### BAY-OCEAN EXCHANGE PROCESSES: DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION OF A MEROPLANKTON TRACER TECHNIQUE

Lisa A. Levin and Claudio DiBacco Marine Life Research Group, Scripps Institution of Oceanography La Jolla, California, 92093-0218 Award #: N00014-96-1-0025

#### Abstract

Further advancement in understanding the dynamics of larval exchange between estuarine and coastal marine benthic invertebrate populations requires (1) knowing the origins of field-sampled larvae and (2) synoptic assessments of horizontal and vertical larval distribution patterns over large areas for extended periods. Larval concentration and water velocity data were sampled concurrently and used to estimate larval flux rates between regions of San Diego Bay (SDB) and nearshore coastal waters in southern California. Simulations with a 2-D hydrodynamic model of SDB indicated widely differing larval transport probabilities depending on whether tidal vertical migration behavior occurs in the water column. Field studies indicate that crab (Pachygrapsus crassipes) zoeae migrate vertically in SDB, a behavior that promotes transport out of the Bay. In contrast, larvae of other crab species (Lophopanopeus spp.), which do not migrate vertically, are retained within SDB during development. An elemental larval fingerprinting technique (based on Cu, Zn, Al, Mn, and Sr) was developed to distinguish SDB from non-SDB spawned P. crassipes zoeae. With this method, bi-directional larval exchange was observed between SDB and coastal waters. Approximately 26% of P. crassipes larvae observed at the SDB entrance, and 5% at a mid-bay site, originated outside the Bay. This exchange is likely to have significant consequences for larval populations. Laboratory experiments revealed reduced survivorship in larva spawned from or reared in SDB water relative to pristine coastal waters. Combined use of trace elemental fingerprinting and synoptic field methods can facilitate understanding of larval transport and ultimately population dynamics of coastal species.

Subject Terms: San Diego Bay, larval dispersal, trace-elemental tagging, bay-ocean exchange, vertical migration, crab larvae, zoeae

# DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for Public Release Distribution Unlimited

1

19991119 125

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

#### Introduction

The early life history of most nearshore and estuarine benthic invertebrate species involves the release of a planktonic larval stage (i.e., meroplankton) which acts as an agent of dispersal and gene flow (Grahame and Branch 1985, Levin and Bridges 1995). A detailed understanding of dispersal trajectories and the magnitude of larval transport are fundamental to understanding the complexities and fate of larvae in the plankton, including the origin of recruits (Levin 1990) and sources and rates of mortality (Rumrill 1990, Morgan 1995).

In some populations, larval development occurs entirely in coastal waters or within embayments. For others, the life history may involve larval development in both open water and bay settings. Some species have larvae that are retained within estuaries throughout larval development until ready to settle and recruit to local adult populations (e.g., Cronin 1982). Other taxa preferentially export larvae to open coastal waters where they develop prior to reentering estuaries and recruiting to adult populations (e.g., Christy and Stancyk 1982). Mechanisms mediating the movements of larvae into and out of estuaries include passive transport (larval movement controlled by ambient physical processes) and active transport (larval behavior, such as vertical migration coupled with physical processes to allow differential horizontal advection) (Butman 1987, Young 1995, Shanks 1995). The ability of many of these larvae to exit or return to estuarine habitats has been considered critical for survival (Morgan 1995). This is the case for estuarine species that release planktonic larvae that develop offshore but recruit to adult populations located within estuaries (Epifanio 1988).

A number of marine invertebrate species inhabit both bay and exposed coastal environments. For these, failure to return to estuarine habitats may not be synonymous with death. Gaines and Bertness (1992) demonstrated that there was no significant correlation between interannual variation in settlement of the barnacle *Semibalanus balanoides* and variable reproductive output by adults or mortality rates during larval development. Instead, variability in settlement and recruitment was explained by interannual variations in estuarine flushing rates. Gaines and Bertness' (1992) study showed that for species which have adult populations inhabiting both bay and coastal settings, the exchange of larvae between these two environments is likely and may have significant consequences for population maintenance.

The overall objective of this research was to develop methods to track the exchange of planktonic larvae between San Diego Bay and open coast habitats. Brachyuran larvae, in particular those of the lined shore crab (*Pachygrapsus crassipes*, Randall 1840), were studied. Adult *P. crassipes* populations are widely distributed throughout southern California bays and coastal habitats, their spawning cycle is predictable, and larvae are easily sampled and identified (Ricketts et al. 1985, Schlotterbeck 1976). *Pachygrapsus crassipes* zoeae served as a model in this study for relatively strong swimming decapod larvae that potentially disperse through the coastal zone (e.g., Epifanio 1988, McConnaugha 1988). Specific goals of the proposed research were to: (1) develop and test the use of trace elements as tags to distinguish *P. crassipes* larvae originating from bay and open coast waters, (2) apply elemental fingerprinting to examine bay-

ocean exchange of *P. crassipes* larvae between San Diego Bay and nearby coastal waters, (3) examine the interaction of physical processes and larval behavior in determining the export and retention of larvae in San Diego Bay, and (4) study the effects of brood site and culture water on larval survivorship and development to establish whether there was any selective advantage for development in bay versus coastal waters.

The results of this research have been prepared as four papers for publication in the primary literature. Key findings are outlined below.

