

### OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

INSPECTOR GENERAL, DOD, OVERSIGHT OF THE ARMY AUDIT AGENCY AUDIT OF THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, CIVIL WORKS PROGRAM, FY 1996 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report No. 97-125

April 10, 1997

19991227 078

40I00-03-0791

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for Public Release Distribution Unlimited

## Department of Defense

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 2

### INTERNET DOCUMENT INFORMATION FORM

A . Report Title: Inspector General, DOD, Oversight of the Army Audit Agency Audit of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works Program, FY 1996 Financial Statements

B. DATE Report Downloaded From the Internet: 12/23/99

C. Report's Point of Contact: (Name, Organization, Address, Office Symbol, & Ph #): OAIG-AUD (ATTN: AFTS Audit Suggestions) Inspector General, Department of Defense 400 Army Navy Drive (Room 801) Arlington, VA 22202-2884

#### D. Currently Applicable Classification Level: Unclassified

E. Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release

16

,

### F. The foregoing information was compiled and provided by: DTIC-OCA, Initials: \_\_VM\_\_ Preparation Date 12/23/99

The foregoing information should exactly correspond to the Title, Report Number, and the Date on the accompanying report document. If there are mismatches, or other questions, contact the above OCA Representative for resolution.

#### Additional Copies

To obtain additional copies of this audit report, contact the Secondary Reports Distribution Unit of the Analysis, Planning, and Technical Support Directorate at (703) 604-8937 (DSN 664-8937) or FAX (703) 604-8932.

#### Suggestions for Future Audits

To suggest ideas for or to request future audits, contact the Planning and Coordination Branch of the Analysis, Planning, and Technical Support Directorate at (703) 604-8939 (DSN 664-8939) or FAX (703) 604-8932. Ideas and requests can also be mailed to

> OAIG-AUD (ATTN: APTS Audit Suggestions) Inspector General, Department of Defense 400 Army Navy Drive (Room 801) Arlington, VA 22202-2884

#### **Defense Hotline**

To report fraud, waste, or abuse, contact the Defense Hotline by calling (800) 424-9098; by sending e-mail to Hotline@DODIG.OSD.MIL; or by writing the Defense Hotline, The Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1900. The identity of each writer and caller is fully protected.



INSPECTOR GENERAL DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE 400 ARMY NAVY DRIVE ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22202-2884



April 10, 1997

#### MEMORANDUM FOR UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (COMPTROLLER) AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER DIRECTOR, DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE

### SUBJECT: Audit Report on Inspector General, DoD, Oversight of the Army Audit Agency Audit of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works Program, FY 1996 Financial Statements (Report No. 97-125)

We are providing this audit report for your information and use and for transmittal to the Director, Office of Management and Budget. It includes our endorsement of the Army Audit Agency (AAA) disclaimer of opinion on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works Program, FY 1996 financial statements, along with the AAA report, "FY 96 Financial Statements: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works." An audit of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works Program, financial statements is required by the Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990, as amended by the Federal Financial Management Act of 1994. Since this report contains no recommendations, comments are not required.

We appreciate the courtesies extended to the audit staff. Questions on the audit should be directed to Mr. Richard B. Bird, Audit Program Director, at (703) 604-9145 (DSN 664-9145; e-mail rbird@DODIG.OSD.MIL), or Mr. John J. Vietor, Audit Project Manager, at (317) 542-3855 (DSN 699-3855; e-mail jvietor@DODIG.OSD.MIL). See Appendix D for the report distribution. The audit team members are listed inside the back cover.

Robert J. Lieberman Assistant Inspector General for Auditing

#### Office of the Inspector General, Department of Defense

#### Report No. 97-125

(Project No. 6FI-2022.01)

April 10, 1997

### Inspector General, DoD, Oversight of the Army Audit Agency Audit of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works Program, FY 1996 Financial Statements

### **Executive Summary**

**Introduction.** The Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990, as amended by the Federal Financial Management Act of 1994, requires an audit of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works Program, financial statements. We delegated the audit of the FY 1996 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works Program, financial statements to the Army Audit Agency on May 17, 1996. This report provides our endorsement of the Army Audit Agency disclaimer of opinion on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works Program, FY 1996, financial statements, along with the Army Audit Agency report, "FY 96 Financial Statements: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works."

Audit Objective. The audit objective was to determine the accuracy and completeness of the audit of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works Program, FY 1996, financial statements conducted by the Army Audit Agency. See Appendix C for a discussion of the audit process.

Audit Results. The Army Audit Agency report, "FY 96 Financial Statements: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works," February 28, 1997, stated that the auditors were unable to express an opinion on the Statement of Operations and Changes in Net Position. We concur with the Army Audit Agency disclaimer of opinion; our endorsement of that disclaimer is Appendix A. The Army Audit Agency report, "FY 96 Financial Statements: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works," is Appendix B.

Internal Control Structure and Compliance With Laws and Regulations. The Army Audit Agency issued reports on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works Program, management controls and compliance with laws and regulations. These reports are included in the Army Audit Agency report (Appendix B).

Summary of Recommendations and Management Comments. This report contains no recommendations that are subject to resolution in accordance with DoD Directive 7650.3. Accordingly, comments are not required.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Executive Summary**

	IG, DoD, Endorsement Memorandum	1
Appendix B.	AAA Report, "FY 96 Financial Statements:	
	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works"	3
	Audit Process	124
Appendix D.	Report Distribution	125

i

### Appendix A. IG, DoD, Endorsement Memorandum



\*The enclosure was omitted from Appendix A and included in Appendix B.

#### Appendix A. IG, DoD, Endorsement Memorandum

2 opinion on the FY 1995 financial statements of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works Program. Generally, the disclaimers of opinion were the result of inadequate accounting systems. Internal Controls and Compliance With Laws and Regulations. AAA concluded that the internal control structure did not fully account for and effectively manage resources, ensure compliance with laws and regulations, and ensure that the financial statements contained no material misstatements. As a result, in the overall control environment for financial accountability, the risk of material misstatement was high. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers acknowledged and properly reported most of these weaknesses in its FY 1996 annual statement of assurance on internal controls. Review of Army Audit Agency Work. To fulfill our responsibilities for determining the accuracy and completeness of the independent audit conducted by the AAA, we: o reviewed the AAA approach and planning of the audit, and o monitored the progress of the audit at key points. We also performed other procedures necessary to determine the fairness and accuracy of the audit approach and conclusions. We conducted our review of the AAA audit of the FY 1996 Statement of Operations and Changes in Net Position of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works Program, from May 17, 1996, through February 28, 1997, in accordance with generally accepted Government auditing standards. We found no indication that we could not rely on the AAA disclaimer of opinion on that financial statement and the related AAA evaluation of internal controls and compliance with laws and regulations. Robert J. Lieberman Assistant Inspector General for Auditing Enclosure

\*The enclosure was omitted from Appendix A and included in Appendix B.

\*



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL 3101 PARK CENTER DRIVE ALEXANDRIA, VA 22302-1596 28 February 1997 • • Secretary of the Army Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers This is our report on the audit of the Statement of Operations and Changes in Net Position for the fiscal year ending 30 September 1996 for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works. The Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Deputy Auditor General for Financial Audits, U.S. Army Audit Agency signed an engagement letter in June 1996 defining the audit. We couldn't express an opinion on the Statement of Operations and Changes in Net Position because of methods used to recognize and report the revenues and expenses, as well as fundamental weaknesses in the Corps' legacy financial accounting system. We were unable to apply other procedures to satisfy ourselves as to the fairness of the presentation of the statement. On the positive side, the Corps continues to make significant progress with its initiatives to produce reliable financial information and to improve its financial management practices. Its new automated Corps of Engineers' Financial Management Information System (CEFMS) is in the fielding process. Our audit results showed that the system operates with a high degree of reliability and resolves many of the problems found under the previous system. In addition, the Corps' executive management fosters an environment for improvements with a commitment to open communications and working relationships. As a voluntary pilot project for performance measurement under the Government Performance and Results Act, the Corps demonstrates its intent to move forward and improve financial management practices. Our results showed that the Corps took numerous actions and applied command emphasis to correct many of the problems that we reported in FY 93 and FY 94. For example, the Corps corrected its Construction-In-Process costs and accounting for Engineer and Design Costs. However, improvements were still needed in several areas. We summarize our audit results in the Auditor's Opinion. Details of our review are included in these sections: "Fifty Years of Excellence: 1946 - 1996"

- Significant matters. - Report on management controls. - Report on compliance with laws and regulations. - Overview information. This report also includes our recommendations and the Corps' responses to those recommendations. Annex C contains a copy of the Corps' verbatim replies. The command-reply process prescribed in Army Regulation 36-2 will establish the Army's official position on the findings, recommendations, potential monetary benefits, and command comments included in this report. I appreciate the cooperation and courtesies extended to us during the audit. Francis E. REARDON, CPA The Auditor General 5

CONTENTS			
		Page	
		Page	
Background	• • • • • •	5	
Auditor's Opinion	• • • • •	9	
Significant Matters	• • • • •	13	
Finding A - Accounting Policies		15	
Finding B - Financial Reporting		28	
Finding C - Financial Management Systems		36	
Report on Management Controls	• • • • •	43	
Finding D - Management Controls		47	
Finding E - Followup Cycle	• • • • •	57	
Report on Compliance with Laws and Regulations	••••	65	
Overview Information		69	
Finding F - Performance Measures	• • • • •	71	
Objectives, Scope, and Methodology	• • • • •	79	
Annexes			
A - Corps of Engineers FY 96 Financial Statements		85	
B - Audit Reports Issued and Activities Included in the Audit		120	
C - Verbatim Command Comments		120	
D - Others Receiving Copies of the Report		135	
E - Audit Staff		136	



BACKGROUND The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is a complex organization with civil works and military missions. As the largest public engineering, design, and construction management agency; it employs a workforce of about 39,000 civilians and 600 military personnel. The Corps also contracts with commercial architectural, engineering, and construction firms for most design work and for all construction work. Within the civil works program, the Corps develops, maintains, and manages many of the nation's water and related environmental resources. This mission includes designing, constructing, and operating projects for: - Commercial navigation of rivers, harbors, and channels. - Flood control. - Hydroelectric power development. - Restoration of fish and wildlife. - Recreation areas. Under its military program, the Corps manages and adminis-ters contracts for engineering services for the Army. When requested, the Corps provides these services to the Air Force, other DOD and government agencies, and foreign governments. The Corps also does research and development in engineer support (specialized equipment and procedures) of combat operations. The Corps uses a decentralized management structure consisting of 64 major operations located worldwide: a headquarters, 13 divisions, 39 districts, 4 laboratories, and several field operating activities. The Corps budgeted about \$10.3 billion for its FY 96 program--about \$4.2 billion for civil works and about \$6.1 billion for military programs. It operates a revolving fund for common services that apply to multiple projects. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Background/Page 5



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL 3101 PARK CENTER DRIVE ALEXANDRIA, VA 22302-1596 Secretary of the Army Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers In accordance with the Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990, as amended by the Government Management Reform Act of 1994, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers prepared the accompanying principal financial statements and engaged us to audit its Statement of Operations and Changes in Net Position for the fiscal year ended 30 September 1996. The statement is the responsibility of the Corps' management. We couldn't express an opinion on the reliability of the Statement of Operations and Changes in Net Position primarily for the following reasons: - Several accounting policies used by the Corps within its Revolving Fund for self-insurance, plant increment, repairs and maintenance, and asset disposals seriously distorted its revenues, expenses, and reported net position on the Statement of Operations. These policies also distorted the assets, liabilities, and equity reported on the Statement of Financial Position. Although this statement wasn't included in our audit, we reported the statement's impact because of the inherent linkage between these two statements. Material uncertainties continued to exist regarding the reasonableness of amounts reported for most of the Corps' revenues and expenses because the Corps' reporting process lacked audit trails, consistency, and full disclosure. Absence of an integrated accounting system prevented the Corps from asserting that data reported in the Statement of Operations and Changes in Net Position was reliable. The Corps' accounting system lacked the appropriate revenue and expense accounts. We were unable to apply other auditing procedures to satisfy ourselves as to the fairness of the presentation of the Statement of Operations and Changes in Net Position for FY 96. Consequently, we couldn't express an opinion on the statement. "Fifty Years of Excellence: 1946 - 1996" \_\_\_\_FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Auditor's Opinion/Page 9





SIGNIFICANT MATTERS The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is a leader among the major Army commands in striving to meet the goals of the Chief Financial Officers Act. In FY 93, the Corps was the first to prepare and submit a separate set of financial state-ments. In FY 94, the Corps volunteered as a pilot project under the Government Performance and Popults Act under the Government Performance and Results Act. Throughout FY 95, the Corps worked diligently to correct several material weaknesses on the U.S. Army Annual Assurance Statement. While a consistent pattern of disclaimers on the financial statements would normally lead to the conclusion that progress isn't being made, the Corps has made substantial movement forward in achieving the goals set forth in the Chief Financial Officers Act. We found that the Corps addressed and corrected most of the material conditions found during our FY 93 and FY 94 audits. However, there aren't easy solutions for many of the problems and the Corps must continue to invest substantial effort to achieve the desired results. In this year's audit of the Statement of Operations and Changes in Net Position, we again weren't able to express an opinion. In this section, we again weren t able to express an opinion. In this section we will discuss our primary areas of concern. As the Corps progresses forward with the field-ing of its new management information system, these are the areas where we believe the Corps needs to focus its attention. These areas include: - Accounting policies. - Financial reporting. - Financial management systems. If command carries out the recommendations in this report, there could be monetary benefits (based on the estimates we could reasonably make at the time of the audit). FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Significant Matters/Page 13

FINDING A: ACCOUNTING POLICIES	
For the Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	
••	
SUMMARY	_
Within its Revolving Fund, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers maintained accounting policies that weren't in accordance with the Federal Financial Accounting Standards.	
One of management's primary responsibilities is to keep the Revolving Fund solvent at all times. Therefore, the Corps imbedded financing mechanisms in the fund to protect the balance of the fund by either accumulating reserves or increasing solvency (cash flows) within the fund.	
We agreed with the business practices used by the Corps to accumulate reserves to offset future expected costs. They appeared to be prudent management decisions to finance Revolving Fund operations. We didn't agree with the prac- tices implemented solely to produce cash flow. However, in either case the Corps didn't account for the associated revenues and expenses in accordance with accounting stand- ards. These policies misrecorded and misreported the current year's operating expenses, distorting the financial statements.	
As a result of the accounting policies used, the financial reporting of the results of operations wasn't accurately portrayed on the financial statements. We recommended adjusting entries totaling about \$223.2 million.	
Our detailed discussion on these conditions starts on page 17. Our recommendations start on page 25.	
BACKGROUND	_
The Civil Functions Appropriations Act of 1954, Public Law 153, authorized the creation and operation of the Corps Revolving Fund. The law allows certain transactions to be initially financed by the Revolving Fund to include:	<b>,</b>
<ul> <li>Purchase of common inventories for civil works such as bulk materials and supplies.</li> </ul>	
PY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding A/Page 1	-

<ul> <li>Operation of common storehouses that service more than one civil works project or appropriation.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Acquisition, operation, and maintenance of facilities that support more than one civil works project.</li> </ul>	
- Finance of common services of the district office or services performed for other governmental agencies.	
The Revolving Fund operates entirely within its own resources rather than from annual appropriations, recouping its expenditures through the sale of its services (via its rates) to its customers. The rates charged include an amount to recover the Corps' operating expenses and over- head. The fund must operate at a break-even point, income equal to expenses within a tolerance level of plus or minus 5 percent.	
Accounting Concepts	
The Corps accounted for its operations under the accrual method of accounting. Under this method, the entity records financial effects of transactions or other events in the periods in which they occur, rather than in the periods in which cash is received or paid.	
With regard to the Statement of Operations, there are several accounting principles and defining concepts:	
<ul> <li><u>Realization and Recognition.</u> Under these principles, revenues are earned when the required performance is completed. For example, incoming cash receipts for future work would be unearned revenue until work is completed.</li> </ul>	
- <u>Matching Principle.</u> The expenses incurred to generate the products or services of a given period must be matched with the revenues. Because some costs may have been paid in the past or will be paid sometime in the future, the proper matching of expenses to the period may require accruals, deferrals, or allocations. For example, depreciation is a systematic allocation, or spreading, of the acquisition cost of an asset to the periods that benefitted.	*-
- Expenses. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) defines expenses as expired costs, or items that were assets but are no longer assets because they have no future value. Expenses of the current period can be either cash or noncash. For example, payroll expenses generally are cash expenses since funds are paid out on a periodic basis. Depreciation is a noncash expense	
FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding A/Page 16	

since the asset acquisition occurred in a previous period. Noncash expenses generate positive cash flows. - <u>Prepaid Expenses.</u> Defined as advance payments on ordinary expenses. These prepaids are classified as assets on the Statement of Financial Position (balance sheet) until the expense occurs. Some examples of prepaid expenses would be premiums or rents paid for more than one period upfront, for example, a one year lease. **Financing Concepts** Financing concepts focus on generating resources (or credit) to pay for large expenditures over an extended time period. They recognize the time and value of money by charging interest, determining the opportunity costs, and calculating the return on investment. Those used by the Corps focused only on cash outlay requirements and recoupment of initial investment or acquisition cost. There exists a clear distinction between accounting and financing principles. The accounting principles record and report the transactions and events that occurred. The financing principles address how to ensure that the fund remains viable and solvent. DISCUSSION The Corps designed several accounting policies in support of its business practices to ensure that funds accumulated to pay for future expected costs. Within the rates charged to customers in the current period, a predetermined amount was set aside to cover the following: - Insurance. - Plant increment. - Repairs and maintenance. The Corps had two accounting policies to generate cash flow and one policy for capitalizing expenses that also con-flicted with accounting standards. Specifically these policies were: - Disposal of assets. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding A/Page 17

