to rescue the hydroxyurea sensitivity (Fig. 8).  $GAL1:rad23\Delta UBA2$  could partially rescue the hydroxyurea sensitivity, consistent with the presence of a second UBA domain located internally (retained in the  $GAL1:rad23\Delta UBA2$  mutant) which may mediate a partial functional interaction with pds1-128. Therefore, the UBA domain of Ddi1p is essential for suppression of the pds1-128 hydroxyurea sensitivity, and deletion of 1 of 2 UBA domains from Rad23 reduces the ability of Rad23 to rescue the hydroxyurea sensitivity pds1-128 cells.

#### 1.1c

RAD23 and DD11 UBA domains are required for the enhancement of the esp1 mutant phenotype

To establish the relevance of UBA-dependent suppression of pds1 by high dosage RAD23/DDI1, we investigated possible genetic interactions between  $GAL1:rad23\Delta UBA2/GAL1:ddi1\Delta UBA$  and esp1 mutants. The biological relevance of the ESP1/PDS1 genetic interaction is that Pds1p binds to Esp1p and thereby inhibits the anaphase-promoting activity of Esp1p. We described in the 1998 annual report that RAD23/DDI1 overexpression enhances the temperature sensitivity of esp1 mutants. If the UBAs of Rad23/Ddi1 are required for specifically regulating Pds1, the genetic interaction with esp1 should be UBA-dependent. Indeed, the lethality of strains with a temperature sensitive esp1 allele was greatly enhanced by over-expression of RAD23/DDI1 but not by overexpression of  $rad23\Delta UBA2$  or  $ddi1\Delta UBA$  (Fig 9).

#### 2. Summary and Discussion

We are performing a detailed analysis of a novel Pds1p-dependent checkpoint system that coordinates DNA replication with mitotic anaphase. The checkpoint is a distinct control system from the established Mec1/Rad53 pathway. Failure of the Pds1p-dependent checkpoint pathway results in aneuploidy. The genetic and cell biology techniques developed during this work will be important for future analysis of checkpoint controls.

A structure/function analysis of pds1-128 dosage suppressors (Rad23 and Ddi1) has been initiated. Since Pds1-dependent checkpoint control depends on regulating Pds1

stability, it is logical that Rad23/Ddi1 increase stability of Pds1. Rad23 was recently shown to interact physically with the complex responsible for Pds1 degradation: the Mphase specific 26S proteasome, but this complex apparently functions in DNA repair rather than in protein degradation. It is therefore likely to be significant that we find interactions between Rad23/Ddi1 and ubiquitin and ubiquitinated proteins. Moreover, these interactions are dependent on the Rad23/Ddi1 UBA domains, and as described above, these domains are required for suppression of *pds1*. This provides an alternative mechanism through which Rad23/Ddi1 may stabilise Pds1. The human homologues of *RAD23* and *DDI1* are structurally conserved, suggesting that they have analogous functions in human cell cycle control. In terms of training, the structure/function studies have been a valuable learning experience. Currently, the analysis has been extended to a random and directed mutagenesis of the UBA domains and we are collaborating to solve crystal structures containing the relevant interaction partners.



Loss of sister centromere cohesion during S phase in pdsl-128 cells G1-arrested wild type and pdsl-128 cells were released into rich medium containing 100mM HU. Budding index and sister separation at the centromeric region of chromosome IV (visualized by utilizing the binding of tetR-GFP fusion proteins to tandemly integrated tetO sequences at the centromere-linked *TRP1* locus) were scored, and S phase-index estimated from FACSean analysis. Wild type, squares; pdsl-128, diamonds; gray fill, budding index; open symbols. S phase cells; solid fill, separation of sister centromeres.



Premature spindle elongation during S phase in pds1-128 cells. Experimental design as in Fig 1. Budding index (gray fill), short spindle formation (open symbols) and spindle elongation (solid fill) were scored. Spindles were visualized by expressing a GFP-TUB1 construct.



