8437



U.S. Army Environmental Center

980415 05(

Peroxone Groundwater Treatment Demonstration

Report Number: SFIM-AEC-CR-98007 Contract Number: MDA970-89-C-0019 Task Order Number: 03-87

February 1998

DESTRIBUTION STATEMENT &

Approved for public releases Distribution Unitalised

Prepared for:

U.S. Army Environmental Center (USAEC) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5401

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 4525 Wasatch Blvd., Suite 200 Salt Lake City, Utah 94124

TRW, Inc. Space & Technology Division One Space Park Redondo Beach, CA 90278

DTIC QUALITY INSTEUTED 8



MONTGOMERY WATSON



AEC Form 45, 1 Feb 93 replaces THAMA Form 45 which is obsolete.

| Unclassified | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE | DOCUMENTATIO | N PAGE | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 |
| 1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION | | 1b. RESTRICTIVE | MARKINGS | | |
| 2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY | | 3. DISTRIBUTION | AVAILABILITY OF | REPORT | |
| 2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU | JLE | | | | |
| 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMB | ER(S) | 5. MONITORING | ORGANIZATION RE | EPORT NUM | BER(S) |
| 1166031.01091017 | | SFIM-AEC-E | T-CR-98007 | | |
| 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION | 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) | 7a. NAME OF MC | | NIZATION | |
| TRW Inc, Montgomery Watson | | 7b. ADDRESS (Cit | y, State, and ZIP (| Code) | |
| 6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and 2ir Code)TRW Inc.MontgomeOne Space Park 01/20104525 S. WRedondo Beach, CA 90278Salt Lake | ery Watson lasatch Blvd. Suite 200 City, UT 94124 | 2251 Wyomi Kirtland A | ng Blvd. SE FB, NM 8711 | 7 | |
| 8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION | 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) | 9. PROCUREMENT | INSTRUMENT ID | ENTIFICATIO | N NUMBER |
| US Army Environmental Center | USAEC | MDA970-89- | C-0019 UNDING NUMBER | 5 | |
| 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and Zir Code) SFIM-AEC Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21(| 010-5401 | PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. | PROJECT NO. | NO. 03- | -87 |
| 11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) | | | | | |
| Peroxone Groundwater Treatment | Demonstration | | | | |
| 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) | | | | | |
| Najm, Issam N. and Agrawal, Viv 13a, TYPE OF REPORT | OVERED | 14. DATE OF REPO | RT (Year, Month, | Day) 15. F | |
| Final FROM <u>95</u> | Jul 14_ to <u>26 Jan 98</u> | 98 Februar | ry 02 | | 185 |
| 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION | | | | | |
| | 18. SUBJECT TERMS (| Continue on revers | e if necessary and | identify by | / block number) |
| FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP | Peroxone, expl | osives, TNT, | , RDX, groun | dwater, | hydrogen stor treatment |
| | peroxide, ozor | le, auvanceu | | groundwo | |
| 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary A field-scale plant for the treatment of explo Ammunition Plant (CAAP) near Grand Islam (N)-trinitro-1,3,5-triazacyclohexane (RDX) a hydrogen peroxide (Peroxone). The plant w bubble column reactors with hydraulic retent to ozonation. The purpose of the study was | sives-contaminated ground, Nebraska. The target and other nitrobodies. The target for a capable of treating 25 from times of 24 minutes to determine the feasibilities of 30 mg-L^{-1} for total nitr | umber) indwater was desig compounds were he process chosen gal-min ⁻¹ with a d per reactor. Hydr ity and projected c obodies. The dem | gned and construct trinitrotoluene (T was oxidation w lose of ozone as h ogen peroxide w costs for reducing constration was ca | cted at the C TNT), trinitr ith a combi nigh as 55 n as fed in the c explosive of arried out o | Cornhusker Army robenzene (TNB), nation of ozone and ng-L ⁻¹ in each of six e influent water prior compounds level to ver a period of three |
| 2 µg-L ⁻¹ for the specific nitro-compounds an months. The results showed that the Peroxo and hydrogen peroxide are required to reach developed as a design tool to provide inform feasibility on scale up, but also a preliminary implications in much more detail. Recommo | the target level for TNB ation on system scale up cost evaluation. A report | oxidizing all of the s, the most recalcit to to 1000 gal-min ⁻¹ ort prepared by the rk and for potentia | e target compoun rant target compo . This model project independ l cost minimizati | ids but very ound. An e ovided not o dent evalua on measure | large doses of ozone mpirical model was only technical tor discusses cost as are presented. |
| 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT | | 21. ABSTRACT SE Unclassif | CURITY CLASSIFIC | | |
| 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL | | (410) 612- | (Include Area Code -6846 | e) 22c. OFF USAE(| C SAWROL |

DD Form 1473, JUN 86

-

Previous editions are obsolete.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAG

٦.

.

TECHNICAL REPORT

PEROXONE GROUNDWATER TREATMENT DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM CORNHUSKER ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT GRAND ISLAND, NEBRASKA

February, 1998

TRW Space & Technology Division One Space Park Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Project No.: 1166031.01091017

Montgomery Watson 4525 South Wasatch Blvd., Suite 200 Salt Lake City, Utah 94124 (801) 272-1900

DISCLAIMER

.

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.

The use of trade names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products. This report may not be cited for the purposes of advertisement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| ABBREVIATIONS & ACRONYMS | vi |
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION | 1-1 |
| 1.1 Purpose and Objectives | 1-1 |
| 1.2 Project Background | 1-2 |
| 1.3 Scope of the Demonstration Program | 1-3 |
| 1.4 Organization of the Report | 1-3 |
| 2.0 PEROXONE SYSTEM DESIGN | 2-1 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 2-1 |
| 2.1.1. Sources of Contaminated Water | 2-5 |
| 2.1.2. Design Hydraulic Capacity | 2-5 |
| 2.1.3. Influent Concentrations and Treatment Goals | 2-5 |
| 2.2 Extraction System | 2-6 |
| 2.2.1. Extraction Wells | 2-6 |
| 2.2.2. Conveyance Piping | 2-6 |
| 2.3 Peroxone System Description | 2-7 |
| 2.3.1. Ozone Contactors | 2-7 |
| 2.3.2. Ozone Generation and Feed System | 2-8 |
| 2.3.3. Chemical Feed System | 2-9 |
| 2.3.4. Effluent Tank and Effluent Pump | 2-10 |
| 2.3.5. Activated Carbon Polishing System | 2-10 |
| 2.3.6. Ozone Destruction System | 2-10 |
| 2.4 Process Control Narrative | 2-11 |
| 2.4.1. Groundwater Extraction System | 2-11 |
| 2.4.2. Peroxide Feed System | 2-11 |
| 2.4.3. Ozone Feed and Destruction Systems | 2-12 |
| 2.4.4. Effluent Tank | 2-13 |
| 2.4.5. Thiosulfate Feed System | 2-14 |
| 2.4.6. Effluent Transfer Systems | 2-14 |
| 2.4.7. Support Systems | 2-14 |
| 2.5 Treatment Pad | 2-15 |
| 3.0 PEROXONE SYSTEM CONSTRUCTION | 3-1 |
| 3.1 Advance Preparation | 3-1 |
| 3.1.1. Procurement | 3-1 |
| 3.1.2. Slab Preparation | 3-2 |
| 3.2 Construction Chronology | 3-2 |
| 3.2.1. Extraction Wells | 3-2 |
| 3.2.2. Ozone Generator | 3-2 |
| 3.2.3. Contactors | 3-2 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| 3.2.4. Activated Carbon Vessels | 3-3 |
| 3.2.5. Reverse Osmosis Unit | 3-3 |
| 3.2.6. Sodium Thiosulfate Feed System | 3-3 |
| 3.2.7. Chemical Feed Pumps | 3-3 |
| 3.2.8. Piping and Fittings | 3-3 |
| 3.2.9. Water System | 3-3 |
| 3.2.10. Power | 3-4 |
| 3.2.11. Instrumentation and Controls | 3-4 |
| 3.3 Start-Up | 3-4 |
| 3.3.1. Clean Water Testing | 3-4 |
| PEROXONE SYSTEM TESTING PROGRAM | 4-1 |
| 4.1 Introduction | 4-1 |
| 4.2 Testing Approach | 4-1 |
| 4.2.1. Task 1 - System Debugging | 4-2 |
| 4.2.2. Task 2 - System Optimization | 4-2 |
| 4.2.3. Task 3 - System Demonstration | 4-2 |
| 4.3 Analytical Methods | 4-3 |
| 4.4 Groundwater Quality | 4-5 |
| 4.5 System Debugging | 4-10 |
| 4.5.1. Objectives | 4-10 |
| 4.5.2. System Startup | 4-10 |
| 4.5.3. Equipment Calibration | 4-11 |
| 4.5.4. Alarm Checks | 4-12 |
| 4.5.5. Summary of Problems | 4-12 |
| 4.6 System Optimization | 4-14 |
| 4.6.1. Experimental Conditions | 4-14 |
| 4.6.2. Experimental Results | 4-16 |
| 4.7 System Demonstration | 4-20 |
| 4.7.1 Experimental Conditions | 4-20 |
| 4.7.2 Experimental Results | 4-22 |
| 4.8 Formation of Ozonation By-Products | 4-26 |
| 4.9 Mathematical Modeling | 4-28 |
| 4.9.1. Characterization of System Hydraulics (Tracer Testing) | 4-29 |
| 4.9.2. Model Development | 4-31 |
| 4.9.3 Calibration of Model Parameters | 4-32 |
| 4.9.4 Sensitivity Analysis | 4-36 |
| 4.10 Implications for Design | 4-37 |

4.0

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| 5.0 PEROXONE SYSTEM DEMOBILIZATION | 5-1 |
| 5.1 Revision to Work Plans | 5-1 |
| 5.2 Shutdown | 5-1 |
| 5.3 Decommissioning | 5-2 |
| 5.4 Dismantling and Storage | 5-2 |
| 6.0 DEVELOPMENT OF FULL-SCALE PEROXONE SYSTEM | 6-1 |
| 6.1 Introduction | 6-1 |
| 6.2 System Scale-Up and Development Process | 6-1 |
| 6.2.1. Model Limitations | 6-2 |
| 6.3 Contactor Scale-Up | 6-2 |
| 6.4 Peroxone Model Simulations | 6-4 |
| 6.4.1. Model Development | 6-4 |
| 6.4.2. Peroxone Model Simulation Results | 6-6 |
| 6.5 Evaluation of Peroxone System Model Simulations | 6-6 |
| 6.5.1. Technical Evaluation | 6-7 |
| 6.5.2. Cost Evaluation | 6-7 |
| 6.6 Full-Scale Peroxone System | 6-8 |
| 6.6.1. Full-Scale Peroxone System Design Criteria | 6-9 |
| 6.6.2. Full-Scale Peroxone System Cost Estimates | 6-9 |
| 7.0 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, & RECOMMENDATIONS | 7-1 |
| 7.1 Introduction & System Design | 7-1 |
| 7.2 System Testing Plan | 7-2 |
| 7.3 System Performance | 7-2 |
| 7.4 Model Development | 7-3 |
| 7.5 Design & Cost of Full-Scale System | 7-4 |
| 7.6 Conclusions | 7-6 |
| 7.7 Recommendations | 7-7 |
| Appendix A - Peroxone System Construction Photographs | |
| Appendix B - Peroxone System Optimization Testing Data | |
| Appendix C - Peroxone System Demonstration Testing Data | |
| Appendix D - Peroxone System As-Built Drawings | |

- Appendix E Project Experimental Plan Appendix F Project Team Contact List

LIST OF TABLES

| TABL | Æ | - |
|------|---|---------|
| NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
| 2-1 | Demonstration Program Peroxone System Design Criteria | 2-1 |
| 2-2 | Design Influent Concentrations and Treatment Goals | 2-6 |
| 4-1 | General Physical/Mineral Groundwater Quality Characteristics | 4-5 |
| 4-2 | Types and Concentrations of SOCs in New TRW Well and Well #66 Water (All Concentrations Were Below the Indicated Detection Limits) | rs 4-8 |
| 4-3 | Concentrations of TNT, TNB, RDX, and Total Nitrobodies (in μ g/L) in the Test Groundwater | 4-9 |
| 4-4 | Experimental Conditions Used During the System Optimization Program | 4-17 |
| 4-5 | Summary of the Optimization Results for TNB Removal From New TRW Well Water | 4-17 |
| 4-6 | Simulated Peroxone Treatment System Configurations That Would be Required to Achieve 99% Removal of TNB | 4-19 |
| 4-7 | Summary of the Optimization Results for TNB Removal From Well #66 | 4-19 |
| 4-8 | Operating Conditions During Phases I and II of the Demonstration Testing Period | 4-21 |
| 4-9 | Types and Levels of Organic Chemicals in the Influent and Effluent of the Peroxone Treatment System | 4-27 |
| 4-10 | Estimated Values of the Basic Reaction Rate Constants and Empirical Constants for the Oxidation of TNT, TNB, and RDX with Peroxone | 4-33 |
| 5-1 | Stored Equipment Inventory | 5-3 |
| 6-1 | Selected Tank Configurations | 6-3 |
| 6-2 | Simulated Configurations | 6-5 |
| 6-3 | Simulation Results: 8-Foot Dia And 24-Foot Swd Contactor | 6-6 |
| 6-4 | Preliminary Cost Estimates | 6-7 |
| 6-5 | Full-Scale Peroxone System Conceptual Design Criteria (follo | ws) 6-9 |
| 6-6 | Preliminary Cost Estimate for 1,000 gpm Peroxone System (follow | ws) 6-9 |
| 7-1 | Conceptual Design Criteria of 1000-gpm Peroxone Treatment Plant | 7-4 |
| 7-2 | Preliminary Cost Breakdown for the 1000-gpm Peroxone System | 7-5 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGU NO. | RE TITLE | PAGE NO. |
|-------------|---|------------------------|
| 1-1 | Site Map | (follows) 1-1 |
| 4-1 4-2 | Raw Water Levels of TNT, TNB, RDX, and Total Nitrobodies Nitrate Concentrations in the New TRW Well Water During the Demonstration Period | (follows) 4-6 4-7 |
| 4-3 4-4 | Peroxone Ratio During Phases 1 and 2 of the Demonstration Period Performance of the PEROXONE Treatment System for the Removal of TNT, TNB, and RDX During Phase I and Phase II of the Demonstration Period | 4-22 (follows) 4-22 |
| 4-5 | Formation of Nitrate Through the Peroxone Treatment System | 4-24 |
| 4-6 | Profile of pH in the Influent Water and Effluent Waters from Contactors #2, #4, and #6 During the Demonstration Period | 4-26 |
| 4-7 | Tracer Test Results for a Flowrate of 13 gpm | 4-30 |
| 4-8 | Tracer Test Results for a Flowrate of 25 gpm | 4-31 |
| 4-9 | Comparison Between Measured and Model-Calculated Concentrations of TNB, TNT, and RDX During the Optimization Period and the Demonstration Period | (follows) 4-33 |
| 4-10 | Model Fit to the Measured Concentrations of TNB, TNT, and RDX During Phase I and II of the Demonstration Period | (follows) 4-34 |
| 4-11 | Impact of HRT and Transferred Ozone Dose on the Percent Removal of TNB in Each Contactor as Predicted by the Proposed Empirical Model | 4-37 |
| 6-1 | Conceptual Process Flow Diagram | (follows) 6-9 |

ABBREVIATIONS & ACRONYMS

| BGS | Below Ground Surface |
|-------|--|
| CAAP | Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant |
| COE | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |
| СР | Control Panel |
| CSTR | Continuously Stirred Tank Reactor |
| DESA | Defense Evaluation Support Activity |
| EBCT | Empty Bed Contact Time |
| EPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| FPM | Feet Per Minute |
| GAC | Granular Activated Carbon |
| GPM | Gallons Per Minute |
| HDPE | High density polyethylene |
| HOA | Hand-Off-Auto |
| HP | Horse Power |
| HRT | Hydraulic Retention Time |
| lb | Pound |
| LOX | Liquid Oxygen |
| mA | Milli Amp |
| mg/l | Milligram per liter |
| NDEQ | Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality |
| O&M | Operations and Maintenance |
| ORP | Oxidation-Reduction Potential |
| PFD | Process Flow Diagram |
| PSA | Pressure Swing Absorption |
| RDX | Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine |
| RO | Reverse Osmosis |
| SCFH | Standard Cubic Feet Per Hour |
| SCFM | Standard Cubic Feet Per Minute |
| SOC | Synthetic Organic Chemical |
| SOW | Statement of Work |
| SS | Stainless Steel |
| TDH | Total Dynamic Head |
| TNT | 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene |
| TNB | 1,3,5-trinitrobenzene |
| TOC | Total Organic Carbon |
| USAEC | U.S. Army Environmental Center |
| VOC | Volatile organic compounds |
| VSA | Vacuum Swing Absorption |
| WES | Waterways Experiment Station |
| μg/l | Microgram per liter |

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.0.0.1. This document presents the objectives, design details, and results of the Peroxone Groundwater Treatment Demonstration Program (Program) that was conducted at the Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant (CAAP) in Grand Island, Nebraska (Figure 1-1). The Program was carried out under the auspices of the US Army Environmental Center (USAEC) with technical assistance from the US Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha District (COE) and the Defense Evaluation Support Activity (DESA). A Project Advisory Board was formed from representatives of the above organizations, as well as two project technical advisors: Professor William Glaze from the University of North Carolina, and Mr. Kerwin Rakness of Process Applications, Inc. Dr. Glaze is an international expert on advanced oxidation processes, and Mr. Rakness has extensive experience in the design and optimization of ozonation systems. The Project Advisory Board reviewed the project progress and provided guidance to the project team throughout the project duration. All major project decisions were made with consultation and approval from the Advisory Board.

1.0.0.2. The Program, which was implemented by TRW and Montgomery Watson was intended to demonstrate the effectiveness of Peroxide/Ozone (Peroxone) oxidation treatment for groundwater impacted with explosive compounds. Explosives-contaminated groundwater exists at CAAP as a result of load, assembly, and packing (LAP) of explosives into munitions for World War II, the Korean conflict, and the Vietnam conflict. The contaminants of concern include 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT); 1,3,5-trinitrobenzene (TNB); hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine (RDX); and other nitrobodies.

1.1 PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES

1.1.0.1. The purpose of the Program was to demonstrate the technical and economic feasibility of the Peroxone system to remediate explosives-contaminated groundwater at the CAAP.

1.1.0.2. The following objectives were established for the demonstration program:

• Further define the Peroxone system treatment requirements for nitrobodies







- Design and construct a field-scale Peroxone system based on the requirements developed by the Technical Advisory Board and included in the Statement of Work (SOW) and results of the WES pilot-scale testing.
- Conduct demonstration testing of the Peroxone system and gather the necessary data to perform a technical and economic evaluation of the Peroxone system for treatment of explosives-contaminated groundwater.
- Develop recommendations on the feasibility of using Peroxone technology for a full-scale treatment system.

1.1.0.3. This document presents a summary of the activities undertaken to complete the above objectives and the results obtained during the demonstration testing. This document further evaluates the demonstration testing results to provide recommendations for a full-scale Peroxone system.

1.2 PROJECT BACKGROUND

1.2.0.1. Numerous US Army installations have sites that contain groundwater that has been contaminated with explosives. The use of granular activated carbon (GAC) is listed as the best available technology by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) for removal of such organic compounds from water. The disadvantage of using GAC is that it accumulates organic compounds on the carbon medium instead of actually destroying the contaminants. There are also problems associated with disposal of explosives-laden GAC. Processes which result in the immediate destruction of the contaminants and are more cost effective than GAC are being sought for the restoration of Army sites.

1.2.0.2. The effectiveness of chemical oxidation is highly dependent on the nature of the organic compounds, the oxidant used, and other contaminants in the water. Among the most promising oxidation processes is the ozone decomposition initiated by hydrogen peroxide. Hydrogen peroxide alone is a moderately powerful oxidizer, but in combination with ozone it is even more powerful because hydroxyl radicals are generated. The hydroxyl radicals that form in a Peroxone system are more effective than ozone alone for oxidation of natural and synthetic organics.

^{*} AWWARF & CGE. "Ozone in Water Treatment: Applications and Engineering," Cooperative Research Report, Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, MI, (1991).

1.2.0.3. The Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station (WES) has developed a laboratory scale Peroxone system for the treatment of explosives-contaminated groundwater. Preliminary laboratory results have shown that TNT and RDX are oxidized by this system. In August 1995, a 2-gpm laboratory scale pilot system was field-tested by WES at the CAAP.ⁱ

1.3 SCOPE OF THE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM

1.3.0.1. The scope of the demonstration program was limited to the following:

- Design, construct, and operate a 25-gpm Peroxone groundwater treatment system at the CAAP in accordance with the requirements of the Technical Advisory Board.
- Conduct a 12-week demonstration test in accordance with the approved experimental plan.
- Analyze data from demonstration testing to evaluate effectiveness of the Peroxone system in treating explosives-contaminated groundwater.
- Develop recommendations for a 1,000 gpm Peroxone system based on the demonstration testing results.

1.4 ORGANIZATION OF THE REPORT

1.4.0.1. Section 1.0 presents the Program goals and objectives and provides the background for the Peroxone technology and the CAAP Program. The Peroxone system design details are presented in Section 2.0. Section 3.0 describes the activities undertaken during the Peroxone system construction and installation. Details about the demonstration testing and results obtained during the testing period are presented in Section 4.0. The system demobilization and the site restoration activities performed at the conclusion of the demonstration testing are summarized in Section 5.0. Results obtained during the demonstration testing were evaluated to develop recommendations for a full-scale Peroxone system. Section 6.0 presents the evaluation process and provides recommendations for a full-scale Peroxone system.

Fleming, E.C., M.E. Zappi, J. Miller, R. Hernandez, and E. Toro (1997). "Evaluation of Peroxone Oxidation Techniques for Removal of Explosives From Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant Waters", Technical Report SERDP-97-2, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

1.4.0.2. The sequence of construction for the Peroxone system is presented using a series of photographs which are included in Appendix A. The results obtained during the optimization period are listed in Appendix B. The results of the demonstration testing are summarized in Appendix C. The as-built drawings for the Peroxone system are included in Appendix D. The project Experimental Plan is included in Appendix E. (The actual experimental approach differed slightly from the experimental plan due to the ongoing analysis of the results during the course of the project. The deviations are explained in Section 4.0 of this report). The project team contact list is included in Appendix F.

2.0 PEROXONE SYSTEM DESIGN

2.1 INTRODUCTION

2.1.0.1. This section presents the details of the Peroxone system used to conduct the CAAP demonstration testing program. It is noted that the process selection and configuration was specified by the Technical Advisory Board with the concurrence of USAEC. The system design criteria is presented in Table 2-1 (A schematic of the treatment system is depicted in Appendix E, Figure 1). It is noted that photographs of the treatment system during construction are shown in Appendix A of this report.

Table 2-1

| Equipment | Description | Criteria |
|---------------------|---|---|
| Extraction Well | Number of existing wells Existing well casing Groundwater level Well head finishing | 2 4" or larger 11 feet bgs (appr.) Above ground with no vaults |
| Extraction Pump | Number of pumps Type Capacity Total dynamic head Pump horsepower Control Manufacturer | 2 (one for each well) Submersible, electrical 25 gpm each One at 75 feet TDH; One at 90 feet TDH 3/4 Hp (each) Local control panel Grundfos - Clovis, CA |
| Conveyance Line | Total length Size and material Type | 1,130 feet (600 ft and 530 ft from the wells, respectively) 2" PVC, Sch 80 Single wall, above ground |
| Influent Flow Meter | Range Type Indicators Signal type Manufacturer | 0-30 gpm Paddle wheel Instantaneous flow/totalizer 4-20 mA Signet Scientific - El Monte, CA |

Demonstration Program Peroxone System Design Criteria

Table 2-1

-

| Equipment | Description | Criteria |
|---------------------|---|---|
| Ozone Contactor | Number of contactors Type Capacity Size Material | 6 Unpacked column with co-current and counter-current flow; saddles for packing ring 500 gal (each) 3 feet diameter, 10 feet above diffuser; 13 feet total height 304 SS |
| | Diffuser type Level indicator Fabricator | Ceramic Dome diffuser Sight glass Denver Mineral Corporation - Denver, CO |
| Effluent Tank | Capacity Type Control | 500 gal HDPE High-level alarm (system shut off) Low-level stop switch |
| Sump Pump | Number Type Capacity Total dynamic head Control Manufacturer | 1 Submersible, electrical 25 gpm 25 feet (max) Internal float switch Little Giant - Ryan Herco (rep) |
| GAC | Number of vessels EBCT Carbon Quantity Manufacturer | 3 in series 30 min. total at 25 gpm flow 1,000 lb./unit Calgon Corp Pittsburgh, PA |
| Effluent Flow Meter | Range Type Indicator Signal type Manufacturer | 0-30 gpm Paddle wheel Instantaneous/totalizer 4-20 mA signal Signet Scientific - El Monte, CA |

Demonstration Program Peroxone System Design Criteria (Continued)

Table 2-1

-

| Equipment | Description | Criteria |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Ozone Generator | Capacity Ozone Dosage (each vessel) Flow measurement Control Panel Dosage control Manufacturer (rented) | 100 lb./day 55 mg/l at 10% O ₃ at 25 gpm Rotameter (internal) Local control panel Flow paced Ozonia - Lodi, NJ |
| Hydrogen Peroxide System | Capacity Storage Applied dosage Feed pump type Number of pumps Pump flow rate Control panel Dosage control | 16 lb./day of 35% solution 55-gallon drums of 35% solution 18 mg/l (total) at 1.5% solution at 25 gpm Pulsafeeder 6 (one for each reactor) 0.75 gph (max) Local control panel Flow paced |
| Sodium Thiosulfate System | Storage Applied dosage Feed pump type Number of pumps Pump flow rate Control panel Dosage control | 50 lb. bags; photo grade 7 mg/L per mg/L residual ozone Pulsafeeder 3 gph (max) Local control panel Flow paced |
| Oxygen Storage Tank | Capacity Type Owner (rented) | 3,000 gal Liquid oxygen mixed with 3% nitrogen Linweld - Grand Island, NE |
| Oxygen Vaporizer | Capacity Controls Owner (rented) | 500 scfh at 15 psi discharge Local/Manual Linweld - Grend Island, NE |

Demonstration Program Peroxone System Design Criteria (Continued)

Table 2-1

-

| Equipment | Description | Criteria |
|---|--|--|
| Ozone Destructor | Capacity Controls Manufacturer (rented) | 8 scfm Local/Manual Ozonia - Lodi, NJ |
| Ozone Monitor | High concentration Ambient concentration | 1 1 |
| Off-gas Stack | Height Velocity Size Material | 20 ft 100 fpm 2 inch Carbon steel |
| Alarm System | System shut-down mode Control logic | High-level alarm in effluent tank Major equipment failure PLC control with auto dialer for off-hour operation |
| Process Piping | Type Size and Material | Single wall 3" PVC, Sch 80 |
| Containment Pad | Size | 30-feet x 40-feet x 12-in (wall) |
| Utilities (provided by o Water Electricity Sewer | wner) Capacity Capacity Capacity | 150 gpm tap water 480V, 3 Phase, 200 Amp 150 gpm |
| Control/Equipment Room (provided by owner) | Number Size | 2 20-feet x 20-feet (each) |
| Operation Mode | Normal mode Number of operators Operator hours | Automatic with PLC control and monitoring 2 40 hr/wk/operator |

Demonstration Program Peroxone System Design Criteria (Continued)

2.1.0.2. The basic design of the process components was defined by the Technical Advisory Board with concurrence of USAEC based on the results of the previous testing conducted by WES. Minor modifications were made during the construction and demonstration testing phases, however, and these changes are discussed in the following text. The information presented in the SOW was used to develop the design criteria and to prepare the Peroxone system design.

2.1.1. Sources of Contaminated Water

2.1.1.1. Two (2) existing groundwater wells (Well No. 66 and New TRW Well, also referred to as Wells A and B, respectively, in Appendix E) were used to provide contaminated water to the Peroxone system. Each well was assumed to be capable of producing a continuous flow of 25 gallons per minute (gpm). However, this assumption proved to be false for one of the wells as testing progressed.

2.1.2. Design Hydraulic Capacity

2.1.2.1. The Peroxone system was sized for a maximum hydraulic capacity of 25 gpm. This assumed that either one well is operated at a given time, or that the combined flow from the two wells would not exceed 25 gpm.

2.1.2.2. The components designed for a 25 gpm hydraulic capacity include the groundwater extraction well pumps and the conveyance pipe, ozone contactors, activated carbon vessels, and appurtenance. The system support facilities were also sized to handle a maximum flow of 25 gpm at the design influent concentrations discussed below. Flexibility was provided to turn-down the Peroxone system to handle a lower flow; however, no provisions were provided for an effective treatment at a higher flow rate.

2.1.3. Influent Concentrations and Treatment Goals

2.1.3.1. The anticipated influent concentrations in the groundwater are listed in Table 2-2. During the design period, no information was available on expected influent concentrations from individual wells. After that time, data were collected for actual influent concentrations from individual wells and are included in Section 4.0. The treatment goals listed in Table 2-2 represent the effluent limits established by the Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality (NDEQ) for discharge of treated water to a swale or to a storm drain. The GAC effluent stream met these limits without exception during the demonstration period.

Table 2-2

| Contaminant | Design Influent Concentration (µg/L) | Target Treatment Goals (µg/L) |
|-------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| TNT | 500 | 2 |
| RDX | 200 | 2 |
| TNB | 100 | 2 |
| Total Nitrobodies | 1,000 | 30 |

Design Influent Concentrations and Treatment Goals

2.2 EXTRACTION SYSTEM

2.2.1. Extraction Wells

2.2.1.1. The existing groundwater wells were 4-inch in diameter with a capped riser on top. The boring logs indicated that the groundwater table was at approximately 11 feet below ground surface (bgs) for both wells. This proved to be true in the field.

2.2.1.2. Each well was equipped with an electric submersible pump rated for 25 gpm maximum flow. The electric pump assembly was to include a power supply and a local disconnect switch for isolation of individual wells. A junction box was installed instead of the disconnect switch. This still allowed removal of the pump, but ensured that the operator turned off and locked out power at the main control panel.

2.2.1.3. A 2-inch Schedule 80 PVC pipe was used for connecting the wellhead to the conveyance pipe. A ball valve was installed to control the actual flow from each well. Due to the short duration of the demonstration project, an above-ground well vault was not provided; however, temporary barricades were located at the wellhead to protect the wellhead equipment from accidental damage.

2.2.2. Conveyance Piping

2.2.2.1. An above-ground, single-walled, 2-inch Schedule 80 PVC pipe was used to convey extracted groundwater to the Peroxone system. The Schedule 80 pipe offered

additional strength to minimize accidental damage. Individual pipe runs from extraction wells were manifolded to provide a single run to the Peroxone system.

2.2.2.2. A flow meter with a range of 0-30 gpm and equipped with a local indicator and totalizer was installed on the conveyance pipe to record flow rates from individual wells and to provide information needed for system operation. The flow meter was located at the treatment pad for ease of readout and maintenance. The flow meter was calibrated using a 50-gallon barrel and a stop watch for three flow rates.

2.3 PEROXONE SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

2.3.1. Ozone Contactors

2.3.1.1. Six (6) conventional, bubble-diffuser type contactors were used to accomplish the chemical oxidation. Each contactor was 3 feet in diameter with a 10-foot side wall depth above the diffuser base. Each contactor provided a retention time of approximately 20 minutes at a flow rate of 25 gpm. A 2-foot head space was provided in each contactor above the water column for off-gas collection.

2.3.1.2. The contactors were 1/8-inch thick, 304 stainless steel (SS 304) shells with 3/16-inch SS 304 top and bottom plates. Each contactor included a 20-inch manway integral to the contactor shell. The manway was located near the bottom of the contactor and was used to position the dome diffuser. It was noted during construction and debugging that the manway was critical to making alignments and repairs. A clear sight glass was included with each contactor for visual observation of the water level inside the contactors. However, visual inspection of the interior of the contactor through the sight glass proved to be difficult due to the inavailability of sufficient lighting to the inside of the contactor.

2.3.1.3. The first contactor was provided with additional features to allow further studies in the future. An additional 20-inch manway opening was located near the top of the contactor. The top manway may be used in the future to fill the contactor with packing material. A saddle ring to facilitate packing of the contactor in the future and two, 2-inch clear acrylic windows were also included in the first contactor to allow observation of the bubble pattern and size.

2.3.1.4. Two dome diffusers were located at the bottom of each contactor to facilitate even distribution of ozone inside the contactors. The number of diffusers per contactor was selected based on the required gas flow rate and the manufacturer's specifications for those specific diffusers. The dome diffusers were 8-inch in diameter and constructed of ceramic material which offers excellent resistant to ozone corrosion. Each contactor was fitted with the diffusers as planned, although several diffusers were replaced during debugging due to irregular bases that did not allow for an air-tight seal around the diffusers.

2.3.1.5. The contactors were designed to operate in both the co-current and the countercurrent flow conditions. The piping and valves between the contactors were installed such that the contactors could be manually switched to operate in either co-current or counter-current flow mode. The interconnecting piping between the contactors was Schedule 80 PVC with plastic valves. Although the system was piped for both flow conditions, it was only operated in the counter-current condition during the demonstration testing.

2.3.2. Ozone Generation and Feed System

2.3.2.1. Liquid oxygen was used for ozone generation at the demonstration plant, and was selected for ease of operation and maintenance. Liquid oxygen was stored in a supplier-provided bulk storage tank located adjacent to the treatment pad. A local supplier set up and stocked the tank as planned, then emptied the tank and removed it as planned at the end of the demonstration testing.

2.3.2.2. The ozone generator was designed to deliver an applied ozone dosage of 55 mg/L to each contactor at 10 percent by weight ozone concentration and at a maximum flow rate of 25 gpm. This equates to 100 pounds of total ozone per day for the Peroxone system. A gas flow meter with a local indicator and an ozone monitor was provided to track the ozone generation rate. At each injection point, a local rotameter with a manual control valve was used to calibrate the ozone dosage to an individual contactor. The ozone generator was delivered with all the features expected and produced over 108 pounds per day of ozone, although the full capacity was not used during the demonstration testing. An air compressor was provided to deliver a nitrogen-containing air stream to the oxygen feed flow. The added nitrogen is believed to result in a catalytic reaction that may increase the efficiency of ozone generation by as much as 15% to 20%.

This was based on Montgomery Watson's experience with the design of ozone systems, and the recommendation of the ozone generator supplier.

2.3.2.3. The supply piping from the liquid oxygen tank to the ozone generator was 1 1/2-inch copper pipe specifically designed for liquid oxygen systems. The ozone feed piping was 1 1/2-inch 304 SS. Flexible polyethylene tubing was to be used to connect the ozone feed pipe to individual contactors. The cooling water pipe for the ozone generator was 1 1/2-inch Schedule 40 PVC capable of providing the 70-gpm cooling water flow required for the operation of the ozone generator (required by the generator manufacturer). The copper piping for the oxygen and the stainless steel piping for the ozone feed worked well. During the System Debugging task, the polyethylene tubing degraded under the ozone concentrations used, and was then replaced with high-grade teflon tubing which proved to be resistant to the operational environment at the demonstration testing.

2.3.3. Chemical Feed System

2.3.3.1. The hydrogen peroxide storage system was designed for a thirty-five percent industrial grade solution stocked in 55-gallon drums at the site. The peroxide solution was diluted to 2 percent strength using deionized water from an on-site, ion exchange system. Two, 275-gallon day tanks were used to stock 2 percent peroxide solution which was fed to the Peroxone system. The purpose of diluting the peroxide solution was to increase the volume of the solution actually fed to the contactors, thereby allowing a more precise control over pumping rates and system operation. At times the strength was reduced to 1.5 percent and 1 percent to maintain better control of the peroxide dose.

2.3.3.2. The peroxide solution was fed to the contactors through flexible, polyethylene tubing connected through injection points located in the piping between the contactors. Positive displacement pumps were used to feed peroxide into the system. An individual, dedicated pump was used for each contactor. All pumps fed off a single day tank to ensure that the concentration of the peroxide solution fed to each contactor was constant. Back-pressure control valves were added to the positive displacement pumps to prevent loss of prime on the suction side of the pumps.

2.3.3.3. Sodium thiosulfate was selected to neutralize the residual ozone in the effluent from the contactors. Fifty-pound bags of photograde thiosulfate crystals were stored at the site for this purpose. Thiosulfate solution was prepared on a daily basis using

deionized water from an on-site, ion exchange system. Thiosulfate was then fed directly into the effluent tank through a 1/2-inch Schedule 40 PVC pipe. A positive displacement pump was used to feed the thiosulfate solution to the effluent tank. The 1/2-inch PVC feed line into the effluent tank was replaced with a 3/8-inch polyethylene tubing to increase the flow velocity and thus allow for a more efficient pumping system.

2.3.4. Effluent Tank and Effluent Pump

2.3.4.1. Effluent from the ozone contactors was fed into the effluent tank via gravity flow. The effluent tank was used as a reaction tank to neutralize the residual ozone before discharging the water into the GAC vessels as described in Section 2.3.5. This tank was placed next to a sump built into the containment pad. The tank worked well for equalization of the effluent and addition of the thiosulfate solution. It was also connected to the sump pump to permit transfer of rain water or spills on the pad into the tank allowing treatment through the GAC vessels before discharge.

2.3.4.2. An end suction, centrifugal pump rated for 25 gpm at 15 psi total head was used to transfer treated water from the effluent tank through GAC vessels to the discharge connection. The pump worked as expected, outpacing the gravity flow to the effluent tank and allowing intermittent operation of the pump.

2.3.5. Activated Carbon Polishing System

2.3.5.1. Treated water from the Peroxone system was routed through GAC vessels for additional treatment. The GAC system was a vendor-supplied package consisting of three (3) vessels operated in series. Each vessel contained 1,000 pounds of virgin activated carbon and provided 10-minute retention time at a flow of 25 gpm. The total retention time for the GAC system was 30 minutes. The carbon vessels worked successfully, preventing discharge of any contaminants above the permit requirements.

2.3.6. Ozone Destruction System

2.3.6.1. Off-gas from the ozone contactors was collected and treated through an ozone destruction unit. The ozone destruction unit consisted of a dual catalyst bed with an electric heating coil which converts ozone to innocuous byproducts. Exhaust from the ozone destruction unit was discharged to the atmosphere through a stack. The ozone

destruction unit was delivered with the ozone generator and worked as planned, reducing ozone gas concentrations in the stack to non-detect levels.

2.3.6.2. Polyethylene tubing was installed on top of each of the ozone contactors for offgas collection. The tubing was then manifolded into 2-inch Schedule 80 PVC pipe which ran to the ozone destruction unit. During the testing, the polyethylene tubing proved to be incompatible with high ozone concentrations, therefore, the off-gas tubing was changed to teflon to prevent further failures. This piping setup worked well, with no failures detected during the demonstration testing.

2.3.6.3. The exhaust stack from the destruction unit was to be a field-installed PVC vent, but it was changed to 2-inch steel pipe to allow for a more rigid installation without guy wires. Sampling points were located downstream of the destruction unit to collect air samples into a single ozone analyzer. A high ozone condition in the exhaust stack triggered an alarm. The discharge stack was also equipped with a local flow meter to monitor the exhaust flow but the flow rate out of the stack proved to be so low that a manometer and pitot tube had to be used instead to check the flow.

2.4 PROCESS CONTROL NARRATIVE

2.4.1. Groundwater Extraction System

2.4.1.1. As shown in the as-built drawings in Appendix D, each extraction well pump was controlled from a hand switch on the control panel (HS-101 or HS-102). The well pumps shut down automatically from the low flow switch (FSL-200) on the influent pipe, with a time delay to restart the pumps. The well pumps also shut down at a high-level alarm from the first ozone contactor (LSH-301), and the well pump power was interlocked with the main treatment system alarm (Alarm Level I) for an emergency shut off.

2.4.1.2. A flow meter (M-1) was provided with a local indicator/totalizer (FIT-203/FIQ-203) and a pen recorder (FIR-203) to monitor the influent flow rates.

2.4.1.3. The first ozone contactor (OT-1) was equipped with a high water level switch (LSH-301). LSH-301 signaled the system alarm (Alarm Level I).

2.4.2. Peroxide Feed System

2.4.2.1. Hydrogen peroxide was fed from one of the two day tanks (DT-1/DT-2). Each day tank had a low level switch (LSL-601/LSL-602). A selector switch (LSS-600) was included to determine which day tank was to be in service and thus which level switch was functional. LSL-601/LSL-602 were used to shut off the peroxide metering pumps and signal the system alarm (Alarm Level I). The day tanks were connected to the feed lines using ball valves. When the operator wanted to draw from a specific tank, the appropriate valve was opened and the other tank valve closed. The tank low-level switches LSL-601 and LSL-602 were installed as described, but instrumented together. Both switches were operational at the same time, allowing the operator to withdraw solution from both tanks simultaneously.

2.4.2.2. Chemical mixers (MX-1/MX-2) in the peroxide day tanks were controlled manually at the local switch (see Facility Plan in as-built drawings).

2.4.2.3. All peroxide metering pumps were turned on by a single local switch (HS-603) that was interlocked with the low flow switch (FSL-200). In the "ON" position, the pumps automatically turned on or off. The dosage from each metering pump was adjusted manually from the speed and stroke controls on the pump. A dedicated peroxide metering pump was used for each ozone contactor. All peroxide metering pumps were shut off by the level switch (LSL-601/LSL-602) in the peroxide day tank, and interlocked with the system alarm (Alarm Level I).

2.4.3. Ozone Feed and Destruction Systems

2.4.3.1. Ozone was fed from the ozone generator OG-1. A flow meter (FI-300) with a local indicator and a central ozone monitor (AI-300) was included to track the ozone generation rate. At each injection point, a local rotameter (FI-301 through FI-306) with a manual control valve was used to calibrate the ozone dosage to an individual contactor. On the off-gas line from each ozone contactor, the residual ozone concentrations were monitored with a central ozone monitor (AI-300) to track the actual ozone transfer efficiency. The ozone monitoring worked as planned, allowing the operator to observe the ozone absorption concentrations and to adjust each rotameter during the demonstration testing.

2.4.3.2. The ozonator was equipped with vendor-supplied control panel (LCP) for ozone generation rate control, liquid oxygen (LOX) usage, and cooling water systems. The LCP

was turned on manually and it was interlocked with the low flow switch (FSL-200). The LCP included an ozonator alarm to shut off the ozonator and to initiate the system alarm (Alarm Level I). The ozonator was also interlocked with the system alarm (Alarm Level I). The LCP alarm was triggered by ozonator malfunction, ozone leak, or an LOX feed problem. The vendor-supplied LCP provided all the controls for the ozone generator, but required a remote connection from the main control panel to ensure a shut down of ozone production if there was a main system alarm or a failure of the ozone destruction unit. This connection worked well and was tripped during actual operation.

2.4.3.3. Off-gas from each ozone contactor was forced through the ozone destruction unit (OD-1) prior to discharge. The destruction unit was equipped with vendor-supplied LCP for controls. The LCP was turned on manually and it was interlocked with a low-flow switch (FSL-200) (see as-built drawing I-1). The LCP was equipped with an alarm to shut off the ozone destruction unit and to initiate the system alarm (Alarm Level I). The ozone destruction unit was also interlocked with the system alarm (Alarm Level I). Discharge from the destruction unit was monitored by the central ozone monitor (AI-300), and was tied to a high ozone concentration alarm (Alarm Level II) at the control panel. The off-gas discharge stack included a flow meter (FI-702) with a local indicator to monitor the discharge flow rate through the stack (OGS-1). These features were installed as specified, although the vendor-supplied LCP did not shut off the ozone destruct unit if a low-flow occurred. Since the unit worked independently of all other components, this was not changed.

2.4.4. Effluent Tank

2.4.4.1. Effluent from the last ozone contactor was designed to gravity flow to the effluent tank (TK-2) which was equipped with three level switches. Switch LSHH-501 (which stands for Level Switch High-High-501) signaled a high-high level alarm (Alarm Level II); switch LSH-501 would turn on the effluent transfer pump (P-2), and switch LSL-501 shut off the effluent transfer pump (P-2) and the thiosulfate metering pump (DF-7). LSLL-501 (which stands for Level Switch low-low-501)signaled an alarm (Alarm Level II).

2.4.5. Thiosulfate Feed System

2.4.5.1. Sodium thiosulfate was fed from a day tank (DT-3). The day tank was equipped with a low-level switch (LSL-611). LSL-611 shut off the thiosulfate metering pump and signaled an alarm (Alarm Level II).

2.4.5.2. Chemical mixer (MX-3) in the thiosulfate day tank was turned on manually at the local switch.

2.4.5.3. The thiosulfate metering pump was turned on by a local switch (HS-610) and it was interlocked with the effluent transfer pump (P-2) fail status. The metering pump was adjusted manually from the speed and stroke controls on the pump. The thiosulfate metering pump was turned off from the low-level switch (LSL-611) in the thiosulfate day tank and it was interlocked with the system alarm (Alarm Level I).

2.4.6. Effluent Transfer Systems

2.4.6.1. Effluent transfer pump (P-2) had a hand-off-auto (H-O-A) switch (HS-502) located on the control panel. When the pump was in AUTO, the effluent transfer pump (P-2) was controlled by the level switches (LSH-501 and LSL-501) in the effluent storage tank (TK-2). The pump was interlocked with the system alarm (Alarm Level I). Instead of locating the H-O-A switch on the control panel, it was located by the pump in the field. Otherwise the pump functioned as designed.

2.4.6.2. Each GAC vessel was to be equipped with a pressure gauge fitting to allow visual observation, but the vendor did not supply the gauges. Since this was not an essential parameter to measure, the pressure gauges were left out.

2.4.6.3. Discharge from the Peroxone system was to be monitored through a flow meter (M-2). The flow meter was equipped with a local indicator/totalizer (FIT-701/FIQ-701) and a pen recorder (FIR-701) located at the control panel. This flowmeter was installed down stream of the carbon contactors.

2.4.7. Support Systems

2.4.7.1. The system alarm (Alarm Level I) was designed to shut off the entire system; the alarm status was displayed on the Control Panel (CP-1). An auto-dialer was to be used to

notify the operator of any alarm condition during off-hour operation. The system alarm was connected as designed and successfully shut down the entire system as required. The auto dialer was not installed since the treatment process was monitored full time during the day and would shut down automatically if a failure occurred at night. However, it is noted that no failures or shut-downs occurred during the testing period.

2.4.7.2. Alarm Level II was displayed on the Control Panel (CP-1); however, Alarm Level II would automatically re-set when the alarm condition disappears. This worked as planned with the annunciation light coming on during each Level II alarm.

2.5 TREATMENT PAD

2.5.0.1. The treatment pad was sized to accommodate all components of the Peroxone system except for the liquid oxygen tank and chemical storage. The treatment pad was designed for a seismic zone 1 and for other local conditions per the Uniform Building Code (UBC).

2.5.0.2. A 12-inch berm was provided on all sides of the pad for secondary containment. The containment pad and the berm were designed to provide adequate capacity to hold the volume of all contactors and GAC vessels plus 10 percent. The berm and the pad were constructed as designed and were poured monolithic allowing for a more water-tight structure.

3.0 PEROXONE SYSTEM CONSTRUCTION

3.0.0.1. This section contains a review of the construction portion of the Peroxone system demonstration program. It is organized chronologically by weekly progress. This discussion contains information about successful components of the construction process as well as lessons learned during assembly of the system.

3.1 ADVANCE PREPARATION

3.1.1. Procurement

3.1.1.1. During development of the conceptual design for the system, it was proposed that the individual components be shipped to Montgomery Watson's test facility in California and pre-assembled to ensure proper operation at the CAAP. Montgomery Watson suggested that the schedule could be expedited if the system was assembled and tested on site using a field engineer. After agreement that the schedule was tight and the system should be assembled on site, it became necessary to procure equipment and services immediately as the design was being developed. In order to allow ten weeks of operation before winter set in, it was necessary to construct the system within a window of four to five weeks.

3.1.1.2. Early procurement involved ordering and fabricating the contactor vessels two months ahead of the scheduled construction period. Since the design was in process, the design group was diverted to focus on the long lead items first. The fabrication company was enlisted to help with detailed design issues and the contactors were designed in parallel with the rest of the treatment system. The same approach was used to select the ozone generator.

3.1.1.3. The design documents were abbreviated in detail to expedite the schedule. Any details that were not shown on the design drawings were completed by the on-site Montgomery Watson engineer during field fabrication. This expedited approach saved three weeks in additional engineering time and reduced the subsequent cost of engineering.

3.1.1.4. As soon as the size, model, and the manufacturer of each component were decided, the design was sent out for procurement and scheduled for delivery to the project site during the construction window. Advance procurement of all components proved to

be successful, with all equipment arriving before or within the first week of construction. A collection of photographs showing assembly of the system components is included in Appendix A.

3.1.2. Slab Preparation

3.1.2.1. The concrete containment slab for the treatment system required 28 days to cure. Advance procurement of a local subcontractor was necessary to ensure that the slab was ready for system installation as soon as the equipment and materials arrived on the site. A local engineering company was hired to inspect the steel reinforcing prior to pouring concrete, and to take quality control samples during placement of the concrete. The concrete slab was poured the week of 12 July 1996.

3.2 CONSTRUCTION CHRONOLOGY

3.2.1. Extraction Wells

3.2.1.1. Although the original project scope called for the use of three wells for the demonstration testing, the plan was changed to utilize only two wells just before start of construction. The wells had already been installed and developed during the previous studies, so they only required installation of pumps and piping. Both wells were expected to produce 25 gpm in order to operate the treatment system at the design flow rate. After the wells were connected and pumping started, it was discovered that Well 66 would not produce more than 13 to 15 gpm of flow. This reduced flowrate was factored into the demonstration testing. Piping to the wells was completed 19 July 1996, and the pumps were installed and connected 9 August 1996.

3.2.2. Ozone Generator

3.2.2.1. The ozone generator was delivered to the site and set up on 23 July 1996. The liquid oxygen tank for the generator was delivered and set up 25 July 1996 by Linweld, a local oxygen supplier.

3.2.3. Contactors

3.2.3.1. The first three contactors were delivered on 26 July 1996 and the first contactor was set the same day. Piping to the first three contactors was completed 29 July 1996.

The last three contactors were delivered 30 July 1996 and were set and plumbed by 2 August 1996.

3.2.4. Activated Carbon Vessels

3.2.4.1. Three carbon vessels were delivered to the project site on 1 August 1996. They were placed on the pad and connected 7 August 1996.

3.2.5. Reverse Osmosis Unit

3.2.5.1. A reverse osmosis (RO) treatment unit was leased to produce deionized make-up water for the hydrogen peroxide solution. The reverse osmosis unit was delivered and set up 8 August 1996. The unit was leased from Culligan's local distributor.

3.2.6. Sodium Thiosulfate Feed System

3.2.6.1. This system consisted of a chemical metering pump, a mixing tank and a mixer, level controls and the associated piping. The assembly was installed during 5 to 8 August 1996.

3.2.7. Chemical Feed Pumps

3.2.7.1. Six chemical feed pumps were set up and connected to supply and delivery tubing on 5 and 6 August 1996.

3.2.8. Piping and Fittings

3.2.8.1. All piping and fittings for the Peroxone system were connected by the end of the week of 8 August 1996. This included the stainless steel ozone delivery lines, the well water delivery lines, the effluent piping, and the RO effluent water piping.

3.2.9. Water System

3.2.9.1. The potable water system was connected on 7 August 1996. The piping was tested that day for leaks and repaired as required.

3.2.10. Power

3.2.10.1. The power company set the main power supply pole and the three-phase transformers during 29 July 1996 to 2 August 1996. Power conduit and wiring from the control panel was run to the equipment on the pad the week of 5 August 1996. Power connection to the system was completed 6 August 1996.

3.2.11. Instrumentation and Controls

3.2.11.1. Instrumentation wiring was pulled in with the power wiring the week of 5 August 1996. The instrumentation was connected and tested the week of 12 August through 17 August 1996.

3.3 STARTUP

3.3.0.1 Startup of the Peroxone system was divided into three efforts; clean water testing, debugging, and optimization. Clean water testing is discussed below. Debugging and optimization are discussed in Section 4.0.

3.3.1. Clean Water Testing

3.3.1.1. As individual portions of the system were completed they were tested prior to startup. First the conveyance piping was connected to the contactors. The piping and the contactors were then filled with clean water and hydraulically tested for leaks. Then all tanks, piping and tubing on the pad were filled and checked prior to debugging. The carbon vessels were filled with water and the air pressure in each vessel was bled off until they flowed smoothly. The connections were then completed between each GAC vessel to check for leaks under operating pressure. This took place 12 through 14 August 1996.

3.3.1.2. Once the tanks, piping, tubing, and pumps were checked and all repairs completed, the system was turned over to the operator for debugging and optimization testing.
4.0 PEROXONE SYSTEM TESTING PROGRAM

4.1 INTRODUCTION

4.1.0.1. This section describes the testing program implemented at the Peroxone groundwater treatment system. The testing approach and analytical methods used in the program are first described, followed by the experimental conditions and results obtained from each of the optimization and demonstration testing programs. Finally, a mathematical model describing the destruction of TNT, TNB, and RDX with ozone/hydrogen peroxide is proposed. The model is then calibrated using the optimization and the demonstration testing results.

4.1.0.2. The following legend has been used for figures in this section:

| INF | - | Influent Concentration |
|------------|---|---|
| C 1 | - | Effluent Concentration from Contactor 1 |
| C2 | - | Effluent Concentration from Contactor 2 |
| C3 | - | Effluent Concentration from Contactor 3 |
| C4 | - | Effluent Concentration from Contactor 4 |
| C5 | - | Effluent Concentration from Contactor 5 |
| C6 | - | Effluent Concentration from Contactor 6 |
| | | |

4.2 TESTING APPROACH

4.2.0.1. The overall testing program extended over a period of 12 weeks. After the plant was constructed and all the equipment was installed, the demonstration plant operators conducted three primary tasks: (1) System Debugging, (2) System Optimization, and (3) System Demonstration. The following is a brief description of each task, and they are discussed in more detail later in this section.

4.2.1 Task 1 — System Debugging

4.2.1.1 During this task, which extended over two weeks, the plant pumps and chemical feed systems were started up at a low flow rate (approximately 10 gpm) using tap water, and checked for any water or chemical leaks. The system was also checked for malfunctions of chemical feed equipment and shut-down alarms. After the leaks and malfunctions were adjusted, the flowrate through the plant was continuously increased until the design flow of 25 gpm was reached. The plant was then operated at the design flowrate for a period of two days. During this period all water and chemical feed equipment were checked for operational stability. Tracer testing was also conducted during this phase to characterize the hydraulic residence time distribution of the system. A summary of significant problems and their resolution is discussed in Section 4.5.5.

4.2.2 Task 2 — System Optimization

4.2.2.1 During this task, which also lasted for two weeks, process optimization testing was conducted using water from each of the two test wells. Process optimization involved operating the system at various ozone doses and hydraulic retention times, collecting water samples from the effluent of each of the six contactors, as well as from the wall taps along the water depth of the first contactor, and analyzing them for ozone residual and explosives. The applied ozone dose tested ranged from 38 mg/L to 115 mg/L. The flowrate tested ranged from 13 gpm to 25 gpm. Steady-state conditions were reached (a minimum of 3 hydraulic retention times) before any operational parameter was changed. These optimization tests were used to determine the operating conditions that would result in the reduction of the target contaminants to the desired effluent quality.

4.2.3 Task 3 — System Demonstration

4.2.3.1 During this task, which was conducted over a period of eight weeks, the system was operated under two sets of conditions using water from New TRW Well only.

4-2

System demonstration involved operating the system at constant conditions over an extended period of time, collecting water samples from the effluent of each of the six contactors, and analyzing them for ozone residual, pH, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), and explosives concentrations. These tests served to demonstrate that the system can achieve the anticipated performance on a long-term basis.

4.3 ANALYTICAL METHODS

4.3.0.1. Samples were taken from the Peroxone system influent, the effluent from each ozone contactor, and the effluent of the granular activated carbon (GAC) contactors on a daily basis. The following analyses were routinely conducted on these samples during the demonstration project:

- explosives
- nitrate
- ozone residual
- hydrogen peroxide residual
- oxidation reduction potential (ORP)
- pH
- temperature.

4.3.0.2. Samples were packaged in insulated containers, cooled with ice, and shipped to GP Environmental Labs in Gaithersburg, MD for analysis. A total of 15 explosives contaminants were reported including the three target compounds: TNT, TNB, and RDX. The mass sum of all the compounds analyzed for with EPA Method 8330 and reported by GP Environmental Labs was referred to as Total Nitrobodies. Nitrate samples were routinely taken from the influent and each contactor effluent. These samples were analyzed by GP Environmental Labs using EPA Method 9056. Ozone residual analyses were conducted on site using Standard Method 4500-O₃B Indigo colorimetric method. A known volume of Indigo Reagent II was drawn into a 10-mL gas-tight glass syringe. The remaining volume in the syringe was filled with the sample being analyzed.

absorbance of the mixture at 610 nm was then determined with a Hach DR-700 colorimeter. The hydrogen peroxide residual was measured using the method described by Masschelein et al. (1977).¹ Oxidation-reduction potentials were performed using proposed Standard Method 2580 (ORP). An Orion Model 9678BN oxidation-reduction probe and Orion model 920 ion selective electrode meter were used. pH analyses were conducted on site using a Hach EC-10 portable pH meter and probe with automatic temperature compensation. The temperature of samples was measured using an alcohol thermometer graduated in 1 degree centigrade increments and was recorded during the determination of the oxidation-reduction potential. Tracer tests using Fluosilicic Acid were conducted at process flow rates of 13 and 25 gpm. Fluoride analyses were conducted during tracer testing using Standard Method 4500F. An Orion fluoride probe, Model 9609BN, and Orion model 920 ion selective electrode meter were used.

4.3.0.3. In addition, numerous analyses were conducted by GP Environmental Labs on a less frequent basis. The analyses conducted and methods used are listed below:

| • | Volatile Organic Compounds | EPA Method 8260 |
|---|--|------------------------|
| • | Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds | EPA Method 8270 |
| • | Iron, Calcium, Magnesium, Manganese | SW846, EPA Method 6010 |
| • | Nitrate, Nitrite and Sulfate | EPA Method 9056 |
| • | Carbonate, Bicarbonate, Ammonia, | |
| | & Phosphorous, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | Standard Method 4500 |
| • | Total Suspended Solids, Total Dissolved Solids | Standard Method 2540 |
| • | Alkalinity | Standard Method 2320 |
| • | Total Organic Carbon | Standard Method 5310 |
| | | |

4.3.0.4. It should be noted that all analytical results were proven to be reliable. The QA/QC data for the project are listed in the Independent Evaluator's report.

¹ Masschelein, W.; M. Denis, and R. Ledent, "Spectrophotometric Determination of Residual Hydrogen Peroxide", *Journal of Water & Sewage Works*, pp. 69-72 (August, 1977).

4.4 GROUNDWATER QUALITY

4.4.0.1. During the beginning of the optimization testing, groundwater samples were collected and analyzed for various general physical/mineral water quality parameters, as well as an array of volatile organic chemicals (VOC). The results of the general/mineral analyses are listed in Table 4-1. Both waters can be characterized as relatively high alkalinity, high hardness waters. The results suggest that Well #66 water had a substantially lower organic content than New TRW Well water.

Table 4-1

| | | Val | ue |
|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------|
| Parameter | Unit | New TRW Well | Well #66 |
| Alkalinity | mg/L as CaCO ₃ | 311 | 326 |
| Nitrate | mg/L | 1.41 | 9.51 |
| Ammonia | mg/L | 0.29 | 13.6 |
| Calcium | mg/L | 63.6 | 82.5 |
| Iron | μg/L | < 52 | < 52 |
| Magnesium | mg/L | 10.7 | 16.8 |
| Manganese | mg/L | 0.637 | 0.564 |
| Total Phosphorous | mg/L as P | 0.301 | 0.668 |
| Total Dissolved Solids | mg/L | 452 | BKN |
| Total Organic Carbon | mg/L | 5.32 | 1.92 |
| pH | <u> </u> | 7.0 | 7.0 |

General Physical/Mineral Groundwater Quality Characteristics

BKN: Broken Sample Vial

4.4.0.2. The types and concentrations of the synthetic organic chemicals (SOCs) analyzed for during the optimization testing period are listed in Table 4-2. The results show that waters from New TRW Well and Well #66 did not contain VOCs above the compound-specific detection limits.

4.4.0.3. In addition, during the optimization testing period and the demonstration testing period, the influent water to the Peroxone treatment system was analyzed daily for explosives, including the target contaminants of TNT, TNB, and RDX. The average concentration and range of each of these compounds, as well as the sum of Total Nitrobodies measured in New TRW Well and Well #66 waters are listed in Table 4-3 for each of the optimization and demonstration testing periods. The results are also plotted in

Figure 4-1 on the following page. The analytical results showed that the contaminant concentrations varied significantly throughout the testing period. This wide variation impacted the ability to interpret the Peroxone system performance data since reaching steady-state conditions was virtually impossible. In addition, the data presented in Table 4-3 and Figure 4-1 show that the influent concentrations of all contaminants decreased substantially from the beginning of the project (during the optimization period) to the end of the project (Phase II demonstration period). This should also be considered when comparing the performance of the Peroxone system under the different conditions of the optimization period and the demonstration period. For optimum data analysis, no average influent concentrations were used, but rather each effluent concentration was coupled with its corresponding influent value. In order to facilitate the comparison of system performance under different influent contaminants' concentrations, the performance was expressed in terms of percent removal and not as effluent concentration values.