- Salvage value on assets. - Deferred asset costs. The Corps' accounting for insurance, plant increment, and repairs and maintenance wasn't proper. Specifically, we found that the expenses associated with the business practices relating to insurance and plant increment weren't expenses of the current period and hadn't occurred at the time recorded. In accounting for the repair and maintenance reserves, the Corps incorrectly transferred the current period operating income into a deferred income account. The accounting for asset disposals and deferrals also wasn't By recording as expenses the residual asset values proper. during the disposal of the assets or not recognizing salvage value, the operating expenses increased inappropriately and distorted the results of operations. Further, recording other costs as deferred asset costs instead of current period expenses understated operating expenses and overstated the assets. All six of these policies tended to recognize expenses inappropriately or distort net income within the financial statements, usually understating reported net income. Further, there was a significant impact on the Statement of Financial Position as well, due to the linkage between the accounts and accounting cycles. We calculated that about \$223.2 million in adjusting entries were required to correct the financial statement impacts of these accounting policies. In this section, we discuss the conditions found, proper accounting principles, and the financial statement impacts of the Corps': - Accounting policies for business practices. - Accounting policies to increase cash flow. - Accounting entries required to adjust statements. **Accounting Policies for Business Practices** Insurance The Corps operates as a self-insured entity, charging a monthly premium on its assets and accounting for this premium as an operating expense of the period (debit) and a future liability (credit). The policy of charging for insurance and expensing that amount in the current period violated accepted accounting standards for self-insured FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Come of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding A/Page 18

operations. Also, the recording of the offset as a liability wasn't appropriate since, at the time of collec-tion of the premium, the Corps hadn't incurred any future liability. The correct offset would be to the Corps' equity. Self-insured operations can be accounted for using either of two acceptable methods: - Record losses when incurred on the Statement of Operations (Income Statement). Appropriate Retained Earnings and record losses as incurred on the Statement of Operations (Income Statement). The Corps' policy deviated from the acceptable methods by expensing an amount equal to the premium collections. When a loss occurred, the Corps accounted for it solely on its Statement of Financial Position (Balance Sheet) by reducing its fund balance and liability accounts. As a result, this accounting policy overstated expenses and understated net income by the recurring premium collections. In FY's 95 and 96 this amounted to about \$2.5 million and \$3.1 million respectively. Losses bypassed the income statement and weren't reported properly in the period incurred. The result was overstating net income by the amount of the actual loss (FY 95 was \$4.2 million, FY 96 was \$0.8 million). In addition, the liabilities were overstated and the Corps' equity was understated by the balance of the Insurance Reserve amount -- \$46.1 million. The Corps agreed to implement actions to correctly report the insurance premiums, losses, and reserves at the cor-porate or headquarters level for financial statement presentation. We agreed with the Corps that, during the life of the legacy system, corporate-level adjusting entries would be more efficient than having all districts and reporting activities adjust their individual records and business practices. **Plant Increment** In reviewing the Corps' accounting policy for Plant Increment (defined as an estimated additional cost, due to inflation, for plant replacements), we found that the amounts were charged as operating expenses in the current period and credited to an equity account entitled "Provision for Plant Replacement." Subsequently, the balances within the districts were transferred to the headquarters' general ledger account -- Funds With Treasury. The Corps' policy for plant increment charged a future estimated cost not yet incurred as a current-period expense, FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding A/Page 19

violating the matching principle. Under generally accepted accounting principles, the plant increment wouldn't be recorded directly to the operating expense accounts. The amount would accumulate within a reserve or fund account until the purchase of the new or replacement asset. Then the parent would be capitalized and depreciated. The expense the asset would be capitalized and depreciated. The expense would then come about indirectly through the depreciation of the asset. The plant increment amount is a "Sinking Fund" and as such should be carried only on the balance sheet as an asset and not charged off as an expense. Analysis of the credit side of the journal transaction also supported the "Sinking Fund" concept. Generally, the normal credit offset to operating expenses would be either the creation of a liability (such as Accounts Payable) or the decrease of an existing asset account. Neither was the case in the Corps' accounting policy. Instead, there was a charge to expense and an increase to an equity account. This is an illogical combination of events. Expenses by their very nature are always a reduction to the equity interest of the entity. As a result, this accounting policy overstated expenses and understated net income by the plant increment collections, for FY 96 this amounted to about \$30.4 million. In addition, the assets were understated by the balance of the increment amount not capitalized through asset acquisitions. **Repairs and Maintenance** To smooth out the spiked costs associated with periodic major repairs or maintenance on selected assets, for example a major engine overhaul on a barge, the Corps charged the customers within its rates a prorated amount to cover both current and future expected repairs and maintenance costs. The Corps removed the portion of the charges applicable to the future repairs from the current-period operations and transferred the income into a deferred income account. There was a corresponding offset entry in an asset reduction (contra-asset) account. Corps personnel believed that their method would match the income to the expenses. This belief was a misinterpretation of the matching prin-ciple. Generally, to determine net income, costs incurred to produce revenues are matched as expenses of the period to which the revenues relate. The portion of the repairs and maintenance rate charge that wasn't used in the current period or that was set aside to cover the future estimated cost of the repairs represents income or profit. The Corps under the realization and recognition principles, earned the revenues and incurred the expenses in the current period, the difference being income. The income (cash) should be set aside to fund the future expense, either formally or FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding A/Page 20

informally, as a restriction to the cash asset account. Proper accounting would also require that the offset be in the Corps' equity and not as a contra-asset. As a result of this policy, net income was understated about \$37.9 million on the Statement of Operations. Also, on the Statement of Financial Position, the assets were understated by the amount not recorded as well as the total reserve amount recorded in the contra-asset account by or about \$98.1 million. Our recommended actions to correct the accounting policies related to business practices are in Recommendation A-1. Accounting Policies to Increase Cash Flow **Disposal of Assets** The Corps' accounting policy for disposing of assets (whether transfer, salvage, or surplus) focused on increasing cash flows rather than the proper financial reporting of transactions and events. The policy directed that the asset's remaining net book. value (original cost less accumulated depreciation) be expensed in the current-year's operations prior to dis-position of the asset. For example, at one district, we reviewed 21 revolving fund asset retirements made in FY 96. We found five, or 24 percent, had remaining book value totaling about \$27,100 that the district charged to its expense accounts before retiring the assets. By analyzing the accounts (Book Value of Plant Sold and Sale of Plant) for minimal book value and significant sales proceeds, we identified 14 district offices and 1 division office that appeared to be following the expensing policy. Because of the limited visibility of the financial system (summary level data and no access to underlying transactions), we weren't able to quantify the underlying book value amounts expensed. But the net sales proceeds that were received totaled about \$1.8 million. As a noncash expense, depreciation (or expensing of capital assets) generates an incoming cash flow to the fund when the services are billed out to its customers. Under the Revolving Fund's break-even profit motive, Corps personnel viewed this as the only financing mechanism they had to recover the initial outlay of funds used in the acquisition of the assets. Proper accounting principles dictate that the asset not be expensed before disposal and a gain or loss should be FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding A/Page 21



Salvage Value on Assets The Corps didn't recognize any salvage value on any depreciable assets (buildings, structures, equipment) within the Revolving Fund. Because salvage value represents a reduction to the amount used for depreciation, the Corps believed that any amount formally recognized would ultimately reduce the fund's balance. Within the context of a financing mechanism, the recognition of salvage value would reduce the depreciable basis of the asset and the corresponding depreciation expense and would result in less incoming cash flow. expense and would result in less incoming cash flow. Also, recovery of the initial purchase amount would be dependent upon the sale or disposal of the asset. Corps personnel stated their concern that the recovery might never occur for permanent structures that the Corps occupies, maintains, or Also. transfers to other governmental agencies without reimbursement. Under generally accepted accounting principles, the recognition of salvage value on capital assets is an integral part of depreciation (allocation of expense) to the periods benefitted while it preserves some valuation for the asset residual. We understood the Corps' rationale for its salvage value policy. However, the primary function of the accounting system is to accurately record the transactions and events for the entity. The Corps' concerns regarding the recovery of the initial acquisition costs are, or should be, part of the rationale and methodology used in establishing the plant increment rate. To depreciate large assets, such as buildings, without acknowledging that residual value exists, isn't realistic. Over time the valuation of the asset amounts becomes misrepresented while the annual depreciation overstates misrepresented while the annual depreciation overstates operating expenses and understates net income. To illus-trate, in FY 95 the Revolving Fund property, plant, and equipment (net of land costs) had an acquisition value or depreciable basis of about \$1.3 billion. The annual depreciation expense recorded was about \$62.8 million, or 5 percent. Assuming a composite salvage value of 20 percent, the depreciable basis would become \$1 billion and the corresponding annual depreciation would drop to about \$50.2 million. The difference of about \$12.6 million in annual expense is due to the recognition of salvage value. We also found, in contrast to the fund's break-even profit motive, a consistent pattern of gains on asset disposals. We attributed this pattern to both the expensing of the net book value as previously discussed and the lack of salvage value recognition. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding A/Page 23

We expressed our concerns to the Corps that this pattern could indicate excessive charges to customers since under the existing system the gains went directly to the Corps' benefit. There was no mechanism in place to calculate either the gains or losses into the Revolving Fund's rates. Therefore, the current system exempted the customers from receiving the benefits of the gains or the decrements from the losses, whenever recognized. **Deferred Asset Costs** The Corps' policy on accounting for assets placed in mothasset account. These amounts accumulated on the Statement of Financial Position until the asset was placed back into service. The costs were then allocated to either the previous, present, or future users based on management decision. As defined by the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Concepts Statements (Number 6), the mothballing costs are more appropriately expenses of the current period and not deferred assets. The assumption for making a deferral would require that there be some future economic benefit derived in some future period. While the mothballed asset may provide some future benefits, the costs associated for storage or warehousing won't and shouldn't become part of the cost of the asset. In addition, the Corps' deferred asset account also incorrectly contained deferred income. This was accounted for in a manner similar to the repair and maintenance reserves previously discussed. In other words, earned income was transferred and held within the deferred account until additional expenses materialized to be offset against the income. For FY 96, we found adjustments totaling about \$6.8 million. The financial statement's impacts of this policy are similar to the impacts associated with the policies previously discussed. In this instance, the policy understated net income on the Statement of Operations while also overstating assets on the Statement of Financial Position because a normal operating expense or income became a deferred asset. Our recommended actions to correct the accounting policies related to generating cash flow are in Recommendations A-2 through A-7. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding A/Page 24

Γ

Accour	ting Entries F	Required to	Adjust Stat	ements
The accounting dificant impa- correctly rep- cosition requi- 223.2 million	ct on the Cor ort the resul ires adjustin	ps' financ ts of oper g entries	ial stateme ations and	nts. To financial
Policy	Adjustment Amount	Increase Account	Decrease Account	Remarks
Insurance	\$3.1 million	Asset (2)	Expense (1)	Annual premiums collected
Insurance	\$0.8 million	Expense (1)	Equity (2)	Report actual losses
Insurance	\$46.1 million	Equity (2)	Liability (2)	Restate reserve
Increment	\$30.4 million	Asset (2)	Expense (1)	Annual amount collected
Repairs & Maintenance	\$98.1 million	Equity (2)	Contra- Asset (2)	Restate repairs and maintenance reserves
Repairs & Maintenance	\$37.9 million	Revenues (1)	Contra- Asset (2)	Recognize income earned
Asset Disposals	Not Quantified	Gains or Losses (1)	Expense (1)	Lacked transaction visibility
Salvage Value	Not Quantified	Asset (2)	Expense (1)	To be analyzed and determined
Deferred Assets	\$6.8 million	Revenues (1)	Asset (2)	Recognize income earned
Total	\$223.2 million		<u>.</u>	

On Statement of Operations and Changes in Net Position
 On Statement of Financial Position

#### RECOMMENDATIONS AND COMMAND COMMENTS

This section contains specific recommendations and a summary of command comments for each recommendation. Verbatim command comments are in Annex C.

FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136)

Finding A/Page 25

,

The Corps agreed to implement corrective measures on its accounting policy for insurance premiums with a proposed implementation date of 30 September 1996. However, due to technical difficulties in posting and balancing the FY 96 Trial Balance, the Corps didn't meet the proposed implementation date. A-1 <u>Recommendation</u>: Correct the accounting policies (insurance, plant increment, repairs, and maintenance reserves) associated with the Corps' business practices to ensure that the: - Policies are in accordance with Federal Accounting Standards and Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. - Statement of Operations and Changes in Net Position correctly reflects the expenses, net income, gains, and losses of the current period. - Statement of Financial Position shows the correct balances in the prepaid assets and fund balance reserve amounts. Command Comments: The Corps agreed with the recommendation and stated that it recognized the current accounting wasn't in full compliance with accounting principles. The Corps planned to make adjusting and corrective closing entries beginning in FY 97, during yearend closeout. Recommendation: Discontinue the policy of expensing A-2 the remaining book value of assets prior to asset disposal. In accordance with accounting principles, recognize nonoperating gains or losses on the assets as the difference between the net book value and proceeds received, if any. Command Comments: The Corps agreed and stated that it is incorporating this recommendation in change 88 to its Engineer Regulation 37-2-10, which should be completed by 30 September 1997. <u>Recommendation</u>: Record and report nonoperating income and losses on the Statement of Operations and Changes X-3 in Net Position. Command Comments: The Corps agreed and said it will comply with Office of Management and Budget FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding A/Page 26

Bulletin 97-01 during the preparation of the FY 97 financial statements. A-4 Recommendation: Implement procedures to ensure that gains and losses are incorporated into the rate structure and passed on to the Revolving Fund customers. <u>Command Comments</u>: The Corps agreed to incorporate the recommended practice into the operating budget process for all Revolving Fund plant accounts. A-5 Recommendation: Implement a policy to recognize salvage value on depreciable assets. Consider using either a composite standard rate or various rates by major class of assets by reviewing historical records to determine the net realizable value received as a percentage of acquisition cost or by allocating amounts based on estimated salvage value. Command Comments: The Corps concurred stating that it will require salvage value calculation in the depreciation formula for all structures in Engineer Regulation 37-2-10. A-6 Recommendation: Discontinue the policy of recording deferred asset charges for equipment in mothball status. Record these costs as current period expenses. <u>Command Comments</u>: The Corps agreed and said that revised procedures will be incorporated in change 88 to Engineer Regulation 37-2-10 by 30 September 1997. Recommendation: Determine the cumulative impact on the A-7 financial statements of the policies not in conformance with generally accepted accounting principles. Record and report prior period adjustments to correct balances. Command Comments The Corps agreed and stated that it would make the appropriate adjustments in the FY 97 financial statements. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding A/Page 27

FINDING B:	FINANCIAL REPORTING	
	For the Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	
SUMMARY		
improvement.	nancial reporting process needed significant In reviewing the FY 95 and FY 96 documentation lation and presentation of the statements, we lacked:	
- Adequate	e audit trails.	
- Consiste	ency.	
- Sufficie	nt disclosure.	
resources in resulting fro system couldn lacked key ac	and 94, the Corps elected to expend minimal FY 95 on producing financial statements m its old financial management system. The old 't produce adequate financial data because it counts and wasn't designed to account for expenses (see Finding C of this report).	
to modify the However, the system dictat process start forward are a agreed with o the FY 96 yea starting poin primary under	h the Corps that expending additional resources legacy accounting system wouldn't be prudent. fielding of the new management information es that sufficient effort be placed in the ing in FY 96 to ensure that balances brought ccurate, reliable, and auditable. The Corps ur assessment and initiated several actions in rend closeout. These efforts established a t; however, the actions couldn't correct the lying conditionthe inadequacy of the legacy agement system.	
accurately po cial position	the FY 95 and FY 96 financial statements didn't rtray the results of operations or the finan- of the Corps. In addition, this also affected alances established for FY 97 at the close of	
Our detailed page 29. Our	discussion on these conditions starts on recommendations start on page 34.	
	,	

BACKGROUND	
agement structure. Fo tricts, divisions, lab account for their own for certain centralize	operates using a decentralized man- r the most part, each of the dis- s, and reporting activities manage and funds and costs. There are exceptions d operations, such as payroll or r small districts or operations that ger one.
reporting system. The submit their account b Also submitted are det standard forms used for Using the available in and prepares the Corps	g process consists of an upward reporting entities periodically alances to the Corps' Finance Center. ails on special interest items and r budgetary and financial reporting. formation, the Finance Center compiles ' consolidated financial statements. ities below the headquarters level tements.
DISCUSSION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
In this section we disc	
- Financial reporting	ng problems.
- Upward reporting.	
- Corrective actions	
- Upcoming requireme	ents for FY 97 and beyond.
Financ	cial Reporting Problems
financial reporting pro consolidated financial	our FY 93 and FY 94 audits, the ocess used to compile and prepare the statements wasn't adequate. During ondition worsened due to several
significant resour	conscientious decision not to expend rces modifying its outdated financial ding its new financial management
	ction migrated organizationally and o the Finance Center. According to

Corps personnel, some of the documentation was lost during the transitions. We noted that the Corps didn't: - Have adequate audit trails and documentation. Display consistency in its presentation of the financial statements. - Report sufficient information within its footnote disclosures. Audit Trails and Documentation There was no record of the adjusting, closing, or elimi-nating entries being made to produce the FY 95 consolidated financial statements. The adjusting, closing, and eliminating journal entries are integral to the preparation of the financial statements. They provide the rationale and link between the financial statements and the trial balances. Adjusting and closing entries formally close out the reporting period and estab-lish zero balances within the accounts for the upcoming period. Eliminating entries remove transactions that otherwise would result in the double-counting of revenues, expenses, assets, or liabilities. Without an audit trail of these transactions, we weren't able to track from the financial statements to the trial balances. For example, in attempting to reconcile the assets, liabilities, and net position, we reviewed 27 appro-priations, with assets totaling \$40.6 billion, and found the following absolute differences: - Assets, \$962.1 million. - Liabilities, \$659.4 million. - Net Position, \$1.4 billion. Only 5 of the 27 appropriations, representing \$49.7 million of assets (the Corps' smaller appropriations), had no differences between the financial statements and the trial balances. **Consistency in Presentation** There wasn't a consistent methodology for compiling and presenting the financial statement information. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding B/Page 30

There were extreme variances on the financial statement line items between fiscal years. No one from within the Corps was able to provide an explanation for these variances. For example on the FY 95 Statement of Operations, the line item Revenues from Sales to the Public showed \$14.2 million. This line item in FY 94 reported \$258.6 million for a variance of about \$244.4 million. Further, in FY 93 the line reported \$13.1 million while in FY 92 it was \$387.6 million, a variance of \$374.5 million. We traced most of the variance to the General Construction and Rivers and Harbors appropriations within the appropriation reimbursements. We provided this information to the Corps but it had no explanation for the dramatic revenue spikes occurring in these fiscal years. Apparently the Corps reported amounts between the two line items (Sales to the Public or Sales to the Government) on alternating fiscal years without any supporting documentation. We recognized that the legacy financial system couldn't produce reliable information. However, without a method-ology or consistent rationale to present the financial statements, the financial information it did produce was more questionable and of less value. Consistency is an underlying accounting principle established to ensure comparability. Even questionable information, if compiled and presented consistently, can provide limited meaningful information through the use of variance or other analyses. Sufficient Disclosure The footnotes to the financial statements lacked sufficient disclosure. Office of Management and Budget Bulletin 94-01 provides detailed guidance on the preparation and presentation of the financial statements, to include adequate footnote disclosures. In reviewing the Corps' disclosures, we found: - Amounts reported within the footnotes didn't match the amounts reported in the financial statements. For example, Fund Balance With Treasury showed \$1.923 billion on the financial statement but only \$1.889 billion as the total on Note 2, or a difference of about \$34 million. - Amounts reported within the footnotes weren't adequately labeled. For example, under Note 14 (Property, Plant, and Equipment), the category of Other showed about \$12 billion of assets. There was no description or explanation as to the composition of this amount. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Curps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding B/Page 31
<ul> <li>Narrative explanations didn't exist or weren't adequate to provide sufficient information regarding the dis- closures. Of the total 13 Notes reported, 7 had no narrative explanations and the remarks on the remaining 6 didn't provide the information required by the bulletin. Further, the Corps didn't address the Note requirements for Other Disclosures and Contingencies.</li> </ul>
We attributed these conditions to the inability of the legacy financial system to provide sufficient data, the limited visibility of the upward reporting system, and the Corps' decision not to employ significant resources to correct these deficiencies.
Full disclosure requires that sufficient information be presented both on the face of the financial statements and within the notes to the financial statements. These notes provide the detailed explanations and justifications to evaluate management actions and initiatives.
Our recommended actions to further correct these conditions are in Recommendation B-1.
Upward Reporting
The existing upward reporting process provided limited benefits to the Corps. Its primary function was to supply the data necessary for the Finance Center to prepare Corps- wide consolidated financial statements. As such, the process didn't provide:
- The Finance Center with visibility of the supporting transactions within the account balances.
- The districts, divisions, or other reporting activities with the management information that would be derived from formal financial statements.
The process required reporting activities (districts, divi- sions, labs) to extract and forward amounts from the trial balances. It also required numerous standard forms and manual reports to reconcile and report information not readily visible within the account balances.
The reporting activities received no benefit from the exist- ing process because they couldn't use the consolidated financial statements at their level. Management information that could be useful at the lower levels lost its visibility in the consolidation of the data.
An alternative approach that would meet the needs of all
reporting levels would be to prepare formal financial statements at each reporting activity. The consolidated

1	financial statements could then be prepared by rolling up the division-level statements along with any other reporting activities outside the division structure.	
	Dur recommended actions to improve the reporting process are in Recommendation B-2.	
	<b>Corrective Actions for FY 96</b>	
V t e	The Corps agreed with our assessment that additional effort would be required starting in FY 96 to ensure that the financial statements properly reported transactions and events consistently. The Corps took immediate corrective actions, to include:	
	<ul> <li>Increasing the number of personnel assigned to the preparation of the financial statements.</li> </ul>	
	- Requesting assistance from our agency to formally document the rationale and audit trail used.	
c	These actions couldn't correct the primary underlying con- dition (the inadequacy of the old financial system), and the resulting compilation required that:	
	- Personnel manually enter data into automated spread- sheets to calculate and summarize the financial statements.	
	<ul> <li>Budgetary accounts be used to derive revenues and expenses for activities operating under the old financial system.</li> </ul>	
	However on the positive side, the efforts yielded some substantial benefits. The Corps was able to adequately:	
•	- Compile a test set of financial statements (excluding the narrative footnote disclosures) solely from the information contained within the Corps of Engineers' Financial Management System (CEFMS).	
	- Document and produce an audit trail for the rationale and methodology used to ensure consistency in future periods.	****
	Upcoming Requirements for FY 97 and Beyond	
-	The reporting requirements for preparing and presenting financial statements will change dramatically in FY 98. Prudent planning dictates that proper planning begin in FY 97 to meet these new requirements. New accounting standards and concepts developed by the Federal Accounting	
	FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finaling B/Page 33	

