Naval Health Research Center Detachment (Toxicology)

# A NEW PERSPECTIVE FOR IDENTIFYING POTENTIAL CARDIAC SENSITIZERS

## E. SMITH, T. NAKAYAMA, E. HERDERIG, J. POWERS, G. BRIGGS, K. STILL, AND R. HAMLIN

REPORT NO.

**TOXDET 99-6** 

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

NAVAL HEALTH RESEARCH CENTER DETACHMENT (TOXICOLOGY) 2612 FIFTH STREET, BUILDING 433, AREA B WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO 45433-7903

19991102 079

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

## A New Perspective for Identifying Potential Cardiac Sensitizers

## E. Smith, T. Nakayama, E. Herderig, J. Powers, G. Briggs, K. Still, And R. Hamlin

Naval Health Research Center Detachment (Toxicology) 2612 Fifth Street, Bldg 433, Area B Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433-7903

Interim Report No. TOXDET 99-6, was supported by the Naval Health Research Command, Department of the Navy, under Work Unit No. 63706N-M00095.004.1711. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of Defense, nor the U.S. Government. Approved for public release, distribution unlimited. The experiments reported herein were conducted according to the principles set forth in *The Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals*, Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council, DHHS, Publication No (NIH) 86-23 (1996).

#### PREFACE

This is an interim report describing part of the research efforts at the Naval Health Research Center Detachment Toxicology (NHRC/TD) to construct either a single or series of mathematical model(s) that can predict a chemical's potential as a cardiac sensitizer. The model is based upon physiological measurements prior to the onset of cardiac arrhythmia. The measurements were separated into baseline and arrhythmicgenic responses. Ouabain, a digitalis glycoside, was selected for this study because of its ease of use and documentation as a cardiac sensitizer. This work was sponsored by the Naval Medical Research Command under Work Unit #63706N-M00095.004.1711 and was preformed under the direction of CAPT Kenneth R. Still, MSC, USN, Officer-in-Charge NHRC/TD.

The opinions contained herein are those of the author and are not to be construed as official or reflecting the view of the Department of the Navy or the Naval Services at large. The experiments reported herein were conducted according to the principles set forth in the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," as prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Animal Research, National Research Council, DHHS, National Institutes of Health. Publication 85-23, 1985 and the Animal Welfare Act of 1966, as amended.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

SECTION	PAGE
Executive Summary	iv
Abstract	vi
List of Abbreviations	vii
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	ix
Introduction	1
Methods	2
Results	5
Discussion/Conclusion	5
References	8
Tables 1-2	
Figures 1-11	16

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### PROBLEM

Cardiac sensitization is the sensitization of the heart to circulating catecholamines (i.e. epinephrine) after exposure to an exogenous chemical, such that sudden alarm or exercise may precipitate a cardiac arrest. The main mechanism of cardiac arrest is a cardiac arrhythmia, although anoxia, respiratory depression, and vagal stimulation along with aspiration of vomit or trauma may be contributing factors leading to sudden death. The most prevalent example of cardiac sensitization is the recreational abuse of solvents, commonly known as "Huffing" or "Sniffing". Chemicals that have a known cardiac sensitizing potential include: fuel gases (butane, propane, and gasoline vapors); other solvents such as typewriter correction and dry cleaning fluids (trichloroethane); fire extinguishers (bromochlorodifluoromethane); degreasing agents (trifluorotrichloroethane); adhesives (toluene); aerosol propellants (halons and/or butane); and medications (digitalis glycosides and cocaine).

#### **OBJECTIVE**

The purpose of this investigation is to develop a predictive mathematical model that can identify cardiac sensitizers.

#### APPROACH

The dog and the swine were used as surrogates for the human. Physiologic and electrocardiographic measurements were taken during the control period and after each dose of ouabain, a digitalis glycoside known to provoke ventricular arrhythmia. Logistic regression was used to develop the model by converting binary data into a function (curve/equation) that can estimate of the probability of a particular result, in this case experiencing a cardiac arrhythmia.

#### RESULTS

Five parameters were significant predictors of arrhythmia in dog. These included heart rate (HR), PQ interval (PQ), QT interval (QT), systolic aortic pressure (Paos) and estimation of contractility ( $dP/dt_{ma}$ ). Three parameters were significant in the swine (PQ, QT and  $dP/dt_{ma}$ ). The study demonstrates that several mathematical models can be constructed to predict the onset of ouabain induced arrhythmia, in both the dog and the swine, and that there are similarities in the two animal models. Efforts to reduce the number of parameters to a single term showed colinearity among simple pair wise combinations.

#### CONCLUSION

Since the QT interval was significant in both species it appears to be the most promising of all the parameters for predicting cardiac sensitization.