#### Fig 3

Aberrant mitosis part-way through S phase in pds1-128 cells. Aberrant late anaphase; spindle fully elongated, nuclei not divided/unequally divided.

DNA

GFP-Tubulin

#### Fig 4

Aneuploidy induced by growth with 100mM hydroxyurea in pds1-128. The cell depicted has an undivided nucleus away from bud neck with 2 GFP signals. Chromosome IV centromere detected by tetR-GFP fusions binding to tetO sequences at the TRP1 locus.

DNA

•

GFP-Chr IV centromere



**Early S phase spindle elongation in mec1-J cells.** Experimental design as in Fig 1. Budding index (gray fill), short spindle formation (open symbols) and spindle elongation (solid fill) were scored. Spindles were visualized by expressing a *GFP-TUB1* construct.



#### Fig 6

Model for coupling replication with mitosis. Possible modes of S phase checkpoint regulation: Mec1/Rad53 and Pds1 are essential components of distinct and sequential checkpoint pathways which block mitosis, one active in early S phase, the other active part-way through S phase, either operating in parallel (solid arrows) or operating in series (additional broken arrow). Part-way through S phase there is a switch (\*) in the mode of checkpoint control.

#### Fig7

**UBA-dependent suppression of** *pds1-128*. Rad23 and Ddi1 UBA are required for supression of *pds1-128* temperature sensitivity. Full length or truncated versions of *RAD23* and *DD11* expressed from the GAL1 promotor. 30°C 37°C



#### Fig8

UBA-dependent suppression of *pds1-128* HU sensitivity. Rad23 and Ddi1 UBA are required for supression of *pds1-128* HU sensitivity. Full length or truncated versions of *RAD23* and *DD11* expressed from the GAL1 promotor. *GAL1:RAD23AUBA* partially suppressed the HU sensitivity (not shown).



#### Fig 9

**UBA-dependent enhancement of** *esp1*. Rad23 and Ddi1 UBA are required for enhancement of *esp1* temperature sensitivity. Full length or truncated versions of *RAD23* and *DD11* expressed from the GAL1 promotor. Enhancement was also UBA-dependent in the case of DD11 (not shown).



### Key Research Accomplishments

- Identification of a novel Pds1-dependent checkpoint control that couples DNA replication with mitosis
- Characterization of Pds1, Mec1 and Rad53 functions in S-phase checkpoint control
- Identification of high copy suppressors of a *pds1* mutant (Rad23 and Ddi1)
- Demonstration that Rad23 and Ddi1 UBAs are required for suppression of pds1
- Demonstration that Rad23 and Ddi1 UBAs are novel protein interaction domains
- Demonstration that Rad23 and Ddi1 bind to ubiquitin

#### **Reportable Outcomes**

#### Manuscripts

- 1. <u>Clarke, D.J.</u>, Segal, M., Mondésert, G. and Reed, S.I. (1999). The Pds1 anaphase inhibitor and Mec1 kinase define distinct checkpoints coupling S phase with mitosis in budding yeast. *Current Biol.* 9:365-368.
- 2. <u>Clarke, D.J.</u>, and Giménez-Abián, J.F. (1999). Invited Review: Checkpoints controlling entry into mitosis. *Bioessays*, In preparation.
- 3. <u>Clarke, D.J.</u>, Mondésert, G., Segal, M., and Reed, S.I. **Dosage supressors of pds1 checkpoint** control defects. In preparation.
- 4. Bertolaet, B., <u>Clarke, D.J.</u>, and Reed, S.I. **UBA domain interactions in budding yeast**. In preparation.
- 5. <u>Clarke, D.J.</u>, Segal, M., Jensen, S. and Reed, S.I. Anatomy of the S-phase checkpoint in budding yeast. In preparation.