## Vertical Migration Behavior and Horizontal Distribution of Brachyuran Larvae in a Low Inflow Estuary: Implications for Bay-Ocean Exchange

Tidally timed vertical migration has been well documented for brachyuran larvae that are preferentially exported or retained within partially mixed estuaries. However, the effectiveness of tidally timed vertical migration in an unstratified low inflow estuary (LIE), such as San Diego Bay, has not been addressed. This study examines the effect of larval vertical migratory behavior on dispersal by characterizing temporal and spatial (vertical and horizontal) distribution patterns of brachyuran larvae over tidal and diel cycles in SDB. The influence of temporal changes in larval vertical and horizontal distribution on larval retention and dispersal is evaluated. This research provides the first detailed description of the vertical and horizontal distribution of crab larvae within a recognized LIE and within any embayment along the West Coast of North America. Hydrodynamic modeling is combined with field surveys to examine hypotheses regarding dispersal in brachyuran larvae. A novel benthic sampling strategy was employed to establish the presence of larvae on the bottom of the bay during critical phases of the tide affecting transport between regions of SDB and between the bay and the nearshore coastal environment.

Net larval transport simulations, using a validated hydrodynamic model (TRIM2D) of San Diego Bay generated the prediction, a priori, that tidally timed vertical migration was necessary to transport larvae out of the bay, while larvae lacking vertical migratory behavior should be retained within SDB. Model simulations predicted that larvae with tidal rhythmic migration behavior experienced net transport towards the bay entrance (Fig.1A-D). TRIM2D simulations of passive larvae, with vertically uniform distributions of zoeae, predicted that larvae would be retained within the back bay (Fig. 1E-H). This study examined hypotheses that brachyuran larvae sampled in SDB (1) migrate vertically into surface and bottom boundary layers causing enhanced export or retention from estuaries, and (2) are not homogeneously distributed horizontally in space between eastern, mid-channel and western stations along crosschannel transects in SDB. Brachyuran larvae from two families [i.e., Pachygrapsus crassipes (Grapsidae) and Lophopanopeus spp. (Panopeidae)] were studied at sites in central- and outer-SDB. Stage I P. crassipes zoeae exhibited tidally timed vertical migratory behavior (i.e., selective tidal stream transport) which enhanced their net export from the bay. Pachygrapsus crassipes zoeae were aggregated in surface layers (0 to 2 m) during nocturnal ebbing tides and exhibited behavior that effectively retarded transport back into the bay by exploiting zero velocity conditions associated with the benthic-boundary layer during flood tide (Table 1; Fig. 2). Lophopanopeus spp. exhibited no clear tidally timed rhythmic migration or aggregation patterns. All developmental stages were collected within SDB, indicating larvae were retained

within SDB throughout meroplanktonic development (Table 1; Fig. 2). Heterogeneous horizontal distributions of stage I *P. crassipes* and *Lophopanopeus* spp. larvae reflect hatching sites and physical circulation features which concentrate larvae at specific stations. Differences in larval behavior of the sort observed for grapsid and panopeid larvae in SDB may ultimately affect the success of recruitment to adult populations.

The vast majority of studies considering temporal and spatial distributions of brachyuran larvae have been conducted in estuaries with significant freshwater input (e.g., partially mixed estuaries) resulting in non-tidal residual flows and net downstream transport and flushing of passive and uniformly distributed particles. The present study considered the vertical migratory behavior of crab larvae in SDB, a low inflow estuary which experiences negligible or no net downstream flow due to limited freshwater input. Swimming behavior mediated retentive mechanisms were not necessary for retention of Lophopanopeus spp. larvae within SDB. Panopeid species studied in partially mixed estuaries tend to exhibit tidally timed vertical migrations which maintain their position within estuaries (Cronin & Forward 1979, 1982; Lambert & Epifanio 1982; Johnson 1985). Stage I P. crassipes zoeae employed selective tidal stream transport that facilitated their export from SDB to the coastal ocean. Tidal stream transport appears necessary for P. crassipes larvae to exit SDB, based on the observation of retention of panopeid larvae (which lack vertical migration) within SDB through all planktonic stages of development. The efficiency of tidally timed vertical migration in promoting export appears to be enhanced by P. crassipes' ability to exploit zero velocity at the sediment-water interface during flood tides.

### Development and Application of Elemental Fingerprinting to Track the Dispersal of Marine Invertebrate Larvae

The early life history of many marine benthic invertebrate and fish species involves a planktonic larval stage that allows exchange of individuals among separated adult populations. Inability to determine sources of planktonic larvae and to consequently track their fate *in situ* has limited our understanding of dispersal and recruitment mechanisms. The purpose of this study was to develop and test the use of naturally-occurring trace elements and pollutants as viable tags to distinguish origins of planktonic larvae and to use this method to examine bay-ocean exchange processes in the striped shore crab, *Pachygrapsus crassipes* (Grapsidae, Randall 1840). This research has: (1) described an analytical protocol to measure trace element concentrations in individual crab larvae, (2) characterized the elemental composition of individual *P. crassipes* larvae sampled from embayments and exposed coastal habitats of southern California, (3) tested the discriminatory power of observed elemental differences as a tag to determine larval origin, and (4) applied this approach to examine the exchange of stage I zoeae between San Diego Bay (SDB) and nearshore coastal habitats. Based on observations of tidally associated vertical migration of larvae, we initially hypothesized that transport of stage I zoeae originating inside SDB should be unidirectional and out of the Bay.

Trace elements are effective site markers for estuaries because run-off and pollutant loading often impart distinct elemental signatures to bay habitats relative to nearshore coastal environments. Crab larvae originating from San Diego Bay (SDB) were distinguished from those originating in neighboring embayments and exposed coastal habitats by comparing multiple trace

element concentrations ('fingerprints') in individuals (Fig. 3, Table 2). Discriminant function analysis (DFA) was used to characterize stage I zoeae of the striped shore crab, Pachygrapsus crassipes, of known origin (reference larvae) via trace elemental composition (i.e., Cu, Zn, Mn, Sr, Ca) (Fig. 4). A validation of the classification algorithms indicated that 93 percent of SDB larvae (n=39 larvae) and 96 percent of non-SDB larvae (n=57 larvae) were correctly identified (Table 3). Linear discriminant functions were used to identify the origin and characterize the flux of stage I P. crassipes zoeae between SDB and the nearshore coastal environment during one spring tidal cycle. Elemental fingerprinting revealed that most (87%) of the stage I larvae collected at the bay entrance during the flood tide were larvae of SDB origin that were reentering the bay. Nearly one third of zoeae sampled (32%) at the entrance during ebb tide were coastal larvae leaving the bay and returning to open water. These results contrast with the unidirectional transport of zoeae out of the bay predicted from stage I vertical migrations. Because zoeal survivorship differs in SDB and coastal waters, the bi-directional bay-ocean exchange shown here has significant implications for the dynamics of P. crassipes populations. Trace-elemental fingerprinting of invertebrate larvae promises to facilitate investigations of many previously intractable questions about larval transport and dynamics of nearshore species.