### ABSTRACT

Cardiac sensitization is the sensitization of the heart to circulating catecholamines after exposure to an exogenous chemical, such that sudden alarm or exercise may precipitate a cardiac arrest. The purpose of this investigation is to develop a predictive mathematical model that can identify cardiac sensitizers. The dog and the swine were used as surrogates for the human. Physiologic and electrocardiographic measurements were taken during the control period and after each dose of ouabain, a digitalis glycoside known to provoke ventricular arrhythmia. Logistic regression was used to develop the model by converting binary data into a function (curve/equation) that can estimate of the probability of a particular result, in this case experiencing a cardiac arrhythmia. Five parameters were significant predictors of arrhythmia in dog (HR, PQ, QT, Paos and dP/dtmax), and three were significant in the swine (PQ, QT and dP/dt<sub>max</sub>). The study demonstrates that several mathematical models can be constructed to predict the onset of ouabain induced arrhythmia, in both the dog and the swine, and that there are similarities in the two animal models. Efforts to reduce the number of parameters to a single term showed colinearity among simple pair wise combinations. Since the QT interval was significant in both species, it appears to be the most promising of all the parameters for predicting cardiac sensitization.

#### **KEY WORDS**

Cardiac Sensitization, Ventricular Arrhythmia, Dogs, Swine, Ouabain

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Note common chemical and measurement abbreviations are not included.

HR	heart rate (beats/minute)	
PQ	PQ interval (sec)	
QT	QT interval (sec)	
dP/dt <sub>max.</sub>	estimation of contractility (mm or mmHg/s)	
dP/dt <sub>min.</sub>	estimation of lusitropy (mm or mmHg/s)	
Paos	systolic aortic pressure (mmHg)	
Paod	diastolic aortic pressure (mmHg)	
Paom	mean aortic pressure (mmHg)	
LVED	left ventricular end-diastolic pressure	
Peak	peak aortic blood flow (cm/sec)	
DT	duration time of left ventricular ejection (sec)	
TVI	time velocity integral (cm)	
СО	TVI*HR	
Z	dPao/dF	
SVR	Poam/CO	

## LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1. Results of Multiple Logistic Regression (coefficients and p-values) for Predicting

   Cardiac Arrythmia in Conscious and Anesthetized Dogs
- Table 2. Results of Multiple Logistic Regression (coefficients and p-values) for Predicting

   Cardiac Arrythmia in Swine
- Table 3. Pairwise Comparison of the Five Significant Parameters in the Dog. Results Are Given as p-values

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Heart Rate : Dog (Conscious vs. Anesthetized).

Figure 2.  $dP/dt_{min}$ : Dog (Conscious vs. Anesthetized).

Figure 3.  $dP/dt_{max}$  : Pig.

#### INTRODUCTION

Cardiac sensitization is a specialized physiological condition. In its simplest terms, it is the sensitization of the heart to circulating catecholamines (i.e. epinephrine) after exposure to an exogenous chemical, such that sudden alarm or exercise may precipitate a cardiac arrest (Adgey et al., 1995). The main mechanism of cardiac arrest is a cardiac arrhythmia, although anoxia, respiratory depression, and vagal stimulation along with aspiration of vomit or trauma may be contributing factors leading to sudden death. The most prevalent example of cardiac sensitization is the recreational abuse of solvents, commonly known as "Huffing" or "Sniffing" (Bass, 1970). Chemicals that have a known cardiac sensitizing potential include: fuel gases (butane, propane, and gasoline vapors); other solvents such as typewriter correction and dry cleaning fluids (bromochlorodifluoromethane); degreasing agents fire extinguishers (trichloroethane); (trifluorotrichloroethane); adhesives (toluene); aerosol propellants (halons and/or butane); and medications (digitalis glycosides and cocaine) (Adgey et al., 1995, Kaufman et al., 1994, Mest et al., 1995, Ohuoha et al., 1998).

The test currently accepted by federal regulators to determine a chemicals' cardiac sensitization potential exposes beagle dogs to the chemical of interest and then challenges the animal with a predetermined titrated dose of epinephrine (U.S. EPA 1994). During the titration, chemical exposure, and epinephrine challenge periods, an electrocardiogram (ECG) on the animal is monitored for ectopic beats. An ectopic beat is a premature contraction of the heart prior to the time that a normal contraction would have been expected. Numerous ectopic beats can disrupt the cyclic nature of the heart. The concentration at which ectopic beats are first observed establishes the level at which cardiac arrhythmias may be expected under stressful conditions.

When the cardiac sensitization model was developed, the physical observation of ectopic beats was clearly the most obvious endpoint (parameter) by which to measure a chemical's sensitizing effect on the heart. Although several in vitro models have been attempted to predict cardiac sensitizers, a better understanding of the mechanism behind cardiac sensitization is needed. The primary objective of this investigation is to construct a mathematical model that is capable of predicting clinical conditions of cardiac arrhythmia before the onset of cardiac arrest.

As a first step, 15 cardiac physiological parameters were evaluated prior to the development of cardiac arrest (arrhythmia). A corollary to this objective is to determine if anesthesia in any way obfuscates the ability of physiological monitoring to predict the onset of arrhythmia.