#### **Platform Presentations**

- Upstream elements activating the Pds1-dependent anaphase-checkpoint in yeast. <u>Duncan J.</u> <u>Clarke</u>, Marisa Segal, Guillaume Mondésert and Steven I. Reed. *EMBO Fellows Meeting*, 12-14th July 1998, Heidelberg, Germany.
- 2. Distinct and sequential S-phase checkpoint controls in yeast. <u>Duncan J. Clarke</u>, Marisa Segal, Sanne Jensen, Guillaume Mondésert and Steven I. Reed. *The Salk Institute Inaugural Cell Cycle Meeting*, 18th-22nd June 1999, La Jolla, CA.
- Cyclin-dependent kinase and Cks/Suc1 interact with the proteasome in yeast to control proteolysis of M-phase targets. <u>Mark H. Watson</u>, Peter Kaiser, Vincent Moncollin, Duncan J. Clarke, Bonnie L. Bertolaet, Steven I. Reed and Eric Bailly. *The Salk Institute Inaugural Cell Cycle Meeting*, 18th-22nd June 1999, La Jolla, CA.

#### **Poster Presentations**

1. Role Of Pds1 In S-Phase Checkpoint Control. <u>Duncan J. Clarke</u>, Marisa Segal, Guillaume Mondésert and Steven I. Reed. *British Society for Cell Biology Spring Meeting 1999, Manchester, U.K.* 

#### Submitted Applications for Funding

1. NIH Grant (RO1).

## The Pds1 anaphase inhibitor and Mec1 kinase define distinct checkpoints coupling S phase with mitosis in budding yeast

Duncan J. Clarke\*<sup>†</sup>, Marisa Segal\*<sup>†</sup>, Guillaume Mondésert<sup>‡</sup> and Steven I. Reed\*

In most eukaryotic cells, DNA replication is confined to S phase of the cell cycle [1]. During this interval, S-phase checkpoint controls restrain mitosis until replication is complete [2]. In budding yeast, the anaphase inhibitor Pds1p has been associated with the checkpoint arrest of mitosis when DNA is damaged or when mitotic spindles have formed aberrantly [3,4], but not when DNA replication is blocked with hydroxyurea (HU). Previous studies have implicated the protein kinase Mec1p in S-phase checkpoint control [5]. Unlike mec1 mutants, pds1 mutants efficiently inhibit anaphase when replication is blocked. This does not, however, exclude an essential S-phase checkpoint function of Pds1 beyond the early S-phase arrest point of a HU block. Here, we show that Pds1p is an essential component of a previously unsuspected checkpoint control system that couples the completion of S phase with mitosis. Further, the S-phase checkpoint comprises at least two distinct pathways. A Mec1p-dependent pathway operates early in S phase, but a Pds1p-dependent pathway becomes essential part way through S phase.

Addresses: \*Department of Molecular Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, California 92037, USA. \*Synthelabo Recherche, Rueil-Malmaison, France.

<sup>†</sup>D.J.C. and M.S. contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence: Steven I. Reed E-mail: sreed@scripps.edu

Received: 14 December 1998 Revised: 21 January 1999 Accepted: 15 February 1999

Published: 29 March 1999

Current Biology 1999, 9:365–368 http://biomednet.com/elecref/0960982200900365

© Elsevier Science Ltd ISSN 0960-9822

#### **Results and discussion**

In budding yeast, initiation of anaphase is controlled by the ubiquitin-dependent degradation of the anaphase inhibitor Pds1p. This process constitutes a target of late cycle checkpoint controls [6]. To address whether Pds1p is required for S-phase checkpoint control, we adopted two approaches. First, we used a hypomorphic pds1 allele, pds1-128, that causes a less severe temperature sensitivity than a null allele and is, therefore, more amenable to the study of cell-cycle events in synchronous populations. Although the restrictive temperature for growth of pds1-128 cells is 37°C, DNA damage and spindle assembly checkpoint defects are