### Use of Elemental Fingerprinting to Assess Net Flux and Exchange of Brachyuran Larvae Between Regions of San Diego Bay, California and Nearshore Coastal Habitats

Marine benthic invertebrate populations found in estuarine or coastal habitats often exchange larvae. However, the dynamics of larval exchange are poorly understood because of difficulties in (1) making synoptic assessments of horizontal and vertical larval distribution patterns over large areas for extended periods of time and (2) determining the origins of fieldsampled larvae. This study examines how temporal changes in the vertical and horizontal distribution of crab larvae (i.e., *Pachygrapsus crassipes* and *Lophopanopeus* spp.) affect larval transport. Larval concentration estimates were combined with concurrently sampled water velocity measurements to test the hypothesis that heterogeneous vertical and horizontal distributions of brachyuran larvae affect larval flux between regions of SDB and between SDB and nearshore coastal waters. Elemental fingerprinting was employed to analyze a subset of stage I *P. crassipes* larvae sampled in middle and outer regions of SDB to test the null hypothesis that all zoeae sampled within the bay originated from inside SDB.

First order larval flux approximations between inner and outer regions of SDB and between the bay and nearshore coastal habitats corroborate transport inferred from larval behavior. The net flux of stage I *P. crassipes* zoeae integrated in this study over a semi-diurnal tide was estimated to be from inner to outer regions of SDB at the mid bay (CBB) sampling site  $(3.65 \times 10^6 \text{ ind})$  and from outer SDB to nearshore coastal waters at the entrance (SDBE) sampling site  $(8.63 \times 10^8 \text{ ind})$  (Table 4). The net flux for combined stage I and post-stage I *Lophopanopeus* spp. larvae sampled at the CBB site was from the outer to inner regions of SDB  $(1.28 \times 10^6 \text{ ind}; \text{ Table 4})$ , suggesting retention within the bay through larval development. Trace elemental fingerprinting of stage I *P. crassipes* zoeae revealed bi-directional exchange between SDB and the nearshore coastal environment when unidirectional transport out of SDB was predicted from swimming behavior. Approximately 4.3% of stage *I P.* crassipes zoeae sampled at the

entrance originated from outside SDB (Fig. 5). Combined use of trace elemental fingerprinting and synoptic field sampling techniques will facilitate understanding of larval transport and ultimately population dynamics of nearshore species.

A broader objective of this study was to develop a methodology to evaluate net larval transport using state of the art analytical techniques for determining temporal and spatial variations in water velocity flow fields, larval distribution and larval origins. The effect of temporal changes in the vertical distribution of decapod larvae on net transport has been well documented, especially for brachyurans found within estuaries. This study revealed that the horizontal distribution of larvae also has a significant effect on net larval transport estimates. In addition to the temporal-spatial distribution of larvae, the distribution of adult source and hydrodynamic processes of SDB had an effect on net transport and bay-ocean exchange of larvae. Knowledge of *P. crassipes* zoeal origins allowed more accurate flux measurements to be made and provided insights into potential exchange of larvae between bay and coastal populations. The ability to accurately assess larval flux rates and to discriminate larval origins offers the potential for improved understanding of many aspects of larval dynamics in estuarine and nearshore ecosystems. Larval exchange between bay and coastal populations within bays, may greatly influence larval survivorship, gene flow and the ability of populations to be self-sustaining.

### Development and Survival of Striped Shore Crab Larvae (*Pachygrapsus crassipes*) in Bay vs Coastal Habitats

The striped shore crab, *Pachygrapsus crassipes*, lives in both protected embayments and exposed nearshore coastal habitats and larvae may develop in either setting. This study compared the survivorship and development of *P. crassipes* zoeae brooded and cultured in two southern California embayments (San Diego Bay, Mission Bay) and a nearby exposed coastal habitat (Dike Rock). Newly released larvae originating from 3 sites were reared in the laboratory in waters from each site through to the megalopal stage. The null hypothesis was that there are no differences in the development rate or survival of zoeae brooded in or developing in waters of southern Californian embayments (San Diego Bay [SDB] Mission Bay [MB]) when compared with zoeae developing in a nearby exposed coastal environment (Dike Rock [DR]).

Larval cultures maintained in seawater collected from the open coast experienced higher survivorship during zoeal development, exhibited a higher percentage of stage VI zoeae surviving to the post-larval megalopal stage, and yielded a larger percentage of viable megalopae than larvae reared in seawater collected from either San Diego Bay or Mission Bay (Fig. 6,7). Culture water and brood site did not affect zoeal development time. Larvae brooded in Mission Bay were more likely to survive zoeal stages of development than larvae brooded in San Diego Bay or Dike Rock. Megalopae which were brooded in Dike Rock and San Diego Bay habitats had greater megalopal survival times than those originating from Mission Bay. Brood site had no effect on the percentage of zoeae surviving to the megalopal stage of development, zoeal development time, or molting success of stage VI zoeae to the megalopal stage of development. Thus, brood site and culture water source will influence *P. crassipes*' rate of development and survivorship, and as a consequence, the source of individuals ultimately recruiting to adult populations.