Standards Advisory Board (FASAB) require implementation during FY 98. For example, the Advisory Board's Statement of Accounting Standards, Number 6, scheduled for implementation in September 1997 has new requirements to account for: - Heritage and multi-use heritage assets. - Stewardship land. - Deferred maintenance. - Cleanup costs associated with hazardous waste removal, containment, or disposal. The standards segregate these new accounting requirements onto its new financial statement entitled the Statement of Net Cost. In addition, the Advisory Board, under its Standard Number 7, created these additional financial statements: - Statement of custodial activity. - Statement of changes in net position. - Statement of budgetary resources. - Statement of financing. Implementation of these statement requirements begins after 30 September 1997 (FY 98). Financial reporting for governmental entities continues to evolve as the standards and practices are defined. The Corps needs to take an active role within its resource management operations to ensure that upcoming requirements are anticipated and can be met. Our recommended actions on these future requirements are included in Recommendation B-3. **RECOMMENDATIONS AND COMMAND COMMENTS** This section contains specific recommendations and a summary of command comments for each recommendation. Verbatim command comments are in Annex C. The Corps implemented actions to correct deficiencies on its financial statement compilation and presentation. We agreed with the actions taken; therefore, we aren't making any additional recommendations at this time on compilation and presentation for the FY 96 effort. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding B/Page 34

B-1 Recommendation: Continue to develop and implement formal accounting procedures to document and produce an audit trail for adjustments (to include eliminating during the compilation process. <u>Command Comments</u>: The Corps agreed and said that its Finance Center will consolidate the data and maintain an audit trail for any adjustments required to produce the consolidated financial statements. B-2 <u>Recommendation</u>: Implement the alternative reporting process of preparing formal financial statements at each reporting activity. <u>Command Comments</u>: The Corps agreed and stated that one of its long range objectives is to have each activity produce the full set of required financial statements. B-3 Recommendation: Develop policies and procedures to ensure that the new reporting requirements are adequately addressed in the financial management system. Command Comments: The Corps agreed and stated that it 97 financial statement audit to ensure that the new requirements are appropriately included in the financial management system. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Firsting B/Page 35

FINDING C:	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS	
	For the Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	
SUMMARY		
<b>COMMANY</b>		
reasonablenes ments. This system (Corps (COEMIS)) has	rtainties continued to exist regarding the s of reported amounts on the financial state- occurred because the Corps' legacy financial of Engineers Management Information System fundamental weaknesses that prevent the Corps g reliable financial information.	
- The syst	em lacks revenue and expense accounts.	
	em isn't based on the U.S. Government's General Ledger, and the existing crosswalk curate.	
- The system	em isn't integrated with other Corps' systems.	
- Auditable don't ex	e transactions to support computed balances ist.	
reports. The process of fig	d these inadequacies since FY 93 in our audit Corps is aware of these problems and is in the elding a new financial management systemthe neers Financial Management System (CEFMS).	
financial syst of reliability integration de	ve side, our audit results showed that the new tem appeared to be operating with a high degree y. It resolved standard general ledger and eficiencies found in the legacy system and gement controls.	
Our detailed of page 37.	discussion on these conditions starts on	
BACKCDOUN	<b>D</b>	
BACKGROUNI	۷	
many inadequa system called System. Duri	ognized that its current financial system had cies and had begun development of a replacement the Corps of Engineers Financial Management ng FY 96, the Corps had the new system at its Huntsville Division, the Waterways Experiment	
PY 96 Financial Statements, U.	S. Arany Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding C/Page 36	

Station, the Construction Engineering Research Lab, and throughout the Southwestern and South Atlantic Divisions. The Corps plans to complete deployment to all districts, divisions, and activities in FY 98. We have worked with the Corps since FY 95 to help ensure that the new financial management system produces timely and reliable financial information. To date, it appears that the new system will operate effectively; it is an integrated system that crosswalks to the U.S. Government's standard general ledgers. DISCUSSION The Corps' financial statements contained several key line items that weren't produced from or supported by its general ledger system because the Corps' old system (COEMIS): - Isn't based on the U.S. Government's standard general ledger, and the existing crosswalk isn't accurate. - Isn't integrated with other Corps automated systems. - Lacks revenue and expense accounts. Our previous audit reports in FY 93 and FY 94 extensively documented the inadequacies of the Corps' legacy financial management system (COEMIS). Those conditions, summarized in the preceding paragraph, continued to exist throughout FY 96. In contrast, FY 96 marked the first year that the new financial management system (CEFMS) was fully operational for an entire year at the district level and subjected to an audit. The results showed that the new system: - Resolved the Standard General Ledger deficiencies. - Resolved, or is scheduled to resolve, system integration deficiencies. - Enhanced management controls. In this section we discuss these three areas, comparing and contrasting the two financial systems. Standard General Ledger The old system (COEMIS) wasn't based on the U.S. Government's Standard General Ledger. It required a crosswalk FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding C/Page 37



- An access control matrix provides selective permissions to users based on management approvals. - The system requires signature cards and passwords to allow personnel access into the system and its elec-tronic signature capabilities. - The system performs the financial accounting in the background based on established correlation tables. Management controls are integral to producing reliable financial statements. The Corps' new system incorporates many controls that weren't present in the old system. This in itself will help provide reasonable assurance that the Corps produces reliable financial statements. RECOMMENDATIONS Due to the Corps' ongoing implementation of its Financial Management System (CEFMS) to correct the deficiencies we've reported since FY 93, we aren't making any recommendations at this time. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding C/Page 39



REP	ORT ON MANAGE	MENT CONTROLS	
	iable preparation	trols weren't adequate and presentation of the	
policies, finan systems in Find Matters section of this section	icial reporting, and lings A, B, and C to of this report. in Findings D and litions related to	es related to accountin nd financial management within the Significant In the subsequent port d E we discuss the rema various aspects of the	ion ining
operations and The Corps corre previously iden Annual Assurance	accounting systems otly reported thes tified but uncorre	to reporting results of s to be material weakness se material weaknesses ected weakness in its F ompliance with the Feder t.	sses. as a Y 96
Operations and selected aspect We assessed whe management cont necessary to ex	Changes in Net Pos s of the Corps' in ther the internal rol objectives and press an opinion of	udit of the Statement of sition, we evaluated nternal control structur control structure met i d what audit procedures on the financial stateme ement controls designed	re. basic were ent.
ability fo		ecorded to maintain accorded to maintain accordent the preparation of the second second second second second se	
		assets are safeguarded 1se, or misappropriation	n.
provide ad transactio	equate guidance an ns in accordance w	cedures are established nd standards for record with Federal Accounting apted Accounting Princip	ing
- Transactio regulation		nce with applicable law	s and
cant internal c assessed the le cycles, classes tested key cont effective and w	control structure p vel of control ris of transactions, rols to determine rorking as designee lodology section of	derstanding of the sign policies and procedures sk relevant to signific and account balances. whether the controls w d (see the Objectives, f this report for the c	. We ant We ere
	Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136)	Management Cont	

We reviewed the Corps' management controls over selected aspects of the budgetary cycle for both the Revolving Fund and civil works operations. These controls which help ensure that the fund remains solvent and sufficient appropriations are available for project construction, and for operations and maintenance, included: - Use of realistic operating budgets to set overhead distribution rates within the Revolving Fund. - Use of resource allocation plans to ensure that project appropriations and requirements are adequately identified within the budget process. - Periodic review and assessment of planned and actual budgetary results based on approved budgets. We found that the four Corps districts included in our review implemented sufficient management controls to ensure that the budget cycles of both the Revolving Fund and the civil works operations operated effectively at the time of our audit. We also reviewed selected management controls related to the Corps' employee payroll cycle. Controls within the payroll cycle are important because payroll represents a significant portion of the Corps' operating expenses and the payroll processing transitioned to the Defense Finance and Accounting Service in FY 96. At the four districts we visited, we found that adequate controls were in place and operating. Specifically: - There was a proper segregation of duties between the timekeepers and the customer service representatives. - All timesheets required supervisory review and approval. - Each employee certified the hours worked and the leave taken, if any, on the timesheets. However, we identified several problems involving the management control structure and its operation. We consider these problems to be reportable conditions as defined by the standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and Office of Management and Budget Bulletin 94-01, Audit Requirements for Federal Financial Statements. Reportable conditions involve significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the entity's management control structure. A reportable condition sometimes results in a material weakness (a condition for which management controls FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Management Controls/Page 44

don't reduce, to a relatively low level, the risk that errors or irregularities may occur and may not be detected within a timely period). Findings A through E of this report discuss in detail the material weaknesses and reportable conditions found within the revenues/receipts and the expenses/disbursements cycles. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Management Controls/Page 45 . · .. ..

FINDING D:	MANAGEMENT CONTROLS
	For the Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
••	
SUMMARY	
several areas	eded to strengthen its management controls in . We found in the following areas that a in place, but not operating or not operating
- Capitali	zation versus expense of assets.
- Asset re	tirements.
- Insuranc	e premium collections.
- Accounts	receivables.
- Yearend	cutoff.
- Sponsor'	s contributions on reimbursable projects.
	s weren't working because personnel didn't xisting policies and procedures. For example:
	-level managers misinterpreted some of the ter's accounting guidance and policies.
	ance and accounting personnel didn't know how actly account for the transactions.
control effor in the past, ciencies of i ness in its H with the Corp	the legacy financial system hampered the Corps' ts by requiring labor-intensive procedures. As the Corps correctly reported the system defi- ts legacy financial system as a material weak- Y 96 Annual Assurance Statement. We agreed us in its assessment. We believe the remaining reportable conditions but not material
operations was statements. its verificat	the financial reporting of the results of isn't accurately portrayed on the financial More importantly, the Corps needs to strengthen ion and compliance mechanisms to help ensure erations. Current mechanisms didn't identify

Our detailed discussion on these co page 48. Our recommendations start	
BACKGROUND	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
For financial reporting purposes, t control objectives are to ensure th	
- Transactions properly record a ability for assets and permit accurate and reliable financia	the preparation of
<ul> <li>Funds, property, and other ass unauthorized use, or misapprop</li> </ul>	
- Transactions are in accordance regulations.	with applicable laws and
Numerous factors, both individually prise the overall control environme	
<ul> <li>Management's perceptions and i importance of controls will re overall attitude.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Policies designed to establish must be strictly enforced.</li> </ul>	some measure of control
<ul> <li>Procedures implemented should control techniques such as seg providing for tests and reconc access.</li> </ul>	regating key duties,
A comprehensive management control checks and balances ensures that th be met. In order to be efficient, effective.	e control objectives will
DISCUSSION	
During our audit we found several c place but not operating or not oper this section we discuss the managem improvement:	ating effectively. In
- Capitalization versus expense	of assets.
- Asset retirements.	

	•	
	- Insurance premium collections.	
	- Accounts receivables.	
	- Yearend cutoff.	
	- Sponsors' contributions on reimbursable projects.	
	Capitalization Versus Expense of Assets	
req 199	headquarters distributed guidance for asset acquisitions uiring capitalization in a memorandum dated 29 January 6. It established policy and contained detailed teria. For example:	
	<ul> <li>A recognition threshold was established at \$25,000.</li> <li>Items above that amount with a useful life of 2 or more years were assets to be recorded (capitalized) on the accounting records and depreciated accordingly.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>All real property (land and buildings), regardless of value, was to be capitalized.</li> </ul>	
	- Group purchases, where individual items exceeded a purchase price of \$300 and the total acquisition exceeded the threshold, were also to be capitalized.	
tive	nough the policy was in place, it wasn't operating effec- ely. Districts purchased assets exceeding the \$25,000 eshold and charged the costs to current period operating enses. For example:	
	- The Huntington District charged two computer equipment purchases, costing about \$420,000, and 46 purchases of integrated furniture systems, costing about \$875,000, to current year expenses rather than to the appropriate asset accounts.	
	- The Vicksburg District expensed a \$131,000 telecommuni- cation system and a \$117,000 computer-design and drafting system.	
	- The Alaska District expensed about \$130,000 of group purchases related to office furniture and automation equipment.	~
bel: pre act	tricts didn't comply with the new guidance because they ieved that the guidance was ambiguous and conflicted with vious guidance received. As a result, the districts' ions overstated current year expenses and understated orted assets and net income.	
		×.
FY 961	Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finaling D/Page 49	7

The headquarters, or an office serving as its representative, needs to perform analytical reviews on the expense accounts to identify, research, and correct these type of Our recommended actions to correct this condition are in Recommendation D-1. **Asset Retirements** Districts didn't follow existing policies and procedures for retiring assets from service. The retirement process: - Moves inactive assets to a holding account called Retirement-In-Progress. - Cancels the charging of plant increment, insurance, and depreciation on assets within the retirement account. - Accounts for any additional costs until the asset disposal is complete, then accounts for any gain or The controls were in place, but not operating or not operating effectively. For example: - At the Vicksburg District, assets that were no longer owned (disposed of through sales or otherwise) were still within the accounts-generating plant increment, insurance, and depreciation expenses because the district discontinued the use of Engineer Form 3013. The routing of this form through the process ensures that the appropriate personnel receive notification of the asset disposal. - At the Alaska District, inactive assets were also still within the accounts generating plant increment, insurance, and depreciation expenses because of a turnover within its accounting personnel. The new employees didn't know how to properly record the transactions. As a result, the operating expenses were overstated, net income understated, and gains and losses incorrectly deferred and reported in the wrong accounting periods. The Corps needs to implement verification and compliance mechanisms to "close the loop." For example: - Analytical reviews of the accounting records would identify abnormal balances or conditions that warrant further study. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding D/Page 50



accumulated through the systematic application of a set or standard rate. The history of the insurance reserve account indicated that the Corps routinely collected more in insurance premiums than it paid in insurance losses. For example, in FY 96 the Corps collected about \$3.1 million in insurance premiums and had only about \$0.8 million in actual insurance losses. The large balance in the reserve account aggregated at the expense of the Corps' customers. By analyzing historical loss rates and adjusting its premiums, the Corps can reduce its costs and pass these savings on to its customers. Our recommended actions to reduce the balance in the insurance reserve account to a level representative of historical losses and to produce procedures to maintain that balance are in Recommendation D-3. **Accounts Receivables** Districts didn't follow existing policies and procedures for pursuing the collection of delinquent accounts receivable. These policies required accounting personnel to do the following: ( - Reconcile accounts receivable on a monthly basis. - Send demand letters to customers for delinguent receivables each 30 days of delinquency for receivables greater than \$100. Demand letters can stop after 90 days. - Obtain approval from district counsel to write off the receivables less than \$5,000 as uncollectible. Although these policies and procedures were in place, they were not operating effectively. For example: - At the Fort Worth District, about \$2.86 million (53 percent) of the Revolving Fund's \$5.4 million and about \$770,000 (21 percent) of the Civil Fund's \$3.7 million of accounts receivable were more than 180 days old. - At the Alaska District, about \$184,000 of Revolving Fund receivables and about \$147,000 of Civil Fund receivables were more than 180 days old. Delinquent accounts receivable balances also included invalid receivables that were already paid. Districts didn't consider these receivables to be uncollectible because they were due from other Corps FY 96 Financial Sustements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding D/Page 52

districts or other government agencies. Therefore, districts didn't establish an allowance for doubtful accounts or aggressively pursue collections. As a result, users of the Corps' financial statements have little assurance that the amounts reported for accounts receivable are accurate. Our recommended actions to reduce the level of delinquent accounts receivable and improve the certainty of accounts receivable balances are in Recommendation D-4. Yearend Cutoff Districts didn't always record expenses in the proper accounting period. For example: - The Alaska District incorrectly recorded \$20,437 of \$28,853 in sampled disbursements as FY 96 expenses when they were really FY 95 expenses. - The Vicksburg District incorrectly recorded \$34,000 of \$535,000 of sampled disbursements as FY 96 expenses when they were really FY 95 expenses. We noted that these transactions were within the Corps' Revolving Fund, a no-year fund. As such, no Anti-Deficiency Act violations occurred. According to accounting personnel at the districts, these expenses were not recorded as FY 95 expenses because: - Activities didn't receive vendor's invoices in time. - Activities were late in submitting the documentation. Accounting personnel primarily focused on accruing higher dollar expenses. As a result, districts overstated FY 96 expenses and understated FY 95 expenses. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles require matching of expenses and revenues in the period incurred. Our recommended actions to record expenses in the proper period are in Recommendation D-5. Sponsors' Contributions on Reimbursable Projects The Corps didn't effectively manage sponsors' contributions for reimbursable projects. PY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding D/Page 53

Our review of reimbursable and contributed funded projects at the Huntington District revealed that the financial management of the non-Federal funds was different. Although there were guidelines for the management of the contributed funds, such as establishing an escrow account, we found inadequate guidelines for financial management of reimbursable funds. As an example, the Corps encourages the use of an interest-bearing escrow account whenever cost-shared projects are constructed over a period of years. This allows the sponsors to earn interest on their funds when obligations are delayed. No such guidance exists for reimbursable projects. As a result, during the period from FY 93 to FY 96, the Huntington District held between \$1.956 and \$2.482 million of sponsor's unobligated funds without placing them in an interest-bearing escrow account for the benefit of the sponsor. The proper management of non-government funds is a good business practice and also enhances customer relations. We believe that this is a good opportunity for Corps' headquarters to explore the possibility of enhancing the financial management of reimbursable funds. This could include establishing guidelines for escrow accounts, reimbursing the customers for unobligated funds, or adjusting yearly amounts needed in order for the customers to maximize their funds. Our recommended actions to establish guidelines or regulations to enhance business practices for the financial management of reimbursable funds are in Recommendation D-6. **RECOMMENDATIONS AND COMMAND COMMENTS** This section contains specific recommendations and a summary of command comments for each recommendation. Verbatim command comments are in Annex C. D-1 Recommendation: Ensure compliance with asset capitalization policy by implementing compliance mechanisms. At a minimum, direct that local Internal **Review offices:** - Perform periodic analytical reviews on the expense accounts based on the established criteria for asset capitalization. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding D/Page 54

- Identify and research those expenses that meet the asset capitalization criteria. - Correct the accounting records as necessary. - Hold individuals accountable for their actions. 12 <u>Command Comments</u>: The Corps partially concurred stating that it planned to reemphasize the capitaliza-tion policy in FY 97 and include compliance with the policy as part of its FY 97 audit program. In addi-tion, all districts would be directed to do a 100 percent compliance review. Agency Evaluation of Command Comments: The Corps didn't provide sufficient information concerning its compliance with the recommendation. We didn't see any reason for only a partial concurrence. D-2 Recommendation: Strengthen controls over the asset retirement process using the organizational structure to ensure compliance. Direct that echelons above perform periodic analytical reviews and site visits. **<u>Command Comments</u>**: The Corps agreed with the recommendation and stated that it would change its management control checklist to include a test question on asset retirement to enforce compliance. The checklist will be updated by 31 March 1997. Recommendation: Use historical insurance loss data to D-3 determine the correct level for the insurance reserve account. Reduce rates to allow the insurance reserve balance to decrease to this level. Adjust insurance premiums to reflect actual losses so that a consistent level can be maintained. **<u>Command Comments</u>**: The Corps agreed stating that it had already initiated an action plan recommending the discontinuing of insurance premium charges until the reserve balance lowers to \$25 million. D-4 <u>Recommendation</u>: Ensure that districts perform monthly reconciliations of accounts receivable and aggressively pursue delinquent accounts receivable as prescribed in Engineer Regulation 37-2-10. This should specifically FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding D/Page 55

include a revalidation of each account over 180 days old. Command Comments: The Corps agreed and said it will reissue command guidance by 31 March 1997. 12 D-5 Recommendation: Develop yearend closeout procedures that will ensure all expenses are recorded in the proper accounting period. Command Comments: The Corps agreed and stated that its Finance Center will continue to refine the published yearend closeout procedures. D-6 Recommendation: Establish guidelines or regulations to enhance business practices for the financial management of reimbursable funds. Such guidelines could include: - Establishing interest bearing escrow accounts for long-term reimbursable projects, as done on contributed-funded projects. - Refunding excess amounts to the customer if project features are delayed. - Reviewing and adjusting, if necessary, estimated schedule of sponsors' costs for reimbursable projects for future fiscal years. - Reviewing agreements and determining whether the sponsors' funds are properly managed by the district. <u>Command Comments</u>: The Corps agreed with the recommen-dations and plans to incorporate the guidance in its change 89 to Engineer Regulation 37-2-10, which is scheduled for completion by 30 September 1997. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding D/Page 56