4.4.0.4. In addition, the analytical results show that the concentration of nitrate in the groundwater decreased through the testing period. Figure 4-2 shows a plot of the daily average concentration of nitrate in the New TRW Well water during Phases 1 and 2 of the demonstration period. The results show that the daily average nitrate level continuously decreased from a high of 2.3 mg/L at the beginning of Phase 1 of the demonstration period to a low of 0.6 mg/L at the end of Phase 2 of the demonstration period. It should be noted that this decrease in influent nitrate level should not have had any impact on the process performance since no reaction between ozone and nitrate is expected because nitrate is the highest oxidation state for nitrogen.



Raw Water Levels of TNT, TNB, RDX, and Total Nitrobodies

Figure 4-1



Nitrate Concentrations in the New TRW Well Water During the Demonstration Period

Figure 4-2

Table 4-2

| | Detection | | Detection |] | Detection |
|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Chemical | (µg/L) | Chemical | (µg/L) | Chemical | (µg/L) |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 10.5 | Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 10.5 | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethan | e 5 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 10.5 | Benzo[g,h,i]perylene | 10.5 | 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 5 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 10.5 | Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 10.5 | 1,1-Dichloroethane | 5 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 10.5 | Benzyl alcohol | 10.5 | 1,1-Dichloroethene | 5 |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | 52.5 | bis(2-Chloroethoxy) methane | 10.5 | 1,2-Dichloroethane | 5 |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 10.5 | bis(2-Chloroethyl) ether | 10.5 | 1,2-Dichloropropane | 5 |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | 10.5 | bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether | 10.5 | 2-Butanone | 10 |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | 10.5 | bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate | 10.5 | 2-Chloroethylvinyl ether | · 10 |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 52.5 | Butyl benzyl phthalate | 10.5 | 2-Hexanone | 10 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 10.5 | Chrysene | 10.5 | 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | 10 |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | 10.5 | di-n-Butylphthalate | 10.5 | Acetone | 10 |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | 10.5 | di-n-Octylphthalate | 10.5 | Benzene | 5 |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 10.5 | Dibenzofuran | 10.5 | Bromodichloromethane | 5 |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 10.5 | Dibenz[a,h]anthracene | 10.5 | Bromoform | 5 |
| 2-Methylphenol | 10.5 | Diethylphthalate | 10.5 | Bromomethane | 10 |
| 2-Nitroaniline | 52.5 | Dimethyl phthalate | 10.5 | Carbon tetrachloride | 5 |
| 2-Nitrophenol | 10.5 | Fluoranthene | 10.5 | Chlorobenzene | 5 |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | 21 | Fluorene | 10.5 | Chloroethane | 10 |
| 3-Nitroaniline | 52.5 | Hexachlorobenzene | 10.5 | Chloroform | 5 |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | 52.5 | Hexachlorobutadiene | 10.5 | Chloromethane | 10 |
| 4-Bromophenyl-phenylethe | r 10.5 | Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 10.5 | cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 5 |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | 10.5 | Hexachloroethane | 10.5 | Dibromochloromethane | 5 |
| 4-Chloroaniline | 10.5 | Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | 10.5 | Ethylbenzene | 5 |
| 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ethe | r 10.5 | Isophorone | 10.5 | Methylene chloride | 5 |
| 4-Methylphenol | 10.5 | N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | 10.5 | Styrene | 5 |
| 4-Nitroaniline | 52.5 | N-nitrosodiphenylamine | 10.5 | Tetrachloroethene | 5 |
| 4-Nitrophenol | 52.5 | Naphthalene | 10.5 | Toluene | 5 |
| Acenaphthene | 10.5 | Nitrobenzene | 10.5 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | e 5 |
| Acenaphthylene | 10.5 | Pentachlorophenol | 52.5 | trans-1,3-Dichloroproper | ne 5 |
| Anthracene | 10.5 | Phenanthrene | 10.5 | Trichloroethene | 5 |
| Benzoic acid | 52.5 | Phenol | 10.5 | Vinyl Acetate | 10 |
| Benzo[a]anthracene | 10.5 | Pyrene | 10.5 | Vinyl chloride | 10 |
| Benzo[a]pyrene | 10.5 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 5 | Xylenes (total) | 5 |

Types and Concentrations of SOCs in New TRW Well and Well #66 Waters (All Concentrations Were Below the Indicated Detection Limits)

Table 4-3

•

Concentrations of TNT, TNB, RDX, and Total Nitrobodies (in μ g/L) in the Test Groundwater

| | TNT | TNB | RDX | Total Nitrobodies |
|--------------------------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|----------------------|
| Optimization Task - New TRW W | ell | | | |
| No. of Samples | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Average | 733 | 453 | 54.1 | 1624 |
| Std Deviation | 168 | 124 | 10.7 | 398 |
| Median | 692 | 456 | 52.0 | 1520 |
| Range | 517 - 1200 | 134 - 711 | 41.5 - 74.4 | 978 - 2570 |
| Optimization Task - Well #66 | | | | |
| No. of Samples | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Average | 515 | 398 | 24.7 | 1083 |
| Std Deviation | 99 | 195 | 5.1 | 270 |
| Median | 508 | 461 | 27.6 | 1120 |
| Range | 335 - 645 | 114 - 711 | 14.4 - 29.7 | 755 - 1560 |
| Demonstration Task Phase 1 - New | TRW Well | | | |
| No. of Samples | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 |
| Average | 437 | 397 | 33.0 | 1005 |
| Std Deviation | 97 | 67 | 6.1 | 190 |
| Median | 423 | 395 | 32.9 | 972 |
| Range | 114 - 692 | 119 - 540 | 10.4 - 49.5 | 285 - 1470 |
| Demonstration Task Phase 2 - New | TRW Well | | | |
| No. of Samples | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Average | 312 | 346 | 22.5 | 758 |
| Std Deviation | 64 | 57 | 6.1 | 135 |
| Median | 297.5 | 336.5 | 21.8 | 729 |
| Range | 198 - 538 | 233 - 546 | 0.01 - 38.8 | 535 - 1200 |

4.5 SYSTEM DEBUGGING

4.5.1. Objectives

4.5.1.1. The objectives of this two-week task were as follows:

- Start up the demonstration plant
- Ensure that all its components were fully operational
- Calibrate all chemical feed systems
- Test all alarms and emergency shut-down systems
- Check for leaks and malfunctions.

4.5.1.2. A description of the tests that were conducted in this task is described below.

4.5.2 System Startup

4.5.2.1. Following the initial hydraulic testing done after construction was complete, tap water was pumped into the system at a flowrate of 25 gpm to fill up the six contactors with water.

4.5.2.2. The water was then adjusted to a flow rate of 10 gpm. The ozone system was turned on, and ozone was fed to the six contactors at 40 percent of capacity. Soap-Bubble tests were conducted on all gas-phase pipe connections outside the ozone generator, ozone monitor, and ozone destruction unit. While ozone was being fed to the system, the hydrogen peroxide feed system to the six contactors was turned on. The peroxide system was checked for any hydrogen peroxide leaks. Any leaks discovered in the ozone system or the hydrogen peroxide system resulted in shutdown and draining of the system, and the leaks were repaired. This test was repeated until both feed systems were void of detectable leaks.

4.5.2.3. After all system components were checked for leaks, tap water flow rate was increased gradually to 25 gpm, accompanied by a corresponding increase in the ozone generator setting and hydrogen peroxide feed rates to deliver the design doses of 330 mg/L ozone and 108 mg/L hydrogen peroxide. The system was operated under these conditions for a period of 30 minutes during which a final leak check was conducted on all system components. These procedures were repeated three times over a period of 2 days until all ozone and hydraulic leaks were corrected.

4.5.3 Equipment Calibration

4.5.3.1. The following instruments and monitoring equipment were calibrated during this task:

- Influent water flowmeter
- Hydrogen peroxide metering pumps
- Ozone monitor

4.5.3.2. Influent Water Flowmeter. The influent flow meter was calibrated with tap water using a 55-gallon polyethylene drum. A total of three (3) indicated flow rates were evaluated: 10, 18, and 25 gpm. A constant flow rate was allowed through the meter. The water was diverted from the effluent of the first contactor through a flexible hose to the drain. After 10 minutes of steady flow, the water was diverted into the 55-gallon calibration drum. Time was kept using a stopwatch until the 50 gallon mark was reached. The ratio of 50 gallons divided by the fill time (in minutes) constituted the actual flowrate value in gpm. This test was repeated in triplicate for each of the three flow rates. Once the calibration curve was developed, the "actual" flow rate, instead of the "indicated" flow rate, was used in all subsequent testing.

4.5.3.3. Hydrogen Peroxide Metering Pumps. Hydrogen peroxide metering pumps were calibrated according to the manufacturer's recommendation: the pump stroke was adjusted to a level that produced the desired output at an approximate speed setting of 60 percent. The output per stroke was then calculated by measuring the volume drawn

from a 1-liter graduated cylinder over a 100-stroke period. This procedure was repeated for each pump. The approximate required pump setting during testing was set by calculating the pump stroke rate required to produce the desired output. This output was then verified with a 1-Liter graduated cylinder on a daily basis.

4.5.3.4. Ozone Monitor. The ozone monitor was factory-calibrated by the manufacturer at the beginning of the study.

4.5.4 Alarm Checks

4.5.4.1 The Peroxone treatment system contained several operational safety alarms, including the following:

- Low process flow alarm
- Overflow alarm on the first contactor
- Containment pad spill alarm
- Three chemical feed tank low-level alarms
- Ozone generator failure plant shutdown alarm
- Ozone destruct failure alarm
- Numerous ozone generator alarms.

4.5.4.2. All of the above alarms were checked prior to system startup.

4.5.5. Summary of Problems

4.5.5.1. During debugging, several minor problems were identified and corrected:

- 1. The influent gas lines to the contactors kept filling with water that backed up through the rotameters into the tubing; water almost flowed into the header piping. To remedy this problem the gas tubing was lengthened and run up above the top of the contactor to prevent water from backing up the tubing higher than the water level in the contactor vessel.
- 2. Several CPVC fittings on the off-gas analyzer tubing connections began to dissolve from contact with the ozone gas mixture. These were replaced with teflon or stainless steel fittings.

- 3. The metering pumps were inconsistent in flowrate. The problem was diagnosed as a loss in prime to the pumps. This was remedied by lowering the feed piping from the hydrogen peroxide day tanks and installing back-pressure control valves on the pump intake lines. This correction prevented a break in suction to the pumps, and prevented them from losing their prime.
- 4. The ozone generator had two failure episodes where the control panel showed a high DC voltage alarm. This problem was traced to the main power source which was delivered at 500 volts rather than the 480 volt service requested. The over-voltage burned out several components in the control panel which resulted in the need to bring a representative from the manufacturer to the site for repairs and calibration. Repairs were made and the inlet voltage was adjusted to a proper operating level within the DC transformer that fed the ozone generator vessel. Repairs to the generator were made 27 and 28 August 1996.
- 5. The north well pump (Well No. 66) kept shutting down with an overload. The motor starter was adjusted to a higher amperage trip-out and restarted. The pump ran without interruption, but the influent groundwater stream was filled with air bubbles after a few minutes of operation. The water level was checked in the well and it revealed that the well was not producing enough water to maintain a flow of 25 gpm as expected. The well was pressurized to improve the yield and the pump was lowered slightly. The remedy for this well was to reduce the flowrate to about 15 gpm to maintain a sustained flow.
- 6. The rotameters controlling the gas flow into the contactors were beginning to cloud up and could not be read easily. The acrylic bodies were not holding up to the concentration of ozone in the feed gas. This was remedied by replacing the original rotameters with glass-bodied units.
- 7. The gas flow into the contactors was not producing the fine bubble mist in the water that was anticipated. Closer inspection revealed that the manufacturer had sent the wrong kind of gaskets to seal the diffuser stones to the gas header in the contactors, and that two of the twelve stones were cracked and defective. This resulted in ozone leaking around the connections and forming

large bubbles in the tanks. The problem was remedied by replacing the defective stones and gaskets.

4.6 SYSTEM OPTIMIZATION

4.6.0.1. The objective of the system optimization phase was to run the Peroxone system under varying conditions of water source, water flow rate (i.e., varying reaction times), and ozone doses to determine the impact of these variable conditions on the destruction of TNT, TNB, RDX, and total nitrobodies through the system. The following is a discussion of the experimental conditions used and results obtained.

4.6.1 Experimental Conditions

4.6.1.1. The experimental conditions evaluated during the two-week optimization program are outlined in Table 4-4. A total of 10 tests were conducted. Six of these tests (Tests #1 through #4 and Tests #9 and #10) were conducted on New TRW Well water, while the remaining four tests (Tests #5 through #8) were conducted on Well #66 water. The flow rates tested were 13 gpm, 18 gpm, and 25 gpm, which equate to average hydraulic retention times (HRT) of 46 minutes, 33 minutes, and 24 minutes, respectively, in each of the six contactors. The total applied ozone dose ranged from a low of 228 mg/L (38 mg/L per contactor) to a high of 690 mg/L (115 mg/L per contactor). To achieve these doses, the percent ozone in the oxygen feed gas ranged from a low of 3.3 percent to a high of 10.5 percent. The applied ozone dose was varied by changing the ozone concentration in the feed gas while maintaining a constant feed gas flow rate. Note that the ozone generator was designed to deliver 55 mg/L ozone per contactor at 25 gpm flow rate. Therefore, in order to increase the applied ozone dose to greater than 55 mg/L, the water flow rate had to be decreased below its design value of 25 gpm, which in turn increased the HRT value through the contactors. Therefore, for ozone doses greater than 55 mg/L, two variables - ozone dose and contact time - had to be varied simultaneously, which is not ideal for an optimization testing program. Therefore, when analyzing the results of the study, it is important that comparisons be made between tests that differed by only one variable at a time.

4.6.1.2. As shown later in this report, two of the independent variables, hydrogen peroxide dose and water source, had little to no effect on the performance of the Peroxone process for explosives treatment within the range of values tested. The only two remaining independent variables were 1) water flow rate, and 2) ozone dose (which is a direct result of changing the percent ozone in the feed gas stream). Therefore, it was realized that simultaneously changing these variables during the optimization testing was not an ideal experimental approach. However, as mentioned above, the limitation of the ozone generator capacity forced the project team to lower the flow rate in order to achieve a higher ozone dose. In order to overcome this shortcoming, the project team relied on mathematical modeling as opposed to direct analysis of the results. Thus, an empirical mathematical model was developed specifically for this project. The model, which is presented and discussed later in this report, focused on both the ozone dose and the hydraulic behavior (including the water flow rate) in interpreting and sorting through all the results of the optimization and demonstration tasks. Once the model was calibrated with the experimental results, it was then used to optimize the design of the 1000-gpm facility. The project team believes that this approach eliminated the concern over the impact of simultaneous variation of the ozone dose and water flow rate through the system on the ability to interpret the experimental results.

4.6.1.3. During Tests #1 through #8, the hydrogen peroxide feed rate was varied with the ozone dose in order to maintain a mass Peroxone ratio of approximately 0.3 (i.e., 0.3 mg hydrogen peroxide per mg of ozone transferred to the water).⁺ This ratio was based on the stoichiometry of reaction between ozone and hydrogen peroxide. However, the ozone residual concentration measured in the effluent of each contactor was substantially higher than expected (greater than 1 mg/L). Accordingly, the Peroxone ratio during Tests #9 and #10 had to be increased to approximately 0.54 and 0.47 respectively, in order to maintain the ozone residual in the effluent of each contactor at less than 1 mg/L. There is no explanation at this point as to why this ratio is significantly higher than the commonly used stoichiometric ratio of 0.3. However, it is important to note that the ozone doses

[†] All H₂O₂/O₃ ratios or Peroxone ratio presented in this report are based on a mass ratio of hydrogen peroxide dose to transferred ozone dose. A mass ratio of 0.3 mg/mg is equivalent to a molar ratio of 0.42 mole/mole.

used in this treatment system are almost two orders of magnitude higher than those used in conventional ozone applications in drinking water treatment, where the bulk of the industry's understanding of ozone/hydrogen peroxide reaction chemistry was developed. It is likely that the reactions at such high ozone doses may vary from those experienced at the low ozone doses, resulting in an increase in the optimum Peroxone ratio.

4.6.2 Experimental Results

4.6.2.1. The results obtained during the optimization period are listed in Appendix B.² The data show that TNB was the critical compound in that it was the most difficult to oxidize compared to TNT or RDX. Table 4-5 shows a summary of the results for New TRW Well. The objective of this table is to show the impact of ozone dose and HRT on the average percent removal of TNB through each of the six contactors. For example, Tests #1 and #10 had similar average transferred ozone doses to each contactor (within 10% difference). However, the contact time through each contactor in Test #10 was 46 minutes compared to 24 minutes in Test #1. Although the contact time was doubled while maintaining the same transferred ozone dose, the average percent removal only increased from 39 percent to 49 percent. This is primarily due to the fact that each contactor is completely mixed as will be shown and discussed later in this section. It is also noted that the ozone transfer efficiency varied from a low of 62% to a high of 82%.

4.6.2.2. On the other hand, comparison of Tests #4, #9, and #10 shows the impact of increased transferred ozone dose on TNB removal at a constant average HRT of 46 minutes through each contactor. As the dose was increased from 31 mg/L, to 42 mg/L, to 80 mg/L, the percent TNB removal increased from 36%, to 49%, to 62%, respectively.

² As noted earlier, the QA/QC results for explosives analysis are included in the Independent Evaluator's report for ESTCP titled: "Peroxone Demonstration: Performance and Cost Evaluation"

Table 4-4

| Test # | Well | Flow gpm | HRT min | Total Applied O ₃ Dose mg/L | Percent Ozone | H ₂ O ₂ Dose mg/L | Peroxone Ratio* (mg/mg) |
|--------|---------|-------------|------------|---|------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| | | | | 2(0 | 10.10 | 07 | 0.20 |
| 1 | New TRW | 25 | 24 | 360 | 10.1% | 87 | 0.39 |
| 2 | New TRW | 18 | 33 | 390 | 7.9% | 90 | 0.30 |
| 3 | New TRW | 18 | 33 | 510 | 10.2% | 108 | 0.30 |
| 4 | New TRW | 13 | 46 | 690 | 10.5% | 135 | 0.27 |
| 9 | New TRW | 13 | 46 | 228 | 3.3% | 101 | 0.54 |
| 10 | New TRW | 13 | 46 | 336 | 4.9% | 119 | 0.46 |
| 5 | #66 | 18 | 33 | 258 | 5.5% | 54 | 0.31 |
| 6 | #66 | 18 | 33 | 390 | 7.9% | 90 | 0.31 |
| 7 | #66 | 18 | 33 | 510 | 10.2% | 108 | 0.29 |
| 8 | #66 | 13 | 46 | 690 | 10.5% | 135 | 0.27 |

Experimental Conditions Used During the System Optimization Program

* The Peroxone Ratio is calculated as the ratio of hydrogen peroxide dose (in mg/L) to the transferred ozone dose (in mg/L)

Table 4-5

Summary of the Optimization Results for TNB Removal From New TRW Well Water

| Test # | Ave. Transferred O ₃ Dose/Chamber mg/L | Ozone Transfer Efficiency | Ave. HRT min | Average C_{eff}/C_{inf} | Average % TNB Removal |
|----------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | 37 | 62% | 24 | 0.61 | 39% |
| $\overline{2}$ | 48 | 74% | 33 | 0.62 | 38% |
| 3 | 57 | 67% | 33 | 0.35 | 65% |
| 4 | 80 | 70% | 46 | 0.38 | 62% |
| 9 | 31 | 82% | 46 | 0.64 | 36% |
| 10 | 42 | 75% | 46 | 0.51 | 49% |

4.6.2.3. The results from Tests #2 and #3 are unusual in that the changes in TNB removal do not reflect the changes in the transferred ozone dose and/or hydraulic retention time. For example, comparing the operating conditions of Test #2 to those of Test #1 show an

increase in the average transferred ozone dose from 37 mg/L to 48 mg/L per contactor with a parallel increase in the hydraulic contact time from 24 minutes to 33 minutes. However, there was no change in the average percent removal of TNB. Similarly, while the average transferred ozone dose and average contact time in Test #3 were significantly lower than those in Test #4, the average percent TNB removal was higher in Test #3. Examination of the raw data sheets from Tests #2 and #3 shows a substantial scatter in the TNB removal data, which may explain the observed anomalies in the summary results presented in Table 4-5.

4.6.2.4. These observations are important because they have direct implications to the design of the full-scale Peroxone treatment system. For example, based on the percent removals listed in Table 4-5, Table 4-6 lists various configurations of Peroxone treatment system required to achieve 99% removal of TNB. It is important to note that the configurations listed in Table 4-6 are simulated based on the average percent TNB removal observed during the optimization task.

4.6.2.5. Some interesting observations are made from the configurations listed in Table 4-6. The first and fourth configurations have virtually identical total hydraulic residence times, which means the same size contactor. However, increasing the number of chambers from five to nine, and reducing the contactor HRT from 46 minutes to 24 minutes, increased the contactor efficiency and reduced the required total transferred ozone dose from 400 mg/L to 333 mg/L, approximately 17% reduction in required ozone capacity.

4.6.2.6. Four optimization tests were also conducted on Well #66 water. The summary of these results are listed in Table 4-7. The results from Test #6 were highly scattered, and are thus not listed in Table 4-7.

Table 4-6

| Number of Chambers | HRT/Chamber minutes | Total HRT hrs | Transf. Ozone Dose/Chamber mg/L | Total Transf. Ozone Dose, mg/L |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 9 | 24 | 3.6 | 37 | 333 |
| 10 | 46 | 7.7 | 31 | 310 |
| 7 | 46 | 5.4 | 42 | 294 |
| 5 | 46 | 3.8 | 80 | 400 |

Simulated Peroxone Treatment System Configurations That Would be Required to Achieve 99% Removal of TNB

Note: The values listed in this table were estimated based on linear extrapolation of the experimental results reported in Table 4-5.

Table 4-7

Summary of the Optimization Results for TNB Removal From Well #66 Water

| Test # | Ave. Transferred O, Dose/Chamber mg/L | Ave. HRT min | $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Average} \\ \textbf{C}_{eff} / \textbf{C}_{inf} \end{array}$ | Average % TNB Removal |
|--------|---|-----------------|--|-----------------------------|
| 5 | 27 | 33 | 0.82 | 18% |
| 7 | 60 | 33 | 0.74 | 26% |
| 8 | 80 | 46 | 0.38 | 62% |

4.6.2.7. Due to the anomalies observed in Tests #2 and #3 during New TRW Well testing (as discussed earlier in paragraph 4.6.2.3), the results of Tests #5 and #7 cannot be reliably used to compare the performance of the Peroxone treatment system on Well #66 water to that on New TRW Well water. However, the operational conditions of Test #8 (i.e., transferred ozone dose and average contact time) using Well #66 water were similar to those of Test #4 using New TRW Well water. The corresponding TNB removal in the two waters was identical at 62%, suggesting that the performance of the Peroxone treatment system was independent of the water quality differences between New TRW Well and Well #66. It is interesting to note that the results suggest that the HRT had a more significant impact on TNB removal than the ozone dose.

4.7 SYSTEM DEMONSTRATION

4.7.0.1. Based on the results of the optimization testing, two sets of operating conditions were selected for the demonstration testing. In addition, after discussions with the various project members, it was decided that the demonstration testing was only to be conducted on New TRW Well water (due to its low yield, and the similarity in the Peroxone performance for explosives' oxidation in both waters).

4.7.1 Experimental Conditions

4.7.1.1. For the first four weeks of the demonstration period (Phase I), the Peroxone system was operated under conditions predetermined to achieve the target water quality goals of 0.002 mg/L of each of TNT, TNB, and RDX, and 0.3 mg/L of Total Nitrobodies. The operating conditions for Phase I demonstration testing are listed in Table 4-8.

During the second four weeks of the demonstration period (Phase II), the Peroxone system was operated at higher flowrate (i.e., lower contact time), and lower ozone dose than those used in Phase I. The experimental conditions for Phase II testing are also listed in Table 4-8. Based on the system optimization results, it was clear that the conditions used in Phase II were not going to achieve the target effluent concentrations. However, the project team decided to evaluate these conditions with the idea that a hybrid system of a PEROXONE process operated under these conditions followed by a GAC adsorption process for complete contaminants removal may actually be more cost effective than a PEROXONE process alone. However, it is noted that this approach does not address the possible formation of oxidation by-products which may consume the GAC capacity more rapidly. During Phases I and II testing, the ozone transfer efficiency was approximately 78% and 76%, respectively.

| | | Val | ue |
|-------------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|
| Parameter | Unit | Phase I | Phase II |
| Well Number | | New TRW | New TRW |
| Water Flowrate | gpm | 13 | 25 |
| Total Ave. Contact Time | hrs | 4.6 | 2.4 |
| Applied Ozone Dose | mg/L | 100 | 58 |
| | C | (95 to 115) | (55 to 60) |
| Transferred Ozone Dose | mg/L | 78 | 44 |
| | U | (72 to 92) | (42 to 47) |
| Transfer Efficiency | Percent | 78% | 76% |
| H.O. Dose | mg/L | 35 | 25 |
| | e | (24 to 46) | (24 to 28) |
| Peroxone Ratio | mg/mg | 0.45 | 0.57 |
| | 00 | (0.29 to 0.59) | (0.51 to 0.64) |

Table 4-8Operating Conditions During Phases I and IIof the Demonstration Testing Period

Note: numbers in parentheses represent minimum and maximum values.

4.7.1.2. It should be noted that the Peroxone mass ratio during Phase I of the demonstration period was increased from a low of 0.29 to a high of 0.59 mg/mg. This is due to the fact that when the demonstration period started, the target Peroxone ratio was still at 0.3 (as stated in the RFP documents). However, it was then decided that the hydrogen peroxide dose (and thus the Peroxone ratio) should be increased until the ozone residual concentration in the effluent of the contactors was at levels less than 1 mg/L. Accordingly, the average Peroxone ratio was increased to approximately 0.55. Figure 4-3 shows the profile of the average Peroxone ratio (among the six contactors) throughout the demonstration period.



Peroxone Ratio During Phases 1 and 2 of the Demonstration Period Figure 4-3

4.7.2 Experimental Results

4.7.2.1 Explosives Removal. The results of the demonstration period are summarized in Appendix C of this report. The data gathered during this period are shown graphically in Figure 4-4 for Phase I and Phase II of the demonstration period. The results show that with an average HRT of 4.6 hours and an average transferred ozone dose of 78 mg/L per contactor during Phase I of the demonstration period, all influent concentrations of TNT, TNB, and RDX were reduced to less than 2 μ g/L in the effluent of the Peroxone treatment system, with TNB being the most difficult compound to remove. When the contact time and transferred ozone dose were reduced to 2.4 hrs and 44 mg/L, respectively (in Phase II), the effluent concentrations of TNT and RDX were still lower than the target level of 2 μ g/L. The effluent concentration of TNB ranged from 2 to 4 μ g/L. While this was higher than its target level of less than 2 μ g/L, it represents significant removals considering the substantially lower ozone dose and contact time used. This result suggests that a hybrid system of a Peroxone process for partial contaminants removal followed by another



Performance of the PEROXONE Treatment System for the Removal of TNT, TNB, and RDX During Phase I and Phase II of the Demonstration Period

Figure 4-4

process (such as GAC adsorption) for final treatment may be more economically feasible than a stand-alone Peroxone process for complete treatment.

4.7.2.2. It is interesting to note that the removal of TNB (as well as the other compounds) did not substantially change throughout Phase I of the demonstration period, despite the fact that the Peroxone ratio increased from a low of 0.27 mg/mg to a high of 0.59 mg/mg. This suggests that the oxidation of the target contaminants was not limited by the concentration of hydroxyl radicals (or other highly reactive radicals) in the treatment process, but rather by the rate of reaction between these radicals and each of the target contaminants.

4.7.2.4. Nitrate Formation. The influent and effluent water to and from each contactor was also analyzed for nitrate concentration. The results of the nitrate analysis are shown in Figure 4-5 for the influent water and the last contactor (C6) effluent. The results clearly show an increase in the concentration of nitrate through the Peroxone treatment system. As a daily average value, the nitrate concentration increased by an average of 0.86 mg/L during Phase I testing period, and by 0.60 mg/L during Phase II testing period. It is clear that the higher ozone dose and contact time used during Phase I testing resulted in the higher formation of nitrate. There are two potential sources for the additional nitrate:

1. Oxidation of ammonia-nitrogen to nitrate-nitrogen via the following halfreaction:

$$NH_3 + 3H_2O \rightarrow NO_3 + 9H^+ + 8e^-$$

Oxidation of the nitrogen in the organic nitrobodies (i.e., TNT, TNB, RDX, etc.) to nitrate.



Formation of Nitrate Through the Peroxone Treatment System Figure 4-5

4.7.2.5. A few measurements were made of the ammonia concentration in the influent and effluent waters to and from the Peroxone treatment system. The average concentration of ammonia in New TRW Well water was 0.29 mg/L, whereas the average concentration of ammonia in the effluent of the treatment system was approximately 0.17 mg/L. This translates into an equivalent increase in nitrate concentration of approximately 0.44 mg/L. However, it should be noted that the influent and effluent ammonia measurements were not made on the same day, and therefore, the calculated ammonia removal may not be accurate. If it is assumed that all the ammonia (0.29 mg/L) was converted to nitrate, the corresponding increase in nitrate concentration would be estimated at 1.06 mg/L (see chemical half-reaction in paragraph 4.7.2.4). Therefore, the oxidation of ammonia-nitrogen to nitrate-nitrogen may account for the majority, if not all of the increase in nitrate concentration in the water (due to the high solubility of ammonia in water, no significant volatilization of ammonia is expected).

4.7.2.6. The complete oxidation of organic nitrobodies can convert the organic nitrogen into inorganic nitrate-nitrogen. Assuming that complete oxidation did occur in the Peroxone treatment system, an influent TNT concentration of 0.5 mg/L would result in the formation of 0.41 mg/L nitrate.[‡] Therefore, considering all the other organic nitrobodies in the influent water, the oxidation of the organic nitrogen to inorganic nitrate-nitrogen can also account for all of the measured increase in nitrate concentration. Therefore, no conclusion can be made regarding the exact source of nitrogen that was converted to nitrate.

4.7.2.7. pH & ORP Measurements. Daily samples were collected from the effluent of each of the six contactors and analyzed for pH and oxidation-reduction potential (ORP). The profiles of the pH in the influent and effluent of the Peroxone treatment system are shown in Figure 4-6. The results show that the average pH of the influent groundwater was between 6.5 and 7.0. As the water went through each of the six contactors, the pH increased to 7.1, 7.3, 7.5, 7.6, 7.8, and 7.9, respectively. No specific testing was conducted to determine the cause of the pH drift. It may be due to CO_2 stripping from the groundwater during treatment, or a result of the reaction between ozone and hydrogen peroxide. The ORP results are listed in Appendix C. In general the ORP of the water increased from an average of 400 mV in the influent water to approximately 900 mV in the effluent of the sixth contactor. This increase in the ORP level is expected considering the high doses of oxidants (ozone and hydrogen peroxide) added to the water.

^{*} $C_7H_5(NO_2)_3 + 17H_2O \rightarrow 3NO_3^{-} + 7CO_2 + 39H^{+} + 36e^{-}$



Profile of pH in the Influent Water and Effluent Waters from Contactors #2, #4, and #6 During the Demonstration Period

Figure 4-6

4.8 FORMATION OF OZONATION BY-PRODUCTS

4.8.0.1. Ozonation of natural water is known to produce several inorganic and organic by-products. These include bromate (in bromide-containing waters), aldehydes, haloacetic acids, and other compounds. In order to determine the levels of ozonation by-products formed by the Peroxone process, two water samples were collected from the influent and effluent of the treatment system during Phase II of the demonstration period and analyzed for a wide range of organic compounds. The types and concentrations of the analyzed organic compounds in the two samples are listed in Table 4-9. The results show that, of the analyzed compounds, only one compound, formaldehyde at 11 μ g/L, was present in the effluent of the Peroxone system. Considering that formaldehyde is

highly biodegradable, however, it is anticipated that natural biodegradation of this compound will occur shortly after discharge of the treated water into the environment. Interestingly, trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon) was measured at 66.3 μ g/L in the New TRW Well water. However, this compound was removed by the treatment system to levels less than its detection limit of 0.5 μ g/L.

4.8.0.2. It should also be noted that the influent and effluent samples were analyzed for total organic carbon (TOC) concentration. The influent water sample had a TOC concentration of 2.2 mg/L, whereas the effluent sample had a TOC concentration of 0.8 mg/L. This represents approximately 64% removal of the organic carbon. The removal mechanism is believed to include the oxidation of the organic carbon to inorganic carbon (i.e., CO₂) as a result of the extremely high ozone doses added to the system, and the formation of elevated levels of the highly reactive free radicals.

Table 4-9

| | Level, µ | ıg/L | | Level, | µg/L |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|----------------------------|--------|------|
| Chemical | Inf. Eff | f . | Chemical | Inf. | Eff. |
| Aldehydes: | | | Bromodichloromethane | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Aetaldehyde | <1.0 <1.0 | 0 | Benzene | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Butanal | <1.0 <1.0 | 0 | Bromobenzene | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Formaldehyde | <5.0 11 | | Bromochloromethane | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Glvoxal | <1.0 <1.0 | 0 | Bromomethane | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| M-Glyoxal | <1.0 <1.0 | 0 | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Pentanal | <1.0 <1.0 | 0 | Chlorobenzene | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Propanal | <1.0 <1. | 0 | Carbon tetrachloride | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Haloacetic Acids: | | | cis-1,2-Dichloropropene | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Bromochloroacetic acid | <1.0 <1. | 0 | Bromoform | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Bromodichloroacetic acid | <1.0 <1. | 0 | Chloroform | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Chlorodibromoacetic acid | <1.0 <1. | 0 | Chloroethane | < 0.5 | <0.5 |
| Dibromoacetic acid | <1.0 <1. | 0 | Chloromethane | < 0.5 | <0.5 |
| Dichloroacetic acid | <1.0 <1. | 0 | Dibromochloromethane | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Monobromoacetic acid | <1.0 <1. | 0 | 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropan | e <1.0 | <1.0 |

Types and Levels of Organic Chemicals in the Influent and Effluent of the Peroxone Treatment System

Table 4-9

| | Lev | el, µg/L | | Level, | µg/L |
|---------------------------|--------|----------|--------------------------|--------|-------|
| Chemical | Inf. | Eff. | Chemical | Inf. | Eff. |
| Monochloroacetic acid | <2.0 | <2.0 | Dibromomethane | < 0.5 | <0.5 |
| Tribromoacetic acid | <1.0 | <1.0 | Dichlorodifluoromethane | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Trichloroacetic acid | <1.0 | <1.0 | 1,2-Dibromoethane | < 0.5 | <0.5 |
| Volatile Organic Compound | ls: | Ethylb | enzene | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethan | e<0.5 | <0.5 | Hexachlorobutadiene | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | < 0.5 | <0.5 | Isopropylbenzene | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethan | e<0.5 | <0.5 | Methylene Chloride | < 0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | < 0.5 | <0.5 | m+p-Xylenes | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | Methyl tert-butyl ether | <5.0 | <5.0 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | < 0.5 | <0.5 | Naphthalene | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,1-Dichloropropene | < 0.5 | <0.5 | n-Butylebenzene | < 0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | < 0.5 | <0.5 | n-Propylbenzene | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | < 0.5 | <0.5 | Tetrachloroethene | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | < 0.5 | <0.5 | p-Isopropyltoluene | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | < 0.5 | <0.5 | sec-Butylbenzene | <0.5 | < 0.5 |
| 1,3-Dichloropropane | < 0.5 | <0.5 | Styrene | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | < 0.5 | <0.5 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | <0.5 | < 0.5 |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | < 0.5 | <0.5 | tert-Butylbenzene | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 2-Chlorotoluene | < 0.5 | <0.5 | Trichloroethene | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | < 0.5 | <0.5 | Trichlorotrifluoroethane | 66.3 | <0.5 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloroproper | ne<0.5 | <0.5 | Toluene | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | < 0.5 | <0.5 | Vinyl Chloride | <0.3 | <0.3 |

Types and Levels of Organic Chemicals in the Influent and Effluent of the Peroxone Treatment System (Continued)

4.9 MATHEMATICAL MODELING

4.9.0.1. The scope of work for this project did not include the development of a mathematical model for the Peroxone treatment system. However, Montgomery Watson believes that such a model can be an effective tool for optimizing the design of any future large-scale Peroxone treatment system for the removal of TNT, TNB, and RDX from contaminated groundwaters. Such an optimized design results in a cost-effective treatment system.

4.9.1. Characterization of System Hydraulics (Tracer Testing)

4.9.1.1. In order to develop a mathematical model for a continuous flow process, such as the Peroxone treatment system, it is imperative that the hydraulic residence time distribution of the system be fully characterized. This was accomplished by conducting two tracer tests on the first contactor at two water flowrates, 13 gpm and 25 gpm. The results of the tracer tests were then used to mathematically describe the hydraulic behavior of the Peroxone demonstration system.

4.9.1.2. Tracer Testing Methodology. Fluosilicic acid was used as the tracer chemical, with fluoride being the conservative tracer ion. A 25% Fluosilicic acid solution was purchased from VWR Scientific. A total of 22.3 grams of the tracer were diluted to 2 liters for both the 13 gpm test and the 25 gpm test. Based on a 79% fluoride content in Fluosilicic acid, the fluoride mass injected was 4.4 grams. The tracer solution was then injected through an injection port installed in the influent line to the first contactor, immediately before the water enters the top of the contactor. Tap water was pumped into the system during this test. The oxygen flowrate through the contactor was maintained at 1.5 scfm. However, no ozone was added to the influent stream in order to prevent possible interference with the fluoride analytical method.

4.9.1.3. At time zero, the tracer solution was injected into the influent water stream. Water samples were then collected from three taps along the depth of the first contactor (at 2 ft, 5 ft, and 8 ft from the bottom of the contactor), as well as from the effluent of the contactor at various time intervals. The sampling was continued over a period of time equivalent to three HRTs of the contactor. In addition, samples of the influent were collected throughout the testing period to obtain a good estimate of the background fluoride concentration in the water. Using an ion-selective electrode, all samples were analyzed on site for fluoride concentration.

4.9.1.4. Tracer Testing Results

The results of the two tracer tests are shown in Figures 4-7 and 4-8. Overlayed on each graph is the theoretical tracer result that would be obtained if the contactor is simulated by a completely stirred tank reactor (CSTR) of equal hydraulic retention time. The CSTR model line is virtually on top of the experimental results obtained from all taps sampled. This shows that, for all practical purposes, each contactor in the Peroxone system behaved as a CSTR.





Figure 4-7





Figure 4-8

4.9.2. Model Development

4.9.2.1. With the hydraulic behavior of each contactor in the Peroxone system well characterized, a basic rate equation is required to complete the model development. Based on our experience with other oxidation reactions, a pseudo first-order reaction in explosive concentration as a function of time is a likely representation for the destruction of each of TNT, TNB, and RDX in the Peroxone system. In addition, the reaction rate constant is assumed to be proportional to the transferred ozone dose. Therefore, the resulting rate equation is expressed as follows:

$$rate = \frac{dC}{dt} = -k D^m C \tag{4-1}$$

- where, $k = basic reaction coefficient, (mg/L)^{-m} (min)^{-1}$,
 - m = empirical constant,
 - D = transferred ozone dose, mg/L, and

 $C = \text{concentration of target contaminant, } \mu g/L (i.e., TNT, TNB, or RDX)$

4.9.2.2. The mass balance equation on a CSTR operating under steady-state conditions is:

$$C_{inf} - C_{eff} + (rate)\tau = 0 \tag{4-2}$$

where, C_{inf} = influent contaminant concentration, $\mu g/L$,

 C_{eff} = effluent contaminant concentration, $\mu g/L$,

 τ = average hydraulic retention time in the contactor, minutes.

4.9.2.3. Substituting Equation 4-1 into Equation 4-2, and deriving an expression for C_{eff} gives Equation 4-3 describing the performance of each of the six contactors in the Peroxone system:

$$C_{eff} = \frac{C_{inf}}{\left(1 + k D^m \tau\right)} \tag{4-3}$$

4.9.2.4. This model suggests that the effluent concentration of TNT, TNB, or RDX from any of the six contactors can be calculated if its influent concentration is known, along with the transferred ozone dose to the contactor, the contactor average hydraulic retention time, and the two model constants, k and m.

4.9.3 Calibration of Model Parameters

4.9.3.1. In the design of a full-scale system, all model parameters are known except for the basic reaction coefficient 'k' and the empirical constant 'm'. Therefore, the experimental results obtained during the optimization and demonstration testing programs were used to estimate the values of 'k' and 'm' for each of TNT, TNB, and RDX in the Peroxone process.

4.9.3.2. To achieve this, all the experimental results obtained in this project were tabulated. The data included the following parameters:

- Water flow rate
- Average hydraulic retention time through each contactor
- Transferred ozone dose to each contactor
- Measured influent concentration of TNT, TNB, and RDX to each contactor
- Measured effluent concentration of TNT, TNB, and RDX from each contactor.

4.9.3.3. Using the values of the transferred ozone dose, hydraulic retention time, and influent contaminant concentration, Equation 4-3 was used to calculate the effluent concentration of TNT, TNB, and RDX for an assumed value of the basic reaction coefficient, k, and the empirical constant, m, for each compound. The calculated concentrations were then compared to the measured values. Using the SOLVER macro in Microsoft EXCEL, the optimum k and m values resulting in the minimum sum of the square of the error between the calculated and measured concentrations were determined for each contaminant. These values are listed in Table 4-10. It is important to note that these values are only applicable to the destruction of TNT, TNB, and RDX in Grand Island groundwater, and may vary significantly with changes in water quality and water source.

Table 4-10

Estimated Values of the Basic Reaction Rate Constants and Empirical Constants for the Oxidation of TNT, TNB, and RDX with Peroxone

| Compound | k | m | |
|----------|---------|-------|--|
| TNB | 0.0152 | 0.237 | |
| TNT | 0.00569 | 0.662 | |
| RDX | 0.0544 | 0.000 | |

4.9.3.4. The quality of fit between the calculated concentrations (using the proposed model), and the measured concentrations from the effluent of each of the six contactors during the optimization and demonstration period are shown in Figure 4-9. The lines in Figure 4-9 are not linear regression lines through the data, but rather the "perfect fit"



Comparison Between Measured and Model-Calculated Concentrations of TNB, TNT, and RDX During the Optimization Period and the Demonstration Period

Figure 4-9
lines. In other words, the lines represent the ideal situation where the model-calculated values are equal to the measured values. If all the data points fall on the "perfect fit" lines, then the model is considered to be the "perfect" model to represent these data.

4.9.3.5. The model fit to the results of the demonstration testing is also presented in Figure 4-10 as plots of measured concentrations and model-calculated concentrations as a function of time. These graphs also show that the model was able to well represent the removal of TNB, TNT, and RDX during Phase I of the demonstration period, as well as that of TNB during Phase II of the demonstration period. However, the graphs show that the model underestimated the removal of TNT and RDX during Phase II of the demonstration period.

4.9.3.6. No explanation for this underestimation can be given at this time. Nevertheless, the model is still a useful tool for the design of larger scale Peroxone treatment systems for the following reasons:

- The model well predicted the removal of the critical design compound, TNB, in all the tests conducted at the various contact times and ozone doses
- The use of the model for TNT and RDX removal would, in the worst case, result in a conservative design, thus maintaining the required removals of these compounds.

4.9.3.7. It should be noted that the value of the empirical constant 'm' for RDX was estimated by the model at zero suggesting that RDX removal through the Peroxone process is independent of ozone dose. This is clearly not realistic since the removal efficiency of all compounds increased with increasing ozone dose. This model behavior is primarily due to the lack of sufficient high-concentration RDX data for a wide range of ozone doses and contact times (all RDX data are below 40 μ g/L in the optimization testing, and below 16 μ g/L in the demonstration testing compared to greater than 250 μ g/L for TNT and TNB).



Model Fit to the Measured Concentrations of TNB, TNT, and RDX During Phase I and II of the Demonstration Period

Figure 4-10

4.9.3.8. The plots presented above in Figure 4-9 show some scatter around the perfect-fit lines. This scatter is due to several known and unknown factors involved in experimental work and mathematical modeling such as the following:

Experimental Errors. In any field (or laboratory) testing program, experimental errors are inevitable. These include errors in the measurement of operational parameters such as water flowrate, air flowrate, ozone dose, hydrogen peroxide dose, etc.

Analytical errors. All field and laboratory analyses conducted on the project include some level of analytical error that is attributed to instrument calibration, analytical technique, etc.

Variations in the Influent Concentrations of the Target Contaminants. Significant variations were measured in the groundwater concentrations of TNT, TNB, and RDX. Since the model is based on calculating the effluent concentrations as a function of the influent concentrations, these variations in the groundwater concentration levels have a direct impact on the model's ability to accurately predict the effluent concentrations. It is noted that, in the calibration of the model, the average groundwater concentrations measured during each test were used as the influent concentrations to the first contactor.

Empirical Nature of the Model. The model was developed empirically, and is not based on any known fundamental chemical reactions between the target contaminants, natural constituents of the groundwater, and the various oxidants produced as a result of the reaction of ozone with hydrogen peroxide. Therefore, it is understandable that the model will not predict the "exact" effluent concentration of each contaminant under all conditions.

Nonideal Hydraulic Flow Regimes in the Contactors. Based on the two tracer tests conducted, each contactor was modeled as a CSTR. However, ideal mixing conditions are only theoretical. Therefore, there is always some variation between the actual

hydraulic conditions in the contactor and those in an "ideally" mixed contactor. These variations can result in slight discrepancies in the model predictions.

4.9.3.9 It is important to note that the proposed model is purely empricial and is not based on any fundamental analysis of the chemical reactions taking place in this process. Therefore, the model should be used with caution and should not be extrapolated to operating conditions (i.e., ozone dose and hydraulic retention times) outside the limits of the conditions used in this project. In addition, the performance of the Peroxone process is highly dependent on water quality. Therefore, the estimated model parameters can only be used to estimate the removal of TNT, TNB, and RDX from the Grand Island groundwater, and should not be extrapolated to other waters.

4.9.4 Sensitivity Analysis

4.9.4.1 Figure 4-11 shows a model sensitivity plot depicting the model-calculated percent TNB removal with the Peroxone process in one contactor as a function of the HRT and transferred ozone dose in that contactor. The plot clearly shows that the process performance, as interpreted by the proposed model, has low sensitivity to either dose or HRT. In other words, the plot suggests that substantial increases in either ozone dose or HRT would result in small increases in % TNB removal. This is more apparent with the impact of the ozone dose on TNB removal where an increase from 60 mg/L to 90 mg/L (a 50% increase in dose) resulted in a modest increase of only 2% removal of TNB through the contactor.

4.9.4.2 The above analysis explains the difference in performance between Phase I and Phase II testing conditions. To illustrate this difference, the predicted percent TNB removals under each set of conditions are superimposed on Figure 4-11. Due to the low sensitivity of the process to either ozone dose and HRT, the model shows that increasing the ozone dose from 44 mg/L (Phase II) to 78 mg/L (Phase I), and increasing the contact time from 24 minutes (Phase II) to 46 minutes (Phase I) would result in an increase in the percent TNB removal from 47% (Phase II) to 66% (Phase I).



Impact of HRT and Transferred Ozone Dose on the Percent Removal of TNB in Each Contactor as Predicted by the Proposed Empirical Model

Figure 4-11

4.10 IMPLICATIONS FOR DESIGN

4.10.0.1. The results of the demonstration testing program have shown that TNT, TNB, and RDX can be removed from contaminated waters with the Peroxone treatment system, with TNB being the critical compound for the determination of the design criteria (i.e., most difficult to oxidize). The availability of a mathematical model for the Peroxone system provides an additional tool for design of the planned 1,000 gpm treatment system. With this simple model, design engineers can quickly simulate various conditions of influent concentrations, hydraulic retention times (i.e., treatment system sizes), and ozone doses and determine initial configurations of the full-scale system for the treatment

of TNT, TNB, and RDX to desired effluent quality. Section 6.0 presents a proposed design configuration for the full-scale Peroxone treatment system.

5.0 PEROXONE SYSTEM DEMOBILIZATION

5.1 REVISION TO WORK PLANS

5.1.0.1. The Work Plans (Montgomery Watson, 1996) for this project called for shutdown and dismantling of the Peroxone treatment system after completion of the demonstration period. Each of the reactors was to be disconnected and shipped to a US Army storage facility to be named after shutdown. The pumps, mixers, electronic instruments, and control panels were to be warehoused at CAAP. The remaining equipment that was leased or rented would be returned and the piping, wiring, and power lines would be torn up and hauled away as scrap. The concrete pad would then be broken up and hauled to the landfill.

5.1.0.1. At the conclusion of the demonstration period, however, it was decided by USAEC that the system would be useful for further testing in the near future. Therefore, the contactors and the connecting piping were left in place, and only the equipment that may be weather sensitive was removed and warehoused.

5.2 SHUTDOWN

5.2.0.1. The demonstration period was completed 8 November 1996. After the last gallon of groundwater was treated through the system, the well pumps were turned off and clean water from the hydrant was diverted into the system to flush the contactors clean. The hydrogen peroxide feed pumps were shut off and the sodium thiosulfate feed system was also turned off. The ozone generator was shut down when flow from the wells was stopped, and the lines were purged with oxygen from the oxygen feed system. After several hours of flow with clean water, the water was shut off and the contactor tanks were shut down. Each of the contactor tanks was drained into the pad and pumped from the sump into the effluent tank. After all the contactors were emptied, the hydrogen peroxide day tanks were emptied and rinsed. The softened water tank was also emptied as was the sodium thiosulfate tank. All tanks were rinsed and all water was collected and pumped through the carbon vessels for final treatment. The piping on the pad was drained and anything that was subject to freeze damage was drained.

5.2.0.2. The system was turned off just before a cold front moved into the Grand Island Area on 10 November 1996. Though the treatment system had been shut down and drained, some water was still in the 2-inch conveyance lines from the wells and froze

before the lines could be drained. The well pumps were removed and all valves were opened to allow drainage back into the wells when the lines thawed.

5.3 DECOMMISSIONING

5.3.0.1. To decommission the facility, the water supply was shut down, the power was turned off and disconnected, the unused chemicals were returned to the respective suppliers, the telephone was disconnected, and the office trailer was hauled away. All local utilities were notified that the site was closed.

5.4 DISMANTLING AND STORAGE

5.4.0.1. As discussed above, the Army decided to leave the treatment system at the CAAP for possible future use. It was decided that the contactors, tanks, and the secondary containment pad would remain in place. The demobilization efforts changed from complete dismantling and storage of the system to removal and storage of pumps, motors, electronics and return of rented equipment. The two well pumps, seven chemical feed pumps, mixers, meters, sump pump, transfer pump, hoses, effluent pump, and calibration equipment were all stored at the Cornhusker Facility in Building S-6 under the direction of Tom Jamieson, the Facility Administrator. Table 5-1 shows a list of items stored.

5.4.0.2. The contactors, piping, tanks, tubing, valves, and equipment supports were left in place on the pad. All tanks were drained prior to leaving the site.

5.4.0.3. The ozone generator was dismantled, crated, and shipped back to the leasing company with the control panel, the ozone destruct unit and the small air compressor used as a nitrogen source. The reverse osmosis unit was likewise returned, and the carbon vessels were drained and sampled. The GAC was tested by the vendor (Calgon Carbon) and was determined to be nonhazardous. The GAC was transported to the Laidlaw landfill in Utah (RCRA subtitle C landfill). The remaining equipment was kept inside a fenced, locked area around the Line 2 assembly buildings.

Table 5-1

.

Stored Equipment Inventory

| Item | Quantity |
|--|----------|
| Rotameters and stainless steel connection piping | 6 |
| Box of wire | 1 |
| Little Giant sump pump with level switch | 1 |
| LMI chemical metering pumps | 7 |
| 2 inch in-line static mixer | 1 |
| 1/2 inch glass rotameter | 1 |
| Effluent pump | 1 |
| Paddlewheel flow meters (Signet) | 2 |
| Extraction well pumps (Grundfos) | 2 |
| Buckets (2.5 gallons) | 7 |
| 10 ft. long by 2 in. dia. flexible hoses (camlock) | 2 |
| Fire hydrant backflow preventer attachment | 1 |
| Bubble wrap packing in boxes | 12 |
| Broom | 1 |
| Мор | 1 |
| Garden Hoses (50 ft.) | 2 |
| Trailer power cord - 4 conductor, 100 ft. | 1 |
| 20 ft. braided stainless steel hose 1 in. dia. | 1 |
| 3/8" copper tubing - 25 ft. | 1 |
| Buckets (5 gallons) | 2 |
| 3/8" plastic tubing - 25 ft | 1 |
| Box of miscellaneous CPVC fittings | 1 |
| Hand operated drum pump | 1 |
| Electric Mixers | 3 |
| Lab Equipment, boxes/containers | 5 |

6.0 DEVELOPMENT OF FULL-SCALE PEROXONE SYSTEM

6.1 INTRODUCTION

6.1.0.1. This section discusses the recommendations for a full-scale Peroxone system based on the results of the demonstration testing program. The full-scale system developed and presented in this section is based on the Peroxone technology tested at the CAAP. No effort was made to evaluate alternative designs such as baffled or packed-bed contactors, using ozone bubble recombination, or other diffuser types or to evaluate the Peroxone technology in combination with other technologies (such as UV/ozone and GAC technologies) for a more economical treatment of explosives-contaminated groundwater.

6.1.0.2. A conceptual Process Flow Diagram (PFD) and preliminary capital and operations and maintenance (O&M) cost estimates for the recommended full-scale system are included.

6.1.0.3. As part of this effort, computer simulations and input from equipment vendors were used to determine the optimum treatment system with respect to performance, capital cost, operational cost, flexibility, and ease of operation.

6.2 SYSTEM SCALE-UP AND DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

6.2.0.1. The scale-up and development effort can be categorized as a five-step process outlined below. Each step is described in detail later in this section.

- (1) Scale up of the Peroxone contactors to 1,000 gpm capacity.
- (2) Use the Peroxone model developed in Section 4.0 to conduct computer simulations for a full-scale system (1,000 gpm flow rate per the Contract requirements).
- (3) Evaluate the system configurations generated from the model simulations for technical feasibility, cost effectiveness, and ease of system operation and maintenance.
- (4) Select a configuration for the full-scale design.
- (5) Present preliminary capital and O&M cost estimates for the selected system.

6.2.1. Model Limitations

6.2.1.1. The Peroxone system model (Section 4.0) was used in the scale-up process as a tool which allowed for a quick relative comparison of numerous reactor configurations and oxidant doses. It is not intended to serve as the only tool for design of a full-scale system. The model is reliable within the boundary conditions which include the reaction kinetic parameters, contaminant type and concentration range, minimum and maximum applied ozone dosage, ozone transfer efficiency, and hydrogen peroxide dosage based on the Peroxone ratio. However, like other empirical models, there is little certainty in the accuracy and reliability of the model under conditions that are outside the boundary conditions of the data used to calibrate the model.

6.3 CONTACTOR SCALE UP

6.3.0.1. The contactor vessel used for the demonstration testing was a cylindrical tank 3 feet in diameter with a 10-foot side wall depth. It was determined through tracer testing that each contactor was completely mixed and that it acted as a continuously stirred tank reactor (CSTR).

6.3.0.2. Effluent sampling and analyses from individual contactors showed that all contactors provided approximately equal percent destruction of contaminants indicating that the contactor design was independent of the influent concentrations or oxidation chemical doses. Equal percent destruction in all contactors suggests that each contactor can be considered as a single CSTR for the purpose of the system design and scale up.

6.3.0.3. Assuming that contactors for the full-scale system can be considered as CSTRs, and that the number of CSTRs per contactor equals one, the contactor scale-up process simply involves selecting cylindrical tanks with diameter to side wall depth ratios similar to those provided for the demonstration testing. It is noted that preliminary cost analysis showed that the cost of utilizing cylindrical tanks for the 1000 gpm plant was comparable to that of a concrete contactor with multiple chambers. Therefore, the use of cylindrical steel tanks is not necessarily a recommendation at this time, but only an option for cost estimation purposes.

6.3.0.4. The initially selected tank diameter varied from 4 feet to 12 feet while the side wall depths ranged from 12 feet to 36 feet. Each combination was evaluated for holding

capacity, ozone transfer efficiency (assumed at 90%), number of tanks required for 1,000 gpm system, and area requirements to hold the required number of tanks for a full-scale system. The evaluation showed that selection of tanks with a diameter less than 6 feet would necessitate too many tanks for a 1,000 gpm system and cause excessive head loss in the system. Thus, tanks with a diameter less than 6 feet were deleted from evaluation.

6.3.0.5. Montgomery Watson's experience suggests that no appreciable mass transfer between ozone and the liquid phase is realized beyond 23- to 25-foot side wall depth. In order to maintain a minimum side wall depth to diameter ratio of 3, this meant eliminating all tanks with side wall depth greater than 25 or a diameter greater than 8 feet.

6.3.0.6. Tanks passing the initial selection criteria included those with diameter between 6 and 8 feet and side wall depths ranging between 18 and 25 feet, and were retained for the simulation process. Table 6-1 shows the tank combinations that were used for computer simulations.

| Tank Diameter (feet) | Side Wall Depth (feet) |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 6 | 18 |
| 6 | 19 |
| 6 | 20 |
| 6 | 21 |
| 6 | 22 |
| 6 | 23 |
| 6 | 24 |
| 6 | 25 |
| 7 | 21 |
| 7 | 22 |
| 7 | 23 |
| 7 | 24 |
| 7 | 25 |
| 8 | 24 |
| 8 | 25 |
| 7 7 7 7 8 8 | 22 23 24 25 24 25 |

Table 6-1 Selected Tank Configurations

6.4 PEROXONE MODEL SIMULATIONS

6.4.1. Model Development

6.4.1.1. For each simulation, the total flow to the system was fixed at 1,000 gpm and the influent concentrations were assumed to be 400 μ g/l TNB, 600 μ g/l TNT, and 200 μ g/l RDX. These compound-specific concentrations resulted from sampling and analyses of the groundwater during the demonstration testing. The system flow rate and the influent concentrations were assumed constant during each simulation.

6.4.1.2. For each simulation, the target effluent concentration for each contaminant was set at 2.0 μ g/L or less.

6.4.1.3. Certain parameters in the model were provided with preset values including: (1) ozone transfer efficiency = 90%, (2) peroxide-to-ozone ratio = 0.5, and (3) number of continuously stirred tank reactors (CSTRs) per contactor = 1. These values were assumed constant during each simulation. Although the transfer efficiency measured during project was consistently below 85%, it is believed that increasing the sidewater depth from 10 ft to greater than 18 ft would increase the ozone transfer efficiency to greater than 90%. This is based on the project team's experience with the design of ozone contactors for water treatment plants where greater than 95% ozone transfer efficiency is achieved with 20-ft side water depth.

6.4.1.4. The minimum applied ozone dosage tested during the testing program was approximately 30 mg/L, and this was used as a boundary condition for the model. This means that even though the model suggests that it may be possible to achieve effluent goals with an ozone dosage less than 30 mg/L, this condition was not simulated. Similarly, the maximum applied dosage during the demonstration testing was 115 mg/L, and this was used as another boundary condition for the model.

6.4.1.5. Simulations were conducted with 2, 3, and 4 parallel trains. In other words, the first simulation called for two trains with a flow of 500 gpm through each train. For the second simulation, the total flow was split evenly into three 333-gpm trains, and so on. A single treatment train would require too many contactors in series or such a large capacity ozonation system that it would be cost prohibitive. For this reason, no simulations were conducted for a single treatment train system. Similarly, a Peroxone

system with greater than 4 treatment trains would require too many contactors and may not be practical from an operational standpoint.

6.4.1.6. Head loss is a consideration in design of treatment systems with multiple reaction tanks and gravitational flow. The head loss increases with an increase in the number of tanks per treatment train. A higher head loss in the treatment train requires either a system design in which the first reaction tank is the tallest with consecutive tanks having a smaller side wall depths so that the liquid can flow by gravitational head or increasing the inter-connecting pipe size. Changing the side wall depth means that each reaction vessel would produce a different percent removal efficiency. An extra large pipe would increase the total height of the tank and impact the system cost. To minimize the impact of head loss on the system design, the total number of tanks per treatment train was limited to eight and this criterion is based on experience gained from the demonstration system design.

6.4.1.7. Table 6-2 shows the various combinations that were simulated during the full-scale Peroxone system development process. Note that the simulations were conducted for each tank configuration described in Table 6-1.

| Number of Trains | Contactors per Train | Total Number of Contactors | Applied Ozone Dose per Contactor (mg/L) |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 2 | 6 | 12 | 30 -115 |
| 2 | 7 | 14 | 30 - 115 |
| 2 | 8 | 16 | 30 - 115 |
| 3 | 6 | 18 | 30 - 115 |
| 3 | 7 | 21 | 30 - 115 |
| 3 | 8 | 24 | 30 - 115 |
| 4 | 6 | 24 | 30 - 115 |
| 4 | 7 | 28 | 30 - 115 |
| 4 | 8 | 32 | 30 - 115 |

Table 6-2Simulated Configurations

6.4.2 Peroxone Model Simulation Results

6.4.2.1. Simulation results indicate that for 6-foot or 7-foot diameter tanks, none of the combinations of number of trains, number of contactors per train, and applied ozone dosage within the model boundary conditions were capable of treating influent groundwater to the desired effluent quality. Results of these simulations are thus not included for discussion. Table 6-3 shows the simulation results using an 8-foot diameter and 24-foot side wall depth contactor. Note that only the combinations capable of meeting the desired effluent quality within the model boundary conditions are shown on the table.

| Run No. | Number of Trains | Contactors per Train | Total Number of Contactors | O ₃ Dose per Contactor (mg/L) | Cumulative O ₃ Demand (lb./day) |
|------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | 3 | 7 | 21 | 85 | 7,140 |
| 2 | 3 | 8 | 24 | 38 | 3,648 |
| 3 | 4 | 7 | 28 | 30 | 2,520 |

 Table 6-3

 Simulation Results: 8-Foot Dia And 24-Foot Swd Contactor

6.4.2.2. A typical output from the model simulations is shown on the following page. The model predicts the number of contactors required in each train to meet the effluent quality, lists the pounds per day of ozone required for each train, and estimates the total daily ozone requirement of the system. The model also predicts the effluent concentration of each contaminant from individual contactors. As an example, for the model simulation with 4 trains, seven contactors are required in each train for a total of 28 contactors and the total cumulative ozone demand of the treatment system is 2,520 pounds per day to meet the effluent quality.