#### **METHODS AND MATERIALS**

### ANIMALS

Six male (10 kg) beagle dogs and six male (25 kg) Yorkshire swine were used.

#### **PHYSIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS**

A combination of hemodynamic and electrophysiologic parameters was measured during a baseline state (control) and immediately prior to arrhythmia. The parameters are as follows:

1) HR, beats/minute	8) Paom (mean aortic pressure), mmHg
2) PQ interval, sec	9) LVED (left ventricular end-diastolic pressure)
3) QT interval, sec	10) Peak (peak aortic blood flow), cm/sec
4) dP/dt <sub>max</sub> , mm or mmHg/s	11) DT (duration time of left ventricular ejection), sec
5) dP/dt <sub>min</sub> , mm or mmHg/s	12) TVI (time velocity integral), cm
6) Paos (systolic aortic pressure), mmHg	13) CO, TVI*HR
7) Paod (diastolic aortic pressure), mmHg	14) Z, dPao/dF
	15) SVR (Poam/CO)

Pulsatile aortic (AP) and left ventricular pressures (LVP), dLVP/dt, pulsatile aortic flow, and its integral (SV) were recorded on a direct-writing photographic oscillograph and FM tape. Aortic impedance was estimated by dividing pulsatile aortic pressure by pulsatile flow (i.e. stroke volume), and systemic vascular resistance was estimated by dividing mean systemic arterial pressure (presuming right atrial pressure was constant) by cardiac output. To estimate contractility and lusitropy, respectively, dP/dt<sub>max</sub> and dP/dt<sub>min</sub> were used, realizing that both methods are load dependent. ECGs were analyzed for heart rate (chronotrope), and PQ (dromotrope), and QT (repolarization) duration.

#### CHEMICAL/DRUG

Ouabain, a digitalis glycoside, was used to provoke ventricular arrhythmia. Pigs were anesthetized with thiopental-halothane; and dogs with thiopental-chloralose.

#### **DOG STUDY**

The experimental design was adopted from Robitaille, et al., 1993; Rath, et al., 1995; and Josephson, 1992. Prior to the start of the study, each dog was anesthetized with thiopentalhalothane. Catheter-introducers were placed in a jugular vein and a carotid artery. Animals were allowed to recover after the surgery. Prior to exposure to ouabain, a pacing catheter was introduced through the jugular vein with the bipolar leads being positioned in the right ventricle. A Millar catheter containing two solid-state pressure transducers and a flowmeter was introduced through the carotid artery. One of the pressure transducers was positioned in the left ventricle and the other in the ascending aorta with the flowmeter. Electrodes forming lead II ECG were placed on the limbs of the animals. During the first phase of the dog study, the parameters were measured in conscious dogs. Each animal was dosed, intravenously, with 40 mg/kg ouabain (priming dose). Additional doses of 0.15 mg/kg ouabain were given every 15 minutes (graded doses) until ventricular arrhythmia was produced. All parameters were measured during the baseline period (control), after infusion of the priming dose and after each graded dose. Before each ouabain infusion, the right ventricle was paced ten times (eight conditioning stimuli at 300 ms intervals, the 9<sup>th</sup> stimulus at 150 ms interval, and the 10<sup>th</sup> stimulus at 130 ms interval) to determine if non-paced ventricular ectopic activity (termed RVR for repetitive ventricular responses) occurred. The end-point of each experiment was taken when the dog developed the first ventricular premature depolarization, either spontaneously or after cessation of pacing. For the second phase of the dog study, the same animals were anesthetized 24-hours later. The dogs were anesthetized intravenously with thiopental sodium (15 mg/kg) and alpha chloralose (100 mg/kg), and measurements were made as in the first phase. Upon completion, the dogs were euthanized before awakening.

#### SWINE STUDY

The swine were evaluated only under anesthesia. The model used was the same experimental procedure used for the second phase of the dog study.

#### STATISTICAL METHODS

These data were evaluated using logistic regression since the outcome variable is binary (Neter *et al.*, 1983). The outcome variable was defined as baseline or prior to arrhythmia. The analysis was performed using the logistic procedure in SAS (Cary N.C, 1999). The independent variables in the model for evaluating the effect of anesthesia on dogs were the parameters measured and "Group." The dependent variable was assigned a value of "zero" for control or a value of "one" for the onset of arrhythmia. A significance level of 0.10 was chosen as an inclusion criterion, since this study was to investigate the feasibility of building a predictive model. Logistic regression is given by equation 1 with *p* being the probability of arrhythmia, X<sub>1</sub> the corresponding cardiac parameter measurement, and  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  the parameters of the logistic function to be estimated. Group (X<sub>2</sub>) is given a value of "one" for conscious or "two" for anesthetized.

$$p = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \beta_2 Group}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \beta_2 Group}}$$
(Equation 1)

The output from SAS includes the  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  estimates, p-value for these estimates, and a p-value for assessing goodness-of-fit for the model using -2 Log Likelihood as the criteria, which has a chi-square distribution under the null hypothesis.