FINDING E: FOLLOWUP CYCLE	
For the Commander,	
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	
12	
The Corps continued to make progress in correcting two of the material weaknesses found in previous financial state- ment audits. Specifically, the Corps gave particular attention to management and control in the areas of:	
- Real property.	
- Labor cost transfers.	
For FY 96, the Corps reported Real Property as a corrected material weakness in it's Annual Assurance Statement. Our audit results agreed with this assessment. Further, we noted that the Corps made significant improvements in reducing and justifying Labor Cost Transfers. While our review covered only four districts, and the results can't be projected to the entire Corps, these four sites appeared to have adequate controls over the transfers.	
However, the Corps needed to strengthen management controls for fund balance reconciliation. For example, the Corps should:	• .
<ul> <li>Implement transactions that will capture revenues and expenses as they are incurred, not just at yearend.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Research and resolve discrepancies between the Balance Fund With Treasury and the Corps' records.</li> </ul>	
Our detailed discussion on these conditions starts on page 58. Our recommendation starts on page 60.	
BACKGROUND	· .
Real Property	, ~~
During our audit of the FY 93 and FY 95 Corps' financial statements, we identified that real property wasn't properly recorded. We found that the Corps didn't use subsidiary ledgers or other records to support the value of real property assets reported. This was because procedures weren't in place to ensure that acquisitions, disposals, and improvements were recorded in both accounting and real property records. In addition, some real property records	
FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136)	

were incomplete and in some cases records did not exist for Civil Works real property. In order to correct these problems the Corps established a workgroup to monitor progress and address problems in completing inventories and reconciliations. Also, it issued guidance for capitalizing real property assets, reconciling general and subsidiary ledgers, and issuing suspense dates for completion of all inventories and reconciliations. By the end of FY 95 the Corps' actions had corrected these conditions. We included this issue in our FY 96 effort to ensure that these conditions remained corrected. Labor Cost Transfers Our audits on labor cost transfers during FY 93 and FY 95 showed that Corps personnel continued to change deficit balances by transferring costs to other projects or overhead accounts. In addition, many transfers were made without supporting justification. We recommended that the Corps strengthen internal controls to ensure that all labor cost transfers were valid and properly authorized because improper transfers can mischarge customers, possibly violate Anti-Deficiency Act, and damage the integrity of the Corps' cost accounting system. The Corps implemented stricter measures to justify transfers and to hold personnel accountable. **Fund Balance Reconciliations** The issue of Fund Balance with Treasury surfaced during our FY 93 audit. We found that the accounts in the Corps of Engineers Management Information System didn't match the Treasury's reported balance. Rather than tracking down the causes and discrepancies, the Corps used the amounts reported by the Treasury on the financial statements. The Treasury requires the Corps to submit a detailed list of all transactions affecting these accounts and to compare this list with actual disbursements and receipts, in other words, perform a reconciliation. The Anti-Deficiency Act, USC 1517, applies when obligations exceed appropriations. It also applies to the Revolving Fund when disbursements exceed cash (Funds with Treasury). DISCUSSION In this section we discuss: FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding E/Page 58



accordance with Corps policy. Since the minor problems were isolated incidents and not systemic to the Corps, the recommendation to correct these incidents are in the districts' site reports. Fund Balance Reconciliation • • The Corps needs to improve its fund balance reconciliation procedures. While reconciliations appeared adequate for the Revolving Fund, within the Civil Works appropriations, we found that large absolute differences continued to exist. This occurred because reconciliations weren't performed until yearend for FY 96 and no research was done. **Revolving Fund** The Fund Balance with Treasury reconciliation for Revolving Funds appeared to be adequate. There were differences between the Treasury report and the Corps' Finance Center's report, but these were largely due to timing problems. The Revolving Funds eventually reconciled to a zero balance after each fiscal quarter. Reasonable justifications were given to support these timing errors. Fort Worth's Resource Management personnel blamed some of the errors on their learning curve with the new financial management system. **Civil Works** The Fund Balance with Treasury reconciliation for Civil Works Appropriations, totaling \$1.6 billion, wasn't adequate. The overall picture showed that the Corps ended FY 96 with a net out-of-balance amount of \$10.8 million. However, the absolute values range from a positive \$21.5 million to a negative \$10.2 million. The reconcil: tion showed that 5 of the 19 appropriations had negative The reconciliabalances, totaling about \$11 million. Our recommended actions to correct the Fund Balance with Treasury are in Recommendation E-1. RECOMMENDATION AND COMMAND COMMENTS This section contains a specific recommendation and a summary of command comments for the recommendation. Verbatim command comments are in Annex C. FV 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding E/Page 60

E-1 Recommendation: Strengthen management controls over fund balance with Treasury by: - Implementing transactions that will capture revenue and expenses as they are incurred, not just at yearend. • • - Establish analytical reconciliation procedures over the financial data produced by the financial management system to validate the integrity of the crosswalk. - Develop procedures at the district level to research and resolve discrepancies between the district's fund with Treasury Balance and the district's records. - Consider writing off absolute unreconcilable differences in order to balance the accounts. Command Comments: The Corps agreed and said that it planned to revise the general ledger correlation tables within its financial management system to capture revenues when earned by 30 September 1998. This coincides with the full deployment of the new system. The Corps would also develop additional reconciliation edits into its upward reporting system by 1 March 1997, advise districts to establish local procedures for resolving reconciliation discrepancies, and take appropriate action to write off unreconciling balances that remain after the new system is fully deployed. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding E/Page 61



**REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS** We tested the Corps' compliance with the provisions of the laws and regulations listed in the Objectives, Scope, and Methodology section of this report. The audit identified instances of material noncompliance with selected laws and regulations. An instance of material noncompliance is reportable if it could result in a material misstatement to the financial statements, or if the sensitivity of the matter would cause others to perceive it as significant. Most of the noncompliance problems we found were directly or indirectly tied to the management control weaknesses we identified. As such, those instances of noncompliance are discussed as part of each functional area in the Significant Matters and the Report on Management Controls sections of this report. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Laws and Regulations/Page 65



**OVERVIEW INFORMATION** The Corps' overview information is incorporated into the Army's financial statement overview. We reviewed the Missions, Operations and Performance Measures--Civil Works Program (Annex A). We didn't find any inconsistencies between the missions and operations sections and the infor-mation presented in the financial statements. We did, however, find some weaknesses in the adequacy of the however, find some weaknesses in the adequacy of the performance measures identified. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Overview Information/Page 69

FINDING F:	PERFORMANCE MEASURES
	For the Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
••	
SUMMARY	
the Corps' fi progress in c portray its m	ne presentation of the Overview Information in Inancial statements didn't reflect the Corps' Neveloping and reporting performance measures to mission, resources, goals, and results. The ogress wasn't reflected because:
- The Corr Strategi	os was still in the process of preparing its Ic Plan.
	nary performance measures developed and ed in FY 94 weren't implemented.
same performa previous fisc was in the fi performance m Corps person formance meas discussions a	these conditions forced the Corps to use the ance measures in FY 96 that it used in the cal years. However, to its credit, the Corps inal draft stages of its strategic plan and had measures to support the plan. According to hel, the first set of outcome-focused per- sures were part of the FY 98 budget preparation sent to the Office of Management and Budget. s were still ongoing at the end of our audit.
	discussion on these conditions starts on recommendation is on page 75.
BACKGROUN	D
emphasis on 1	the Federal Government has placed increased measuring the performance of its agencies. Two ts passed show that emphasis.
	Chief Financial Officers Act
It requires a selected gove act was to p	gress passed the Chief Financial Officers Act. annual financial statements be prepared for ernment agencies. One of the purposes of the rovide for complete, reliable, timely, and inancial information to decision makers. In

implementing the guidance, the Office of Management and Budget required audited activities to include performance measures as a part of the overview to the financial statements. In essence, the guidance stated that useful performance measures are a key element in ensuring the usefulness of the financial statements. 12 **Government Performance and Results Act** In 1993, Congress passed the Government Performance and Results Act with an intent to hold management responsible for policy making and spending decisions. The Results Act emphasized the importance of measuring performance against established strategic plans and objective performance goals. It requires agencies to: - Develop strategic plans before FY 98. - Prepare annual plans setting performance goals beginning in FY 99. - Start annual reporting of actual performance compared with performance goals by the year 2000. Under the Results Act, the Office of Management and Budget established pilot programs to test and demonstrate (i) annual performance plans and reports, and (ii) managerial accountability. The Corps of Engineers' Civil Works Operation and Maintenance Program became one of the pilot projects. **Previous Audit Results** In our FY 93 audit, we reported that the Corps hadn't developed performance measures that effectively portrayed its civil works mission, resources, goals, and results. performance measures we reviewed didn't have the three The integrated components of good performance measures--inputs, outputs, and outcomes. In our FY 94 audit, we reported that although the Corps made some progress in developing better performance measures, that progress wasn't reflected in the overview to the financial statements. We stressed that the Corps must develop a strategic plan that should contain: - A comprehensive mission statement covering the major functions and operations of the agency. - General goals and objectives, including outcome-related goals and objectives, for the major functions and operations. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding 19Page 72 63

- A description of how the goals and objectives are to be achieved, including a description of the operational processes and resources required to meet those goals and objectives. 12 **DISCUSSION** In this section we discuss: - Current status. - Previous efforts. - Planned and ongoing actions. **Current Status** For FY 96, the Corps' financial statements showed little, if any, progress in presenting and reporting performance measures. In its Overview to the FY 96 Financial Statements, the Corps intended to report the same or similar performance measures that were in its previous financial statement presentations. As shown during our previous audits, these measures aren't outcome-related. They measure activities or processes, not program outcomes. In reporting and presenting performance measures at the financial statement level, it would appear that the Corps had made no substantive progress within the last 3 years. However, this wasn't the case. The Corps continued to demonstrate a commitment to meeting or exceeding established implementation dates. At the close of our audit, the Corps was finalizing a draft strategic plan and determining the performance measures to implement to meet the goals and objectives of that plan. **Previous Efforts** The Corps' preliminary efforts didn't materialize into useable and meaningful performance measures for the Corps. In FY 94, the Corps identified 84 potential candidate measures to use in assessing performance. These measures weren't implemented because: - Many of the measures were found to be activity and process oriented when subjected to critical review. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding F/Page 73 64

- The performance information couldn't be easily or readily extracted from the Corps' legacy financial system. In FY 95, the Corps built upon this initial effort and used the lessons learned to embark on its current phased approach. Under Phase I of this concept, the Corps: - Established a four-tier system to ensure that the needs of all organizational levels (executive leadership, national program level, district, project level) are addressed. - Developed a mission statement for the Civil Works Program linked to the nine results-oriented programs identified: Navigation, Hydropower, Regulatory, Environment, Flood and Coastal Damage Reduction, Recreation, Emergency Management, Water Supply, and Support for Others. - Identified five key performance areas to reflect the multidimensional nature of performance measurement, products, and customers. This approach focuses on program outcomes rather than the organizational hierarchy along which the Corps is aligned. Further, the performance measures under development intend to provide a linkage within the budgetary process. The new measures should tie projected budgetary performance for the budget years with the performance achieved during the prior years. However, Corps personnel acknowledged that within this link there is an inherent problem. A significant time delay exists in the budget development process. For example in December 1996, the Corps will be compiling performance information for FY 96 while at the same time making final adjustments to the FY 98 President's budget submission. actual performance data available to influence the budgetary program performance goals will lag by at least 2 fiscal years. Another positive aspect of the tier approach is that it embodies the principles of Total Quality Management by empowering the different user levels to participate in the performance measure development. We believe that the Corps could further enforce these principles by including performance measure development activities as a critical element or priority work item within the formal personnel standards and appraisal system. Our recommended actions to improve the development process is at Recommendation F-1. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Finding F/Page 74


	<b>OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY</b>	
The Co	orps' management is responsible for:	
	reparing the annual financial statements in conformity with applicable accounting principles.	
S	stablishing and maintaining management controls and systems to provide reasonable assurance that the control objectives of the Federal Managers' Financial ntegrity Act are met.	· ``
– c	complying with applicable laws and regulations.	
assura Change manage We wer sions limite	esponsibility was to perform tests to obtain reasonable ince about whether the Statement of Operations and as in Net Position was reliable and whether relevant ment controls were in place and operating effectively. The also responsible for testing compliance with provi- of selected laws and regulations and for performing ad procedures with respect to certain other information fing in the financial statement.	
	ted compliance with selected provisions of the follow- ws and regulations:	
L i	chief Financial Officers Act of 1990 (Public aw 101-576) and Office of Management and Budget mplementing regulations (OMB Bulletins 93-02 and 3-06).	
	ederal Managers' Financial Integrity Act of 1982 31 U.S.C. sections 3512 (b) and (c)).	
3	Prompt Payment Act (31 U.S.C. sections 3901 through 1906) and Office of Management and Budget implementing regulations (OMB Circular A-125).	
	nti-Deficiency Act (31 U.S.C. sections 1341, 1342, and 511 through 1519).	
	Office of Management and Budget financial management system implementing regulation (OMB Circular A-127).	
	Office of Management and Budget Bulletin Number 94-01, Form and Content of Agency Financial Statements.	. •••
м	OOD Internal Audit Policy Memorandum Number 93-03, Management and Legal Representation Letters for Audits of Financial Statements.	
- 0	Department of Defense appropriation acts.	
PY 96 Financ	chi Suacments, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Objectives, Scope, Methodology/Page 79	



- Accounting systems. - Programming and budgeting. - Cost management. - Real property accountability. - Performance measures. - Financial reporting. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Objectives, Scope, Methodology/Page 81



	ANNEX A
CORPS OF ENGINEERS FY 96 FINANC	IAL STATEMENTS
	INEERS
CIVIL WORKS	
Table of Contents	
Overview	1
Principal Statements	
Footnotes to the Principal Statements	
	· · ·
	End 2

ANNEX A • • U. S. ARMY CORPS OF **ENGINEERS CIVIL WORKS OVERVIEW** PY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex A/Page 86

ANNEX A **U. S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS** MISSION AND PROGRAM PERFORMANCE CIVIL WORKS MISSION STATEMENT The mission of the Civil Works Program of the Army Corps of Engineers is to promote prosperity and democracy and to strengthen national security through the development, management, protection, and enhancement of the Nation's water and related land resources for flood damage reduction, commercial navigation, environmental restoration, and allied purposes. This program is accomplished by applying the Corps planning, engineering, scientific, and management skills, in cooperation with non-Federal sponsors, Federal, state, and local agencies, and other interested stakeholders, to achieve productive, efficient, responsible solutions to water resources problems. The program provides for responsible stewardship of its water resources infrastructure including the associated natural resources and provides emergency services to the Nation for disaster relief. The Civil Works Program also provides planning, engineering, environmental, recreation, research and real estate services to other Federal agencies and non-Federal customers, provides support to the Army in both peacetime pursuits and during national emergencies, and stands ready to adapt to evolving national needs and priorities. The Corps. moreover, plays a major role in the protection of waters of the United States, including wetlands. by regulating the discharge of dredge and fill material into the Nation's waters. STRATEGIC GOALS AND OBJECTIVES To accomplish the Civil Works Program, the following five strategic goals and supporting objectives have been proposed: > Serve the Nation with high quality engineering, scientific, planning and technical expertise. Provide efficient, cost effective, innovative, and environmentally sustainable . solutions to water resource infrastructure problems. Maintain and enhance the technical expertise of the Corps Increase support to other Federal agencies through the Support for Others Program. Provide engineering, environmental, real estate, and other technical services to the Department of Defense and the Nation. Provide rapid and effective emergency response and recovery for disasters 3 FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex A/Page 87

ANNEX A > Lead in the development, management, protection, and restoration of the nation's water resources •• Advance the development of productive, environmentally sustainable solutions to water resources problems. Expand the Corps' role in the restoration and protection of the environment. -- Promote stewardship of Corps projects, lands, and waters. Protect the Nation's waters by maintaining a fair, flexible, and effective regulatory . program. > Improve the performance of the U. S. Army Corp of Engineers Civil Works Programs. Develop and implement meaningful approaches to measure and improve performance. Completely rethink and validate our current approaches to project formulation to ensure that we move projects from conception to implementation in a more expeditious, cost effective manner. Ensure the Corps is organized properly for current missions. Improve partnerships with stakeholders. > Create a 'we team' in the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers and take care of the team Recognize that from Corps Districts to the Office of the Assistant Secretary of the . Army for Civil Works, it is one U. S. Army Corps of Engineers Civil Works Team. Provide timely and accurate information to all team members, even when it is bad news . Think corporately, understand and respond to the fiscal challenges facing the Corps and the Federal Government. > Communicate effectively, at all levels, the important national role of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Civil Works Programs. - Increase awareness of the contributions of the Civil Works Mission in promoting national security, prosperity, and democracy Improve communications with all Civil Works stakeholders Articulate more clearly the capabilities and limitations of Civil Works Programs Meeting these goals and objectives will enhance the Nation's prosperity through capital investments in new economic and environmental infrastructure and operation and maintenance of its existing infrastructure. It will also provide a trained workforce which can promote democracy and respond to natural and national emergencies, thereby enhancing security 4 FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex A/Page 88

ANNEX A
FUNDING
The Civil Works Program receives Federal funding through the annual Energy and Water
Development Appropriations Act. Program funding also comes from non-Federal project
sponsors who share in project costs according to formulas established by project authorization
acts. A third source of funding comes from through the Support for Others Program, which is
conducted under reunbursable agreements with Federal agencies. The charts on the following
pages show the amount of FY 1996 funding by source and business program.
BUSINESS PROGRAMS
The Civil Works Program will accomplish these strategic goals by providing important services in
eight business programs: flood and coastal storm damage reduction, navigation, environment, hydropower, recreation, regulatory, emergency preparedness and disaster response, and support
for others. Program performance goals are being established for each of the eight programs to
demonstrate progress in achieving the strategic goals. Program performance measures being used
in Fiscal Year 1996 to measure performance in achieving program goals are described below.
Other measures, which will be used in Fiscal 1997 and beyond, are being developed.
Flood and Coastal Storm Damage Reduction
Description. There are two general approaches to reducing flood damages. The first approach
consists of constructing large scale engineering projects which operate to prevent flood waters
from inundating property. The second approach consists of modifying property susceptible to
flood damage to minimize the risk of flood damage. Frequently, a combination of approaches is
used in Corps projects to prevent flood damages. Most of the Corps' flood and coastal storm damage reduction projects are constructed as joint ventures between the Federal government and
non-Federal sponsors. New projects, once built, are owned, operated and maintained by the non-
Federal sponsor. The Corps, however, has older projects, mostly reservoirs, which it operates
and maintains. The Nation has invested \$26 billion in flood damage reduction projects and has
prevented \$292 billion in flood damages for a return of eleven dollars in flood damage reduction
for every dollar invested.
Strategy. Two program strategies have been established to respond to the strategic goals They
are as follows:
1. New investments will be undertaken which meet criteria for Federal participation and
have been benefits in excess of costs.
2. Existing Federal infrastructure will be operated and managed to maximize the value of
the services provided within available funds.
<i>c</i>
S
FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex A/Page 89



Appendix B. AAA Report, "FY 96 Financial Statements: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works"