6.5 EVALUATION OF PEROXONE SYSTEM MODEL SIMULATIONS

6.5.0.1. This section evaluates the results obtained from the model simulations described above. The purpose of this evaluation is to weigh the technical effectiveness of each system configuration against capital and O&M costs and operational strategy.

FULL-SCALE PEROXONE DESIGN MODEL FOR EXPLOSIVES REMOVAL

Developed by Issam Najm, Ph.D. Applied Research Department, Montgomery Watson. 1997

| (INPUT BOLD CELLS) | | Kinetic | Parameters: | | |
|--|----------------------|---------|---------------|--------------------|--|
| Influent Water Quality Conditions: | | | INB | TNT | RDX |
| Total Water Flow Rate = | 1000 gpm | k | 0.015 | 0.006 | 0.054 (mg/L) ^{-m} (min) ⁻ⁿ |
| Number of Parallel Trains = | 4 | m | 0.237 | 0.662 | 0.000 |
| Water Flow Rate/train = TNB Influent Concentration = | 250 gpm 400 us/1. | | | | |
| TNT Influent Concentration = | 600 µg/L | Note: | Model bas | ed on th | e following equation: |
| RDX Influent Concentration = | 200 μg/L | | dC/dt = | - k D ^m | U |
| Contactor Configuration: | | | | | |
| Side Water Depth, H = | 24 fi | Assum | ptions and Li | mitations. | |
| Contactor Diameter, W = | 8 ft | | MODEL U | ISE FOR I | DEMONSTRATION PURPOSE ONLY. |
| ntactor Cross-Sectional Area, L = | 50.3 sq. ft | 2 | Contactor } | neight and | diameter from UL Listed tank size chart. |
| Contact Time/Chamber = | 36 min | | Contactor 6 | limension | and contact time have been selected based on |
| No. of CSTRs/Chamber = | | | the demoi | nstration to | esting and available literature. |
| Applied Ozone Dose/Chamber = | 30 mg/L | 4 | Applied oz | one dosag | e from demonstration testing. |
| Ozone Trans. Efficiency = | 90 % | S | Assumed 9 | 0% maxir | num transfer efficiency under normal conditions. |
| ansfered Ozone Dose/Chamber = | 27 mg/L | | (transfer e | efficiency | may vary depending on contactor configuration) |
| H ₂ O ₂ Dose/Chamber = | 13.5 mg/L | 9 | Peroxide d | osage base | ed on a 0.5 Peroxone ratio. |

| | | | | | CON | TACT | OR IN | EACI | H TRA | NI | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------|-----|------|------|------|------|--------------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|
| Parameter | Unit | 1 | 7 | 3 | 4 | S | 9 | 7 | × | 6 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Cumulative Contact Time | min | 36 | 72 | 108 | 144 | 180 | 217 | 253 | 289 | 325 | 361 | 397 | 433 |
| Cumulative Applied Ozone Dose | mg/L | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 150 | 180 | 210 | 240 | 270 | 300 | 330 | 360 |
| Cumulative Ozone Consumption/ | lbs/day | 90 | 180 | 270 | 360 | 450 | 540 | 630 | 720 | 810 | 006 | 066 | 1080 |
| Total Cumulative Ozone Consum | lbs/day | 360 | 720 | 1080 | 1440 | 1800 | 2160 | 2520 | 2880 | 3240 | 3600 | -3960 | 4320 |
| Effluent TNB Concentration | μg/L | 182 | 82.8 | 37.7 | 17.1 | 7.8 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Effluent TNT Concentration | µg/L | 213 | 75.4 | 26.7 | 9.5 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Effluent RDX Concentration | μg/L | 67 | 22.8 | L.L | 2.6 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

6.5.1. Technical Evaluation

6.5.1.1. For evaluation of technical feasibility, only the three combinations shown in Table 6-3 are considered. Each configuration is capable of meeting the effluent quality goals and is thus technically effective. However, the ozone demand for Run No. 1 (three trains and seven contactors per train) is significantly higher compared to Run No. 2 and No. 3. This higher ozone demand would necessitate a much larger and a very different type of ozonation which is generally not used for hazardous waste treatment facilities. For this reason, Run No. 1 is deleted from further discussions.

6.5.2. Cost Evaluation

6.5.2.1. Table 6-4 presents the capital cost, annual O&M costs, and the 20-year present worth cost for the two configurations under consideration.

| Run No. | Number of Trains | Contactors per Train | System Capital Cost | System Annual O&M Cost | System 20-Year Present Worth |
|------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2 | 3 | 8 | \$14,160,000 | \$1,113,000 | \$26,924,000 |
| 3 | 4 | 7 | \$13,447,000 | \$906,000 | \$23,837,000 |

Table 6-4Preliminary Cost Estimates

6.5.2.2. The cost estimates are for a complete groundwater extraction, conveyance, and treatment facility including extraction wells, groundwater conveyance piping network, influent storage, treatment facilities, effluent storage and discharge, ozonation systems, and a chemical feed system. Cost for the treatment system also include a structural pad, a metal building, electrical and instrumentation, and civil and mechanical work.

6.5.2.3. The costs are based on parametric cost estimates with a +50% to -30% accuracy. Cost data were obtained from equipment vendors, Means Building Construction Cost Data 1995, the Environmental Restoration Unit Cost Book, and other available sources.

Some of the constraints related to the full-scale system costs are included on Table 6-6 as footnotes.

6.5.2.4. As shown on Table 6-4, both the capital and O&M costs are reduced with an increase in the number of trains. The primary reduction in the full-scale system capital cost results from reduced ozone demand and hence a smaller ozone generation system which is the primary cost for the Peroxone system.

6.5.2.5. The full-scale system O&M costs are primarily dependent on the total ozone demand of the system with minor contributions from hydrogen peroxide demand, system labor requirements, and other operational activities. Since the total ozone demand of the system decreases with increasing number of trains, the annual O&M costs for the full-scale system is reduced as the number of trains is increased.

6.5.2.6. Present worth costs were calculated over a 20-year project duration using an 6 percent annual compound interest rate.

6.6 FULL-SCALE PEROXONE SYSTEM

6.6.0.1. Selection of a full-scale system would require detailed evaluation of site conditions, influent concentrations, contactor design options, and other considerations described earlier in this section. Based solely on the model simulations and evaluation results presented in this section, a system with 4 parallel trains (i.e., flow evenly split into 4 trains) should provide the most technically feasible and cost-effective system for treatment of explosives-contaminated groundwater at the CAAP. The selected configuration also provides other benefits that are discussed below. However, it should be emphasized that the proposed design criteria is only one of many viable alternatives. A more detailed and comprehensive cost analysis is required in order to fully optimize the design of the treatment plant.

6.6.0.2. Splitting the flow evenly into 4 parallel trains provides flexibility in the system operation. An individual treatment train can be removed from operation without adversely affecting the entire treatment process. With 4 trains, removal of an individual train reduces the total treatment capacity by only 250 gpm.

6.6.0.3. A treatment process with multiple trains provides flexibility to construct the system in phases. A multi-phase construction program would eliminate the need for a

large capital investment up front and still satisfy regulatory requirements for a treatment system. Treatment trains can be added later as desired.

6.6.0.4. A multi-train treatment process also offers the benefit of reducing the treatment capacity in phases toward the end. Early removal of unneeded capacity will result in O&M cost savings without affecting the treatment process.

6.6.1. Full-Scale Peroxone System Design Criteria

6.6.1.1. Preliminary design criteria for a hypothetical extraction and treatment system are included on Table 6-5. The design criteria for the Peroxone treatment process are based on the flow requirements and treatment goals stated in the SOW. The design criteria for the groundwater extraction and conveyance system is hypothetical and will depend on site conditions, site geology and hydrogeology, as well as state and local codes and guidelines. It is noted that a LOX system was assumed as an oxygen source for ozone generator. Preliminary cost estiamtes have shown that the total annual cost of a PSA or VSA system is comparable to, if not slightly higher than, a LOX feed system.

6.6.1.2. Table 6-5 is not intended as an exhaustive inventory of materials, but summarizes major components of the full-scale treatment system.

6.6.1.3. Figure 6-1 presents a conceptual Process Flow Diagram (PFD) for the recommended full-scale Peroxone system. Note that several other supporting equipment and components would be required for a full-scale Peroxone treatment system which are not shown on the PFD.

6.6.2. Full-Scale Peroxone System Cost Estimates

6.6.2.1. A detailed preliminary capital and O&M cost estimate for the recommended full-scale Peroxone system is presented in Table 6-6. These costs estimates are not intended for use as a construction estimate.





Table 6-5

Full-Scale Peroxone System Conceptual Design Criteria

| Equipment | Description | Criteria | Comments |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Extraction Well | Number of wells Well casing | 40 6-inch (min) | Number of wells varies based on the site hydrogeology and groundwater yield rates. |
| Well Head | Number Pipe Type Vault Type | 40 (one for each well) Heat traced carbon steel pipe Concrete, flush with surface | Number of wellhead equals number of wells installed. Each well provided with magnetic meter to control flow rate. |
| Extraction Pump | Number Type Capacity | 40 (one for each well) Submersible, electrical 25 gpm each | Pump number and capacity depends on the site conditions. Total head and horsepower will vary depending on well locations. |
| Conveyance Line | Type Location | HDPE, double contained Buried 3 feet (min) below ground surface | Provide freeze protection and leak detection system. Pipe size will depend on flow from individual wells and piping layout. |
| Influent Flow Meter | Range Number Type | 100-1000 gpm 1 Magnetic | Indication of total flow to the treatment system. Assumed that 10% of the wells will be in the discharge mode at a given time. |

Table 6-5

Full-Scale Peroxone System Conceptual Design Criteria (Continued)

| Equipment | Description | Criteria | Comments |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| Equalization Tank | Number Capacity Tank Material | 2 10,000 gallon each High density polyethylene | Two 10,000 gal tanks provide flexibility in system operation while minimizing down time. HDPE suitable material for long-term protection. |
| Influent Pump | Number Type Capacity | 5 (four plus one standby) Centrifugal, end suction 250 gpm each | One pump for each train allows flexibility in system operation with one standby to minimize system operation down time. |
| Ozone Contactor | Number of contactors Type Capacity Size Material | 28 (7 per train) Unpacked column 10,000 gal (each appr.) 8 feet diameter, 24 feet high 316 SS | 36 minute retention time per contactor. 316 SS for long-term protection against ozone corrosion. Provide manway at the top and bottom side. |
| Effluent Storage Tank | Capacity Number Type | 10,000 gal 1 High density polyethylene | 10 minute retention time at 1,000 gpm flow for thiosulfate mixing. |
| Effluent Pump | Number of pumps Type Capacity | 3 (two plus one standby) Centrifugal, end-suction 500 gpm (each) | Two pumps allow flexibility in system operation while minimizing down time. |

Table 6-5

Full-Scale Peroxone System Conceptual Design Criteria (Continued)

| Equipment | Description | Criteria | Comments |
|------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Effluent Flow Meter | Range Type Number | 10-1000 gpm Magnetic 1 | Indication of total discharge from the treatment system. Other NDEQ limitations may apply for discharge monitoring. |
| Ozone Generator | Capacity Ozone Dosage (each vessel) | 2,520 lb/day 30 mg/l at 10% ozone by weight | See "Full-Scale Peroxone Model" for calculations details. |
| Hydrogen Peroxide System | Daily Capacity Applied dosage | 1,135 lb/day of 35% solution 15.0 mg/l (each contactor) | |
| Sodium Thiosulfate System | Daily Capacity Applied dosage | 84 lb/day of pure solution 7 mg/L per mg/L of residual ozone | |

-

| Item/Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|--|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| DIRECT CAPITAL COSTS | | | | |
| General | | | | |
| Contractor Mobilization ⁽²⁾ | | lump sum | \$100,000 | \$100,000 |
| Contractor Demobilization ⁽²⁾ | | lump sum | \$50,000 | \$50,000 |
| Treatment System Pad ⁽³⁾ | 556 | cubic yard | \$230 | \$127,778 |
| Excavated Soil Disposal ⁽⁴⁾ | 9,228 | ton | \$50 | \$461,421 |
| | | | Subtotal | \$739,199 |
| Groundwater Extraction System | | | | |
| Vertical Extraction Wells ⁽⁵⁾ | 40 | each | \$15,000 | \$600,000 |
| Conveyance Pipe ⁽⁶⁾ | 50,000 | linear foot | \$35 | \$1,750,000 |
| Conveyance ripe | • • • • • • | | Subtotal | \$2,350,000 |
| Groundwater Treatment System | | | | |
| Equalization Tanks | 2 | each | \$12,000 | \$24,000 |
| Influent Transfer Pumps | 5 | each | \$12,500 | \$62,500 |
| Automatic Pressure Filters | 4 | each | \$12,500 | \$50,000 |
| SS 316 Contactors ⁽⁷⁾ | 28 | each | \$35,000 | \$980,000 |
| Ozonation System ⁽⁸⁾ | | lump sum | \$2,000,000 | \$2,000,000 |
| Chemical Feed System ⁽⁹⁾ | | lump sum | \$50,000 | \$50,000 |
| Effluent Holding Tank | 1 | each | \$12,000 | \$12,000 |
| Effluent Transfer Pumps | 3 | each | \$15,000 | \$45,000 |
| Polishing GAC Vessels (optional equipm | ent - see footnote 10) | | | |
| | | | Subtotal | \$3,223,500 |
| | | Total Direct C | Capital Costs (DCC) | \$6,313,000 |
| INDIRECT CAPITAL COSTS ⁽¹¹⁾ | | | | |
| Equipment Installation (10% of DCC) | | lump sum | | \$631,300 |
| Mechanical Pining/Accessories (10% of D | CC) | lump sum | | \$631,300 |
| Electrical and Instrumentation (18% of DCC | 2) 2) | lump sum | | \$1,136,340 |
| Civil/Site Improvements (10% of DCC) | - / | lump sum | | \$631,300 |
| Building/Facilities (6% of DCC) | | lump sum | | \$378,780 |
| Design/Engineering (15% of DCC) | | lump sum | | \$946,950 |
| Permitting and Approvals (2% of DCC) | | lump sum | | \$126,260 |
| Construction Management (8% of DCC) | | lump sum | | \$505,040 |
| Contractor's Fee (4% of DCC) | | lump sum | | \$252,520 |
| Contingency (30% of DCC) | | lump sum | | \$1,893,900 |
| | | Total In | direct Capital Costs | \$7,133,690 |
| | | TOTAL CAI | PITAL COSTS ⁽¹⁶⁾ | \$13,447,000 |

Table 6-6 Preliminary Cost Estimate for 1,000 gpm Peroxone System⁽¹⁾

-

Table 6-6 Preliminary Cost Estimate for 1,000 gpm Peroxone System (Continued)

| Item/Description | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Annual Cost |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------------|
| OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COS | TS | | | |
| Ozonation System ⁽¹²⁾ | 12 | per month | \$38,430 | \$461,160 |
| Chemical Additives | 12 | per month | \$15,000 | \$180,000 |
| Electrical Power ⁽¹³⁾ | 150 | kw-hr | \$0.08 | \$105,120 |
| Labor ⁽¹⁴⁾ | 2,920 | per hour | \$30 | \$87,600 |
| Analytical Cost ⁽¹⁵⁾ | 12 | per month | \$5,000 | \$60,000 |
| General Maintenance | 12 | per month | \$1,000 | \$12,000 |
| | | ANNUAL | 0&M COSTS ⁽¹⁶⁾ | \$906,000 |
| PRESENT WORTH | | | | |
| Interest Rate = 6% | Project Life = 20 Years | | | |

Parametric cost estimate based on standard engineering practice and costing methods. Refer to Section 6.0 of the Report for items not included in the cost estimate. Accuracy of cost estimate is within the +50% to -30% range.

20-YEAR PRESENT WORTH⁽¹⁶⁾

\$23,837,000

- 2 Single mobilization and demobilization assumed for the treatment system construction.
- 3 A 200-foot x 100-foot x 1-foot thick concrete slab on footings with a 1-foot containment berm.
- 4 50 percent of excavated soil disposed at a Subtitle D (non-hazardous) landfill.
- 5 A 6-inch diameter well, average vertical depth 30 feet bgs, 15-foot SS screen. Cost includes drilling, installation, well-head completion, development, pump electrical, and controls. Number of wells will vary depending on site hydrogeology and groundwater yield rates.
- 6 Assumes 2-inch double-contained HDPE pipe. Total pipe length will vary depending on well locations, pipe routing and layout, and the treatment system siting.
- 7 Each contactor 8 feet in diameter and 24 feet tall; SS 316 shell material with a top and bottom manway; no packing included.
- 8 Vendor quote (Ozonia, Lodi, NJ) for a complete system including liquid oxygen storage and feed, ozone generators, nitrogen generator and feed system, demisters, preheaters, residual ozone destruct units, vent gas blowers, and power supply and control systems.
- 9 Complete chemical feed system including chemical storage, day tanks, chemical feed pumps and piping, and control systems.
- # GAC vessels may be required by NDEQ. Cost not included in the estimate.
- # Parametric cost estimate based on standard engineering practice and costing methods.
- # Vendor quote (Ozonia, Lodi, NJ) based on per pound of ozone generated, excluding labor and chemical additives.
- # Excluding cost for the ozonation system which is included in item 12 above.
- # One operator, 8 hours per day, 7 days a week at \$30 per hour.
- # Analysis for pH, oil & grease, explosives, general minerals, and other parameters.
- # Cost values rounded to the nearest \$1,000.

7.0 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, & RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 INTRODUCTION & SYSTEM DESIGN

7.1.1. This project was aimed at demonstrating the applicability of the Peroxone process (i.e., Ozone with Hydrogen Peroxide) for the remediation of explosives-contaminated groundwater at the Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant (CAAP) in Grand Island, Nebraska. The primary contaminants were TNT, TNB, and RDX. The measured concentration of each of these contaminants in the groundwater varied from 114 μ g/L to 1200 μ g/L for TNT, 114 mg/L to 711 μ g/L for TNB, and 0.01 μ g/L to 74 μ g/L for RDX. The treated water concentration goal for each contaminant was set at 2 μ g/L.

7.1.2. The Peroxone demonstration plant design criteria, developed by the project Technical Advisory Board, was based on bench-scale and pilot-scale testing conducted by the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) at the Waterways Environmental Station (WES). The plant consisted of three main parts. The first part was a groundwater extraction system drawing water from two wells at CAAP. The second part was the main Peroxone treatment process which consisted of six (6) 12-foot high stainless-steel contactors operated in series (the sidewater depth in each contactor was approximately 10 ft). Hydrogen peroxide was added to the influent stream to each contactor while an ozone-rich gas stream was bubbled through each contactor via two stone diffusers installed at the bottom of each contactor. The third part was a Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) treatment process prior to discharging the water into a nearby ditch. The GAC treatment process consisted of three GAC vessels operated in series.

7.1.3. The Peroxone treatment process was designed to treat a maximum groundwater flow rate of 25 gpm at a maximum applied ozone dose of 55 mg/L in each of the six contactors. This results in a maximum total applied ozone dose of 330 mg/L. At the design flow rate of 25 gpm, the average hydraulic residence time (HRT) in each contactor was 24 minutes for a total HRT of 144 minutes. The hydrogen peroxide feed system was designed to provide sufficient hydrogen peroxide to result in a Peroxone weight ratio of 0.3 mg/mg. The Peroxone weight ratio is the ratio of applied hydrogen peroxide dose (expressed in mg/L) to the transferred ozone dose (expressed in mg/L).

7.2. SYSTEM TESTING PLAN

7.2.1. The treatment train was operated for a total of 14 weeks. During the first two weeks, debugging of the treatment processes and equipment was conducted. During the next four weeks, an optimization task was conducted during which the Peroxone process performance for contaminants destruction was evaluated under varying conditions of ozone dose, contact time, and water source. During the final eight (8) weeks of the testing schedule, a demonstration task was conducted during which the system was operated under two sets of conditions for a period of 4 weeks each.

7.2.2. During the first phase of the demonstration task, the system was operated at an average flow rate of 13 gpm (which corresponded to an average HRT of 46 minutes in each contactor), an average transferred ozone dose of 78 mg/L, and an average Peroxone ratio of 0.45 mg/mg. During the second phase of the demonstration task, the system was operated at an average flow rate of 25 gpm (which corresponded to an average HRT of 24 minutes in each contactor), an average transferred ozone dose of 44 mg/L, and an average Peroxone ratio of 0.57 mg/mg.

7.2.3. The performance of the treatment process was monitored on a daily basis. Water samples were collected from the effluent of each of the six contactors, as well as from the effluent of the GAC process, and transported to GP Laboratories in Gaithersburg, MD.

7.3. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

7.3.1. The experimental results obtained showed that TNB was the most difficult compound to remove with the Peroxone process, followed by RDX, and finally by TNT which was the most readily removed compound. However, the results of the project showed that the Peroxone system was not capable of achieving the target explosives' removals at the design dose of 330 mg/L and a total contact time of 144 minutes (2.4 hours). In order to achieve the target water quality goals, the contact time was increased to 276 minutes (4.6 hours) by reducing the groundwater flow rate into the system from 25 gpm to 13 gpm, and the applied ozone dose was increased to 600 mg/L. With an ozone transfer efficiency of approximately 78 percent, the transferred ozone dose was approximately 470 mg/L.

7.3.2. This project also demonstrated that the Peroxone ratio had to be increased from the design value of 0.3 mg/mg to approximately 0.5 mg/mg in order to maintain a low ozone residual in the effluent water and a high ozone transfer efficiency. Therefore, at the transferred ozone dose of 470 mg/L and a Peroxone ratio of 0.5 mg/mg, the required hydrogen peroxide dose was 235 mg/L divided equally among the six contactors.

7.3.3. While a high transferred ozone dose of 470 mg/L and a long contact time of 4.6 hours were required to meet the effluent water quality goal of 2 μ g/L for each individual contaminant, a lower transferred ozone dose of 265 mg/L and a shorter contact time of 2.4 hours removed TNB to an effluent concentration of 2 to 4 μ g/L, while achieving complete removals of TNT and RDX. Since the cost of the Peroxone process is highly impacted by the required ozone dose, this finding suggests that a hybrid treatment system of a Peroxone process for partial explosives removal, followed by a polishing treatment process (such as GAC adsorption) for removing the remaining explosives, may be far more cost effective than a stand-alone Peroxone process designed for complete explosives removal. However, it is noted that this approach does not address the possible formation of oxidation by-products which may consume the GAC capacity more rapidly.

7.4. MODEL DEVELOPMENT

7.4.1. In order to develop the design criteria for a 1000-gpm Peroxone treatment process, Montgomery Watson developed an empirical model to simulate the removal of TNT, TNB, and RDX by the Peroxone process. The model contained two empirical coefficients which were estimated by fitting the model calculated removals to those measured through each contactor. The model was successful in simulating the optimization task results and those of the first phase of the demonstration task. However, it somewhat underestimated the removals of TNT, TNB, and RDX measured during the second phase of the demonstration task.

7.4.2. It is emphasized that the mathematical model developed in this project is purely empirical, and is limited to the ranges of concentrations, doses, and contact times evaluated in this project. In addition, its accuracy is highly dependent on the hydraulics of the contactor, as well as the quality of the source water. Therefore, the model should be used with caution when estimating the removals of TNT, TNB, and RDX with the Peroxone process.

7.5. DESIGN & COST OF FULL-SCALE SYSTEM

7.5.1. Based on the results of the testing program, the empirical model was used to develop a preliminary design criteria and cost of a 1000-gpm Peroxone treatment system for removing TNT, TNB, and RDX from CAAP groundwater. There are several design configurations that are applicable for this plant. One of the configurations was selected for this plant, and is listed in Table 7-1. It should be noted that the design criteria is highly dependent on the influent concentrations of TNT, TNB, and RDX. For the purposes of this design, the groundwater concentrations of TNT, TNB, and RDX were assumed at 600 μ g/L, 400 μ g/L, and 200 μ g/L, respectively. The target effluent concentration of each contaminant was set at 2 μ g/L.

Table 7-1

Conceptual Design Criteria of 1000-gpm Peroxone Treatment Plant

| Parameter | Unit | Value |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Total Water Flow Rate | gpm | 1,000 |
| Number of Parallel Trains | | 4 |
| Flow Rate per Train | gpm | 250 |
| Number of Contactors per Train | | 7 |
| Total Number of Contactors | — | 28 |
| Contactor Type | stainless-steel cylindrical columns | |
| Contactor Diameter | ft | 8 |
| Side-water Depth | ft | 24 |
| Contact Time per Contactor | min | 36 |
| Total Contact Time | min | 252 |
| Ozone Dose per Contactor | mg/L | 30 |
| Total Ozone Dose per train | mg/L | 210 |
| Ozone Capacity | lbs/day | 2,520 |
| Ozone Transfer Efficiency* | % | 90 |
| Peroxone Ratio | mg/mg | 0.5 |
| Total Hydrogen Peroxide Dose | mg/L | 95 |
| Hydrogen Peroxide Capacity | lbs/day | 1,135 |

* The ozone transfer efficiency of 90% was assumed based on the project team's experience with the design of ozone contactors with such side water depth.

7.5.2. The plant consists of four (4) parallel trains, each with a capacity of 250 gpm. Each train included seven (7) stainless-steel cylindrical contactors in series. Each contactor had a diameter of 8 ft and a side-water depth of 24 ft. At a flow rate of 250 gpm per train, the estimated contact time through each contactor is estimated at 36 minutes, for a total contact time of 252 minutes. The applied ozone dose to each contactor was estimated at 30 mg/L for a total of 210 mg/L of water treated, which translates into a required ozone generation capacity of 2,520 lbs/day. An ozone transfer efficiency of 90% was assumed. With a Peroxone ratio of 0.5 mg/mg, the required hydrogen peroxide dose was thus estimated at 95 mg/L, which translates into a total required consumption of 1,135 lbs/day.

7.5.3. Based on the above design criteria, a preliminary capital and O&M cost estimates were developed for the 1000-gpm Peroxone treatment system. The cost breakdown is summarized in Table 7-2. The total system capital cost is estimated at \$13,447,000 and the annual Operations & Maintenance costs are estimated at \$906,000/yr. Assuming an amortization period of 20 years and a 6% cost of money, the total annual cost is estimated at \$2,079,000/yr. The 20-yr present worth of the system is estimated at \$23,837,000.

Table 7-2Preliminary Cost Breakdownfor the 1,000-gpm Peroxone System

| ITEM/DESCRIPTION | COST |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Direct Capital Cost | |
| General | \$739,200 |
| Groundwater Extraction System | \$2,350,000 |
| Treatment System | \$3,223,500 |
| Total Direct Capital Costs (DCC) | \$6,313,000 |
| Indirect Capital Costs | \$7,133,690 |
| Total Capital Cost | s \$13,447,000 |
| Amortized Capital Costs (8%; 30 yrs) | \$1,195,000 |
| Annual O&M Costs | \$906,000 |
| Total Annual Cost | \$2,079,000 |
| Total Cost of water | \$3.95/1000 gal |
| 20-year Present Worth | \$23,837,000 |

7.5.4. It should be noted that the capital cost includes \$600,000 for the construction of a total of 40 wells, and \$1,750,000 for conveyance piping. These wells are required because the maximum individual well capacity was estimated at 25 gpm. If hydrogeological studies at CAAP determine that wells can deliver significantly higher flow rates, significant savings can be realized by reducing the number of wells and length of piping required. In addition, due to the preliminary nature of the cost estimate, the indirect capital cost estimate includes approximately \$1,900,000 in capital cost contingency.

7.6. CONCLUSIONS

7.6.1. While this project demonstrated that TNT, TNB, and RDX can be reliably removed from groundwater using the Peroxone process, the amount of ozone and hydrogen peroxide needed, as well as the required contact time, are higher than initially anticipated. At CAAP, the required transferred ozone dose was estimated at 470 mg/L, with a required hydrogen peroxide dose of 235 mg/L and a contact time of 4.6 hours. These are high values when compared to ozone doses and contact times required for conventional groundwater remediation of typical organic contaminants. Due to these high chemical doses and high contact time, the total annual cost of a Peroxone treatment system designed to treat 1000 gpm of CAAP groundwater was estimated at \$2,079,000/year.

7.6.2. However, this project also demonstrated that substantially lower chemical doses and lower contact time can achieve near complete removals of TNB, which was the most difficult contaminant to remove. This suggests that a hybrid treatment system of a Peroxone process for partial explosives removal, followed by a polishing treatment process (such as GAC adsorption) for removing the remaining explosives, may be far more cost effective than a stand-alone Peroxone process designed for complete explosives removal. However, it is noted that this approach does not address the possible formation of oxidation by-products which may consume the GAC capacity more rapidly than anticipated. Therefore, the concept of the hybrid system should first be tested before a conclusion can be made about the cost effectiveness of such a system.

7.7. RECOMMENDATIONS

7.7.1. The following is a list of recommendations developed as a result of the outcome of this project. The objective of these recommendations is to possibly further minimize the overall system cost.

7.7.2. Applicability of a Hybrid System Design. It is recommended that a desktop study be conducted to evaluate the hybrid process design alternative discussed above, develop the optimum design criteria for each of the two processes (i.e., Peroxone and GAC), and verify whether this hybrid system will result in a minimum total system cost. Using the empirical Peroxone process model developed in this project and various GAC adsorption models presented in the literature, system design optimization should be feasible. If such models are not available, simple laboratory studies can be conducted using CAAP groundwater samples to evaluate the adsorption of TNT, TNB, and RDX onto various types of GAC. It was noted that an identical recommendation was included in the WES report in order to help meet the desired system performance criteria while minimizing the overall system cost.

7.7.3. Evaluation of Alternative Peroxone Design Criteria. As indicated earlier, the design parameters for the Peroxone system used in this project were set by USAEC. There are various other modes of ozone application during water treatment. Considering that the performance of an ozonation process is highly dependent on the mode of ozone application and contactor hydraulics, it is recommended that a study be conducted to evaluate various Peroxone system design criteria and come up with the most cost effective design.

7.7.4 Confirmation of CAAP Site Results. The performance of the Peroxone process is dependent on the water quality of the groundwater being treated. This project was conducted at a single site, and evaluated the remediation of explosives from one groundwater source. The chemical dose requirements and reaction kinetics are known to be function of the background organic matrix of the water being treated. Therefore, before the results of this study are extrapolated to other sites, it is recommended that the performance of the Peroxone process be tested at other sites using other groundwater sources to confirm whether or not such high chemical doses and contact times are also required for the treatment of other waters.

7.7.5 Challenging the 2 μ g/L Discharge Limit. The basis for the minimum concentration requirement of 2 μ g/L for each of TNT, TNB, and RDX set in the RFP is not known, and may not be based on scientific information regarding the health effects of these contaminants. It is noted that the chemical doses and contact time (i.e., system size) required to achieve an effluent TNB concentration of 4 μ g/L were virtually half those required to meet the 2 μ g/L limit. Therefore, it is our recommendation that this limit be challenged by conducting a wide review of all available information on the health effects of TNB in water. If the TNB discharge limit can be raised to 5 to 10 μ g/L, the cost of the treatment process may be substantially reduced by as much as 50 percent.

7.7.6 Conducting a more detailed cost estimate. The cost estimate developed in this report is a budgetary estimate. A more comprehensive engineering estimate should be developed in order to get a more accurate estimate of the treatment plant cost.

Appendix A

Peroxone System Construction Photographs

18 Foot x 48 foot secondary containment slab ready for Peroxone system.



Setting the first two stainless steel contactors on the slab.

CORNHUSKER ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT GRAND ISLAND, NEBRASKA PHOTOS 1 & 2



PROJECT NO. 1/6/97



Workers connecting distribution piping from extraction wells into contactor number 1.



All contactors set and 3" connection piping completed. 3 Carbon vessels delivered and set on pad (right).



CORNHUSKER ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT GRAND ISLAND, NEBRASKA PHOTOS 3 & 4


Completed wellhead for new TRW well showing 2" conveyance piping and sample port.



Water distribution system from fire hydrant (yellow object) to treatment system pad.

CORNHUSKER ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT GRAND ISLAND, NEBRASKA PHOTOS 5 & 6







Three 1,000 pound Carbon vessels rented from Calgon Corporation.



Six hydrogen peroxide chemical feed pumps, one for each contactor vessel.

CORNHUSKER ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT GRAND ISLAND, NEBRASKA PHOTOS 7 & 8





10 lb/day ozone generator (right) with power supply and control panel (left).



Ozone destruct unit, not yet connected to off-gas piping.

MONTGOMERY WATSON

CORNHUSKER ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT GRAND ISLAND, NEBRASKA PHOTOS 9 & 10



Leased liquid oxygen storage tank (right), oxygen evaporator (left), supplied by Linweld Oxygen.



Reverse osmosis water purification unit. Carbon filter canister (left), R.O. units 2" gray vertical piping (right center).



CORNHUSKER ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT GRAND ISLAND, NEBRASKA PHOTOS 11 & 12



Power company installing 480 volt, three phase transformers with pole.



Conveyance piping, power conduits, influent water line coming from building housing the ozone generator to the treatment pad.

MONTGOMERY WATSON





Sodium thiosulfate day tank with chemical metering pump and mixer.



Hydrogen peroxide day tanks (right-center foreground) with mixers and R.O. water supply connections.



CORNHUSKER ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT GRAND ISLAND, NEBRASKA PHOTOS 15 & 16



Ozone analyzer, showing connection tubing from ozone delivery lines. Contactor off-gas lines and oxygen supply line.



Completed peroxone demonstration system.



CORNHUSKER ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT GRAND ISLAND, NEBRASKA PHOTOS 17 & 18

Appendix B

-

Peroxone System OptimizationTesting Data

| | | | Contactor | Contactor | Contactor | | | | | | | | 24. | 26- 2- | Amino.4 6. | | 4-1 | Amino. 7 6. | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|---------------------|---------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | Water | Contactor | Applied . | Transferred | Peroxide | | Ozone | | | Total | | dinitro- | dinitro- | dinitro- | dinitro- | 2-Nitro- | 3-Nitro- | donitro- | 4-Nitro- | | Nitro- | |
| Date Test Location Wei | II Flow (enm) | HRT (min) | Ozone (me/L) | Ozone (me/L) | Dose 1 (m#/L) | PEROXONE Ratio | Residual | TNB TN (m/L) (m/ | T RDX | Nitrobodies (11#/L) | Nitrate (mv/L, N) | benzene (up/L.) | toluene (.ue/I.) | toluene (.r.o.fl.) | toluene (. Ital.) | toluene | toluene (ue/L.) | tolucne (up/L.) | tolucne (1.e/I.) | ('I/au) | benzene (us/L) | Tetryl (110/L.) |
| | | | | | | | | | 1-21.7- | 100 | | 1 | (L | | | 1-4-1 | 12 424 | 1-2-1 | 1-19-1 | 1-6-7 | 12 41 | 12.43 |
| 8/28/96 0201 INF1 1 | 25.0 | | | | | | | 428 73 | 1 45 | 1560 | 5.71 | 2.2 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 331 | BQL | 10.8 | BQL | 14.3 |
| 8/28/96 0201 INF2 1 | 25.0 | | | | | | | 711 120 | 0 73 | 2570 | 3.9 | 3.3 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 538 | BQL | 25.4 | BQL | 18 |
| 8/28/96 0201 INF3 1 | 25.0 | | | | | | | 498 80 | 0 74 | 2130 | 2.88 | BQL | BQL | BQL | 317 | BQL | BQL | 416 | BQL | 14.5 | BQL | 13.9 |
| 8/28/96 0201 C1/2 1 | 25.0 | 23.9 | 60.0 | 37.0 | 14.5 | 0.39 | 2.7 | 564 78 | 9 39 | 1410 | 0.207 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 18.3 | BQL | 2.1 |
| 8/28/96 0201 C1/4 1 | 25.0 | 23.9 | 60.0 | 37.0 | 14.5 | 0.39 | 2.2 | 429 54 | 8 57 | 1120 | 0.187 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 78.9 | BQL | 2.3 |
| 8/28/96 0201 C1/6 1 | 25.0 | 23.9 | 60.0 | 37.0 | 14.5 | 0.39 | 2.6 | 721 80 | 0 125 | 1800 | 0.374 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 147 | BQL | 2.8 |
| 8/28/96 0201 C1/8 1 | 25.0 | 23.9 | 60.0 | 37.0 | 14.5 | 0.39 | 2.1 | 705 75 | 2 55 | 1530 | 0.382 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 13 | BQL | 1.8 |
| 8/28/96 0201 C1/0 1 | 25.0 | 23.9 | 60.0 | 37.0 | 14.5 | 0.39 | 1.8 | 330 34 | 2 34 | 719 | 3.22 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 11.9 | BQL | 1:1 |
| 8/28/96 0201 C2/0 1 | 25.0 | 23.9 | 60.0 | 35.0 | 14.5 | 0.41 | 1.2 | 11 671 | 1 1.2 | 292 | 1.98 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.7 | BQL | 0.1 |
| 8/28/96 0201 C3/0 1 | 25.0 | 23.9 | 60.0 | 38.0 | 14.5 | 0.38 | 2.8 | 100 36 | 1 5.2 | 146 | 1.53 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4.7 | BQL | 0.2 |
| 8/28/96 0201 C4/0 1 | 25.0 | 23.9 | 60.0 | 37.0 | 14.5 | 0.39 | 2.0 | 53 9. | 2 1.6 | 6.99 | 1.08 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3.1 | BQL | BQL |
| 8/28/96 0201 C5/0 1 | 25.0 | 23.9 | 60.0 | 35.0 | 14.5 | 0.41 | 1.2 | 34.3 3. | 8 0.9 | 41.7 | 1.8 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 2.7 | BQL | BQL |
| 8/28/96 0201 C6/01 1 | 25.0 | 23.9 | 60.0 | 37.0 | 14.5 | 0.39 | 2.7 | 18.7 1. | 2 0.4 | 22.3 | 0.302 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 2 | BQL | BQL |
| 8/28/96 0201 C6/02 1 | 25.0 | 23.9 | 60.0 | 37.0 | 14.5 | 0.39 | | 26.1 1.2 | 3 0.6 | 29.9 | 0.384 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1.9 | BQL | BQL |
| 8/28/96 0201 GAC1 1 | | | | | | | | BQL BQ | il bol | BQL | 0.675 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 8/31/96 0202 INFI 1 | 18.0 | | | | | | | 413 61 | 6 42 | 1350 | 1.9 | 1.5 | BQL | 14.4 | BQL | BQL | BQL | 246 | BQL | 10.4 | BQL | 8 |
| 8/31/96 0202 C1/2 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 65.0 | 53.0 | 15.0 | 0.28 | 1.5 | 154 12 | 2 12 | 299 | 2.22 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 10.2 | BQL | 0.3 |
| 8/31/96 0202 C1/4 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 65.0 | 53.0 | 15.0 | 0.28 | 1.4 | 233 18 | 1 18 | 440 | 2.21 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 7.6 | BQL | 0.4 |
| 8/31/96 0202 C1/6 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 65.0 | 53.0 | 15.0 | 0.28 | 1.1 | 206 15 | 6 15 | 384 | 2.19 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 6.5 | BQL | 0.3 |
| 8/31/96 0202 C1/8 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 65.0 | 53.0 | 15.0 | 0.28 | 1.0 | 108 8 | 8 17 | 216 | 2.15 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 6.7 | BQL | 0.4 |
| 8/31/96 0202 C1/0 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 65.0 | 53.0 | 15.0 | 0.28 | 0.8 | 150 11 | 3 12 | 280 | 2.13 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 5.3 | BQL | BQL |
| 8/31/96 0202 C2/0 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 65.0 | 46.0 | 15.0 | 0.33 | 2.9 | 45.1 36 | .1 3.2 | 86.3 | 2.32 | 0.1 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1.8 | BQL | BQL |
| 8/31/96 0202 C3/0 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 65.0 | 48.0 | 15.0 | 0.31 | 4.9 | 10 8. | 9 0.4 | 19.8 | 2.35 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.5 | BQL | BQL |
| 8/31/96 0202 C4/0 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 65.0 | 48.0 | 15.0 | 0.31 | 3.0 | 8.9 1. | 9 0.3 | 11.5 | 3.12 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.4 | BQL | BQL |
| 8/31/96 0202 C5/0 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 65.0 | 41.0 | 15.0 | 0.37 | 2.0 | 13.2 0. | 7 0.2 | 15.1 | 2.37 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | I | BQL | BQL |
| 8/31/96 0202 C6/01 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 65.0 | 51.0 | 15.0 | 0.29 | 0.8 | 6.4 0. | 2 BQL | 7.3 | 2.53 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.7 | BQL | BQL |
| 8/31/96 0202 C6/02 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 65.0 | 51.0 | 15.0 | 0.29 | | 2.5 0. | 2 BQL | 2.7 | 2.64 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 8/31/96 0202 GAC3 1 | | | | | | | | BQL B(| jl BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 8/30/96 0203 INF1 1 | 18.0 | | | | | | | 513 82 | 3 59 | 1820 | 1.98 | 2.3 | BQL | 22.6 | BQL | BQL | BQL | 369 | BQL | 14.7 | BQL . | 11.5 |
| 8/30/96 0203 INF2 1 | 18.0 | | | | | | | 134 51 | 7 48 | 978 | 1.92 | 1.8 | BQL | 23 | BQL | BQL | BQL | 230 | BQL | 15.9 | BQL | 9.2 |
| 8/30/96 0203 INF3 1 | 18.0 | | | | | | | 497 78 | 9 63 | 1750 | 19.4 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 374 | BQL | 14.1 | BQL | 15.2 |
| 8/30/96 0203 C1/2 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 66.0 | 18.0 | 0.27 | 2.7 | 252 22 | 11 18 | 500 | 2.26 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 8 | BQL | 0.6 |
| 8/30/96 0203 C1/4 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 66.0 | 18.0 | 0.27 | 2.2 | 184 14 | 9 16 | 362 | 2.24 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 6.9 | BQL | 0.9 |
| 8/30/96 0203 C1/6 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 66.0 | 18.0 | 0.27 | 2.6 | 125 10 | II 60 | 249 | 2.32 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3.8 | BQL | 0.3 |
| 8/30/96 0203 C1/8 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 66.0 | 18.0 | 0.27 | 2.1 | Brkn Br | kn Brkn | Brkn | 2.17 | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn |
| 8/30/96 0203 C1/0 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 66.0 | 18.0 | 0.27 | 1.8 | 335 29 | 4 26 | 667 | 2.36 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 10.7 | BQL | 0.9 |
| 8/30/96 0203 C2/0 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 58.0 | 18.0 | 0.31 | 1.2 | 54.7 41 | .4 4.6 | 109 | 2.4 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4.6 | BQL | 3.1 | 0.3 | BQL |
| 8/30/96 0203 C3/0 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 56.0 | 18.0 | 0.32 | 2.8 | 17.6 7. | 4 0.7 | 29.6 | 2.46 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 2.5 | BQL | 1.2 | 0.2 | BQL |
| 8/30/96 0203 C4/0 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 56.0 | 18.0 | 0.32 | 2.0 | 10 | 9 0.4 | 11 | 2.5 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3.7 | BQL | 0.8 | 0.2 | BQL |
| 8/30/96 0203 C5/0 1 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 52.0 | 18.0 | 0.35 | 1.2 | Brkn Br | kn Brkr | Brkn | 2.65 | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn |

Page 1 of 4

| | | | Contactor | - Contactor | Contactor | | | | | | | 2.4. | 76. 1.4 | mino-4.6- | | 4-4 | A C. onio | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|------------|------------------|----------------|------------|---------|----------|---------|---------------|
| | Wate | y Contacte | r Applied | Transferred | Peroxide | | Ozone | | Total | | dinitro- | dinite- | dinitro- | linitro- 2 | -Nitro- 3- | Nitro- do | nitro- 4-1 | Vitro- | | Nitro- | |
| Date Test Location V | Vell Flov | v HRT | Ozone | Ozone | Dose | PEROXONE | Residual | TNB TNT RDXI | Nitrobodie | s Nitrate | henzene | toluene | tolucne | ioluene 1 | oluene te | duene to | lucne to | luene 1 | HMX h | cnzcne | Teiryl |
| | udg) | (min) (r | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | Ratio | (mg/L) | (hg/L) (hg/L) (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (mg/L N) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (Jug/L) (| pg/L) (J | <u>ц</u> () (µ | g/L) (µ | ß/L) (J | ıғ/L) () | hg/L) (| ц <u>г/L)</u> |
| 8/30/96 0203 C6/01 | 1 18.(|) 33.2 | 85.0 | 52.0 | 18.0 | 0.35 | 2.7 | 4.7 0.1 BQL | 6.6 | 2.71 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | JQL | 1.8 E | I TO | 30L | BQL | BOL |
| 8/30/96 0203 C6/02 | 1 18.(|) 33.2 | 85.0 | 52.0 | 18.0 | 0.35 | | 4.3 BQL BQL | 4.8 | 2.74 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | jõr | 0.5 B | GL F | 3QL | BQL | BQL |
| 8/30/96 0203 GAC3 | 1 | | | | | | | BQL BQL BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | QL B | IQL B | I OF | 3QL | BQL | BQL |
| 9/3/96 0204 INF1 | I 13.(| 6 | | | | | | 498 692 55 | 1510 | 7.92 | 6.1 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL I | 3QL | 242 E | ΰ | 7.5 | BQL | 10.8 |
| 9/3/96 0204 C1/2 | I 13.(| 0 46.0 | 115.0 | 87.0 | 22.5 | 0.26 | 2.0 | 180 126 16 | 330 | 2.82 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL 1 | BQL E | i i i | βΓ | 7 | BQL | 0.8 |
| 9/3/96 0204 C1/4 | 1 13.(| 0 46.0 | 115.0 | 87.0 | 22.5 | 0.26 | 2.7 | 158 114 15 | 294 | 5.11 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL I | 3QL E | IOL E | ιδΓ | 6.6 | BQL | 0.6 |
| 9/3/96 0204 C1/6 | 1 13.0 | 0 46.0 | 115.0 | 87.0 | 22.5 | 0.26 | 2.2 | 194 131 16 | 349 | 5.99 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL 1 | 3QL E | IQL E | ŋŊ | 7.3 | BQL | 0.6 |
| 9/3/96 0204 C1/8 | 1 13.4 | 0 46.0 | 115.0 | 87.0 | 22.5 | 0.26 | 2.0 | 169 131 17 | 325 | 6.36 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL 1 | 3QL E | IQL E | Ŋ | 7.6 | BQL | 0.6 |
| 9/3/96 0204 C1/0 | 1 13.4 | 9 46.0 | 115.0 | 87.0 | 22.5 | 0.26 | 1.7 | 226 155 16 | 406 | 10.9 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL 1 | 3QL E | QL E | βGΓ | 7.7 | BQL | 0.7 |
| 9/3/96 0204 C2/0 | 1 13.0 | 0 46.0 | 115.0 | 79.0 | 22.5 | 0.28 | 3.5 | 59.6 18.2 3.6 | 85.2 | 9.71 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL 1 | 3QL E | 3QL E | Ŋ | 3.6 | BQL | 0.2 |
| 9/3/96 0204 C3/0 | 1 13.4 | 0 46.0 | 115.0 | 87.0 | 22.5 | 0.26 | 2.5 | Brkn Brkn Brkn | Brkn | 8.8 | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn l | 3rkn E | 3rkn E | lrkn l | 3rkn | Brkn | Brkn |
| 9/3/96 0204 C4/0 | 1 13.4 | 0 46.0 | 115.0 | 74.0 | 22.5 | 0.30 | 4.2 | 11.1 0.6 BQL | 12.7 | 9.94 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3QL E | 30L F | Ŋ | 1 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/3/96 0204 C5/0 | 1 13.4 | 0 46.0 | 115.0 | 74.0 | 22.5 | 0.30 | 2.8 | 4.2 BQL BQL | 4.8 | 7.94 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3QL E | 3QL E | 3QL | 0.6 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/3/96 0204 C6/01 | 1 13.4 | 0 46.0 | 115.0 | 79.0 | 22.5 | 0.28 | 3.0 | 1.8 BQL BQL | 1.8 | 9.02 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3QL F | 3QL E | I JQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 9/3/96 0204 C6/02 | 1 13.4 | 0 46.0 | 115.0 | 79.0 | 22.5 | 0.28 | | 1.6 BQL BQL | 1.6 | 8.59 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3QL F | 3QL E | i Jõ | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 9/3/96 0204 GAC3 | 1 | | | | | | | BQL BQL BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3QL F | 3QL F | 3QL 1 | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0205 INF1 | 2 18. | 0 | | | | | | 470 536 21 | 1150 | 20 | BQL | BQL | 8 | BQL | BQL | 30L 8 | 37.3 H | ЗQL | 5.6 | BQL | 20.8 |
| 9/2/96 0205 INF2 | 2 18. | 0 | | | | | | 711 626 29 | 1560 | 8.52 | BQL | BQL | 21.2 | BQL | BQL | 3QL | 109 | 3QL | 6.9 | 24.9 | 31.4 |
| 9/2/96 0205 C1/2 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 43.0 | 34.0 | 9.0 | 0.26 | 0.9 | 783 278 15 | 1080 | 8.94 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3QL I | 3QL I | 3QL | 8.9 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0205 C1/4 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 43.0 | 34.0 | 9.0 | 0.26 | 0.9 | 395 226 15 | 646 | 7.36 | BQL | BQL | 1.2 | BQL | BQL | 3QL F | 3QL I | 3QL | 6 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0205 C1/6 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 43.0 | 34.0 | 9.0 | 0.26 | 0.9 | 545 309 20 | 887 | 8.81 | BQL | BQL | 1.5 | BQL | BQL | BQL F | 3QL I | 3QL | 11.3 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0205 C1/8 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 43.0 | 34.0 | 9.0 | 0.26 | 0.9 | 411 243 15 | 619 | 8.79 | BQL | BQL | 1.2 | BQL | BQL | 3QL I | 3QL I | 3QL | 8.5 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0205 C1/0 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 43.0 | 34.0 | 9.0 | 0.26 | 0.7 | 333 197 12 | 549 | 9.81 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL I | 3QL I | 3QL | 7 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0205 C2/0 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 43.0 | 27.0 | 9.0 | 0.33 | 2.9 | 223 92.8 5.1 | 325 | 7.41 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL I | 3QL I | 3QL | 3.9 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0205 C3/0 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 43.0 | 29.0 | 9.0 | 0.31 | 2.2 | 149 37.3 2.6 | 192 | 6.89 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL I | 3QL 1 | 3QL | 2.9 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0205 C4/0 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 43.0 | 24.0 | 9.0 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 39 16.6 0.7 | 57.5 | 8.42 | 0.1 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL 1 | 301 | 3QL | 1.1 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0205 C5/0 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 43.0 | 24.0 | 9.0 | 0.38 | 2.5 | 85.7 9.1 0.8 | 98.1 | 10.2 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL I | 3QL 1 | 3QL | 2.5 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0205 C6/01 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 43.0 | 26.0 | 9.0 | 0.35 | 2.7 | 46.9 2.5 BQL | 51.1 | 8.09 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 8QL 1 | 30F 1 | 3QL | 1.7 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0205 C6/02 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 43.0 | 26.0 | 0.0 | 0.35 | | 23.7 1.6 BQL | 26 | 8.89 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL [.] | BQL | 3QL 1 | 3QL | 0.7 | BQL ' | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0205 GAC3 | 7 | | | | | | | BQL BQL BQL | BQL | 10.1 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL 1 | BQL I | 3QL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 8/31/96 0206 INFI | 2 18. | 0 | | | | | | 114 439 28 | 755 | 13.4 | - | BQL | 21.5 | BQL | BQL | BQL | 120 | 3QL | 5.5 | BQL | 26.1 |
| 8/31/96 0206 INF2 | 2 18. | 0 | | | | | | 202 594 30 | 1040 | 12.3 | 1.4 | BQL | 25.9 | BQL | BQL | BQL | 143 | 3QL | 7 | BQL | 33.7 |
| 8/31/96 0206 C1/2 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 65.0 | 52.0 | 15.0 | 0.29 | 0.9 | 14.8 20.3 1.7 | 38.5 | 37.2 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL 1 | 3QL | 1.7 | BQL | BQL |
| 8/31/96 0206 C1/4 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 65.0 | 52.0 | 15.0 | 0.29 | 1.1 | 17 21.3 1.6 | 41.6 | 11.8 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3QL | 1.7 | BQL | BQL |
| 8/31/96 0206 C1/6 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 65.0 | 52.0 | 15.0 | 0.29 | 1.2 | 15.9 21.2 1.9 | 40.7 | 11.8 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | зQL | 1.7 | BQL | BQL |
| 8/31/96 0206 C1/8 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 65.0 | 52.0 | 15.0 | 0.29 | 0.9 | Brkn Brkn Brkn | Brkn | 12.1 | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn 1 | Brkn | 3rkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn |
| 8/31/96 0206 C1/0 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 65.0 | 52.0 | 15.0 | 0.29 | 0.7 | 3 3.2 0.2 | 6.7 | 12.8 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3QL | 0.3 | BQL | BQL |
| 8/31/96 0206 C2/0 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 65.0 | 45.0 | 15.0 | 0.33 | 2.4 | 17 14.2 1.3 | 34.2 | 12.7 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1.7 | BQL | BQL |
| 8/31/96 0206 C3/0 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 65.0 | 49.0 | 15.0 | 0.31 | 1.5 | 3.2 1.1 BQL | 4.8 | 13.4 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.5 | BQL | BQL |
| 8/31/96 0206 C4/0 | 2 18. | 0 33.2 | 65.0 | 44.0 | 15.0 | 0.34 | 2.8 | Brkn Brkn Brkn | Brkn | 13.3 | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn . | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn |

Page 2 of 4

| | | | Contactor | Contactor | Contactor | | | | | | | | 2,4- 2 | ,6- ?-An | ino-4,6- | | 4-An | nino-2,6- | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|----------|----------|---------------|--------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|---------|----------------|--------------|
| | Water | Contactor | Applied | Transferred | Peroxide | | Ozone | | | Total | - - | nitro- di | nitro- dir | ilro-di | nitro- 2-N | Vitro- 3-1 | Vitro- do | ultro- 4-D | kiro- | | Niln- | |
| Date Test Location Wel | How (| HKI | Ozone | Ozone | Dose | PERUXUNE | Kcsidual | INE INI | | robodics P | Autrate by | azene to | lucne tol | uene to | lucne tol | uene to | uene tol | uene tob | ucne F | 4 XWF | curche | ctry |
| | (mdg) | (uiu) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | Katio | (mg/L) | (Hg/L) (Hg/L) | (1)/81 | <u>н (л/д н</u> | 16/T N) (I | ig/L) (µ | ı <u>ғ</u> /L) (н | ñr) (1 | <u>g/L) (µ</u> | <u>r) (µ</u> | <u>g'L) (µ</u> | <u>g/L) (µ</u> | g/L) (J |) (1/år | <u>нв/L) (</u> | <u>ig(L)</u> |
| 8/31/96 0206 C5/0 2 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 65.0 | 37.0 | 15.0 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 5.4 2.1 | 0.2 | 8.7 | 12.9 H | BQL B | IQL B | QL | iQL B | QL B | QL B | QL B | Ъ | 0.7 | BQL | ŋ |
| 8/31/96 0206 C6/01 2 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 65.0 | 52.0 | 15.0 | 0.29 | 1.2 | 1 BQL | BQL | 1.3 | 12.7 I | 3QL B | SQL B | or e | IQL B | QL B | QL B | QL B | ٥٢ | 0.3 | BQL | 3QL |
| 8/31/96 0206 GAC31 2 | | | | | | | | BQL BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL I | BQL B | IQL B | OL E | IQL B | QL B | QL B | QL B | OL E | 3QL | BQL | ЗQL |
| 8/31/96 0206 GAC32 2 | | | | | | | | BQL BQL | BQL | BQL (| 0.236 1 | 3QL B | BQL B | OL E | IQL B | QL B | QL B | QL B | QL E | 3QL | BQL | 3QL |
| 9/1/96 0207 INF1 2 | 18.0 | | | | | | | 195 446 | 28 | 818 | 5.42 I | BQL B | I I | 3.9 E | IQL B | QL B | or I | 03 B | QL | 5.2 | BQL | 27.5 |
| 9/1/96 0207 INF2 2 | 18.0 | | | | | | | 512 645 | 20 | 1330 | 4.3 I | 3QL E | I ID | 1.8 F | IQL B | QL B | or I | 13 B | QL | 5 | BQL | 22.6 |
| 9/1/96 0207 INF3 2 | 18.0 | | | | | | | 461 508 | 24 | 1120 | 6.37 1 | 3QL E | NGL (| 5.5 H | BQL B | QL B | or 8 | 9.7 B | QL | 5.3 | BQL | 24.8 |
| 9/1/96 0207 C1/2 2 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 67.0 | 18.0 | 0.27 | 1.5 | 200 134 | 15 | 359 | 5.95 | 0.1 E | 30L (|).6 F | BQL B | OL E | QL B | QL B | ğ | 8.8 | BQL | 0.8 |
| 9/1/96 0207 C1/4 2 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 67.0 | 18.0 | 0.27 | 2.0 | 187 113 | 7.8 | 313 | 4.48 I | 3QL E | BQL B | GL F | BQL B | OL E | QL B | QL B | QL | 4.9 | BQL | 0.5 |
| 9/1/96 0207 C1/6 2 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 67.0 | 18.0 | 0.27 | 2.0 | 203 123 | 9.5 | 342 | 5.06 1 | 3QL E | SQL B | 6r | IQL B | QL E | QL B | QL B | QL | 6.5 | BQL | 0.5 |
| 9/1/96 0207 C1/8 2 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 67.0 | 18.0 | 0.27 | 1.8 | Brkn Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | 5.46 1 | 3rkn E | 3rkn B | rkn E | srkn B | irkn E | irkn B | rkn B | rkn F | 3rkn | Brkn | 3rkn |
| 9/1/96 0207 C1/0 2 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 67.0 | 18.0 | 0.27 | 1.0 | 198 128 | 8.9 | 342 | 5.46] | 3QL E | BQL B | or i | BQL B | GL E | IQL B | QL B | βΓ | 6.3 | BQL | 0.9 |
| 9/1/96 0207 C2/0 2 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 57.0 | 18.0 | 0.32 | 3.6 | 79 28.5 | 2.5 | 113 | 5.75 | 3QL E | BQL B | 6F I | SQL B | IQL E | IQL B | QL B | ßL | 2.8 | BQL | 3QL |
| 9/1/96 0207 C3/0 2 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 64.0 | 18.0 | 0.28 | 2.2 | 52 10.4 | - | 65.6 | 6.6 | 3QL E | BQL B | QL F | BQL B | IQL E | IQL B | QL B | ΰ | 2.2 | BQL | 3QL |
| 9/1/96 0207 C4/0 2 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 58.0 | 18.0 | 0.31 | 4.6 | 3.3 1.1 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 7.57 | 3QL E | BQL B | QL I | BQL B | IQL E | IQL B | QL B | 10r | 0.2 | BQL | 3QL |
| 9/1/96 0207 C5/0 2 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 50.0 | 18.0 | 0.36 | 4.5 | 2.1 0.4 | BQL | 2.5 | 5.73 | 3QL E | BQL B | GL I | BQL B | IQL E | IQL B | IQL B | IOL I | BQL | BQL | 3QL |
| 9/1/96 0207 C6/01 2 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 62.0 | 18.0 | 0.29 | 2.2 | 4.1 BQL | BQL | 4.1 | 9.07 | 3QL E | 3QL B | 0F | BQL B | IQL E | IQL B | IQL B | I ID | BQL | BQL | 3QL |
| 9/1/96 0207 C6/02 2 | 18.0 | 33.2 | 85.0 | 62.0 | 18.0 | 0.29 | | 3.9 BQL | BQL | 3.9 | 4.31 | 3QL E | 3QL B | GL I | BQL B | IQL E | IQL B | IQL B | I I | BQL | BQL | 3QL |
| 9/1/96 0207 GAC3 2 | | | | | | | | 0.7 0.4 | BQL | 1.1 | 4.48 | 3QL F | 3QL B | ٦ و۲ | BQL B | IQL F | IQL B | iQL B | I I J J | BQL | BQL | 3QL |
| 9/2/96 0208 INFI 2 | 13.0 | | | | | | | 370 335 | 14 | 776 | 7.16 | 3QL I | 3QL B | ן ער | BQL B | I TQ | iQL 5 | 2.6 B | ßL | 3.8 | BQL | 3QL |
| 9/2/96 0208 INF2 2 | 13.0 | | | | | | | 546 508 | 28 | 1200 | 8.57 | 0.9 I | 3QL | 1.6 | 3QL B | igt I | gL 8 | 2.9 B | ğ | 7.6 | BQL | 23 |
| 9/2/96 0208 C1/2 2 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 115.0 | 90.0 | 22.5 | 0.25 | 1.8 | 177 110 | 6.6 | 298 | 8.01 | 30r | 3QL B | i or | BQL B | igL F | IQL B | IQL B | ığı | 4.4 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0208 C1/4 2 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 115.0 | 90.06 | 22.5 | 0.25 | 2.5 | 126 89 | 4.6 | 223 | 10.9 | 0.2 I | 3QL B | ן קר | 3QL B | I TÒ | IQL E | IQL B | ğ | 3.3 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0208 C1/6 2 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 115.0 | 90.06 | 22.5 | 0.25 | 2.0 | 154 97.3 | S | 260 | 7.41 | 0.2 1 | 3QL B | - Gr | 3QL B | M I | QL E | IQL B | ß | 3.6 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0208 C1/8 2 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 115.0 | 90.0 | 22.5 | 0.25 | 1.8 | 168 102 | 6.1 | 280 | 80 | BQL I | 3QL E | ۲ ۲ | 3QL B | I I I | 30L E | IQL B | ЗQL | 3.8 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0208 C1/0 2 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 115.0 | 90.0 | 22.5 | 0.25 | 1.4 | 252 111 | 7.8 | 376 | 9.4 | BQL I | 3QL E | - G | 3QL B | IQL F | 3QL E | IQL B | ßL | 5.3 | BQL | 0.4 |
| 9/2/96 0208 C2/0 2 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 115.0 | 78.0 | 22.5 | 0.29 | 3.5 | 34.5 14.1 | 0.9 | 50.7 | 9.13 | BQL | BQL E | i l l l | BQL B | IQL I | 3QL E | BQL B | 3QL | 1.2 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0208 C3/0 2 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 115.0 | 85.0 | 22.5 | 0.26 | 3.0 | 17 3.3 | 0.4 | 21.6 | 8 | BQL | 3QL E | - 10 | BQL B | 3QL I | I I I | IQL B | ßQL | 0.9 | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0208 C4/0 2 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 115.0 | 71.0 | 22.5 | 0.32 | 4.3 | Brkn Brkn | Brkn | Brkn | <i>PT</i> 70 | Brkn I | 3rkn E | irkn | 3rkn B | 3rkn F | 3rkn E | srkn B | Brkn | Brkn | Brkn' | Brkn |
| 9/2/96 0208 C5/0 2 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 115.0 | 76.0 | 22.5 | 0.30 | 2.2 | 5 0.2 | BQL | 5.2 | 9.85 | BQL I | BQL E | бГ | 3QL B | 3QL I | 3QL E | SQL B | 3QL | BQL · | BQL | BQL |
| 9/2/96 0208 C6/01 2 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 115.0 | 79.0 | 22.5 | 0.28 | 3.3 | 1.7 BQL | BQL | 1.7 | 9.36 | 1 JQE | BQL E | ۲ ۲ | 3QL B | 3QL I | 3QL E | 3QL E | 3QL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 9/10/96 0209 INF1 1 | 13.0 | | | | | | | 466 803 | 56 | 1750 | 1.84 | BQL | BQL 2 | 7.3 | 3QL B | 3QL | ğ | 378 E | 3QL | 13.9 | BQL | 10.3 |
| 9/10/96 0209 INF2 1 | 13.0 | | | | | | | 380 616 | 52 | 1360 | 1.98 | BQL | BQL | 1.5 | 3QL B | 3QL 1 | SQL | 270 E | 3QL | 8.2 | BQL | 9.2 |
| 9/10/96 0209 INF3 1 | 13.0 | | | | | | | 456 683 | 45 | 1520 | 2.21 | BQL I | BQL | 0.4 | BQL B | 3QL I | 3QL | 302 E | 3QL | 10 | BQL | 6 |
| 9/10/96 0209 C1/2 1 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 38.0 | 32.0 | 16.9 | 0.53 | 0.0 | 280 245 | 87 | 626 | 1.94 | BQL | BQL | 0.7 | BQL B | 3QL I | 3QL | 2.3 E | 3QL | 01 | BQL | 0.7 |
| 9/10/96 0209 C1/4 1 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 38.0 | 32.0 | 16.9 | 0.53 | 0.0 | 289 254 | 68 | 647 | 2.12 | 0.2 | BQL | 0.9 | BQL B | 3QL 1 | 3QL | 2.1 E | 3QL | 11.4 | BQL | 0.8 |
| 9/10/96 0209 C1/6 1 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 38.0 | 32.0 | 16.9 | 0.53 | 0.0 | 269 230 | 68 | 598 | 2.19 | BQL | BQL | 0.4 | BQL E | 3QL 1 | 3QL | 0.5 E | 3QL | 9.3 | BQL | 0.7 |
| 9/10/96 0209 C1/8 1 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 38.0 | 32.0 | 16.9 | 0.53 | 0.0 | 274 239 | 82 | 612 | 2.15 | 0.1 | BQL | _ | BQL E | 3QL 1 | 3QL | 3.6 E | 3QL | 10.9 | BQL | 0.8 |
| 9/10/96 0209 C1/0 1 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 38.0 | 32.0 | 16.9 | 0.53 | 0.0 | 260 232 | 78 | 583 | 2.21 | 0.1 | BQL | 0.8 | BQL E | 3QL 1 | 3QL | 2.8 E | 3QL | 8.8 | BQL | 0.6 |
| 9/10/96 0209 C2/0 1 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 38.0 | 32.0 | 16.9 | 0.53 | 0.2 | 264 152 | 75 | 501 | 2.29 | BQL | BQL F | ß | BQL E | 3QL 1 | 3QL F | 3QL E | 3QL . | 9.2 | BQL | 0.5 |

