DOT/FAA/AR-98/22

Office of Aviation Research Washington, D.C. 20591

FAA T53-L-13L Turbine Fragment Containment Test



19980806 030

June 1998

Final Report

This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), Springfield, Virginia 22161.



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1

NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof. The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturer's names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the objective of this report.

Technical Report Documentation Page

			• • • • • • •	
1. Report No.	2. Government Accession N	lo. 3.	Recipient's Catalog No.	
DOT/FAA/AR-98/22				
4. Title and Subtitle	I	5.	Report Date	
			June 1998	
FAA T53-L-13L TURBINE FRAGMEN	I CONTAINMENT T		Performing Organization	Code
		0.	Performing Organization	
7. A. thata			Performing Organization	Poport No.
7. Author(s)		0.	Performing Organization	nepor No.
C. E. Frankenberger III				
9. Performing Organization Name and Address		10	. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)	
3. Peronning organization name and Address				
Naval Air Warfare Center, Weapons Divi	sion			
China Lake, CA 93555-6001		11	. Contract or Grant No.	
			DTFA03-95-X-900)19
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address		13	. Type of Report and Per	iod Covered
U.S. Department of Transportation			Final Report	
Federal Aviation Administration			r mai Report	
Office of Aviation Research				
Washington, DC 20591			. Sponsoring Agency Co	ode
			AAR-432	
15. Supplementary Notes				
The Federal Aviation Administration W	illiam J. Hughes Tech	nical Center COTR is Wil	liam Emmerling	
16. Abstract				
The result of the FAA T53-L-13L engine turbine disk fragment containment test is presented in this report. A containment ring was designed and fabricated by Pepin Associates, Inc. and provided to the Naval Air Warfare Center, Weapons Division by the William J. Hughes Technical Center. This ring was fabricated with a 0.014-inch titanium inner and outer sleeve. One-inch-thick Kevlar 29 ballistic fabric made up the primary structure of the containment ring. The ring was reinforced with titanium rods inserted through the fabric and laser welded to the inner and outer sleeves. The engine and containment ring were installed in an UH-1 Huey helicopter. The second stage power turbine disk was notched so that the disk would rupture at approximately 20,400 rpm. The engine was started and immediately accelerated to minimize the chance of a premature rupture. The event was recorded on high-speed film at 4000 pictures per second. The disk ruptured as the engine accelerated through 19,629 rpm. The disk ruptured into three equal sections (approximately 3.6 lbs. each). The result was a contained tri-hub burst with minor bulging of the containment ring and little sign of distress to the airframe. This test demonstrated the capability to contain a tri-hub burst on a medium sized turboshaft helicopter engine.				
 17. Кеу Words Turbine fragment, Containment ring, Tri- T53 rotor, Huey helicopter, Full-size T53 		18. Distribution Statement Document is availabl Technical Information		
	č	22161.		
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of	this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price
Unclassified	Unclassified		16	
				I

,

Form DOT F1700.7 (8-72)

Reproduction of completed page authorized

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The FAA William J. Hughes Technical Center, Atlantic City International Airport, New Jersey, and the Naval Air Warfare Center, Weapons Division, China Lake, California, would like to thank the agencies that had assisted and significantly contributed to the success of this test program. These agencies include the Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Trenton, New Jersey; Corpus Christi Army Depot, Corpus Christi, Texas; and the New Jersey Army National Guard, Trenton, New Jersey.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
INTRODUCTION	1
TEST OBJECTIVES	1
TEST SETUP	1
HIGH-SPEED CAMERA TIMING	
TEST PROCEDURE	4
TEST RESULTS	4
CONCLUSIONS	9

.

.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Containment Ring Section	2
2	Pretest Installation	2
3	Test Setup	3
4	Engine Acceleration Calibration	3
5	Power Turbine Speed and Break Paper Voltage Versus Time Test Data	5
6	Power Turbine Speed and Compressor Discharge Pressure Versus Time Test Data	6
7	Power Turbine Speed and Turbine Gas Temperature Versus Time Test Data	6
8	Posttest Engine	7
9	Posttest Left Side of Engine	7
10	Posttest Right Side of Engine	8
11	Disk Fragments	8

LIST OF TABLE

Table			

1 Weight of Disk Fragments After Burst

Page

5

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

As a part of the Federal Aviation Administration's Aircraft Catastrophic Failure Prevention Program, the Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division under contract to the FAA William J. Hughes Technical Center conducted a turbine disk containment test. The purpose was to demonstrate the containment capability of the Kevlar ring against full-size T53 engine rotor fragments. A containment ring was designed and fabricated by Pepin Associates, Inc. and provided to the Naval Air Warfare Center, Weapons Division by the William J. Hughes Technical Center. The engine and containment ring were installed in an UH-1 Huey helicopter. The second stage power turbine disk was notched so that the disk would rupture at approximately 20,400 rpm. The engine was started and immediately accelerated to minimize the chance of a premature rupture. The event was recorded on high-speed film at 4000 pictures per second.