For the swine study the logistic regression equation is simplified and given by equation 2

$$p = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X}}$$
 (Equation 2)

where p is the probability of arrhythmia,  $X_1$  the corresponding cardiac parameter measurement, and  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  are the parameters of the logistic function to be estimated.

#### RESULTS

#### **DOG STUDY**

The first step in evaluating the model was to examine the p-value for the goodness-of-fit. Those parameters whose goodness-of-fit were significant included HR, PQ, QT, Paos, and dP/dt<sub>max</sub>. Table 1 contains these results. Further examination showed that the models for HR, PQ, QT, and Paos were significant with respect to the parameter. These same models were not significant with respect to the "Group" factor, indicating that there was no difference between data from conscious or anesthetized dogs. Because it fulfilled the criteria for significance with respect to goodness-of-fit as well as the predicting arrhythmia, dP/dt<sub>max</sub> was still included as a model. Figures 1 and 2 illustrate significant and non-significant models. Twenty-two observations were made during this study; these included two readings (baseline and prior to arrhythmia) for five conscious animals and two readings (baseline and prior to arrhythmia) for six anesthetized animals. Due to technical difficulties, the values for one animal during the conscious phase of the study are missing.

#### SWINE STUDY

Starting with goodness-of-fit, QT and PQ were significant. Table 2 contains these results. Further examination showed that the model for QT was significant with respect to the parameter. Since PQ passed the goodness-of-fit test, it was included as a model, even though its p-value with respect to parameter was not significant at the 0.1 level. Because there was complete separation in baseline data and data prior to arrhythmia,  $dP/dt_{max}$  produces no unique logistic function (figure 3) However, this does not mean that it is not a significant predictor for cardiac arrhythmia.

#### DISCUSSION

The objective of this investigation is to construct either a single or series of mathematical model(s) that can predict a chemical's potential as a cardiac sensitizer. The model is based upon physiological measurements prior to the onset of cardiac arrhythmia. The measurements were

separated into baseline and arrhythmicgenic responses. Ouabain, a digitalis glycoside, was selected for this study because of its ease of use and documentation as a cardiac sensitizer (Mest *et al.*, 1995; Lotan *et al.*, 1992; Mest *et.al.*, 1992; Mest and Balewska, 1991; Thomas and Varma, 1991). It is a potent parasympathomimetic with positive inotrope and negative doromtrope behavior. Programmed electrical stimulation (PES) was selected in place of epinephrine because repeated use of an arrhythmic dose of epinephrine may result in myocardial damage (Van-Belle *et al.*, 1992; Herbaczynska-Cedro and Gajkowska, 1992; Prichard *et al.*, 1991).

In constructing the model, our focus was to develop a bridge between the current dog model and a predictive mathematical model. In order to develop the most humane procedure, it had to be determined if anesthesia would exert any effect on the physiological parameters chosen to be measured. The current model uses conscious dogs. Comparing data from conscious and anesthetized dogs suggest that anesthesia has no significant effect on the physiological parameters measured. This was evident by the lack of significance for the factor "Group," which designated data as conscious or anesthetized in the statistical argument. Determining if anesthesia would obfuscate the results became crucial for future studies that would involve swine, the second and confirming (supportive) animal model.

Conventional inferential statistics only determine if control values for each parameter are significantly different from their corresponding experimental values. The goal was for the model to provide an estimate of the probability for an arrhythmicgenic response, hence, the use of logistic regression. Logistic regression takes binary data (baseline/arrhythmia) and converts it to a function (curve/equation) that can estimate of the probability of a particular result, in this case, experiencing a cardiac arrhythmia. This approach allows the user to predict, at a given dose, the predisposition of an individual to an arrhythmicgenic response. The only limitation of logistic regression is in the event all control values and experimental values are contained in intervals, such that there is no overlap with each other. In this situation, there is no single logistic function, which will describe the data. Instead, there are an "infinite" number of functions which could describe the data.

For the dog, functions for each of the five parameters (HR, PQ, QT, Paos, and  $dP/dt_{max}$ ) were found to be significant predictors for cardiac arrhythmia. Data for each parameter can be

measured via non-invasive procedures (electrocardiograms and pressure cuff). Although all five parameters were individually predictive of arrhythmia, their combinations provide no increase in predictive powers. As shown in Table 3, the goodness-of-fit test is significant for each pair wise comparison and the "Group" factor indicates that there was no difference between data from conscious or anesthetized dogs. However, simple pair wise combinations show that there is colinearity among the five parameters, illustrating a lack of independence.