Page 3 of 4

| | | Tetryl | (µg/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 8.9 | 6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | - | 0.5 | 0.2 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
|------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Nitro- | henzene | (hg/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| | | ХМН | (µg/L) | 5 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.4 | BQL | 7.9 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 2 | Ι | 0.5 | 0.9 |
| | 4-Nitro- | tolucne | (µg/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| Amino-2,6- | fonitro- | tolucne | (µg/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.4 | 250 | 253 | BQL |
| 4 | 3-Nitro- | tolucne | (Jug/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| | 2-Nilro- | toluene | (µg/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| mino-4,6- | linitro- | ioluene 1 | (Hg/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 2,6- <u>?</u> -A | finitro- o | oluene | (µg/L) (| BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 23.4 | 22 | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.5 | BQL |
| 2,4- | dinitro- o | tolucne | (Jug/L) (| BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 1,3- | dinitro- | benzene | (hg/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1:1 | BQL |
| | | Nitrate | mg/L N) | 2.3 | 2.52 | 2.4 | 2.23 | 2.42 | 6.83 | 2.12 | 1.87 | 2.26 | 2.21 | 2.41 | 2.25 | 2.13 | 2.19 | 2.11 | 2.43 | 2.75 | 2.71 | 2.9 |
| | otal | obodics | (1) (1/đ | 162 | 8.4 | 86.4 | 26.4 | 17.6 | 2.3 | 420 | 400 | 414 | 337 | 528 | 460 | 414 | 155 | 56 | 34.2 | 14.9 | 6.4 | 8 |
| | - | DX Nitro | б/г) (h | 81 | 8.8 | .8 | .7 2 | ы СГ | Ъ | 1 5 I | 48 1 | , 20 | 5 | 22 | 21 | 18 | 9 | 1.7 | 5.7 | - G | G | QL |
| | | NT R | g/L) (µj | 8.6 | 13 | 3.8 | 8.1 | I.2 B | I.1 B | 31 | 524 | 20 | 34 | 813 | 86 | 99 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 3.7 (| - B | 0.3 B | 0.3 B |
| | | TNB T | ц) (J/gu | 100 3 | 1 8.3 | 29.6 | 22.3 | 15 | 0.8 | 451 (| 439 (| 216 | 180 | 279 2 | 243 | 221 | 102 4 | 39.7 | 27.8 | 12.9 | 5.6 | 6.8 |
| | Ozone | Residual | (mg/L) () | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | | | | | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.1 | |
| | | ROXONE | Ratio | 0.53 | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | | | | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.44 | 0.44 |
| ontactor | croxide | Dose PE | (mg/L) | 16.9 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 16.9 | | | | 19.8 | 19.8 | 8.61 | 19.8 | 8.61 | 8.61 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 19.8 |
| ontactor C | ansferred F | Ozone | (mg/L) | 32.0 | 30.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | | | | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 42.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Contactor C | Applied Tr | Ozone | (mg/L) | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | | | | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 |
| | Contactor | HRT | (min) | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | | | | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 |
| | Water (| Flow | (mdg | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| | | Well | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | ~ | | | - |
| | | ocation | | C3/0 | C4/0 | C5/0 | C6/01 | C6/02 | GAC3 | INFI | INF2 | C1/2 | C1/4 | C1/6 | C1/8 | C1/0 | C2/0 | C3/0 | C4/0 | C5/0 | C6/01 | C6/02 |
| | | Test L | | 0209 | 0209 | 0209 | 0209 | 0209 | 0209 | 0210 | 0210 | 0210 | 0210 | 0210 | 0210 | 0210 | 0210 | 0210 | 0210 | 0210 | 0210 | 0210 |
| | | Date | | 9/10/96 | 96/01/6 | 9/10/96 | 9/10/96 | 96/01/6 | 96/01/6 | 9/10/96 | 96/01/6 | 96/01/6 | 96/01/6 | 96/01/6 | 96/01/6 | 96/01/6 | 96/01/6 | 9/10/96 | 96/01/6 | 96/01/6 | 96/01/6 | 96/01/6 |

-

Appendix C

Peroxone System Demonstration Testing Data

| | | etrvl | 13 | ŋ | 8.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | ş | ž | ğ | OL | | ğ | 3QL | зQL | ಕ್ಷ | ۲ ور ا | 6.8 | 8.6 | 6.7 | 0.5 | | | BQL | | 100 | 1 | | BQL | | | BQL | | BOL | BQL | BQL | ng i | מלר BOL | BQL | 66 | 3.1 8.2 | 8.6 | BQL |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--------------------------|--|---|---|----------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|----------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| | ļ | irer Tene T | r) (1/8 | а С | or, | ಕತ | 5 | 3 | ž | а То | JOL E | | - Ig | a l | n M | n Solution | - 2 2 | dr 7 | ъ Д | ğ | ಕ್ಷ | | | 3QL | | 104 | 1 | | BQL | | - | BQL | | BOL | BQL BQL | BQL | ng . | n BOL | BQL | BQL | BOL | BQL | BQL |
| | 2 | MX be | B(L) (p | 3.1 8 | 12 E | 8 8 8 7 1 | 23 | | <u>.</u> | - | 301 | | n 10 | a dr | 301 | gor | 7 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 6.4 | | | 3.2 | | 2 | <u>t</u> | | - | | | 0.8 | | 50 | 5 | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | R G L | 1.1 | 4./ 6.5 | 1.1 | 9.6 |
| | Niles I | huene H | n) (1/8 | 1 DE | 3QL | 10 | d d | | ۲L B | BQL | BOL | | BQL | I Jõe | BQL | BQL | - | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | 104 | ۲ ۲ | | BQL | | | BQL | | BOL | BQL | BQL | JOB BQL | אלי BOL | BQL | BQL | BOL BOL | BQL | BQL |
| | | ucne to | 5 (| | | | | - | , | , | _ | | ., | | | | | | _ | | _ | | | _ | | | J | | L | | | _ | | Ļ | Ļ | Ļ | . <u>ت</u> | | , _, | | | 2 | <u>ا</u> . |
| | A Amino | 4-Autoro | үяц) | BQI | BQI | | 22 | ž | Ż | BQI | BOI | | ВQI | ВQ | ΒŎΙ | <u>S</u> | | 2 Qa | ο Ω | BQ | BQ BQ | | | BQ BQ | | G | 2 | | ВQ | | | 0g | | BO | 28 | BQ | 08 OB | 208 | 28 | 28 | 2 2 | , S | 5 |
| | Menn | tolucne | (T/ЯЛ) | BQL | BQL | BQL | n Bor | | 1 A | BQL | BOL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | 100 | n n | | BQL | | | BQL | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BOL B | BQL | BQL | n ng | BQL | BQL |
| | Nites | oluche . | (hg/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | | ц Б | BQL | BOL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | 100 | 174 | | BQL | | | BQL | | BOL | BQL | BQL | B B C F | n log | BQL | BQL | n ng | BQL | BQL |
| | Amina 4.6 | nitrotoluene | (JJ/R/L) | 194 | 181 | 861 | BQL | | PQL | BQL | BOL | , | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 101 | 621 | 180 | 125 | 0.7 | | | BQL | | 50 | 6 | | BQL | | | BQL | | ROL | BQL | BQL | BQL | אר BOL | BQL | 174 | 60.3 145 | 165 | 5.2 |
| | Cinitar 1 | tolucne di | (hg/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | i Ca | JY D | BQL | BOL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BOIL BOIL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | i Ca | הער | | BQL | | | BQL | | ROL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 30L | BQL | BQL | BOL B | BQL | BQL |
| | Distant 1 | toluene 2,0 | (HR/L) | 13.2 | 12.7 | 14.1 9.6 | BQL | | חקנ | JQ4 | BOL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 121 | 14.3 | 14.6 | 10.8 | 0.2 | | | BQL | | lua - | ולר | | BQL | | | BQL | | ROL | BQL | BQL | BQL | אר BOL | BQL | 13.6 | 9.4 11.8 | 12.9 | 0.5 |
| | Contract 2 | benzene | (T/grl) | BQL | BQL | BQL BOIL | BQL | | Ъ | BQL | BOL | , ; | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n n | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | 104 | 171 | | BQL | | | BQL | | ROL | BQL | BQL | ngu BQL | אר 10g | BOL | 1.4 200 | ng Li | 1.5 | BQL |
| | - | Nitrate | (mg/L N) | 2.14 | 2.67 | 2.61 | 2.92 | | 00.7 | 2.86 | 2.99 | | 2.82 | 3.06 | 3.16 | 3.12 | 801 | 2.22 | 1.95 | 2.38 | 2.16 | | | 2.32 | | 154 | F | | 2.72 | | | 2.8 | | 2 84 | 3.09 | 2.85 | 2.91 | 2.84 | 2.83 | 2.38 | 2.12 | 2.18 | 2.56 |
| | Total | trobodics | (1)8(1) | 1370 | 994 | 929 | 192 | | | 13.9 | 3.5 | : | - | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | | 1330 | 1400 | 926 | 335 | | | 93.5 | | 3 21 | C-07 | | 6.6 | | | 3.1 | | - | 2 | 1.2 | 9.0 | אר BOL | BQL | 1050 | 1140 | 1370 | 129 |
| | | in Xox | (7) ⁸ 1 | 43.9 | 40.1 | 46.9 30.9 | 14.3 | 2 | 5 | 0.4 | BQL | , 1 | BQL | BQL | BQL | n B | 17 | 43.4 | 43.7 | 23.4 | 43.4 | | | 8.7 | | : | 3 | | BQL | | | BQL | | BOL | ng BQL | BQL | n n | n B | BQL | 41.1 | 35.4 | 39.6 | 10.6 |
| | | TNB |) (T/Bit | 464 | 313 | 316 | 5 | | | Ξ | 3.3 | | 2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 175 | 464 | 493 | 330 | 170 | | | 8 | | 5 01 | 2 | | 5.1 | | | 2.2 | | 18 | 1 = | - | 9.0 | ר גלד | n B | 338 | 410 | 478 | 96.6 |
| | | INT | (T/BH) | 642 | 427 | 432 | 67.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 .4 | 0.2 | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 2 | 618 | 657 | 426 | 4 | | | 21.6 | | ç | n r | | 0.4 | | | 0.1 | | BOL | BQL | 0.2 | BQL | ר BOL | ß | 462 | 527 | 660 | 56.2 |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ntactor | roxide | L'an | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ctor Contactor | ne Peroxide | L) (mg/L | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | _ | ~ | | - | 5 | - | | ις. | 5 | | | 20 | _ | ~ | | 4 | | | | | | | × |
| | or Contactor Contactor | i Ozone Peroxido | (mg/L) (mg/L | | | | 98 | 96 96 | 92 92 | 96 | 56 26 | 8 | 56 06 | 96 | 93 | | | | | | 68 | 86 | | 68 | 86 | 00 | 6 | 85 | 86 | 68 | | 78 | 18 | XX | 2 | 84 | | | | | | | 78 |
| | 3 Contactor Contactor Contactor | Ozone Ozone Peroxide | (%) (mg/L) (mg/L | | | | 1.5 98 | 1.7 96 | 2.0 92 | 1.7 96 | 2.0 92 | 2.2 90 | 1.8 95 2.2 90 | 1.7 96 | 66 61 | | | | | | 1.0 89 | 1.2 86 | | 0.9 89 | 1.2 86 | 00 00 | 20 2.0 | 1.3 85 | 1.2 86 | 1.5 83 | | 1.9 78 | 1.6 81 | 10 88 | 2 | 1.4 84 | | | | | | | 1.5 78 |
| | e Oxidation Contactor Contactor Contactor Doduction Official Transformal Movement | Potential Ozone Ozone Peroxide | (mV) (%) {mg/L) (mg/L | 324 | | | 251 1.5 98 | 1.7 96 | 2.0 92 | 313 1.7 96 1.0 02 | 532 2.0 92 | 2.2 90 | 521 1.8 95 2.2 90 | 427 1.7 96 | 1.9 93 | | 156 | | 275 | | 226 1.0 89 | 223 1.2 86 | | 216 0.9 89 | 216 1.2 86 | | 20 2.0 217 | 205 1.3 85 | 516 1.2 86 | 207 1.5 83 | | 944 1.9 78 | 18 9'1 561 | 214 1.0 88 | | 184 1.4 84 | 101 | Inc | | 217 | 430 | 425 | 255 1.5 78 |
| | emperature Oxidation Contactor Contactor Contactor | or vive required virgas reassioned measure | ("C) (InV) (%) (Ing/L) (Ing/L) | 17 324 | | | 18 251 1.5 98 | 1.7 96 | 2.0 92 | 313 1.7 96 | 532 2.0 92 | 2.2 90 | 521 1.8 95 2.2 90 | 427 1.7 96 | 6 61 | | 13 356 | | 14 275 | | 13 226 1.0 89 | 14 223 1.2 86 | | 13 216 0.9 89 | 14 216 1.2 86 | 212 0.0 60 | ZIZ 0.7 | 14 205 1.3 85 | 13 516 1.2 86 | 14 207 1.5 83 | | 14 944 1.9 78 | 14 195 1.6 81 | 14 219 1.0 88 | | 14 184 1.4 84 | 105 | 100 +1 | | 13 277 | 14 430 | 14 425 | 13 255 1.5 78 |
| | Temperature Oxidation Contactor Contactor Contactor of OBP Deduction Officers Temperard Measured | if pH Sample Potential Ozone Ozone Peroxids |) ("C) (mV) (%) (mg/L) (mg/L) | 7.0 17 324 | | | 7.2 18 251 1.5 98 | 11 12 11 12 14 | 2.0 92 | 7.5 313 1.7 96 10 03 | 7.7 532 2.0 92 | 2.2 90 | 7.9 521 1.8 95 2.2 90 | 8.0 427 1.7 96 | 1.9 93 | | 70 13 356 | | 7.0 14 275 | | 7.2 13 226 1.0 89 | 7.2 14 223 1.2 86 | | 7.4 [3 216 0.9 89 | 7,4 14 216 1.2 86 | 7.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 1.0 X.1 D.1 0.1 | 7.7 14 205 1.3 85 | 7.7 13 516 1.2 86 | 7.8 14 207 1.5 83 | | 7.9 14 944 1.9 78 | 8.0 14 195 1.6 81 | 81 14 219 1.0 8X | | 8.1 14 184 1.4 84 | 100 110 | 100 +1 1.0 | | 7.0 13 277 | 6,9 14 430 | 7.1 14 425 | 7.2 13 255 1.5 78 |
| | Temperature Oxidation Contactor Contactor Contactor Oxono of OBP Deduction Offician Transformed Measured | victory of victor recurrent victory management measure receive Residual pH Sample Potential Ozone Ozone Peroxidi | (mg/L) ("C) (mV) (%) (mg/L) (mg/L) | 7.0 17 324 | | | 0.0 7.2 18 251 1.5 98 | 1.7 96 1.7 1.1 1.7 96 | 2.0 1.1 - 2.1 - 2.0 - 2.0 - 2.2 | 0.2 7.5 313 1.7 96 10 03 | 0.6 7.7 532 2.0 92 | 2.2 90 | 0.3 7.9 521 1.8 95 2.2 90 | 0.1 8.0 427 1.7 96 | 1.9 93 | | 7.0 13 356 | | 7.0 14 275 | | 0.0 7.2 13 226 1.0 89 0.0 | 0.0 7.2 14 223 1.2 86 | 0.2 | 0.0 7.4 13 216 0.9 89 0.0 | 0.0 7.4 14 216 1.2 86 | 0.1 00 76 713 0.0 00 | 00 100 117 00 100 00 | 0.0 7.7 14 205 1.3 85 0.3 | 0.3 7.7 13 516 1.2 86 | 0.1 0.0 7.8 14 207 1.5 83 | 0.6 | 2.7 7.9 14 944 1.9 78 0.0 | 0.0 8.0 14 195 1.6 81 | 0.2 0.0 81 14 219 10 88 | 0.0 | 0.0 8.1 14 184 1.4 84 | 0.4 | UV 0'1 14 'JUI | | 7.0 13 277 | 6,9 14 430 | 7.1 14 425 | 0.0 7.2 13 255 1.5 78 |
| | Operations Temperature Oxidation Contactor Contactor Contactor Samely Oxymp of OBP Doduction Official Transformed Measured | Time Residual PH Sample Potential Ozone Ozone Peruside | (mg/L) ("C) (mV) (%) (mg/L) (mg/L) | 16:00 7.0 17 324 | 18:00 | | 15:52 0.0 7.2 18 251 1.5 98 | 18:00 1.7 96 15:40 A2 7.4 1.7 51.4 1.7 9.6 | 18:00 V.2 V.4 V. J14 U. 70 90 | 15:44 0.2 7.5 313 1.7 96 19-00 01 | 15:38 0.6 7.7 532 2.0 92 | 18:00 2.2 90 | 15:32 0.3 7.9 521 1.8 95 18:00 2.2 90 | 15:27 0.1 8.0 4.27 1.7 96 | 18:00 1.9 93 | | 08-18 7.0 13 344 | | 14:16 7.0 14 275 | | 08:20 0.0 7.2 13 226 1.0 89 10-48 0.0 | 13:15 0.0 7.2 14 223 1.2 86 | 15:31 0.2 | 08:28 0.0 7.4 13 216 0.9 89 10:54 0.0 | 13:35 0.0 7.4 14 216 1.2 86 | 15:33 0.1 AN-AD D.A. 7.4 712 D.D. 80 | 10:57 0.0 | 13:45 0.0 7.7 14 205 1.3 85 15:36 0.3 | 08:45 0.3 7.7 13 516 1.2 86 | 10:59 0.1 13:52 0.0 7.8 14 207 1.5 83 | 15:39 0.6 | 08:56 2.7 7.9 14 944 1.9 78 11:00 0.0 | 14:00 0.0 8.0 14 195 1.6 81 | 15:41 0.2 09:05 0.0 81 14 219 1.0 8K | 11:05 0.0 | 14:10 0.0 8.1 14 184 1.4 84 | 15:45 0.4 Anale 0.0 0.1 14 - 201 | 100° 41 1'0 0'0 c1'40 | | 08:45 7.0 1.3 2.77 | 10:59 6.9 14 430 | 15:43 7.1 14 425 | 09:00 0.0 7.2 13 255 1.5 78 |
| | Operations Temperature Oxidation Contactor Contactor Contactor Semicle Oxidation Official Monumed | varupe varupe vzone orvze versus varupe view virga narsterico measure Lovation Time Residual pH Sample Potential Ozone Ozone Peroxide | (mg/L) ("C) (mV) (%) (mg/L) (mg/L) | INF1 16:00 7.0 17 324 | INFI 18:00 | INFI INFI | CI/0 15:52 0.0 7.2 18 251 1.5 98 | CL/0 18:00 1.7 96 C3M 16:48 0.2 7.4 12 614 1.7 06 | C20 13:46 0.2 1.4 1.1 314 1.7 90 C20 18:00 · 2.0 92 | C3/0 15:44 0.2 7.5 313 1.7 96 C3/0 19:00 | C440 15:38 0.6 7.7 532 2.0 92 | C4/0 18:00 2.2 90 | C5/0 15:32 0.3 7.9 521 1.8 95 C5/0 18:00 2.2 90 | C640 15:27 0.1 8.0 4.27 1.7 96 | C6/0 18:00 1.9 93 | CKAD | UALS INFI 08:18 70 13 356 | INFI THE PARTY OF | INFI 14:16 7.0 14 275 | INFI | CIAO 08:20 0.0 7.2 13 226 1.0 89 CUM IN-48 0.0 | CI/0 13:15 0.0 7.2 14 223 1.2 86 | CI/0 15:31 0.2 | C220 08:28 0.0 7.4 13 216 0.9 89 C200 10:54 0.0 | C2/0 13:35 0.0 7.4 14 216 1.2 86 | C2/0 15:33 0.1 C3/0 08:40 0.0 7.6 312 0.0 90 | C.30 10:57 0.0 | C3A0 13:45 0.0 7.7 14 205 1.3 85 C3A0 15:36 0.3 | C4/0 08:45 0.3 7.7 13 516 1.2 86 | C4/0 10:59 0.1 C4/0 13:52 0.0 7.8 14 207 1.5 83 | C4/0 15:39 0.6 | C5/0 08/56 2.7 7.9 14 944 1.9 78 C5/0 11/00 0.0 | C5/0 14:00 0.0 8.0 14 195 1.6 81 | C500 15:41 0.2 C640 04:05 0.0 81 14 219 1.0 8K | C6M 11:05 0.0 | C6/0 14:10 0.0 8.1 14 184 1.4 84 | C640 15:45 0.4 C4C3 00-15 0.0 0.1 14 | טאט פוראט פראט פראט CACI | GAC2 | INF1 08:45 7.0 13 277 | INFI INFI 10:59 6.9 14 430 | INFI 15:43 7.1 14 425 | CI/U 09:00 0.0 7.2 13 255 1.5 78 |
| | Average Operations Temperature Oxidation Contactor Contactor Contactor 200X/01F Sumple Sample Ozime Official Measured | exercised and a supply exercised of supply and the second of the second se | (mg/L) ("C) (mV) (%) (mg/L) (mg/L) | 0.46 INF1 16:00 7.0 17 324 | 0.46 INF1 18:00 | 0.46 INFI 0.46 INFI | 0.46 CI/0 15:52 0.0 7.2 18 251 1.5 98 | 0.46 C1/0 18:00 0.46 C3/0 16:48 0.2 7.4 1.2 6.4 1.2 6.6 | 0.46 C2/0 18:00 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 0.46 C3/0 15:44 0.2 7.5 313 1.7 96 0.46 C3/0 15:44 0.2 7.5 313 1.7 96 | 0.46 C4/0 15/38 0.6 7.7 532 2.0 92 | 0.46 C4/0 18:00 2.2 90 | 0.46 C5/0 15:32 0.3 7.9 521 1.8 95 0.46 C5/0 18:00 2.2 90 | 0.46 C6/0 15:27 0.1 8.0 427 1.7 96 | 0.46 C6/0 18:00 13:00 1.9 93 | 0.46 C6/0 | 0.40 UAC3 0.48 INFI 08:18 70 13 356 | 0.48 INFI | 0.48 INF1 14:16 7.0 14 275 | 0.48 INFI | 0.48 C1/0 08:20 0.0 7.2 13 226 1.0 89 0.48 C1/0 10.48 0.0 | 0.48 CI/0 13:15 0.0 7.2 14 223 1.2 86 | 0.48 C1/0 15:31 0.2 | 0.48 C2/0 08:28 0.0 7.4 13 216 0.9 89 0.48 C2/0 10:54 0.0 | 0.48 C2/0 13:35 0.0 7.4 14 216 1.2 86 | 0.48 C2/0 15:33 0.1 0.48 C340 08:40 0.0 7.6 312 0.0 80 | 0.48 C3/0 10:57 0.0 | 0.48 C3/0 13:45 0.0 7.7 14 205 1.3 85 0.48 C3/0 15:36 0.3 | 0.48 C4/0 08:45 0.3 7.7 13 516 1.2 86 | 0.48 C4/0 10:59 0.1 0.48 C4/0 13:52 0.0 7.8 14 207 1.5 83 | 0.48 C4/0 15:39 0.6 | 0.48 C5/0 08:56 2.7 7.9 14 944 1.9 78 0.48 C5/0 11:60 0.0 | 0.48 C5/0 14:00 0.0 8.0 14 195 1.6 81 | 0.48 C5/0 15:41 0.2 0.4% C6/0 09:05 0.0 81 14 219 1.0 88 | 0.48 C6/0 11:05 0.0 | 0.48 C6/0 14:10 0.0 8.1 14 184 1.4 84 | 0.48 C60 15:45 0.4 0.48 C4C3 00.15 0.0 01 11 101 | 0.48 GACI | 0.48 GAC2 | 0.48 INFI 08:45 7.0 13 277 | 0.48 INFI 0.48 INFI 10:59 6.9 14 430 | 0.48 INFI 15:43 7.1 14 425 | 0.48 CI/0 09:00 0.0 7.2 13 255 1.5 78 |
| Average | Hydrogen Average Operations Temperature Oxidation Contactor Contactor Contactor Deservish DEDOYCONE Summin Oxide Oxide Oxide Oxide Oxide Oxide | Developed the complete complete vision of the execution vision franciscition measure becaute base. Ratio Location Time Residual pH Sample Potential Orone Ozone Peruside | (mg/L) ("C) (mV) (%) (mg/L) (mg/L) | 42.5 0.46 INFI 16:00 7.0 17 324 | 42.5 0.46 INFI 18:00 | 42.5 0.46 INFI 42.5 0.46 INFI | 42.5 0.46 C1/0 15:52 0.0 7.2 18 251 1.5 98 | 42.5 0.46 C1/0 18:00 415 0.46 C30 16:48 0.2 3.4 1.7 0.6 | 42.5 0.46 C20 18:00 ··· 2 19 11 214 1.1 90 | 42.5 0.46 C3/0 15.44 0.2 7.5 313 1.7 96 476 0.46 C3/0 15.44 0.2 7.5 313 1.7 96 | 42.5 0.46 C40 15.38 0.6 7.7 532 2.0 92 | 42.5 0.46 C4/0 18:00 2.2 90 | 42.5 0.46 C5/0 15:32 0.3 7.9 52.1 1.8 95 42.5 0.46 C5/0 18:00 2.2 90 | 42.5 0.46 C640 15:27 0.1 8.0 427 1.7 96 | 42.5 0.46 C6/0 18:00 1:9 93 | 42.5 0.46 CK0 | 47.1 UMB UAU3 41.1 D.48 INFI D8:18 7.0 13 356 | 41.1 0.48 INFI | 41.1 0.48 INFI 14:16 7.0 14 275 | 41.1 0.48 INFI | 41.1 0.48 CIA 08:20 0.0 7.2 13 226 1.0 89 411 0.48 CIA 10.48 0.0 | 41.1 0.48 C1/0 13.15 0.0 7.2 14 223 1.2 86 | 41.1 0.4k C1/0 15.31 0.2 | 41.1 0.48 C2/0 08:28 0.0 7.4 13 216 0.9 89 41.1 0.48 C2/0 10:54 0.0 | 41.1 0.48 C2/0 13:35 0.0 7.4 14 216 1.2 86 | 41.1 0.48 C2/0 15:33 0.1 41.1 0.48 C3/0 08:40 0.0 7.6 312 0.0 80 | 41.1 0.48 C3/0 10:57 0.0 | 41.1 0.48 C3/0 13.45 0.0 7.7 14 205 1.3 85 41.1 0.48 C3/0 15.56 0.3 | 41.1 0.48 C4/0 08:45 0.3 7.7 13 516 1.2 86 | 41.1 0.48 C4/0 10.59 0.1 4.1 0.48 C4/0 13.52 0.0 7.8 14 207 1.5 83 | 41.1 0.48 C4/0 15:39 0.6 | 41.1 0.48 C5/0 08:56 2.7 7.9 14 944 1.9 78 41.1 0.48 C5/0 11:60 0.0 | 41.1 0.48 C5/0 14:00 0.0 8.0 14 195 1.6 81 | 41.1 0.48 C5/0 15:41 0.2 41.1 0.48 C5/0 04:05 0.0 81 14 219 1.0 8K | 41.1 0.48 C6/0 11:05 0.0 | 41.1 0.48 C6/0 14:10 0.0 8.1 14 184 1.4 84 | 41.1 0.48 C60 15:45 0.4 41.1 0.49 C500 15:45 0.4 | 41.1 0.46 UAC) 07:13 U/V 8.1 14 J/U 41.1 0.48 GACI | 41.1 0.48 GAC2 | 37.1 0.48 INFI 08:45 7.0 13 277 | 37.1 0.48 INF1 37.1 0.48 INF1 10:59 6.9 14 4.30 | 37.1 0.48 INFI 15:43 7.1 14 425 | 37.1 0.48 C1/0 09:00 0.0 7.2 13 255 1.5 78 |
| Average Average | Fansferred Hydrogen Average Operations Temperature Oxidation Contactor Contactor Contactor Contactor Contactor Overe Deservist DEPOYONE Sumple Sample Overe discovered Messerved | victore recovered standare standare victore ou victor recourteron vicegas mananemento measure. Disce Dosc Rahio Location Time Residual pH Sample Potential (Drone Ozone Peterxid) | (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) | 92 42.5 0.46 INF1 16:00 7.0 17 324 | 92 42.5 0.46 INFI 18:00 | 92 42.5 0.46 INFI 92 42.5 0.46 INFI | V2 42.5 0.46 CI/0 15:52 0.0 7.2 18 251 1.5 98 | 92 42.5 0.46 CI/0 18:00 on 476 0.46 CI/0 18:00 | 92 42.5 0.46 C20 13:40 v.2 /4 1/ 314 1/ 90 92 92 42.5 0.46 C20 18:00 · | 92 425 046 C300 1544 0.2 7.5 313 1.7 96 00 475 046 C300 19-00 | 72 42.5 0.46 C40 15:38 0.6 7.7 532 2.0 92 | 92 42.5 0.46 C4/0 18:00 2.2 90 | 92 42.5 0.46 C5/0 15:32 0.3 7.9 521 1.8 95 92 42.5 0.46 C5/0 18:00 2.2 90 | 92 42.5 0.46 C640 15:27 0.1 8.0 427 1.7 96 | 92 42.5 0.46 C6/0 18:00 18:00 1.9 93 | 92 42.5 0.46 C60 | 97 411 0.48 UNEI 08-18 70 13 356 | 85 41.1 0.48 INFI | 85 41.1 0.48 INFI 14:16 7.0 14 275 | 85 41.1 0.48 INFI | 85 41.1 0.48 CIA 08:20 0.0 7.2 13 226 1.0 89 85 411 0.48 CIA 10.48 0.0 | 85 41.1 0.48 C1/0 13.15 0.0 7.2 14 223 1.2 86 | 85 41.1 0.48 C1/0 15:31 0.2 | 85 41.1 0.48 C2/0 08:28 0.0 7.4 13 216 0.9 89 85 41.1 0.48 C2/0 10:54 0.0 | 85 41.1 0.48 C2/0 13.35 0.0 7.4 14 216 1.2 86 | 85 41.1 0.48 C2/0 15:33 0.1 85 41.1 0.48 C3/0 08:40 0.0 7.6 312 0.0 80 | 85 41.1 0.48 C3/0 10.57 0.0 | 85 41.1 0.48 C3/0 13:45 0.0 7.7 14 205 1.3 85 85 41.1 0.48 C3/0 15:56 0.3 | 85 41.1 0.48 C4/0 08:45 0.3 7.7 13 516 1.2 86 | 85 41.1 0.48 C4/0 10.59 0.1 85 41.1 0.48 C4/0 13.52 0.0 7.8 14 207 1.5 83 | 85 41.1 0.48 C4/0 15:39 0.6 | 85 41.1 0.48 C5/0 08:56 2.7 7.9 14 944 1.9 78 85 41.1 0.48 C5/0 11:50 0.0 | 85 41.1 0.48 C5/0 14:00 0.0 8.0 14 195 1.6 81 | 85 41,1 0.48 C550 15:41 0.2 85 41,1 0.48 C560 09:05 0.0 81 14 219 1.0 XX | 85 41.1 0.48 CKN 11:05 0.0 | 85 41.1 0.48 C6/0 14:10 0.0 8.1 14 184 1.4 84 | 85 41.1 0.48 C640 15:45 0.4 se 411 0.49 CACO 15:45 0.4 | 85 41.1 0.48 CACI UV.0.5.1 14 JUI 85 41.1 0.48 CACI | 85 41.1 0.48 GAC2 | 77 37.1 0.48 INFI 08:45 7.0 13 277 | 77 37.1 0.48 INFI 77 37.1 0.48 INFI 10.59 6.9 14 430 | 77 37.1 0.48 INFI 15.43 7.1 14 425 | 77 37.1 0.48 CI/0 09:00 0.0 7.2 13 255 1.5 78 |
| verage Average Average | Applied Transferred Hydrogen Average Operations Temperature Oxidation Contactor Contactor Contactor Avera Oxive Deveviely DEROYCONE Seamly Oxive OCDD Deduction Officien Oxives Transferred Messerved | uzane uzone etavare etavare atanpa atanpe atanpe virane uruza neutran urizata metatura Dase Dase Dase Rahio Location Time Residual pH Sample Patential Ozone Ozone Petazida | ற்றும்) (மலும்) (மலும்) (மலும்) ("C) (மV) (%) (மலும்) (மலும் | 115 92 42.5 0.46 INF1 16:00 7.0 17 324 | 115 92 42.5 0.46 INFI 18:00 | 115 92 42.5 0.46 INFI 115 92 42.5 0.46 INFI | 115 92 42,5 0.46 C1/0 15:52 0.0 7,2 18 251 1,5 98 | 115 92 42.5 0.46 C1/0 18/00 115 01 425 0.46 C1/0 18/00 116 01 425 0.46 C1/0 16/0 02 14 12 05 | 115 92 42.5 0.46 C20 13.90 vz /4 1/ 314 1.7 90 115 92 42.5 0.46 C20 18.00 · 20 92 | 115 92 42.5 0.46 C3/0 15.44 0.2 7.5 313 1.7 96 116 03 42.5 0.46 C3/0 15.44 0.2 7.5 313 1.7 96 | 115 72 42.5 0.46 C40 15.38 0.6 7.7 532 2.0 92 | 115 92 42.5 0.46 C4/0 18.00 2.2 90 | 115 92 42.5 0.46 C5/0 15:52 0.3 7.9 521 1.8 95 115 92 42.5 0.46 C5/0 18:00 2.2 90 | 115 92 42.5 0.46 C640 15.27 0.1 8.0 4.27 1.7 96 | 115 92 42.5 0.46 C6/0 18:00 1.9 93 | 115 92 42.5 0.46 C60 | 113 94 4.12 0.46 0AC3 100 85 4.11 0.48 INF1 08:18 70 13 356 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 INFI | 100 85 41.1 0.48 INF1 14:16 7.0 14 275 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 INFI | 100 85 41.1 0.48 C1/0 08:20 0.0 7.2 13 226 1.0 89 row 85 411 0.48 C1/0 10.48 0.0 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 CI/0 13:15 0.0 7.2 14 223 1.2 86 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 C1/0 15:31 0.2 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 C2/0 08:28 0.0 7.4 13 216 0.9 89 100 85 41.1 0.48 C2/0 10:54 0.0 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 C20 13.35 0.0 7.4 14 216 1.2 86 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 C2/0 15:33 0.1 rvv 85 41.1 0.48 C3/0 08-40 0.0 76 312 0.0 90 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 C30 1057 0.0 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 C3/0 13:45 0.0 7.7 14 205 1.3 85 100 85 41.1 0.48 C3/0 15:36 0.3 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 C400 08:45 0.3 7.7 13 516 1.2 86 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 C4/0 10:59 0.1 100 85 41.1 0.48 C4/0 13:52 0.0 7.8 14 207 1.5 83 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 C4/0 15:39 0.6 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 C50 08:56 2.7 7.9 14 944 1.9 78 100 85 41.1 0.48 C50 11:00 0.0 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 C5/0 14:00 0.0 8.0 14 195 1.6 81 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 C.570 15:41 0.2 100 85 411 0.48 C.560 04-05 0.0 81 14 219 1.0 88 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 C60 11.05 0.0 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 C6/0 14:10 0.0 8.1 14 184 1.4 84 | 100 85 41.1 0.48 C60 15.45 0.4 | 10/ 02 41.1 0.48 0.44.5 07:13 0./0 6.1 14 201 100 85 41.1 0.48 GACI | 100 85 41.1 0.48 GAC2 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 INFI 08:45 7.0 13 277 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 INF1 95 77 32.1 0.48 INF1 10:59 6.9 14 430 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 INFI 15:43 7.1 14 425 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 CI/0 09:00 0.0 7.2 13 255 1.5 78 |
| Average Average Average | Applied Transferred Hydrogen Average Operations Temperature Oxidation Contactor Contactor Contactor contactor were Owner Overne Derivity BED/XONE Semicle Semicle Oxine of Opp Dediction Officies Transferred Messerved | wess statute store remaine remaine remaine autore surpre strone of the Autoria article measure wester the strone of the Autor of the Potential (from Otone Petartial | tpm) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) ("C) (m/) (%) (mg/L) (mg/L) | 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 INFI 16:00 7.0 17 324 | 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 INFI 18:00 | 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 INFI 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 INFI | 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 CI/0 15.52 0.0 7.2 18 251 1.5 98 | 13 115 92 425 0.46 CU0 18.00 13 115 02 425 0.46 CU0 18.00 13 115 02 425 0.46 CU0 18.00 | 13 115 72 42.5 0.46 C20 18.00 12 14 17 21 20 92 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 C20 18.00 12 1 | 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 C3/0 15.44 0.2 7.5 313 1.7 96 13 116 03 47.5 0.46 C3/0 15.44 0.2 7.5 313 1.7 96 | 13 115 72 42.5 0.46 C40 15.38 0.6 7.7 532 2.0 92 | 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 C440 18.00 2.2 90 | 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 C5/0 15:32 0.3 7.9 521 1.8 95 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 C5/0 18:00 2.2 90 | 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 Ck0 15:27 0.1 80 427 1.7 96 | 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 C60 18:00 18:00 1.9 93 | 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 C640 | 13 113 24 411 0.48 UNE: 08-18 10 13 14 15 10 13 15 10 13 15 10 13 15 10 13 15 10 13 15 10 13 15 10 13 15 10 13 | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 INFI | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 INFI 14:16 7.0 14 275 | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 INFI | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C1/0 08.20 0.0 7.2 13 2.26 1.0 89 13 100 85 411 0.48 C1/0 10.48 0.0 | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C1/0 13:15 0.0 7.2 14 223 1.2 86 | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C1/0 15.31 0.2 | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C2/0 08:28 0.0 7.4 13 216 0.9 89 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C2/0 10:54 0.0 | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C20 13.35 0.0 7.4 14 216 1.2 86 | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C20 15.33 0.1 vs ivo 85 41.1 0.48 C30 08-40 0.0 76 312 0.0 90 | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C30 10.57 0.0 | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C3/0 13:45 0.0 7.7 14 205 1.3 85 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C3/0 15:36 0.3 | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C4/0 0845 0.3 7.7 13 516 1.2 86 | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C4A 10.59 0.1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C4A 13.52 0.0 78 14 207 1,5 83 | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C4/0 15:39 0.6 | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C5/0 08:56 2.7 7.9 14 944 1.9 78 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C5/0 11:00 0.0 | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C5/0 14:00 0.0 8.0 14 195 1.6 81 | 13 100 85 41,1 0.48 C5/0 15:41 0.2 13 100 85 41,1 0.48 C5/0 04:05 0.0 81 14 219 1.0 88 | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 CK0 11.05 0.0 | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C640 14:10 0.0 8.1 14 184 1.4 84 | [3] [00 85 41.] 0.48 C60 15:45 0.4 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C4C 15:45 0.4 | 13 100 63 41.1 0.46 UAC3 05.13 0.0 6.1 14 3.01 13 100 85 4.1.1 0.48 GAC1 | 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 GAC2 | 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 INFI 08:45 7.0 13 277 | F3 95 77 37.1 0.48 INFI F3 95 77 37.1 0.48 INFI 10.59 6.9 14 430 | 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 INFI 15:43 7.1 14 425 | [3 95 77 37.1 0.48 CI/0 09:00 0.0 7.2 13 255 1.5 78 |
| Average Average | Applied Transferred Hydrogen Average Operations Temperature Oxidation Contactor Contactor Contactor Document Oxidation Determined December Oxidation Officians Transferred Measured | riverses vizine vizione renvoue renvoue renvoue aurope vanope vizione un vizi vicuation vizione measure Well Flow Rate Dose Dose Dose Ratio Lovadion Time Residual pH Sample Potential Ozone Ozone Perexidi | (gpm) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) ("C) (mV) ("4,) (mg/L) (mg/L) | 1 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 INF1 16:00 7.0 17 324 | 1 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 INFI 18.00 | I [] 115 92 42.5 0.46 INFI 1 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 INFI | 1 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 C1/0 15.52 0.0 7.2 18 251 1.5 98 | 1 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 C10 18.00 1 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 C10 18.00 | 1 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 C20 13.46 0.2 /2 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 C20 18.00 · 2 20 92 | 1 13 115 92 42.5 046 C310 1544 0.2 7.5 313 1.7 96 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | 1 13 115 72 42.5 0.46 C40 15.38 0.6 7.7 532 2.0 92 | 1 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 C4/0 18:00 22 90 | 1 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 C5/0 15:52 0.3 79 521 1,8 95 1 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 C5/0 18:00 | 1 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 C640 15.27 0.1 8.0 427 1.7 96 | 1 13 115 92 42.5 0.46 C640 18:00 19.00 | 1 13 115 92 425 0.46 C60 | 1 13 13 24 441 0.4453 1 13 10 85 441 0.48 0.4518 70 13 366 | I 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 INFI | I 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 INFI 14:16 7.0 14 275 | I 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 INFI | I I3 100 85 41.1 0.48 CIA 08:20 0.0 7.2 13 2.26 1.0 89 I 13 100 84 411 0.48 CIA 10.48 0.0 | 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C1/0 13.15 0.0 7.2 14 223 1.2 86 | 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C1/0 15:31 0.2 | 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C2/0 08:28 0.0 7.4 13 2.16 0.9 89 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C2/0 10:54 0.0 | 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C20 13.35 0.0 7.4 14 216 1.2 86 | 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C2/0 15;33 0.1 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C3/0 15;33 0.1 | 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C3/0 10.57 0.0 | 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C.3/0 13.45 0.0 7.7 14 205 1.3 85 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C.3/0 15.36 0.3 | 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C40 0845 0.3 7.7 13 516 1.2 86 | 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C4/0 10.59 0.1 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C4/0 13.52 0.0 7.8 14 207 1.5 83 | 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C4/0 15:39 0.6 | 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C5/0 08.56 2.7 7.9 14 944 1.9 78 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C5/0 11.50 0.0 | 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C50 14:00 0.0 8.0 14 195 1.6 81 | 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C50 15.41 0.2 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C50 15.41 0.2 | 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C60 11.05 0.0 | 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 C60 14:10 0.0 8.1 14 184 1.4 84 | 1 [3 100 85 41.1 0.48 C600 15.45 0.4 · ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· · | 1 13 104 63 41.1 0.48 UAC3 07.13 0.0 6.1 14 301 1 13 1060 85 41.1 0.48 GAC1 | 1 13 100 85 41.1 0.48 GAC2 | 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 INFI 08:45 7.0 13 277 | 1 13 95 77 37,1 0.48 INF1 10.59 6.9 14 430 | 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 INFI 15.43 7.1 14 425 | I [3 95 77 37.1 0.48 C1/0 09:00 0.0 7.2 13 255 1.5 78 |

