

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB NO. 0704-0188

Public Reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comment regarding this burden estimates or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188,) Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Blank)		2. REPORT DATE October 20, 1999	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final Report, 1994-1998	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Complex Object and Scene Perception			5. FUNDING NUMBERS DAAH04-94-G-0404	
6. AUTHOR(S) John M. Henderson				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Michigan State University			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U. S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER ARO 33164.15-LS	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.				
12 a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			12 b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The goal of the research was to understand the role of contextual constraint in the visual analysis of complex, natural scenes. This issue bears directly on the basic architecture of the human visual system, and has implications for the design of artificial vision systems devoted to object and scene analysis. Two hypotheses were considered: (1) Scene constraint influences the perceptual identification of individual objects; (2) Scene constraint influences only post-identification object analysis. The main results of the research support the first hypothesis: Scene constraint does not directly influence perceptual analysis of component objects in human vision. Other results from the research supports the conclusion that semantic information is not used to drive initial eye movements in a scene, but does influence initial fixation time in a region and region refixation probability. Based on these results, a model of scene analysis was developed in which object identification is functionally isolated from scene meaning and gaze control is initially independent of scene semantics but becomes sensitive to meaning as scene perception unfolds over time. Continuing work is currently aimed at testing this model in human vision and gaze control, and implementing an artificial gaze control system on a working robot platform using a Markov Decision Process framework.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS scene perception, object identification, visual cognition, vision, eye movements, gaze control			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 4	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OR REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION ON THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18
298-102

19991215 031

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE (SF298)
(Continuation Sheet)

The main results from the research conducted under this grant are the following:

o Prior demonstrations of facilitated performance for objects that are semantically consistent with their scenes appear to be compromised by a confound in the nature of the control stimulus used to compute measures of perceptual sensitivity (Hollingworth & Henderson, 1997b). Instead, initial perceptual encoding is facilitated for an object that is semantically inconsistent with its scene compared to an object that is semantically consistent (Hollingworth & Henderson, 1998, 1999). This finding is contrary to models of object and scene perception that assume a heavily top-down architecture, but supports models that assume "functional isolation" of object perception, consistent with a modular visual architecture.

o The placement of initial fixations during real-world scene viewing is controlled by visual rather than semantic features, while fixation durations and later fixation placements are controlled by both visual and semantic features (Henderson & Hollingworth, 1998, 1999; Henderson, Weeks, & Hollingworth, 1999). Again, this result is consistent with limited interaction at early stages of scene perception.

o Based on the above findings, as well as other findings in the eye movement literature, we have developed a gaze control model based on a visual saliency map (Henderson & Hollingworth, 1998). We are currently implementing this model on a robot platform using a Markov Decision Process formalism.

o Covert visual-spatial attention facilitates the encoding of perceptual information, in addition to any effects it may have on the reduction of visual noise later in the information processing system (Henderson, 1996).

o The facilitated perceptual encoding of semantically inconsistent objects in scenes is not due to the attraction of covert visual-spatial attention (Hollingworth & Henderson, 1997a). This finding is consistent with our eye movement data showing that covert attention is not attracted to these objects (Henderson, Weeks, & Hollingworth, 1997), and suggests that the engagement of attention is unaffected by semantic consistency (Henderson & Hollingworth, 1998).

o Foveal analysis is not necessary for object identification as long as compensatory time is available for parafoveal analysis (Henderson, McClure, Pierce, & Schrock, 1997). This finding contrasts with reading, in which foveal analysis is necessary for word identification. It will be necessary to determine whether this finding generalizes to full scenes.

o The retention and integration of information about meaningful objects and scenes does not rely on a veridical representation of object contour or object position (Henderson, 1997; Hollingworth & Henderson, 2000). These results are consistent with the view that only abstract representations of complex real-world stimuli are retained and integrated across eye movements.

ARO-Sponsored Scholarly Output

Publications:

Hollingworth, A., & Henderson, J. M. (2000). Semantic informativeness affects the detection of changes in natural scenes. *Visual Cognition (Special Issue on Change Blindness and Visual Memory)*, 7, 213-235.

Henderson, J. M., Weeks, P. A. Jr., & Hollingworth, A. (1999). Effects of semantic consistency on eye movements during scene viewing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 25, 210-228.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE (SF298)
(Continuation Sheet)

Hollingworth, A., & Henderson, J. M. (1999). Object identification is isolated from scene semantic constraint: Evidence from object type and token discrimination. *Acta Psychologica, 102* (Special Issue on Object Perception and Memory), 319-343.

Butler, K. M., Zacks, R. T., & Henderson, J. M. (1999). Suppression of reflexive saccades in younger and older adults: Age comparisons on an antisaccade task. *Memory & Cognition 27*, 584-591.

Henderson, J. M., & Hollingworth, A. (1998). Eye movement control during scene perception. In G. W. Underwood (Ed.), *Eye guidance in reading and scenes perception*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers.

Hollingworth, A., & Henderson, J. M. (1998). Does consistent scene context facilitate object perception? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 127*, 398-415.

Henderson, J. M. (1997). Transsaccadic memory and integration during real-world object identification. *Psychological Science, 8*, 51-55.

Henderson, J. M., McClure, K., Pierce, S., & Schrock, G. (1997). Object identification without foveal vision: Evidence from an artificial scotoma paradigm. *Perception & Psychophysics*, in press.

Henderson, J. M. (1996). Spatial pre-cues affect target discrimination in the absence of visual noise. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 22*, 780-787.

Presentations:

Hollingworth, A., & Henderson, J. M. (May, 1997). Object Identification in scenes: Evidence against schema-driven perception. Presented at the Annual Meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association, Chicago.

Siefert, A., & Henderson, J. M. (May, 1997). Are object file effects different from absolute position effects? Presented at the Annual Meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association, Chicago.

Lauwereyns, J., Hollingworth, A., & Henderson, J. M. (May, 1997). Effects of Real-World Scenes on the Control of Visual-Spatial Attention. Presented at the Annual Meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association, Chicago.

Lauwereyns, J., Hollingworth, A., & Henderson, J. M. (December, 1996). Effects of real-world scenes on the control of visual selective attention. Paper presented at the Department of Psychology, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.

Henderson, J. M., Weeks, P. A., Jr., & Hollingworth, A. (November, 1996). The influence of scene context on object perception. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Psychonomic Society, Chicago.

Henderson, J. M., Weeks, P. A., Jr., & Hollingworth, A. (August, 1996). Object encoding in natural scenes. Paper presented at the International Congress of Psychology, Montreal.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE (SF298)
(Continuation Sheet)

Henderson, J. M., & Hollingworth, A. (July, 1996). The effects of scene context on object perception. Paper presented at the Workshop on Scene Perception, Max Planck Institute for Biological Cybernetics, Tübingen, Germany.

Henderson, J. M. (June, 1996). The effects of scene context on object perception. Paper presented at the Department of Psychology, Free University of Brussels, Brussels, Belgium.

Henderson, J. M. (June, 1996). Complex object and scene perception. Paper presented at the Department of Experimental Psychology, University of Leuven, Belgium.

Scientific Personnel Supported:

Philip A. Weeks, Jr., Ph.D. completed.

Amy Siefert, M.A. completed.

Andrew Hollingworth, M.A. completed, Ph.D. in progress.