78

ANNEX A				<i>,</i>
Performance Measures and Annu		-		
Performance Measure Number 1: damage reduction where flooding we	Actual perform ould have other	mance of Co rwise been e	rps facilities in operienced.	providing flood
Performance Target Numb flood damage reduction	er 1: Maintair	n Corps facili	ties to provide	the design level of
Performance Achieved:				
Flood Damages Prevented	FY 93 \$32.1B	FY 94 \$17.0B	FY 95 \$26.8B	FY 96 NA
	Naviga	tion		
Description: The navigation progra- handling all of the Nation's seaborne funds appropriated from the Harbor M deep draft harbors and over 400 smal inland network of 12,000 miles of co Major improvements to inland watero Trust Fund. More than 600 million to Maintaining the navigation system, p million cubic yards of dredged materi	commerce. Th Maintenance T. Iler harbors. T numercial navig way facilities an ons of commer orts and inland	he Corps con rust Fund to he Corps also gation channed re financed ir rece are moved	nbines direct a maintain navig o has built an i els and over 20 n part by the In d every year o	ppropriations with sability in 114 major intracoastal and 10 locks and dams. Jand Waterway.
Strategy. Two program strategies he are as follows:	we been establ	lished to resp	ond to the str	ategic goals They
<ol> <li>New investments will be un have benefits in excess of costs.</li> <li>Existing navigation infrastronic action in the infrastroninterval action in the infrastronic action in the infrastronic</li></ol>	ucture will be			
of the services provided within availab				
of the services provided within availab Performance Measures and Annual	Performance	Targets		
or the services provided within availab	Actual perform asure looks at	ance of Con	f commerce a	providing iow cost and the cost to
or the services provided within availat Performance Measures and Annual <u>Performance Measure Number 1</u> : transportation of commerce. This me	Actual perform asure looks at ponent of the s	nance of Corp the volume of navigation sy Corps faciliti	of commerce a stem	nd the cost to
of the services provided within availat Performance Measures and Annual <u>Performance Measure Number 1</u> : <i>i</i> transportation of commerce. This me operate the fuel taxed waterways com Performance Target Numbe	Actual perform asure looks at ponent of the s	nance of Corp the volume of navigation sy Corps faciliti	of commerce a stem	nd the cost to
of the services provided within availat Performance Measures and Annual <u>Performance Measure Number 1</u> : <i>i</i> transportation of commerce. This me operate the fuel taxed waterways com Performance Target Numbe	Actual perform asure looks at ponent of the s	nance of Corp the volume of navigation sy Corps faciliti	of commerce a stem	nd the cost to
of the services provided within availat Performance Measures and Annual <u>Performance Measure Number 1</u> : <i>i</i> transportation of commerce. This me operate the fuel taxed waterways com Performance Target Numbe	Actual perform asure looks at ponent of the s	nance of Corp the volume of navigation sy Corps faciliti	of commerce a stem	nd the cost to
of the services provided within availat Performance Measures and Annual <u>Performance Measure Number 1</u> : <i>i</i> transportation of commerce. This me operate the fuel taxed waterways com Performance Target Numbe	Actual perform asure looks at ponent of the r r 1: Maintain ( world market)	nance of Corp the volume of navigation sy Corps faciliti	of commerce a stem	nd the cost to
of the services provided within availat Performance Measures and Annual <u>Performance Measure Number 1</u> : <i>i</i> transportation of commerce. This me operate the fuel taxed waterways com Performance Target Numbe	Actual perform asure looks at ponent of the r r 1: Maintain ( world market)	nance of Corp the volume of navigation sy Corps faciliti	of commerce a stem	nd the cost to

79

					ANNEX	A
Performance Achieved:						
renormance searces.	FY 93	FY 94	FY 9	5	FY 96	
Ton Miles*	257B	265.0B	276:5		**	
Cost per Ton Mile*	\$0.0016	\$0.0018	<b>\$0.001</b>	8	••	
* Ton mile data is reported on a ** FY 96 data will be available		asis; costs are	on a fiscal	year basis.		
Performance Measure Numbe harbors, channels, and structure	<u>r.2</u> : Percent of s) were available	time navigatio as scheduled.	n infrastruc	ture (wate	erways,	
Performance Target N	umber 2: Maint			available 9	7% of the time	
they are scheduled to be availab	ic.					
Performance Achieved		( 02 T	7.04	EV OF	EV OC	
Actual Availability			<u>¥ 94</u> 98%	<u>FY 95</u> 99%	<u>EY 96</u> 98%	
Performance Measure Numbe harbor and channel availability.	<u>r 3</u> : Minimize c	osts of dredgi	ng while as	suring safe	e and reliable	
	cugea is anaucie	ed by depth o	i material t	o de areag	ged and	
placement of dredged material. Performance Achieved Cubic Yards Removed* Cost Per Cubic Yard *Volume of material dredged re new construction projects.	F <u>Y 93</u> 245M \$1.99	<u>FY 94</u> 264M \$1.61	<u>FY 9</u> 217N S1 88	<u>5</u> // 8	<u>FY 96(est)</u> 244M S1 72	
Performance Achieved Cubic Yards Removed* Cost Per Cubic Yard *Volume of material dredged re	: <u>FX 93</u> 245M \$1.99 flects maintenan	<u>FY 94</u> 264M \$1.61	<u>FY 9</u> 217N S1 88	<u>5</u> // 8	<u>FY 96(est)</u> 244M S1 72	·
Performance Achieved Cubic Yards Removed* Cost Per Cubic Yard *Volume of material dredged re	ETX 93 245M \$1.99 Alects maintenan Envir program is simila peration and ma to environmenta as authority to ir isting projects, a gradation of the	EY 94 264M \$1.61 ice dredging; if onment ar to the prece intenance com al restoration a corporate eco and in determi some authori environment	EY 9 217M S1 88 does not i ding two p ponent for nd mitigati system ress ung placen y to restor At existing	5 A s nclude dre rograms ir existing p on feature toration in nent of dre e ecosyste g Corps op	EY 96(est) 244M St 72 edging done for t having a new rojects s at existing plans for new edged maternal ms where a beerated	
Performance Achieved Cubic Yards Removed* Cost Per Cubic Yard *Volume of material dredged re new construction projects. Description: The environment   investment component and an o Capital investment is directed in and new projects. The Corps hi projects, as a modification of ex from authorized navigation proj Corps project contributed to de	ETX 93 245M \$1.99 Alects maintenan Envir program is simila peration and ma to environmenta as authority to ir isting projects, a gradation of the	EY 94 264M \$1.61 ice dredging; if onment ar to the prece intenance com al restoration a corporate eco and in determi some authori environment	EY 9 217M S1 88 does not i ding two p ponent for nd mitigati system ress ung placen y to restor At existing	5 A s nclude dre rograms ir existing p on feature toration in nent of dre e ecosyste g Corps op	EY 96(est) 244M St 72 edging done for t having a new rojects s at existing plans for new edged maternal ms where a beerated	
Performance Achieved Cubic Yards Removed* Cost Per Cubic Yard *Volume of material dredged re new construction projects. Description: The environment   investment component and an o Capital investment is directed in and new projects. The Corps hi projects, as a modification of ex from authorized navigation proj Corps project contributed to de	ETX 93 245M \$1.99 Alects maintenan Envir program is simila peration and ma to environmenta as authority to ir isting projects, a gradation of the	FY 94 264M \$1.61 ince dredging; if onment ar to the prece intenance com a restoration a corporate eco and in determin some authori environment iew Guide for	EY 9 217M S1 88 does not i ding two p ponent for nd mitigati system ress ung placen y to restor At existing	5 A s nclude dre rograms ir existing p on feature toration in nent of dre e ecosyste g Corps op	EY 96(est) 244M St 72 edging done for t having a new rojects s at existing plans for new edged maternal ms where a beerated	
Performance Achieved Cubic Yards Removed* Cost Per Cubic Yard *Volume of material dredged re new construction projects. Description: The environment   investment component and an o Capital investment is directed in and new projects. The Corps hi projects, as a modification of ex from authorized navigation proj Corps project contributed to de	ETX 93 245M \$1.99 Alects maintenan Envir program is simila peration and ma to environmenta as authority to ir isting projects, a gradation of the	FY 94 264M \$1.61 ince dredging; if onment ar to the prece intenance com a restoration a corporate eco and in determin some authori environment iew Guide for	EY 9 217M S1 88 does not i ding two p ponent for nd mitigati system ress ung placen y to restor At existing	5 A s nclude dre rograms ir existing p on feature toration in nent of dre e ecosyste g Corps op	EY 96(est) 244M St 72 edging done for t having a new rojects s at existing plans for new edged maternal ms where a beerated	

ANNEX A corrective action for environmental compliance deficiencies. District elements, regulatory agencies and private contractors offer support to facility managers who identify environmental compliance strengths and weaknesses in their own operations. Strategy; Three program strategies have been established to respond to the strategie goals. They are as follows: 1. Investments in Corps mitigation and restoration projects or features make positive contributions to the Nation's environment resource base. 2. Investments in Corps mitigation and restoration projects and the operation of Corps facilities should assist in the recovery of Federally listed threatened and endangered species. 3. Assure that the operation of all Civil Works facilities and management of associated lands (including out granted areas) comply with environmental requirements contained in relevant Federal, state and local laws and regulations. Performance Measures: Program performance measures for this program are under development Regulatory Description: The Corps operates a comprehensive regulatory program which, through extensive public interest review, protects navigation and regulates the deposit of dredged and fill materials into the Nation's waters. Strategies: The following program strategies have been established to respond to the strategic goals. 1. Administer the Regulatory Program in a manner that renders fair and reasonable decisions for applicants. 2. Administer the Regulatory Program in a manner that provides for efficient decision making. Performance Measures and Annual Targets. Performance Measure Number 1: Percent of decisions completed within 60 days on requests to do work in U. S. waters. Number of all actions (individual permits, general permits, letters of permission, and denials) completed in 60 days divided by the total number of requests. 10 FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex A/Page 94

						ANNEX	А	
·								
Performance Target Number 1: 1	Target is to com	iplete 85	% of a	ll actions	in 60 (	lays.		
Performance Achieved:	FY 94	51	7 95					
Number of Permit Actions % Completed within 60 days	58,391 91%	73.	515 3%	71	¥ 96 1,870 94%			
Program Performance Measure Number on requests to do work in the U.S. waters i project is a larger one requiring more exten	f the proposal n	lecisions ceds a sta	compl andard	eted wit permit;	hin 120 that is,	days the		
Performance Target Number 2: 1	Farget is to com	plete 70	∕₀ of Ir	ndividual	Permi	s in 120		
days. Performance Achieved:								
Number of Permit Actions % Completed within 120 days	74%	FY 94 4,157	78%	FY 95 4,603	80%	FY 96 4,247		
Performance Measure Number 3: Percen		mit annli		s over tw		s old		
Performance Target Number 3: 1								
individual permit applications over two year	s old.			0.07002	penant	5		
Performance Achieved:		FY 94		FY 95		FY 96		
Number of individual permits pendir over two years at end of FY	ıg	NA		39		22		
% of individual permits pending ove two years at end of FY	r '	NA		0.8%		04%		
	2					••••		
Ну	dropower							
Description: The Corps operates 75 hydroe multipurpose reservoirs. The generation of energy source and results in a significant sup made available to Federal power marketing	electricity from oply of electricity	these un y to the i	its is d nation.	one from The ele	n a rene	wable is		
Strategy: One program strategy has been or maintain hydroelectric power production w competitive cost.	established to re ith a high degree	spond to e generat	the st ing un	rategic g it availal	joals I bility at	l is lo		
Performance Measures and Annual Targ developed.	ets. Two progr	am perfo	rmane	e measu	res hav	e bcen		-
	11							
Y 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (						Annex A/	Page QS	

ANNEX A			~	•	
Performance Measure Number 1: 2 multi-purpose reservoir projects in pro	Actual performance oviding power at c	e of hydroelect competitive cos	ric generating 1 t.	Inits at	
Performance Target Number explicit performance target.	r 1: Historical per	formance has b	cen recorded w	ithout an	
Performance Achieved:	· · ·				
Kilowatt Hours Generated Cost Per Kilowatt Hour	<u>FY 93</u> 73.7B \$0.0022	<u>FY 94</u> 68.2B \$0.0025	<u>FY 95</u> 77.4B \$0.0024	<u>FY 96</u> NA NA	
<b>Performance Measure Number 2</b> : M availability at multiple purpose project	faintain a high deg s.	gree of hydroele	ectric generating	3 unit	
Performance Target Number scheduled availability	r 2: Maintain gen	crating unit ava	ilability at 93%	of	
Performance Achieved: Performance Target Actual Availability	<u>FY 93</u> 93% 89.6%	<u>FX 94</u> 93% 88.9%	<u>FY 95</u> 93% 87.9%	<u>FY 96</u> 90% 88.4%	
	Recreation	l			
Description. There are 4,331 recreation Engineers. Of these 2400 are operated and maintained by non-Federal entities picnicing and related activities. The op compatible with and not in conflict with were authorized; i.e., flood protection.	l and maintained b . These areas pro peration and maint h the underlying p	by the Corps an vide for campir renance of the r rimary purpose	d the others are ig, swimming, l ecreation areas	operated poating, must be	
Strategy: The following program stra goals. They are as follows:	ategies have been	established to r	espond to the s	trategic	
Provide outdoor recreation a operated water resource projects.     2. Provide continued outdoor a future generations.				•	
Program Performance Measures and	f Annual Targets	ı.			
Performance Measure Number 1: C	-		tdoor recreatio	n services	-
Performance Target Number number of recreation visitors. The visit	1: The cost per y	risitor day is de	termined in par	• h	
	12				

ANNEX A that are not under the management influence of the Corps of Engineers. The cost of providing recreation opportunities is a variable that can be managed by Corps managers. Historically, a management performance target has not been specified because of the inability to significantly influence the visitor day component of the measure. Actual statistical performance has instead been reported. Performance Achieved: <u>FY 93</u> <u>FY 94</u> FY 95 FY 96 Visitor Days 199M 205M 205M Cost Per Visitor Day \$0.82 \$0.84 \$0.83 \*FY 96 data will be available in January 1997. **Emergency Management** Description: The Civil Works Program includes a disaster response and recovery program The program is pursued under the Corps own authority under Public Law (P.L.) 84-99 and under the Federal Response Plan in coordination with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and others. Response activities are supplemental to state and local efforts. The Corps disaster response and recovery program is not limited to water resource related disasters Disaster preparedness and response capabilities encompass a broad range of natural disasters and national emergencies because of the engineering skills and management capabilities that are maintained at a readiness state through peacetime Corps Civil Works Program support. The emergency preparedness planning and disaster response capability makes a significant and direct contribution to national security objectives. Strategy. Two program strategies have been established to respond to the strategic goals They are as follows: 1. Attain and maintain a high consistent state of preparedness 2. Provide for a rapid, effective, efficient all-hazards response Performance Measures and Annual Targets. Performance measures for this program are being developed. Support for Others Description. The Corps Support for Others Program provides technical and specialized contract management assistance to other Federal agencies, state and local governments and, in limited instances, to private entities on a reimbursable basis. The Corps' considerable and far ranging environmental expertise is drawn upon by the Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of Energy to assist them in the Superfund and cleanup at nuclear production facilities, 13 FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Amiex A/Page 97

84

ANNEX A respectively. Corps support of other agency infrastructure programs includes designing and building space launch facilities for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and managing embassy construction and security efforts around the world for the State Department and the United States Information Agency. Strategy: Ensure customer satisfaction. Performance Measure and Annual Target. Performance measures are being developed for this program. 14 FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex A/Page 98



5 MINUT 2		
annex a		,
Department of Defense		
Corps of Engineers STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION AS OF SEPTEMBER 30,1996	CONSOLIDATED ST	ATEMENT
(Thousands)	1995	1995
ASSETS		
1. Entity Assets: a. Transactions with Federal (Intragovernmental) Entities:		
(1). Fund Balance With Treasury		
(Note 2)	\$1,610,934	\$1,889,623
(2). Investments, Net (Note 4)	\$278,995	\$240,822
(3). Accounts Receivable, Net (Note 5)	\$355,816	\$341,996
<ul><li>(4). Interest Receivable</li><li>(5). Advances and Prepayments</li></ul>	\$1,537	\$1,492
(6). Other Federal (intragovernmental) (Note 6)	\$0 (\$12,555)	50
b. Transactions with Non-Federal (Governmental) Entities;	(414,333)	\$2,939
(1). investments (Note 4)	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0
(2). Accounts Receivable, Nel (Note 5)	\$20,901	\$627,949
(3). Credit Program Receivables/ Related Foreclosed Property, Net (Note 7)	••	
(4). Interest Receivable, Net	<b>SO</b> SO	\$0 \$0
(5). Advances and Prepayments	\$2.821	\$2,332
(6). Other Non-Federal (Governmental) (Note 6)	(\$43,873)	(\$102,054)
c. Cash and Other Monetary Assets (Note 3)	\$0	\$0
d. Inventory, Net (Note B)	\$0	\$0
e. Work in Process (Note 9)	\$0	\$0
<ol> <li>Dperating Materials/Supplies, Net (Note 10)</li> <li>Stockpile Materials, Net (Note 11)</li> </ol>	\$9,185	\$13,162
h. Seized Property (Note 12)	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
I. Forfeited Property, Net (Note 13)	\$0 \$0	\$0 20
j. Goods Held Under Price Support and Stabilization Programs, Net (Note 14) (Note 13)		
k. Property, Plant and Equipment, Net (Note 15)	\$0 \$38,657,678	\$0 \$38,959,002
I. Other Entity Asset	\$219,559	\$28,048
m. Total Entity Assets	\$41,100,798	\$42,005,299
* DA Goal for WiP is 3 days (AR 37-106, paragraph 1-6)		
<ol> <li>Non-Entity Assets:</li> <li>a. Transactions with Federal (intragovernmental) Entities;</li> </ol>		
(1). Fund Balance With Treasury (Note 2)	\$54,311	\$33,934
(2). Accounts Receivable, Net (Note 5)	\$1,842	\$33,934 \$1,644
(3). Interest Receivable	\$0	\$0
(4). Other (Note 6) b. Transactions With Non-Federal (Governmental) Entities;	\$0	<b>SO</b> .
(1). Accounts Receivable, Net (Note 5)	\$550,331	· \$18,205
(2). Interest Receivable, Net	\$0	S0
(3). Other (Note 6)	50	\$0
c. Cash and Other Monetary Assets (Note 3)	\$0	\$0
d. Other Non-Entity Assets e. Total Non-Entity Assets	\$0	\$0
• • • • •	\$806,484	\$53,783
3. Total Assets	\$41,707,282	\$42,059,082

FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Acmy Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136)

Amics A/Page 100

. 87

Department of Defense Corps of Engineers STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION		
Corps of Engineers		
AS OF SEPTEMBER 30,1996 Thousande)	CONSOLIDATED S	TATEMENT
	1996	1995
LIABILITIES		
4. Lizbilities Covered by Budgetary Resources;		
a. Transactions with Federal (intragovernmental) Entities: (1). Accounts Payable	**** ***	•••••
(1), Accounts Payable (2), Interest Payable	\$167,978 \$0	\$144,414 \$0
(3). Debt (Note 15)	SO	50 50
(4). Other Federal (Intragovernmental) Llabilities (Note 17)	\$26,458	\$209,802
<ul> <li>b. Transactions with Non-Federal (Governmental) Entities:</li> <li>(1). Accounts Payable</li> </ul>	\$423,836	\$413,413
(2). Accrued Payroll and Benefits		
(a) Salaries and Wages	\$80,689	\$0
(b) Annual Accrued Leave (c) Severance Pay and Separation Allowance	\$168,866 \$0	\$0 \$0
(3), Interest Payable	\$0	\$0
(4). Liabilities for Loan Guarantees (Note 7)	\$0	\$0
(5). Lease Liabilities (Note 18)	\$0	\$0
<ul> <li>(6). Pensions and Other Actuarial Liabilities (Note 19)</li> <li>(7). Other Non-Federal (Governmental)</li> </ul>	\$0	<b>S</b> 0
Lizbilities (Note 17) c. Totat Lizbilities Covered by Budgetary Resources:	\$131,689 \$999,696	\$1,044,875 \$1,812,504
5. Liabilities not Covered by Budgetary Resources:		÷
a. Transactions with Federal (intragovernmental) Entities:		
(1). Accounts Payable	\$0	SO
(2). Debt (Note 15)	\$0	\$0
(3). Other Federal (Intragovernmental) Lighilities (Note 17) b. Transactions with Non-Federal (Governmental) Entities;	<b>\$1,641</b>	<b>\$</b> 0
(1). Accounts Payable	\$0	50
(2). Debt (Note 16)	\$0	ŝõ
(3). Lesse Liabilities (Note 18)	02	\$5
<ol> <li>Pensions and Other Acturial Liabilities (Note 19)</li> <li>Other Non-Federal (Governmental) Liabilities (Note 17)</li> </ol>	\$0	\$0
c. Total Liabilities not Covered by Budgetary Resources	\$549,434 \$551,075	\$16,448 \$16,453
6. Total Lizbillties	\$1,550,771	\$1,828,957
NET POSITION (Note20)		
7. Balances:		
a. Unexpended Appropriations	\$2,242,062	\$1,787,752
<ul> <li>b. invested Capital</li> <li>c. Cumulative Results of Operations</li> </ul>	\$35,402,480	\$38,458,454
d. Other	\$63,044 \$0	\$2,372 \$0
e. Future Funding Requirements	(\$551,075)	(\$16,453)
f. Total Net Position	\$40,158,511	\$40,230,125
8. Total Llabilities and Net Position	\$41,707,282	\$42,059,082