The disk ruptured as the engine accelerated through 19,629 rpm. The disk ruptured into three equal sections (approximately 3.6 lbs. each). The result was a contained tri-hub burst with minor bulging of the containment ring and little sign of distress to the airframe.

INTRODUCTION

This test was conducted as the final phase of the "Development of Lightweight Containment Structures" project sponsored by the FAA William J. Hughes Technical Center under the Aircraft Catastrophic Failure Prevention Program.

The objective of this effort was to develop and evaluate the aircraft rotor fragment containment materials and structures against a fully bladed T53 turboshaft engine second stage power turbine. The turbine disk was modified to burst at approximately 20,400 rpm to produce 1 x 10⁶ in-lbs of kinetic energy. The T53 engine was selected to represent the medium turboshaft engines. Previous burst tests using modified T53 second stage power turbine disks were conducted in a vacuum spin chamber located at the Naval Air Warfare Center Aircraft Division in Trenton (NAWCAD-TRN), New Jersey. This test, as the final phase, was conducted at the outdoor test site at the Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division at China Lake, California. Kevlar, S-2 fiberglass, and polybenzbisoxazole (PBO) fabrics and cylindrical structures with reinforcements were evaluated and spin tested for disk fragment containment during the early phase of the program. Some of the tests were configured with the engine case and combustor as installed in the actual engine. The most promising material was PBO followed by Kevlar. Due to the time and funding constraints, the Kevlar material was used for the final phase. Pepin Associates, Inc. (PAI) fabricated the containment rings described in this report.

TEST OBJECTIVES

The primary objective of the test was to demonstrate the containment capability of the Kevlar ring that was designed and fabricated by PAI to contain the full-size T53 engine rotor fragments. A secondary objective was to study the effect of absorbing the rotor fragment energy on the aircraft during the containment event. This includes the interaction of the impacted containment ring, rotor fragments, and the engine accessories such as the combustor, vane, and case.

TEST SETUP

NAWCAD-TRN personnel modified the second power turbine and used the New Jersey Army National Guard facility for engine disassembly and installation of the disk. The second stage power turbine disk was weakened by removing three turbine blades, 120° apart. Then radial slots were machined from the blade firtree groove down into the disk. The tri-hub burst design and procedure are explained in detail in "Evaluation of Lightweight Material Concepts for Aircraft Turbine Engine Rotor Failure Protection" FAA Report DOT/FAA/AR-96/110. The notch created a stress concentration in the disk sufficient to cause an overload failure at normal operating speed.

The containment ring was developed and fabricated by Pepin Associates Inc. The ring was fabricated with a 0.014-inch titanium inner and outer sleeve. One-inch-thick Kevlar 29 ballistic fabric made up the primary structure of the containment ring. The ring was strengthened with titanium rods which were inserted through the fabric and laser welded to the inner and outer sleeves (figure 1). The ring weighed approximately 25 lbs. The containment ring was installed on the test engine and loosely connected to the engine case. The ring was centered on the second stage power turbine.



FIGURE 1. CONTAINMENT RING SECTION

The T53 engine was then installed in an UH-1 Huey helicopter (figure 2). The helicopter was mounted on two 2-foot-thick cement blocks and cabled down to three 1-foot-thick cement blocks (figure 3). The rotor head was removed to simplify the test setup and preparation. The engine was remotely started and operated. An emergency shutoff valve was located near the engine interface.



FIGURE 2. PRETEST INSTALLATION



FIGURE 3. TEST SETUP

HIGH-SPEED CAMERA TIMING

Even though the disk was notched, there was no way of determining exactly when or at what speed the disk would fail. To capture the event on high-speed film, a speed trigger circuit was used. There were approximately 8 seconds of film at a camera speed of 4000 pictures per second, 2.5 seconds of which were used as the cameras came up to speed. Prior to installing the test engine, a second engine was tested to determine the power turbine acceleration time from idle to maximum (figure 4). Multiple runs were conducted, and it was determined that the acceleration from idle to maximum was approximately 10 seconds. Idle speed was approximately 10,400 rpm and maximum was 22,500 rpm. Based on the engine acceleration times and the target rupture speed (20,400 rpm) and considering the camera time required to come to speed, a trigger speed of 14,000 rpm was chosen.