Page 1 of 12

| | | 23 | 1 | | Jr. | | Ä | 2 | | ъ | | | ಕ | | 10 | Ę, | ಕೇ | 33 | 2 2 | 19 | <u></u> | 2 | | ಶ | | ٦٢ | | ē | ļ | | Ğ | | | л б | ż ż | n dr | ಶ್ವ | 2~ |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|---------------------------|---|
| | é | Cne Tet AL) (ux | | | уг в(| | N. BC | t | | уг Уг | | | a Z | | E D | a JC | ಹೆ | 5 8 5 7 | * - ರೆಂ | | - - - - - - | 1 | | GL B | | а ог | | -0 | , , | | gl. B | | | 10 | 33 | 10 | 100 | ; ; |
| | Nie | IX henz | | | 9 B(| | ר אל | | | 6 B | | | ň | | ы С | ы Т | a zz | * # | 6. 6 6 | 5 85 4 97 | | 5 | | 30 30 | | 4 | | 8 | 1 | | or B | | | а d | 33 | 10 H | , 5 e | 2 |
| | -OJ | CIC HN (UK | | | י ד | | - | ŧ | | о Л | | | 0 7 | | DL BC | ы С | ಹತ ನಂತ | 4 # 4 # | 10 | | 5 | * | | - 5 | | - б | | 5 | , , | | QL B | | | a í | 33 | a a | , 19 5 | 5 5 |
| | 6- 4-Ni | ine tobu (ug | | | B | | BC | | | B | | | ă | | B | B | × a | ăă | ž | 5 20 | æ i | 6 | | ā | | ä | | æ | 3 | | 8 | | | - | - | | | |
| | Amino-2 | iltrotofuc (ug/L) | | | BQL | | BOI | ł | | BQL | | | BQL | | BOL | BQL | n ng | n ng | BQL | n BOL | BQL | מלה | | BQL | | BQL | | BOL | | | BQL | | | 10a | BQL | BQL | 10g | ng l |
| | litro- 4- | uene dir g/L) | | | сr Сг | | 0. | - - | | ц СГ | | | d, | | lor | 10r | ъ Б | 25 | lo io | ಕ್ಷತ್ತ | j gi | 26 | | JŲĽ | | JŲE | | 801. | 2 | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL BQL | BQL ROI, | BQL | BQL |
| | itro- 3-N | icne tol | | | a Jo | | . IO | ļ | | or B | | | л Сг | | u G | 5 | ಕತ | 33 | 56 | 33 | 55 | - | | - 5 | | - 6 | | .0 | 1 | | ζΓ | | | d g | 25 | ಕ್ಷತ್ತ | , jų į | 25 |
| | 4,6- 2-N | cne tolt (#3 | | | ā | | £ | | | 8 | | | 8 | | æ | æ | | | | | | 9 | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | , | |
| | -Amino- | initrotolu (µg/L) | | | BQL | | BOI. | , | | BQL | | | BQL | | BOL | BQL | 10 BQL | BQL BQL | 124 | 133 | 121 | 122 | | BQL | | BQL | | ROI | | | BQL | | | 10g | | 10a BOI | | 22 |
| | initro- 2 | P d | | | JL DL | | .10 | 1 | | ъ | | | d G | | Б | б | ಕಕ | 55 | ಕನ | 35 | 5 | ł | | ъ | | ų. | | 0. | ļ | | ŋ | | | 5 | 12 | ಕ್ಷ | , d | 25 |
| | ro- 2,6-D | ila il | | | ā | | æ | | | 8 | | | 8 | | e | 8 | e a | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | æ : | - | | æ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4-Diniu | (Jug/L) | 2 | | BQL | | BOL | ſ | | BQL | | | BQL | | BOL | BQL | BQL BQL | BQL B | 10.6 | 13.1 | 1.11 | n n n | | BQL | | Ъ | | BOI | | | BQL | | | BQL | | 10 B C | , IQU | 101 |
| | Dinitro-2 | nzene ig/L) | | | BQL | | BOL. | ļ | | BQL | | | BQL | | BOL | BQL | BQL | n Bor | BQL | BOL | BQL | l l l | | BQL | | BQL | | BOL | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | JOB | ng r | BQL |
| | 1.3. | ate he | | | 22 | | - | | | 5 | | | | | 52 | 56 | 4 | 2 | 12 18 | 3 6 | 68 | Į, | | 4 | | 3 | | 59 | | | " | | | 96 1 | 48 | 4.0 | LI . | 63 |
| | | ics Nitr (mg/ | | | 2 | | 6 | | | 2 | | | 3 | | 2 | 5 | ni r | 4 4 | | i (i | e | i | | 7 | | 6 | | <i>.</i> | 1 | | 2 | | | ~ ~ | • • | | | |
| | Total | Nitrohod (µg/L) | 2 | | 54.4 | | Ľ | : | | 4.6 | | | 1.7 | | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1020 | 0201 | 1010 | 617 | | 53.1 | | 19.4 | | 17 | | | 9.I | | | F:0 | 0.0 0.6 | 1.3 BOI | lõg : | 1 2 |
| | | RDX (ug/L) | | | 1.5 | | BOL | , | | BQL | | | BQL | | BOL | BQL | n ng | BQL | 40.3 | 30.9 | 32.3 | 0.0 | | 1.5 | | 0.5 | | BOL | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL BQL | BQL | BQL | 79.7 |
| | | TNB (HK/L) | | | 41.1 | | 101 | į | | 3.5 | | | 1.2 | | 0.5 | 0.4 | 4 o | 62 | 370 | 365 | 389 | 2 | | 40 | | 15.8 | | 46 | 1 | | , 1.6 | | | . 0.4 | 99 99 99 | 0.9 108 | BQL BQL | 5 6 7 6 7 6 |
| | | TNT (Ing/L) | | | 9.9 | | 12 | : | | 0.2 | | | BQL | | BOL | BQL | 108 BQL | 22 | 456 | 490 | 433 | | | 9.6 | | 1.7 | | 02 | | | BQI | | | 10 B | 28 | 0.4 BOI | | 41 |
| Contacto | Measure | Peroxid (mg/L) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| lactor | sferred | zone zone | | X | " | 78 | 76 | : | F | 11 | 74 | | 78 | 78 | 62 | | 11 | | | | ŧ | 2 | 61 | 76 | 6L | 76 | 61 | ŗ | 2 | 61 | 75 | 9 | 2 | 75 | 6L | | | |
| tor Col | as Trar | 55 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | _ | | | _ | | _ | - | | | | |
| Contac | OII-8 | (%) (%) | | 15 | 1.6 | 5.1 | 1.7 | | 1.6 | 9.1 | 1.8 | | <u>s:</u> | 5.1 | 1.4 | | 971 | | | | - | 2 | 1.7 | 1.9 | [] | 1.9 | [] | | | | 2.0 | - | 2 | 2.(| 2 | | | |
| Xidation | eduction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ~ | | | | | | | | |
| trature (| | Potential (mV) | 311 | 540 | 545 | 831 | 932 646 | 871 | 850 | 879 | 936 | 943 | 534 842 | 895 | 896 889 | 920 | 910 | 61.E | 307 | P | 1 | | 837 | 828 | 942 | 926 | 942 | 643 | | 929 | 870 | 7 00 | 100 | 666 | 934 | 111 | | 312 |
| | ORP R | nple Potential C) (mV) | 31 | 15 540 14 601 | 13 545 14 545 | 15 831 | 15 932 14 646 | 14 871 | 15 850 | 14 879 | 14 934 14 936 | 14 943 | 14 534 14 842 | 14 895 | 14 896 14 889 | 14 920 | 14 910 14 000 | 13 379 | 13 307 | 104 | | 60C E1 | 17 837 | 13 858 | 16 942 | 13 926 | 16 942 | LP6 LI | | 18 929 | 13 870 | 600 UC | 1 00 07 | 666 81 | 17 934 | 111 111 | | 13 312 |
| Tenne | of ORP R | H Sample Potential (°C) (mV) | 1 14 311 | .1 15 540 1 14 601 | 4 13 545 4 14 545 | 4 15 831 | .4 IS 932 .6 14 646 | 6 14 871 | .6 15 850 .6 14 926 | 7 14 879 | 14 934 17 14 936 | .8 14 943 | .9 14 534 .9 14 842 | .0 14 895 | .0 14 896 .0 14 889 | 0 14 920 | 1 14 910 | 1 13 379 | (1 13 307 10 15 403 | | | | 11 17 837 | 1.4 13 858 | 1.3 16 942 | 13 926 | 7.6 16 942 | 11 043 | | 7.8 18 925 | 8.0 13 870 | 10 00 11 | 100 m7 c1 | 8.1 13 939 | 8.0 17 934 | 8.2 16 111 | | 6.9 13 312 |
| Tenne | zone of ORP R | sidual pH Sample Potential Ig/L) (°C) (mV) | 11E 1 1 FZ 00 | 0.2 7.1 15 540 0.3 7.1 14 601 | 0.2 7.4 13 545 | 0.4 7.4 15 831 | 0.5 7.4 15 932 0.4 7.6 14 646 | 0.5 7.6 14 871 | 0.6 7.6 15 850 07 76 14 976 | 0.7 7.7 14 879 | 0.9 7.8 14 934 0.7 7.7 14 936 | 1.2 7.8 14 943 | 0.1 7.9 14 534 0.3 7.9 14 842 | 0.4 8.0 14 895 | 0.5 8.0 14 896 0.6 8.0 14 889 | 0.5 8.0 14 920 | 0.5 8.1 14 910 0.8 8.0 14 910 | 0.0 8.1 13 379 | 7.1 13 307 7.0 15 403 | | | 0.3 | 0.4 7.1 17 837 0.6 | 0.6 7.4 13 858 0.7 0.7 | 0.8 7.3 16 942 | 1.1 0.8 7.7 13 926 | 1.0 1.4 7.6 16 942 | 2.1 1.2 7.9 1.1 943 | | 1.1 7.8 18 92% 1.9 | 1.0 8.0 13 870 | 0.8 0.6 11 1 1 | 2.1 2.1 2.0 0.54 | 1.0 8.1 13 939 | 1.2 8.0 17 934 | 1.7 00 8.2 16 311 | | 6.9 13 312 |
| lons Tempe | ple Ozone of ORP R | te Residual pH Sample Potential (mg/L) (°C) (mV) | H 0.0 7.1 H 311 | 15 0.2 7.1 15 540 X0 03 71 14 601 | 11 0.2 7.4 13 545 | 26 0.4 7.4 15 831 | 10 0.5 7.4 15 932 22 0.4 7.6 14 646 | 32 0.5 7.6 14 871 | 35 0.6 7.6 15 850 20 0.7 7.6 14 926 | 40 0.7 7.7 14 879 | 42 0.9 7.8 14 934 15 0.7 7.7 14 936 | 28 1.2 7.8 14 943 | 50 0.1 7.9 14 534 50 0.3 7.9 14 842 | 26 0,4 8,0 14 895 | 35 0.5 8.0 14 896 00 0.6 8.0 14 889 | 55 0.5 8.0 14 920 | 35 0.5 8.1 14 910 45 nº vo 14 onu | 13 0.0 8.1 13 379 | 15 7.1 13 307 49 70 15 402 | | | 55 0.3 13 13 Jun | 52 0.4 7.1 17 837 53 0.6 | 30 0.6 7.4 13 858 58 07 | 03 0.8 7.3 16 942 | 00 1.1 40 0.8 7.7 13 926 | 03 1.0 11 1.4 7.6 16 942 | 26 2.1 47 1.2 7.9 1.1 943 | 00 I-4 | 21 1.1 7.8 18 92% 36 19 | 57 1.0 8.0 13 870 | 10 0.8 11 11 10 02 11 10 10 | 42 2.1 7.7 2.0 0.34 | 25 1.0 8.1 13 939 | 15 1.2 8.0 17 934 | 49 L.7 33 0.0 8.2 16 311 | | :19 6.9 13 312 |
| Orerations | Sample Ozone of ORP R | n Time Residual pH Sample Potential (mg/L) (°C) (mV) | 11:04 0.0 7.1 14 311 | 14:15 0.2 7.1 15 540 16:00 03 71 14 601 | 09:11 0.2 7.4 13 545 11-12 0.2 7.4 14 545 | 14:26 0.4 7.4 15 831 | 16:10 0.5 7.4 15 932 09:22 0.4 7.6 14 646 | 11:32 0.5 7.6 14 871 | 14:35 0.6 7.6 15 850 16:20 07 76 14 926 | 09:40 0.7 7.7 14 879 | 11:42 0.9 7.8 14 934 15:15 0.7 7.7 14 936 | 16:28 1.2 7.8 14 943 | 09:50 0.1 7.9 14 534 11:50 0.3 7.9 14 842 | 15:26 0.4 8.0 14 895 | 16:35 0.5 8.0 14 896 10:00 0.6 8.0 14 889 | 11:55 0.5 8.0 14 920 | 15:35 0.5 8.1 14 910 16:45 08 8.0 14 000 | 10:13 0.0 8.1 13 379 | 08:15 7.1 13 307 13:43 7.0 15 402 | | 073 11 11 17 17 11 10 | 10:55 0.3 to 10 | 13:52 0.4 7.1 17 837 15:53 0.6 | 08:30 0.6 7.4 13 858 10-58 0.7 | 14:03 0.8 7.3 16 942 | 16:00 1.1 08:40 0.8 7.7 13 926 | 11:03 1.0 14:11 1.4 7.6 16 942 | 16:26 2.1 08:47 1.2 7.9 1.1 943 | 11:06 1.4 | 14:21 1.1 7.8 18 925 16:36 1.9 | 08:57 1.0 8.0 13 870 | 11:10 0.8 14:10 11 70 20 | 16:42 2.1 /.7 20 0.34 | 09:25 1.0 8.1 13 939 | 15:35 1.2 8.0 17 934 | 16:49 1.7 1 09:33 0.0 8.2 16 311 | | 08:19 6.9 13 312 |
| Orerations | Sample Sample Ozone of ORP R | Lowation Time Residual pH Sample Potential (mg/L) (°C) (mV) | CI/0 11:04 0.0 7.1 14 311 | CI/0 14:15 0.2 7.1 15 540 CI/0 16:00 03 71 14 601 | C2/0 09:11 0.2 7.4 13 545 C2/0 11:12 0.2 7.4 13 545 | C200 14:26 0.4 7.4 15 831 | C2/0 16:10 0.5 7.4 15 932 C3/0 09:22 0.4 7.6 14 646 | C3/0 11:32 0.5 7.6 14 871 | C3A0 14:35 0.6 7.6 15 850 C3A0 16:20 0.7 7.6 14 976 | C4/0 09:40 0.7 7.7 14 879 | C4/0 11:42 0.9 7.8 14 934 C4/0 15:15 0.7 7.7 14 936 | C4/0 16:28 1.2 7.8 14 943 | CSA0 09:50 0.1 7.9 14 534 CSA0 11:50 0.3 7.9 14 842 | C5/0 15:26 0.4 8.0 14 895 | C5/0 16:35 0.5 8.0 14 896 C6/0 10:00 0.6 8.0 14 889 | C6/0 11:55 0.5 8.0 14 920 | C6/0 15:35 0.5 8.1 14 910 C6/0 16:45 08 8.0 14 000 | CAC3 10:13 0.0 8.1 13 379 | INFI 08:15 7.1 13 307 INFI 13:43 7.0 15 403 | INI CI CI CI INI | INFI CLA COLT COLT COL | CIA 10:55 0.3 7.5 15 503 | CI/0 13:52 0.4 7.1 17 837 CI/0 15:53 0.6 | C2/0 08:30 0.6 7.4 13 858 C2/0 10-58 0.7 | C2/0 14:03 0.8 7.3 16 942 | C2/0 16:00 1.1 C3/0 08:40 0.8 7.7 13 926 | C3/0 11:03 1.0 C3/0 14:11 1.4 7.6 16 942 | C3A0 16:26 2.1 C4A0 08:47 12 79 13 943 | C410 11:06 1.4 | C4/0 14:21 1.1 7.8 18 925 C4/0 16:36 1.9 | C5/0 08:57 1.0 8.0 13 870 | CS/0 11:10 0.8 CS/0 14:47 11 710 70 034 | C500 16:42 2.1 7.2 20 0.34 | C6/0 09:25 1.0 8.1 13 939 | C600 15:35 1.2 8.0 17 934 | C6/0 16:49 1.7 GAC3 09:33 0.0 8.2 16 311 | GACI | UNF1 08:19 6.9 13 312 |
| erage Onerations Tenne | XONE Sample Sample Oxone of ORP R | atto Location Time Residual pH Sample Potential (mg/L) (°C) (mV) | 0.0 21/0 11:04 0.0 7.1 14 311 | 0.48 CU/0 14:15 0.2 7.1 15 540 48 CU/0 16:00 03 71 14 601 | 0.48 C20 09:11 0.2 7.4 13 545 0.48 C20 11:12 0.2 7.4 13 545 | 1,48 C220 14:26 0,4 7,4 15 831 | 1.48 C2/0 16:10 0.5 7.4 15 932 0.48 C3/0 09:22 0.4 7.6 14 646 | 148 C3/0 11:32 0.5 7.6 14 871 | 0.48 C340 14:35 0.6 7.6 15 850 0.48 C340 16:20 0.7 7.6 14 936 | 0.48 C4/0 09:40 0.7 7.7 14 879 | 0.48 C4/0 11:42 0.9 7.8 14 934 0.48 C4/0 15:15 0.7 7.7 14 936 | 0.48 C4/0 16:28 1.2 7.8 14 943 | 0.48 C5/0 09:50 0.1 7.9 14 534 0.48 C5/0 11:50 0.3 7.9 14 842 | 0.48 C5/0 15:26 0.4 8.0 14 895 | 0.48 C5/0 16:35 0.5 8.0 14 896 0.48 C6/0 10:00 0.6 8.0 14 889 | 0.48 C6/0 11:55 0.5 8.0 14 920 | 0.48 C6/0 15:35 0.5 8.1 14 910 0.48 C6/0 15:45 0.8 8.0 14 910 | 0.48 GAC3 10:13 0.0 8.1 13 379 | 0.42 INFI 08:15 7.1 13 307 0.42 INFI 13:43 7.0 15 403 | 0.42 INFI 10.43 1.04 10 10.1 | 0.42 INFI | 0.42 CI/0 10:55 0.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 | 0.42 C1/0 13:52 0.4 7.1 17 837 0.42 C1/0 15:53 0.6 | 0.42 C2/0 08:30 0.6 7.4 13 858 0.42 C2/0 10:58 0.7 | 0.42 C2/0 14:03 0.8 7.3 16 942 | 0.42 C2/0 16:00 1.1 0.42 C3/0 08:40 0.8 7.7 13 926 | 0.42 C3/0 11:03 1.0 0.42 C3/0 14:11 1.4 7.6 16 942 | 0.42 C.3/0 16:26 2.1 0.42 C.4/0 08:47 1.2 7.9 13 943 | 0.42 C4/0 11:06 1.4 | 0.42 C4/0 14:21 1.1 7.8 18 925 0.42 C4/0 16:36 1.9 | 0.42 C5/0 08:57 1.0 8.0 13 870 | 0.42 C5/0 11:10 0.8 0.42 C5/0 14:10 0.8 | 0.42 C.50 16:42 2.1 7.3 20 0.34 | 0.42 C640 09:25 1.0 8.1 13 939 | 0.42 C6/0 15:35 1.2 8:0 17 934 | 0.42 C6/0 16:49 1.7 0.42 GAC3 09:33 0.0 8.2 16 3.11 | 0.42 GACI | 0.38 INF1 08:19 6.9 13 312 |
| e Tenne en Average Orerations Tenne | te PEROXONE Sample Sample Ozone of ORP R | Ratio Location Time Residual pH Sample Potential (mg/L) (°C) (mV) | 0.48 CI/0 11:04 0.0 7.1 14 311 | 0.48 C1/0 14:15 0.2 7.1 15 540 0.48 C1/0 16:00 0.3 7.1 14 601 | 0.48 C20 09:11 0.2 7.4 13 545 0.48 C3M 11:12 0.2 7.4 13 545 | 0.48 C200 14:26 0.4 7.4 15 831 | 0.48 C2/0 16:10 0.5 7.4 15 932 0.48 C3/0 09:22 0.4 7.6 14 646 | 0.48 C3/0 11:32 0.5 7.6 14 871 | 0.48 C3/0 14:35 0.6 7.6 15 850 0.48 C3/0 16:20 0.7 7.6 14 926 | 0.48 C4/0 09:40 0.7 7.7 14 879 | 0.48 C4/0 11:42 0.9 7.8 14 934 0.48 C4/0 15:15 0.7 7.7 14 936 | 0.48 C4/0 16:28 1.2 7.8 14 943 | 0.48 C5/0 09:50 0.1 7.9 14 534 0.48 C5/0 11:50 0.3 7.9 14 842 | 0.48 C5/0 15:26 0.4 8.0 14 895 | 0.48 C5/0 16:35 0.5 8.0 14 896 0.48 C6/0 10:00 0.6 8.0 14 889 | 0.48 C6/0 11:55 0.5 8.0 14 920 | 0.48 C6/0 15:35 0.5 8.1 14 910 0.48 C6/0 16:45 0.8 8.0 14 0/0 | 0.48 GAC3 10:13 0.0 8.1 13 379 | 0.42 INFI 08:15 7.1 13 307 0.42 INFI 13:43 70 15 403 | 0.42 INFI 10439 104 | 0.42 INFI 0.43 CUM CUM CUM CUM CUM | 0.42 CI/0 10:55 0.3 | 0.42 CI/0 13:52 0.4 7.1 17 837 0.42 CI/0 15:53 0.6 | 0.42 C200 08:30 0.6 7.4 13 858 0.42 C200 10:58 0.7 | 0.42 C2/0 14:03 0.8 7.3 16 942 | 0.42 C2/0 16:00 1.1 0.42 C3/0 08:40 0.8 7.7 13 926 | 0.42 C3/0 11:03 1.0 0.42 C3/0 14:11 1.4 7.6 16 942 | 0.42 C.3/0 16:26 2.1 0.42 C.4/0 08:47 1.2 79 13 943 | 0.42 C4A0 11:06 1.4 | 0.42 C4/0 14:21 1.1 7.8 18 92/ 042 C4/0 16:16 19 | 0.42 C5/0 08:57 1.0 8.0 13 870 | 0.42 C5/0 11:10 0.8 0.42 C5/0 14:40 11 710 70 834 | 0.42 C5/0 16:42 1.1 1.3 20 054 | 0.42 C640 09:25 1.0 8.1 13 939 | 0.42 C60 15:35 1.2 8.0 17 934 | 0.42 C6/0 16:49 1.7 0.42 GAC3 09:33 0.0 82 16 311 | 0.42 GACI | 0.38 INFI 08:19 6.9 13 312 |
| Average Hydrogen Average Onerations Tenne | Peroxide PEROXONE Sample Sample Oxone of ORP R | Doxe Ratio Location Time Residual pH Sample Potential (mg/L) (mg/L) (°C) (m/V) | 37.1 0.48 C1/0 11:04 0.0 7.1 14 311 | 37.1 0.48 C1/0 14:15 0.2 7.1 15 540 37.1 0.48 C1/0 16:00 0.3 7.1 14 6:01 | 37.1 0.48 C200 09:11 0.2 7.4 13 545 37.1 0.48 C200 19:11 0.2 7.4 13 545 | 37.1 0.48 C20 14:26 0.4 7.4 15 831 | 37.1 0.48 C2/0 16:10 0.5 7.4 15 932 37.1 0.48 C3/0 09:22 0.4 7.6 14 646 | 37.1 0.48 C3/0 11:32 0.5 7.6 14 871 | 37.1 0.48 C3A0 14:35 0.6 7.6 15 850 37.1 0.48 C3A0 16:20 0.7 7.6 14 926 | 37.1 0.48 C4/0 09:40 0.7 7.7 14 879 | 37.1 0.48 C4/0 11:42 0.9 7.8 14 934 37.1 0.48 C4/0 15:15 0.7 7.7 14 936 | 37.1 0.48 C4/0 16:28 1.2 7.8 14 943 | 37.1 0.48 C570 09:50 0.1 7.9 14 534 37.1 0.48 C570 11:50 0.3 7.9 14 842 | 37.1 0.48 C5/0 15:26 0.4 8.0 14 895 | 37.1 0.48 C5/0 16:35 0.5 8.0 14 896 37.1 0.48 C6/0 10:00 0.6 8.0 14 889 | 37.1 0.48 C6/0 11:55 0.5 8.0 14 920 | 37.1 0.48 C6/0 15:35 0.5 8.1 14 910 371 0.48 C6/0 15:45 0.8 8.0 14 0/0 | 37.1 0.48 GAC3 10:13 0.0 8.1 13 379 | 32.1 0.42 INFI 08:15 7.1 13 307 32.1 0.42 INFE 13.43 7.0 15 403 | 32.1 0.42 INFI | 32.1 0.42 INFI 32.1 0.42 INFI 32.1 0.12 0.13 0.17 0.17 17 0.77 | 32.1 0.42 C1/0 10:55 0.3 | 32.1 0.42 C1/0 13:52 0.4 7.1 17 837 32.1 0.42 C1/0 15:53 0.6 | 32.1 0.42 C200 08:30 0.6 7.4 13 858 32.1 0.42 C200 08:30 0.6 7.4 13 858 32.1 0.42 C200 10-58 0.7 | 32.1 0.42 C2/0 14:03 0.8 7.3 16 942 | 32.1 0.42 C2/0 16:00 1.1 32.1 0.42 C3/0 08:40 0.8 7.7 13 926 | 32.1 0.42 C3/0 11:03 1.0 32.1 0.42 C3/0 14:11 1.4 7.6 16 942 | 32.1 0.42 C3M 16.26 2.1 32.1 0.42 C4M 08.47 1.2 79 13 943 | 32.1 0.42 C4/0 11:06 1.4 | 32.1 0.42 C4/0 14:21 1.1 7.8 18 925 32.1 0.42 C4/0 16:36 1.9 | 32.1 0.42 C5/0 08:57 1.0 8.0 13 870 | 32.1 0.42 C5/0 11:10 0.8 32.1 0.42 C5/0 11:10 0.8 | 32.1 0.42 C500 1442 1.1 7.3 20 0.34 | 32.1 0.42 C6/0 09:25 1.0 8.1 13 939 | 32.1 0.42 Cov 11.19 1.2 32.1 0.42 Cov 15.35 1.2 8.0 17 934 | 32.1 0.42 C60 16:49 1.7 32.1 0.42 GAC3 09:33 0.0 82 16 311 | 32.1 0.42 GACI | 30.4 0.38 INFI 08:19 6.9 13 312 |
| terage Average tsferred Hydrogen Average Onerations Tenne | rzone Peroxide PEROXONE Sample Sample Ozone of ORP R | Dase Dose Ratio Location Time Residual pH Sample Potential ng/L) (mg/L) (°C) (mV) | 77 37.1 0.48 CI/0 11:04 0.0 7.1 14 311 | 77 37.1 0.48 CI/0 14:15 0.2 7.1 15 540 77 37.1 0.48 CI/0 16:00 0.3 7.1 14 601 | 77 37.1 0.48 C2/0 09:11 0.2 7.4 13 545 77 37.1 0.48 C3/0 11:12 0.2 7.4 13 545 | 77 37.1 0.48 C220 14:26 0.4 7.4 15 831 | 77 37.1 0.48 C2/0 16:10 0.5 7.4 15 932 77 37.1 0.48 C3/0 09:22 0.4 7.6 14 646 | 77 37.1 0.48 C3/0 11:32 0.5 7.6 14 871 | 77 37.1 0.48 C3A0 14:35 0.6 7.6 15 850 77 37.1 0.48 C3A0 16:20 0.7 76 14 926 | 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 09:40 0.7 7.7 14 879 | 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 11:42 0.9 7.8 14 934 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 15:15 0.7 7.7 14 936 | 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 16:28 1.2 7.8 14 943 | 77 37.1 0.48 C5/0 09:50 0.1 7.9 14 534 77 37.1 0.48 C5/0 11:50 0.3 7.9 14 842 | 77 37.1 0.48 C5/0 15:26 0.4 8.0 14 895 | 77 37.1 0.48 C5/0 16:35 0.5 8.0 14 896 77 37.1 0.48 C6/0 10:00 0.6 8.0 14 889 | 77 37.1 0.48 C6/0 11:55 0.5 8.0 14 920 | 77 37.1 0.48 C6/0 15:35 0.5 8.1 14 910 77 371 0.48 C6/0 15:45 0.8 8.0 14 0/0 | 77 37.1 0.48 GAC3 10:13 0.0 8.1 13 379 | 76 32.1 0.42 INFI 08:15 7.1 13 307 76 32.1 0.42 INFI 08:15 7.1 13 307 | 76 32.1 0.42 INFI | 76 32.1 0.42 INFI | 76 32.1 0.42 C1/0 00.55 0.3 1.2 1.3 0.3 | 76 32.1 0.42 C1/0 13:52 0.4 7.1 17 837 76 32.1 0.42 C1/0 15:53 0.6 | 76 32.1 0.42 C200 08:30 0.6 7.4 13 858 76 31 0.42 C200 08:30 0.6 7.4 13 858 76 31 0.42 C200 10:58 07 | 76 32.1 0.42 C2/0 14:03 0.8 7.3 16 942 | 76 32.1 0.42 C20 16:00 1.1 76 32.1 0.42 C3/0 08:40 0.8 7.7 13 926 | 76 32.1 0.42 C3/0 11:03 1.0 76 32.1 0.42 C3/0 14:11 1.4 7.6 16 942 | 76 32.1 0.42 C.V0 16.26 2.1 76 32.1 0.42 C.40 08.47 1.2 79 13 943 | 76 32.1 0.42 C4/0 11:06 1.4 | 76 32.1 0.42 C4/0 14:21 1.1 7.8 18 925 76 32.1 0.42 C4/0 16:36 19 | 76 32.1 0.42 C5/0 08:57 1.0 8.0 13 870 | 76 32.1 0.42 C5/0 11:10 0.8 26 32.1 0.42 C5/0 14:12 11 70 934 | 76 32.1 0.42 C3/0 14:42 1.1 1.3 2.0 0.34 | 76 32.1 0.42 C6/0 09:25 1.0 8.1 13 939 | 76 32.1 0.42 C60 15.35 1.2 8.0 17 934 | 76 32.1 0.42 C60 16:49 1.7 26 32.1 0.42 GAC3 09:33 00 82 1/4 311 | 76 32.1 0.42 GACI | 79 30.4 0.38 INF1 08:19 6.9 13 312 |
| age Average Average ied Transferred Hydrogen Average Onerations Tenne | ne Ozone Perexide PEROXONE Sample Sample Ozone of ORP R | xc Doxc Doxc Ratio Location Time Residual pH Sample Potential L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) ("C) (mV) | 77 37.1 0.48 CI/0 11:04 0.0 7.1 14 311 | 5 77 37,1 0,48 C1/0 14;15 0.2 7,1 15 540 77 371 0,48 C1/0 16;00 0.3 7,1 14 6/0 | 1 17 37.1 0.48 C20 09:11 0.2 7.4 13 545 77 37.1 0.48 C20 09:11 0.2 7.4 13 545 | 5 77 37.1 0.48 C20 14:26 0.4 7.4 15 831 | 5 77 37.1 0.48 C2/0 16:10 0.5 7.4 15 932 5 77 37.1 0.48 C3/0 09:22 0.4 7.6 14 646 | 5 77 37.1 0.48 C3/0 11:32 0.5 7.6 14 871 | 5 77 37.1 0.48 C3/0 14:35 0.6 7.6 15 850 \ 77 37.1 0.48 C3/0 16:30 0.7 7.6 14 976 | 5 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 09:40 0.7 7.7 14 879 | 5 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 11:42 0.9 7.8 14 934 i 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 15:15 0.7 7.7 14 936 | 5 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 16:28 1.2 7.8 14 943 | S 77 37.1 0.48 C5/0 09:50 0.1 7.9 14 534 5 77 37.1 0.48 C5/0 11:50 0.3 7.9 14 842 | 5 77 37.1 0.48 C5/0 15:26 0.4 8.0 14 895 | 5 77 37.1 0.48 C5/0 16:35 0.5 8.0 14 896 5 77 37.1 0.48 C6/0 10:00 0.6 8.0 14 889 | 5 77 37.1 0.48 C6/0 11:55 0.5 8.0 14 920 | 5 77 37,1 0.48 C6/0 15:35 0.5 8,1 14 910 5 77 371 0.48 C6/0 15:45 0.8 8,0 14 0/0 | 5 77 37.1 0.48 GAC3 10.13 0.0 8.1 13 379 | 8 76 32.1 0.42 INFI 08:15 7.1 13 307 2 76 32.1 0.42 INFI 13.43 70 15 402 | 3 76 32.1 0.42 INFI | 8 76 32.1 0.42 INFI | 8 76 32.1 0.42 CI/0 10.55 0.3 | 8 76 32.1 0.42 CI/0 13:52 0.4 7.1 17 837 3 76 32.1 0.42 CI/0 15:53 0.6 | 2 7. 2.1 0.42 2.20 08:30 0.6 7.4 13 838 2 7.6 32.1 0.42 2.20 08:30 0.5 7.4 13 838 3 7.6 37.1 0.42 2.70 10.58 0.7 | 8 76 32.1 0.42 C200 14:03 0.8 7.3 16 942 | 8 76 32.1 0.42 C2/0 16:00 1.1 8 76 32.1 0.42 C3/0 08:40 0.8 7.7 13 926 | 8 76 32.1 0.42 C3/0 11:03 1.0 3 76 32.1 0.42 C3/0 14:11 1.4 7.6 16 942 | 8 76 32.1 0.42 C.30 16.26 2.1 x 76 32.1 0.42 C.40 08.47 1.2 79 13 943 | 8 76 32.1 0.42 C4/0 11:06 1.4 | 8 76 32.1 0.42 C4/0 14:21 1.1 7.8 18 925 8 76 32.1 0.42 C4/0 16:36 19 | 8 76 32.1 0.42 C5/0 08:57 1.0 8.0 13 870 | 8 76 32.1 0.42 C540 11:10 0.8 | 8 76 32.1 0.42 C.30 1434 1.1 1.3 20 0.34 8 76 32.1 0.42 C.50 16.42 2.1 | 8 76 32.1 0.42 C640 09:25 1.0 8.1 13 939 | 8 76 32.1 0.42 CM 11.13 1.2 8 76 32.1 0.42 CM 15.35 1.2 8.0 17 934 | 8 76 32.1 0.42 C60 16:49 1.7 8 76 32.1 0.42 GAC3 09:31 0.0 82 16 311 | 8 76 32.1 0.42 GACI | 6 79 30.4 0.38 INFI 08:19 6.9 13 312 |
| Average Average Average Apolice Transforred Hydrogen Average Oncrations Tenns | s Ozone Ozone Peroxide PEROXONE Sample Sample Ozone of ORP R | ate Duve Duve Duve Ratio Lucration Time Residual pH Sample Potential (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) ("C) (mV) | 95 77 37.1 0.48 CI/0 11:04 0.0 7.1 14 311 | 95 77 37,1 0.48 C1/0 14:15 0.2 7,1 15 540 95 77 37,1 0.48 C1/0 16:00 0.3 7,1 14 6:01 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 C.20 09:11 0.2 7.4 13 545 96 77 37.1 0.48 C.20 11:12 0.2 7.4 13 545 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 C20 14:26 0.4 7.4 15 831 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 C2/0 16:10 0.5 7.4 15 932 95 77 37.1 0.48 C3/0 09:22 0.4 7.6 14 646 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 C3A0 11:32 0.5 7.6 14 871 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 C3/0 14:35 0.6 7.6 15 850 45 77 37.1 0.48 C3/0 16:20 0.7 76 14 976 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 09:40 0.7 7.7 14 879 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 11:42 0.9 7.8 14 934 95 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 15:15 0.7 7.7 14 936 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 16:28 1.2 7.8 14 943 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 C500 09:50 0.1 7.9 14 554 95 77 37.1 0.48 C500 11:50 0.3 7.9 14 842 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 C5/0 15:26 0.4 8.0 14 895 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 C5/0 16:35 0.5 8.0 14 896 95 77 37.1 0.48 C6/0 10:00 0.6 8.0 14 889 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 C6/0 11:55 0.5 8.0 14 920 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 C6/0 15:35 0.5 8.1 14 910 os 77 37.1 0.48 C6/0 15:45 0.8 8.0 14 0/0 | 95 77 37.1 0.48 GAC3 10.13 0.0 8.1 13 379 | 98 76 32.1 0.42 INFI 08.15 7.1 13 307 09 76 32.1 0.42 INFE 13.43 70 15 403 | 98 76 32.1 0.42 INFI | 98 76 32.1 0.42 INFI | 98 76 32.1 0.42 CHA 10.55 0.3 | 98 76 32.1 0.42 CN0 13:52 0.4 7.1 17 837 98 76 32.1 0.42 CN0 15:53 0.6 | 96 76 32.1 0.42 C200 95.30 0.6 7.4 13 858 98 76 32.1 0.42 C200 10.83 0.7 14 13 858 | ye 76 32.1 0.42 C20 14:03 0.8 7.3 16 942 | 98 76 32.1 0.42 C2/0 16.00 1.1 98 76 32.1 0.42 C3/0 08:40 0.8 7.7 13 926 | 98 76 32.1 0.42 C3/0 11:03 1.0 98 76 32.1 0.42 C3/0 14:11 1.4 7.6 16 942 | 98 76 32.1 0.42 C.300 16.26 2.1 98 76 32.1 0.42 C.400 08.47 1.2 79 13 943 | 98 76 32.1 0.42 C4/0 11:06 1.4 | 98 76 32.1 0.42 C440 14:21 1.1 7.8 18 925 98 76 32.1 0.42 C440 16:36 19 | 98 76 32.1 0.42 C50 08:57 1.0 8.0 13 870 | 98 76 32.1 0.42 C5/0 11:10 0.8 00 76 32.1 0.42 C5/0 11:10 0.8 | 98 76 32.1 0.42 C.00 14342 1.1 1.7 2.0 0.34 98 76 32.1 0.42 C.50 16.42 2.1 | 98 76 32.1 0.42 C600 09.25 1.0 8.1 13 939 | 98 /0 32.1 0.42 CM0 11.13 1.2 98 76 32.1 0.42 C60 15.35 1.2 8.0 17 934 | 98 76 32.1 0.42 C60 16:49 1.7 98 76 32.1 0.42 GAC3 09:33 00 82 14 311 | 98 76 32.1 0.42 GACI | 98 79 30.4 0.38 INFI 08:19 6.9 13 312 |
| Average Average Average Anolied Transferrod Hydrogen Average Onerations Tenne | Process Ozone Ozone Peroxide PEROXONE Sample Sample Uzone of ORP R | Flow Rate Dose Dose Dose Ratio Location Time Residual pH Sample Potential (gpm) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (my/) | 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 CU0 11:04 0.0 7.1 14 311 | 13 95 77 37,1 0.48 C1/0 14:15 0.2 7.1 15 540 13 95 77 371 0.48 C1/0 16:00 0.3 71 14 601 | 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C20 09:11 0.2 7.4 13 545 13 05 77 371 0.48 C20 11:12 0.2 7.4 14 545 | 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C20 14.26 0.4 7.4 15 831 | 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C2/0 16:10 0.5 7.4 15 932 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C3/0 09:22 0.4 7.6 14 646 | 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C3/0 11:32 0.5 7.6 14 871 | 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C3/0 14:35 0.6 7.6 15 850 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C3/0 16:20 0.7 7.6 14 976 | 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 09:40 0.7 7.7 14 879 | 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 11:42 0.9 7.8 14 934 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 15:15 0.7 7.7 14 936 | 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 16:28 1.2 7.8 14 943 | 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C500 09:50 0.1 7.9 14 534 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C500 11:50 0.3 7.9 14 842 | 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C5/0 15.26 0.4 8.0 14 895 | 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C5/0 16:35 0.5 8.0 14 896 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C6/0 10:00 0.6 8.0 14 889 | 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C60 11:55 0.5 8.0 14 920 | 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C6/0 15:35 0.5 8.1 14 910 13 05 77 371 0.48 C6/0 15:45 0.8 8.0 14 0/0 | 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 GAC3 10.13 0.0 8.1 13 379 | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 INFI 08.15 7.1 13 307 13 00 76 32.1 0.42 INFI 03.43 7.0 15 403 | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 INFI | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 INFI | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 CH0 10:55 0.3 | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C1/0 13.52 0.4 7.1 17 837 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C1/0 15-53 0.6 | 13 26 22 042 23 88 13 98 76 32.1 042 220 08:30 06 74 13 858 13 98 76 32.1 042 720 058 07 | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C200 14:03 0.8 7.3 16 942 | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C20 16.00 1.1 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C30 08:40 0.8 7.7 13 926 | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C.340 11:03 1.0 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C.340 14:11 1.4 7.6 16 942 | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C3.0 16.26 2.1 13 08 76 32.1 0.42 C3.0 16.26 2.1 13 08 76 32.1 0.42 C3.0 08.47 1.2 79 13 943 | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C4/0 11:06 1.4 | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C40 14.21 1.1 7.8 18 925 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C40 16.36 19 | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C50 08:57 1.0 8.0 13 870 | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C5/0 11:10 0.8 13 0.0 76 32.1 0.43 C5/0 14:10 1.1 710 20 024 | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C30 1432 1.1 7.3 20 0.34 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C50 16.42 2.1 | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C640 09:25 1.0 8.1 13 939 | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 CM 11.13 1.2 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C60 15.35 1.2 8.0 17 934 | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C60 16.49 1.7 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 GACY 09.13 00 8.2 14 311 | 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 GACI | 13 98 79 30,4 0,38 INFI 08:19 6,9 13 312 |
| Average Average Average Anolied Transferred Hydrogen Average Onerations Tenns | Process Ozione Ozione Perovide PEROXONE Sample Sample Ozione of ORP R | Well Flow Rate Dwse Dwse Dwse Ratio Location Time Residual pH Sample Potential (gpm) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (m/V) | 6 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 CN0 11.04 00 7.1 14 311 | 6 1 13 95 77 37,1 0.48 CI/0 14,15 0.2 7,1 15 540 6 1 13 05 77 37,1 0.48 CI/0 16,00 03 7,1 14 601 | 1 3 7 37.1 0.48 2.00 09:11 0.2 7.4 13 545 6 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 2.00 09:11 0.2 7.4 13 545 6 1 1 95 37.1 0.48 7.0 14 545 | 6 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C2/0 14.26 0.4 7.4 15 831 | 16 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C20 16:10 0.5 7.4 15 932 6 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C300 09:22 0.4 7.6 14 646 | 6 1 13 95 77 37,1 0.48 C3/0 11:32 0.5 7.6 14 871 | 14 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C3A0 14:35 0.6 7.6 15 850 15 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C3A0 16:20 0.7 76 14 926 | 6 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C40 09.40 0.7 7.7 14 879 | 6 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 11:42 0.9 7.8 14 9.34 6 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 15:15 0.7 7.7 14 936 | 16 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C4/0 16.28 1.2 7.8 14 943 | 6 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C500 09.50 0.1 7.9 14 534 6 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C500 11.50 0.3 7.9 14 842 | 16 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C5/0 15:26 0.4 8.0 14 895 | 66 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C5/0 16:35 0.5 8.0 14 896 66 1 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C6/0 10:00 0.6 8.0 14 889 | 16 I I3 95 77 37.1 0.48 C6/0 I1:55 0.5 8.0 14 920 | 26 I 13 95 77 37.1 0.48 C6/0 15:35 0.5 8.1 14 910 14 1 13 05 77 371 0.48 C6/0 15:45 0.8 8.0 14 0/0 | 10 1 13 25 77 37,1 0.48 GAC3 10.13 0.0 8.1 13 379 | 26 I 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 INFI 08.15 7.1 13 307 16 I 13 00 76 32.1 0.42 INFI 13.43 70 15 403 | 16 1 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 INFI | 16 I 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 INFI | 00 1 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 CN0 06.17 0.3 73 13 703 16 11 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 CN0 10.55 0.3 | 36 I 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C1/0 13:52 0.4 7.1 17 837 16 I 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C1/0 15:53 0.6 | 0.6 1 1.3 28 76 32.1 0.42 C.200 08.30 06 7.4 13 858 16 1 13 08 76 32.1 0.42 C.200 08.30 06 7.4 13 858 | 36 1 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C20 14.03 0.8 7.3 16 942 | 96 I 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C2/0 16:00 1.1 36 I 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C3/0 08:40 0.8 7.7 13 926 | 96 I 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C340 11:03 1.0 16 I 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C340 14:11 1.4 7.6 16 943 | 96 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C.M 16.26 2.1 16 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C.M 16.26 2.1 16 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C.4M 08.47 12 79 13 943 | 36 1 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C410 11:06 1.4 | 96 I 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C400 14.21 1.1 7.8 18 925 36 I 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C400 16.36 19 | 96 1 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C50 08:57 1.0 8.0 13 870 | 96 1 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C5/0 11:10 0.8 22 1 13 66 76 33.1 0.42 C5/0 11:10 0.8 | 96 I 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C30 1442 1.1 7 20 634 96 I 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C50 1642 2.1 | 96 1 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C60 09:25 1.0 8.1 13 939 | 96 L 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 Cov 11.13 1.2 96 L 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 Coo 15.35 1.2 8.0 17 934 | 96 i 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 C60 16.49 1.7 36 i 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 G60 16.49 1.7 | 1 13 98 76 32.1 0.42 GACI | 96 1 13 98 79 30,4 0,38 INFI 08,19 6,9 13 312 |

Page 2 of 12

| | Tetrol | (TV3H) | - | 6.9 | 9.2 | 0.4 | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | | BQL | | | D'U | 801 | BQL | | BQL | ٢ | 7.1 | 7.1 | ç | 0.3 | | | BOL | - | | BOL | - | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | 7 | BQL BQL | BOL | BQL |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|----------------|---------|---------|------------|----------|----------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|--------------|------------|---------|
| | CITZCINC | (1) (1) | N/d | Bol | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | , | | | BQL | | | | BOL | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BOL | | | BOL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | 3 | BQL BQL | n log | BQL |
| | HMX P | (J/R/L) | | 6.8 | 6.7 | 4.2 | | | 1.9 | | | BQL | | | BOL | , | | | BQL | | | 10a | 801 | gr J | | BQL | 6.7 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 6.5 | 4 | | | 1.6 | | | 0.8 | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | ng BQL | BOI. | BQL |
| Nites | 4-NIU0- | (hg/L) | 104 | BQL | Ъ ВQL | ВQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | , | | | BQL | | | i)a | 80I. | BQL | | BQL | BŲL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BOL | | | BOL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | 10.0 | BQL | BOL. | BQL |
| A C united A | 1-AURIND-2,0- 4 | (ry3ri) | NO4 | n Tog | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | , | | | BQL | | | 10a | BOI. | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BOL | | | BOL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | ng BQL | BOL B | BQL |
| Nite | influence of | (J/S/L) | N d | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | , | | | BQL | | | BCH | BOL. | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BOL | , | | BOL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BOL | BQL |
| N IN | c-mun- | (hg/L) | DCM | l B | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | , | | | BQL | | | | BOL 2 | g B | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BOL | , | | BOL | , | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL BQL | BOL BOL | BQL |
| . Amino 4 6 | 2-Anuno-4,0- A dinitrataluene | (hg/L) | 141 | 125 | 166 201 | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | | BQL | | | Na | BOL | BQL | | BQL | 112 | 133 | 126 | 13 | BQL | | | BOL | , | | BOL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL BQL | BOL | BQL |
| | -Dimuro- | hg/L) | DO1 | n BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | , | | | BQL | | | NO. | BOL | BQL | | BQL | BŲL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BOL | , | | BOL | , | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BOL | BQL |
| 3 F. | | 1 | _ | . ~ | 2. | ر | | | ب | | | - | | | Ļ | | | | 1 | | | - | 1 4 | | | ъ | e. | Ś | r. | ç | 1 | | | H. | | | ٦. | | | | 7 | | | л С | | | : | ಕಾ | 3 8 | 44 |
| 14.0 | 10-2,4-10 | /Brl) | 2 | . = | 4.5 | 5 | | | BC | | | B | | | BC | | | | B | | | ä | Ϋ́Ε | Ĩ | | ĕ | = | 2 | = | be | Ä | | | B | | | ě | | | | ă | | | ā | | | i | 1 0 0 | • • | ŝ |
| 1 Dimite | benzene | (JL) | N B | BQL | BQL | 1Da | | | BQL | | | BQL | | • | BOL | | | | BQL | | | JOa | BOL | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | - | BQL | | | BOL | , | | BOL | • | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | р ВQL | | BQL |
| | Nitrate | mg/L N) | 70.6 | 161 | 2.03 | 97.7 | | | 2.46 | | | 2.45 | | | 2.43 | | | | 2.22 | | | 366 | 2.72 | 2.17 | | 3.07 | 1.65 | 1.98 | 1.84 | 2.02 | 2.41 | | | 2.11 | | | 2.35 | | | | 2.44 | | | 2.48 | | | ļ | 2.7 | 2.47 | 2.5 |
| | ohodies |) (T)gu | 0011 | 0111 | 1470 | 761 | | | 50.6 | | | 14.1 | | | 4.5 | | | | 1.7 | | | 20 | 04 | 0.5 | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BOL | | | BOL | , | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | ng BQL | BOL BOL | BQL |
| | DX Niu |) (T | 4.4 | 12 | 4 ; | 2 | | | 1.4 | | | ЗQL | | | 30L | | | | ЗQL | | | IO8 | BOL | gor, | | BQL | 32.5 | 35.5 | 33.3 | 26 | 7.8 | | | 1.4 | | | 0.3 | | | | BŲL | | | BQL | | | 2 | BQL SQL | n ng | BQL |
| | TNB | 1) (1/8rl | 114 | 419 | 540 | 4 | | | 37.8 | | | 12.6 | | | 4.3 | | | | 2 | | | 50 | 64 | 0.5 | | BQL | 380 | 421 | 487 | 250 | 99.4 | | | 38.2 | | | 6 | | | | 3.4 | | | 1.2 | | | | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| | TAT | (J/8rl) | 244 | 508 | 692 | 0.00 | | | 5.6 | | | 1.5 | | | 0.2 | | | | 0.2 | | | BOI | BOL | BQL | | BQL | 6 | 507 | 565 | 292 | 53.8 | | | 8.9 | | | 1.4 | | | | 0.2 | | | BQL | | | | ng B | BOL | BQL |
| ontactor | eroxide | (<u>mg/L</u>) | | | | 74.1 | 23.6 | | 25.1 | 25.1 | | 20.0 | ; | 22 | 22.6 | | 20.4 | | 21.1 | | 0.82 | 4 PC | 1 | 24.4 | | | | | | | 22.5 | | 22.9 | 23.8 | | 23.8 | 27.3 | | 23.8 | | 25.5 | | 1.02 | 28.1 | | 19.5 | | 23.8 | 22.9 | Ì |
| Contactor C Transferred N | Ozone P | (mg/L) | | | F | | 6L | ; | 18 | 30 | 5 | 79 | ; | 18 | 62 | | 6L | | 11 | ; | × | 96 | 2 | 18 | | | | | | | 82 | i | 61 | 61 | | 78 | 18 | | 18 | | 6L | ę | 6 | 18 | | 79 | i | £ | 78 | 2 |
| ontactor | Ozone | (%) | | | | ×. | 9'1 | | 1.5 | ŝ | 2 | 1.6 | | 2 | 971 | | 1.6 | | 8.1 | : | 2 | 16 | 2 | 5 | | | | | | | 1.7 | | 9.1 | 1.6 | | 1.7 | 1.5 | | 1.5 | | 1.6 | | <u>.</u> | 1.5 | | 1.6 | 2 | 9.1 | 1.7 | : |
| Oxidation C | Potential . | (MV) | | 318 | | /40 | 243 | 257 | 254 | 252 | 1 | 2666 | | 875 | 481 | | 302 | | 877 | į | 117 | 760 | 2 | 214 | | 369 | 299 | | 420 | | 547 | ļ | 587 | 547 | | 784 | 226 | | 395 | | 671 | 720 | 8/4 | 513 | | 356 | | 848 | 816 | • |
| perature CORP | ample | ç | | 15 | : | 4 | 16 | 11 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 7 | ! | 2 | 14 | | 18 | | 4 | : | 2 | 14 | : | 11 | | 11 | 5 | | 4 | | 8 | : | 13 | 5 | | 5 | 5 | | 11 | | 6 | 2 | 2 | 4 | | 81 | : | ¥ | 11 | : |
| - Ten | s s Ha | | | 7.0 | | | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.4 | : | 7.5 | | e. | 1.1 | | 7.8 | | 7.8 | 4 | 8.0 | 08 | | 8.1 | | 7.9 | 7.0 | | 7.0 | | 7.2 | ; | 7.2 | 23 | | 7.4 | 7.6 | | 7.6 | | 7.8 | | 2 | 8.0 | | 6.7 | ; | 8.1 | 8.0 | 1 |
| Overe: | Residual | (mg/L) | | | ŝ | 7 O O | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 80 | | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | 5 | 6 | 6 | 62 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 80 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 4.0 | 70 | 6.10 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.4 |
| erations | Time | | | 13:06 | 20.00 | U8:20 | 13:11 | 15:26 | 08:43 | 10:52 13:19 | 15:35 | 08:54 | 10:55 | 15:40 | 80:60 | 10:58 | 13:42 | 15:44 | 81:60 | 10:11 | 16.51 | 00-00 | 11:05 | 14:10 | 15:57 | 09:45 | 06:50 | | 61:60 | | 06:59 | 08:45 | 06:30 | 07:20 | 08:49 | 09:50 | 07:28 | 08:53 | 11:01 | 61:11 | 07:43 | 08:56 | 6601 | 65:10 | 10:60 | 10:51 | 11:23 | 08:14 ~ | 60:11 | 11:24 |
| - Ob | , Tota | | Ē | Ē | Ē | 3 3 | 0/1 | 8 | 20 | 202 | 18 | 0/0 | 015 | | 4/0 | 4/0 | 4/0 | 4/0 | 200 | 5/0 | 0/5 | 000 | 0/9 | 6/0 | 640 | AC3 | NF. | I I | NF1 | NFI | 91 | 2 | 8 | 200 | 2/0 | 50 | 90 | 3/0 | 0/63 | 30 | 34/0 | 8 | 0 P Q | 8 | 25/0 | 25/0 | 220 | 092 | | C6/0 |
| Sc Sa | | | - | · ~ | ~ (| | 0 | | | | | | | | | ۰ ۳ | <u> </u> | ~ | ~ | | | | | ~ | ~ | о ~ | | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ ` | ~ ~ | | ~ | ~ ~ | | ~ | | ~ | 2 | ~ - | | | ~ | * | | | • • | |
| Avera | Ratio | | 82.0 | 0.38 | 8C.0 | 9C.0 | 0.38 | 90.3 | 0.38 | 8:0 9:0 | 30.3 | 0.35 | 9.3 1 | 8 6 0 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 6.9 | 0.35 | 87.0 97.0 | 20°0 | 0.35 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 9.4 | 0.4 | 0.41 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 4 e | 40 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 4:0 7 7 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | | 0.4 |
| Average cd Hydrogen Peroxide | Dose | (mg/L) | 4 UL | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 907 907 | 104 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 |
| Average Transfern Ozone | Dose | (II) | 92 | 62 | 2 2 | 2 2 | 6L | 62 | 2 | 2 2 | 62 | 6L | 62 | 2 2 | 62 | 79 | 62 | 2 | £ | 2 | 2 2 | 2 2 | 62 | 62 | 6L | 62 | 6L | 2 | 6L | 6L | 62 | 61 | £ 2 | 2 2 | 61 | 2 P | 5 | 61 | 6L | 6L | 62 | r 1 | 2 2 | 2 | 61 | 62 | 62 | £ \$ | 2 2 | : £ |
| Average Applied | Duse | (mg/L) | 80 | 86 | 86 | s 8 | 86 | 86 | 8 | s s | 8 | 86 | 8 | s 3 | 8 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 8 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 85 | 86 | 86 | 98 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 8 3 | : 3 | 86 | 86 | 8 25 | 85 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 5 | s 3 | : : | 86 | 86 | 86 | 8 | ° 3 | . 86 |
| | w Rate | Knm) | 1 | | <u> </u> | 2 2 | 6 | n : | <u>-</u> | = = | . ല | 13 | 9 | = = | | 13 | 2 | 13 | 2 | : | 2 2 | 2 2 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 13 | : | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | 13 | n : | 9 m | 5 | 6 | 13 | 2 | <u> </u> | 2 2 | | 13 | 13 | <u>ت</u> | <u>e</u> : | 2 2 | : = |
| | Well Flo | | - | | | | - | | - | | · - | - | _ (| | | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | - | - | - | | - | - | - | | | - | | | _ | - | - | - | | | | - | - | - | | | • |
| | Date | | 20/11/0 | 96/11/6 | 96/11/6 | 96/11/6 | 96/11/6 | 96/L1/6 | 96/11/6 | 9/17/96 | 96/11/6 | 96/11/6 | 96/11/6 | 96/21/6 | 96/21/6 | 96/11/6 | 96/L1/6 | 9/17/96 | 9/17/96 | 96/11/6 | 96/11/6 | 906/11/6 | 96/21/6 | 96/11/6 | 96/11/6 | 96/11/6 | 9/18/96 | 9/18/96 | 9/18/76 | 9/18/96 | 96/81/6 | 96/81/6 | 9/18/96 | 06/01/6 | 96/81/6 | 96/81/6 | 9/18/96 | 96/81/6 | 96/81/6 | 9/18/96 | 96/81/6 | 9/18/96 | 96/81/6 | 9/18/96 | 9/18/96 | 96/81/6 | 96/81/6 | 96/81/6 | 06/81/6 | 96/81/6 |

Page 3 of 12

| | | ctryl | (773 | BQL | 8.1 | 6.2 | | 0.6 | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | JAL D | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | | log BOL | BQL | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.9 7 d | 50 | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | NO1 | 2 | | 202 | BUL | | |
|--|----------------|------------------|------------|---------|---------|------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|------------|---------|------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|-------------|---------|---------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------|-------------|--|------------|---------|--------------------|---------|----------|----------|-------------|---------|----------|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|---------|---------|
| | Vitro- | :nzene] |) (T/8rf | BQL | BQL | BQL | n B | BOL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | 10a | מלר | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | n ng | BOL BOL | BQL BQL | BQL | BQL | n Bol | n Bor | | | BQL | | | BOL | , | | NOR IOR | ł | | 104 | гſ | | |
| | - | HMX h | 173d | BQL | 12.9 | 12 | 6.5 | ្ដ | ł | | | 2.5 | | | 1.2 | | | 2.0 | 1.0 | | | 0.4 | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BOL | BOL | 6.1 | 8.2 | 9.6 | 4 | | | 1.8 | | | 0.8 | | | •0 | 3 | | 104 | βŲΓ | | |
| | -Nitro- | oluene | (1/8/I) (| BQL | BQL | BQL | | BOL | ł | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | 104 | מלה | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BOL | BOL | BQL | BQL | n Bor | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | ROI | 1 | | 100 | Ъ | | |
| | 1-Amino-2,6-4 | finitrototuene b | (J/8H) | BQL | BQL | BQL | 175 1971 | BOL | 2 | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BCI | 171 | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | 108 | BOL BOL | 80F | BQL | BQL | BQL | n loa | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | , | | ROL | 1 | | IV4 | BUL | | - |
| | -Nitro- | olucne e | (Jug/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | БОЛ | 2 | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | n ng | | BQL | BQL | BQL | i Partici Bart | n B | | | BQL | | | BOL | , | | BOI | 2 | | 10.6 | лŊа | | |
| | -Nitro- 3 | olucne t | (J/Sel) | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | - | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | Юą | l. | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n n n n | BQL BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | , | | BOL | i S | | | ЪŲL | | |
| | -Amino-4,6-2 | linitrotoluene | (J) (hg/L) | BQL | 141 | 7.29 | 129 | BOL | , * | | | 0.4 | | | BQL | | | вси | מלר | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | n ng | 10a | BOL | BQL | , 125 | 071 | 81 | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOIL | 2 | | 1010 | BŲL | | |
| | 2,6-Dinitro- 2 | tolucne d | (J)8(J) | BQL | BQL | BQL 201 | BQL BDI | BOL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BCI | חענ | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | n ng | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BOL BOL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | 2 | | M | ٩Ų٢ | | |
| | 4-Dinitro- | tofuene | (T/3d) | BQL | 12 | 8.7 | 4 II 1 0 II | BOL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | NO. | העני | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BOL | BQL | 12 | 12.5 | ° 1 | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | ROI | 2 | | 100 | מלר | | |
| | 3-Dinitro-2 | henzene | (JJ 8(1)) | BQL | BQL | 1.4 | n log | n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOI | 1 N | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL 501 | a lu | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | • | | BOL | 2 | | icia | גער | | |
| | - | Nitrate | (mg/L N) | 2.64 | 1.93 | 1.92 | 7 14 | 2.29 | | | | 2.54 | | | 2.71 | | | 1 26 | no.1 | | | 2.84 | | | 2.89 | 2.62 | 2.72 | 147 | 2.93 | 3.16 | 1.79 | 66:1 | 1.1 | 2.32 | | | 2.31 | | | 2.6 | | | 94 | 1 | | 00 L | 297 | | |
| | Total | litrohodies | (J/8/J) | BQL | 1130 | 823 | UXU1 | 246 | | | | 81.2 | | | 20.7 | | | 0 | • | | | • | | | - | 1.7 | 9:0 8 0 | BOI. | BOL | BQL | 960 | 1230 | 0611 | 220 | | | 48.8 | | | 12 | | | 41 | : | | 00 | 6.0 | | |
| | | RDX 7 | (T/3H) | BQL | 38.4 | 27.1 | 192 | 66 | | | | 2.2 | | | BQL | | | IO B | 2 | | | BQL | | | BQL | 0.7 | ng BQL | a la | BOL | BOL | 33.3 | 38.5 | 6.75 | 8.2 | | | ¥. | | | BQL | | | ROL | 1 | | 0.0 | าวส | | |
| | | BNT | (Jug/L) | BQL | 419 | 315 | S 24 | 5 | | | | 59.6 | | | 17.6 | | | 7.0 | 2 | | | 2.6 | | | - | - | 0.9 | ROL. | BOL | BQL | 362 | 474 | 402 | 135 | | | 36.6 | | | 10.2 | | | Ρt | ; | | 90 | 0.7 | | |
| | | TNT | (JJR) | BQL | 501 | 5 | ŧ ¥ | 83.1 | | | | 16.5 | | | 6.1 | | | 04 | 5 | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BOL | BQL BQL | 415 | S 51 | 468 531 531 | 111 | | | 9 | | | - | | | 0.7 | | | 104 | אלר | | |
| and the second second | deasured | eroxide | (mg/L) | | | | | 27.3 | | 28.3 | | 28.3 | 101 | | 28.8 | | 28.3 | 10.1 | 00 | 29.3 | | 29.3 | 9.76 | 0.12 | 27.3 | | 29.3 | | | | | | | 23.6 | | 24.8 | 24.4 | | 24.0 | 24.4 | | 24.0 | 24.4 | | 22.4 | | 0.42 | 20.3 | |
| | ransferred N | Ozone F | (mg/L) | | | | | 70 | | 75 | | 67 | 11 | 2 | 72 | | 14 | 10 | 2 | 72 | | 72 | ۲. | 2 | 72 | | 73 | | | | | | | 80 | | 64 | 79 | Î | £ | 80 | | 08 | 11 | : | 11 | ŝ | 8 | 80 | |
| , and the second s | Off-gas Ti | Ozone | (%) | | | | | 2.5 | | 2.0 | | 2.7 | " | 4.2 | 2.3 | | 2.4 | 36 | 3 | 2.3 | | 2.3 | | 7-7 | 2.3 | | 2.2 | | | | | | | 1.6 | | 1.7 | 1.7 | | | 1 .6 | | 1.6 | × | 2 | 1.8 | 2 | <u>e</u> | 9'1 | |
| C. and and a second | teduction | Polential | (mV) | 476 | 286 | | C14 | 932 | | 668 | | 159 | 117 | | 936 | | 704 | 270 | Ê | 716 | | 906 | 100 | 100 | 068 | | 806 | 773 | | | 273 | | 605 | 262 | | 280 | 254 | | 845 | 250 | | 570 | 0Ub | | 106 | arr | g | 300 | |
| , manifestation | (ORP F | ample I | 00 | 15 | 13 | 2 | <u>e</u> | 15 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 5 3 | <u>c</u> × | : [| 15 | 16 | <u>s</u> : | 9 2 | 9 | 18 | 81 | 11 | 2 2 | <u>e</u> 22 | 16 | 19 | 2 9 | 9 2 | 2 | | 13 | : | 2 | 13 | | 16 | 13 | | 16 | 13 | | 20 | 2 | : | 11 | 2 | 71 | 16 | |
| Ě | 2 | 된 | | 8.0 | 7.2 | ; | | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 0 X | 1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 7.8 | | 67 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 5 | 2 22 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 82 | 6.0 | ŗ | | 6.9 | i | | 7.0 | 6'9 | 52 | 7.2 | 27 | 22 | 5 | 7.4 | | 1.1 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 61 | 8'I | 8.0 | 8.1 |
| | Ozone | csidual | mg/L) | 0.0 | | | | 1.7 | | 0.8 | 0.6 | 4 | 2 = | 9 | 1:0 | 1.4 | 5 3 | 2 2 | 22 | 1.2 | 5 | 0.8 | 9.10 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 2 2 | | | | | | 0:0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 88 | 8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 6 é | 3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| antis (farme | Sample | Time R | | 08:26 | 08:25 | 5 | 101 | 10:30 | 12:11 | 14:46 | 16:07 | 10:10 | 6C11 | 15:52 | 09:57 | 11:24 | 14:21 | 57-00 | 11:15 | 14:14 | 15:32 | 01:60 | 11:05 | 15:22 | 08:57 | 10:54 | 13:46 | 71:01 | 12.00 | | 08:28 | | 13:09 | 09:44 | 11:30 | 14:42 16:44 | 09:36 | | 14:36 | 09:25 | 10:57 | 13:55 | CI 20 | 10:42 | 13:44 | 16:01 | 10:00 | 13:26 | 15:52 |
| c | Sample | Location | | GAC3 | INFL | INFI | INFI | CIN | C1/0 | CIA | CIN | C20 | | C20 | C30 | C3/0 | 80 | | CAD CAD | C4/0 | C4/0 | CS/0 | CS/0 | 050 | C6/0 | C6/0 | Céro Céro | GACS | CACI | GAC2 | INFI | INFI | INFI | CIA | CIN | | C2/0 | C20 | 88 | 80 | C3/0 | 0,00 | C30 | CAN | C4/0 | C410 | 80 | CS/0 | CS/0 |
| A second s | ROXONE | Ratio | | 0.48 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 040 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 070 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 040 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0:30 | 0.30 | 0.0 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 050 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.0 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| Average | beroxide PE | Dose | (mg/L) | 38.2 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 98.8 38.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 19.42 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 78.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| verage / | Ozone F | Dose | (JUR/JC) | 79 | 12 | 12 F | 2 5 | : 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | r 1 | 2 6 | 2 2 | 72 | 72 | ۲ ۲ | : ; | 2 22 | 12 | 11 | 72 | ۲ ۲ | 2 22 | 72 | 72 | 22 F | 2 5 | 12 | 12 | 6L | 5 | 6 2 | 62 | 61 | 6L 70 | £ | 21 | 2 | 6 | 6L | 62 | £ 2 | 2 | 61 | 6 9 | <u>6</u> 6 | 61 | 6L |
| verage / | Dzone II | Duse | mg/L) | 86 | 86 | 8 | \$ \$ | : 35 | 86 | 86 | 98 | 86 88 | 8 8 | : 35 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 0.00 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 96 90 | 9 85 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 8 20 | ° 3 | 8 | 86 | 86 | 86 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 86 | 86 | 86 | 8 8 | ° 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 88 | 86 | 86 | 86 95 | x x | 86 | 86 |
| < < | xess | v Rate |) (uid | 13 | 6 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | : _ | 13 | 13 | 13 | <u> </u> | 2 5 | 2 m | 5 | 13 | <u> </u> | 2 2 | 2 2 | 2 | 13 | 6 | | 2 g | 5 | 13 | e : | 2 5 | 2 12 | . = | 13 | e : | | 2 22 | 5 | <u> </u> | : E | : : | | : :: | 13 | <u>د</u> | n 1 | . 5 | 13 | : ت | <u>n</u> 2 | : :: | 9 |
| | £ | Vell Flow | 3 | _ | _ | | | | _ | - | - | | | | _ | - | | | | | _ | _ | | | _ | - | | | | | - | | | . – | - | | | | | | - | _ | | | - | | | - | - |
| | | Date | | 9/18/96 | 9123796 | 9/23/96 | 9012/16 | 9/23/96 | 9/23/96 | 9675216 | 9123/96 | 9/23/96 | 06/57/6 | 9023/96 | 9/23/96 | 9/23/96 | 9/23/96 | 2012/06 | 96/67/6 | 9/23/96 | 9/23/96 | 9/23/96 | 9/23/96 | 96/67/6 | 9/23/96 | 9/23/96 | 9/23/96 | 96/15/16 | 96/67/6 | 9/23/46 | 9/24/96 | 9124/96 | 9/24/96 | 9/24/96 | 9/24/96 | 9/24/96 9/24/96 | 9/24/96 | 9/174/96 | 9/24/96 | 9/24/96 | 9/24/96 | 9124196 | 9/24/96 urzanos | 9/24/96 | 96/1-26 | 9/24/96 | 9/24/96 9/24/96 | 9/24/96 | 9124196 |