#### **Conclusions and comments**

Many marine invertebrate organisms have a planktonic larval stage of development that promotes the exchange of individuals between isolated adult populations inhabiting different regions of a bay, different bays, or bay and coastal habitats. The duration of the planktonic stage of development as well as larval behavior enhance or retard larval dispersal. This has been particularly well documented for brachyuran larvae (e.g., Epifanio 1988, McConnaugha 1988). The present study employed larval pump sampling, concurrent characterization of hydrographic features (i.e., tidal currents), hydrodynamic simulations, and a novel larval fingerprinting technique to study brachyuran larval exchange between San Diego Bay and exposed coastal habitats. *Pachygrapsus crassipes* larvae (Decapoda, Grapsidae) were selected for study of bayocean exchange processes since adult populations inhabit protected embayments as well as exposed coastal rocky intertidal habitats.

The majority stage I *P. crassipes* zoeae sampled in SDB exhibited tidally timed, vertical migratory behavior (i.e., selective tidal stream transport) that enhanced their net transport from the bay (Chapter II). Larvae aggregated in surface layers during nocturnal ebbing tides and retarded transport back into the bay by exploiting reduced velocity conditions in the bottom boundary layer during flood tides. Post-stage I *P. crassipes* zoeal stages of development were rarely sampled within SDB. The lack of post-stage I zoeae within SDB agrees with results from flux studies which revealed net transport of stage I larvae from inner to outer regions of SDB and ultimately into nearshore coastal waters (Chapter IV). Larval behavior and flux estimates suggest that most *P. crassipes* larvae spawned within embayments exit while still in the initial larval stage of development. Zoeal development is likely completed in coastal waters until molting into the post-larval stage of development (i.e., megalop), which actively recruit to adult habitats located within embayments and on exposed coastal shores.

The majority of studies on brachyuran larval migratory behavior reveal some larvae that either do not migrate in phase with the majority or do not migrate at all (e.g., Queiroga et al. 1997, Garrison et al. 1999). The non-tidal, residual flow characteristic of partially mixed estuaries, where most larval studies have been conducted, results in net downstream transport and flushing of non-migratory larvae. This is not the case for SDB, a low inflow estuary (LIE) where freshwater input is negligible or absent for extended periods of time (Largier et al. 1996, 1997). As a result of limited freshwater input, LIE's are characterized by sluggish circulation and extended residence times for tidally sheltered waters and passive constituents, including nonmigratory larvae. For example, *Lophopanopeus* spp. zoeae, sampled concurrently with *P. crassipes* larvae during this study, did not exhibit rhythmic migratory behavior and were retained within the bay through larval development. Those *P. crassipes* larvae lacking rhythmic migrations should also be retained within the bay.

The retention of some *P. crassipes* larvae and most *Lophopanopeus* spp. larvae within SDB and their potential for completing development within the Bay has important implications for population sustainability within SDB and in other LIE's. Despite experimental evidence which suggests that *P. crassipes* larvae reared in SDB seawater experience slower development and reduced survivorship than larvae reared in coastal water, the retention and subsequent recruitment of SDB spawned zoeae to adult SDB populations (i.e., self-seeding) could represent

a major source of recruitment. Retention within embayments during planktonic development would limit dispersal and increase the probability that post-larval recruits would locate suitable adult habitat (e.g., Cronin and Forward 1982, Lambert and Epifanio 1982, Epifanio 1988).

In southern California, many physical oceanographic features have been recognized which can enhance larval transport away from nearshore coastal waters, effectively eliminating their return and recruitment to coastal populations. These mechanisms include offshore transport associated with upwelling-induced equatorward coastal jets, eddy structures, and filaments associated with the California current (Haury et al 1986, Roughgarden et al. 1984, Roughgarden et al. 1988, Farrell et al. 1991, Strub et al. 1991, Wing et al. 1998). By splitting larval development between bay and coastal habitats, *P. crassipes* effectively hedges its bets for successful recruitment, especially during years when recruitment by larvae developing in nearshore coastal waters is low.

Self-seeding is not likely from SDB spawned larvae which exit the bay and develop in coastal waters. Average summer surface currents recorded off southern California can be extremely rapid (6.1 cm sec<sup>-1</sup>) (Winant and Bratkovitch 1981), and larvae exported from SDB are likely to be transported a considerable distance from the bay entrance before they are ready to settle. Levin (1983) suggested that longshore currents off southern California could carry polychaete larvae with a two-week planktonic phase 80 to 120 km from Mission Bay in southern California. Dispersal potential is likely to be even greater during the 6 to 8 week duration of *P. crassipes* zoeal development in the absence of behavioral (i.e., swimming) or physical retentive features (i.e., eddies, fronts).

The relative importance of self-seeding versus recruitment by larvae originating from neighboring embayments or coastal populations in sustaining adult *P. crassipes* populations within SDB is unknown. Southern California has lost up to 95 % of its wetlands, the predominant natural habitat for adult *P. crassipes* within embayments (Hiatt 1948). The loss of wetland habitat precludes establishing the historical significance of self-seeding. However, understanding the importance of bay versus coastal spawned larvae in maintaining populations in both habitats is critical if current populations are to be effectively managed and preserved. This understanding will only be possible when larval tracking techniques currently under development (e.g., trace elemental fingerprinting, genetic tags) allow the origin of newly recruited individuals to be determined.

Trace elemental fingerprinting determined that stage I *P. crassipes* zoeae originating from populations outside SDB were present inside the bay. Previous studies have combined information on spawning sites, larval behavior, and hydrographic features to infer potential larval dispersal trajectories. This study exemplifies what can be learned by determining the origin and tracking the dispersal of individual larvae. The ability to determine the origin of field sampled larvae allowed the observation of bi-directional exchange of stage I *P. crassipes* larvae between SDB and nearshore coastal waters, even when net transport was from bay to coastal habitats. Calculations involving known larval concentrations and an estimated instantaneous mortality rate for *P. crassipes* zoeae, suggest that approximately 150 non-SDB spawned *P. crassipes* zoeae would be expected to complete larval development from an estimated  $5.9 \times 10^7$  stage I zoeae in the vicinity of the CBB sampling site, and serve as potential recruits to adult habitats.