Swine were selected as the second animal model to confirm the findings in the dog, as well as being a transition model for humans. Swine have a cardiovascular system similar to that of humans. For the swine, only QT was found to be a significant predictor for cardiac arrhythmia. PQ was marginally significant. PQ, and dP/dt<sub>max</sub> were significant but did not produce a unique function. However, for the dog study, there were twice as many observations (conscious and anesthesized) as in the swine. By increasing the number of observations in the swine, more parameters may become significant. Therefore, there was no attempt to examine pair wise comparisons.

This study suggests that the QT interval, the PQ interval, and  $dP/dt_{max}$  are the most promising of all parameters for predicting cardiac sensitization. Since the QT interval was the only parameter significant in both the dog and the swine, it is the leading model for predicting cardiac sensitization among multiple species. Additional research is needed in this area, however this paper sets the foundation for a comprehensive predictive mathematical model, regardless of species.

#### REFERENCES

- Aviado, D. (1974). Toxicity of propellants. Prog. Drug Res. 18, 365-397.
- Boyden, P. (1996). Cellular electrophysiologic basis of cardiac arrhythmias. Am. J. Cardiol. 78 (Suppl. 4A), 4-11.
- Cagin, N., Freeman, E., Somberg, J., Bounous, H., Raines, A., and Levitt, B. (1974). A comparison of the *in vivo* actions of ouabain to produce cardiac arrhythmia. *Arch. Int. Pharmaodyn. Ther.* 207, 162-169.
- Clark, D., and Tinston, D. (1971). The influence of fluorocarbon propellants on the arrhythmogenic activities of adrenaline and isoprenaline. *Proc. Eur. Soc. Study Drug Tox. III Meeting*, 212-217.
- Gill, J., and Camm, A. (1996). Chronic arrhythmias. In Cardiovascular drug Therapy Ed. Messerli F., and Saunders, W.B. Philadelphia, 58-66.
- Herbaczynska-Cedro, K., Gajkowska, B. (1992). Effect of magnesium on myocardial damage induced by epinephrine. Ultrastructural and cytochemical study. *Cardioscience*. 3(3), 197-203.
- Josephson, M. (1992). Tachycardia: mechanism and management. Futura Publishing, Mt. Kisco, NY.
- Kagiyama, Y., Hill, J., and Gettes, L. (1982). Interaction of acidosis and extracellular potassium on action potential characteristics and conduction in guinea pig ventricular muscle. *Circ. Res.* 51, 614-621.
- Lotan, C.S., Miller, S.K., Pohost, G.M., Elgavish, G.A. (1992). Amiloride in ouabain-induced acidification, inotropy and arrhythmia: 23Na & 31P NMR in perfused hearts. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 24(3), 243-257.

- Mest, H.J., Thomsen, P., and Raap, A. (1995). Antiarrhythmic effect of the selective I1imidazoline receptor modulator moxonidine on ouabain-induced cardiac arrhythmia in guinea pigs. Ann, N.Y. Acad. Sci. Jul 12. 763, 620-633.
- Mest, H.J., Horhold, I., Rein, T., Riedel, A., Broquet, C. (1992). Effect of BN 52256 and other mediator antagonists on ouabain-induced cardiac arrhythmia in a model of anaphylaxis in guinea-pigs. *Pharmacol. Res.* 25(2), 173-180.
- Mest, H.J., Balewska, I. (1991). Alpha- but not beta-receptor blocking agents inhibit the antiarrhythmic effect of iloprost on ouabain-induced arrhythmia in guinea-pigs. *Biomed. Biochim. Acta.* 50(7), 943-947.
- Neter, J., Wasserman, W., and Kutner, M.H. (1983). Applied linear regression models, Irwin Homewood, IL, 361-362.
- Ohuoha, D.C., Schindler, C.W., and Rothman, R.B. (1998). Serotonin-4 receptor antagonists reverse cocaine-induced cardiac arrhythmia. *Life. Sci.* 63(19), 1673-1678.
- Opie, L. (1997). Drugs for the heart. W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia.
- Prichard, B.N., Owens, C.W., Smith, C.C., Walden, R.J. (1991). Heart and catecholamines. Acta. Cardiol. 46(3), 309-322.
- Rath, D.P., Bailey, M., Zhang, H., Jiang, Z., Abdouljalil, A.M., Weisbrode, S., Hamlin, R., and Robitaille, P.M. (1995). <sup>31</sup>P-nuclear magnetic resonance studies of chronic myocardial ischemia in the yucatan micropig. J. Clin. Invest. 95, 151-157.
- Riley, D.C., Schmeling, W.T., al Wathiqui, M.H., Kampine, J.P., and Warltier, D.C. (1988). Prolongation of the QT interval by volatile anesthetics in chronically instrumented dogs. *Anesth. Analg.* 67, 741-749.