Page 4 of 12

| and the second second | | inter la compañía de | | 3QL | BQL | n De la | n S c | 5.1 | 6.9 | 5.8 | ų | BQL | | | BQL | | | 2 | 22 | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL. | BOL | BQL | BQL | 4.5 | ۰ Ç | 13 | 0.4 | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | BOL | ł | | BOI. | i 7 |
|-----------------------|----------------|--|---------------|----------|---------|------------|------------|---------|---------|-------------|------------|-------------|--|----------|--------|--------|--|------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|------------------|----------|--------------|--------|--------|------------|-------------|--------|--------|----------|----------------------|-----------------|-------|----------|------------|--------|------------------|------------|--------------|--------|--------|----------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|----------|
| | | nzene T | (T) | - or | 10 | ಕ್ಷ ಕ | 2 | , or | 3QL | зQL | 3QL | 3QL | | | 3QL | | | 2 | 1 | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BOL BOL | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL | BQL | - | | BQL | | | BOL | | | BOL | ľ | | BOL. | , , |
| | | ν γ M | 6 (1/8 | - M | ng i | 22 | 2 | ۲ ور | 6.9 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.2 | | | 1.9 | | | - | - | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BOI. | BOL | BQL | BQL | 51 | : ; | 3.5 | s | | | 1.8 | | | - | | | 0.6 | | | BOL | |
| | | Nutro- | 1) (1) (1) | 3 Gr | ng r | n Di ci | | ğr | 3QL | BQL | gu | 3QL | | | BQL | | | 101 | 2 | | | BQL | | | BQL | , | | | BQL BOI. | BOL | BQL | BQL | n ng | | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | BOL | | | BOL | ł |
| | | mino-2,6- 4- | (18/L) () | ו BQL | BQL | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | NO4 | 220 | | | BQL | | | BQL | , | | | BQL BOL | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BOL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | BOL | , | | BOL | - |
| | | VIUR- 4-AI | 8/L) (| ηÇ | 10T | 50 | 55 | ŭ, | 10r | ğ | ğ | ğ | | | зQL | | | 100 | 1 | | | 3QL | | | BQL | | | | 10 | 20F | BQL | BQL | BQL | n in | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | BOL | ł | | BOL | |
| | - | -NUCO- 3-1 | hg/L) (1 | BQL | 1) IL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BUL | | | BQL | | | | 2 | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | 3 | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BOL. | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | ļ | | BOL | ŗ |
| | | Ammo-4,0- Z | (Jug/L) | BQL | 108 | BQL BOB | n ng | 108 | 118 | 113 | 911 | BQL | | | BQL | | | 100 | 272 | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BOL | BOL | BQL | BQL | 92.7 | 0.1% | 75 | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | BOL | 7 |
| | - | -Dumtro- 2- disene dir | hg/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL B | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BOI. | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | BOL | |
| | - | Damene 1,0 | B(T) | зQL | BQL. | | ger ger | 8.8 | 10.9 | <i>L</i> .6 | 10.9 | BQL | | | BQL | | | | 2 | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | 100 | BOL BOL | BOL | BQL | BQL | 2.8 1.1 | 0.0 11.2 | 9.7 | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | ł | | BOL | - |
| | - | vinuro- 2,4- izene to | 5U) (1/3 | ъ С | 5 | 5 5 | 55 | , Gr | ί | ъ С | ğ | цСг | | | Ŋ | | | 101 | Ş | | | jğr | | | 1QL | | | Ę | j j | r Z | 3QL | зQL | 10 | 301. | 1 | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | BOL | ł |
| | - | rate her | L N) (µ | 87 B | 02 | 21 B | | 95 B | 69 B | 9 I G | 2. E | | | | E B | | | 5 | } | | | .65 E | | | .81 E | | | | z 6 | | .02 | 18 | ۲, ۲ | 80 | 8.1 | 36 | | | 1 123 | | | 88.9 | | | 59 | | | 1.23 | |
| | - | bodies Nit | /L) (mg | 8 | 5 c | | . 5 | 84 | 1 09 | 61 1 | 1 89 | 42 2 | | | 5.6 2 | | | - | • | | | .6 | | | .8 | | | | 9.4 | | 34 3 | бг бг | ಕ | 3 5 | , T | 7 | | | OL 2 | | | с б | | | in cl | | | OL | ŗ |
| | F | N Nirol | 3r) (T/ | о Сг | 5 | | , . | 8 5.6 | 1.1 IG | 2.9 9 | 5.2 9 | 3 | | | .7 5: | | | | 2 | | | QL QL | | | с б | | | 2 | ಕರ | 5 | , z | 6F GF | 5.3 8 | 0 7 0 2 0 8 | 9.5 B | 0.3 B | | | 1.5 B | | | 0.3 B | | | a loi | , | | IOF B | 1 |
| | | NB RI | 6(T) (hi | 8. B | 5 | 5 E | a or | 55 2 | 18 | 84 | 62 | 5.4 | | | 13 | | | | : | | | 4.4 B | | | 1.8 B | | | à | 970 1970 | | 0.4 B | M B | 17 17 17 17 | 000 589 7 | 325 2 | 25 | | | 1 9.2 | | | 8.81 | | | 1.9 1 | | | 2.9 | |
| | | T | 8/L) (µ | с С | 20 | j j | 22 | 371 3 | 466 | <u>=</u> | 416 | 14.2 8 | | | 10.5 4 | | | | 1 | | | 0.2 | | | 3QL | | | 2 | n g g | GL S | BQL | BQL | 89 S | 215 | 343 | 88.8 | | | 11.4 | | | 8.1 | | | 0.3 | ۹. I | | BOL | ł |
| | lactor | surcu xide T | ŝ/L) (р | 4.4 E | | 0 | | | • | - | | 5.4 | | t. | 8.8 | | 9 | | : | 4.4 | | 4,0 | 20 | ç | 4.0 | | 8.3 | | 4. | 4.0 | | _ | | | | 0.0 | 6.4 | | 4.0 | | 34.8 | 2.4 | | 56.B | 24,4 | | 25.6 | 613 | |
| | lactor Con | one Per | к/г) (ш | 5 9 | ė | 7 | | | | | | 30 | ç | 2 | 79 2 | | 80 2 | 6 <u>7</u> | | 81 2 | | 77 2 | - | | X 0 2 | | 1 08 | - | 5 | 80 2 | | | | | | 78 2 | 11 2 | | 76 2 | | 14 | 78 2 | | 76 2 | | : | 75 | 28 | : |
| | actor Con | anna sug- | () () | ۲ بو | | ~ c, | | | | | | 9 | | - | | | v; | | | . | | ύ. | Y | 9 | 9 | | 9.1 | | 1 | 9 | | | | | | 2.6 | 13 | | 2.8 | | 9.0 | 2.6 | | 2.8 | 2.7 | i | 2.9 | 2.6 | |
| | lation Con | antial Or | (<u>)</u> | 12 | ę | 17 | 28 | 34 | | 86 | | 5 | | 5 | 76 | | 65 | 910 | | 147 | | 50 | 25 | 3 | 24 | | 20 | Ì | 0 | 215 | | 240 | 129 | 396 | | 958 | 686 | | 951 | - | 886 | 882 | | 951 | 925 | | 972 | 968 | |
| | rature Oxio | nle Pot | 5 | - | | , , | - | ۰. ۲ | | 4 | | 5 5 | | • • | - | | ~ | | | ~ | | 4 | | • | 4 | | 5 | | • | ¢ | | ~ | | 7 | | ~ | Ś | | | | c | 6 | | ę | 2 | ! | 5 | 13 | |
| | Tempe | Sam | Ľ | - | | | - | - | | - | | - | - | - | - | | - | - | | - | | ~ | - | | - | | _ | - | _ | ~ | | - | _ | _ | | - | - | | ~ | | ~ | - 9 | | - \$ | - - | | • | | , |
| | ļ | dual pH | 1 | 87 O | 82 F | ~ ~ | 0 7.8 | 7.0 | | 7.0 | ì | 0,0 | | 29 | 2 7.4 | | 0 0 | 0 r | . • | .7 0 | 0. | 8.7. | | ; ; ; | 0.8 | - | 8. | 0.9 | 6 7 8 | | 0 | 0.8 | 2 | - | | 1 | ر بر بر | | 3 7. | 9 | 4 - | 0 | 1 | 1. 1 | 5 | 5 | 6 6 7 | * 5 2 | : = |
| | ations | me Resi | Ű. | 55 0 | 812 | 0 110 | 39 0 | 505 | | 59 | | <u>8</u> | 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6 | 3 53 | 129 0 | 52 0 | 940 | n 0 | .47 | 642 0 | 1:26 0 | 90 | 1:47 0 5-47 0 | | iss 0 | 1:35 0 | 5:24 0 | 112 | | 522 0 | 0 10:1 | \$23 0 | 0:22 | 1:32 | | 010 | 1 1 | 5:09 2 | 1 45:6 | 5 5 | 4:14 6:03 | 9:35 | 1:20 | 4:03 | 81.6 | 513 | SE . | - 60 G | 80 |
| | Oper | ation T | | K/0 08 | 01 1 | 0.00 | AC3 | 4FI IC | 1-1 | 11 | | 8 | | 91 | 2/0 05 | 107 | 200 | | 90 | 3/0 10 | 3/0 1 | 4/0 | 40 | 1400 | 5/0 | 200 1 | 5/0 10 | 220 | 2010 | 1009 | 1 0/92 | AC3 0 | | I I I | NF1 | 011 | 2 2 2 | 1 0/12 | 22/0 0 | 1 072 | 002 | 0 OVEC | 23/0 1 | 980 | - 0 040 | 24/0 | 1 040 | - 0 | 1 0/52 |
| | rage VOME 6 | tio Loc | | 30 C | 000 | 20 | . 6 . 0 | 29 B | 29 B | 29 | 29 II | 5 G | | 88 | 29 C | 29 C | 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6 | | 59 | 29 0 | 59 | 53 | | 6, 62 | 5 | .29 C | 29 0 | នុន | 50 50 | 1 | 29 (| 29 G | R : | 38 | 8 | 88 | 3 8 | 3 | 33 (| 8 | 88 | 18 | .33 (| 88 | 18 | 8 | 8 5 | 3 8 | 8 |
| age | igen Ave | te Ra | Ĵ | 0 | 00 | | | 6 9 | 6 9 | 9 9 | ہ 0 ہ | 9 9 9 | | 00 99 | 9 | 9 9 | 9 9 | | 9 | 6 0 | 9 9 | 9 | 99 | | 9 | 6 0 | 6 0 | 9 9 9 9 | 0 0 9 9 | . 9 | 9. | 9. | , , | 0 0 7 7 | 2 | , , , | 9 0 1 1 | .2 0 | .2 0 | 0 ° | 99 | 10 | 2 0 | 22 | 1 1 | 5 | 31 | 1 2 | 12 |
| ge Aven | ned Hydro | Day 1 | -) (mg/ | 24) | 24. | 77 | 24 | 23. | 23. | 23. | 23. | ដ | 5 5 | ផង | 23. | 53 | ri s | 5 2 | 57 | 23. | 23. | 53 | ri r | 5 5 | 5 | 23. | 23. | ន័ន | 23.23 | 3 | 23 | 23 | 5 3 | រុង | 25 | 23 | 2 2 | 25 | 25 | 22 | 2 X | 3 2 | 25 | 25 | 3 2 | 25 | 52 5 | 3 2 | 25 |
| c Avera | d Transfe | Dox |) (mg/l | 6L | r 1 | 5 52 | 62 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 92 S | 02 5 | 8 8 | 80 | 80 | 8 | | 8 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 08 08 | 2 5 | 08 | 80 | 80 | 8 | 88 | 08 | 80 | 80 | 5 1 | | 1 | 5 5 | | 11 | 11 | 1 | | : [| 11 | 5 5 | | 11 | | | |
| Averag | Applic | Dose | (mg/L | 86 | 8 | \$ 3 | 8 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 8 | 8 | 8 8 | \$ 8 | 86 | 86 | 8 | 8, 93 | 38 | 86 | 86 | 8 | 8 3 | \$ ¥ | 8 | 86 | 86 | 8 | s 5 | 86 | 98 | 98 | <u>8</u> | | 108 | 801 | 201 108 | 108 | 108 | 801 | 80 P | 801 | 108 | 801 | 108 | 108 | 301 | 801 | 108 |
| | | 1 Flow Rate | (MDR) | 6 | 5 3 | <u> </u> | 5 | 13 | 13 | 5 | n : | 5 : | <u> </u> | <u></u> | 13 | 5 | <u> </u> | 2 2 | 2 | 8 | 6 | <u>e</u> : | <u> </u> | 2 2 | 2 | 13 | 13 | £ 1 | n n | 5 | 13 | 8 | n : | 2 £ | 13 | s : | <u> </u> | 13 | 13 | S : | - | 2 2 | 13 | <u> </u> | 2 2 | : :: | = : - | 2 S | : E |
| | | : Wel | | 96 1 | 8 > | 8 \$ | | 96 1 | 96 I | 96 | 96 | % | 5 | s s | 76 1 | 1 96 | \$ | \$ 3 | . 1 | 1 96 | 96 1 | 1 96 | 8 8 | 8 9 | 96 | 96 1 | 1 96, | 1 1 | 8 % | 8 | 1 96 | 1 96/ | ۲ ۲ | 8 \$ | 1 96 | 96/ | | 1 96/ | 1 96/ | 96/ | 96 M | 96 | 1 96/ | | 96 | 196 | 1 96/ | - 1 90 | 1 96 |
| | | Date | | 9/24/ | 9/24/ | 17216 | 97241 | 9251 | 9251 | 9/25/ | 9/25/ | 12216 | 1226 | 12216 | 97251 | 9/25/ | 9/25/ | 10216 | 97251 | 9/25/ | 9/25/ | 9/25 | 9/25 | 1500 | 15216 | 9/254 | 9/25/ | 9/25 | 15216 | 15216 | 9/25/ | 9/25 | 926 | 9716 | 9726 | 9/2/0 | 19216 | 9/26 | 9/26 | 9/26 | 926 | 9/2/6 | 9/26. | 9/26 | 906 | 9/26 | 9/26 | 906 | 9/26 |

Page 5 of 12

| | | Tetryl | 1 | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | 23 | 89 | 6.4 | 5.8 | ЪŲ | | | BOL | • | | į | ٦ <u>ک</u> ם | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | вQL ВQL | 0.0 2.3 | 6.4 | 6.4 | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | 52 | 'n | | |
|----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|------------|---------------|--------|-------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|------------|------------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|---------|--------|---------|----------|---------|---------|------------|----------|----------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|------------------|-------------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|---------|------------|---------|----------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| | Nitro- | curenc | 12.42 | | BQL | BQL | BQL | i a | | r R R | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BOL | | | į | ΠÀ | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n n | BQL BQL | BQL | Ъ. | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | 104 | זלנ | | |
| | | 4 XWH | 10.01 | | BQL | BQL | BQL | n Ba | 2 | 4 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 5.1 | | | 2.3 | | | ġ | 2.0 | | | 0.6 | | | | BQL | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | ц Д | 6.1 | 1'6 | 4.7 | s | | | 7 | | | П | | | 30 | <u>c.</u> | | |
| | -Nitro- | lolucne | 1.01 | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BOL | • | | i de la | The second | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | n n | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | 104 | ולר הלו | | |
| | -Amino-2,6- 4 | initrotoluene 1 (ne/L) | in div | | BQL | BQL | BQL | n log | | BOL N | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BOL | | | | DGL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1) a | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | 102 | מלר | | |
| | Nitro- 4 | shuene d | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BOI | 22 | BOL 2 | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BOL | | | | פעני | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n B | l B G | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | 5 | P, L | | |
| | Nitro- 3 | duene b | | | BQL | BQL | n Boli | n B | | n Sc | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BOL | | | ġ | 20 | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n R | n Si Si | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | Ş | הער | | |
| | 2-Amino-4,6-2 | linitrotoluene te fue/L) (| | | BQL | nga | BQL | 101 | 121 | 11 | Ξ | 601 | BQL | | | BOL | , | | | Da | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL IK 7 | 102 | 105 | 102 | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | N. | מלר | | |
| | 2,6-Dinitro- | tolucne (| | | BQL | BQL | ng i | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BOL | , | | | הער | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | 101 | הער | | |
| | 2.4-Dinitro-2 | totuene (/) | | | ЪQL | BQL | BQL | | 124 | 15.1 | 12.8 | 10.9 | BQL | | | BOL | , | | | ٦Ča | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n n | 10.5 | 11.6 | 12.2 | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | 54 | הער | | |
| | .3-Dinitro-1 | benzene (us/L) | 1.4.4 | | BQL | BQL | ngi BQL | BQL BQL | 202 | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BOL | | | | n n | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | ц р р р | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | 22 | הער | | |
| | | Nitrate (mo/1. N) | | | 3.06 | 3.17 | 4.11 | 3.32 | 1 69 | 1.56 | 1.54 | 1.72 | 1.95 | | | 2.2 | | | | A4-7 | | | 2.67 | | | | 2.78 | | | 2.32 | 1.86 | 2.92 | 1.84 | 3.24 | 667 | 1.16 | 1.51 | 1.82 | | | 1.92 | | | 2.24 | | | | 17.7 | | |
| | Total | itrobodies (ue/L3) | | | BQL | BQL | ng Bg | BQI BQI | | 001 | 0601 | 868 | 276 | | | 76.2 | | | | 9.61 | | | 9.5 | | | | 4.2 | | | 0.9 | I.I | 1.4 | 9.1 | D BQL | 696 | 922 | 126 | 359 | | | 60.3 | | | 21.3 | | | - | 9.1 | | |
| | | RDX N | | | BQL | BQL | BQL S | n B | 386 | 33.2 | æ | 33.9 | 7.6 | | | 61 | | | | ť | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL Store | 30.5 | 34.2 | 36.5 | 80 90 90 | | | 1:7 | | | BOL | - | | 101 | אַלר | | |
| | | (International States) | 9 | | 1.2 | 0.8 | 8.0 | - 108 | 415 | 446 | 446 | 322 | 173 | | | 59.4 | | | : | 2 | | | 8.6 | | | | 4.2 | | | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.6 | цу ВQL | ę 9 | 376 | 392 | 229 | | | 45.2 | | | 18.5 | | | î | 3 | | |
| | | TNT (TVI) | | | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | n B | 451 | 482 | 475 | 381 | 87.8 | | | 12.6 | | | : | 3 | | | 0.3 | | | | BQL | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BUL | 413 | 380 | 403 | 116 | | | 11.4 | | | 17 | | | | 5 | | |
| ontactor | easurod | eroxide (me/L) | 0.45 | 0.07 | 22.8 | | 25.6 | | | | | | 25.6 | | 0.62 | 26.4 | | 25.2 | ì | 0.02 | 28.9 | | 26.8 | | 24.0 | | 25.2 | | 21.9 | 25.6 | | 23.6 | | | | | | 37.7 | 33.0 | | 36.5 | | 33.6 | 36.5 | | 25.9 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 31.8 | |
| Contactor C | ransferred M | Ozone P (mv/L) (| ۶ ۲ | 2 | 78 | f | 77 | | | | | | 78 | 5 | 6 | 76 | | 84 | à | 6 | 58 | 3 | 75 | | 5 | | 75 | ŝ | 87 | 74 | | 84 | | | | | | 78 | 74 | | 11 | | 74 | | | 70 | ; | C | 74 | |
| ontactor | DIF-gas T | Ozone (%) | - | 5 | 2.6 | ; | 3.1 | | | | | | 2.6 | ç | 7.7 | 2.8 | | 2.1 | | 0.7 | 2.0 | 2 | 2.9 | | 2.2 | | 2.9 | | 1.8 | 3.0 | | 2.1 | | | | | | 6.1 | 2.3 | | 2.0 | | 2.3 | 2.0 | | 2.6 | ţ | 7-7 | 2.3 | |
| xidation C | eduction 1 | otential (mV) | | | 161 | | 942 | 318 | 414 | ł | 416 | | 984 | 924 | C14 | 066 | | 066 | 100 | C0, | 987 | ł | LL6 | | 116 | | 982 | | 967 | 952 | | 922 | | 234 | 5 | 419 | | 862 | 924 | | 922 | | 110 | 159 | | 147 | Ĩ | 176 | 955 | |
| perature () | FORP R | anple | 1 | 1 | 12 | : | 4 | 2 | : = | 2 | 14 | | 12 | | 2 | н | | 15 | 5 | 2 | 15 | 2 | 01 | | 15 | | 6 | ; | 15 | 5 | | 15 | ; | = = | = | 17 | | 4 | 12 | | 14 | | 11 | 13 | | 11 | : | 5 | 17 | |
| Ten | ÷ | R F | | | 8.1 | 4 | 8.2 | × ۲ | | | 6.9 | | 7.1 | ÷ | | 7.3 | | 7.2 | ; | ţ | 7.5 | 2 | 7.5 | | 1.7 | | 7.8 | i | 7.8 | 7.8 | | 9.T | | 6.7.9 | 0.0 | 7.0 | | 7.3 | 7.2 | | 7.4 | | 7.5 | 7.5 | | 1.1 | ; | 8.1 | 6.7 | |
| | Ozone | (csidual (me/L) | | 66 | 0.4 | 55 | n ; | 0.0 | 5 | | | | 4 | 51 | * * | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 5.0 | 3 2 | 2.0 | 5 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 34 | 2 | 2.9 0.0 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 9.6 | 0.0 | | | | 0.4 | 50 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 6. | 2 9 | 17 | 0.8 | Ξ; | 1.5 | <u>ו</u> כו | 0.6 |
| Incrations | Sampte | Time | 13-36 | 15:46 | 08:49 | 10:52 | 13:26 | 15:40 | 87-60 | 01-70 | 15:15 | | 09:42 | 11:57 | 40.01 | 06:30 | 11:54 | 14:51 | 16:13 | 01.20 | 14:28 | 16:09 | 69:13 | 19 17 | 14:39 | 16:04 | 00:50 | 11:38 | 14:39 16:04 | 00:60 | 11:38 | 14:20 | 16:00 | 08:12 | 1.00 | 14:40 | | 10:37 | 14:36 | 16:09 | 10:30 | 12:00 | 14:21 | 10:10 | 11:53 | 14:05 | 15:52 | 11:38 | 13:52 | 15:57 |
| Ū | ample | ocation | uş, | CS/0 | CGM | C60 | C600 | Cevo Carci | INFI | INFI | INFI | INFI | CI/0 | 010 | 25 | 629 | C2/0 | C2/0 | 80 | | 5 | C30 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C40 | CS/0 | CS/0 | 80 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | CEAD | GAC3 | INFI | INFI | INFI | 80 | 80 | C1/0 | C20 | C20 | 80 | 80 | C300 | C3/0 | C3/0 | 240 | C4/0 | C4/0 |
| Average | ROXONE S | Ratio | | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 610 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 650 | 550 520 | 033 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 600 | 61.0 | 033 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 650 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 |
| Average Hvdrogen | Peroxide PE | Dose (ms/L) | | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 7.52 | | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 7.62 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 2.55 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 154 | 35.4 | 35.4 |
| Average ansferred | Ozone | Dose (me/L) | F | : [| 11 | 5 | F 1 | | : * | 92 | 76 | . 92 | 76 | 2 2 | e % | 92 | 76 | 76 | 22 | 2 2 | 2 % | 2 2 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 2 | ٤ : | 8 8 | 92 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 92 24 | 2 12 | 75 | 75 | 27 X | 2 22 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 5 | c 7 | 12 | 75 | 51 | C ¥ | : 2 | 75 |
| verage / | Ozone | Dose me/L) | | 801 | 108 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 108 | 108 | 108 | 108 | 108 | 801 | 201 | 801 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 901 | 108 | 801 | 108 | 108 | 108 | 108 | 801 | 801 | 80 X01 | 80 | 108 | 801 | 801 | 8 | 8 8 | 100 | 00 | 8 9 | 8 | 001 | 001 | 100 | 90 | 8 8 | 8 | 001 | 8 | <u>8</u> 2 | 8 | 100 |
| ~ < | ocess (| w Rate | | 2 22 | 6 | ≏ : | n : | | 2 5 | 2 22 | 13 | 13 | 13 | n : | 2 2 | 2 2 | 5 | 13 | <u>-</u> : | | 2 2 | 2 2 | 13 | 61 | 6 | ñ | <u>د</u> د | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | : = | = | 13 | 6 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | 5 | 5 | n 1 | 2 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | : : | 2 2 | : = | 9 | : | = : | : = | 13 |
| | Ę | Vell Flo (a | 1 - | | _ | | | | | | _ | _ | - | | | | | - | | | | | - | - | _ | - | | | | | - | - | _ | | | - | - | | | _ | - | - | | | | - | | | | _ |
| | | Date | 200200 | 9/26/96 | 9126196 | 9/26/96 | 9/26/96 | 9/26/96 | NULLUD | 96/1216 | 9612216 | 961706 | 9617219 | 9617196 | 9/1/2/16 | 96/17/6 | 9012196 | 9611214 | 9/12/196 | 9/8/17/6 | 96/17/6 | 907796 | 9/27/96 | 96/12/6 | 9127/96 | 9077/96 | 96/12/6 | 9611216 | 9/27/96 | 96/1.2/6 | 96/12/6 | 96/12/6 | 9617219 | 9/27/96 | 9/28/96 | 9/28/96 | 9/28/96 | 9/28/96 | 96/97/6 | 9/28/96 | 9/28/96 | 9/28/96 | 9/28/96 | 96/82/6 | 9/28/96 | 9/28/96 | 9/28/96 | 9/28/96 | 9/28/96 | 9/28/96 |

Page 6 of 12

| | | Tetryl (us/L) | | 2 | | BOI | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | ng BQI | 12 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.2 | ÷ د | 4.0 | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | 100 | 174 | | | BQL | | | BQL | BOL | • | BQL | 4. × | 53 | 6.7 | 1.1 | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------|------------|----------------|----------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|--------|------------|---------|------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|--------------|---------|----------|----------|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|------------|---------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|------------|----------|------------------|---------|---------|
| | Nitro- | curche (up/L) | | | | NO1 | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n Na | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | aCt | ž | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | | BQL | BOL BOL | n S S | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | |
| | | 4 XWH | | 2 | | IO4 | | BQL | BQL | BQL | ng Bol | 23 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 5.7 | 4. i | 4 | | | 2.2 | | | - | | | 90 | 20 | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL BOL | | BQL | 4.C | 6.3 | 5.4 | 6.7 | 5.2 | | | 1.8 | | | - | |
| | - 4-Nitro- | e toluene | BCN | | | BCE | BOL D | BQL | BQL | BQL | ngu BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | nge BQL | ЪЧ | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BCM | 1 | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL BCL | | BQL | BOL BOL | BQL BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | |
| | 4-Amino-2,6 | linitrotoluen (ueAL) | BUI | | | ROI | n ng | BQL | BQL | BQL | ng i | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | Ъ | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | вси | 171 | | | BQL | | | BQL | BOL | • | BQL | 109 109 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | • |
| | -Nitro- | oluene e (ueA.) | | | | BOB | BOL 5 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | | n Bor | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | 20 | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | 10B | ž | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL BQL | , | BQL | a de | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | |
| | -Nitro- 3 | otuene 1 (us/L) | | | | ROI | n Bor | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | ž | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | КЛ | 1 | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | | BQL | BOL. | ß | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | |
| | Anino-4,6- 2 | itrototuene (| RCI | 7 | | ROS. | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n BQL | 170 | 6.19 | 001 | 92.6 | <u>60</u> | DAL D | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BUI | 121 | | | BQL | | | BQL | BOL | | 108 | 101 | 107 | 901 | 801 | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | |
| | 5-Diniuro- 2- | toluene dir (us/L) | BOI | | | BOL | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | nge Bor | n log | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | ЪŲL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BLN | 121 | | | BQL | | | BQL | BOL | | BQL | BOI. | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | |
| | 4-Dinitro-2, | toluene (ugAL) | BOI | | | BOL. | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n BQL | 12 | 10.6 | 10.4 | 9.5 | 11.4 BCM | 12 | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | RUN | 171 | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | • | BQL | 117 | 11.8 | 11.3 | 12.3 | BQL | | | BQL | | | BŲL | |
| | .3-Dinitro-2 | henzene (ug/L) | BOI | ł | | BOL | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | - No | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | הלר | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | RCM | 2 | | | BQL | | | BQL | BOL | , | BQL BQL | BOL. | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | |
| | - | Nitrate (mg/L N) | 246 | 1 | | 222 | 2.16 | 2.58 | 2.49 | 2.75 | 2.64 | 40-7 | 4 | 86.1 | 1.56 | 1.4 | 00.1 | | | 2.02 | | | 2.26 | | | 74 | i | | | 2.5 | | | 2.02 | 2.03 | | 3.06 | 141 | 1,45 | 1.36 | 1.49 | 1.74 | | | 1.84 | | | 2.38 | |
| | Total | <pre>/itrohodics (ug/L)</pre> | P 6 | i | | 04 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 0.9 | BQL | n n | 121 | 156 | 806 | 916 | 0011 0011 | 067 | | | 86.3 | | | 25 | | | 7.8 | 2 | | | 2 | | | 0.4 | 0.6 | | BQL | 1230 | 1030 | 1040 | 1140 | 267 | | | 59.8 | | | 17.5 | |
| | | RDX P (ug/L) | LOB . | ł | | BOL | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | 325 | 31.5 | 32.8 | 29.7 | 38.5 | | | | 3 | | | 0.4 | | | RON | 2 | | | BQL | | | BQL | BOL | , | BQL | 35 | 31.4 | 33.6 | 35.4 | 9.6 | | | <u>4</u> . | | | BQL | |
| | 1 | E TAB | 74 | i | | 0.4 | 9.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | BQL | ig i | 2 | 166 | 358 | 376 | 462 | R | | | 67.5 | | | 21.3 | | | 09 | } | | | 3 | | | 0.4 | 0.5 | | BQL | 521 S21 | 418 | 448 | 480 | 891 | | | 47.5 | | | 15.2 | |
| | | TNT (ug/L) | BOL | | | BOL | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | | 120 | 414 | 394 | 394 | \$ \$ | 7.1 | | | 14.6 | | | 2.3 | | | 10 | 5 | | | BQL | | | BQL | n Bol | | BQL | 521 | 4 | 432 | 486 | 84.2 | | | 1.9 | | | 1.3 | |
| Contactor | Measured | Peroxide (mg/L) | 117 | | 33.0 | 34.8 | | 35.4 | | | | | | | | 16.0 | 0.00 | 36.6 | | 40.2 | 9.94 | 0.0 F | 38.4 | | 38.4 | Ubt | | 38.4 | | 36.0 | 33.5 | | 40.8 | 36.6 | | | | | | | 38.4 | 18.4 | | 36.6 | | 47.1 | 37.2 | |
| Contactor | Transferre | Ozone (mg/L) | ¥ | : | 74 | 75 | 2 | 75 | | | | | | | | ŗ | : | 78 | | 76 | 70 | 0 | ш | | 11 | 76 | 2 | 76 | | 75 | 11 | | 76 | 75 | | | | | | | 62 | ţ | : | 62 | 2 | 9/ | 78 | |
| Contactor | Off-gas | Ozone (%) | " | | 2.3 | 22 | | 2.2 | | | | | | | | 0 0 | 0.7 | 1.9 | | 2.1 | 01 | 1 | 2.0 | | 2.0 | 1 | i | 2.1 | | 2.2 | 2.5 | | 2.1 | 22 | | | | | | | 1.8 | 0 6 | 0.1 | 1.8 | č | 7.1 | 6.1 | |
| : Oxidation | Reduction | Potential (mV) | 634 | | 950 | 886 | | 519 | | 320 | | 427 | i | 415 | | 606 | 070 | 6963 | | 952 | 650 | 101 | 141 | | 848 | 414 | | 933 | | 927 | 948 | | 883 | 902 | | 238 | 076 | 421 | | | 289 | 202 | | 304 | | 866 | 873 | |
| emperature | of ORP | Sample (°C) | = | | 11 | Ξ | : | 16 | | 61 | | 4 | | 17 | | 5 | 2 | 17 | | 5 | 2 | : | 13 | | 8 | 14 | : | 11 | | 6 | 11 | | 13 | 16 | | 2 2 | <u>c</u> | 18 | | | 5 | 2 | ; | 16 | â | 50 | 1 | |
| н | : | Hd In (| 08 | | 7.9 | 8.8 | | 8.0 | | 7.8 | | 6.8 | | 6.7 | | 02 | 2 | 6.9 | | 7.3 | 7 7 | 1 | 7.4 | 1 | 7.5 | 76 | | 7.6 | | 7.8 | 1.1 | | 8.0 | 7.8 | | 7.8 | 6.0 | 6.9 | | | 1.7 | | : | 7.3 | ć | 57 | 7.4 | |
| | Ozone | Residua (mg/L) | 1 | 0.1 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 63 | | | | | | 40 | 5 0 | 6.0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | <u>.</u> | 0.9 | 9.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1 1 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 7 O 7 O | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| Operations | Sample | Time | 90-5K | 11:50 | 13:54 | 19:52 09:41 | 11:38 | 13:52 | 15:57 | 00:60 | | 09:48 | | 14:36 | | 05-00 | 10-43 | 14:29 | 16:54 | 06:60 | 14-16 | 16:43 | 09:26 | 10:33 | 14:02 | 16:40 09-14 | 10:28 | 13:54 | 16:37 | 00:00 00:01 | 13:38 | 16:32 | 08:55 | 10:14 | 16:29 | 08:20 | 10:01 | 15:00 | | | 10:16 | 11:44 14:36 | 16:53 | 06:50 | 11:42 | 14:24 16:50 | 09:29 | 11:39 |
| | Sample | Location | CSO | C5/0 | CS/0 | 80 | C60 | C60 | C60 | GAC3 | DVD DVD | INFI | INFI | INFL | INFI | HN C | | CIA | C1/0 | 070 | | 5 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C30 | 0.03 0.45 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C4/0 | 882 | CS0 | CS/0 | C6/0 | 8 8 | C60 | GAC3 | INFL | INFI | INFI | INFI | CIN | | CIN | C20 | C20 | 8 5 5 5 | C3V0 | C3/0 |
| Avefage | PEROXONE | Ratio | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0,49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| Average Hydrogen | Peroxide 1 | Dose (mg/L) | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 55.4 15.4 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 9.0 2 4 5 | 3998 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 30.0 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36,6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 |
| Average Fransferred | Ozone | Doxe (mg/L) | ۶ | 75 | 75 | 5 E | 22 | 75 | 75 | 5 5 | 2 ¥ | 2 12 | 75 | 75 | 22 | c x | 2 2 | 75 | 75 | 5 5 | c x | 5 SZ | 75 | 75 | 5 | ۲ ۲ ۲ | 15 | 75 | 75 | 52 ¥ | 5 22 | 75 | 5 | £ % | 75 | 52 ¥ | e 92 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 76 | 92 | 76 | 76 2 | ۶ £ | 76 | 76 |
| Average Applied 7 | Ozone | Doxe (mg/L) | 8 | 8 | 8 | 88 | 8 | 100 | 100 | 8 8 | 8 8 | 8 8 | 8 | 100 | 8 | 8 8 | 8 8 | 8 | 100 | 8 9 | 8 | 8 | 001 | 001 | 00 | 8 8 | 901 | 001 | 001 | 8 8 | 88 | 100 | <u>00</u> | 8 8 | 001 | 8 8 | 3 8 | 001 | 001 | 8 | 00 | 8 8 | 8 8 | 100 | 8 | 88 | 8 | 100 |
| | Process | Tow Rate (gpm) | = | 2 | <u>د</u> : | n n | 1 | 6 | 13 | <u> </u> | | 2 2 | - | 13 | 2 : | <u> </u> | 2 5 | 2 | 13 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | 2 2 | 5 | <u>n</u> | 5 | <u> </u> | 2 | 8 | 13 | n 1 | : : : | 5 | <u> </u> | | 13 | ≏ : | 2 m | : = | 13 | 13 | 13 | <u> </u> | 2 12 | 5 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | - 5 | 13 |
| | | Well F | - | - | - | | · - | - | - | | | | - | - | - | | | - | - | - • | | | - | - | - | | | - | - | | | - | - | | - | | | | - | - | - | | | - | - • | | | - |
| | 4 | Date | 96/82/6 | 9/28/96 | 9/28/96 | 9//28/96 | 9/28/96 | 9/28/96 | 9/28/96 | 9/28/96 | 96/82/6 | 96/6/6 | 9/29/96 | 9129/96 | 9/29/96 | 96/62/6 | 9h/h/lh | 96/62/6 | 90/62/6 | 9/29/96 | 90/02/0 | 96/62/6 | 90/62/6 | 90/62/6 | 9/29/96 | 9/29/96 9/29/96 | 9/29/96 | 9129/96 | 96/62/6 | 9/29/96 amurae | 9/29/96 | 96/67/6 | 9/29/96 | 9/29/96 | 9019196 | 96/62/6 | 96/02/6 | 96/08/6 | 96/06/6 | 96/08/6 | 9/30/96 | 9/30/96 | 96/06/6 | 96/06/6 | 96/06/6 | 96/06/6 | 96/08/6 | 96/06/6 |

Page 7 of 12

| l | | Tetrol | (hg/L) | | | BQL | | | BOL | 2 | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | | ן קר | 7 | 23 | 4.9 | s | BQL | | | 2 | RQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 23 | | | 4.7 | BQL | BQL | | BQL |
|----------|------------------------|----------------|---|---------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|---------|------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|----------|------------|------------|---------|---------|-----------|--------------|---------|----------|------------|----------|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|----------------|---------|---------|
| | | benzene | (J/grl) | | | BQL | | | BOL | 2 | | | BQL | BŲL | 2 2 2 | | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | | n)a | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL |
| | | XMH | ('T/8H) | | | 0.5 | | | BOL | ł | | | BQL | BQL | 5 | | | 2 Y | 6.9 | 7.9 | 6.6 | 4.5 | | | - | 2 | | | 1.2 | | | | 0.6 | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | BQL | ц ра | • ¥ | | 6.7 | 5.7 | 4 | | [] |
| | A NEAL | tolaene | (1/84) | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | | BQL | BQL | E C | | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | ğ | БŲL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | ВQL | ig 3 | n B | | | l Ol | n B | BQL | BQL | | BQL |
| | A Anton 2.2 | dinitrotoluene | (J)(J) | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | | BQL | BQL | ц Н СГ | 7) n 1) n | | ארו שנו | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | 200 | ВŲL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | n ng | n n | | 208 | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL |
| | Nitro. | tolucne | (JVSH) | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | | | 2 | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | ŝ | n R | | | BQL | | | | ЪQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n BQL | n ng | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL |
| | Nines | toluche | (Jrg/L) | | | BQL | | | BOL | • | | | BQL | BQL | 22 | | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BŲL | | | | ВŲГ | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | ng BQL | n Bolina | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL |
| | 2. A minut A. | Jinitrotoluene | (1)8(1) | | | BQL | | | BOL | • | | | BQL | BQL | | 170 | 170 | 70.6 | 108 | 616 | 88.2 | BQL | | | 10 a | מלר | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | лдя | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 108 | бų В | 6.00 | 92 | 80.7 | 79.3 | 80.7 | BQL | | BQL |
| | - 7.6. Diniten- | toluche e | (J) | | | BQL | | | BQL | , | | | BQL | BQL | | | | BOI. | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | рся | л'nа | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1) B | 1)a | 179 | 108 | BOL | BQL | вQL | BQL | | BQL |
| | 4.Diniter | toluene | (T/8ff) | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | | | 4 | | 511 | 8.8 | 6 | BQL | | | Ъ | DAL D | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | T) a | 2 | 1 | 2 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 9.9 | BQL | | BQL |
| | 1.Dinites. | benzene | (J) | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL BQL | | | NOR | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | DOI: | מלר | | | ЪQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n BQL | אר גער | | BOL | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL |
| | - | Nitrate . | (mg/LN) | | | 2.45 | | | 2.6 | | | | 2.4 | 2.46 | 26.7 | 14.7 | 1 42 | 132 | 1.32 | 1.38 | 1.43 | 1.84 | | | " | 77.7 | | | 2.03 | | | | 2.3 | | | 2.45 | | | 2.01 | 2.29 | 2.62 | 2.67 | 52 | 22 | 116 | 2.14 | 18.1 | 2.33 | 2.48 | 3.36 | | 3.75 |
| | Total | itrohodics | (Hg/L) | | | 6.6 | | | 2.9 | | | | 0.5 | 0.6 | 7.1 | | 282 | 814 | 1110 | 845 | 086 | 211 | | | 0.7.0 | | | | 24.5 | | | | ~ | | | 2.3 | | | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | - 34 | ng s | 3.1 | 50 | 020 | 923 | 116 | 1080 | 165 | | 51.3 |
| | | RDX N | (1/8/1 | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | | 2 | 104 | 23.9 | 30.9 | 30.7 | 29.4 | × | | | 71 | 5 | | | 0.9 | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | n R | 2 | 12 | 155 | 31.6 | 29.1 | 31.6 | 7.3 | | 1.5 |
| | | TNB | (Hg/L) | | | 5.9 | | | 2.9 | | | | 0.5 | 9.0 | 7.1 | | ŝ | 358 | 480 | 353 | 419 | 135 | | | 44.1 | i. | | | 20.1 | | | | Ŷ | | | 2.3 | | | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | - 3 | קר קלו | 47A | 5 | 426 | 388 | 386 | 475 | 8.66 | | 40.1 |
| | | TNT | (µg/L) | | | 0.2 | | | BQL | | | | BQL | non BQL | | 2 | 7 | 347 | 470 | 348 | 423 | 63.4 | | | 0.7 | 2 | | | 2.3 | | | | 0.4 | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | הלר הלר | 4.0 1 4 | 456 | 844 | 403 | 396 | 474 | 53.5 | | 90 |
| | Contactor Measured | Peroxide | (mg/L) | 39.6 | | 37.2 | 305 | | 33.5 | | 36.6 | | 36.0 | 376 | 0.00 | | | | | | | 42.1 | ! | 42.7 | L (F | Ì | 38.4 | | 54.9 | | 36.6 | | 39.6 | 42.7 | | 37.8 | 0.62 | | 41.5 | | 38.4 | | | | | | | | 1 | 57.9 | 0.0 | 43.9 |
| | Contactor Cansferred 2 | Ovene | (mg/L) | 11 | | 78 | ٤ | 2 | 75 | | 11 | | 75 | 26 | 2 | | | | | | | 80 | i | 92 | F | : | 75 | | 80 | | 80 | | ц | 78 | | 76 | 74 | | 78 | | 74 | | | | | | | | | 80 | | 78 |
| | Off-gas 7 | Ozone | (4) | 2.0 | | 1.9 | 74 | 5 | 2.2 | | 2.5 | | 2.2 | , | 4 | | | | | | | 1.7 | | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2 | 2.2 | | 1:7 | | 1.7 | | 2.0 | 6.1 | | 2.1 | 11 | | 6.1 | | 2.3 | | | | | | | | | 1.7 | | 1.9 |
| | vitation (| otential | (InV) | 817 | | 778 | 916 | | 066 | | 606 | | 887 | 649 | 5 | 233 | 420 | | 400 | | | 407 | | 25.6 | 887 | | 616 | | 943 | | 242 | | 661 | 263 | | 889 | 116 | | 703 | | 897 | 300 | 617 | | 111 | | 295 | | - | 282 | 280 | 290 |
| | CORP R | ample 1 | (<u>`</u> C) | 21 | | 15 | 16 | 1 | 14 | | 21 | | 14 | 10 | ; | 14 | 16 | | 20 | | | 18 | - | 8 | 51 | 2 | 20 | | 15 | | 20 | | 11 | 20 | | 15 | 20 | | 91 | | 21 | 2 | 2 | | 5 | 2 | 13 | | , | 12 | 4 | 14 |
| • | 5 | PH S | | 7.5 | | 1.7 | 11 | 1 | 6.1 | | 7.9 | | 8.0 | 10 | 2 | 67 | 6.7 | | 6.9 | | | 1.1 | ; | | 7.4 | | 7.3 | | 7.6 | | 7.6 | | 7.8 | 7.4 | | 6'L | 6.7 | | 8.0 | | 8.0 | 9 5 | ~ | | 11 | | 7,1 | | , | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.5 |
| | Ozone | testdual | (mg/L) | 0.3 | 9.6 | 6.0 | 5 | 5 | 0.9 | - | 9.6 | 1.4 | 8 | 3 3 | 04 | 0.0 | | | | | | 0.1 | 5.0 | 8 Q | 5 | 8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | Ξ | 0:0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 6.9 | V. U | | | | | | ŝ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Sample | Time | | 14:07 | 16:46 | 08:56 | 11:36 13:58 | 16:43 | 08:48 | 11:32 | 13:50 | 16:37 | 08:40 | 11:29 | 1631 | 08:24 | 10:20 | | 15:30 | | | 09:51 | 923 | 62:01 16-31 | 09:45 | 11:12 | 15:17 | 16:34 | 66:60 | 11:08 | 15:00 | 16:30 | 11:60 | 14:58 | 16:25 | \$0:60 | 03:07 | 16:22 | 08:44 | 10:52 | 14:41 | 16:16 08:26 | 02:00 | | 08:03 | | 01:11 | | ļ | 07:57 0%:54 | 10:46 | 07:16 |
| • | Sample | ocation | | C3/0 | C3/0 | C4/0 | C40 C40 | C4/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | Cen Cen | 280 | CEAD | GAC3 | INFL | INFI | INFI | INFI | INFI | CI 0 | 25 | 800 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | 90 | C40 C40 | C4/0 | C4/0 | CS/0 | oso CSN | C5/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | CKO | 890 EV | 1040 | CAC2 | INFI | INFI | INFI | INFI | INFI | o ID CI Ø | CIA | C2/0 |
| | OXONE S | Ratio L | | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 22.0 | SC0 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 550 | 250 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 |
| rage | wide PER | Dec 1 | g(L) | 5.6 | 5.6 | 9.3 | ç y | 99 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 9 | 0.9 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 17 | | | | | | 17 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2 | | 12 | 2.1 | 17 | | 2.1 | 2.1 | 17 | 2.1 | | | | 23 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5 | 5.7 |
| age Avi | ne Pen | 2 | ۳ ۳ | e. | e | er, e | * * | | ē | ŝ | ē, | ~. | e | | | . e | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | ÷ • | . . | * ** | . 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 : | 4 | 4 4 | | 4 | 4 | 4 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 44 | ••• | . 4 | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 - | ~ ~ | 4 | 4 |
| t Tennul | NZO | ð | (mg | ~ | ~ | r - 1 | | ~ | 7 | ~ | | ~ ' | | | | 7 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 7 | ~ 1 | - 1 | - 1 | | | | 7 | 7 | 5 | ~ | ~ 1 | - 1 | | | 7 | ~ 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | ~ - | | | | - | - | ~ | | | 1 | F |
| Averag | Ozone | : Dose | (mg/L | 00I | 8 | 8 8 | 88 | 8 | 8 | 00 | 8 | 8 | 8 8 | 3 3 | 8 | 8 | 001 | 001 | 001 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 3 3 | 8 8 | 8 | <u>8</u> | 001 | 01 | 8 | 8 | 8 9 | 8 | 8 8 | 8 | 001 | <u>90</u> | 3 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 3 3 | 3 8 | 8 | 8 | 100 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 38 | 100 | 100 |
| | Process | Tow Rate | (III) | 5 | : : | n : | 2 2 | 5 | 13 | 5 | = : | n : | <u>n</u> 1 | <u> </u> | <u></u> | 61 | 13 | 13 | 9 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | 2 2 | 2 22 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 9 | = : | 6 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | r r | = | 13 | £ : | n n | 6 | : | e : | <u> </u> | a ja | : = | : 5 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 13 | = : | 2 2 | 9 | e |
| | | Well F | | - | | | | - | - | - | | - · | | | - | - | - | - | - | | - • | | | | | - | - | - | | | | - . | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | - | - | - | - | - • | | - | - |
| | | Date | | 96/06/6 | 96/06/6 | 96/06/6 | 96/06/6 | 9730/96 | 96/06/6 | 96/05/6 | 96/06/6 | 96/06/6 | 90/06/16 | 96/06/6 | 96/06/6 | 9/30/96 | 96/1/01 | 10/1/96 | 10/1/96 | 10/1/96 | 96/1/01 | 96/1/01 | 041101 | 10/1/96 | 96/1/01 | 10/1/96 | 10/1/96 | 10/1/96 | 96/1/01 | 96/1/01 | 96/1/01 | 96/1/01 | 10/1/96 | 10/1/96 | 10/1/96 | 96/1/01 | 96/1/01 | 10/1/96 | 10/1/96 | 96/1/01 | 96/1/01 | 96/1/01 | 10/1/96 | 10/1/96 | 10/2/96 | 10/2/96 | 10/2/96 | 10/2/96 | 10/2/96 | 10/2/96 | 10/2/96 | 10/2/96 |

Page 8 of 12

| | | | _, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|----------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| | | Tetry | 17A | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | n de la | | ; | 5.0 5.0 | 6.2 | S | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | าวิล | | ŝ | 1 M | | ROI | | BQL | BQL | BQL | | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 7.2 | 0.5 | , |
| | Nitro- | henzene | (hß/r) | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BOL BOL | | 2 | | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | БÇL | | 22 | הער | - | BOL | n Bor | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | BOL | , |
| | | XWH | ERIC) | | 0.8 | | 0.4 | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | n Bor | | : | | 6.3 | 7.5 | 3.4 | | | 51 | | | 0.8 | | ŝ | C.D | | Ş | מלר | | BOL | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | 9.1 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 5.5 8 I | 4.6 | |
| | 4-Nitro- | tolucne | (TIRIT) | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | n n | | Č, | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | 22 | ц Г Г | | 1014 | 1 Ca | | BOIL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | BOL | |
| | 4-Amino-2,6- | dinitrotolucne | (HR/L) | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | n de | n ng | , | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | ЪQL | | | BQL | | | тŊа | | | הלר | | BOIL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n BOL | |
| | 3-Nitro- | iolucne e | (HR/L) | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | | , | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | 1014 | ЪЧ | | N/H | 2 C | | BOL. | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BOL | , |
| | 2-Nitro- | e tolucne | 118/11 | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | | , | 200 | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | Ъ | | 0 | 2 | | BOL. | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BOL | |
| | 2-Antho-4.6 | dinitrotolucne | 171/Ref | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | , | 5 | 100 | 110 | 16 | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | N/d | ٦Å٩ | | 104 | 171 | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | 90.8 | 94.3 | 76.7 | 90.2 X5.5 | BOL | |
| | .6-Dinitro- | totucne | 118.11 | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | , | 104 | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | 20 | л Д | | N/B | 171 | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | BOL | |
| | 4-Dinitro-2 | tohucne (ned.) | 11811 | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | BQL | | : | 11.4 | 11.8 | 10.1 | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | N/D | DQL | | Юđ | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | Ξ | E.H | 9.2 | 10.8 | BQL | |
| | 3-Dinitro-2 | henzene (ue/L) | 177/811 | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | N/A | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | Q | 1 Da | | N/d | בענ | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL | BQL | 10a | BQL BQL | BQL | |
| | - | Nitrate (me/L.N) | 1117/8 | | 3.98 | | 4.38 | | 4.39 | | 5.56 | 4.48 | 5.56 | 44-0 | | 76.1 | 121 | 1.49 | 1.46 | 1.82 | | | 1.85 | | | 2.07 | | | 1077 | | ć | 1 | | 2.06 | 2.26 | 2.09 | 1.97 | 2.35 | | 1.24 | 1.08 | 1.13 | 45.1 26 | 1.72 | |
| | Total | Nitrobodics (no.0.) | 11/241 | | 12.5 | | 3.9 | | - | | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | | 0001 | 0001 | 1280 | 858 | 091 | | | 44.7 | | | 15.1 | | ** | 01 | | ž | 3 | | 6.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | BQL | | 926 | 940 | 006 | 946 922 | 186 | |
| | | RDX (| 17.92 | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | BQL | | 15. | 34.6 | 35.9 | 31.2 | ÷ | | | Ξ | | : | 6.9 | | | ł | | 10B | 2 | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | 27.8 | 33.8 | 25.1 | 29.4 43.9 | 7.5 | |
| | | UNB (TNB | 12.91 | | 9.01 | | 3.2 | | - | | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | | 110 | 408 | 529 | 344 | 8 | | | 34.7 | | 1 | 12.9 | | 07 | ÷ | | ž | 2 | | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | BQL | | 388 | 399 | 395 | 408 379 | 120 | |
| | | TNT | 1191 | | Ξ | | 0.3 | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | 62 | | 107 | 429 | 585 | 369 | 50.2 | | | 4.1 | | | - | | 5 | 1 | | 10a | 2 | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | 394 | 393 | 385 | 66 | 53.3 | |
| lactor | nured | roxide ne/L) (| | 0.0 | 43.9 | 0.0 | 48.8 | 0.0 | 35.4 | 0.0 | 42.7 | 2 | 0.0 | | | | | | | 33.9 | 0.0 | | 35.3 | 0.0 | | 33.9 | 0.0 | 0.66 | | 0.0 | 16.0 | | 0.0 | 34.6 | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | 40.5 | |
| 5 | ç | 25 | 21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contactor Con | ransferred Mea | (Dzone Pe (me/L) (r | 1-1 0 -1 | | 6L | | 6L | | 76 | | 78 | | | | | | | | | 76 | | : | 75 | | ; | 2 | | 3T | 2 | | 47 | | | 92 | | | | | | | | | | 76 | |
| Contactor Contactor Con | Off-gas Transferred Mea | (Tzone Dzone Pe (%) (me/L) (r | 1 | | 1.8 79 | | 1.8 79 | | 2.1 76 | | 1.9 78 | | | | | | | | | 1.9 76 | | | 2.0 75 | | : | SI 07 | | 3L 0C | C1 0.7 | | FL 1 C | : | | 1.9 76 | | | | | | | | | | 1.9 76 | |
| Oxidation Contactor Contactor Con | Reduction Off-gas Transferred Mea | Potential ()zone ()zone Pe (mV) (%) (me/L) (r | | 281 | 292 1,8 79 | 354 | 516 1.8 79 | 519 | 524 2.1 76 | 925 | 77.3 1.9 78 | 720 | 909 | 235 | | C14 | | | | 842 1.9 76 | | | 901 2.0 75 | | | 61 077 216 | | 406 2.0 TS | 61 67 604 | | X40 31 74 | | | 842 1.9 76 | | | | | | 427 | | 429 | | 730 1.9 76 | |
| emperature Oxidation Contactor Contactor Con | of ORP Reduction Off-gas Transferred Mer | Sample Potential Ozone Ozone Pe ("C) (mV) (%) (meL) (r | | 16 281 | 15 292 1,8 79 | 17 354 | 14 516 1.8 79 | 15 539 | 14 524 2.1 76 | 12 925 | 13 773 1.9 78 | 220 FT | 14 855 | 13 235 | | 14 432 | | | | 14 842 1.9 76 | | | 13 901 2.0 75 | | | 20 77 716 71 | | 13 4405 2.0 75 | | | PL 10 00X PI | | | 14 842 1.9 76 | | | | | | 14 427 | | 16 429 | | 14 730 1.9 76 | |
| Temperature Oxidation Contactor Contactor Con | of ORP Reduction Off-gas Transferred Mes | pH Sample Potential ()zone Pe ("C) (mV) (%) (me/L) (r | A for the for the former of th | 7.4 16 281 | 7.7 15 292 1.8 79 | 7.6 17 354 | 7.8 14 516 1.8 79 | 7.8 15 539 | 8.0 14 524 2.1 76 | 8.0 12 925 | 8.1 13 773 1.9 78 | 200 | 8.1 14 835 | 8.1 13 235 | | 64 14 417 | | | : | 7.1 14 842 1.9 76 | | | 7.3 13 901 2.0 75 | | | 21 07 716 51 C1 | | 77 13 405 20 75 | | | 7 K 14 KSO 21 74 | | | 8.0 14 842 1.9 76 | | | | | | 6.8 14 427 | | 6.7 16 429 | | 7.0 14 730 1.9 76 | |
| Temperature Oxidation Contactor Contactor Con | Ozone of ORP Reduction Off-gas Transferred Mes | Residual pH Sample Potential Ozone Pe (mg/L) ("C) (mV) (%) (mg/L) (r | | 0.0 7.4 16 281 | 0.1 7.7 15 292 1.8 79 0.1 | 0.0 7.6 17 354 | 0.1 7.8 14 516 1.8 79 0.1 | 0.1 7.8 15 539 | 0.1 8.0 14 524 2.1 76 | 0.5 8.0 12 925 | 0.2 8.1 13 773 1.9 78 | 0.3 | 0.2 8.1 14 8.50 | 0.0 8.1 1.3 2.35 | | 6.0 14 437 | March and And | | : | 0.5 7.1 14 842 1.9 76 0.8 | 1 | | 0.8 7.3 13 901 2.0 75 13 | | | 12 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 | | 13 73 13 ODE 20 75 | 13 | | 1 7 14 800 21 74 | 1.4 | | 0.8 8.0 14 842 1.9 76 | 1.2 | | | 00 | | 6.8 14 427 | | 6.7 16 429 | | 0.4 7.0 14 730 1.9 76 | 0.4 |
| Operations Temperature Oxidation Contactor Contactor Con | Sample Ozone of ORP Reduction Off-gas Transferred Mee | Time Residual pH Sample Potential ()zone ()zone Pe (mg/L) ("C) (mV) (%) (mg/L) (r | | 10:28 0.0 7.4 16 28I | 07:07 0.1 7.7 15 292 1.8 79 08:35 0.1 | 10:00 0.0 7.6 17 354 | 07:02 0.1 7.8 14 516 1.8 79 08-32 0.1 | 09:35 0.1 7.8 15 539 | 06:38 0.1 8.0 14 524 2.1 76 09:09 0.1 | 00.26 0.5 8.0 12 925 | 06:34 0.2 8.1 13 773 1.9 78 | | 9212 0.7 1.8 2.0 21.60 | 0.0 8.1 1.3 235 | | 014-54 A1 41-54 A1-54 | | | : | 14:45 0.5 7.1 14 842 1.9 76 16:28 08 | | | 14:37 0.8 7.3 13 901 2.0 75 16-25 1.3 | | | 14:32 1.0 7.5 1.5 912 2.0 75 16:21 1.2 | | 22 UC 300 11 22 11 90.01 | 16:16 1.3 ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· | | 14-14 13 7X 14 XXX 21 74 | 16:10 1.4 | | 14:02 0.8 8.0 14 842 1.9 76 | 16:04 1.2 | | | 1334 0.00 | | 10:02 6.8 14 427 | | 15:42 6.7 16 429 | | 09:34 0.4 7.0 14 730 1.9 76 | 11:30 0.4 |
| Operations Temperature Oxidation Contactor Con | Sample Sample Oxone of ORP Reduction ()If-gas Transferred Mer | Location Time Residual pH Sample Potential Ozone Ozone Pe (mg/L) ("C) (mV) (%) (mg/L) (r | | C200 10:28 0.0 7.4 16 281 | C3/0 07:07 0.1 7.7 15 292 1.8 79 C3/0 08:35 0.1 | C3/0 10:00 0.0 7.6 17 354 | C4/0 07:02 0.1 7.8 i4 516 1.8 79 C4/0 08:32 0.1 | C4/0 09:35 0.1 7.8 15 539 | C5/0 06:38 0.1 8.0 14 524 2.1 76 C6/0 09:09 0.4 | C5/0 09:28 0.5 8:0 12 925 | C6/0 06:34 0.2 8.1 13 773 1.9 78 | C6/0 08:22 0.3 C4/0 AN-15 0.3 0.1 14 022 | Covo 09:13 0.2 8.1 14 836 C600 | GAC3 0.0 8.1 13 235 | GACI | GAC2 INFI 14-58 6.9 14 437 | INFI | INFI | [NFI | CI/0 14:45 0.5 7.1 14 842 1.9 76 CI/0 16:28 0.8 | CIN | CI/A | CZ/0 14:37 0.8 7.3 13 901 2.0 75 C2/0 16:25 1.3 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C300 14:32 1.0 7.5 1.5 912 2.0 75 C300 16:21 1.2 | C3/0 | C3/0 C4/0 14:26 12 72 13 005 2.0 75 | CAN 16:16 1.3 200 2.0 13 | C4/0 | C4/0 C5/0 14-14 13 78 14 800 21 74 | C50 16:10 1.4 | CSD Cen | Con 14:02 0.8 8.0 14 842 1.9 76 | C6/0 16:04 1.2 | CGAD | C600 | GAC3 1547 0.0 GAC1 | GAC2 | INF1 10:02 6.8 14 427 | INFI | INFL 15:42 6.7 16 429 Mici | uyr c INFN | Ct/0 09:34 0.4 7.0 14 730 1.9 76 | CI/0 11:30 0:4 |
| Average Operations Temperature Oxidation Contactor Con | ROXONE Sample Sample Ozone of ORP Reduction Off-gas Transferred Mer | Ratio Location Time Residual pH Sample Potential Ozone Ozone Pe (mg/L) ("C) (myV) (%) (mg/L) (r | | 0.59 C2/0 10:28 0.0 7.4 16 281 | 0.59 C3A0 07:07 0.1 7.7 15 292 1.8 79 0.59 C3A0 08:35 0.1 | 0.59 C3/0 10:00 0.0 7.6 17 354 | 0.59 C4/0 07:02 0.1 7.8 14 516 1.8 79 0.50 C4/0 08:33 0.1 | 0.59 C4/0 09:35 0.1 7.8 15 539 | 0.59 C5/0 06:38 0.1 8.0 14 524 2.1 76 0.50 C560 06:30 0.1 | 0.59 C5/0 09:28 0.5 8.0 12 925 | 0.59 C6/0 06:34 0.2 8.1 13 773 1.9 78 | 0.59 C6/0 08:22 0.3 Asta rata atta 0.3 vit 14 vez | 0.39 Covo 09:13 0.2 8.1 14 836 0.59 C60 | 0.59 GAC3 0.0 8.1 13 235 | 0.59 GACI | 0.59 GAC2 047 INFI 14:58 6.9 14 433 | 0.47 INFI | 0.47 INFI | 0.47 [NF1 | 0.47 C1/0 14:45 0.5 7.1 14 842 1.9 76 0.47 C1/0 16:28 0.8 | 0.47 CI/N | 0.47 CI/N | U.47 C.20 14:37 U.8 7.3 13 901 2.0 75 047 C200 16:25 13 | 0.47 C2/0 | 0.47 C2M | 0.47 C3/0 16/21 1.0 7.3 1.3 912 2.0 75 0.47 C3/0 16/21 1.2 | 0.47 C3/0 | 0.47 C3/0 0.47 C4/0 14:05 12 77 13 005 20 75 | 0.47 C4/0 16:16 1.3 | 0.47 C4/0 | 0.47 C4/0 0.47 C5/0 14-14 13 78 14 850 21 74 | 0.47 C5/0 16:10 1.4 | 0.47 C50 | 0.47 C6/0 14:02 0.8 8:0 14 842 1.9 76 | 0.47 C6/0 16:04 1.2 | 0.47 C60 | 0.47 C6/0 | 0.4/ GAC3 15.4/ 0.0 0.47 GAC1 | 0.47 GAC2 | 0.52 INFI 10:02 6.8 14 427 | 0.52 INFI | 0.52 INFI 15:42 6.7 16 429 0.63 INFI | 0.52 INFI 0.52 INFI | 0.52 CI/0 09:34 0.4 7.0 14 730 1.9 76 | 0.52 CI/0 11:30 0.4 |
| Average Hydrogen Average Operations Temperature Oxidation Contactor Con | Peroxide PEROXONE Sample Sample Ozone of ORP Reduction Off-gas Transferred Mes | Dose Ratio Location Time Residual pH Sample Potential (720ne Ozone Pe (mg/L) ("C) (mV) (%) (me/L) (" | | 45.7 0.59 C2/0 10.28 0.0 7.4 16 281 | 45.7 0.59 C3/0 07:07 0.1 7.7 15 292 1.8 79 45.7 0.59 C3/0 08:35 0.1 | 45.7 0.59 C3/0 10:00 0.0 7.6 17 354 | 45.7 0.59 C4/0 07:02 0.1 7.8 14 516 1.8 79 45.7 0.59 C4/0 08:33 0.1 | 45.7 0.59 C400 09.35 0.1 7.8 15 539 | 45.7 0.59 C5/0 06:38 0.1 8.0 14 524 2.1 76 46.7 0.60 C600 00:09 0.4 | 45.7 0.59 C5/0 09:28 0.5 8.0 12 925 | 45.7 0.59 Cc/0 06:34 0.2 8.1 13 773 1.9 78 | 45.7 0.59 C600 08:22 0.3 45.7 0.50 C640 08:15 0.3 0.1 12 055 | 45.7 0.59 Cov 09:15 0.2 8.1 14 856 45.7 0.59 C60 | 45.7 0.59 GAC3 0.0 8.1 1.3 2.35 | 45.7 0.59 GACI | 45.7 0.59 GAC2 34.9 0.47 INFE 14:58 6.9 14 432 | 34.9 0.47 INFI | 34.9 0.47 INFI | 34.9 0.47 [NF] | | 34.9 0.47 CIM | 34,9 0,47 CUA | 34.9 U.47 C.200 14:37 0.8 7.3 13 901 2.0 75 34.9 0.47 C.200 16:25 13 | 34.9 0.47 C2/0 | 34/9 0.47 C2/0 | 349 0.47 C30 1432 1.0 7.3 13 912 2.0 73 349 0.47 C30 1621 1.2 | 34.9 0.47 C3/0 | 34.9 0.47 C3/0 34.9 0.47 C4/0 14.26 1.2 7.7 1.3 0.05 2.0 75 | 34.9 0.47 C4/0 16:16 1.3 20 20 20 20 | 34.9 0.47 C4/0 | 34.9 0.47 C4/0 34.9 0.47 C5/0 14.14 13 78 14 890 23 73 | 34.9 0.47 C5/0 16:10 1.4 | 34.9 0.47 CS/0 24.0 0.47 CS/0 | 34.9 0.47 C6/0 14:02 0,8 8,0 14 842 1,9 76 | 34.9 0.47 C640 16:04 1.2 | 34.9 0.47 CKN | 34.9 0.47 C640 | 34.9 0.47 GAC3 13:47 0.0 34.9 0.47 GAC1 | 34.9 0.47 GAC2 | 39.K 0.52 INFI 10:02 6.8 14 427 | 39.8 0.52 INFI | 39.8 0.52 INFI 15:42 6.7 16 429 20.9 6.5 INFO | 32.0 U.26 INFT 39.8 0.52 INFT | 39.8 0.52 CH0 09:34 0.4 7.0 14 730 1.9 76 | 39,8 0.52 C1/0 11:30 0.4 |
| average average ansferred Hydrogen Average Operations Temperature Oxidation Contactor Con | Orone Peruxide PEROXONE Sample Sample Orone uf ORP Reduction Off-gas Transferred Mer | Dose: Dose: Ratio Location Time Residual pH Sample Putential ()zone (220ne Pc (mg/L) (mg/L) (74) (me/L) (12) (12) (14) (15) (16) | 10 160 Distance in the second se | 78 45.7 0.59 C2/0 10:28 0.0 7.4 16 281 | 78 45.7 0.59 C3A0 07:07 0.1 7.7 15 292 1.8 79 78 45.7 0.59 C3A0 08:35 0.1 | 78 45.7 0.59 C3/0 10:00 0.0 7.6 17 354 | 78 457 0.59 C4/0 07:02 0.1 7.8 14 516 1.8 79 78 457 0.50 C4/0 08:33 0.1 | 78 45.7 0.59 C40 09:35 0.1 7.8 15 539 | 78 45.7 0.59 C5/0 06:38 0.1 8.0 14 524 2.1 76 29 45.7 0.60 C5/0 00:39 0.1 | 78 45.7 0.59 C5/0 09:28 0.5 8.0 12 925 | 78 45.7 0.59 C640 06:34 0.2 8.1 13 773 1.9 78 | 78 45.7 0.59 C640 08:22 0.3 79 45.7 0.50 C640 08:25 0.3 | 78 45.7 0.59 CK40 09:13 0.2 8.1 14 856 78 45.7 0.59 CK40 | 78 45.7 0.59 GAC3 0.0 8.1 1.3 2.35 | 78 45.7 0.59 GACI | 78 45.7 0.59 GAC2 74 349 0.47 INFI 14-58 69 14 432 | 74 34.9 0.47 INFI | 74 34.9 0.47 INFI | 74 34.9 0.47 INFI | 74 34.9 0.47 C1/0 14:45 0.5 7.1 14 842 1.9 76 74 34.9 0.47 C1/0 16:28 0.8 | 74 34.9 0.47 CIM | 74 34,9 0,47 CI/A | 74 34.9 0.47 C200 14.37 0.8 7.3 13 901 2.0 75 74 34.9 0.47 C2/0 16.25 13 | 74 34,9 0.47 C2/0 | 74 34.9 0.47 C2/0 | 74 34,9 0.47 C.30 14:32 1.0 7.3 1.5 912 2.0 75 74 34,9 0.47 C.360 16:21 1.2 | 74 34.9 0.47 C3/0 | 74 34.9 0.47 C3/0 74 34.9 0.47 C4/0 14.26 1.2 7.7 1.3 0.05 2.0 75 | 74 34.9 0.47 C40 16:16 1.3 | 74 34.9 0.47 C4/0 | 74 34.9 0.47 C4/0 74 34.9 0.47 C5/0 14.14 13 78 14 890 21 24 | 74 34.9 0.47 C5/0 16:10 1.4 | 74 34.9 0.47 CS/0 24 34.0 0.47 CS/0 | 74 349 0.47 C60 14.02 0.8 8.0 14 842 1.9 76 | 74 34.9 0.47 C6/0 16:04 1.2 | 74 34.9 0.47 C6/0 | 74 34.9 0.47 C60 | 74 34,9 0.47 GAC3 13;47 0.0 74 34,9 0.47 GAC1 | 74 34.9 0.47 GAC2 | 77 39.8 0.52 INFI 10:02 6.8 14 427 | 77 39.8 0.52 INFI | 77 39.8 0.52 INFI 15:42 6.7 16 429 77 30.9 0.63 INFI | 77 39,8 0.52 INFE | 77 39.8 0.52 CU/0 09:34 0.4 7.0 14 730 1.9 76 | 77 39,8 0.52 CI/0 11:30 0.4 |
| wenge Average Average pplied Transferred Hydrogen Average Operations Temperature Oxidation Contactor Contactor Con | Drone Ozone PEROXONE Sample Sample Ozone of ORP Reduction Off-gas Transferred Mer | Dess: Dess: Dess: Ratio Location Time Residual PH Sample Potential (Yzone Ozone Pe mgL) (mgL) (mgL) (q.g.) (mgL) (mgL) ("C) (my) (3,) (mgL) (r | tim 78 457 0.60 CMM 00-11 0.0 | 100 78 45.7 0.59 C2/0 10:28 0.0 7.4 16 281 | 100 78 45.7 0.59 C3.00 07.07 0.1 7.7 15 292 1.8 79 100 78 45.7 0.59 C3.00 08:35 0.1 | 100 78 45.7 0.59 C3/0 10:00 0.0 7.6 17 354 | 100 78 45.7 0.59 C4/0 07:02 0.1 7.8 14 516 1.8 79 1100 78 45.7 0.50 C4/0 08:33 0.1 | 100 78 45.7 0.59 C40 09:35 0.1 7.8 15 539 | 100 78 45.7 0.59 C500 06:38 0.1 8.0 14 524 2.1 76 100 20 44.7 0.60 C500 00:38 0.1 8.0 14 524 2.1 76 | 100 78 45.7 0.59 C5/0 09:28 0.5 8.0 12 925 | 100 78 45.7 0.59 C60 06:34 0.2 8.1 13 773 1.9 78 | 100 78 45.7 0.59 C640 08:22 0.3 100 79 45.7 0.50 C640 08:25 0.3 | 100 /8 45.7 0.59 Cov 09:15 0.2 8.1 14 856 100 78 45.7 0.59 Co40 | 100 78 45.7 0.59 GAC3 0.0 8.1 1.3 2.35 | 100 78 45.7 0.59 GACI | 100 78 45.7 0.59 GAC2 98 74 34.9 0.47 INFI 14-58 6.9 14 433 | 98 74 34.9 0.47 INFI | 98 74 34.9 0.47 INFI | 98 74 34.9 0.47 INFI | 98 74 34.9 0.47 CUN 14:45 0.5 7.1 14 842 1.9 76 98 74 349 0.47 CUN 16:28 0.8 | 98 74 34.9 0.47 CLM | 98 74 34,9 0.47 CI/A | 98 /4 .94,9 .0.4/ C.20 .14.37 0.8 7.3 13 .901 2.0 75 98 74 349 0.47 C.20 16.25 13 | 98 74 349 0.47 C2/0 | 98 74 34.9 0.47 C2.0 | 98 /4 349 0.47 C.30 14:32 1.0 /.3 13 912 2.0 75 98 74 349 0.47 C.30 16:21 1.2 | 98 74 34.9 0.47 C3/0 | 98 74 34.9 0.47 C.3/0 98 74 34.9 0.47 C.4/0 14.5/6 1.2 7.1 13 0.05 2.0 75 | 98 74 349 0.47 C4/0 16:16 1.3 | 98 74 34.9 0.47 C4/0 | 98 74 34.9 0.47 C40 08 24 34.9 0.47 C50 14.14 13 78 14 840 21 24 | 98 74 349 0.47 C50 16:10 1.4 | 98 74 34.9 0.47 C50 | 28 74 349 047 C60 14:02 0.8 8.0 14 842 1.9 76 | 98 74 34.9 0.47 C6/0 16:04 1.2 | 98 74 34.9 0.47 C60 | 98 74 34.9 0.47 C6/0 | 98 /4 34,9 0.4/ 0.4C3 13:4/ 0.0 98 74 34,9 0.47 GAC1 | 98 74 34,9 0.47 GAC2 | 98 77 39.8 0.52 INFI 10:02 6.8 14 427 | 98 77 39.8 0.52 INFI | 98 77 39.8 0.52 INFI 15.42 6.7 16 429 00 77 20.0 059 INFI | 70 // 39,8 0,52 INFI 98 77 39,8 0,52 INFI | 98 77 3938 0.52 CU/0 09:34 0.4 7.0 14 730 1.9 76 | 98 77 39.8 0.52 CU0 11:30 0.4 |
| Average Average Average Applied Transferted Hydrogen Average Operations Temperature Oxidation Contactor Con | Truccess Okonie Okonie PertoXivie PEROXONE Sample Okonie uf ORP Reduction Off-gas Transferred Mer | ow Rate Dovs: Dovs: Dovs: Ratio Location Time Residual pH Sample Potential ()zone ()zone Pc 'gint) (mg/L) | | 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 C2/0 10.28 0.0 7.4 16 281 | 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 C3.0 07.07 0.1 7.7 15 292 1.8 79 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 C3.0 08:35 0.1 | 13 100 78 457 0.59 C3/0 10:00 0.0 7/6 17 354 | 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 C440 07:02 0.1 7.8 14 516 1.8 79 13 100 78 45.7 0.50 C440 07:02 0.1 7.8 14 516 1.8 79 | 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 C4/0 09:35 0.1 7.8 15 539 | 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 CS0 06:38 0.1 8.0 14 524 2.1 76 13 100 78 45.7 0.50 CS0 06:38 0.1 8.0 14 524 2.1 76 | 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 C5/0 09.28 0.5 8.0 12 9.25 | 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 C640 06:34 0.2 8.1 13 773 1.9 78 | 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 C640 08.22 0.3 13 100 78 45.7 0.50 72.60 08.15 0.3 0.1 14 0.55 | 13 100 78 43.7 0.39 CW0 09.13 0.2 8.1 14 856 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 C&0 | 13 100 78 457 059 GAC3 0.0 8.1 13 235 | 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 GACI | 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 GAC2 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 INFI 14-58 6.9 14 433 | 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 INFI | 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 INFI | 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 INFI | 13 9% 74 34.9 0.947 C1/0 14.45 0.5 7.1 14 842 1.9 76 13 9% 74 34.9 0.47 C1/0 16.2% 0.% | 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 CIM | 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 CI/A | 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 CZN 14.37 0.8 7.3 13 901 2.0 75 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 C2M 16.55 13 | 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 C220 | 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 C2/0 | 13 98 /4 349 0.4/ C.M 14:32 1.0 /.5 1.5 912 2.0 75 13 98 74 349 0.47 C.30 16:21 1.2 | 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 C3/0 | 13 98 74 34,9 0,47 C3/0 13 98 74 34,9 0,47 C4/0 14.24 12 7 13 0,05 2,0 75 | 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 C400 16:16 1.3 | 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 C4/0 | 13 98 74 34,9 0,47 C40 13 98 74 34,9 0,47 C50 14,14 13 78 14 860 21 74 | 13 98 74 349 0.47 C50 16:10 1.4 | 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 C50 | 13 98 74 349 0.47 C6/0 14:02 0.8 8.0 14 842 1.9 76 | 13 98 74 34,9 0.47 C60 16:04 1.2 | 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 C6/0 | 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 Cool | 13 98 /4 349 0.47 GAC3 13:47 0.0 13 98 74 349 0.47 GAC1 | 13 98 74 34,9 0.47 GAC2 | 13 98 77 39.8 0.52 INF1 10.02 6.8 14 427 | 13 98 77 39.8 0.52 INFI | 13 98 77 39.8 0.52 INFI 15.42 6.7 16 429 13 00 77 20.0 651 INFE | 13 28 11 32.4 0.22 INFI 13 98 77 39.8 0.52 INFI | 13 98 77 39.18 0.52 CH/0 09:34 0.4 7.0 14 730 1.9 76 | 13 98 77 39,8 0.52 C1/0 11:30 0.4 |
| Average Average Average Applied Transferred Hydrogen Average Operations Temperature Oxidation Contactor Con | Process Oxone Oxone Peroxide PEROXONE Sample Sample Oxone of ORP Reduction Off-gas Transferred Mer | Well Flow Rate Dove: Dove: Dove: Ratio Location Time Residual pH. Stample Potential (Dzone Ozone Pe (gpm) (mgL) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (r | | 1 13 100 78 457 0.59 C2/0 10:28 0.0 7.4 16 281 | 1 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 C3.40 07.07 0.1 7.7 15 292 1,8 79 1 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 C3.40 08:35 0.1 | 1 13 100 78 457 0.59 C.30 16:00 0.0 7.6 17 354 | 1 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 C40 07:02 0.1 7.8 14 516 1.8 79 1 13 100 78 45.7 0.60 C400 08:23 0.1 | 1 13 100 78 457 0.59 C40 09:35 0.1 7.8 15 539 | 1 1 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 06.38 0.1 8.0 14 524 2.1 76 1 13 100 78 45.7 0.50 06.38 0.1 8.0 14 524 2.1 76 | 1 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 C500 09:28 0.5 8.0 12 925 | 1 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 C600 06:34 0.2 8.1 13 773 1.9 78 | 1 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 CM0 08:22 0.3 1 13 100 79 45.3 0.50 CM0 08:22 0.3 | 1 13 100 78 457 0.59 CM0 | 1 13 100 78 457 0.59 GAC3 0.0 8.1 13 235 | 1 13 100 78 45.7 0.59 GACI | 1 13 100 78 457 0.39 GAC2 1 13 98 74 349 047 NPEI 14-58 69 14 433 | 1 13 98 74 349 0.47 INFI | 1 13 98 74 34,9 0.47 INFI | 1 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 INFI | 1 13 9% 74 34,9 0.47 C1/0 14,45 0,5 7,1 14 84,2 1,9 76 1 13 9% 74 34,9 0.47 C1/0 16,2% 0.8 | 1 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 CIM | 1 13 98 74 34,9 0,47 CUA | 1 13 98 /4 34,9 0.4/ CZ/0 14:3/ 0.8 7.3 13 901 2.0 75 1 11 9X 74 349 0.47 C2/0 16:25 13 | I E3 98 74 34,9 0.47 C20 | 1 13 98 74 349 0.47 C20 | 1 13 98 74 349 0.47 C.30 14:32 1.0 7.3 13 912 2.0 75 1 13 98 74 349 0.47 C.30 16:21 1.2 | I 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 C3/0 | 1 13 98 74 34,9 0,47 C3,10 1 13 98 74 34,9 0,47 C4,10 14,26 12 77 13 005 20 75 | 1 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 C4/0 16:16 1.3 | 1 13 98 74 349 0.47 C4A | 1 13 98 74 349 0.47 C40 1 13 98 74 349 0.47 C50 1414 13 78 14 840 21 74 | 1 13 98 74 349 047 C50 16:10 1.4 | 1 13 98 74 34,9 0,47 CS/0 1 13 00 74 34,0 0,47 CS/0 | 1 13 98 74 349 047 C60 14:02 08 8.0 14 842 1,9 76 | 1 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 C600 16:04 1.2 | 1 13 98 74 34,9 0.47 C60 | 1 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 C60 | 1 13 98 /4 34,9 0.4/ GAC3 13,4/ 0.0 1 13 98 74 34,9 0,47 GAC1 | 1 13 98 74 34.9 0.47 GAC2 | I 13 98 77 39.8 0.52 INFI 10:02 6.8 14 427 | 1 13 98 77 39.8 0.52 INFI | 1 [3 98 77 39.8 0.52 INFI 15:42 6.7 16 429 1 13 00 27 30.0 629 INFE | 1 13 76 77 39.8 0.52 INFI 1 13 98 77 39.8 0.52 INFI | 1 13 98 77 39,8 0.52 CV0 09:34 0.4 7,0 14 7,30 1,9 76 | 1 13 98 77 39.8 0.52 C1/0 11.30 0.4 |