ANNEX A			
Department of Defense			
Corps of Engineers			
STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS AND CHANGES IN NET POSIT	ION		
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 1996	CONSOLIDAT	ED STATEMENT	
(in Thousands)			
REVENUES AND FINANCING SOURCES	1996	<u>1995</u>	
1. Appropriated Capital Used 2. Revenues from Sales of Goods and Services	3,708,850	4,543,717	
a. To the Public		4 4 4 4 4	
b. Intragovernmental	2 201 407	14,235	
3. Interest and Penalties, Non-Fedarat	3,301,497 14	2,780,707	
4. Interest, Federal	15,519	13,250	
5. Taxes (Note 21)		10,200	
6. Other Revenues and Financing Sources (Note 22)	262,072	117,305	
7. Loss: Taxes and Receipts Transferred		,	
to the Treasury or Other Agencies	(191,786)	(98,363)	
8. Total Revenues and Financing Sources	7,096,166	7,370,851	
EXPENSES			
<ol> <li>Program or Operating Expenses (Note 23)</li> <li>Cost of Goods Sold (Note 24)</li> </ol>	5,945,585	6,655,452	
a. To the Public			
b. Intragovenmental	842.074	14.235	
11. Depreciation and Amortization	812,971 349,603	517,408	
12. Bad Debte and Writeofts	70	180,865 27	
13. Interest		21	
e. Federal Financing Bank/Treasury Sorrowing			
b. Federal Securities			
c. Other		•	
14. Other Expenses (Note 25)			
15. Total Expenses	7,108,229	7,367,985	
16. Excess (Shortage) of Revenues and			
Financing Sources Over Total Expenses			
Before Extraordinary items	(12,063)	2 666	
17. Plus (Minus) Extraordinary Items (Note 26)	(12,003)	2,866	
18. Excess (Shortage) of Revenues and			
Financing Sources Over Total Expenses	(12,063)	2,866	
19. Net Position, Beginning Balance, as Previously Stated	40,230,122	47,018,058	
20. Adjustments (Note 27)			
21. Net Position, Beginning Balance, as Restated	40,230,122	47.018.056	
22. Excess (Shortage) of Revenues and			
Financing Sources Over Total Expenses	(12,063)	2,868	
23. Plus (Minus) Non Operating Changes Note 28)	(61.550)	(6.790,800)	
24. Net Position, Ending Balance	40,156,509	40,230,122	
FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136)			

THOD	1998 (\$12,064 (\$46,868 \$27,502 \$6,842 \$7,265 (\$23,986 \$85,310) \$112,249 \$100,185 \$1,148 (\$54,464) (\$54,464) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	) \$2,868 ) (546,973) \$21,497 \$13,558 (515,608) \$62,783 \$0 \$78,777 \$127,533 \$130,401 \$1212 ) (582,857) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$127,533 \$130,401 \$122 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
OWS SEPTEMBER 30, 1908 ATING ACTIVITIES: evenues and Financing genses Flow: ad Accounts Payable Other Assets Accounts Payable Other Assets Accounts Payable Other Liabitites Eation Status Hold by Operating Activities STING ACTIVITIES: and Equipment lant and Equipment extable vable toxided (Used) d) by	(\$12,064 (\$46,868 \$27,502 \$8,842 \$7,255 (\$23,988 \$65,310 \$0 \$74,154 \$112,249 \$100,165 \$1,148 (\$54,664) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	) 1995 ) \$2,868 ) (546,973) \$21,497 \$13,559 (515,008) ) \$13,609 \$62,763 \$0 \$78,777 \$127,533 \$130,401 \$130,401 \$1212 (\$82,857) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
I SEPTEMBER 30, 1906 ATING ACTIVITIES: evenues and Financing genses Flow. ed Accounts Receivable Diter Assets Accounts Payable Diter Liabilities Education Payable Diter Liabilities Education Payable Diter Liabilities STING ACTIVITIES: and Equipment lant end Equipment ent end Equipment events (Used) by	(\$12,064 (\$46,868 \$27,502 \$8,842 \$7,255 (\$23,988 \$65,310 \$0 \$74,154 \$112,249 \$100,165 \$1,148 (\$54,664) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	) 1995 ) \$2,868 ) (546,973) \$21,497 \$13,559 (515,008) ) \$13,609 \$62,763 \$0 \$78,777 \$127,533 \$130,401 \$130,401 \$1212 (\$82,857) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
I SEPTEMBER 30, 1906 ATING ACTIVITIES: evenues and Financing genses Flow. ed Accounts Receivable Diter Assets Accounts Payable Diter Liabilities Education Payable Diter Liabilities Education Payable Diter Liabilities STING ACTIVITIES: and Equipment lant end Equipment ent end Equipment events (Used) by	(\$12,064 (\$46,868 \$27,502 \$8,842 \$7,255 (\$23,988 \$65,310 \$0 \$74,154 \$112,249 \$100,165 \$1,148 (\$54,664) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	) 1995 ) \$2,868 ) (546,973) \$21,497 \$13,559 (515,008) ) \$13,609 \$62,763 \$0 \$78,777 \$127,533 \$130,401 \$130,401 \$1212 (\$82,857) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
ATING ACTIVITIES: evenues and Financing panses Flow: ad Accounts Receivable Other Assets Accounts Payable Other Liabilities tation by Operating Activities STING ACTIVITIES: and Equipment tent end Equipment tent end Equipment eveble vable vable (Used)	(\$12,064 (\$46,868 \$27,502 \$8,842 \$7,255 (\$23,988 \$65,310 \$0 \$74,154 \$112,249 \$100,165 \$1,148 (\$54,664) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	) \$2,868 ) (546,973) \$21,497 \$13,558 (513,608) \$62,783 \$0 \$78,777 \$127,533 \$130,401 \$1212 (582,857) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$122,533 \$130,401 \$122 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
evenues and Financing genses Flow. ed Accounts Receivable Other Assets Accounts Payable Other Liabilities calion Just Charles Califormer STING ACTIVITIES: and Equipment Est and Equipment etvable vable vable vable vable	(\$12,064 (\$46,868 \$27,502 \$8,842 \$7,255 (\$23,988 \$65,310 \$0 \$74,154 \$112,249 \$100,165 \$1,148 (\$54,664) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	) \$2,868 ) (546,973) \$21,497 \$13,558 (513,608) \$62,783 \$0 \$78,777 \$127,533 \$130,401 \$1212 (582,857) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$122,533 \$130,401 \$122 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
penses Flow; ed Accounts Payable Other Assets Accounts Payable Other Liabilities calion as of) by Operating Activities STING ACTIVITIES: and Equipment lant and Equipment lant and Equipment etvable vable vable vable vable	(\$46,868 \$27,502 \$6,842 \$7,295 (\$23,986 \$85,310 \$30 \$74,154 \$112,249 \$100,185 \$1,148 (\$54,464) \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$0	) (546,973) \$21,497 \$13,558 (\$15,608) \$13,609 \$2,763 \$0 \$130,401 \$130,401 \$1212 \$1212 \$1212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
penses Flow; ed Accounts Payable Other Assets Accounts Payable Other Liabilities calion as of) by Operating Activities STING ACTIVITIES: and Equipment lant and Equipment lant and Equipment etvable vable vable vable vable	(\$46,868 \$27,502 \$6,842 \$7,295 (\$23,986 \$85,310 \$30 \$74,154 \$112,249 \$100,185 \$1,148 (\$54,464) \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$0	) (546,973) \$21,497 \$13,558 (\$15,608) \$13,609 \$2,763 \$0 \$130,401 \$130,401 \$1212 \$1212 \$1212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
Flow: ad Accounts Receivable Other Assets Accounts Paysble Other Liabilities callion as ad) by Operating Activities STING ACTIVITIES: and Equipment lent end Equipment lent end Equipment exveble vable vable vable vable (Used)	(\$46,868 \$27,502 \$6,842 \$7,295 (\$23,986 \$85,310 \$30 \$74,154 \$112,249 \$100,185 \$1,148 (\$54,464) \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$0	) (546,973) \$21,497 \$13,558 (\$15,608) \$13,609 \$2,763 \$0 \$130,401 \$130,401 \$1212 \$1212 \$1212 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
ed Accounts Receivable Diher Assets Accounts Payable Diher Liabilities callion is with a constant of the activities string ACTIVITIES: and Equipment lent and Equipment entrable vable vable vable vable	\$27,602 \$6,642 \$7,295 (\$23,964 \$85,310 \$0 \$74,154 \$112,249 \$100,185 \$100,185 \$1,148 (\$54,464) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$21,497 \$13,658 (\$15,608) \$13,609 \$62,763 \$0 \$78,777 \$127,533 \$130,401 \$130,401 \$1212 (\$82,857) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
Accounts Receivable Diher Assets Diher Assets Accounts Payable Driter Liabilities Eation Iss and by Operating Activities STING ACTIVITIES: and Equipment lant end Equipment lant end Equipment evable vable vable d) by	\$27,602 \$6,642 \$7,295 (\$23,964 \$85,310 \$0 \$74,154 \$112,249 \$100,185 \$100,185 \$1,148 (\$54,464) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$21,497 \$13,658 (\$15,608) \$13,609 \$62,763 \$0 \$78,777 \$127,533 \$130,401 \$130,401 \$1212 (\$82,857) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
Dither Assets Accounts Payable Dater Llabilities callon by Operating Activities STING ACTIVITIES: and Equipment lent and Equipment extable revided (Used) d) by	\$8,842 \$7,265 (\$23,986 \$85,310 \$14,154 \$112,249 \$100,185 \$110,185 \$1,148 (\$54,464) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$13,558 (\$15,608) \$13,660 \$52,763 \$2,763 \$127,533 \$130,401 \$1212 (\$82,857) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
Shter Llebilities tallon by Operating Activities STING ACTIVITIES: and Equipment tent end Equipment exteble vable vable vable	(\$23,964 \$65,310 \$0 \$11,2,249 \$100,165 \$1,148 (\$54,664) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	(\$15,408) \$13,609 \$2,783 \$0 \$78,777 \$127,533 \$130,401 \$1212 (\$42,857) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
tation is STING ACTIVITIES: and Equipment lant and Equipment etvable vable vable vable (Vised) d) by	\$65,310 \$0 \$74,154 \$100,185 \$1,148 (\$54,464) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$62,763 \$0 \$76,777 \$127,533 \$130,401 \$1212 (\$62,257) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
ss sd) by Operating Activities STING ACTIVITIES: and Equipment lant end Equipment extend vable vable vable (vided (Used)	\$0 \$77.154 \$112.249 \$100,185 \$1,148 (\$54.464) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$76,777 \$127,533 \$130,401 \$1212 (\$82,857) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
nd) by Operating Activities STING ACTIVITIES: and Equipment lent end Equipment vable vable cvided (Used) d) by	\$74,154 \$112,249 \$100,185 \$1,148 (\$54,464) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$76,777 \$127,533 \$130,401 \$1212 (\$82,857) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
STING ACTIVITIES: and Equipment lant and Equipment evable vable (Used) d) by	\$100,185 \$1,148 (\$54,484) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$130,401 \$1 212 (\$82,857) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
STING ACTIVITIES: and Equipment lant and Equipment evable vable (Used) d) by	\$1,148 (\$54,464) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$1 212 (\$82,857) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
and Equipment lant and Equipment style vable sylded (Used) d) by	(\$54,464) 50 30 30 30 30 30 50	) (\$82,857) 50 50 50 50 50 50	
lent end Equipment vable vable cvided (Used) d) by	(\$54,464) 50 30 30 30 30 30 50	) (\$82,857) 50 50 50 50 50 50	
lent end Equipment vable vable cvided (Used) d) by	(\$54,464) 50 30 30 30 30 30 50	) (\$82,857) 50 50 50 50 50 50	
elvable vable cvided (Used) d) by	50 50 50 50 50	50 50 50 50 50	
ivable cvided (Used) d) by	\$0 \$0 \$0	50 50 50	
ivable cvided (Used) d) by	\$0 \$0	50 50	
cvided (Used) d) by	\$0	\$0	
	(\$53,316)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(\$53,310)		
BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES		(\$81.645)	
Warranta)	\$0	50	
	\$0	\$0	
om Others	\$0	\$0	
	**		
Others			
	\$0	50	
	\$0	23	
	\$0	\$0	
	\$0	\$0	
iom the Treasury			
epayments			
d) by	30	PC I	
	\$0	\$0	
	546 860	548 75A	• •
Isury, Cash,			
isury, Cash,		\$494,990	
nvray	\$590,615	5543 746	
	Others the Public ury and the bit the Transucy g Bank payments ) by by Operating, cutvities ury, Cash, onning	m Others \$0 Others \$0 Chers \$0 c \$0 the Public \$0 ury and the \$0 ury and the \$0 ury and the \$0 ury and the \$0 p Bank \$0 p Bank \$0 p by \$0 by \$0	m Othere         S0         S0           Others         \$0         \$0           Others         \$0         \$0           S0         \$0         \$0           S0         \$0         \$0           S0         \$0         \$0           S0         \$0         \$0           ury and the         \$0         \$0           mm the Transury         \$0         \$0           g Bank         \$0         \$0           g Bank         \$0         \$0           ) by Operating, toxivities         \$46,669         \$48,766           ury, Cash, gionning         \$543,746         \$46,960

# ANNEX A Supplemental Disclosure Shedule of Cash Flow Information: 33. Total Interest Pald Supplemental Schedule of Financing and Investing Activity: 33. Total Interest Paid \$0 Property and Equipment Acquired Under Capital Lasse Obligations Property Acquired Under Long-term Financing Arrangements Other Exchanges of Noncash Assets or Liabilities \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Amex A/Page 104

ANNEX A Note 1. Significant Accounting Policies A. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has an estimated one (1) billion of continuing contracts for which no orders have been placed. This represents future contract authority. B. The Corps of Engineers Funds with Treasury balances have been adjusted to agree with Treasury's balances in accordance with Treasury's policy. C. The Corps of Engineers Management Information System (COEMIS) has not been programmed to capture data under GL 5700 (Appropriations expensed) or 6100 (Program Operating Expenses) on the Statement of Operations. Therefore, Appropriations Expensed and Program Operating Expenses have been adjusted on all statements. D. Footnote 23, Operating Expenses by Object Classification, has also been produced through the use of estimates/percentages depicted by object class taken from the U.S. Budget Report of Obligations (SF225). COEMIS general ledgers do not provide the level of detail required for this footnole. E. Footnote 29, Intrafund Eliminations: Our accounting system does not capture the detail information requested in order to comply with this footnote. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex A/Page 105

ANNEX A NOTE 2. Fund Balances with Treasury CONSOLIDATED A. Business Operations Fund (USD(C)) and All Other Funds and Accounts •• Entity Assets Trust Revolving Appropriated Other Type Eunds Eunds Eunds Eunds Total Unobligated Balance Available: Available Restricted Reserve for anticipated Resources \$70,286 \$283,904 \$328,952 \$14,857 \$697,999 Obligated (but not expensed) Unfunded Contract Authority Unused Borrowing Authority 82,509 306,711 520,198 3,517 912,935 **Treasury Balance** \$152,795 \$590,615 \$849,150 \$18,375 \$1,610,934 8. Defense Business Operations Fund Activities Below USD(C) Level Entity Assets **Eunds Collected** Eunds Disbursed Beginning Balance Transfers of Cash to Others Transfers of Cash from Others Funds Collected Funds Disbursed Ending Balance Non-Entity Assets Funds Disbursed \$35,656 C. All Funds and Accounts Funds Collected (\$1.721) 47,918 44,399 \$1,798 Beginning Balance Funds Collected Funds Disbursed (16,858) Ending Balance \$52,513 D. Other Information: FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex A/Page 106







NOTE 10. Operating Materials a	and Supplies (OM&S	). Net			
•	CONSOLIDATI	ED			
••	(1) OM&S Amount	(2) Allowance For Losses	(3) OM&S, <u>Nei</u>	(4) Valuation <u>Method</u>	
A. OM&S Categories; 1. Held for Use 2. Held in Reserve for Future Use 3. Excese, Obsolete & Unserviceable Total	\$9,185 0 0 	\$0 0 \$0	\$9,185 0 <u>0</u> \$9,185	C C	
<ol> <li>Restrictions on operating mate Amount applies to Re</li> </ol>	artals and supplies: avolving Fund.				
C. Other Information: The Revolving Fund I and issue common in activities and activitie on average unit cost.	ventory items require s of the Revolving Fi	ad by multiple de	vit works		
	·				
	÷.,				
	÷.,				
	÷.				