apparent at 26°C, comparable to those previously described for the *pds1-1* mutant [3,4]. Although a replication block induced by 400 mM HU caused *pds1-128* and *pds1* $\Delta$  cells to checkpoint-arrest (data not shown), these mutants were highly sensitive to non-replication-arresting doses of HU (50–100 mM; Figure 1a). On solid medium containing 100 mM HU, *pds1-128* mutants formed microcolonies (Figure 1b,c). In liquid medium containing 100 mM HU, at least 50% of *pds1-128* cells lost viability per generation (see Supplementary material published with this article on the internet). Crucially, *rad9* $\Delta$  cells, defective for DNA damage checkpoint control, were not sensitive to 100 mM HU (Figure 1a). Hence, the sensitivity of *pds1* mutants does not result from a Rad9p-dependent DNA damage checkpoint defect.

Second, we performed kinetic studies in which the coupling of S phase with mitosis was challenged by partial inhibition of replication. Normally, replication is completed before short G2 spindles form [7]. In the presence of 100 mM HU, replication proceeds at a reduced rate [7]. Only once cells have budded and formed short mitotic spindles must anaphase be delayed to allow the completion of replication. Under these conditions, loss of S-phase





Sensitivity of pds1 mutants to HU. Serial dilutions of mid-log cells from wild type (WT), pds1 or rad9 mutants were spotted onto solid YEPD medium or onto YEPD containing HU and grown at 30°C (25°C for  $pds1\Delta$ ). (a) Spot growth was recorded after 2–3 days. After 24 h, microcolonies were (b) counted and (c) photographed.





Loss of sister centromere cohesion during S phase in pds1-128 cells. Wild-type (WT) and pds1-128 cells were arrested in G1 with 200 ng/ml  $\alpha$  factor (at least 90% unbudded cells), then released into rich medium containing 100 mM HU at 30°C. (a) Cell aliquots were taken at given time intervals for scoring budding index (gray symbols), sister centromere separation (SCS) for chromosome IV (black symbols), the percentage of cells in S phase (open symbols), and for FACScan analysis of DNA content. (b) Histograms show the DNA content of cells in samples at selected time points (the time following  $\alpha$  factor release and the percentage of separated centromeres are indicated above the corresponding graph). When *pds1-128* cells divided before DNA replication was complete, nuclear division was unequal. This resulted in G1 cells with greater than 1N DNA content; the next S phase further increased the DNA content of these cells, a fact apparent late in this time course (notice the sub-2N peak for the *pds1-128* cells at 3.5 h).

#### Figure 3



Distinct checkpoint control defects in pds1 and mec1 mutants. Strains were G1-arrested as in Figure 2, then released into rich medium containing 100 mM HU at 26°C. Cell aliquots were taken at given time intervals to score budding index (gray symbols), short spindle formation (open symbols) and spindle elongation (black symbols), and for FACScan analysis of DNA content. Each strain replicated DNA with similar kinetics (the kinetics of replication in mec1-1 cells could not be determined because these cells began anaphase before much DNA had been replicated; data not shown). (a) Wild-type (WT) and pds1-128 cells. (b) Wild-type and rad94 cells. (c) Wild-type (in both the 15Daub and A364a genetic backgrounds) and mec1-1 (A364a genetic background) cells. (d) Dot plots of DNA content versus forward scatter for wild-type, *pds1-128* and *mec1-1* cells grown in rich media with or without 100 mM HU for three generations following release from  $\alpha$  factor. The positions of 1N and 2N DNA content are indicated. In the presence of 100 mM HU, both pds1-128 and mec1-1 cultures contain populations of cells with less than 1N DNA content: about 10% of the total cells after the first division (7 h after release from  $\alpha$  factor) of both mutants; 21% for pds1-128 after three generations (14 h after release); and 31% for mec1-1 after three generations (14 h after release).

checkpoint control can be unequivocally demonstrated by measuring the relative timing of budding, DNA replication, spindle assembly and the onset of anaphase. Others have identified proteins required for checkpoint arrest when replication has been blocked [5]; we examined checkpoint control during ongoing DNA replication.