Page 9 of 12

| | ctryl | 173 | | | 2 Cr | | | JQE | | | BOL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | | BOL B | BQL | 8.9 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 4 | 1.0 | 2 | | | פלו | | BOL | ŀ | | BOL | | | BOL | | | 1 | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------|----------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------|------------|----------|---------|---------|------------|----------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|------------|---------|------------|-----------------|---------|----------|---------|
| ġ | nrene T | 0 (T/8n | | - | 2 | | | BQL | | | BQL | - | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | n ng | | BOL BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n n | | ž | | | BUL | | BOL | | - | BOL | | | BOL. | ł | | | BQL BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| ~ | MX PC |) (] 87) () | | į | 1.2 | | | 6.0 | | | 0.6 | | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | | n ng | BQL | , xo | 7.4 | 6.8 | 6 C | 50 | 2 | | 2 | 9.1 | | 80 | | | BOL | • | | BOL. | ł | | | BQL BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| -Nitro- | oluene | (JURAL) (| | | BQL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | | n ng | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n n | 200 | 2 | | 1010 | Ъ | | ROL | ł | | BOL | | | BOL | ł | | | BQL BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| -Amino-2.6- 4 | initrotoluciie t | ('T/8rf) | | | аŲь | | | BQL | | | BQL | ł | | : | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | 170 | n no | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n ng | | הלר | | 10 a | Ъ | | BOI | , , | | BOL | | | BOL | Ì | | | BQL BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | - |
| -Nitro- 4 | tolucne d | (HE/T) | | 2 | BUL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL Sol | | n ng | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | 121 | | | IV. | | ROI | ł | | BOL | | | ROL | | | | BQL | BQL B | BQL | BQL | |
| 2-Nitro- | tolucne | (J /34) | | | рдг | | | BQL | | | BQL | - | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n n | | 1 2 4 | | 204 | n n | | BOI | | | BOL | - | | BOL | ł | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| 2-Amino-4.6- | linitrotolucne | (1/8/1) | | | BUL | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | 172 | BOL | BQL | 93.7 | 70.7 | 93.1 | 85.8 | 40.4 | 1 N | | 10 g | Ъ | | BOL | | | BOL | | | BOL | 2 | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| -Dinitro- | tolucne | (1 8/1) | | 100 | Ъ | | | BQL | | | BUL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n ng | הער הער | 2 | | | BQE | | BOI | | | BOL | | | BOI. | 2 | | | BQL BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| 4-Dinitro- 2.0 | totuene | (H8/JT) | | | ٩Č٩ | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | 1 | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | 122 | BOL | BQL | 9711 | 12.2 | 13.1 | = : | 576 1010 | 170 | | Юa | цур | | RCM | | | BOL | | | BOL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| -Dinitro-2 | benzene | (J/84) | | | BUL | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | : | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | n Ng | BOL BOL | BOL | 2.3 | 2.3 | 6.1 | <u>×</u> | 0.1 | הלר | | 204 | Ъ | | IO1 | | | BOL | | | BOL | 2 | | | BQL BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| <u></u> | Nitrate 1 | mg/L N) | | 1 | 8/.1 | | | 1.88 | | | 2.04 | | | | 2.2 | | | 2.18 | 2.13 | 2.18 | 67.7 | 2.41 | 2.95 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.18 | 125 | 4 <u>5</u> - | 70-1 | | Ē | <u> </u> | | 69 [| | | 2.05 | | | 1116 | | | | 2.13 | 2.15 | 2.12 | 2.12 | |
| Total | trobodics | (L/34) | | | C.8C | | | 18.3 | | | 5.8 | | | | 2.1 | | | BQL | BQL | 0.7 | 97 | BOL | BOL | 808 | 118 | 857 | 56 | 7/6 | 66 | | | 7.00 | | 15.7 | | | 6.6 | | | × - | 2 | | | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | ЪQL | |
| | N XOX | (<u>1/8</u> 1 | | 2 | <u>e</u> | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | d is | | n Bot | BOL | 33 ' | 34.7 | 33.7 | 305 | 875 F | 7.6 | | 2 | 0. | | 10a | | | BOL | ŗ | | BOL | ł | | | BQL BQL | BOL | BQL | BQL | |
| | ENB. | (<u>1/8</u> 1 | | 5 | 4.5.8 | | | 15.9 | | | ŝ | | | | 2.1 | | | BQL | BQL | 0.7 | 5 | n log | BOL | 334 | 340 | 356 | 8 | 408 1 1 1 | 17 | | 5 | 7.80 | | 13.7 | | | 63 | | | ž | 2 | | | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | BQL | |
| | TNT | (T/8H) | | : | = | | | 1.5 | | | 0.2 | | | | BQL | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BOL B | BOL | 318 | 339 | 345 | 320 | 410 1 | 1.00 | | à | 0.4 | | 2 | ! | | 0.3 | | | IOB | 1 | | | BQL | n n | BQL | BQL | |
| alactor asored | roxide | 17 (J | 19.2 | | 59.8 | 39.2 | | 39.8 | | 39.8 | 39.8 | | 39.2 | | 38.5 | 37.1 | | 39.8 | | 38.5 | | | | | | | | 5.05 | 1.60 | 39.0 | | 1.65 | 39.0 | 104 | | 0.46 | 40.4 | | 39.0 | 40.4 | | 39.0 | | 39.7 | 39.7 | | | |
| ntactor Con isferred Me | vone Per | u <mark>s/L) (n</mark> | 26 | 2 | c | 75 | | 75 | ; | 75 | 75 | 1 | 76 | | 74 | 75 | | 76 | | 11 | | | | | | | | ž | ę | 80 | P | 5 | 62 | 9Ľ | 2 | 61. | 6L | : | 80 | 92 | 2 | 79 | | 11 | 62 | | | |
| tactor Con | one O | 4) (I | 6 | 4 | 3 | 0.9 | | 5.0 | | 5.0 | 2.0 | | 6.1 | | 1.2 | 2.0 | | 1.9 | | 1.8 | | | | | | | | 4 | <u>.</u> | 971 | 5 | - | 1.7 | - 1 | 1 | 17 | 17 | | 1.6 | - | 2 | 1.7 | | 8. | 1.7 | | | |
| lation Con sction Of | mial O. |) () | 56 | , t | 3 | 67 | | 22 | 1 | 16 | 03 | | 25 | | Z | 80 | | 143 | | £ | Ĩ | 613 | | 134 | | 126 | | 200 | 066 | 665 | - | 816 | 905 | | | 855 | 110 | | 898 | 100 | 2 | 863 | | 868 | 865 | | 244 | |
| ature Oxid P Redu | le Pote | EI) | ŝ | 4 | 5 | ŝ | | × | : | × | \$ | | × | | 3 0 | | | | | | | - | | 4 | | 4 | | | | ~ | | | | | | ~ | | | | - | | - | | 2 | 1 | | _ | |
| Temper of OI | Sam | , Č | 21 | 2 | 2 | 17 | | 2 | : | × | = | | 2 | | = | - | | = | | ~ | : | ž | | ~ | | 2 | | ì | | | - | 7 | - | - | - | 4 | - | • | 9 | - | | ų 1 | | . | | | | |
| a | Hq leab | Ţ, | 4 7.0 | <u>د</u> | 7 0 F | 6 7.2 | ç | 1 7.5 | 5 | 47. Y | 8 8 11 | | 5 7.7 | Ś | 81 × | 5 27 | 4 | 5 7.9 | ç | ₹. | 4. ¢ | ~ 9 | | 6.5 | | 6 | | 2 | : : : | 4 6. | 5 | 2 6 | 15 . 7. | 1.0 | | 1 10 | 28 | | 1. 1. | 36 7 | | 0.6 7. | 1.0 | | 7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | |
| ions be Ozi | e Resi | gm) | 0 8 | е о т | 5 6 28 | .0 | 0 61 | ы 0 | | 9 9 9 9 | | 0 | 50 | 0 13 | 0 9 | 2 9 | 6 | 36 0 | 0 | 0 · | 14 | n 77 | | 32 | | 22 | | 5 | 7 10 | : 2 | e : | 9 0 9 8 | 6 | 28 | 2 8 | 45 | 38 | 5 | 35 (| 87 | 5 6 | 58 | 12 | 9 9 | | 4 | 55 | |
| Operat Sam | - E | | 14:5 | 165 | 8 1 | 4 | 16: | ŝ | Ξ | 4 | 8 | Ξ | 14: | 162 | 8 ± | . <u>.</u> | 16: | 88 | Ë | 4 | 9 9 9 | | | ŝ | | 14: | | ŝ | 3 = | | 9 19 | 8 Ξ | 4 | 9 19 9 19 | ; = | ÷ ; ; | 2 8 | | 0 13 | 9 19 | | | 0 16 | 8 | | 0 12 | ð n: | - |
| VE Samul | Locatio | | CIN | CIA | 5 8 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | 000 | C 40 | C40 | C4M | C4/0 | 050 28 | CSM | CS/D | C640 | C60 | Cen | Cevil Cevil | UVC CVC | GAC | INFI | INFI | INF | | z | 55 | CIX | CIX | 5 8 | 3 | 5 | 55 | Š | 55 | 55 | C4A | ₩2 C | 5 2 | CS | CSA | 83 | 33 | S | GAC | מאר |
| Average | Ratio | | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 75 D | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 7C'0 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 050 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 75 O | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 75.0 |
| Average Hydrogen Perivide | Doxe | (mg/L.) | 3.9.8 | 3.9.8 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 9.4C | 39.8 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 39.8 2 01 | 19.8 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 8.65 8.05 | 39.8 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | -0 1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 104 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 |
| Average Transferred Ozme | Dose | (mg/L) | 11 | 11 | | " | ш | 11 | 11 | 5 | : 1 | : [| 11 | 11 | F F | : [| 11 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 5 | | : F | | 11 | 11 | 5 | - 1 | 2 2 | : F | ۲ I | F F | | F F | : [| 1 | F F | : " | 11 | F F | : F | : 2 | 11 | 5 | | 1 | μ. | 11 |
| Average Applied Ozone | Dose | (mg/L) | 86 | 86 | 86 86 | 86 | 86 | 98 | 86 | s 3 | \$ 3 | * * | 86 | 86 | 86 86 | 8 | 86 | 98 | 86 | 86 | 8 | 83 | 86 | 3 | 96 | 86 | 86 | 8 | s 3 | 8 | 86 | ş ş | 8 | 86 | 8 8 | 86 | 86 20 | 8 | 86 | 86 | ° 3 | 8 | 86 | 86 | \$ 3 | 8 | 85 | 86 |
| Drume | Tow Rate | (mdg) | 5 | n i | 5 5 | 2 | 13 | 9 | 13 | n : | 2 2 | 2 12 | 13 | 13 | <u> </u> | 2 2 | <u>ت</u> | 13 | 13 | <u>5</u> | n : | = = | 2 2 | . 5 | 13 | 13 | 8 | - : | <u>n</u> = | 2 12 | <u></u> | - | 2 | n : | 2 2 | 2 | <u> </u> | 2 | 13 | <u> </u> | 2 5 | : = | 13 | <u> </u> | 2 5 | 2 | E | 6 |
| | Well F | | - | - | | | - | - | - | | | | - | - | | | - | - | - | - | - | | | | - | - | - | | | | _ · | | | | | - | | | - | | | • - | - | | | | - ~ | - |
| | Date | | 10/8/96 | 10/8/96 | 10/8/96 | 96/8/01 | 10/8/96 | 10/8/96 | 10/8/96 | 96/8/01 | 10/8/96 | 10/8/96 | 96/8/01 | 96/8/01 | 96/8/01 | 10/8/04 | 96/8/01 | 10/8/96 | 10/8/96 | 10/8/96 | 96/8/01 | 96/8/01 | 96/9/01 | 96/6/01 | 96/6/01 | 96/6/01 | 10/9/96 | 96/6/01 | 96/6/01 | 96/6/01 | 96/6/01 | 10/9/96 | 96/6/01 | 10/9/96 | 96/6/01 | 96/6/01 | 10/9/96 | 96/6/01 | 10/9/96 | 10/1/96 | 90/0/01 | 96/6/01 | 96/6/01 | 36/6/01 | 10/0/04 | 96/6/01 | 10/9/96 | 10/0/01 |

Page 10 of 12

| | | Tetryl | (Hg/L) | | 5.7 | 4.4 | 4. 4 | ç 5 | BOL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | ВŲГ | | | BŲL | | | 104 | | | | BOL B | 7.5 | 5.6 | 6.8 | nge BQL | | | | BQL | | | 22 | הלר | | | BQL | | | BQL | | |
|---------|--------------------------|----------------|----------|-------|-------|----------|------------|--------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|----------|----------------|-------|------------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------------|-------------|---------|--------|-----------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|----------|-------|-------|------------|------------|-------|--------|--------------|------------|
| | Nitro- | enzene | (1/8/1) | | BQL | BQL | | | n Ng | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | į | ЪЧ | | | BQL | | | | | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | a log | | | BQL | , | | 22 | 17L | | | BQL | | | BQL | | |
| | | HMX 1 | (1/8/1) | | 7.2 | 7.6 | 9.0 9 | 5 0 | 3.7 | | | | [] | | | 0.9 | | | | ВŲГ | | | BQL | | | | | | | BOL | 10.9 | 5.7 | 8.3 | 3.8 | 4.1 | | | 2 | | | 9 | 0.0 | | | 0.5 | | | 0.4 | | |
| | - 4-Nitro- | e toluene | (J/24) | | BQL | BQL | J) IO | BOL | BUL | , | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | 10g | | | BQL | | | N/A | | | 801. | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BOL. | | | BQL | • | | | הער | | | BQL | | | BQL | | |
| | 4-Amino-2,6 | dinitrotolucne | (1/84) | | BQL | BQL | 108 | BOL | BOL | , | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | вQL | | | BQL | | | 1018 | 224 | лун ВQI | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | BOL | | | BQL | , | | | מלר | | | BQL | | | BQL | | |
| | 3-Nitro- | toluene | (1/8/1) | | BQL | BQL | | BOL | BOL | , | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | RCL RCL | | | BQL | | | N/d | | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | | | | BQL | , | | | הער | | | BQL | | | BQL | | |
| | - 2-Nitro- | toluene | (T/3rl) | | BQL | BQL | | a non | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | Ъ | | | BQL | | | 104 | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | n ng | BOL | , | | BQL | | | | 2 | | | BQL | | | BQL | | |
| | - 2-Amino-4,6 | dinitrololuene | (hg/L) | | 62.1 | 80.3 | 2.01 | 99.2 19.2 | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | ۹ČI | | | BQL | | | N/G | | | BOL | BQL | 68.4 | 71.3 | 72.7 | 5 5 7 | BOL | | | BQL | | | 1074 | הלר | | | BQL | | | BQL | | |
| | 2,6-Dinlưo- | toluene | (J)3d) | | BQL | 10 B | BQL BQL | BOL | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | ВŲL | | | BQL | | | | | | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BOL | | | BQL | | | 1014 | הלר | | | BQL | | | BQL | | |
| | 4-Dinitro-2 | toluche | (J/grl) | | 11.3 | 6.9 | 4.A | 11.2 | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | byL | | | BQL | | | IC a | | | BOL | BQL | 12.6 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 8.4 | BOL | | | BQL | | | | הלר | | | BQL | | | BQL | | |
| | .3-Dinitro-2 | henzene | (J/gd) | | 2.4 | 61 | e.i | - | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | рдг | | | BQL | | | Ma | | | BOL | BQL | 2.5 | 2.1 | 6.1 | BQL BQL | BOL | , | | BQL | | | | הלר | | | BQL | | | BQL | | |
| | - | Nitrate | (mg/L N) | | 0.944 | 0.872 | 0 84 | 0.988 | 1.44 | | | | 1.54 | | | 1.43 | | | | or.1 | | | 1.51 | | | 53 1 | 70.1 | to 1 | 561 | 2.45 | 1.05 | 0.828 | 0.802 | 0.804 | 151 | | | 1.46 | | | 20 | | | | 9.1 | | | 67.1 | | |
| | Total | Nitrohodies | (hg/L) | | 1130 | 885 | 814 770 | 606 | 191 | | | | 45 | | | 14 | | | | 6.C | | | . 1.5 | | | 50 | 33 | 4-0 0 V | 970 | BQL | 844 | 780 | 867 | 758 | 208 | | | 53.7 | | | 0 71 | 0'01 | | | 5.3 | | | 2.1 | | |
| | | RDX | (1/8/1) | | 34.4 | 30.4 | 6-07 62 | 2 | 5.8 | | | | 1 | | | BQL | | | 200 | ž | | | BQL | | | N/4 | | 102 | n log | BQL | 36.6 | 27.7 | 30.7 | 22.6 | 707 | | | 1.2 | | | 5 | C.V | | | BQL | | | BQL | | |
| | | TNB | (J18/L) | | 505 | 383 | 414 | 365 | <u>8</u> | | | | 34.1 | | | 12 | | | - | 9.C | | | 1.5 | | | v | 3 | * 0 | 80 | BQL | 361 | 336 | 378 | 341 | 138 | | | 42.1 | | | 1 | ī | | | . 4.8 | | | . 17 | | |
| | | TNT | (T/SH) | | 505 | 368 | 02F | 380 | 45.1 | | | | 7.9 | | | 1.1 | | | | | | | BQL | | | i da | | | BOL | BQL | 344 | 322 | 358 | 318 | 59.7 | | | 8.4 | | | 5 | 1 | | | BQL | | | BQL | | |
| I | Contactor Measured | Peroxide | (mg/L) | | | | | | 40.4 | | 38.3 | | 40.4 | 404 | | 41.8 | | 39.0 | | 4/0 4 | 39.0 | | 40.4 | | 40.4 | An A | 1.04 | 10.7 | | | | | | | 34.3 | | 43.3 | 38.5 | | 39.2 | 30.6 | 000 | 37.8 | | 39.8 | 39.2 | | 39.8 | 18.5 | Į |
| I | Contactor Transferred | Ozone | (mg/L) | | | | | | 81 | | 75 | | 11 | WL. | t | 61 | | 74 | ŕ | 2 | 75 | | 61 | | 73 | 10 | 2 | 74 | : | | | | | | 75 | | 08 | 74 | | 75 | ž | 2 | 75 | | 76 | 76 | | 75 | 75 | 5 |
| , | Contactor Off-gas | Ozone | (%) | | | | | | 1.5 | | 2.0 | | 8.1 | 1.6 | 3 | 1.7 | | 2.1 | | 3 | 2.0 | | 1.7 | | 2.2 | 11 | 2 | 1, | í | | | | | | 2.0 | | 9.1 | 2.1 | | 2.0 | 0. | 0.4 | 2.0 | | 6.1 | 61 | | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1 |
| : | Oxidation Reduction | Potential | (mV) | | 433 | | 064 | | 922 | | 918 | | 914 | 810 | 012 | 556 | | 920 | 500 | 176 | 912 | | 106 | | 894 | NC. | 1 Ro | 810 | | 270 | 435 | | 424 | | 931 | | 006 | 924 | | 915 | 600 | 640 | 851 | | 930 | 106 | | 606 | 8KD | 1 |
| | emperature of ORP | Sample | C) | | 4 | : | 2 | | 6 | | 15 | | 12 | Y | 2 | 12 | | 91 | : | = | 91 | | Ξ | | 16 | = | 2 | ¥I | 2 | 6 | 14 | | 11 | | 13 | | 11 | 6 | | 81 | 2 | 2 | 19 | | 13 | 8 | | = | XI | : |
| | - | Hq 1 | | | 6.9 | | A-10 | | 7.1 | | 7.1 | | 57 | 14 | ţ | 7.6 | | 7.5 | ; | 9 | 1.1 | | 7.8 | | 7.8 | 0 2 | 2 | 7.8 | 2 | 8.0 | 6.8 | | 6.8 | | 6.9 | | 7.0 | 7.2 | | 7.2 | | 2 | 7.4 | | 1.1 | 7.6 | | 7.8 | 7 8 | : |
| | Ozone | Residua | (mg/L | | | | | | 9.6 | 0.8 | 9.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 8.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 10 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 9.0 | 6 | 3 3 | 6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | | | | | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| | Operations Sample | Time | ŀ | | 69:53 | 10.21 | 10.01 | | 09:47 | 11:38 | 14:58 | 16:16 | 09:34 | 14:45 | 16:12 | 09:23 | 11:30 | 14:36 | 16:05 | 01:50 | 14:25 | 16:00 | 00:60 | 11:22 | 14:17 | 00:01 | 71-14 | 1.11 | 15:51 | 08:24 | 91:01 | | 14:53 | | 09:47 | 11:50 | 14:45 16-15 | 09:37 | 11:45 | 14:35 | 16:10 | 11:40 | 14:20 | 16:06 | 90:00 | 14:08 | 16:04 | 08:53 | 1530 | 15:59 |
| | Sample | Location | | GAC2 | INFL | | INFI | INFI | CI/O | CIN | CIA | CIN | C2/0 | | C20 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C3A0 | 000 | 040 | C40 | C4/0 | CS/0 | CS/0 | CS/0 | N S | | 2002 | CERO | GAC3 | INFL | INFI | INFI | INFL | CIM | CIN | | C20 | C2/0 | C2/0 | 80 | 000 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C40 | C40 | C4/0 | CSIO | 88 | CS/0 |
| | Average | Ratio | | 0.52 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 650 151 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 550 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 65.0 | | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 5C.U | | 50 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 550 550 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 650 150 | 0.53 |
| Average | Hydrogen Peroxide F | Dese | (mg/L) | 40.1 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 404 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | * 9 | 104 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 104 | 404 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40,4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 404 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 40.4 | 40.4 |
| Average | Transferred Oyone | Dose | (mg/L) | 11 | 1 | F | | : F | " | ц | 11 | 11 | 5 5 | : : | | 11 | 11 | 11 | 2 2 | : F | | 11 | 11 | 11 | 5 | : : | : F | : F | : 1 | 11 | 76 | 76 | 36 | <u>ج</u> ۲ | 2 92 | 76 | 26 26 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 02 26 | 76 | 76 | 42 | 2,2 | 76 | 76 | 8 % | 2 |
| Average | Applied 1 Ozone | Doxe | (mg/L) | 86 | 86 | 8 S | 5 3 | 86 | 98 | 86 | 86 | 98 | 86 8 | × 3 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 5 | ç 5 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 8 | 8 8 | 9 A | 9 S | 86 | 86 | 98 | 98 | 86 | 86 88 | 86 | 86 | 3 3 | 86 | 98 | 86 | 89 Q | s 3 | : :: | 86 | 86 | ¥ \$ | 86 | 86 | s 3 | 286 |
| | Process | Flow Rate | (uidă) | 13 | 13 | <u> </u> | 2 2 | 5 | 13 | 13 | 5 | 5 | <u> </u> | 2 2 |) = | 61 | 13 | 61 | <u> </u> | 2 5 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 13 | <u> </u> | 2 5 | 2 2 | 2 2 | : = | 1 | 13 | 13 | 2 : | <u> </u> | 2 2 | 13 | 11 II | 1 | 61 | 13 | s : | 2 2 | 2 | 13 | n : | <u>n</u> n | 13 | 13 | = = | : : |
| | | le Well | | M6 1 | 1 96/ | | 1 96/ | 1 96/ | 1 96/ | 1 96/ | 1 96/ | 1 96/ | 1. 1 | 1 96/ | 1 96/ | 1 96/ | 1 96/ | 1 96/ | 1 96/ | 1 90/ | 1 964 | 1 964 | 1 96/1 | 1 96/1 | 1 96/0 | 1 96/ | 1 20% | 1 96/ | 1 96/ | 1/96 1 | 1 96/1 | 1/96 | 1 96/1 | 1/96 | 1 96/1 | 1/96/1 | 1/96 1 /uk 1 | 1/96 | 1/96 1 | 1/96/1 | 1/96 1 | 1 96/1 | 1/96 | 1/96 | 1/96/1 | 1/96/1 | 1/96 | 1/96/1 | 1 /96/1 | 1 96/1 |
| | | Dail | | 10/01 | 10/10 | 10/10 | 10/10 | 0/10 | 10/10 | 10/16 | 10/16 | 10/16 | 101 | 10/0 | 10/10 | 10/10 | 10/16 | 10/16 | 10/11 | 10/101 | 10/10 | 10/10 | 10/10 | 10/10 | 10/16 | 101 | | 101 | 10/10 | 10/10 | 10/1 | 10/1 | 101 | 101 | | 101 | 101 | 10/1 | 10/1 | 10/1 | 1/01 | 101 | 101 | 10/1 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 10/1 | 10/1 | 101 |

Page 11 of 12

.

| | | | Tetry | (J/an) | BQL | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | , |
|---------|-------------|---------------|---------------|----------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| | | Nitro- | henzene | (Ue/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | , |
| | | | XMH | (Tyan) | 0.2 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | | 4-Nitro- | toluene | (Tan) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | | -Amino-2,6- | initrolotuene | (U2/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | | -Nitro- 4 | oluene d | (ng/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | | -Nitro- 3 | oluene 4 | (ng/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | | -Amino-4,6- 2 | initrotofucne | (ng/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | | 2.6-Dinitro-2 | tolucne d | (Jug/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | | 2.4-Dinitro-2 | tohucne | (µg/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | | 1,3-Dinitro- | henzene | (hg/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | | | s Nitrate | (mg/L N) | 1.6 | 1.56 | 1.66 | 19.1 | 1.79 | |
| | | Total | lirobodie: | (JL) | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 | BQL | |
| | | | RDX N | (J/g/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | | | BNT | (LIGAL) | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 | BQL | |
| | 2 | P | TIT | (hg/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | Contacto | Measure | Peroxide | (mg/L) | 39.2 | | 39.2 | | | |
| | Contactor | Transferred | Ozone | (mg/L) | 75 | | 76 | | | |
| | Conlactor | OIC-gas | Ozone | (25) | 2.0 | | 6.1 | | | |
| | Oxidation (| Reduction | Potential | (MV) | 875 | | 850 | | 283 | |
| | Cemperature | of ORP | Sample | 0 | Ξ | | × | | = | |
| | | 5 | Hq le | (| 6.7 | | 7.8 | | 6.7 | |
| | | Ozun | Residu | (mg/L | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | |
| | Operation | Sample | Time | | 08:40 | 11:25 | 13:40 | 15:53 | 08:25 | |
| | | E Sample | Location | | C6/0 | CKN | C6/0 | C6/D | GAC3 | GACI |
| | Average | PEROXON | Ratio | | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 |
| Average | Hydrogen | Peroxide | Dose | (J/gm) | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 |
| Average | Transferred | Ozone | Dose | (mg/L) | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 |
| Average | Applied | Ozone | Dose | (mg/L) | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 98 | 86 |
| - | | Process | I Flow Rate | (mdg) | 13 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 11 |
| | | | s Wel | | 1 96/ | 1 96/ | 1 96/ | 1 96 | 1 96/ | 1 96 |
| | | | Dalt | | /11/01 | 11/01 | 11/01 | 11/01 | 10/11/ | 10/11/ |

0.53 GAC2

40.4

76

86

::

1 96/11/01

Page 12 of 12

-

-

| | | Average | Average | Average | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-------------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|-----|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|----------|----------|---------|-------------|
| | | Applied T | ransferred | Hydroger | Average | | Dperation | <u>s</u> | | Temp. | Oxidation | Contactor | | | I | | | | | | | | | | : | | | |
| : | Process | Ozone | Ozone | Peroxide Pl | EROXON | E Sample | Sample | Ozono | : | of ORP | Reduction | Measured | | | بة 1 | otal | 13D | initro-2,4-E | Dinitro-2,6- | Dinitro 2-Ar | nino-4,6-2- | Nitro- 3- | -Nitro- 4-A | mino-2,6-4 | -Nitro- | z | itro- | |
| Date We | II Flow Rate | Dose | Dose | Dose | Ratio | Location | Time | Residu | E | Sample | Potential | Pernxide | TNT | INB R | DX Nitro | bodic: Nili | atc ben | zene tol | uene to | tuene dinit | rotohucne te | lucnc to | sluene dini | irotolucne b | olucne 1 | HMX be | nzene T | lryl |
| | (uudg) | (Ing/L) | (mg/L) | (Ing/L) | | | | (mg/L | | Û | (MV) | (mg/L) | (hg/L) (| ng/L) (µ | H) (T) | s/L) (mg/ | й х | γ <u>Γ</u>) (h | <u>s/L) (I</u> | 1) (T/B | hg/L) (| 1 <u>8</u> (1) (| hg/L) | (J/BH) |) (1/8H) | ng/L) (I | B/L) (J | <u>g/r)</u> |
| 10/12/96 1 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | INFI | 13:41 | | 1.7 | 18 | 424 | | 380 | 410 3 | 8.5 9 | 54 0.9 | 23 BI | י גר | 4.2 | 3QL | 103 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 8.5 1 | 1 Cr | 5 |
| 10/12/96 1 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | INFI | | | | | | | 332 | 324 3 | 5.9 8 | 25 0.9 | 98 B(| 5 D | 1.4 | 22.5 | 92 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 7.3 1 | 1 G | ğ |
| 10/12/96 1 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | INFI | | | | | | | 366 | 388 3 | 6.1 8 | 96 0.8 | 73 B | or J | 2.1 | BQL | 87.4 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4 | ΩΓ | ğ |
| 10/12/96 1 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | INFI | | | | | | | 377 | 339 3 | 8.8 | 64 0.9 | B B | JL I | 2.3 | 3QL | 8,68 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 6.8 | I TO | Ŋ |
| 10/12/96 1 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | INFI | | | | | | | 340 | 358 2 | 7.8 8 | 32 0.9 | 34 | 8. | 1.2 | 3QL | 80.3 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 7.6 1 | Ŋ | 5.4 |
| 10/12/96 1 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | C1/0 | 13:26 | 0.3 | 7.1 | 18 | 575 | 25 | 78.9 | 160 | 0.9 2 | 56 1. | 8 | or B | or I | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 5.7 | n M | ъ С |
| 10/12/96 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | C1/0 | 15:02 | 0.4 | | | | 25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/12/96 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | C2/0 | 13:14 | 0.4 | 7.3 | 11 | 889 | 24 | 21.6 | 5.19 | 3.2 1 | 19 1 | 5 B | QL B | or | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 2.8 | 3 QL | β |
| 10/12/96 1 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | C2/0 | 14:59 | 0.6 | | | | 25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/12/96 1 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | C3/0 | 13:07 | 0.4 | 7.4 | 17 | 924 | 24 | 4.7 | 43.5 (| 0.9 5 | 0.7 1.2 | 5 B | or b | 0r | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1.6 | i M | юг |
| 10/12/96 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | C3/0 | 14:56 | 0.6 | | | | 24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/12/96 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | C4/0 | 12:58 | 0.6 | 7.5 | 11 | 932 | 24 | 0.9 | 15.1 | 0.3 1 | 7.3 1. | 28 B | or b | ŐL J | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | - | - JQL | ίQL |
| 10/12/96 1 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | C4/0 | 14:52 | 0.7 | | | | 24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/12/96 1 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | C5/0 | 12:50 | 0.6 | 7.6 | 11 | 929 | 23 | 0.3 | 8.I B | ιQL | 9 | 33 B | or e | GL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.6 | ğ | ğ |
| 10/12/96 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | C5/0 | 14:48 | 0.7 | | | | 23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 96/21/01 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | C6/0 | 12:40 | 0.4 | 7.8 | 8 | 888 | 24 | BQL | - | ιQL | - | 22 B | 6r E | бг | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | ğ | Ŋ |
| 10/12/96 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | C6/0 | | | | | | | BQL | 3.6 E | с б | 3.6 1. | 36 B | or e | ΰCΓ | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3QL | ğ |
| 10/12/96 1 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | C6/0 | 14:45 | 0.6 | | | | 24 | BQL | 3.7 E | ΩΓ | 4 | 29 B | Gr B | ζſ | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.3 | - JQL | ğ |
| 10/12/96 1 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | C6/0 | | | | | | | BQL | 3.8 E | ŭr | 3.8 1. | 37 B | or e | юг. | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3QL | ЗQL |
| 10/12/96 1 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | GAC3 | 12:25 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 91 | 255 | | BQL | BQL | sQL B | or or | 96 B | or e | ŋ | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3QL | ğ |
| 10/12/96 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | GACI | | | | | | | BQL | BQL | SQL B | OL I. | 56 B | 6L E | Ŋ | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | ЗQL | зQL |
| 10/12/96 | 25.0 | 60 | 46.4 | 24.6 | 0.53 | GAC2 | | | | | | | BQL | BQL | IQL B | OL I. | 77 B | 6r | Ŋ | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3QL | зQL |
| 10/13/96 | 25.0 | 60 | 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | INFI | 20:22 | | 6.9 | 15 | 427 | | 354 | 357 | 26.4 8 | 132 1 | 2 B | бr | 0.3 | BQL | 72.4 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 6.1 | ЗQL | 6 |
| 1 96/81/01 | 25.0 | 09 | 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | INFI | | | | | | | 370 | 378 E | 30r | 840 1. | 06 B | σr | 9.7 | BQL | 69 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 6.5 | 3QL | 6.4 |
| 1 96/81/01 | 25.0 | 60 | 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | INFI | 15:13 | | 7.0 | 8 | 422 | | 538 | 546 E | 1 JQL | 200 1. | 04 B | бſ | 11.7 | BQL | 86.5 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 10.8 | BQL | 6.9 |
| 10/13/96 | 25.0 | 60 | 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | INFI | | | | | | | 396 | 396 | 28.8 | 1. 1. | 08 B | бг | 11.3 | BQL | 78.8 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 9.4 | BQL | 1 |
| 10/13/96 1 | 25.0 | 60 | 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | INFI | | | | | | | 393 | 410 | 5.9 | 204 | 8 | QL | 10.7 | BQL | 73.8 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 7.3 | BQL | 6.3 |
| 10/13/96 | 25.0 | 60 | 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | C1/0 | 10:00 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 14 | 870 | 24 | 72.7 | 150 | 11.4 | 241 1. | 29 B | ы бг | JQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 6.1 | BQL | - |
| 10/13/96 1 | 25.0 | 60 | 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | C1/0 | 11:56 | 0.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 96/£1/01 | 25.0 | 9 | 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | CIA | 15:03 | 6.0 | 1.1 | 61 | 579 | 25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | |
| 10/13/96 | 25.0 | 2 (| 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | | 16:30 | 3 5 | 6 | : | | ā | 0.00 | | | | ŗ | č | | | 100 | | | 0 | | | č | Ş |
| 1 06/61/01 | 0.02 | 5 3 | 41.4 | 1.42 | 7670 | 077 | 00.50 | | 2 | <u>†</u> | * | 47 | V-07 | C.16 | t.C | | | - | лг хог | DVL | JUL | BŲL | ٦٨q | ЪСГ | BŲL | | ВŲГ | ۲'n |
| 1 96/£1/01 | 25.0 | 5 (| 4/2 | 24.7 | 75.0 | | 2011 1 | 1.0 | ÷ | - | 510 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 96/51/01 | 0.62 | 2 | 7.1.6 | 74.1 | 70.0 | 720 | 10:41 | C.U | 7.1 | - | 616 | 74 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/13/96 | 25.0 | 60 | 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | C2/0 | 16:28 | 0.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 96/€1/01 | 25.0 | Q9 | 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | C3/0 | 09:35 | 0.8 | 7.3 | 2 | 930 | 25 | 4.4 | 33.1 | 0.7 | 40 | 4. E | - G | 3QL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1.8 | BQL | BQL |
| 10/13/96 | 25.0 | 60 | 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | C3/0 | 11:49 | 0.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 96/£1/01 | 25.0 | 60 | 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | C3/0 | 14:51 | 0.5 | 7.3 | 8 | 186 | 24 | | | | | | | | | · | | | | | | | |
| 1 96/£1/01 | 25.0 | 60 | 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | C3/0 | 16:25 | 0.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 96/13/96 1 | 25.0 | 60 | 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | C4/0 | 09:28 | 0.8 | 7.4 | 14 | 943 | 24 | 0.9 | 14.6 | BQL | 16.7 1 | 42 E | ٦ ور | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1.2 | BQL | BQL |
| 10/13/96 | 25.0 | 60 | 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | C4/0 | 11:45 | 0.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/13/96 | 25.0 | 60 | 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | C4/0 | 14:43 | 0.4 | 7.5 | 61 | 606 | 25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 96/£1/01 | 25.0 | 60 | 47.2 | 24.7 | 0.52 | C4/0 | 16:21 | 0.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Page 1 of 13

Page 2 of 13

| | | | Tctryl | (hg/L) | | 0.7 | | | | 0.5 | | | | 0.3 | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 7 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 0.9 | FU | 5 | 0.2 | 7-12 | BOL | 1 7 | BQL | | BQL | BQL |
|---------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | Nitro- | henzene | (hg/L) | i da | BOL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 10a | 22 | BOI | 22 | BOI. | 2 | BQL | | BQL | BQL |
| | | | ХМН | (hg/L) | 4 | 2.5 | ł | | | 1.4 | | | | - | | | | 0.5 | | | | 0.6 | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4.7 | 5.5 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.4 | | ; | 1 | 2 | BOI. | 2 | BQL | | BQL | BQL |
| | | 4-Nitro- | toluene | (J/3rl) | jCa | BOL | y 1 | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 104 | הלנ | BOL | ילל | BOL | 1 7 1 | BQL | | BQL | BQL |
| | | -Amino-2,6- | initrotoluene | (hg/L) | Ŭ. | BOL | 7 | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | ICa | מענ | BOL | ילנ | BOL | 1 9 1 | BQL | | BQL | BQL |
| | | 3-Nitro- 4 | toluene di | (µg/L) | IO4 | BOL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | Юđ | הער | BOL | 2 | BOL |) 7 1 | BQL | | BQL | BQL |
| | | - 2-Nitro- | t toluene | (hg/L) | Da | BOL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | ICa | 1 | BOL | | BOL | 1 7 1 | BQL | | BQL | BQL |
| | | -Amino-4,6- | initrotolucne | (J/Brl) | ý | BOL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 73 | 63.2 | 60.1 | 59.4 | 57.7 | BQL | ICa | מלה | BOL | 2 | BOL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL |
| | | ,6-Dinitro-2 | toluene d | (hg/L) | NOI | BOL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | ICA | הענ | BOI. | | BOL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL |
| | | .,4-Dinitro-2 | toluene | (Hg/L) | 10 | BOL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 11.5 | 10.5 | 9.4 | 10.4 | 6.6 | BQL | iCa | מלנ | BOL | מלנ | BOL | 1 7 | BQL | | BQL | BQL |
| | | .3-Dinitro-2 | benzene | (J/g4) | IC8 | BOL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 2.1 | Ξ | 1.7 | 6.1 | 1.3 | BQL | Юđ | 274 | BOL | ני | BOL | 1 7 1 | BQL | | BQL | BQL |
| | | - | : Nitrate | (mg/L N | 0100 | 1.12 | | | | 1.17 | | | | 1.21 | | | | 1.28 | | | | 1.35 | | | | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.36 | 1.37 | 1.48 | 0.956 | 0.977 | 0.921 | 0.902 | 0.937 | 66. | 01 1 | 2 | 1.21 | 1 | 1.25 | Ì | 1.37 | | 1.39 | 1.44 |
| | | Total | itrobodic | (hg/L) | 780 | 318 | | | | 16 | | | | 42 | | | | 14.7 | | | | 5.9 | | | | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 1040 | 552 | 952 | 848 | 832 | 278 | 1 00 | 1.70 | 32.8 | | 14.2 | | 5.5 | | | 2.5 |
| | | | RDX N | (Hg/L) | 640 | 6.6 | | | | 2.6 | | | | 0.9 | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 31.8 | 27 | 25.7 | 26.8 | 24.8 | £.3 | | 4 | 0.7 | | 0.2 | 5 | BQL | | BQL | BQL |
| | | | TNB | (T/gH) | 156 | 205 | | | | 70.7 | | | | 35.8 | | | | 13.5 | | | | 5.2 | | | | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 461 | 233 | 430 | 382 | 384 | 8 | 212 | 2 | 27.6 | | 13.3 | | 5.4 | | 3 | 2.5 |
| | | | TNT | (1/8rl) | 902 | 8 | | | | 15.8 | | | | 4 | | | | 0.7 | | | | 0.1 | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 449 | 206 | 416 | 359 | 346 | 83.9 | 671 | 1 | | , | 0.7 | 5 | 0.1 | | BQL | BQL |
| | Contactor | Mcasured | Peroxide | (mg/L) | | 25 | | 24 | | 24 | | 24 | | 25 | | 24 | | 24 | | 24 | | 24 | | 24 | | 24 | | 24 | | | | | | | | 24 | 5 7 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | |
| | Oxidation | Reduction | Potential | (MV) | | 880 | | 889 | | 935 | | 150 | | 936 | , | 616 | | 945 | | 930 | | 928 | | 925 | | 848 | | 887 | | 269 | 432 | | | | | 878 | 200 | | 948 | ť | 949 | | 932 | | 903 | |
| | Temp. | of ORP | Sample | ς) | | 13 | | 91 | | 13 | | 16 | | 13 | | 17 | | 13 | | 11 | | 12 | | 11 | | 12 | | 18 | | 18 | 5 | | | | | <u></u> | | 3 | 5 | 2 | 13 | ; | 13 | | 13 | |
| | | | Hq I | | | 7.2 | | 7.2 | | . 7.3 | | 7.4 | | 7.3 | | 7.5 | | 7.5 | | 7.6 | | 1.7 | | L.T | | 7.9 | | 1.9 | | 8.0 | 7.0 | | | | | 7.0 | | 2 | 7.4 | 5 | 7.5 | 2 | 7.6 | | 7.6 | |
| | | Ozone | Residua | (mg/L) | | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | | | | | | 0.4 | | 0.7 | 0.7 | 6.0 | 8.0 | | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | |
| | perations | Sample | Time | | | 10:00 | 12:40 | 15:07 | 16:35 | 09:53 | 12:37 | 14:59 | 16:32 | 09:42 | 12:35 | 14:49 | 16:29 | 08:55 | 12:33 | 14:42 | 16:26 | 08:50 | 12:30 | 14:34 | 16:23 | 08:42 | 12:25 | 14:26 | 16:20 | 08:28 | 07:56 | | | | | 07:48 | 01.27 | 09:15 | 07:30 | 09:12 | 07:24 | 60:60 | 07:08 | 00:00 | 06:58 | |
| | 0 | E Sample | Location | | INFI | C1/0 | C1/0 | C1/0 | C1/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | GAC3 | INFI | INFI | INFI | INFL | INFI | 010 C10 | | C2/0 | 020 | C30 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 |
| | Average | EROXON | Ratio | | 0 54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | ٧N | NA | ٩N | ٧N | ٧N | AN N | | e v | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ٨N | NA |
| Average | Hydroger | Peroxide F | Dose | (mg/L) | 747 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | t 25.0 | 0.02 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 1 25.0 | 1 25.0 | 1 25.0 |
| Average | Transferred | Ozone | Dose | (mg/L) | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.I | 46.1 | 46.1 | fonitor Dow | Tornior Dow | funitor Dow | funitor Dow | fonitor Dow | tonitor Dow | fonitor Dow | funitor Dow | 1 onitor Dow | fonitor Dow | fonitor Dow |
| Vvcrage | Applied ' | Ozone | Dose | (mg/L) | 60 | 09 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 9 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 09 | 9 9 | 8 9 | 9 9 | 99 | 8 9 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 09 | 60 | 09 |
| A. | - | Process | ow Rate |) (uidĝ) | | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 0.62 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| | | ц. | VcII FI | | - | · | _ | _ | _ | _ | | _ | _ | _ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | _ | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | . – | - | - | - |
| | | | Date | | 10/15/06 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 96/51/01 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 96/51/01 | 96/51/01 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 10/15/96 | 10/16/96 | 10/16/96 | 96/91/01 | 10/16/96 | 96/91/01 | 96/91/01 | 06/01/01 | 06/01/01 | 96/91/01 | 10/16/96 | 90/91/01 | 96/91/01 | 96/91/01 | 10/16/96 | 10/16/96 | 96/91/01 |

Page 3 of 13

| | | | te Tetryl | (hg/L) | BOL | BOL | BOL | 4.4 | 5.1 | 6.6 | 69 | 7.5 | 0.6 | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | . BQL | . 5.1 | . 3.7 | , 4,4 | , 4.3 | , 3.8 | 0.5 | | | . 0.1 | | | | BQL | | | | L BQL | |
|---------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------|------------|------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|
| | | Nilro- | benzen | (J/gµ) | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOI | BOL | BOL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | - | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | 1 | BQL | |
| | | | ХМН | (J/3rl) | BOL | BOL | BOL | 4.2 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 64 | 7.5 | 5.5 | 5 | 2.9 | | 1.7 | | BQL | | BQL | | 1.8 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 9 .6 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 4.7 | | | 2.8 | | | | 1.5 | | | | | |
| | | 6- 4-Nitro- | ne toluene | (hg/L) | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | 1 | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | , | | | BQL | | | i | BQL | |
| | | 4-Amino-2, | dinitrotolue | (hg/L) | BOL | BQL | BOL | , BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | i V | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | I | | | BQL | | | | BQL | |
| | | 3-Nitro- | tolucne | (hg/L) | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | i Y | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | i ca | BQL | |
| | | - 2-Nitro- | c tolucne | (hg/L) | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | y I | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | č, | BQL | |
| | | -Amino-4,6 | initrotoluen | (µg/L) | BOL | BQL | BQL | 63.6 | 70.7 | 81.1 | 84.2 | 96.2 | BOL | y i | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 64.9 | 56.4 | 53.2 | 60.1 | 62.5 | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | i ci ci | BQL | |
| | | 6-Dinitro-2 | toluene d | (µg/L) | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | l Y | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | .0 | BQL | |
| | | 4-Dinitro-2, | toluene | (hg/L) | BOL |) BQL | BQL | 9.2 | 1.1 | 13 | 12.2 | 13.8 | BOL | • | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 9.7 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 9.6 | 10.5 | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | |
| | | 3-Dinitro-2, | benzene | (hg/L) | BOL | BQL | BQL | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 8.1 | BOL | • | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | Į. | BQL | |
| | | - | Nitrate | (mg/L N | 1.45 | 1.33 | 1.41 | 1.38 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.26 | 1.24 | 1.58 | | 1.75 | | 1.83 | | 6.1 | | 1.83 | | 2.52 | 1.94 | 2.07 | 26.1 | 1.79 | 1.07 | 11.11 | 1.07 | 1.03 | 1.14 | 1.33 | | | 1.54 | | | | 1.69 | | | | 1.82 | |
| | | Total | Vitrobodic: | (hg/L) | 2.5 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 171 | 832 | 776 | 1030 | 1070 | 251 | | 81.7 | | 34 | | 13.8 | | 5.9 | | 25.4 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 2 | 0.3 | 846 | 778 | 709 | 786 | 854 | 225 | | | 99.4 | | | | 33.7 | | | | 14.8 | |
| | | | RDX 1 | (hg/L) | BOL | BQL | BQL | 24.9 | 27.4 | 30.2 | 30 | 34.9 | 6 | | 2.3 | | 0.9 | | BQL | | BQL | | FI | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 24.4 | 21.1 | 21.5 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 8.8 | | | 2.7 | | | | 0.7 | | | | 0.1 | |
| | | | TNB | (hg/L) | 2.5 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 337 | 364 | 318 | 446 | 460 | 156 | | 61.1 | | 28.1 | | 13.1 | | 5.8 | | 21.9 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 7 | 0.3 | 397 | 353 | 324 | 361 | 389 | 140 | | | 75.5 | | | | 28.2 | | | : | ñ | |
| | | | TNT | (hg/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | 326 | 347 | 320 | 446 | 451 | 80 | | 15.4 | | 3.3 | | 0.7 | | 0.1 | | 0.6 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 337 | 329 | 291 | 320 | 358 | 70.6 | | | 18.3 | | | | 3.3 | | | | 0.7 | |
| | Contactor | Measured | Peroxide | (mg/L) | 24 | | | | | | | | 24 | | 25 | | 24 | | 24 | | 26 | | 26 | | | | | | | | | | 27 | 24 | 1 | 24 | | 24 | | 24 | | 24 | ż | 24 | 24 |
| | Oxidation | Reduction | Potential | (MV) | | | 277 | | 425 | | | | 420 | | 892 | | 166 | | 927 | | 874 | | 774 | | | | 266 | 350 | | 336 | | | 325 | 318 | | 830 | | 885 | | 927 | | 952 | | 943 | 156 |
| | Temp. | of ORP | Sample | с° | | | 13 | | 12 | | | | 91 | | 12 | | 12 | | 12 | | 13 | | 4 | | | | 12 | 13 | | 13 | | | 2 | 5 | 2 | Ξ | | 12 | | = | | 12 | : | Ξ | 12 |
| | | | hq h | | | | 7.7 | | 7.0 | | | | 1.1 | | 7.3 | | 7.3 | | 7.4 | | 7.4 | | 7.6 | | | | 7.3 | 7.0 | | 7.0 | | | 7.0 | 11 | | 7.2 | | 7.3 | | 7.3 | | 7.4 | i | 7.4 | 7.6 |
| | s | Ozone | Residua | (mg/L) | 9.0 | | 0.0 | | | | | | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | Ξ | 0.8 | Ξ | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 | | 0.7 | | 0.0 | | | | | | 0.0 | 6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.0 | Ξ | 0.8 | Ξ : | 01 | 3 3 |
| | Operation | Sample | Time | | 09:02 | | 06:50 | | 17:30 | | | | 16:59 | 17:58 | 16:52 | 17:56 | 16:45 | 17:53 | 16:38 | 17:50 | 16:30 | 17:46 | 16:20 | | 17:42 | | 16:03 | 10:20 | | 15:31 | | | 10:15 | 14-46 | 16:25 | 09:37 | 11:06 | 14:22 | 16:21 | 09:13 | 10:11 | 14:14 | 16:18 | 10:60 | 14:05 |
| | | VE Sample | Location | | C6/0 | C6/0 | GAC3 | INF | INFI | INFI | INFI | INFI | C1/0 | C1/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | GAC3 | INF | INFI | INFI | INFI | INFI | C1/0 | | CI/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C40 | C4/0 |
| | Average | PEROXON | Ratio | | NA | ٨A | ٨A | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0,60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 09.0 | 09.0 |
| Average | lydroger | Peroxide | Dose | (ng/L) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 1.67 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 |
| Average | Fransferred | Ozone | Duse | (Jugn) | onitor Dow | onitor Dow | onitor Dow | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 4.24 42 4 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 |
| verage | pplied ' | Ozone | Dose | mg/L) | 60 1 | 60 | 60 1 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 22 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 36 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 8 3 | 26 56 | 8 8 |
| ľ | × | rocess (| ow Rate | (uid3) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 5 96 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 24.5 |
| | | | Well Fl | | _ | | - | - | - | - | - | _ | _ | - | _ | _ | _ | - | - | - | - | _ | - | - | _ | - | - | - | - | _ | - | | | | | - | - | - | _ | - | - | - | - • | | |
| | | | Date 1 | | 96/91/01 | 96/91/01 | 10/16/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/21/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 96/22/01 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 96/22/01 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 |