					ANNEX A	
,					•	
NOTE 15. Property. Plant and El						
		ONSOLIDA"	TED .			
	(1) Depreciation <u>Method</u>	(2) Service Life	(3) Acquisition <u>Value</u>	(4) Accumulated <u>Depreciation</u>	(5) Net <u>Book Value</u>	
Ciasses of Fixed Assets:						
A. Land	N/A	N/A	\$7,247,421	\$0	\$7,247,421	
B. Structures, Facilities, & Leasehold Improvements C. Military Equipment	IN	>20	28,502,393	3,234,762	25,267,631	
D. ADP Software E. Equipment	SL SL	1-5 1-5	21,726 1,130,601	159 387,685	21,568 742,717	
F. Assets Under Capital Lease						
G. Other H. Natural Resources	N/A	N/A	515,288	4	515,284	
<ol> <li>Construction-in-Progress Total</li> </ol>	<u>N/A</u>	N/A	4,863,057	\$3,622,809	4,863,057	
		•				
*Keys:						
Depreciation Methods SL - Straight Line			Service Life			
DD - Double-Declining Balan	ce		1 to 5 Years 6 to 10 Years			
SY - Sum of the Years' Digits JN - Interest (sinking fund)	1		11 to 20 Years			
PR - Production (activity or u	se method)	-20	Over 20 Years			
OT - Other (describe)						
Other Information: Depreciation i	s not currently	categorize	d in the accounti	ng records as to		
amounts for e depreciation is	quipment vers shown again	us that of s st structure:	tructures, factilities, factilities, facilities & leas	es, etc. Ali schold improvem	ents	
Currently our e	peneral ledger	s do not se	parate land and o prements in COE	equinment from		
Districts are us	sed to calculat	e structure:	s and facilities. T	he depreciation	on equipment	
is computed in						
is computed in						
is computed in						
is computed in						
is computed in					,	
is computed in					x	
is computed in					,	~
is computed in						
is computed in						<b></b>

ANNEX A			
Note 17. Other Liabilities	CO	SOLIDATED	
A. Other Liabilities Covered by I	Budgetary Resources:		
	Non-Current	Current	
1. Intragovermental	Liability	Liability	Total
4.	\$24,431	\$2,027	<b>\$</b> 26,458
ð.	•	,	0
C.		·	0
Total	\$24,431	\$2,027	\$26,458
	Non-Current	Current	
	Liability	Liability	Total
<ol> <li>Governmental</li> <li>a.</li> </ol>			
а. b.	46,137	\$85,532	\$85,532
С.			46,137
Total	\$46,137	\$85,532	\$131,669
B. Other Information:			
C. Other Liabilities Not Covered I	by Budgetary Resources:		
	Non-Current	Current	
1 <b>T</b> -t	Liability	Liability	Total
<ol> <li>Intragovermental</li> <li>a.</li> </ol>		<b>F</b> 1 641	
<b>b</b> .		51,641	\$1,641
	······		
C.			\$1,641
c. Total		<b>S1</b> ,641	31,041
	Non-Current		
Total	Non-Current Liability	S1,641 Current Liability	Total
Total 2. Governmental		Current Liability	Тота
Total		Current	
Total 2. Governmental a. b. c.		Current Liability	Тота
Total 2. Governmental a. b.		Current Liability	Тота
Total 2. Governmental a. b. c.		Current Liability \$549,434	<u>Total</u> \$549,434
Total 2. Governmental a. b. c.		Current Liability \$549,434	<u>Total</u> \$549,434
Total 2. Governmental a. b. c. Total		Current <u>Liability</u> 5549,434 <u>5549,434</u>	<u>Total</u> \$549,434
Total 2. Governmental a. b. c. Total		Current Liability \$549,434	<u>Total</u> \$549,434

				ANNEX A	
<i>.</i>					
NOTE 20. Net Position	•				
		CONS	OLIDATED		
	Revolving	Trust	Appropriated		
	Funds	Funda	Funds	Total	
A. Unexpended Appropriations:					
(1) Unobligated,					
a. Available	\$424,799	\$70,286	\$343,778	\$838,863	
b. Unavailable (2) Undelivered	••••••				
Orders	<b>***</b>	335,392	1,067,805	1 403,197	
B. Invested Capital C. Cumulative Results		1,034,644	36,806,956	38,402,480	
of Operations	. 63,044			63,044	
D. Other E. Future Funding	• • • • •				
Requirement		(966)	(550,109)	(551,075)	
F. Total	\$1,048,723	\$1,439,356	\$37,668,430	\$40,156,509	
G. Other information					
		I			

### ANNEX A Note 22. Other Revenues and Financing Sources CONSOLIDATED Other Revenues and Financing Sources A. 1996 1995 Insurance Premiums/Trust Fund Revenues \$39,246 \$14,259 2. Plant Increment \$31,039 \$4,683 3. Unavailable Receipts (General Funds) \$191,787 \$98,363 Total \$262,072 \$117,305 B. Other Information: The Corps of Engineers is self-insured and collects monthly premiums for insurance on Revolving Fund assets. Insurance premiums were not listed separately on previous financial statements. Plant increment is charged on Revolving Fund Assets to ensure the availability of funds for the replacement of these assets. The Trust Fund Revenues amounting to \$22,898,020.00 are accounted for by the Department of Treasury and can provide any additional information needed. The General Fund receipts of \$191,786,802.00 are unavailable receipts returned to Treasury. FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex A/Page 114

2. Travel and Transportation     3. Rental, Communication and Utilities     4. Printing and Reproduction     5. Contractual Services     4. Supplies and Materials     5. Contractual Services     7. Equipment not Capitalized     7. Equipment not Capitalized		1995 \$1,318,685 \$93,666 \$120,073 \$34,370 \$4,734,965 \$344,232 \$5,262 \$4,179 \$5,655,452 \$5,655,452 \$383,573		
A. Operating Expenses by Object Classification:         1. Personal Services and Benefita	19,978 57,248 03,808 19,484 28,298 10,148 \$5,800 \$1,045	\$1,318,685 \$93,686 \$120,073 \$34,370 \$4,734,985 \$344,232 \$5,262 \$4,179 \$0,655,452		
1. Personal Services and Benefits	57,248 03,808 19,484 28,298 10,148 \$5,600 \$1,045	\$03,666 \$120,073 \$34,370 \$4,734,065 \$344,232 \$5,262 \$4,179 \$6,655,452		
2. Travel and Transportation     3. Rental, Communication and Utilities	57,248 03,808 19,484 28,298 10,148 \$5,600 \$1,045	\$03,666 \$120,073 \$34,370 \$4,734,065 \$344,232 \$5,262 \$4,179 \$6,655,452		
3. Rental, Communication and Utilities	03,808 19,484 28,298 10,148 \$5,600 \$1,045	\$120,073 \$34,370 \$4,734,985 \$344,232 \$5,262 \$4,179 \$5,655,452		
<ul> <li>4. Printing and Reproduction</li></ul>	19,484 28,298 10,146 \$5,600 \$1,045	\$120,073 \$34,370 \$4,734,985 \$344,232 \$5,262 \$4,179 \$5,655,452		
<ul> <li>5. Contractual Services</li></ul>	28,298 10,146 \$5,600 \$1,045	\$34,370 \$4,734,065 \$344,232 \$5,262 \$4,179 \$5,655,452		
<ul> <li>5. Contractual Services</li></ul>	28,298 10,146 \$5,600 \$1,045	\$4,734,085 \$344,232 \$5,262 \$4,179 \$0,655,452		
<ul> <li>6. Supplies and Materials</li></ul>	10,148 \$5,800 \$1,045	\$344,232 \$5,262 \$4,179 \$0,655,452		
<ul> <li>7. Equipment not Capitalized</li></ul>	\$5,600 \$1,045 45,585	\$5,262 \$4,170 \$6,655,452		
<ol> <li>Grants, Subsidies and Contributions</li></ol>	\$1,045 45,585	\$4,170 50,655,452		
<ul> <li>Insurance Claims and Indemnities</li></ul>	\$1,045 45,585	\$4,170 50,655,452		
10. Other (describe):       (a)         (b)       (c)         11 Total Expenses by Object Class       \$5         8. Operating Expenses by Program:       Flood Control - Mississippi River and Tributaries	45,585	<b>50,655,452</b>		
(a)       (b)         (c)       11 Total Expenses by Object Class         11 Total Expenses by Program:       55         B. Operating Expenses by Program:       Flood Control - Mississippi River and Tributaries				
(b) (c) 11 Totel Expenses by Object Class \$5. B. Operating Expenses by Program: Flood Control - Mississippi River and Tributaries				
(c) 11 Totel Expenses by Object Class 55 B. Operating Expenses by Program: Flood Control - Mississippi River and Tributaries				
11 Totel Expenses by Object Class       \$5.         B. Operating Expenses by Program:       Flood Control - Mississippi River and Tributaries				
B. Operating Expenses by Program:     Flood Control - Mississippi River     and Tributaries				
Flood Control - Mississippi River and Tributaries	02,541	\$383,573		
and Tributaries	02,541	\$383.573		
and Tributaries	02,541	\$383.573		
General Investigations - Studies/collection of basic information pertaining to rivers/harbors flood control, shore protection & related projects	UZ,041	\$383,573		
of basic information pertaining to rivers/harbors flood control, shore protection & related projects				
of basic information pertaining to rivers/harbors flood control, shore protection & related projects				
flood control, shore protection & related projects				
projects				
Construction, General - Advance Engineering & Design in relation to Navigation, Beach erosion, flood control, rehabilitation & dam safety, aquatic plant control, etc				
Design in relation to Navigation, Beach erosion, flood control, rehabilitation & dam safety, aquatic plant control, etc	54,809	\$207.982		
Design in relation to Navigation, Beach erosion, flood control, rehabilitation & dam safety, aquatic plant control, etc				
flood control, rehabilitation & dam safety, aquatic plant control, etc				
aquatic plant control, etc				
Operation/Maintenance - for expenses necessary for the preservation & care of existing rivers and harbors, flood control & related work	39,710	EL 087 (00		
for the preservation & care of existing rivers and harbors, flood control & related work	38,710	\$1,067,406		
for the preservation & care of existing rivers and harbors, flood control & related work				
and harbors, flood control & related work				
work \$1,				
	5.071			
		\$1,689,091		
Operation & Maintenance, General,				
<b>m</b> . <b>m</b>	10,535	*= ===		
		\$8,825		
General Expenses - for expenses necessary				
			,	
-------------------	---	---------------	------------------	--
ANNEX A				
Note 23.	Program or Operating Expenses	CONSOLIDATED		
••	for general administration & related functions in the Office of the Chief of	1996	1995	
	Engineers & Division Engineers & other specified activities	\$149,813	\$148,480	
	Flood Control & Coastal Emergencies - for expenses necessary for emergency flood			
	control, hurricane & shore protection activities	\$54,748	\$64,392	
	Flood Control & Coastal Emergencies, Floodin Midwest Act of 1993	9. \$6,654	\$15,120	
	Regulatory Program - for expenses necessary for administration of laws pertaining to the regulation of navigable waters including			
	bridges and wetlands	\$99,722	\$99,300	
	Consolidated Working Fund	\$1,723	\$17,805	
	Acquisition & Construction of Radio Facilities for the International			
	Communication Agency	50	\$2,730	
	· · · · ·			
FY 96 Financial S	latements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136)		Annex A/Page 116	

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		ANNEX A
Note 23, Program or Operating Expenses		
	1998	1995
Revolving Fund - provides the acquisition, operation & maintenance of plant/equipment used in Civil Works functions; for temporary financing of services chargeable to Civil appropriations and forfumishing facilities & services for military functions		
of the Dept of the Army, other government agencies & private persons	\$2,529,480	\$2,254,901
Payments to States	\$5,600	\$5,262
Operation & Maintenance of Dams and other improvements, Navigable		
Watera,	\$4,929	\$12.069
Coastal Wetlands Restoration	\$13,213	\$10,478
Inland Waterways Trust Fund	\$28,957	\$25.027
Contributed Funds - Improvements of Rivers and Harbors	\$113,294	\$122,731
Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund	\$482,126	\$519,198
Othèr	\$1,730	\$1.085
(4) Unaflocated Expense	\$0	30
Totals	\$5,945.586	\$8,655.451
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136)		Annex A/Page 117



#### ANNEX A CONSOLIDATED Note 28. Non-Operating Changes - (Transfers and Donations) 1996 <u>1995</u> A. Increases: Transfers-In: ٩. \$3,643,145 (\$2,345,639) 11 a. b. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 C. 2. Unexpended Appropriations \$0 \$0 3. Donations Received \$0 \$0 \$441,962 \$567,163 4. Other increases (\$1,778,476) \$4,085,107 5. Total increases B. Decreases: 1. Transfers-Out: \$567,630 \$2 a. 50 \$0 b. \$0 \$0 C. 2. Donations \$0 \$0 3. Other Decreases \$3,579,027 \$5,012,322 4. Total Decreases \$4,146,657 \$5,012,324 (\$61,550) (\$6,790,800) C. Net Non Operating Changes(Transfers) D. Other Information: FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex A/Page 119

#### ANNEX B AUDIT REPORTS ISSUED AND **ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE AUDIT** Title Report Date Issued AA 97-108 Corps of Engineers' FY 96 3 February 1997 Financial Statements, Vicksburg District AA 97-110 FY 96 Financial Statements, 3 February 1997 Corps of Engineers, Huntington District AA 97-98 FY 96 Financial Statements, 31 January 1997 Corps of Engineers, Fort Worth District AA 97-109 FY 96 Financial Statements, 31 January 1997 Corps of Engineers, Alaska District Activities Included In The Audit: Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC U.S. Army Engineer Divisions Southwestern Ohio River Lower Mississippi River Valley Pacific Ocean

Appendix B. AAA Report, "FY 96 Financial Statements: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Civil Works"

#### U.S. Army Engineer Districts

FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136)

Fort Worth Huntington Vicksburg Alaska

107

Annex B/Page 120

ANNEX C VERBATIM COMMAND COMMENTS DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WASHINGTON, D.C. 20314-1000 24 January 1947 REPLY TO ATTENTION OF: CEAO (36-5c) MEMORANDUM FOR DEPUTY AUDITOR GENERAL, FINANCIAL AUDITS, ATTN: SAAG-FAC, MR. PATRICK FITZGERALD, PROGRAM DIRECTOR, CORPS OF ENGINEERS AND CIVIL WORKS AUDITS SUBJECT: USACE Comments on Draft Report of USAAA Audit of FY 96 Financial Statements, Civil Works, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1. This is the Command reply to the subject draft report. Tr Command comments in the report generally reflect our official command position. The completion dates for recommended cor-rective actions and Command comments are provided in the en-The closure. 2. We greatly appreciate the CFO audit partnering you have done with us tha past several years. That cooperation enabled this MACOM to further improve our accounting processes. CFO actual audit plans have been jointly discussed in advance so that our internal corrective actions and audits complement your external audit work. A joint FY 95 construction-in-progress and DD form 1354 work effort succeeded in correcting these reported material weaknesses. weaknesses. 3. The point of contact for this action is Mr. John B. Byrne who can be reached at 202-761-1987. FOR THE COMMANDER: Encl TTAMS Colonel, Corps of Engineers Chief of Staff FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex C/Page 121

ANNEX C COMMENTS ON DRAFT AUDIT REPORT OF FY 96 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CIVIL WORKS FINDING A: ACCOUNTING POLICIES Finding: Within its revolving fund, the U.S. Army Corps Engineers meintained accounting policies that weren't in accordance with the Federal Financial Accounting Standards. One of management's primary responsibilities is to keep the revolving fund solvent at all times. Therefore, the Corps im-bedded financing mechanisms in the fund to protect the balance of the fund by either accumulating reserves of increasing solvency (cash flows) with the fund. We agree with the business practices used by the Corps to ac-cumulate reserves to offset future expected costs. They appear-ed to be prudent management decisions to finance revolving fund operations. We didn't agree with the practices implemented solely to produce cash flow. However, in either case the Corps didn't account for the associated revenues and expenses in ac-cordance with accounting standards. These policies misrecorded and misreported the current year's operating expenses, distort-ing the financial statements. As a result of the accounting policies used, the financial re-porting of the results of operations wasn't accurately portrayed on the financial statements. We recommend adjusting entries totaling about \$223.2 million. Additional Facts: The Corps agreed to record a corporate lavel adjusting entry for casualty losses and eliminiting the in-surance premium charges at year-end. Technical difficulties in posting and balancing the "General Ledger Trial Balance" pre-vented this from occurring for FY 1996. It will be done begin-ning with the FY 1997 financial statements. 1. <u>Recommendation A-1.</u>: Correct the remaining accounting pol-icies (plant increment and repairs & maintenance reserves) as-sociated with the Corps' business practices to ensure policies reflect GAAP, expenses and net income reported in the current period and financial statements show the correct balances in the prepaid assets and fund balances reserve amounts USACE Response: Concur. We recognize that our present ac-counting treatment is not in full compliance with GAAP in that the statements make it appear that expenses are overstated. ENCLOSURE FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex C/Page 122

109

ANNEX C will adjust our statements beginning FY 1997 year end to separ-ate the current year Results from Operation from cumulative val-ues. We will also make the appropriate year-end closing entries to reflect all income and expenses in the reporting pariod as recommend. 2. <u>Recommendation A-2</u>: Discontinue the policy of expensing the remaining book value of assets prior to asset disposal. In accordance with accounting principles, recognize non-operating gains or losses on the assets as the difference between the net book value and proceeds received, if any. USACE Response: Concur. We are incorporating this recom-mendation in change 88 to ER 37-2-10 which should be completed by 30 September 1997. <u>Recommendation A-3</u>: Record and report non-operating income and losses on the Statement of Operations and Changes in Nat Position. USACE Response: Concur. The USACE CFO's financial state-ments for FY 1996 had already been completed prior to the re-lease of this draft report. OMB has issued bulletin 97-01 re-vising the instructions for this statement. We will comply with OMB bulletin for the preparation of FY 1997 financial statements. 4. <u>Recommendation A-4</u>: Implement procedures to ensure that gains and losses are incorporated into the rats structure and passed on to the revolving fund customers. <u>USACE Response</u>: Concur. We will incorporate the recommend-ed practice into the district Operating Budget process for all Revolving Fund plant accounts. In most cases, the amount will be immaterial and will not impact rates. 5. <u>Recommendation A-5</u>: Implement a policy to recognize valvage value on depreciable assets. Consider using either a composite standard rate or various rates by major class of assets by re-viewing historical records to determine the net realizable value received as a percentage of acquisition costs or by allocating amounts based on estimated salvage value. USACE Response: Concur. If there is materiality here, it will be with respect to structures. We will require salvage value calculation in the depreciation formula for all structures in ER 37-2-10. -2-FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex C/Page 123

ANNEX C 6. Recommendation  $\lambda$ -6: Discontinue the policy of recording deferred asset charges for equipment in mothball status. Record these costs as current period expenses. USACE Response: Concur. Revised procedures will be incorporated in ER 37-2-10, change 88 which should be completed by 30 September 1997. 7. <u>Recommendation A-7</u>: Datermine the cumulative impact on the financial statements of the policies not in conformance with GAAP. Record and report prior years' adjustments required. USACE Responsa: Concur. We will determine the cumulative impact of the policies not in conformance with Fed GAP on the financial statements to the maximum extent possible. Appropri-ate prior year adjustments will be reflected in the FY 97 finan-cial statements. -3-FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex C/Page 124

111

ANNEX C	
FINDING B: FINANCIAL REPORTING	
Finding: The Corps' financial reporting process needed improve- ment. In reviewing the FY 95 and FY 96 documentation for the compilation and presentation of the statements, we found that it lacked:	
- Adequate audit trails.	
- Consistency	
- Sufficient disclosure	
As in fiscal years 1993 and 1994, the Corps elacted to expend minimal resources in FY 95 on producing financial statements resulting from its old financial management system. The old system couldn't produce adequate financial dats because it lacked key accounts and wasn't designed to account for revenues and expenses (see our Finding C entitled Financial Management Systems).	
We agreed with the Corps that expending additional resources to modify the legacy accounting system wouldn't be prudent. How- ever, the fielding of the new management information system dic- tates that sufficient effort be placed in the process starting in FY 96 to ensure that balances brought forward are accurate, reliable, and auditable.	
As a result, the FY 95 financial statements diin't accurately portray the results of operations or the financial position of the Corps. In addition, this also affected the opening balances established for FY96 at the close of FY 95.	
Additional Facts: None.	
1. <u>Recommendation B-1</u> . Continue to develop and implement for- mal accounting procedures to document and produce an audit trail for adjustments, transactions, methodology, and rationals used during the compilation process.	
USACE Response: Concur. The USACE Finance Center (UFC) will obtain/receive CFO statements generated from CEFMS data for each location that is deployed on CEFMS for the appropriate re- porting period. The UFC will consolidate the CFO reports and maintain an audit trail for any adjustments required to produce the consolidated CFO statements.	
-4-	-
·	
· · ·	
FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex C/Page 125	

ANNEX C 2. <u>Recommendation 8-2</u>: Implement the alternative reporting process of preparing formal financial statements at each reporting period. <u>USACE Response</u>: Concur. We will implement a procedure/pro-cess where the complete range of financial statements is produc-ed at each location deployed on CEFMS. One of our long range objectives for the UFC is for each activity/location to prepare the full set of required financial statements to ensure that each Commander/Director has a increased awareness of their or-ganizations input to the total product, consolidated financial statements. statements. 3. <u>Recommendation B-3</u>: Develop policies and procedures to ensure that the new reporting requirements are adequately ad-dressed in the financial management system. USACE Response: Concur. We will interact with USAAA during the FY 97 Southwestern Division (SWD) CFO effort to ensure that all reporting requirements are appropriately addressed in the financial management system, CEFMS. Following the development of CEFMS CFO statements related to the SWD effort, we will em-phasize the coordination, incorporation, and publicizing all new CFO reporting requirements as received. -5-Annex C/Page 126 FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136)

ANNEX C FINDING C: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS Material uncertainties continue to exist regaring the reason-ableness of reported amounts on the financial statements. This occurred because the Corps' legacy financial system (Corps of Engineers Management Information System (COEMIS)) has fundament-al weaknesses that prevent the Corps from reporting reliable financial information. Specifically: - The system lacks revenue and expense accounts. - The system isn't based on the U.S. Government's Standard General Ledger, and the existing crosswalk isn't accurate. The system isn't integrated with other Corps' systems. - Auditable transactions to support computer balances don't exit. We've reported these inadequacies since FY 93 in our audit reports. The Corps is aware of these problems and is in the process of fielding a new financial management system - the Corps of Engineers Financial Management System (CEFMS). On the positive side, our audit results showed that the new financial system appeared to be operating with a high degree of reliability. It resolved standard general ledger and integrated deficiencies found in the legacy system and enhanced controls. Recommendation: Due to the Corps ongoing implamentation of its Financial Management System (CEFMS) to correct the deficiencies we've reported since FY 93, we aren't making any recommendations at this time. -6-Annex C/Page 127 FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136)