- Robitaille, P.M., Rath, D.P., Abdouljalil, A.M., O'Donnel, J.M., Jiang, Z., Zhang, H., and Hamlin, R. (1993). Dynamic 13C NMR analysis of oxidative metabolism in the *in vivo* canine myocardium. *J. Biol. Chem.* 268, 26292-26301.
- Shi, B., Heavner, J.E., Liu, J., Wang, M.J., Lutherer, L.O., McIntyre, D.C., and Reigel, C.E. (1999). Two genetically selected strains of rats exhibit hypersensitivity or resistance to cocaine-induced fatal arrhythmias. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. Feb. 288(2), 685-692.
- Thomas, G.P. (1995). Studies on the protective effect of azepexole on ouabain-induced cardiac arrhythmias and lethality in guinea-pig. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* Apr 4. 276(3), 215-221.
- Thomas, G.P., Varma, R.K. (1991). Isolated paced guinea pig left atrium: a new ouabaininduced arrhythmia model. *Methods Find Exp. Clin. Pharmacol.* 13(7), 459-462.
- **U.S. EPA.** (1994). SNAP technical background document: risk screen on the use of substitutes for class I ozone-depleting substances, fire suppression and explosion protection (halon substitutes). U.S. Environmental Portection Agency, Office of Air and Radiation, Stratospheric Protection Division, Washington, D.C.
- Uresin, Y., Eroglu, L., Yildiran, G., Guvener, B., and Ozkok, E. (1997). Protective role of immobilization on ouabain-induced arrhythmias. *Methods. Find. Exp. Clin. Pharmacol.* Nov. 19(9), 633-636.
- Van-Belle, H., Verheyen, W., Ver-Donck, K., Janssen, P.A., Robertson, J.I. (1992). Prevention of catecholamine-induced cardiac damage and death with a nucleoside transport inhibitor. J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol. 20(2), 173-178.

Model	Intercept ( $\beta_0$ )	Parameter ( $\beta_1$ )	Group $(\beta_2)$	GOF*	Number
	(p-value)	(p-value)	(p-value)	(p-value)	of Obs
HR	10.9995	-0.1074	-0.7800	15.5560	22
	(0.05)	(0.03)	(0.58)	(<0.01)	
PQ	-11.6561	131.600	-1.8058	11.2960	22
-	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.21)	(<0.01)	
ОТ	-9.2750	41.0680	-2.0673	15.1040	22
•	(0.04)	(0.02)	(0.20)	(<0.01)	
DP/dT <sub>max</sub>	-21.2588	0.5570	4.9337	14.5820	22
	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.07)	(<0.01)	
DP/dT <sub>min</sub>	-5.8093	0.2858	0.0798	3.5660	22
	(0.13)	(0.09)	(0.94)	(0.17)	
Paos	-9.8166	0.0765	-0.9769	8.9350	22
	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.41)	(0.01)	
Paod	-1.7436	0.0257	-0.3848	0.9630	22
	(0.45)	(0.34)	(0.69)	(0.62)	
Paom	-4.0873	0.0465	-0.6673	3.4160	22
	(0.16)	(0.10)	(0.50)	(0.18)	
LVED	-1.3440	0.1998	-0.3497	2.4640	22
	(0.43)	(0.15)	(0.71)	(0.29)	
Peak	-2.3822	0.0197	0.2790	1.6250	22
	(0.33)	(0.22)	(0.76)	(0.44)	
DT	-0.7526	6.4402	-0.1368	0.1320	22
	(0.76)	(0.72)	(0.88)	(0.94)	
TVI	-1.3587	0.1836	-0.0091	1.2710	22
	(0.47)	(0.27)	(0.99)	(0.53)	
СО	2.5104	-0.0033	-0.2572	3.5900	22
	(0.23)	(0.08)	(0.79)	(0.17)	
Z	-1.5933	3.0612	-0.2079	3.1950	22
	(0.38)	(0.14)	(0.82)	(0.20)	
SVR	-0.9861	8.9137	-0.5768	4.8060	22
	(0.54)	(0.06)	(0.56)	(0.09)	

# TABLE 1. Results of Multiple Logistic Regression (coefficients and p-values) for PredictingCardiac Arrhythmia in Conscious and Anesthetized Dogs

\* = goodness-of-fit (GOF) for the model using -2 Log Likelihood as the criteria, which has a chisquare distribution under the null hypothesis

Model	Intercept ( $\beta_0$ )	Parameter ( $\beta_1$ )	GOF*	Number
	(p-value)	(p-value)	(p-value)	of Obs
HR	2.0074	-0.0188	0.4160	12
1	(0.54)	(0.53)	(0.52)	
PQ	-17.5096	152.7000	5.5710	12
	(0.13)	(0.13)	(0.02)	
QT	10.1593	-32.6935	15.5560	12
	(0.11)	(0.10)	(<0.01)	
DP/dTmax	***	***	***	12
DP/dTmin	NA	NA	NA	
Paos	-4.5428	0.0483	0.6660	12
	(0.44)	(0.44)	(0.42)	
Paod	2.3835	-0.0383	0.2440	12
	(0.63)	(0.63)	(0.62)	
Paom	-0.0831	0.0011	0.0001	12
	(0.99)	(0.99)	(0.99)	
LVED	0.0713	-0.0060	0.0030	12
	(0.96)	(0.96)	(0.96)	
Peak	NA	NA	NA	
DT	5.4580	-23.7102	1.1230	12
	(0.33)	(0.32)	(0.29)	
TVI	NA	NA	NA	
CO	-1.5297	0.4302	0.2320	12
	(0.64)	(0.64)	(0.63)	
Z	-4.8077	5.1124	1.4530	12
	(0.37)	(0.38)	(0.63)	
SVR	-0.1028	0.0045	0.004	12
	(0.95)	(0.95)	(0.95)	