There are many questions that remain to be answered regarding the transport and dispersal of *P. crassipes* zoeae, and meroplankton in general. The fate of subsequent larval stages of *P. crassipes* development could not be addressed in the current study because a limited numbers of post-stage I zoeae were sampled with the larval pump. Later stages, especially the post-larval megalopal stage of development, will be critical to a better understanding of recruitment dynamics. Study of larval stages may allow us to identify preferred habitats for larval development prior to recruitment inside and outside SDB. The trace elemental fingerprinting technique developed in this study did not effectively distinguish larvae that originated from neighboring embayments and coastal populations. More sensitive analytical protocols and instrumentation capable of more sensitive analyses may improve methodologies established here.

Ultimately, it is hoped that this research has provided a novel approach that can be improved and applied in future studies to assess the relative significance of self-seeding and larval exchange between bay and coastal populations as alternate recruitment mechanisms. The relation between larval exchange and long-term persistence of coastal and bay populations will have important implications for the way that coastal habitats are managed in the future. A viable technique for assessing the degree of self-seeding of estuarine populations will aid future management of remaining coastal habitats, especially for fragmented systems such as mudflats and salt marshes of southern California (Fairweather 1991). San Diego Bay, like many other urbanized bays, has lost much of its natural habitat to industrial, commercial and military development. The interdependence of estuarine and coastal populations is highlighted when there are potential consequences of losing one habitat type (e.g., wetlands) on another (e.g., rocky shores).

#### Literature Cited

- Butman, C. A. 1987. Larval settlement of soft-sediment invertebrates: the spatial scales of pattern explained by active habitat selection and the emerging role of hydrodynamical processes. Oceanography and Marine Biology Annual Review 25, 113-165.
- Christy, J. H. and S. E. Stancyk. 1982. Timing of larval production and flux of invertebrate larvae in a well-mixed estuary. In: V. S. Kennedy (editor), Estuarine Comparisons, Academic Press, New York, pp. 489-503.
- Cronin, T. W. and R. B. Forward Jr., 1979. Tidal vertical migration: an endogenous rhythm in estuarine crab larvae. Science 204, 1020-1022.
- Cronin, T. W. and R. B. Forward Jr., 1982. Tidally timed behavior: effects on larval distribution in estuaries. In: V. S. Kennedy (editor) Estuarine Comparisons. Academic Press, New York, pp. 505-520.
- Epifanio, C. E. 1988. Transport of invertebrate larvae between estuaries and the continental shelf. American Fisheries Society Symposium 3, 104-114.

- Fairweather, P. G., 1991. Implications of 'supply-side' ecology for environmental assessment and management. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 6, 60-63.
- Farrell, T.M., D. Bracher and J. Roughgarden, 1991. Cross-shelf transport causes recruitment to intertidal populations in central Califonia. Limnology and Oceanography 36, 279-288.
- Gaines, S. D. and M. D. Bertness. 1992. Dispersal of juveniles and variable recruitment in sessile marine species. Nature 360, 579-580.
- Garrison, L. P., 1999. Vertical migration behavior and larval transport in brachyuran crabs. Marine Ecology Progress Series 176, 103-113.
- Grahame, J. and G. M. Branch. 1985. Reproductive patterns of marine invertebrates. Oceanography and Marine Biology Annual Review 23, 373-398.
- Hiatt, R.W., 1948. The biology of the lined shore crab, *Pachygrapsus crassipes* Randall. Pacific Science 2, 135-213.
- Haury, L. R., J. J. Simpson, J. Palaez, C. J. Koblinsky and D. Weisenhahn, 1986. Biological consequences of a recruitment eddy off Point Conception, California. Journal of Geophysical Research 91, 12937-12956.
- Johnson, D. E., 1985. The distribution of brachyuran crustacean megalopae in the waters of the York River, lower Chesapeake Bay and adjacent shelf: implications for recruitment. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 20, 693-705.
- Lambert, R. and C. E. Epifanio, 1982. A comparison of dispersal strategies in two genera of brachyuran crab in a secondary estuary. Estuaries 5, 182-188.
- Largier, J. L., C. J. Hearn and D. B. Chadwick, 1996. Density structures in "low inflow estuaries". In: M. J. Bowman and C. N. K. Mooers (editors) Coastal and Estuarine Studies, Buoyancy Effects on Coastal and Estuarine Dynamics. American Geophysical Union, Washington DC, pp. 227-241.
- Largier, J. L., J. T. Hollibaugh and S. V. Smith, 1997. Seasonally hypersaline estuaries in Mediterranean climate regions. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 45, 789-797.
- Levin, L. A., 1983. Drift tube studies of bay-ocean water exchange and implications for larval dispersal. Estuaries 6, 364-371.
- Levin, L. A. 1990. A review of methods for labeling and tracking marine invertebrate larvae. Ophelia 32, 115-144.
- Levin, L. A. and T. Bridges. 1995. Pattern and diversity in reproduction and development. In: L. McEdwards (editor), Marine Invertebrate Larvae. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 1-48.