FIGURE 4. ENGINE ACCELERATION CALIBRATION

TEST PROCEDURE

The test was successfully conducted per the following checklist and procedure.

Prete	Pretest Checklist		
1.	Install break-paper		
2.	Check fuel valve operation		
3.	Check camera views		
4.	Fuel system checkout. Reroute and protect fuel line – shutoff valve		
5.	Ignitor checks		
6.	Camera line checkout		
7.	Define the power turbine speed (Np) trigger speed 14,000 rpm		
8.	Load cameras		

Test F	Procedure	
1.	Open fuel valve	
2.	Verify cameras ready	
3.	Verify camera lines are unarmed	
4.	. Begin data collection	
5.	Starter power ON and ignitors ON	
6.	Power level angle (PLA) to 25 deg	
7.	Disengage starter and ignitors at $N2 = 50 \%$	
8.	Reset trigger system	
9.	Arm camera lines	
10.	Verify good start	
11.	Advance PLA to 100 deg	
12.	Ensure trigger is activated as Np passes 14,000 rpm	
13.	Ensure Np is accelerating to 20,000 at approximately 1500 rpm/sec	
	Should take approximately 4 seconds	
14.	If Np reaches overspeed limit 22,000 rpm, continue engine running until disk ruptures.	
15.	After event close fuel valve.	
16.	Secure the pad; allow firefighters access to the pad as needed.	

TEST RESULTS

The test was completed as planned. The cameras were triggered as Np passed through 14,000 rpm. The disk released at 19,629 rpm. Test data are provided in figures 5 through 7. The disk ruptured into three nearly equal sections that were contained by the containment ring. The outer titanium shell split due to the tensile load resulting from the disk fragments pulling the Kevlar into a triangular shape. All three disk fragments penetrated the engine combustor case. The fuel lines located between the containment ring and combustor case were penetrated, resulting in a small fire. Secondary blade fragments exited the engine exhaust nozzle and a few fragments

appeared to exit through the holes created by the disk fragments. These fragments were lowenergy releases. Post event pictures are provided in figures 8 through 11.

The engine stayed within its mounts, with little apparent duress caused from the disk fragment energy being absorbed. The only noticeable sign of high vibration or loading was a broken throttle linkage.

The disk fragments were recovered and weighed (table 1). The original weight of 10.8 lbs included blades which were broken off during impact. Approximately 2.65 lbs of debris was released.

Disk Fragment Number	Fragment Weight After Burst (lbs)
1	2.66
2	2.70
3	2.79
Total Large Disk Fragments	8.15

TABLE 1. WEIGHT OF DISK FRAGMENTS AFTER BURST



FIGURE 5. POWER TURBINE SPEED AND BREAK PAPER VOLTAGE VERSUS TIME TEST DATA



_Power Turbine Speed - Np (rpm) ____Compressor Discharge Pressure - Ps3 (psig)

FIGURE 6. POWER TURBINE SPEED AND COMPRESSOR DISCHARGE PRESSURE VERSUS TIME TEST DATA



FIGURE 7. POWER TURBINE SPEED AND TURBINE GAS TEMPERATURE VERSUS TIME TEST DATA



Figure 8. Posttest Engine



Figure 9. Posttest Left Side of Engine



FIGURE 10. POSTTEST RIGHT SIDE OF ENGINE



FIGURE 11. DISK FRAGMENTS

CONCLUSIONS

The Pepin containment ring successfully contained the T53 second stage power turbine fragments. This fiber material, Kevlar 29 reinforced with titanium rods at 45° angles, is a good baseline ballistic fabric for containment structures. This is based on the specific containment fragment energy of the containment ring.

All three fragments penetrated through the combustor case and were embedded inside the containment ring. Minimum interactions between the immediate engine components and fragments were observed.

This test demonstrated the capability to contain a tri-hub burst on a medium sized turboshaft helicopter engine. Practical issues related to clearance for maintenance on a day to day basis as well as design for ring expansion during the failure are difficult challenges that must be considered for production of this type of a system.