# TABLE 2. Results of Multiple Logistic Regression (coefficients and p-values) for predicting Cardiac Arrhythmia in Swine

\* = goodness-of-fit (GOF) for the model using -2 Log Likelihood as the criteria, which has a chisquare distribution under the null hypothesis

\*\*\* = Because there was complete separation in baseline data and data prior to arrhythmia,  $dP/dt_{max}$  produces no unique logistic function

NA = not available

All values are mean  $\pm$  standard deviation

\* significantly different from all other methods at  $p \le 0.05$ .

Parameters	Likelihood	Intercept		Parameter 1‡	Parameter 2
			Group		
HR & PQ	< 0.0001	*	*	*	*
HR & QT	0.0002	0.7610	0.2131	0.1208	0.1152
HR & Paos	0.0003	0.9539	0.4707	0.0570	0.2984
HR & dP/dt <sub>max</sub>	< 0.0001	*	*	*	*
PQ & QT	0.0003	0.0401	0.1365	0.1758	0.0683
PQ & Paos	0.0001	0.0817	0.1140	0.1661	0.0479
PQ & dP/dt <sub>max</sub>	0.0006	0.0292	0.4127	0.2256	0.0576
QT & Paos	< 0.0001	0.1115	0.2916	0.0522	0.2237
QT & dP/dt <sub>max</sub>	< 0.0001	*	*	*	*
Paos & dPdT	0.0013	0.0271	0.2295	0.3579	0.0670

# TABLE 3. Pairwise comparison of the five significant parameters in the dog.Results are given as p-values

<sup>‡</sup> Parameter 1 is the first parameter in the pair (i.e. HR & PQ: Parameter 1 is HR and Parameter 2 is PQ)

\* means that there was complete separation in 2-space, i.e. not unique solution



Figure 1. Heart Rate : Dog (Conscious vs. Anesthetized).

Comparison of probabilities for the onset of arrhythmia based on the heart rate (HR) of conscious and anesthetized dogs. (GOF = goodness-of-fit).



Figure 2. dP/dt<sub>min</sub> : Dog (Conscious vs. Anesthetized).

Comparison of probabilities for the onset of arrhythmia based on the  $dP/dt_{min}$  of conscious and anesthetized dogs.



Figure 3. dP/dt<sub>max</sub> : Pig.

Possible graphical representations for  $dP/dt_{max}$  in swine. Because there was complete separation in baseline data and data prior to arrhythmia,  $dP/dt_{max}$  produces no unique logistic function. This however, this dose not mean that it is not a significant predictor for cardiac arrhythmia. Hence, all lines drawn in figure 3 are possible functions for  $dP/dt_{max}$ .

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gatherin and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection information, including successions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services. Directorate for information Derations and Reports, 12/15 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suit					
1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the 1 ACENCY LISE ONLY // eau	Office of Ma	nagement and Budget, Paperwork	Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington, 3 REPORT TYPE AND DATE	S COVERED	
	c blainy	October 1999	October 1	998-October 1999	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE A New Perspective for Identi	5. FUNDING NUMBERS				
6. AUTHOR(S) E. Smith, T. Nakayama, E. Herderick, J. Powers, G. Briggs, K. Still, and R. Hamlin 63706N-M00095.004.17					
7. <b>PERFORMING ORGANIZA</b> Naval Health Research Cente NHRC/TD	7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Health Research Center Detachment Toxicology NHRC/TD				
Area B Wright-Patterson AFB, OH	5433-79	03			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORI	NG AGE	NCY NAME(S) AND AD	DRESS(ES)	10. SPONSORING/MONITORING	
Naval Health Research Center NHRC/TD	r Detach	ment Toxicology		AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
2612 Fifth Street, Building 4:	53		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NHRC-99-06	
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH	5433-79	03			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOT	ES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION AVAILA	BILITY S	TATEMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Cardiac sensitization is the sensitization of the heart to circulating catecholamines after exposure to an exogenous chemical, such that sudden alarm or exercise may precipitate a cardiac arrest. The purpose of this investigation is to develop a predictive mathematical model that can identify cardiac sensitizers. The dog and the swine were used as surrogates for the human. Physiologic and electrocardiographic measurements were taken during the control period and after each dose of ouabain, a digitalis glycoside known to provoke ventricular arrhythmia. Logistic regression was used to develop the model by converting binary data into a function (curve/equation) that can estimate of the probability of a particular result, in this case experiencing a cardiac arrhythmia. Five parameters were significant predictors of arrhythmia in dog (HR, PQ, QT, Paos and dP/dt <sub>max</sub> ), and three were significant in the swine (PQ, QT and dP/dt <sub>max</sub> ). The study demonstrates that several mathematical models can be constructed to predict the onset of ouabain induced arrhythmia, in both the dog and the swine, and that there are similarities in the two animal models. Efforts to reduce the number of parameters to a single term showed colinearity among simple pair wise combinations. Since the QT interval was significant in both species, it appears to be the most promising of all the parameters for predicting cardiac sensitization.   14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES   Cardiac Sensitization, Ventricular Arrhythmia, Dogs, Swine, Ouabain 30					
· · ·				16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFI- CATION OF REPORT	18. SEC CAT	URITY CLASSIFI- ION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFI- CATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	
UNCLASSIFIED	U	NCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED		