ANNEX C	
FINDING D: MANAGEMENT CONTROLS	
The Corps needed to strengthen its management controls in several areas. We found in these areas that controls were in place, but not operating or not operating effectively:	
- Capitalization versus expenses of assets.	
- Asset retirement.	
- Insurance premium collections.	
- Accounts receivables.	
- Year-end cutoff.	
- Sponsor's contributions on reimbursable projects.	
These controls weren't working because personnal didn't comply with existing policies and procedures. For example:	
- District level managers misinterpreted some of the headquarter's accounting guidance and policies.	
<ul> <li>Some finance and accounting personnel didn't know how to correctly account for the transactions.</li> </ul>	
In addition, the legacy financial system hampered the Corps' control efforts by requiring labor-intensive procedures. As in the past, the Corps correctly reported the system deficiencies of the legacy financial system as a material wakness in its FY 96 Annual Assurance Statement. We agreed with the Corps assess- ment. We believe the remaining issues to be reportable condi- tions but not material weaknesses.	
As a result, the financial reporting of the results of operating wasn't accurately portrayed on the financial statements. More importantly, the Corps needs to strengthen its verification and compliance mechanisms to help ensure effective operations. Cur- rent mechanisms didn't identify problems.	
Additional facts: None.	
<ol> <li><u>Recommendation D-1</u>: Ensure compliance with asset capital- ization policy by implementing compliance mechanism. At a mini- mum, direct the local Internal Review Offices:</li> </ol>	
-7-	
- / -	
FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex C/Page 128	

ANNEX C - Perform periodic analytical reviews on the expense accounts based on the established criteria for asset capitalization. ..- Identify and research those expenses that meet the asset capitalization criteria. - Correct the accounting records as necessary. - Hold individuals accountable for their actions. USACE Response: Partially concur. Implementation of the FY 1996 capitalization policy will be reemphasized: in FY 1997. Furthermore, compliance with this policy will be part of the cyclical CFO audit program during FY 1997. All districts will be directed to do a 100% compliance review of the implementation of the FY 1996 asset capitalization policy and we will update our asset capitalization audit review guide on the basis of the FY 1996 USAAA CFO audit. Thereafter, compliance testing for this issue will be done on a four year cycle. 2. Recommendation D-2: Strengthan controls over the asset retirement process using the organizational structure to ensure compliance. Direct that echelons above perform periodic analytical reviews and site visits. USACE Response: Concur. We will change our "Management Control Checklist for Revolving Fund Operations" to include a test question on asset ratirement to enforce compliance. CERM-F will direct all Major Subordinate Command Finance and Accounting Officers to perform site visits for activities responding "no" to the checklist question. This checklist will be updated by 31 March 1997. 3. <u>Recommendation D-3</u>: Use historical insurance loss data to determine the correct balance for the insurance; reserve account. Reduce rates to allow the insurance reserve balance to decrease to this level. Adjust insurance presums to reflect actual losses so that a consistent balance can be maintained. USACE Response: Concur. We have already initiated an action plan recommending discontinuing insurance premium charge until the reserve balance lowers to \$25 million. <u>Recommendation D-4</u>: Ensure that Districts perform monthly reconciliations of accounts receivable and aggressively pursue -8-Annex C/Page 129 FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136)

ANNEX C delinquent accounts receivable as prescribed in ER 37-2-10. This should specifically include a revalidation of each account over 180 days old. USACE Response: Concur. We will reissue command guidance by 31 March 1997 to ensure field commanders en: orce these requirements. 5. <u>Recommendation D-5</u>: Develop year end close out procedures that will ensure all expenses are recorded in the proper accounting period. USACE Response: Concur. UFC will continue to refine pub-lished year end closing procedures to ensure that all accounting transactions are recorded in the appropriate accounting period. In addition, we will continue to refine and monitor the CEFMS automated fiscal year end closing process to ensure that all pertinent transactions are recorded in the appropriate accounting period. We will use all available Resource Management/Finance and Accounting forums to stress the critically of ensuring that all accounting transactions are recorded in the period in which they occurred. 6. <u>Recommendation D-6</u>: Establish guidelines or regulations to enhance business practices for the financial management of re-imbursable funds. Such guidelines could include: - Establishing interest-bearing escrow accounts for long-term reimbursable projects, as done on contributed-funded projects; Refunding excess amounts to the customer if project features are delayed; Reviewing and adjusting, if necessary, estimated schedule of sponsor's costs for reimbursable projects for future fiscal years; - Reviewing agreements and determining whether the sponsor's funds are properly managed by the districts. USACE Response: Concur with the recommendations. The cur-rent regulation (ER 37-2-10) will be rewritten to incorporate the recommended guidance in change 89 which is scheduled for completion by 30 September 1997. -9-FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex C/Page 130

ANNEX C FINDING E: FOLLOW-UP CYCLE The Corps continues to make progress in correcting two of the material weaknesses found in previous financial, statement audits. Specifically, the Corps gave particular attention to management and control in the areas of: - Real Property. - Labor cost transfers. For FY 96, the Corps reported Real Property as a corrected ma-terial weakness in it's Annual Assurance Statement. Our audit results agreed with this assessment. Further, we noted that the Corps made significant improvements in reducing and justifying Labor Cost Transfers. While our review covered only four dis-tricts, and the results can't be projected to the entire Corps, these four sites appeared to have adequate controls over the transfers. transfers However, the Corps needed to strengthen management controls for Fund balance reconciliation. For example, the Corps should: - Implement transactions that will capture revenue and expense as they are incurred, not just an year-end. - Research and resolve discrepancies between the Balance Fund with Treasury and the Corps' records. Additional Facts: None. 1. <u>Recommendation E-1</u>: Strengthen management controls over Fund balance with Treasury by: - Implementing transactions that will capture revenue and expenses as they are incurred, not just at yearend. USACE Response: Concur. CEFMS general ledger correlations currently record expenditures as they are incurred. CEFMS also records revenue for reimbursable orders as it is earned. CEFMS general ledger correlations for civil direct appropriations will be revised to capture revenue as it is earned. These revisions will be completed prior to 30 September 1998 to be effective 1 October 1998, start of fiscal year FY 99, the first full fiscal year that all USACE locations will be duployed on CEFMS. -10-Annex C/Page 131 FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136)

118

٦ ANNEX C - Establish analytical reconciliation procedures over the financial data produced by the financial management system to validate the integrity of the crosswalk. USACE Response: Concur. The USACE Finance: Center will develop additional reconciliation edits, incorporate in the upward reporting application, that must be passed before acceptance of the financial reports from the reporting activities (field locations). These reconciliations will compare the same financial data from different reports to ensure the credibility of financial data submitted. "These reconciliation edits will be completed and placed in production by 1 March 1997. During the FY 97 SWD CFO aud. the UFC will coordinate with USAAA and SWD to ensure integr. ty/credibility of the financial data. - Develop procedures at the district lavel to research and resolve discrepancies between the districts Fund with Treasury Balance and the district records. USACE Response: Concur. Standard procedures will be developed for implementation in the post CEFMS environment. Activities operating in the COEMIS environment will be advised of the need to establish local procedures to research and resolve discrepancies between the Treasure Balance and their records records. - Consider writing off absolute unreconciluble difference in order to balance the accounts. USACE Response: Concur. Appropriate action will be taken to write off any unreconcilable differences that remain after CEFMS is fully deployed. -11-FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annea C/Page 132

ANNEX C
FINDING F: PERFORMANCE MEASURES
For FY 96, the presentation of the Overview Information in the Corps', financial statements didn't reflect the Corps' progress in developing and reporting performance measures to portray its mission, resources, goals, and results. The dugree of progress wasn't reflected because:
- The Corps was still in the process of preparing its Stra- tegic Plan.
<ul> <li>Preliminary performance measures developed and initiated in FY 94 weren't implemented.</li> </ul>
As a result, these conditions forced the Corps to use the same performance measures in FY 96 that it used in the previous fis- cal years. However, to its credit, the Corps was in the final draft stages of its strategic plan and had performance measures to support the plan. According to Corps personnel, the first set of outcome-focused performance measures were part of the FY 98 budget preparation discussions sent to the Office of Manage- ment and Budget. These actions were still ongoing at the end of our audit.
Additional Facts: None.
<ol> <li><u>Recommendation F-1</u>: Reinforce the commitment of personnel to support the performance measure initiatives by including these efforts as a critical element or priority work item in the personnel performance standards and appraisal system.</li> </ol>
EQUSACE Response: Partially Concur. We agree with USAAA's assessment that we have made good progress in developing outcome focused program performance measures. In fact, we have submit- ted a draft set of results oriented performance measures to OMB to begin a dialogue with them on developing thu FY 99 Annual Performance Plan. We also agree with USAAA concerning increas- ing the commitment of personnel to support the performance mea- sures initiative. Performance standards for dovelopment of per- formance measures are in the TAPES of the program manager for the comprehensive initiative. However, we do not agree that the time has arrived to introduce performance development require- ments into the performance standards of the large number of team members involved. Such an increased commitment is sequen- tially sensitive to the completion of the consultation process on the Civil Works Strategic Plan. The increased commitment of personnel should take place after we have achieved internal and external consensus on our Civil Works strategic: Plan. Consensus
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-12-
FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Armex C/Page 133

ANNEX C building involves working with OMB and Congress and is an evolu-tionary and iterative process. Such consensus is necessary as a first order of business before the senior leadership increases their commitment of personnel to developing the performance mea-sures. This sequencing will assure sound alignment between per-formance measures development and what evolves from the strate-gic plan. We are committed to the further development of re-sults oriented performance measures; and to that end the in-creased commitment of personnel and resourcing of that develop-ment effort will naturally follow the maturing of the strate-gic plan. gic plan. -13-FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex C/Page 134

ANNEX D **OTHERS RECEIVING COPIES OF THE REPORT** Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works) Assistant Secretary of the Army (Financial Management and Comptroller) Director of the Army Staff The Inspector General Chief of Public Affairs Chief of Public Affairs Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics Assistant Chief of Staff for Installation Management Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army for Budget Director, Program Analysis and Evaluation Commanders U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command 3d MP Group, USACIDC 6th MP Group, USACIDC Commandant, U.S. Army Logistics Management College Director, Center for Army Lessons Learned FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex D/Page 135

<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	ANNEX E	
Patrick Fitzgerald, CPA, Program Director Martin Hagan, CPA, Auditor-In-Charge Rich Bächman, CPA Eric Watkinson Mark Morin Errol Murdock Sherry Masters Gordon Craig, CPA Krystal Nienaber Jay Parmar District Sites Huntington, West Virginia Rich Bachman, CPA, Auditor-In-Charge Errol Murdock Debbie Knight Krystal Nienaber Vicksburg, Mississippi Hugh McNeil, Audit Manager Keith Jones, CPA, Auditor-In-Charge Jesse Allday Dave Gilbert Hershel Rodgers Fort Worth, Texas Jerry Smith, Audit Manager John Vollbracht, Auditor-In-Charge Karen Barber Fran Thomas John Arechiga Barry Flick Anchorage, Alaska Pat Daly, Audit Manager Folix Guzman, Auditor-In-Charge Mark Hohn Wayne Palmer Tom Bridges St. Louis Administrative Center Winifred Curran	AUDIT STAFF	
<pre>Martin Hagan, CPA, Auditor-In-Charge Rich Bachman, CPA Eric Watkinson Mark Morin Errol Murdock Sherry Masters Gordon Craig, CPA Krystal Nienaber Jay Farmar District Sites Muntington, West Virginia Rich Bachman, CPA, Auditor-In-Charge Errol Murdock Debbie Knight Krystal Nienaber Vicksburg, Mississippi Hugh McNeil, Audit Manager Keith Jones, CPA, Auditor-In-Charge Jesse Allday Dave Gilbert Hershel Rodgers Fort Worth, Texas Jerry Smith, Audit Manager Fran Thomas John Archiga Barry Flick Anchorage, Alaska Pat Daly, Auditor-In-Charge Mark Hohn Wayne Palmer Tom Bridges St. Louis Administrative Center Winifred Curran</pre>	Audit Control Point, Washington, DC	
<b>Kuntington, West Virginia</b> Rich Bachman, CPA, Auditor-In-Charge         Errol Murdock         Debbie Knight         Krystal Nienaber <b>Vicksburg, Mississippi</b> Hugh McNeil, Audit Manager         Keith Jones, CPA, Auditor-In-Charge         Jesse Allday         Dave Gilbert         Hershel Rodgers <b>Fort Worth, Texas</b> Jerry Smith, Audit Manager         John Vollbracht, Auditor-In-Charge         Karen Barber         Fran Thomas         John Arechiga         Barry Flick <b>Anchorage, Alaska</b> Pat Daly, Audit Manager         Felix Guzman, Auditor-In-Charge         Mark Hohn         Wayne Palmer         Tom Bridges <b>St. Louis Administrative Center</b> Winifred Curran	Martin Hagan, CPA, Auditor-In-Charge Rich Bachman, CPA Eric Watkinson Mark Morin Errol Murdock Sherry Masters Gordon Craig, CPA Krystal Nienaber	<b>r</b>
Rich Bachman, CPA, Auditor-In-Charge Errol Murdock Debbie Knight Krystal Nienaber Vicksburg, Mississippi Hugh McNeil, Audit Manager Keith Jones, CPA, Auditor-In-Charge Jesse Allday Dave Gilbert Hershel Rodgers Fort Worth, Texas Jerry Smith, Audit Manager John Vollbracht, Auditor-In-Charge Karen Barber Fran Thomas John Arechiga Barry Flick Anchorage, Alaska Pat Daly, Audit Manager Felix Guzman, Auditor-In-Charge Mark Hohn Wayne Palmer Tom Bridges St. Louis Administrative Center Winifred Curran	District Sites	
Errol Murdock Debbie Knight Krystal Nienaber Yicksburg, Mississippi Hugh McNeil, Audit Manager Keith Jones, CPA, Auditor-In-Charge Jesse Allday Dave Gilbert Hershel Rodgers Fort Worth, Texas Jerry Smith, Audit Manager John Vollbracht, Auditor-In-Charge Karen Barber Fran Thomas John Arechiga Barry Flick Anchorage, Alaska Pat Daly, Audit Manager Felix Guzman, Auditor-In-Charge Mark Hohn Wayne Palmer Tom Bridges St. Louis Administrative Center Winifred Curran	<u>Huntington, West Virginia</u>	
Hugh McNeil, Audit Manager Keith Jones, CPA, Auditor-In-Charge Jesse Allday Dave Gilbert Hershel Rodgers Fort Worth, Texas Jerry Smith, Audit Manager John Vollbracht, Auditor-In-Charge Karen Barber Fran Thomas John Arechiga Barry Flick Anchorage, Alaska Pat Daly, Audit Manager Felix Guzman, Auditor-In-Charge Mark Hohn Wayne Palmer Tom Bridges St. Louis Administrative Center Winifred Curran	Errol Murdock Debbie Knight	
<pre>Keith Jones, CPA, Auditor-In-Charge Jesse Allday Dave Gilbert Hershel Rodgers Fort Worth, Texas Jerry Smith, Audit Manager John Vollbracht, Auditor-In-Charge Karen Barber Fran Thomas John Arechiga Barry Flick Anchorage, Alaska Pat Daly, Audit Manager Felix Guzman, Auditor-In-Charge Mark Hohn Wayne Palmer Tom Bridges St. Louis Administrative Center Winifred Curran</pre>	<u>Vicksburg, Mississippi</u>	
Jerry Smith, Audit Manager John Vollbracht, Auditor-In-Charge Karen Barber Fran Thomas John Arechiga Barry Flick Anchorage, Alaska Pat Daly, Audit Manager Felix Guzman, Auditor-In-Charge Mark Hohn Wayne Palmer Tom Bridges <u>St. Louis Administrative Center</u> Winifred Curran	Keith Jones, CPA, Auditor-In-Charge Jesse Allday Dave Gilbert	
John Vollbracht, Auditor-In-Charge Karen Barber Fran Thomas John Arechiga Barry Flick Anchorage, Alaska Pat Daly, Audit Manager Felix Guzman, Auditor-In-Charge Mark Hohn Wayne Palmer Tom Bridges <u>St. Louis Administrative Center</u> Winifred Curran	Fort Worth, Texas	
Pat Daly, Audit Manager Felix Guzman, Auditor-In-Charge Mark Hohn Wayne Palmer Tom Bridges <u>St. Louis Administrative Center</u> Winifred Curran	John Vollbracht, Auditor-In-Charge Karen Barber Fran Thomas John Arechiga	
Felix Guzman, Auditor-In-Charge Mark Hohn Wayne Palmer Tom Bridges <u>St. Louis Administrative Center</u> Winifred Curran	Anchorage, Alaska	
Winifred Curran	Felix Guzman, Auditor-In-Charge Mark Hohn Wayne Palmer	
	St. Louis Administrative Center	
		· · · · ·
FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136) Annex 13/Page 136	FY 96 Financial Statements, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (AA 97-136)	Annex E/Paec 136

## Appendix C. Audit Process

Audit Work Performed. To fulfill our responsibilities under the Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990, as amended by the Federal Financial Management Act of 1994, for determining the accuracy and completeness of the independent audit conducted by the AAA, we reviewed the AAA audit approach and planning, and monitored audit progress at key points.

**Reviewing AAA Audit Approach and Planning.** We used the President's Council on Integrity and Efficiency "Federal Financial Statement Audit Manual" as the criterion for reviewing the AAA audit approach. Specifically, we reviewed the following: the notification and engagement letters, opening conference documentation, strategy formulation, entity profile, general risk analysis, account risk analysis, audit programs, and cycle memorandums.

We reviewed additional documentation as deemed necessary to accomplish the audit objective. We also participated in an audit planning workshop conducted by AAA.

Monitoring Audit Progress at Key Points. Through the IG, DoD, Integrated Audit Process Team and the Executive Steering Committee, we provided a forum for a centrally managed exchange of guidance and information leading to a focused DoD-wide audit of the DoD consolidated financial statements, to include the supporting financial statements of major DoD Components. Audit initiatives for monitoring the progress of the audit at key points also involved reviewing and commenting on related draft reports issued by AAA, including the audit opinion report and the report on management controls.

In addition to the oversight procedures, we performed other procedures deemed necessary to determine the fairness and accuracy of the AAA audit approach and conclusions.

Audit Period and Standards. We perfomed this financial statement audit from May 17, 1996, through February 28, 1997. The audit was made in accordance with auditing standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, as implemented by the IG, DoD. We did not use computer-processed data or statistical sampling procedures to conduct this audit.

**Contacts During the Audit.** We visited or contacted individuals and organizations in the DoD audit community. Further details are available on request.

# **Appendix D.** Report Distribution

### Office of the Secretary of Defense

Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) Deputy Chief Financial Officer Deputy Comptroller (Program/Budget) Assistant Secretary of Defense (Public Affairs) Director, Defense Logistics Studies Information Exchange

### **Department of the Army**

Assistant Secretary of the Army (Financial Management and Comptroller) Auditor General, Department of the Army Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

### **Department of the Navy**

Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Financial Management and Comptroller) Auditor General, Department of the Navy

### **Department of the Air Force**

Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Financial Management and Comptroller) Auditor General, Department of the Air Force

### **Other Defense Organizations**

Director, Defense Contract Audit Agency Director, Defense Finance and Accounting Service Director, Defense Finance and Accounting Service Indianapolis Center Director, Defense Intelligence Agency **Appendix D. Report Distribution** 

### **Non-Defense Federal Organizations**

Inspector General, Department of Education

Office of Management and Budget

Technical Information Center, National Security and International Affairs Division, General Accounting Office

Chairman and ranking minority member of each of the following congressional committees and subcommittees:

Senate Committee on Appropriations

Senate Subcommittee on Defense, Committee on Appropriations

Senate Committee on Armed Services

Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs

House Committee on Appropriations

House Subcommittee on National Security, Committee on Appropriations

House Committee on Government Reform and Oversight

House Subcommittee on Government Management, Information and Technology, Committee on Government Reform and Oversight

House Subcommittee on National Security, International Affairs, and Criminal Justice, Committee on Government Reform and Oversight

House Committee on National Security

# **Audit Team Members**

This report was prepared by the Finance and Accounting Directorate, Office of the Assistant Inspector General for Auditing, DoD.

F. Jay Lane Richard B. Bird John J. Vietor Craig W. Michaels Cheri D. Givan Susanne B. Allen