Wild-type and pds1-128 cells were synchronized in G1 by adding  $\alpha$  factor, then released into liquid YEPD medium containing 100 mM HU. To estimate the timing of the onset of anaphase, sister centromere separation was monitored (Figure 2a). Although both strains budded and progressed through S phase with similar timing, sister centromere separation was advanced in pds1-128 cells. At least 36% of budded pds1-128 cells had undergone sister centromere separation at a time when most cells were still in S phase, according to FACScan analysis (Figure 2b). Thus, pds1-128 cells engage in premature sister centromere separation when the coupling of S phase and mitosis is challenged.

Other aspects of anaphase also occurred prematurely in the pds1-128 mutants. For example, pds1-128 cells elongated mitotic spindles about 2 hours before wild-type cells (Figure 3a), even though both strains replicated DNA with similar timing (data not shown). Considering the relatively short G2 interval in budding yeast, the 2 hour advancement of spindle elongation indicates that most pds1-128 cells must have initiated anaphase before replication was complete. Indeed, FACScan profiles (data not shown, but see Figure 1) revealed that most cells had less than a 2N DNA content at a time when the bulk of the population had initiated spindle elongation. Wild-type and pds1-128 cells progressed through S phase and began anaphase with indistinguishable timing in the absence of HU (see Supplementary material).

Uncoupling S phase from mitosis in pds1-128 cells had several consequences. Following release from  $\alpha$  factor in the presence of 100 mM HU, 50% of pds1-128 cells engaged in an aberrant mitosis (Figure 4). After the first division, a population of cells with less than 1N DNA content was detectable by FACScan analysis (Figure 3d), and 30% of the newly divided cells exhibited gain or loss of the centromere region of chromosome IV (17% of cells had no centromere region IV signal, 13% had a signal >1; Figure 4), indicating that many nuclei failed to segregate evenly. After three generation times in HU, 50% of cells had an excess of centromere region IV signals and 21% had <1N DNA content. These abortive attempts at anaphase closely resemble those described for scc1 mutants, in which sister chromatid cohesion fails to become established during S phase [8].

The sensitivity of *pds1* mutants to HU could partly reflect the DNA damage checkpoint defect of these cells because,





Aberrant mitosis part way through S phase in pds1-128 cells. (a,b,f,g) Wild-type and (c-e,h,i) pds1-128 cells grown in medium containing 100 mM HU. (a-e) Images are from differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy, 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining to visualize the chromosomes, and fluorescence microscopy to visualize spindles (using GFP-labeled TUB1). (f--i) Images are from DIC microscopy, DAPI staining and fluorescence microscopy to visualize the centrosome of chromosome IV (using GFP-labeled tetR). (a) Normal early anaphase: spindle partly elongated, nucleus stretched at bud neck. (b) Normal late anaphase: spindle fully elongated, nucleus divided. (c,d) Aberrant early anaphases: spindles partly elongated, nuclei abnormally positioned and stretched. (e) Aberrant late anaphase: spindle fully elongated, nucleus not divided. (f) Normal late anaphase: nuclei divided, each has one GFP signal. (g) Normal early anaphase: nucleus at bud neck, slightly separated centromeres (adjacent GFP signals). (h) Aneuploid pds1-128 cell: undivided nucleus away from bud neck; two GFP signals. (i) Aneuploid late anaphase pds1-128 cells; one nucleus has two GFP signals.

Figure 5



Model for coupling replication with mitosis. Possible modes of S-phase checkpoint regulation: Mec1p and Pds1p are essential components of distinct and sequential checkpoint pathways that block mitosis, one active in early S phase, the other active part way through S phase, either operating in parallel (solid lines) or operating in series (additional broken arrow). Part way through S phase (gray arrow), there is a switch (\*) in the mode of checkpoint control.

during S phase, HU inevitably causes replicative stress. The severe an euploidy induced by HU treatment in *pds1* mutants suggests, however, that loss of coordination between replication and mitosis is responsible for the lethality. Indeed,  $rad9\Delta$  cells, defective for all known aspects of DNA damage checkpoint control [9] but not sensitive to HU (Figure 1), were proficient at coupling S phase with mitosis, using the same experimental conditions as described above (Figure 3b). Therefore, the S-phase Pds1pdependent checkpoint system is Rad9p-independent.