- McConaugha, J. R. 1988. Export and reinvasion of larvae as regulators of estuarine decapod populations. American Fisheries Society Symposium 3, 90-103.
- Morgan, S. G. 1995. The timing of larval release. In: L. McEdwards (editor), Marine Invertebrate Larvae. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 157-191.
- Olson, R. R., 1985. The consequences of short-distance larval dispersal in a sessile marine invertebrate. Ecology 66, 30-39.
- Queiroga, H. J., D. Costlow and M. H. Morieira, 1997. Vertical migration of the crab *Carcinus* maenus first zoeae in an estuary: implications for tidal stream transport. Marine Ecology Progress Series 149, 121-132.
- Ricketts, E. F., J. Calvin and J. W. Hedgpeth. 1985. Between Pacific Tides. Stanford University Press, California
- Rodriguez, A., P. Drake, A. M. Arias, 1997. Reproductive periods and larval abundance patterns of the crabs *Panopeus africanus* and *Uca tangeri* in a shallow inlet (SW Spain). Marine Ecology Progress Series 149, 133-142.
- Roughgarden, J., S. Gaines and Y. Iwasa, 1984. Dynamics and evolution of marine populations with pelagic larval dispersal. In: R. M. May (editor), Exploitation of Marine Communities, Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 111-128.
- Roughgarden, J., S. Gaines and H. Possingham, 1988. Recruitment dynamics in complex life cycles. Science 241, 1460-1466.

Rumrill, S. S. 1990. Natural mortality of marine invertebrate larvae. Ophelia 32, 163-198.

- Schlotterbeck, R. E. 1976. The larval development of the lined shore crab, *Pachygrapsus crassipes* Randall, 1840 (Decapoda, Brachyura, Grapsidae) reared in the laboratory. Crustaceana 30, 184-200.
- Shanks, A. L. 1995. Mechanisms of cross-shelf dispersal of larval invertebrates and fish. In: L. McEdwards (editor), Marine Invertebrate Larvae. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 249-277.
- Strub, P. T., P. M. Kosro and A. Huyer, 1991. The nature of the cold filaments in the California Current system. Journal of Geophysical Research 96, 14743-14768.
- Winant, C. D. and A. W. Bratkovitch, 1981. Temperature and currents on the southern California shelf: a description of the variability. Journal of Physical Oceanography 11, 71-86.
- Wing, S. R., L. W. Botsford, S. V. Ralston and J. L. Largier, 1998. Meroplanktonic distribution and circulation in a coastal retention zone of the northern California upwelling system. Limnology and Oceanography 43, 1710-1721.

Young, C. M. 1995. Behavior and locomotion during the dispersal phase of larval life. In: L. McEdwards (editor), Marine Invertebrate Larvae. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 249-277.

Table 1. One-way analysis of variance comparing larval abundance estimates for surface (0-2 m = T), middle-depth (5-7 m = M), and bottom (<2 mab, meters above the bottom = B) layers sampled during ebbing and flooding tides during July, August and September 1997 sampling periods. Stage 1 zoeae were analyzed for *Pachygrapsus crassipes*; stage I and post-stage I *Lophopanopeus* spp. zoeae were combined for the analysis presented, however separate analyses of stage I and post-stage I larvae also failed to identify any statistical differences. Statistically significant multiple comparison results (Bonferroni corrected *Student-t*) are given for each Anova which identified significant differences. The July 1997 sampling period was not analyzed since the sediment-water interface was not adequately sampled for *P. crassipes* during this sampling period.

Sampling Period	Tidal Phase	n	F-ratio	p-value	Student-t
P. crassipes					
4-5 August 1997	Ebb	12	4.367	0.038	T > M, B
;	Flood	30 .	3.091	0.060	-
18-19 August 1997	Ebb	33	4.010	0.028	T, M> B
	Flood	30	7.453	0.002	T, M < B
1-2 September 1997	Ebb	33	5.694	0.008	T > M, B
• •	Flood	51	12.787	<0.001	T, M < B
Lophopanopeus spp.					
21-22 July 1997	Ebb	33	1.207	0.312	
	Flood	42	0.978	0.384	-
1-2 September 1997	Ebb	33	1.573	0.223	<b>_</b> •
<b>^</b> ,	Flood	51	0.759	0.473	-

Table 2. Mean (±1 SD) elemental concentrations (µg kg<sup>-1</sup>) of stage I Pachygrapsus crassipes reference zoea sampled from inner variance (ANOVAs) was used to determine significant differences among sites. Concentrations for laboratory hatched stage I and outer regions of San Diego Bay (SDB), neighboring embayments and coastal sites (see Fig. 1). One factor analysis of *P. crassipes* zoea are also presented. All mean estimates are based on n=96 individuals for reference zoea and n=6 to 12 individuals for laboratory hatched zoea. A posteriori multiple comparison results are given in Figure 2.

			Reference Zoea				Laboratory H	atched Zoea
	Inner	Outer	Neighboring	Coastal	ANC	NA -	Inner	Coastal
Element	SDB	SDB	Embayments	Sites	F <sub>3,92</sub>	d	SDB	Sites
Aluminum	34.1 (23.6)	22.3 (7.5)	12.3 (10.6)	8.9 (5.7)	17.34	p<0.001	24.2 (10.8)	9.2 (5.8)
Copper	23.5 (29.1)	6.0 (8.8)	1.7 (2.2)	1.0 (0.8)	12.51	p<0.001	33.1 (22.6)	2.1 (1.7)
Zinc	25.8 (17.7)	18.1 (13.0)	7.3 (6.6)	3.4 (2.6)	21.53	p<0.001	<b>I</b> .	
Strontium	132.1 (82.2)	75.9 (77.3)	99.1 (57.2)	141.5 (103.1)	3.12	p=0.030	94.3 (22.7)	196.8 (20.1)
Manganese	10.4 (8.2)	8.5 (7.3)	1.2 (0.9)	1.0 (1.0)	22.33	p<0.001	- 1131 4	<b>1</b> 
Magnesium	1700.9 (1203.0)	2057.6 (1087.1)	3632.0 (3345)	5699.3 (7198.6)	3.96	p=0.011	(531.5)	•
Calcium	11011.5 (5453.6)	13016.2 (5076.1)	8706.5 (5625.7)	10690.2 (5342.8)	2.21	p=0.092	8695.8 (2139.1	13741.8 (1399.2)

Table 3. Validation results of the classification algorithm. (a) Classification matrix indicating the predicted origin for larvae comprising the model data set and (b) Cross-validation using a 'jackknifed' classification matrix (described in methods) to test the robustness of the classification algorithm.