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SIF 298				
The Report Documentation Page (RDP) is used in announcin	g and cataloging reports. It is important that this information			
be consistent with the rest of the report, particularly the cover	and title page. Instructions for filling in each block of the			
form follow. It is important to stay within the lines to meet optical scanning requirements.				
Block 1. <u>Agency Use Only (Leave blank)</u> . Block 2. <u>Report Date</u> . Full publication date including day,	<b>Block 12a.</b> <u>Distribution/Availability Statement</u> . Denotes public availability or limitations. Cite any availability to the public. Enter additional limitations or special markings in all capitals (e.g. NOFORN REL. ITAR)			
month, and year, if available (e.g. 1 Jan 88). Whist ene at				
least the year.	DOD See DoDD 5230.24 "Distribution			
D. I.C.T. CD. and Datas Carrowed State whether	Statements on Technical Documents			
Block 3. Type of Report and Dates Covered. State whether	<b>DOE</b> See authorities			
report is interim, final, etc. If applicable, enter inclusive	NASA See Handbook NH12 2200 2			
report dates (e.g. 10 Jun 87 - 30 Jun 88).	NASA - See Handbook NH15 2200.2.			
	NIIS - Leave blank.			
Block 4. <u>Title and Subtitle</u> . A title is taken from the part of	Disch 10k Distribution Co. In			
the report that provides the most meaningful and complete	Block 12b. Distribution Code.			
information. When a report is prepared in more than one				
volume, repeat the primary title, add volume number, and	<b>DOD</b> - Leave blank.			
include subtitle for the specific volume. On classified	<b>DOE</b> - Enter DOE distribution categories from the			
documents enter the title classification in parentheses.	Standard Distribution for Unclassified			
	Scientific and Technical			
Block 5. Funding Numbers. To include contract and grant	Reports.			
numbers; may include program element number(s), project	NASA - Leave blank.			
number(s), task number(s), and work unit number(s). Use	NTIS - Leave blank.			
the following labels:				
	Block 13. <u>Abstract</u> . Include a brief (Maximum 200 words)			
C - Contract PR - Project	factual summary of the most significant information			
G - Grant IA - Lask	contained in the report.			
PE - Program WU - Work Unit	Plack 14 Subject Terms Kouwords or phrases identifying			
Element Accession No.	<b>Block 14.</b> <u>Subject Terms</u> . Reywords of phrases identifying			
Black (Author(a) Name(a) of nerson(a) responsible for	major subjects in the report.			
<b>DIOCK 0.</b> <u>Author(s)</u> . Name(s) of person(s) responsible for	<b>Block 15</b> Number of Pages Enter the total number of			
the content of the report. If editor or compiler this should	nages			
follow the name(s)	P-B-0			
	Block 16. Price Code. Enter appropriate price code (NTIS			
Block 7. Performing Organization Name(s) and	only).			
Address(es), Self-explanatory	· · ·			
	Blocks 17 19. Security Classifications. Self-explanatory.			
Block 8. Performing Organization Report Number. Enter	Enter U.S. Security Classification in accordance with U.S.			
the unique alphanumeric report number(s) assigned by the	Security Regulations (i.e., UNCLASSIFIED). If form			
organization performing the report.	contains classified information, stamp classification on the			
	top and bottom of the page.			
Block 9. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name(s) and				
Address(es). Self-explanatory.	Block 20. Limitation of Abstract. This block must be			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	completed to assign a limitation to the abstract. Enter either			
Block 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Report Number.	UL (unlimited) or SAR (same as report). An entry in this			
(If known)	block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited. If blank,			
	the abstract is assumed to be unlimited.			
Block 11. Supplementary Notes. Enter information not				
included elsewhere such as: Prepared in cooperation with	· ·			
; Trans. of; To be published in When a report is				
revised, include a statement whether the new report				
supersedes or supplements the older report.				
	Standard Form 298 Back (Rev. 2-89)			