We have shown that Pds1p is required to couple ongoing replication with mitosis. An outstanding issue is why pds1mutants arrest efficiently when replication is completely blocked. One intriguing explanation could be that S phase and mitosis are coupled by distinct checkpoint pathways that act sequentially. To test this, we compared the checkpoint defect of pds1-128 with that of *mec1*, the prototypic S-phase checkpoint mutant [5]. When the *mec1* checkpoint defect was analysed during partial inhibition of replication, we observed that *mec1* cells initiated anaphase as soon as spindle assembly occurred (Figure 3c). In contrast, although pds1-128 cells began anaphase prematurely, there was a distinct period in early S phase when mitosis was restrained. This was also true of the pds1 null mutant (see Supplementary material).

Rather than regulating mitotic kinase activity, the budding yeast DNA damage and spindle assembly checkpoints inhibit anaphase directly by stabilizing the inhibitor Pds1p [6]. This work demonstrates that Pds1p, as well as Mec1p, is essential to coordinate ongoing DNA replication with mitosis. Although both of these proteins are required, they must act at different times in S phase and in distinct pathways (Figure 5). Mec1p must function either independently of Pds1p throughout S phase, or

independently of Pds1p in early S phase but upstream of Pds1p later in S phase. We propose that an event intrinsic to the progression of DNA replication elicits a switch in the mode of checkpoint regulation. As Pds1p is required for maintaining sister centromere cohesion, the Pds1pdependent pathway may operate only once centromere cohesion has been established, an event that is likely to be completed by mid-S phase, when centromeric regions have been replicated [8,10]. Alternatively, Pds1p may be required following the initiation of late replication origins, because Mec1p was recently shown to be essential for an early S-phase checkpoint that inhibits late origin firing [11]. In response to DNA damage and spindle assembly checkpoint controls, Pds1p degradation is inhibited. By analogy, ongoing DNA replication may signal Pds1p stabilization in order to restrain anaphase until replication is completed.

#### Supplementary material

Additional methodological details, yeast strain genotypes and supplementary figures are published with this article on the internet.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank T. Weinert for yeast strains, A.; Straight and A. Murray for TUB1-GFP constructs, M. Wolff for GFP:tet system construction, and M. Smeets, who originally observed that *pds1* mutants are sensitive to subarresting concentrations of HU. D.J.C. was funded by EMBO and US Army Breast Cancer Research fellowships. M.S. was funded by EMBO and HFSP fellowships.

#### References

- 1. Mitchison JM: *The Biology of the Cell Cycle.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1971.
- Elledge SJ: Cell cycle checkpoints: preventing an identity crisis. Science 1996, 274:1664-1672.
- Yamamoto A, Guacci V, Koshland D: Pds1p, an inhibitor of anaphase in budding yeast, plays a critical role in the APC and checkpoint pathway(s). J Cell Biol 1996, 133:99-110.
- Yamamoto A, Guacci V, Koshland D: Pds1p is required for faithful execution of anaphase in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Cell Biol 1996, 133:85-97.
- Weinert TA, Kiser GL, Hartwell LH: Mitotic checkpoint genes in budding yeast and the dependence of mitosis on DNA replication and repair. *Genes Dev* 1994, 8:652-665.
- 6. Cohen-Fix O, Peters JM, Kirschner MW, Koshland D: Anaphase initiation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is controlled by the APC-dependent degradation of the anaphase inhibitor Pds1p. *Genes Dev* 1996, **10:**3081-3093.
- Lew DJ, Weinert TA, Pringle JR: Cell cycle control in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. In The Molecular and Cellular Biology of the Yeast Saccharomyces: Cell Cycle and Cell Biology. Edited by Pringle JR, Broach JR, Jones EW. Cold Spring Harbor, New York: Cold Spring Harbor Press; 1997:607-696.
- Uhlmann F, Nasmyth K: Cohesion between sister chromatids must be established during DNA replication. *Curr Biol* 1998, 8:1095-1101.
- Weinert TA, Hartwell LH: The RAD9 gene controls the cell cycle response to DNA damage in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Science 1988, 241:317-241.
- Campbell JL, Newlon CS: Chromosomal DNA replication. In The Molecular and Cellular Biology of the Yeast Saccharomyces: Genome Dynamics, Protein Synthesis and Energetics. Edited by Pringle JR, Broach JR, Jones EW. Cold Spring Harbor, New York: Cold Spring Harbor Press; 1991:41-146.
- Santocanale C, Diffley JFX: A Mec1- and Rad53-dependent checkpoint controls late-firing origins of DNA replication. *Nature* 1998, 395:615-618.