(a) Classification Matri	x					
Predicted Identity						
True Identity	SDB	not SDB	% Correct			
SDB	37	3	93			
not SDB	2	54	96			
• Total	39	57	95			

(b) Jackknifed Classification Matrix Predicted Identity not SDB % Correct SDB True Identity 36 4 90 SDB 95 53 3 not SDB 92 39 57 Total

(CBB; 21-22 July 1997) and San Diego Bay Entrance (SDBE; 18-19 August 1997) sites (see Fig. 1). A net larval flux estimate and stations) (see Fig. 2) are provided. Negative values indicate net flux from inner to outer regions of SDB at the CBB site and out of SDB at the SDBE site. The sediment-water interface was not sampled during the 21-22 July 1997 sampling period (bottom, 0.5-2 Table 4. Larval flux estimates (no. ind.) between (A) inner and outer regions of San Diego Bay (SDB) for stage I Pachygrapsus crassipes zocae. Flux measurements were made over a complete semi-diurnal tidal cycle (12.4 hr) at the Coronado Bay Bridge crassipes and combined stages of Lophopanopeus spp. zocae and (B) between SDB and nearshore coastal waters for stage I P. flux estimates for each depth (surface, mid-depth, and bottom layers) and horizontal station (eastern, mid-channel, western mab, meters above the bottom). Sectional flux estimates are provided for ebb and flood tidal phases. Net flux estimates are provided for the complete semi-diurnal tide.

Sample Site	Sample Depth		Ebb Tidal phase			Flood Tidal Phase	
		Western	Mid-Channel	Eastern	Western	Mid-Channel	Eastern
A. CBB P. crassines	Surface	-3.0 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	-1.2 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-2.6 X 10 <sup>6</sup>	$1.4 \times 10^{5}$	4.6 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	6.6 x 10 <sup>5</sup>
	Mid-depth	$-4.8 \times 10^{5}$	-2.1 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	-1.1 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	$8.4 \times 10^4$	$2.5 \times 10^{5}$	$6.3 \times 10^{5}$
	Bottom	$-3.3 \times 10^{5}$	-4.4 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	$-1.0 \times 10^{5}$	$2.7 \times 10^{5}$	$9.1 \times 10^4$	4.4 x 10 <sup>5</sup>
	Flux Net Flux			-6.7 x 10 <sup>6</sup>			3.0 x 10 <sup>6</sup> -3.65 x 10 <sup>6</sup>
Lophopanopeus spp.	Surface	-2.5 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	$-6.0 \times 10^{6}$	-6.9 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	$8.9 \times 10^{6}$	$4.0 \times 10^{6}$	$1.8 \times 10^{6}$
a • •	Mid-depth	$-2.2 \times 10^{6}$	-3.6 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-2.9 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	2.1 x 10°	$5.4 \times 10^{\circ}$	$4.6 \times 10^{\circ}$
	Bottom	-2.6 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-5.5 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	-3.2 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	2.4 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	4.7 x 10°	2.8 x 10°
	Flux			$-3.5 \times 10^7$			$3.7 \times 10^7$
	Net Flux			•	•		1.28 x 10°
B. SDBE				7 1 - 108	7 2 ~ 1 Ve	3 3 v 10 <sup>6</sup>	11 v 10 <sup>6</sup>
P. crassipes	Surface	$-7.2 \times 10^{7}$	$-2.4 \times 10$	$-3.1 \times 10^{7}$	26×10 <sup>7</sup>	$4.7 \times 10^{6}$	$7.2 \times 10^{5}$
-	Bottom	$-6.4 \times 10^{5}$	$-3.5 \times 10^{5}$	$-1.4 \times 10^{5}$	3.6 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	4.8 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	$2.0 \times 10^{5}$
	Flux			-9.2 x 10 <sup>8</sup>			$6.2 \times 10^7$
•••	Net Flux						-8.63 x 10°



intertidal habitats on the eastern side of SDB. Simulations incorporate vertical migratory behavior observed in stage I Pachygrapsus crassipes without tidally timed vertical migrations (e.g., as in Lophpopanopeus spp.) produce larval distributions concentrated in back of SDB (panels concentrated in the eastern half of the channel at the CBB site and experience a net transport towards the SDB entrance (A-D). Simulations E-F). Grey scale shows concentration gradients, with darker areas indicating higher concentrations, following the initial ebb tide (6.2 hours post-release; panels A, E), flood tide (12.4 hr post-release; panels B, F), ebb tide (18.6 hr post-release; panels C, G), and flood tide (30.6 hr zoeae, which aggregate in surface layers during flood tide and in benthic layers during ebb tide (panels A-D). The simulated larvae are post-release; panels D, H). The general release site for simulated larval release was Sweetwater Marsh (see arrow in panel A)



Figure 2. Pachygrapsus crassipes. Temporal and vertical distribution of stage I zoeae sampled at the San Diego Bay Entrance (SDBE) transect on (A) 4-5 August 1997 and (B) 18-19 August 1997. Zoeal abundance for each transect was summed across eastern, middle and western stations for each depth, respectively. The dashed line on the x-axes indicates ebb tide. The thick and thin vertical arrows on the x-axes indicate approximate times of sunset and sunrise, respectively.