ROLE OF PDS1 IN S PHASE CHECKPOINT CONTROL. DUNCAN J. CLARKE, MARISA SEGAL, GUILLAUME MONDÉSERT AND STEVEN I. REED. Department of Molecular Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, California 92037, USA.

Most eukaryotic cells confine DNA replication to S phase of the cell cycle. During this interval, S phase checkpoint controls restrain mitosis until replication is complete. Other checkpoint controls respond to DNA damage or mitotic spindle defects. In budding yeast, the anaphase inhibitor Pds1p has been associated with checkpoint arrest of mitosis when DNA is damaged or if mitotic spindles have formed aberrantly, but not when DNA replication is blocked with hydroxyurea. Previous studies implicate the protein kinase Mec1p in S phase checkpoint control. Unlike *mec1*, *pds1* mutants efficiently inhibit anaphase when replication is blocked. However, this does not exclude an essential S phase checkpoint function of Pds1 beyond the early S phase arrest point of a hydroxyurea block. We find that Pds1p is an essential component of a previously unsuspected checkpoint control system that couples the completion of S phase with mitosis. Further, the S phase checkpoint comprises at least 2 distinct pathways. A Mec1p-dependent pathway operates early in S phase, but part-way through S phase, a Pds1p-dependent pathway becomes essential.

## DISTINCT AND SEQUENTIAL S-PHASE CHECKPOINT CONTROLS IN YEAST.

Duncan J. Clarke, Marisa Segal, Sanne Jensen, Guillaume Mondésert and Steven I. Reed. Department of Molecular Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037

Most eukaryotic cells confine DNA replication to S-phase of the cell cycle. During this interval, checkpoint controls restrain mitosis until replication is complete. In budding yeast, S-phase checkpoint defects have been revealed by kinetic studies in which the relative timing of DNA replication and anaphase are measured. Using GFP-Tubulin to visualize mitotic spindles, and a GFP-tagged centromere to measure sister centromere separation, we demonstrated that the S phase checkpoint comprises 2 pathways'. In early S-phase, a Mec1p-dependent pathway operates; part-way through S-phase, a Pds1p-dependent pathway becomes essential. These checkpoint systems are distinct, but moreover, operate sequentially. Thus necessitating an event intrinsic to the progression of DNA replication that elicits a switch in the mode of checkpoint regulation. Since Pds1p is required for maintaining sister chromatid cohesion, the Pds1p-dependent pathway may operate only once cohesion has been established at specific chromosomal sites such as centromeres. Alternatively, the initiation of late replication origins may precipitate the checkpoint switch, since Mec1p is essential for an early Sphase checkpoint that inhibits late origin firing. These issues are currently being addressed.