Figure 3. Trace element gradients in stage I zoeal crabs, Pachygrapsus crassipes. Mean trace element concentration (± 1SE) per individual larva (mg kg-1) sampled from inner and outer regions of San Diego Bay, neighboring embayments and exposed coastal sites. Bars which share a letter are not statistically different at the Bonferroni corrected significance level (p=0.017) for a posteriori multiple comparisons. Sampling sites pooled within each region are indicated by numbers in parentheses that correspond to numbered sites on the chart.



Figure 4. Trace elemental fingerprints characteristic of stage I Pachygrapsus crassipes zoea sampled from San Diego Bay, neighboring embayments and coastal sites. (A) First and second canonical variable scores correspond to individual zoea of known origin. The first canonical variable (Canonical Variate 1) accounts for 98% of the dispersion between groups. (B) Plot of two discriminant functions for zoea of unknown origin sampled at the entrance of San Diego Bay over a tidal cycle. Note that the points cluster within San Diego Bay and non-San Diego Bay groupings defined by discriminant function analysis shown in the upper panel.



Figure 5. Plot of discriminant function values 1 and 2 (based on trace elemental composition) for zoeae of unknown origin sampled over a tidal cycle at the (A) Coronado Bay Bridge and (B) San Diego Bay Entrance sampling sites. Discriminant function space defined from reference stage I Pachygrapsus crassipes zoeae (of known origin) are shown for larvae from San Diego Bay (dotted line) and non-SDB (i.e., neighboring embayments and coastal sites; dashed line) sampling sites. Note that most of the points, each of which represents a single larva of unknown origin, cluster within discriminant function space defined by the dotted and dashed lines, with only limited overlap.



Figure 6. Culture water effects on laboratory cultured crab larvae, Pachygrapsus crassipes, expressed as percent survivorship. Mean survivorship estimates within each plot (A-C) are independent of larval origin since larvae were brooded in (A) Sweetwater Marsh, San Diego Bay (SDB), CA, (B) Northern Wildlife Preserve, Mission Bay (MB), CA, or (C) Dike Rock (DR), La Jolla, CA. All curves are terminated at day 50, but cultures lasted an average of  $98 \pm 7$  d (SD). Error bars are not shown for the sake of clarity. Statistical comparisons among sites are given in the text.



Figure 7. Culture water and brood site effects on (A) the mean percentage of stage I zoea, Pachygrapsus crassipes, surviving to the megalopal stage of development, (B) the mean percentage of stage VI zoea, Pachygrapsus crassipes, which attempted and survived molting from the sixth zoeal stage of development to the megalopal stage, and (C) the survival time (days) for laboratory cultured crab megalopae, Pachygrapsus crassipes, since molting from the sixth zoeal stage of development. Error bars indicate  $\pm 1$  SE. MB= Mission Bay, SDB= San Diego Bay, DR= Dike Rock. Culture water treatments sharing the same letter (a, b) are not significantly different. Brood site results are given in the text.

			Form Approved		
REPORT	OMB No. 0704-0188				
ublic reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average one hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, athering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this ollection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden to Washington Headquarters Services. Directorate for Information Operatins and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. and to the Office of Management and Budget. Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188). Washington, DC 20503.					
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES	COVERED		
	11/9/99	Final Technical Rep	oort / 10/1/95 - 3/31/99		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5. FUNDING NUMBERS			
Bay-Ocean Exchange Processe	ONR				
Tracer Technique		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N00014-96-1-0025		
6. AUTHOR(S)					
Lisa A. Levin					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAMES(S)	AND ADDRESS(ES)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION		
	anhy Marina Life Basarah	Group	KEPORT NUMBER		
Scripps Institution of Oceanogra	aphy, Marine Life Research	Gioup			
La Julia, UA 32033-0210			10 SPONSORING/MONITORING		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NA	IME(3) AND ADDKE35(E5)		AGENCY REPORT NUMBER		
Atta: Dr. Jamos Eckmon		•			
Run, Dr. James Eckman 800 North Quipov Street	· ·				
Arlington, VA 22217	•				
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			L		
			I		
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEM	MENT	•	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE		
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEAS	SE				
marine benthic invertebrate populations requires (1) knowing the origins of field-sampled larvae and (2) synoptic assessments of horizontal and vertical larval distribution patterns over large areas for extended periods. Larval concentration and water velocity data were sampled concurrently and used to estimate larval flux rates between regions of San Diego Bay (SDB) and nearshore coastal waters in southern California. Simulations with a 2-D hydrodynamic model of SDB indicated widely différing larval transport probabilities depending on whether tidal vertical migration behavior occurs in the water column. Field studies indicate that crab ( <i>Pachygrapsus crassipes</i> ) zoeae migrate vertically in SDB, a behavior that promotes transport out of the Bay. In contrast, larvae of other crab species ( <i>Lophopanopeus spp.</i> ), which do not migrate vertically, are retained within SDB during development. An elemental larval fingerprinting technique (based on Cu, Zn, Al, Mn, and Sr) was developed to distinguish SDB from non-SDB spawned <i>P. crassipes</i> zoeae. With this method, bi-directional larval exchange was observed between SDB and coastal waters. Approximately 26% of <i>P. crassipes</i> larvae observed at the SDB entrance, and 5% at a mid-bay site, originated outside the Bay. This exchange is likely to have significant consequences for larval populations. Laboratory experiments revealed reduced survivorship in larvae spawned from or reared in SDB water relative to pristine coastal waters. Combined use of trace elemental fingerprinting and synoptic field methods can facilitate understanding of larval transport and ultimately population dynamics of coastal species.					
understanding of larval transport and ultimately population dynamics of coastal species.					
San Diego Bay, larval dispersa	al, trace-elemental tagging,		24		
bay-ocean exchange, vertical r	migration, crab larvae, zoe	ae	16. PRICE CODE		
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		
Uprestricted	Unrestricted	Unrestricted	None		
NSN 7540-01-280-5500			Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)		