We have also investigated possible roles of other checkpoint proteins in S-phase control. Rad9p, Chk1p and Mad2p are not required, dismissing possible interplay between the DNA damage or spindle assembly checkpoint pathways with the late S-phase checkpoint pathway. Mec1 and Rad53 have long been known to function in S-phase checkpoint control. However, we find that *mec1* and *rad53* mutants display strikingly different phenotypes in relation to the function of Pds1p. These analyses have revealed how the S-phase checkpoint pathways are organized in yeast.

<sup>1</sup> Clarke DJ, Segal M, Mondésert G and Reed SI. (1999). The Pds1 anaphase inhibitor and Mec1 kinase define distinct checkpoints coupling S-phase with mitosis in budding yeast. *Curr. Biol.* 9:365-368 CYCLIN-DEPENDENT KINASE AND CKS1 INTERACT WITH THE PROTEASOME TO CONTROL PROTEOLYSIS OF M-PHASE TARGETS IN YEAST.

Mark H. Watson, Peter Kaiser, Vincent Moncollin, Duncan J. Clarke, Bonnie L. Bertolaet, Steven I Reed and Eric Bailly. Dept. of Molecular Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037

Cell cycle specific proteolysis is critical for proper execution of mitosis in all eukaryotes. Ubiquitination and subsequent proteolysis of the mitotic regulators Clb2 and Pds1 depend on the cyclosome/APC and the 26S proteasome. We report here that components of the cell cycle machinery in yeast, specifically the cell cycle regulatory cyclin-dependent kinase Cdc28 and a conserved associated protein Cks1/Suc1, interact genetically, physically, and functionally with components of the 26S proteasome. A mutation in Cdc28 (cdc28-1N) that interferes with Cks1 binding, or inactivation of Cks1 itself, confers stabilization of Clb2, the principal mitotic B-type cyclin in budding yeast. Surprisingly, Clb2 ubiquitination in vivo and in vitro is not affected by mutations in cks1, indicating that Cks1 is not essential for cyclosome/APC activity. However, mutant Cks1 proteins no longer physically interact with the proteasome, suggesting that Cks1 is required for some aspect of proteasome function during M-phase specific proteolysis. We further provide evidence that Cks1 function is required for degradation of the anaphase inbibitor Pds1. Stabilization of Pds1 is partially responsible for the metaphase arrest phenotype of cks1 mutants since deletion of PDS1 partially releaves the metaphase block in these mutants.

## UPSTREAM ELEMENTS ACTIVATING THE PDS1-DEPENDENT ANAPHASE-CHECKPOINT IN YEAST

التشهير ويتلا لأبير ولاجات والمستند فالمتكن فتشته الشيخون

Duncan J. Clarke, Guillaume Mondésert and Steven I. Reed. The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California, USA.

In response to DNA damage or incompletely replicated chromosomes, cells activate checkpoint controls which slow or arrest replication and prevent mitosis from being initiated prematurely. Therefore, checkpoints avoid replication of damaged template DNA in S phase and prevent aberrant segregation of damaged chromosomes in mitosis. One mode of checkpoint execution involves preventing the onset of anaphase. During an unperturbed cell cycle in budding yeast, the anaphase inhibitor Pds1p becomes poly-ubiquitinated by multienzyme APC/cyclosome complexes; the modified forms are recognized and degraded by 26S proteasome particles: These events initiate the onset of anaphase. Checkpoint arrest appears to be mediated through stabilization of Pds1p; thus, *PDS1* mutants are checkpoint deficient.

Although Pds1p is a key component of the anaphase-checkpoint machinery in yeast, how Pds1p stability is regulated is not known. To identify regulators of Pds1p, a genetic screen was carried out. We have found two proteins which act upstream of Pds1p in the checkpoint pathway (encoded by *PSR1* and *PSR2*; for <u>Pds1p Stability Regulator</u>). Overexpression of *PSR* genes rescues the temperature sensitivity and the checkpoint defect of *PDS1* mutants. Conversely, *PSR* mutants are checkpoint defective. As expected, overexpression of *PSR1* and *PSR2* stabilizes wild type Pds1p.