Page 4 of 13

| | Nitro- | benzene Tetryl | (J/gµ) (J/gµ) | | BOL BOL | | | | BQL BQL | BOL BOL | BOL BOL | BOL BOL | BOL BOL | BQL BQL | BQL BQL | BQL 4.5 | BQL 3.6 | BQL 4.7 | BQL 4.3 | BQL 4.4 | BOL BOL | , | | | BQL BQL | | | | BQL BQL | | | BOL BOL | r | | | BQL BQL | | | | BQL BQL | BQL BQL | BQL BQL | BQL BQL | 100 104 |
|---------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| | | ХМН | (Hg/L) | | BOL | , | | | BQL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 9.4 | 7.4 | 4.4 | 6.1 | 6.7 | S | | | | 2.8 | | | | 1.7 | | | Ξ | | | | 0.7 | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 104 |
| | - 4-Nitro- | e toluene | (hg/L) | | BOL | | | | BQL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BQL | BOL | , | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BOL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | 4-Anvino-2.6 | dinitrotolucn | (J/g/l) | | BOL | | | | BQL | BOL | BOL | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | 3-Nitro- | tolucne | (hg/L) | | BOL | , | | | BQL | BOL | BOL | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | - 2-Nitro- | t tolucne | (hg/L) | | BOL | , | | | BQL | BQL | BOL | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | -Amino-4.6 | initrotolucn | (hg/L) | | BQL | , | | | BQL | BQL | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 74.3 | 62.4 | 53.3 | 67.1 | 58.4 | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | 2.6-Dinitro-2 | toluene d | (hg/L) | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | 2.4-Dinitro | toluene | (hg/L) | | BQL | | | | BQL | н.н | 9.7 | 8.9 | 10.4 | 9.5 | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | 3-Dinitro- | benzene | (µg/L) | | BQL | | | | BQL | 1.7 | 1.3 | - | 1.7 | BQL | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | | Nitrate | (mg/L N | | 1.95 | | | | 2.14 | 2.14 | 2.14 | 2.32 | 1.92 | 1.73 | 1.97 | 1.14 | 1.14 | | II.I | 1.06 | 1.43 | | | | 1.62 | | | | 1.76 | | | 1.94 | | | | 2.08 | | | | 2.15 | 2.14 | 2.25 | 2.18 | |
| | Total | litrohodie: | (hg/L) | | 5.7 | | | | 2 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1020 | 883 | 685 | 944 | 748 | 244 | | | | 88.5 | | | | 33 | | | 14.5 | | | | 6.5 | | | | 2.2 | 2 | 2.5 | 2.6 | |
| | | RDX N | (J/3H) | | BQL | | | | BQL | 29 | 25.5 | 22.4 | 26.8 | 25.4 | × | | | | 2.3 | | | | 0.8 | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | | TNB | (hg/L) | | 5.6 | | | | 2 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 467 | 404 | 314 | 435 | 332 | 158 | | | | 67.5 | | | | 27.4 | | | 12.7 | | | | 5.6 | | | | 2.2 | 7 | 2.5 | 2.6 | |
| | | TNT | (hg/L) | | 0.1 | | | | BQL | 427 | 369 | 276 | 393 | 312 | 73.2 | | | | 6'\$. | | | | 3.1 | | | 0.7 | | | | 0.2 | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | |
| | Measured | Peroxide | (mg/L) | | 25 | | 26 | | 26 | | 25 | | | | | | | | | | 23 | | 24 | | 25 | | 25 | | 24 | ; | 24 | 25 | | 25 | | 26 | | 26 | | 26 | | 26 | | |
| | Reduction | Potential | (mV) | | 930 | | 914 | | 902 | | 006 | | 329 | | | 380 | | 423 | | | 363 | | 805 | | 920 | | 941 | | 940 | | X94 | 935 | | 933 | | 116 | | 016 | | 886 | | 897 | | |
| F | of ORP | Sample | ()°C) | | 01 | | 12 | | 01 | | 12 | | 6 | | | 13 | | 15 | | | 15 | | 16 | | 12 | | 91 | | 12 | : | 2 | Ξ | | 15 | | Ξ | | 16 | | Ξ | | 9 | | |
| | | Hq li | | | 7.5 | | 7.6 | | 7.5 | | T.T | | 7.6 | | | 6.8 | | 7.2 | | | 7.1 | | 7.2 | | 7.1 | | 7.5 | | 7.3 | i | 4.1 | 7.4 | | 7.3 | | 7.2 | | L.T | | 1.1 | | 7.9 | | |
| | Ozone | Residua | (mg/L) | Ξ | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 9.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | : : | 3 7 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | |
| | Sample | Time | | 16:15 | 08:58 | 10:44 | 13:55 | 16:07 | 08:41 | 10:40 | 13:39 | 16:03 | 08:15 | | | 10:58 | | 14:40 | | | 10:21 | 11:34 | 14:26 | 15:58 | 10:13 | 11:30 | 14:18 | 15:56 | 10:02 | 11:27 | 14:04 | 09:55 | 11:24 | 13:55 | 15:50 | 09:48 | 11:21 | 13:48 | 15:47 | 09:12 | 11:18 | 13:29 | 15:45 | |
| | E Sample | Location | | C4/0 | CS/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | GAC3 | GACI | GAC2 | INFI | INFI | INFI | INFI | INFI | C1/0 | C1/0 | C1/0 | C1/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | |
| | EROXON | Ratio | | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 09.0 | 0.60 | 09.0 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 09.0 | 09.0 | 0.60 | 09.0 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 19.0 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 1970 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 19.0 | 0.61 | |
| Average | Peroxide P. | Dose | (ng/L) | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | |
| Average | Ozone | Dose | (mg/L) | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.4 | |
| Average | Ozone 1 | Dose | (mg/L) | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 9 <u>8</u> : | \$ \$ | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | |
| | Process | Flow Rate | (mqg) | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | |
| | | Wcll F | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | <u> </u> | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | Date | | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/22/96 | 10/23/96 | 96/62/01 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 96/52/01 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | 10/23/96 | |

Page 5 of 13

| | | | Tetryl | (hg/L) | 4 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 0.4 | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 0.3 | | | | BQL | | | | BQL |
|---------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | Nitro- | enzene | (µg/L) | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL |
| | | | HMX | (hg/L) | 4.2 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 7.5 | 4.9 | | | | 2.7 | | | | 9.1 | | | | Ξ | | | | 0.7 | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4.1 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 4.7 | | | | 2.6 | | | | 5.1 |
| | | 4-Nitro- | toluene | (hg/L) | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL |
| | | vmino-2,6- | itrotoluene | (ng/L) | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL |
| | | -Nitro- 4-/ | ołucne din | (Jug/L) | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL |
| | | Vitro- 3 | lucne le | ß/L) (| JOI. | ğ | ğ | ğ | 3QL | | | | 3QL | | | | 3QL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL |
| | | -4,6- 2-1 | huene to | ت ۲ | | | _ | 2 | | | | | - | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | ۔ ب | Ŀ | - | ب | <u>_</u> | 5 | ~ | Ľ | 4 | | J. | | | | 'n | | | | 1 |
| | | 2-Amino | dinitroto | IJ/gul) | 555 | 74 | 63.4 | . 6 9. | BQI | | | | BQI | | | | BQI | | | | BQ | | | | ΒQ | | | | BQ | g | ВQ | ВQ | ğ | 51. | 4 | 51. | 53 | 55 | BQ | | | | B | | | | BG |
| | | 2,6-Dinitro | tolucne | (J/g/J) | BOL. | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL |
| | | 4-Dinitro | toluene | (hg/L) | 5.6 | 11.8 | 1.01 | 9.11 | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 8.7 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 8.6 | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL |
| | | -Dinitro- | enzene | (hg/L) | - | 9.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1.7 | 13 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 9.1 | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL |
| | | 1,3 | Nitrate h | ng/L N | 0.866 | 0.833 | 0.787 | 0.866 | 1.11 | | | | 1.3 | | | | 1.33 | | | | 1.43 | | | | 1.74 | | | | <u>s</u> i | 1.57 | 1.64 | 1.77 | 1.66 | 0.807 | 0.851 | 0.84 | 0.842 | 0.833 | 1.14 | | | | 1.26 | | | | 1.34 |
| | | Total | robodie: |) (J) (j | 712 | 974 | 784 | 810 | 256 | | | | 86.9 | | | | 35.2 | | | | 11 | | | | 7.2 | | | | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 612 | 678 | 645 | 703 | 631 | 240 | | | | 94.5 | | | | 33.1 |
| | | | NDX Ni | 1) ('Jar | 24.9 | 29.8 | 25.3 | 28.7 | 8.6 | | | | 2.3 | | | | 0.6 | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 21.9 | 22.2 | 24.3 | 23.7 | 23.6 | 6.1 | | | | 2.4 | | | | 0.5 |
| | | | INB |) (1/3n | 126 | 456 | 352 | 352 | 165 | | | | 66.5 | | | | 29.6 | | | | 15.1 | | | | 6.3 | | | | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 275 | 313 | 289 | 320 | 282 | 157 | | | | 72.9 | | | | 28.1 |
| - | | | TNT |) (1/3rf | 287 | 387 | 321 | 334 | 77.4 | | | | 15.4 | | | | 3.4 | | | | 0.8 | | | | 0.2 | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 245 | 274 | 254 | 284 | 250 | 70.3 | | | | 16.6 | | | | • |
| | Contactor | Acasured | Peroxide | (Ing/L) (| | | | | 27 | | 24 | | 25 | | 25 | | 24 | | 24 | | 24 | | 25 | | 26 | | 26 | | 26 | | 26 | | | | | | | | 26 | | 26 | | 26 | | 26 | | 25 |
| | xidation (| eduction N | otential | (mV) | | 382 | | | 300 | | 620 | | 897 | | 925 | | 950 | | 945 | | 940 | | 931 | | 016 | | 885 | | 743 | | 116 | | 335 | 399 | | 398 | | | 376 | | 381 | | 896 | | 884 | | 126 |
| | curp. O | ORP R | ampte F | ç | | 18 | | | 14 | | 17 | | 12 | | 16 | | 12 | | 16 | | Ξ | | 16 | | = | | 11 | | 10 | | 11 | | 01 | 61 | | 2 | | | 61 | | 81 | | 14 | | 15 | | 15 |
| | H | 9 | PH S | | | 6.8 | | | 7.0 | | 7.1 | | 7.1 | | 7.1 | | 7.1 | | 7.3 | | 7.4 | | 7.4 | | 7.5 | | 7.5 | | 7.6 | | <i>L.</i> L | | L.T | 6.9 | | 7.0 | | | 7.1 | | 7.1 | | 7.2 | | 7.2 | | 7.4 |
| | | Ozone | csidual | (Jug/L) | | | | | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 9.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 9.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| | perations | Sample | Time R | | | 15:24 | | | 09:40 | 11:36 | 15:11 | 16:29 | 06:30 | 11:33 | 14:58 | 16:26 | 09:20 | 11:31 | 14:38 | 16:22 | 01:60 | 11:28 | 14:31 | 16:20 | 00:60 | 11:25 | 14:09 | 16:18 | 08:42 | 11:21 | 14:20 | 16:14 | 08:25 | 11:09 | | 14:44 | | | 10:25 | 11:31 | 14:07 | 15:11 | 10:22 | 11:28 | 14:02 | 15:08 | 10:07 |
| | 0 | Sample | Location | | INFI | INFL | INFI | INFI | C1/0 | C1/0 | C1/0 | C1/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | GAC3 | INFI | INFI | INFI | INFI | INFI | C1/0 | C1/0 | C1/0 | C1/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C3/0 |
| | Average | ROXONE | Ratio | | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 |
| Average | łydroger | Peroxide PI | Duse | (mg/L) | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 26.9 |
| Average | ransferred | Ozone | Dose | (mg/L) | 7.24 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 |
| Vverage | Applied 7 | Ozone | Dose | (mg/L) | 13 | 51 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | S7 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 53 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 |
| | 1 | ncess | w Rate | (udg | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 |
| | | 4 | Vell Fk | | _ | | _ | _ | - | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | - | - | _ | - | - | _ | _ | - | - | - | _ | _ | _ | - | - | _ | - | _ | | _ | - | _ | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - |
| | | | Date M | | 10/24/46 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/24/96 | 10/25/96 | 10/25/96 | 10/25/96 | 10/25/96 | 10/25/96 | 10/25/96 | 10/25/96 | 10/25/96 | 10/25/96 | 10/25/96 | 10/25/96 | 10/25/96 | 10/25/96 | 10/25/96 |

Page 6 of 13

PEROXONE Plant Demonstration Task Test Conditions and Results Dem

| 2 | |
|-------|--|
| hase | |
| on F | |
| trati | |
| Suoi | |
| 5 | |

| | | Average | Average | Average | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------|---------|-------------|-----------------------|---------|-------------|-----------|----------|-------------|---------|-------------|-----------------------|---------|------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------|
| | | Applied | Transferret | Hydroger Demeide D | Average | - - - | Operation | s | : | Temp. C | oxidation C | intactor | | | F | | | | | | | | | į | | - | |
| Date We | I] Flow Rate | Duse | Dose | Dose | Ratio | Location | Time | Residual | , Hu I | aunde F | votential P | casured croxide T? | 1 | IB RD3 | K Nitrobodi | ier Nitrate | benzene | toluenc | 2,011010-0,2 tolucne_d | -Ammo-4,0- initrotolaene | taliene is | -INHRO- 4-A | irrotoluene t | -NILTO- Alterie F | د مر WX | uro- nzene 1 | elrul |
| | (uudâ) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | | | | (mg/L) | - | ç) | (mV) | iri) (hi | /L) (µg | /J) (hg/ | (hg/L) (r | (mg/L N | (µg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) (| ug/L) | (hg/L) (| (http:// | 1) (1) E/L) (1 |) (T) | ur/L) |
| | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/25/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 42.8 | 26.9 | 0.63 | C3/0 | 11:26 | 0.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/25/96 1 | 24.5 | 5 | 42.8 | 26.9 74.0 | 0.63 | C3/0 | 13:53 | 0.6 | 7.4 | 15 | 335 | 25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 06/07/01 | | 5 5 | 0.4 F | 0.70 | | | 00.60 | | 5 | 2 | | 2 | | | | | 104 | | 104 | 102 | | 504 | 104 | | | ş | ç |
| 1 96/07/01 | C.42 745 | 2 2 | 42.8 | 26.9 | 0.63 | C4/0 | 11:23 | 0.8 | ç | 2 | 1166 | 0 47 | = | 2 2 2 3 | 0.61 - 1 | 1.40 | n Pg | BUL | BŲL | ЪЦ | BŲL | BQL | BQL | BUL | - | сг Ус | açı. |
| 10/25/96 | 24.5 | 51 | 42.8 | 26.9 | 0.63 | C4/0 | 13:43 | 0.6 | 7.5 | 15 | 186 | 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/25/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 42.8 | 26.9 | 0.63 | C4/0 | 15:03 | 0.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/25/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 42.8 | 26.9 | 0.63 | C5/0 | 00:60 | 0.4 | 7.6 | 15 | 893 | 27 0 | .1 5 | .2 BQ | ۍ د | 1.7 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.7 | зõг | BQL |
| 10/25/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 42.8 | 26.9 | 0.63 | C5/0 | 11:21 | 0.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/25/96 1 | 24.5 | 57 | 42.8 | 26.9 | 0.63 | C5/0 | 13:38 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 15 | 668 | 27 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/25/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 42.8 | 26.9 | 0.63 | C5/0 | 15:01 | 0.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/25/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 42.8 | 26.9 | 0.63 | C6/0 | 08:48 | 0.5 | <i>L.</i> L | 14 | 860 | 27 B | 1 51 | .9 BQ | L 1.9 | 1.61 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | ğ | 3QL | BQL |
| 10/25/96 1 | 24.5 | 57 | 42.8 | 26.9 | 0.63 | C6/0 | 11:18 | 0.6 | | | | ē | רי ה | 2 BQ | L 2 | 1.67 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1 M | 3QL | BQL |
| 10/25/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 42.8 | 26.9 | 0.63 | C6/0 | 13:27 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 16 | 881 | 27 B | QL 2 | .3 BQ | L 2.3 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | - M | 3QL | BQL |
| 10/25/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 42.8 | 26.9 | 0.63 | C6/0 | 14:58 | 0.6 | | | | B | QL 2 | .5 BQ | L 2.5 | 1.46 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3QL | 3QL | BQL |
| 10/25/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 42.8 | 26.9 | 0.63 | GAC3 | 08:34 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 13 | 283 | B | 0 01 | .3 BQ | L 0.3 | 1.86 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | - JQL | 3QL | BQL |
| 10/25/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 42.8 | 26.9 | 0.63 | GACI | | | | | | 8 | о 5 | 3 BQ | L 0.3 | 1.37 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | - Jõ | ЗQL | BQL |
| 10/25/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 42.8 | 26.9 | 0.63 | GAC2 | | | | | | 8 | QL BI | DL BQ | L BQL | 1.34 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | ğ | 3QL | BQL |
| 10/26/96 1 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | INFI | 10:30 | | 6.9 | 14 | 331 | 2 | 85 3 | 39 22. | 5 722 | 0.658 | BQL | 8.8 | BQL | 52.9 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 8.8 | 3QL | 4.6 |
| 10/26/96 1 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | INFI | | | | | | | 24 3 | 62 20. | 9 773 | 0.678 | BQL | 8.4 | BQL | 48.7 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4.7 | BQL | 4.6 |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | INFI | 14:33 | | 7.0 | 15 | 308 | e. | 19 3 | 59 22 | 768 | 0.524 | BQL | 8.6 | BQL | 49.3 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 5.3 | BQL | 4.6 |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | INFI | | | | | | 2 | 98 3 | 44 20. | 7 730 | 0.47 | BQL | 8.8 | BQL | 48.7 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | Ŷ | BQL | 3.6 |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | INFI | | | | | | e 0 | 01 | 41 20. | 9 728 | 0.584 | BQL | 8.2 | BQL | 46.4 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 5.8 | BQL | 4.5 |
| 10/26/96 1 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C1/0 | 10:02 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 19 | 312 | 27 5 | 7.3 1 | 30 6.0 | 661 5 | 0.945 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4.5 | BQL | 0.6 |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C1/0 | 11:40 | 0.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C1/0 | 14:17 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 16 | 284 | 27 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C1/0 | 15:20 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C2/0 | 09:44 | 0.2 | 6.8 | 15 | 609 | 26 | 5 | 99 17 | 8 73.1 | 1.06 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 2.3 | BQL | BQL |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C2/0 | 11:37 | 0.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C2/0 | 14:07 | 0.2 | 7.3 | 11 | 563 | 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C2/0 | 15:16 | 0.2 | i | : | | : | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 51 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C3/0 | 09:36 | 0.2 | 57 | 4 | 880 | | 9 | 2.6 0.0 | 5 38.1 | 1.2 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | <u>.</u> | BQL | BQL |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C3/0 | II:34 | 0.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C3/0 | 13:58 | 0.2 | 7.4 | 16 | 845 | 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C3/0 | 15:11 | 0.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C4/0 | 09:28 | 0.4 | 7.4 | 14 | 902 | 26 | 1 9.6 | 0.2 BQ | il 11.6 | 1.21 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.8 | BQL | BQL |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C4/0 | 11:31 | 0.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C4/0 | 13:49 | 0.2 | 7.5 | 17 | 812 | 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C4/0 | 15:05 | 0.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C5/0 | 03:07 | 0.2 | 7.6 | 16 | 664 | 27 B | ۰ ۲ | 1.6 BQ | JL 5.2 | 1.28 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.6 | BQL | BQL |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C5/0 | 11:28 | 0.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C5/0 | 13:28 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 81 | 545 | 27 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/26/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.5 | 27.7 | 0.64 | C5/0 | 15:02 | 0.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Page | 7 of 13 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Average Average Average Applied TransferredHydroger Average Operations Temp. Oxidation Contactor Process Ozone Octore PerovidePEROXONE Sample Ozone of ORP Reduction Measured 1 | Average Average Average Applied TransferredHydroger Average Operations Temp. Oxidation Contactor Ozone Ozone Pernxide/PEROXONE Sample Ozone of ORP Reduction Mesavred | age Average Average iced TransferredHydroger Average Operations Temp. Oxidation Contactor ne Oxune Peroxide/PEROXONE Sample Oxone of ORP Reduction Measured | age Average erredHydroger Average Operations Tennp, Oxidation Contactor ne Pervade PEROXONE Sample Sample Oxone of ORP Reduction Measured | te er Average Operations Temp, Oxidiation Contactor 'ePEROXONE Sample Ozone of ORP Reduction Measured 1 | Operations Temp. Oxidation Contactor E Sample Oxone of ORP Reduction Measured | Operations Temp. Oxidation Contactor Sample Ozone of ORP Reduction Measured | Temp. Oxidiation Contactor Ozone of ORP Reduction Messured | Temp. Oxidation Contactor e of ORP Reduction Measured | Temp. Oxidation Contactor of ORP Reduction Measured | Oxidation Contactor Reduction Measured | n Contactor m Measured | | | | | otal | E.1 | Dinitro-2,4 | -Dinitro-2,6 | -Dinitro-2- | Amino-4,6- | 2-Nitro- | 3-Nitru- 4- | Antino-2.6- | 4-Nitro- | - | -itro- | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|---------------------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------|-------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Threess come connection of the control of the Residual pH Sam | te Dase Dase Dase Ratio Location Time Residual pH Sam | se Dose Dose Ratio Location Time Residual pH Sam | se Dose Ratio Location Time Residual pH Sam | Ratio Location Time Residual pH Sam | Location Time Residual pH Sam | Time Residual pH Sam | Residual pH Sam | u u u al pH Sam | Sam | 근 문 | Potentia | Peroxide | TNT | TNB | RDX N | trobodie: | Nitrate b | character l | olucne 1 | oluene di | Alumo-4,0- nitrotolucne | tolucne | toluene di | Annu-2,0- nitrotoluene | 4-ivited- | , d XMH | vitro- enzene 1 | [ctry] |
| (gpm) (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (°C) (mV) | (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L) (°C) (mV) | ل) (mg/L) (mg/L) (oc) (mV) | A.) (ng/L.) (ng/L.) (°C) (nV) |) (ng/L) (°C) (mV) | (mg/L) (°C) (mV) | (mg/L) (°C) (mV) | (mg/L) (°C) (mV) | .) (°C) (IIV) | (°C) (mV) | (JmV) | | (mg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) (I | mg/L N | hg/L) | (J/3H) | (J/g/l) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) (| нg/L) (| ug/L) |
| 24.5 <i>57</i> 43.5 27.7 0.64 C6/0 08:31 0.3 7.7 17 773 | 51 43.5 27.7 0.64 C640 08:31 0.3 7.7 17 773 | 1 43.5 27.7 0.64 C640 08:31 0.3 7.7 17 773 | .5 27.7 0.64 C6/0 08:31 0.3 7.7 17 773 | 0.64 C6/0 08:31 0.3 7.7 17 773 | C6/0 08:31 0.3 7.7 17 773 | 08:31 0.3 7.7 17 773 | 0.3 7.7 17 773 | <i>511 11 1.1</i> | 17 773 | 773 | | 27 | BQL | 1.7 | BQL | 2 | 1.33 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.3 | BQL | BQL |
| 24.5 57 43.5 27.7 0.64 C6/0 11:24 0.3 | 57 43.5 27.7 0.64 C6/0 11:24 0.3 | 7 43.5 27.7 0.64 C6/0 11:24 0.3 | .S 27.7 0.64 C6/0 11:24 0.3 | 0.64 C6/0 11:24 0.3 | C6/0 11:24 0.3 | 11:24 0.3 | 0.3 | | | | | | BQL | 2.2 | BQL | 2.6 | 1.27 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.4 | BQL | BQL |
| 24.5 57 43.5 27.7 0.64 C6/0 13:16 0.2 7.9 17 790 | 57 43.5 27.7 0.64 C6/0 13:16 0.2 7.9 17 790 | 7 43.5 27.7 0.64 C6/0 13:16 0.2 7.9 17 790 | .5 27.7 0.64 C6/0 13:16 0.2 7.9 17 790 | 0.64 C6/0 13:16 0.2 7.9 17 790 | C6/0 13:16 0.2 7.9 17 790 | 13:16 0.2 7.9 17 790 | 0.2 7.9 17 790 | 7.9 17 7.90 | 17 790 | 790 | | 27 | BQL | 2.2 | BQL | 2.7 | 1.36 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.5 | BQL | BQL |
| 24.5 57 43.5 27.7 0.64 C6/0 14.57 0.3 | 57 43.5 27.7 0.64 C6/0 14.57 0.3 | 7 43.5 27.7 0.64 C6/0 14:57 0.3 | .5 27.7 0.64 C6/0 14:57 0.3 | 0.64 C6/0 14:57 0.3 | C6/0 14:57 0.3 | 14:57 0.3 | 0.3 | | | | | | BQL | 2.3 | BQL | 2.7 | 1.44 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.4 | BQL | BQL |
| 24.5 57 43.5 27.7 0.64 GAC3 08:15 0.0 7.7 15 260 | 57 43.5 27.7 0.64 GAC3 08:15 0.0 7.7 15 260 | 7 43.5 27.7 0.64 GAC3 08:15 0.0 7.7 15 260 | .5 27.7 0.64 GAC3 08:15 0.0 7.7 15 260 | 0.64 GAC3 08:15 0.0 7.7 15 260 | GAC3 08:15 0.0 7.7 15 260 | 08:15 0.0 7.7 15 260 | 0.0 7.7 15 260 | 7.7 15 260 | 15 260 | 260 | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1.33 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 INFI 10:32 6.8 12 296 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 INFI 10:32 6.8 12 296 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 INFI 10:32 6.8 12 296 | .2 24.3 0.58 INF1 10:32 6.8 12 296 | 0.58 INF1 10:32 6.8 12 296 | INF1 10:32 6.8 12 296 | 10:32 6.8 12 296 | 6.8 12 296 | 6.8 12 296 | 12 296 | 296 | | | 262 | 312 | 20.2 | 661 | 0.649 | BQL | 8.3 | BQL | 49.9 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4.8 | BQL | 3.7 |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 INFI | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 INFI | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 INFI | .2 24.3 0.58 INFI | 0.58 INF1 | INFI | | | | | | | | 251 | 292 | 18.1 | 617 | 0.712 | 1.5 | 7.4 | BQL | 40 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3.4 | BQL | 3.2 |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 INF1 16:33 7.0 13 309 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 INF1 16:33 7.0 13 309 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 [NF] 16:33 7.0 13 309 | .2 24.3 0.58 INFI 16.33 7.0 13 309 | 0.58 INF1 16:33 7.0 13 309 | INFI 16:33 7.0 13 309 | 16:33 7.0 13 309 | 7.0 13 309 | 7.0 13 309 | 13 309 | 605 | | | 319 | 370 | 21.7 | 785 | 0.732 | BQL | 8.4 | BQL | 56.3 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 5.4 | BQL | 4.2 |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 INFI | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 INFI | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 INFI | 2 24.3 0.58 INFI | 0.58 INFI | | | | | | | | | 597 | 106 | 20.1 | 000 | 0.766 | BQL 201 | 6.1 | BQL | 43.8 | BQL BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | 5.2 | BQL | 33 |
| 24.5 53 42.2 24.3 0.36 11411 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 CU0 10:24 0.0 6.7 12 291 | 53 42.2 24.3 0.58 C1/0 10:24 0.0 6.7 12 291 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C1/0 10:24 0.0 6.7 12 291 | | 0.58 C1/0 10:24 0.0 6.7 12 291 | CL/0 10:24 0.0 6.7 12 291 | 10:24 0.0 6.7 12 291 | 0.0 6.7 12 291 | 6.7 12 291 | 12 291 | 291 | | 27 | 65.6 | 142 | 9.6 | 721 221 | 1.07 | BOL BOL | BOL | BOI. | BOI. | BOI. | BOI. | BOL. | BOL | 6.4 7 4 | BOI. | ROI. |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C1/0 14:54 0.1 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C1/0 14:54 0.1 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C1/0 14:54 0.1 | .2 24.3 0.58 C1/0 14:54 0.1 | 0.58 C1/0 14:54 0.1 | C1/0 14:54 0.1 | 14:54 0.1 | 0.1 | | | | | | | | | | | , | , | , | , | , | , | , | , | | , | |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C1/0 16:23 0.0 7.1 14 286 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C1/0 16:23 0.0 7.1 14 286 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 CI/0 16:23 0.0 7.1 14 286 | .2 24.3 0.58 C1/0 16:23 0.0 7.1 14 286 | 0.58 C1/0 16:23 0.0 7.1 14 286 | CI/0 16:23 0.0 7.1 14 286 | 16:23 0.0 7.1 14 286 | 0.0 7.1 14 286 | 7.1 14 286 | 14 286 | 286 | | 27 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C1/0 17:00 0.1 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 CI/0 17:00 0.1 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C1/0 17:00 0.1 | .2 24.3 0.58 C1/0 17:00 0.1 | 0.58 C1/0 17:00 0.1 | CI/0 17:00 0.1 | 17:00 0.1 | 0.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C2/0 09:45 0.0 7.1 13 297 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C2/0 09:45 0.0 7.1 13 297 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C2/0 09:45 0.0 7.1 13 297 | .2 24.3 0.58 C2/0 09:45 0.0 7.1 13 297 | 0.58 C2/0 09:45 0.0 7.1 13 297 | C2/0 09:45 0.0 7.1 13 297 | 09:45 0.0 7.1 13 297 | 0.0 7.1 13 297 | 7.1 13 297 | 13 297 | 297 | | 24 | 13.1 | 57.2 | 6.1 | 74.5 | 1.17 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 2.3 | BQL | BQL |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C2/0 14:52 0.1 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C2/0 14:52 0.1 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C2/0 14:52 0.1 | .2 24.3 0.58 C2/0 14:52 0.1 | 0.58 C2/0 14:52 0.1 | C2/0 14:52 0.1 | 14:52 0.1 | 0.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C2/0 16:04 0.0 7.3 15 343 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C2/0 16:04 0.0 7.3 15 343 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C2/0 16:04 0.0 7.3 15 343 | .2 24.3 0.58 C2/0 16:04 0.0 7.3 15 343 | 0.58 C2/0 16:04 0.0 7.3 15 343 | C2/0 16:04 0.0 7.3 15 343 | 16:04 0.0 7.3 15 343 | 0.0 7.3 15 343 | 7.3 15 343 | 15 343 | 343 | | 25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C2/0 16.58 0.5 24.6 66 423 24.3 0.58 C2/0 16.58 0.5 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C2/0 16:58 0.5 66 423 243 0.58 C240 00:20 0.1 72 13 535 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C2/0 16:58 0.5 5 423 243 0.58 C2/0 16:58 0.5 | 2.2 24.3 0.58 C2/0 16:58 0.5 2 24.3 0.58 C2/0 16:58 0.5 | 0.58 C2/0 16:58 0.5 n.cs C3/n no.32 n.1 72 12 535 | C2/0 16:58 0.5 C3/0 00:33 0.1 73 13 535 | 16:58 0.5 20:32 01 72 12 535 | 0.5 | 25 12 12 | 285 EI | 525 | | ž | - | 19.0 | 11 | r | 556 | | 10d | IOd | | Ca | Ю | 20 | 104 | : | | 100 |
| 24.0 20 42.2 24.0 0.00 0.00 0.00 12.4 12 200 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 15.58 73.00 14.40 0.3 | 52 422 243 0.58 C3W 02.02 0.1 1.2 12 3.03 55 422 243 0.58 C3M 14:40 03 | 5 42.5 24.3 0.58 C3/0 14:40 0.3 | | | | | | CCC 71 71 | <i>CCC</i> 71 | rer | | 17 | 1.7 | 10.7 | | 1.77 | 10.3 | 2 | הער | 171 | חלה | הער | חלה | חקנ | BVL BVL | 2 | בלר | n N n |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C3/0 15:56 0.2 7.3 15 539 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C3/0 15:56 0.2 7.3 15 539 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C3/0 15:56 0.2 7.3 15 539 | .2 24.3 0.58 C3/0 15:56 0.2 7.3 15 539 | 0.58 C3/0 15:56 0.2 7.3 15 539 | C3/0 15:56 0.2 7.3 15 539 | 15:56 0.2 7.3 15 539 | 0.2 7.3 15 539 | 7.3 15 539 | 15 539 | 539 | | 25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C3/0 16:56 0.6 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C3/0 16:56 0.6 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C3/0 16:56 0.6 | 1.2 24.3 0.58 C3/0 16:56 0.6 | 0.58 C3/0 16:56 0.6 | C3/0 16:56 0.6 | 16:56 0.6 | 0.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C4/0 09:23 0.2 7.2 11 642 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C4/0 09:23 0.2 7.2 11 642 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C4/0 09:23 0.2 7.2 11 642 | 1.2 24.3 0.58 C4/0 09:23 0.2 7.2 11 642 | 0.58 C4/0 09:23 0.2 7.2 11 642 | C4/0 09:23 0.2 7.2 11 642 | 09:23 0.2 7.2 11 642 | 0.2 7.2 11 642 | 7.2 11 642 | 11 642 | 642 | | 24 | 0.4 | 8.2 | BQL | 9.3 | 1.32 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.7 | BQL | BQL |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C4/0 14:46 0.2 24.6 66 422 24.3 0.58 C4/0 14:46 0.2 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C4/0 14:46 0.2 ee 422 243 0.58 C4/0 15:35 0.2 74 15 544 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C4/0 14:46 0.2 5 422 243 0.58 C4/0 14:46 0.2 | 2.2 24.3 0.58 C4/0 14:46 0.2 2 24.3 0.58 C4/0 14:46 0.2 | 0.58 C4/0 14:46 0.2 0.58 C4/0 15:35 0.2 7.4 15 544 | C4/0 14:46 0.2 C4/0 15:35 0.3 7.4 15 544 | 14:46 0.2 15:35 0.3 7.4 1.6 5.44 | 0.2 0.2 14 16 544 | 74 15 A1 | 16 544 | 244 | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C4/0 16:53 0.4 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C4/0 16.53 0.4 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C4/0 16:53 0.4 | 12 24.3 0.58 C4/0 16:53 0.4 | 0.58 C4/0 16:53 0.4 | C4/0 16:53 0.4 | 16:53 0.4 | 0.4 | | | ļ | | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C5/0 09:16 0.1 7.4 11 516 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C5/0 09:16 0.1 7.4 11 516 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C5/0 09:16 0.1 7.4 11 516 | 2.2 24.3 0.58 C5/0 09:16 0.1 7.4 11 516 | 0.58 C5/0 09:16 0.1 7.4 11 516 | C5/0 09:16 0.1 7.4 11 516 | 09:16 0.1 7.4 11 516 | 0.1 7.4 11 516 | 7.4 11 516 | 11 516 | 516 | | 26 | BQL | 3.1 | BQL | 3.5 | 1.51 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.4 | BQL | BQL |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C5/0 14:43 0.1 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C5/0 14:43 0.1 ee 422 24.3 0.58 C5/0 14:43 0.1 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C5/0 14:43 0.1 5 423 243 0.58 C5/0 14:43 0.1 | 2.2 24.3 0.58 C5/0 14:43 0.1 2 24.3 0.58 C5/0 14:43 0.1 2 24.3 0.58 C5/0 15:10 0.1 7.5 15 214 | 0.58 C5/0 14:43 0.1 0.58 C5/0 14:43 0.1 | C5/0 [4:43 0.] C5/0 [4:43 0.] | 14:43 0.1 15:10 0.1 7.5 15 31/ | 0.1 76 15 214 | 76 IS 21 | 15 314 | 214 | | ж | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C5/0 16:50 0.2 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C5/0 16:50 0.2 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C5/0 16:50 0.2 | 12 24.3 0.58 C5/0 16:50 0.2 | 0.58 C5/0 16:50 0.2 | C5/0 16:50 0.2 | 16:50 0.2 | 0.2 | | 2 | | | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C6/0 09.00 0.1 7.3 10 505 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C6/0 09.00 0.1 7.3 10 505 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C6/0 09:00 0.1 7.3 10 505 | 1.2 24.3 0.58 C6/0 09:00 0.1 7.3 10 505 | 0.58 C6/0 09:00 0.1 7.3 10 505 | C6/0 09:00 0.1 7.3 10 505 | 09:00 0.1 7.3 10 505 | 0.1 7.3 10 505 | 7.3 10 505 | 10 505 | 505 | | 25 | BQL | 1.4 | BQL | 1.4 | 1.46 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C6/0 14:34 0.1 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C6/0 14:34 0.1 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C6/0 14:34 0.1 | 2.2 24.3 0.58 C6/0 14:34 0.1 | 0.58 C6/0 14:34 0.1 | C6/0 14:34 0.1 | 14:34 0.1 | 0.1 | | | | | | BQL | Ξ | BQL | 1.1 | 1.43 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C6/0 15:08 0.1 7.7 15 460 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C6/0 15:08 0.1 7.7 15 460 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 C6/0 15:08 0.1 7.7 15 460 | 2.2 24.3 0.58 C6/0 15:08 0.1 7.7 15 460 | 0.58 C6/0 15:08 0.1 7.7 15 460 | C6/0 15:08 0.1 7.7 15 460 | 15:08 0.1 7.7 15 460 | 0.1 7.7 15 460 | 7.7 15 460 | 15 460 | 460 | | 26 | 0.2 | 1.7 | BQL | 1.9 | 1.53 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C6/0 16:48 0.1 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 C6/0 16:48 0.1 | 5 42,2 24.3 0.58 C6/0 16:48 0.1 | 2.2 24.3 0.58 C6/0 16:48 0.1 | 0.58 C6/0 16:48 0.1 | C6/0 16:48 0.1 | 16:48 0.1 | 0.1 | | | | | | BQL | 1.4 | BQL | 1.4 | 1.47 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 24.5 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 GAC3 08:50 0.0 7.7 10 265 | 55 42.2 24.3 0.58 GAC3 08:50 0.0 7.7 10 265 | 5 42.2 24.3 0.58 GAC3 08:50 0.0 7.7 10 265 | 2.2 24.3 0.58 GAC3 08:50 0.0 7.7 10 265 | 0.58 GAC3 08:50 0.0 7.7 10 265 | GAC3 08:50 0.0 7.7 10 265 | 08:50 0.0 7.7 10 265 | 0.0 7.7 10 265 | 7.7 10 265 | 10 265 | 265 | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1.75 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 24.5 56 43.3 27.2 0.63 INFI 11:04 6.6 13 415 | 56 43.3 27.2 0.63 INFI 11:04 6.6 13 415 | 6 43.3 27.2 0.63 INFI 11:04 6.6 13 415 | 1.3 27.2 0.63 INFI 11:04 6.6 13 415 | 0.63 INF1 11:04 6.6 13 415 | INFI 11:04 6.6 13 415 | 11:04 6.6 13 415 | 6.6 13 415 | 6.6 13 415 | 13 415 | 415 | | | 273 | 336 | 19.2 | 169 | 0.645 | 11 | 8.1 | BQL | 44.6 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 5.6 | BQL | 3.4 |
| 24.5 56 43.3 27.2 0.63 INFI | 56 43.3 27.2 0.63 INFI | 6 43.3 27.2 0.63 INFI | 1,3 27,2 0.63 INFI | 0.63 INFI | INFI | | | | | | | | 282 | 341 | 19.3 | 709 | 0.723 | F | 8.2 | BQL | 48.3 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 5.3 | BQL | 3.4 |
| 24.5 56 43.3 27.2 0.63 INFI 15:46 6.9 14 415 | 56 43.3 27.2 0.63 INFI 15:46 6.9 14 415 | 6 43.3 27.2 0.63 INFI 15:46 6.9 14 415 | 3.3 27.2 0.63 INFI 15:46 6.9 14 415 | 0.63 INFI 15:46 6.9 14 415 | INF1 15:46 6.9 14 415 | 15:46 6.9 14 415 | 6.9 14 415 | 6.9 14 415 | 14 415 | 415 | | | 254 | 298 | 20.4 | 634 | 0.719 | Ξ | 8.8 | BQL | 45.7 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3.4 | BQL | 3 |
| 24.5 56 43.3 27.2 0.63 INFI | 56 43.3 27.2 0.63 INFI | 6 43.3 27.2 0.63 INFI | 1,3 27.2 0.63 INFI | 0.63 INFI | INFI | | | | | | | | 275 | 327 | 21.9 | 687 | 0.755 | E | 8.7 | BQL | 45.8 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4.3 | BQL | ę |
| 24.5 56 43.3 27.2 0.63 INFI | 56 43.3 27.2 0.63 INFI | 6 43.3 27.2 0.63 INFI | 3.3 27.2 0.63 INFI | 0.63 INFI | INFI | | | | | | | | 247 | 291 | 20.2 | 620 | 0.734 | 1.1 | 8.3 | BQL | 44 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4.7 | BQL | 3.8 |
| 24.5 56 43.3 27.2 0.63 C1/0 10:33 0.2 6.9 15 414 | 56 43.3 27.2 0.63 C1/0 10:33 0.2 6.9 15 414 | 6 43.3 27.2 0.63 C1/0 10:33 0.2 6.9 15 414 | 3.3 27.2 0.63 C1/0 10:33 0.2 6.9 15 414 | 0.63 C1/0 10:33 0.2 6.9 15 414 | CI/0 10:33 0.2 6.9 15 414 | 10:33 0.2 6.9 15 414 | 0.2 6.9 15 414 | . 6.9 15 414 | 15 414 | 414 | | 29 | 1.69 | 157 | 7.5 | 238 | 1.01 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4.6 | BQL | 0.3 |
| 24.5 56 43.3 27.2 0.63 C1/0 12:09 0.3 | 56 43.3 27.2 0.63 C1/0 12:09 0.3 | 6 43.3 27.2 0.63 C1/0 12:09 0.3 | 3.3 27.2 0.63 C1/0 12:09 0.3 | 0.63 C1/0 12:09 0.3 | C1/0 12:09 0.3 | 12:09 0.3 | 0.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24.5 56 43.3 27.2 0.63 C1/0 15:16 0.2 7.0 17 410 | 56 43.3 27.2 0.63 C1/0 15:16 0.2 7.0 17 410 | 6 43.3 27.2 0.63 C1/0 15:16 0.2 7.0 17 410 | 3.3 27.2 0.63 C1/0 15:16 0.2 7.0 17 410 | 0.63 C1/0 15:16 0.2 7.0 17 410 | C1/0 15:16 0.2 7.0 17 410 | 15:16 0.2 7.0 17 410 | 0.2 7.0 17 410 | 2.0 17 410 | 1 17 410 | 410 | | 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Page 8 of 13

| | | Average | c Average | Average | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--------------|---------|--------------|------------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|-------|--------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------|---------------|-------------|--------|---------|----------|
| | | Applied | I Transferre | adHydroger | Average | - | Operation | ş | | Temp. | Oxidation (| Contactor | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Process | Ozone | Ozone | Peroxide | PEROXON | E Sample | Sample | Ozone | - | of ORP | Reduction A | Acasured | | | Total | _ | 1,3-Din | itro-2,4-Dini | ro-2,6-Dinil | o 2-Anino-4, | 6- 2-Nitro- | 3-Nitro- | 4-Amino-2,6 | 6- 4-Nitro- | | Nitro- | |
| Date Wel | II Flow Rate | Dose | Dose | Dose | Ratio | Location | Time | Residua | al pH | Sample | Potential | Peroxide | TNT 1 | NB RD | X Nitrobu | dic: Nitrat | e benze | ne toluen | e toluene | dinitrotolue | nc tolucne | toluene | dinitrotoluci | ne toluene | ХМН | benzene | Tetryl |
| | (ાાતંકે) | (Ing/L) | (mg/L) | (Ing/L) | | | | T/gm) | | (ĴC) | (mV) | (mg/L) (| 1) (1/8ri | g/L) (μg/ | T) (µg/L |) (mg/L | <u>Идц)</u> И | -1/3H) (- | (Hg/L) | (JL) | (J/gH) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) |
| 10/28/96 1 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C1/0 | 16:13 | 0.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/28/96 1 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C2/0 | 10:25 | 0.5 | 7.0 | 13 | 920 | 26 | 13.4 (| 0.3 1. | 6.17 (| 1.22 | BQI | , BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 2.3 | BQL | BOL |
| 10/28/96 1 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C2/0 | 12:06 | 0.6 | | | | | | | | | | , | , | , | , | • | , | , | | | |
| 10/28/96 | 24.5 | 36 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C2/0 | 15:08 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 15 | 930 | 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/28/96 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C2/0 | 16:11 | 0.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/28/96 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C3/0 | 10:20 | 0.7 | 7.1 | 13 | 943 | 26 | 2.5 | 3.6 0. | 4 27.8 | 1.34 | BQI | , BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1.3 | BQL | BQL |
| 10/28/96 1 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C3/0 | 12:02 | 0.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ı |
| 10/28/96 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C3/0 | 15:02 | 0.6 | 7.4 | 15 | 939 | 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/28/96 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C3/0 | 16:09 | 9.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/28/96 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C4/0 | 10:11 | 0.9 | 7.2 | 13 | 356 | 32 | 0.7 | 4.2 BC | L 15.9 | 1.35 | BQ | L BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | - | BQL | BQL |
| 1 0/28/96 1 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C4/0 | 11:54 | 1.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | , | | , | , |
| 10/28/96 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C4/0 | 14:56 | 0.3 | 7.5 | 16 | 922 | 28 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/28/96 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 69.0 | C4/0 | 16:07 | 0.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/28/96 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C5/0 | 10:05 | 0.5 | 7.2 | 12 | 888 | 27 | 0.2 | 8 B(| iL 8.6 | 1.31 | BQ | L BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.4 | BQL | BQL |
| 10/28/96 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C5/0 | 11:51 | 0.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/28/96 1 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C5/0 | 14:49 | 0.4 | 7.6 | 16 | 106 | 27 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/28/96 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C5/0 | 16:05 | 0.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/28/96 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C6/0 | 08:36 | 0.4 | 7.5 | 10 | 890 | 27 | BQL | 1.6 BC | JL 1.6 | 1.06 | BQ | L BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL |
| 10/28/96 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C6/0 | 11:46 | 0.6 | | | | | BQL | 1.6 BC | 9.1 J.6 | 1.26 | BO | r BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BOL | BOL | , BOL |
| 10/28/96 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C6/0 | 14:27 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 91 | 922 | 27 | BQL | 4.2 BC | JL 4.2 | 1.28 | BQ | L BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL |
| 10/28/96 1 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | C6/0 | 16:03 | 0.6 | | | | | BQL | 2.3 B(| 0L 2.3 | 1.7 | ğ | L BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 10/28/96 | 24.5 | 56 | 43.3 | 27.2 | 0.63 | GAC3 | 08:20 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 13 | 410 | | BQL | BQL BC | ir BQI | . 1.54 | BQ | L BQI | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 10/29/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | INFI | 10:00 | | 6.9 | 13 | 370 | | 412 | 450 25 | 0 <i>L</i> 0 <i>L</i> | 0.37 | | 10 | BQL | 60.5 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 6.7 | BQL | 3.9 |
| 10/29/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | INFL | | | | | | | 295 | 348 18 | .6 728 | 0.69 | 1.1.2 | 8.8 | BQL | 49.2 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4 | BQL | 3.1 |
| 1 0/29/96 1 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | INF | 14:55 | | 7.2 | 14 | 406 | | 286 | 335 20 | .6 702 | 0.64 | | 8.3 | BQL | 43 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4.9 | BQL | 3.4 |
| 10/29/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | INFI | | | | | | | 294 | 358 19 | .7 739 | 0.65 | 8 | 8.5 | BQL | 51.1 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3.6 | BQL | 3.3 |
| 10/29/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | INFI | | | | | | | 297 | 354 21 | .5 736 | 0.66 | - | 8.4 | BQL | 46.5 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3.4 | BQL | 3.7 |
| 10/29/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | C1/0 | 09:34 | 0.2 | 7.0 | 16 | 385 | 25 | 75.5 | 175 | 264 | 16'0 1 | BQ 1 | L BQI | . BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4.7 | BQL | 0.3 |
| 1 96/62/01 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | C1/0 | 01:54 | 0.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/29/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | CI/0 | 14:04 | 0.2 | 7.3 | 13 | 966 | 29 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | |
| 10/29/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | CI/0 | 17:02 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/29/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | C2/0 | 09:22 | 0.4 | 7.2 | 4 | 606 | 25 | 14 | 54.7 | 83.4 | 1.02 | BO | L BQI | , BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 2.5 | BQL | 0.2 |
| 10/29/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | C2/0 | 10:51 | 0.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/29/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | C2/0 | 13:57 | 0.3 | 7.4 | 14 | 874 | 25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/29/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | C2/0 | 16:59 | 0.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/29/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | C3/0 | 09:12 | 0.6 | 7.3 | 9 | 933 | 25 | 2.2 | 22.1 0 | 4 25.7 | 1.0 | ğ | L BQI | , BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | - | BQL | BQL |
| 10/29/96 1 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | C3/0 | 10:46 | 0.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/29/96 1 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | C3/0 | 13:43 | 0.4 | 7.6 | 15 | 920 | 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/29/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | C3/0 | 16:54 | 0.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 0/29/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | C4/0 | 09:05 | 0.5 | 7.4 | 13 | 942 | 25 | 0.7 | 13.4 B(| QL 14.9 | 9 1.2 | BQ | L BQI | , BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.8 | BQL | BQL |
| 10/29/96 1 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | C4/0 | 10:44 | 0.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/29/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | C4/0 | 13:35 | 0.2 | ĽL | 15 | 875 | 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/29/96 | 24.5 | 57 | 43.1 | 26.9 | 0.62 | C4/0 | 16:50 | 0.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Page 9 of 13

| Monetal modeling and the second of the | | | Tetryl | (hg/L) | | 2 | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | ~ | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 0.4 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4.4 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 3.8 | BOL | , | BQL | | BQL | i | BQL | i da | n M | | אלר BOL | |
|--|--------------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------------|----------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|------------------|----------------|---------|---------------|------------------|---|
| Manue | | Nitro | senzene | (hg/L) | 10g | j Y | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | de la | BOL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | 22 | | b B D L | |
| Monite Manipulation Manip | | | 4 XMH | (J/gu) | | 2 | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4.3 | 3.5 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 0.4 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 5.4 | 6,2 | 6'6 | 7.4 5 2 | 4.4 | | 2.6 | | 1.6 | | BQL | 0 | הלר | | BOL V | |
| Monige Manipeling Manipelin | | 4-Nitro- | tolucne | (hg/L) | JOH JOH | 2 | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL BQL | BOL | | BQL | | BQL | 2 | BQL | 10a | n n n | 10d | BQL | • |
| Network Train < | | | tolucne | g/L) | 5 | <u>,</u> | | | Ъ | Ъ | QL | or | бГ | QL | ٥٢ | QL | QL | бГ | QL | βΓ | QL | QL | Ģ | β | ΰ | ίQL | ΰſΓ | ιŐΓ | ıqı | ъ | ιŐΓ | ζĞΓ | ЮГ | d d | d d | | ЮГ | | 3QL | ŝ | ЗQL | 0 | 1 | 100 | QL | |
| Monoreceitemente la contract a contrac | | 0- 4-Ami | ic dinitre | н) (| " | 1 | | | 8 | 8 | В, | 8 | 8 | в , | 8 | 8, | æ | a, | æ | е , | ш , | ш , | ш . 1 | | | ш | ш | | ۳ ۵ | ш | ш - | ш | | | . <u>.</u> | | | | ۳ ٦ | | - | - | _ | - | | : |
| Moneyale functional and the solutional and the solutionand the solutional and the solutional and the solutional and the s | | - 3-Nite | e toluen | T/gu) (| 08 | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQI | BQL | BQI | BQL | BQI | Đ Đ Đ Đ | BQI | BQI | BQI | BQI | BQI | BQI | . BQI | BQI | , BQI | | | | , BQI | | BQI . | (| ра , | G | | G | Ϋ́́α | |
| Montegrege Manipulation Propertication Properimentation Prope | | - 2-Nitro | k tolucne | (J/grl) | BOI | 2 | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | 0 | ВQL | ICa | ž | | 2 Que | |
| Numery Tenh Description Tenh Description Tenh Description | | Amino-4.6 | irotolucn | (hg/L) | BOI |) Y | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 41.7 | 30 | 43.8 | 36.9 | 42.4 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 45.6 | 53 | 56.7 | 40.7 | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | č | BQL | 0 | n n | 100 | BQL | , |
| Hollager Tende | | initro-2-7 | icne din | <u>р</u> (Г) | 5 | ļ | | | ъ | бг | QL | QL | QL | QL | σr | бг | бг | δΓ | QL | οr | QL | QL | Ģ | β | βΓ | ĢĽ | ίQL | ιőΓ | ΰ | ίQL | ιõΓ | Ŋ | ğ | ಶಶ | - Jo | | ζſΓ | | ЮГ | ş | ğ | G | 17 | ō | j č | |
| Montree functionary func | | itro-2.6-D | ne tol | н) (н | ď | 1 | | | B | 8 | L B | B J | L B | с В | г В | 8 | 8 | æ | 8 | 8 | B L | L B | L B | L B | ۳ ۲ | ۳ ۱ | L B | L B | L L | ۳ ۲ | 5 | E | - | | ш ц | | <u>ل</u> | | 1 | , , | 1 | - | _ _ | | | Ļ |
| Americand by blunger by blunger by blunger by blunger by blunger base Turp, and by blunger by blunger b | | u-2.4-Din | toluci | <u>1</u> /дн) | BOI | ŕ | | | ΒQI | BQI | BQI | BQI | BQI | BQI | BQI | 7.6 | C:L | 8.1 | 7.8 | 8.9 | ΒQ | βg | BQ | ВQ | ВQ | βG | BQ | BQ | βg | ğ | 7.2 | .0 | 0 | 5 × | Da Da | | ВQ | | ВQ | 6 | D B C B | 0a | | a | 38 | |
| Amenie Transitional Termity Termity Termity Termity Termity Hydroxie Amenie OPER Robinion Termity Termity Termity Termity Hydroxie Ramity Amenic OPER Robinion Residual OPER Robinion Residual OPER Robinion Residual Termity Termity Termity Date Ramity Residual OPE Samity Presidial Residual Termity | | 1.3-Dinit | benzene | (hg/L) | BOI | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | - | Ξ | - | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | - | 1.3 | 1.4 | 6.0 1 | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | č | BQL | | רב | ICa | אלי BQL | , |
| Arenge Bylanger Arenge Data Cycanions Conside FRXXX Tany. Orialitation Tany. Orialitation Tany. | | | : Nitrate | (mg/L N | 1 28 | | | | 1.3 | 1.24 | 1.18 | 1.34 | 1.44 | 1.24 | 1.46 | 0.658 | 3.85 | 0.672 | 0.646 | 0.689 | 0.704 | 0.73 | 1.12 | 1.02 | 1.28 | 1.37 | 1.25 | 1.27 | I.23 | 1.22 | 0.68 | 0.545 | 0.59 | 595.0 | 0.676 | | 0.822 | | 1.05 | | 50.1 | 0000 | 766.0 | - | 1.05 | |
| Avenue Avenue< | | Tutal | litrobodic | (µg/L) | 05 | | | | 2 | 2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | BQL | BQL | BQL | 706 | 645 | 644 | 689 | 773 | 217 | 93.8 | 31.2 | 12.4 | 5.1 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2 | BQL | 669 | 714 | 672 | 517 | 661 | | 90 | | 37.2 | | 10.1 | 24 | ŀ | | 2.8 | |
| Neurge Term Optimizing Term Obtimizing Neurge Optimizing Neurge Neurge <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>RDX N</td><td>(hg/L)</td><td>EO.</td><td>ļ</td><td></td><td></td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>17.5</td><td>16.8</td><td>19.7</td><td>18.2</td><td>19.2</td><td>6.7</td><td>6.1</td><td>0.4</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>21.2</td><td>22,4</td><td>25.7</td><td>203</td><td>6.6</td><td></td><td>3</td><td></td><td>0.6</td><td>i de</td><td>BQL</td><td>i0a</td><td>2</td><td>i Ca</td><td>אלר BQL</td><td>,</td></th<> | | | RDX N | (hg/L) | EO. | ļ | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 17.5 | 16.8 | 19.7 | 18.2 | 19.2 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 0.4 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 21.2 | 22,4 | 25.7 | 203 | 6.6 | | 3 | | 0.6 | i de | BQL | i0a | 2 | i Ca | אלר BQL | , |
| Neurge Townge Operations Townge Operations Townge Operations Bydinger Avenge Operations Operations Town Operations Town Operations Provide/PERXONC Sample Juncion Tion Operations Town Operations Provide/PERXONC Sample Juncion Operation Doperation | | | TNB | (J/gH) | 85 | | | | 2 | 2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | BQL | BQL | BQL | 342 | 315 | 307 | 326 | 374 | 146 | 76.2 | 26.9 | 11.5 | 5.1 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 7 | BQL | 330 | 312 | 296 | 334 | 133 | | 71.9 | | 31.4 | 1 | 1.6 | * * | t. F | | 2.8 | |
| Average Average <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>TNT</td><td>(hg/L)</td><td>10</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>289</td><td>268</td><td>255</td><td>292</td><td>319</td><td>69</td><td>13.4</td><td>2.6</td><td>0.5</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>BQL</td><td>284</td><td>306</td><td>268</td><td>281</td><td>54.9</td><td></td><td>13.5</td><td></td><td>3.6</td><td></td><td>0.4</td><td>ā</td><td></td><td>Qq</td><td>BQL BQL</td><td>,</td></t<> | | | TNT | (hg/L) | 10 | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 289 | 268 | 255 | 292 | 319 | 69 | 13.4 | 2.6 | 0.5 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 284 | 306 | 268 | 281 | 54.9 | | 13.5 | | 3.6 | | 0.4 | ā | | Qq | BQL BQL | , |
| Average Sample Sample Reduction Function Func Average Average </td <td>wheter</td> <td>Measured</td> <td>Peroxide</td> <td>(mg/L)</td> <td>36</td> <td></td> <td>26</td> <td></td> <td>26</td> <td></td> <td>26</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>27</td> <td>24</td> <td>25</td> <td>30</td> <td>25</td> <td>23</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>24</td> <td>25</td> <td>24</td> <td>24</td> <td>24</td> <td>55</td> <td>24</td> <td>53</td> <td>5 3</td> <td>57 7</td> <td>52</td> <td></td> | wheter | Measured | Peroxide | (mg/L) | 36 | | 26 | | 26 | | 26 | | | | | | | | | | 27 | 24 | 25 | 30 | 25 | 23 | | | | | | | | | 24 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 55 | 24 | 53 | 5 3 | 57 7 | 52 | |
| Average Operations Tenn. O Hydruger Average Operations Time Residual Pt Operations Hydruger Average Operations Sample Operations Operations of ORP Re Diss Raio Location Time Residual Pt Sample Pt Pt Operations of ORP Re Operations Oper | cidation (| duction 1 | stential | (mV) | 884 | | 825 | | 865 | | 826 | | 299 | | | 339 | | | | | 316 | 951 | 930 | 904 | 915 | 973 | | | | 300 | 435 | | | | 559 | | 930 | | 949 | | 166 | 551 | 776 | 100 | 100 | |
| Average Operations T Hydruger Average Operations Time Residual PH Buse Rain Lucunion Time Residual PH Si 26.9 0.62 C500 10:44 0.23 7.5 26.9 0.62 C500 10:44 0.23 7.5 26.9 0.62 C500 10:44 0.2 7.8 26.9 0.62 C600 13:15 0.2 7.9 26.9 0.62 C600 13:15 0.2 7.3 26.9 0.62 C600 13:15 0.2 7.9 26.9 0.62 C600 13:15 0.2 7.3 26.9 0.62 C600 13:15 0.2 7.3 26.9 0.62 C600 13:15 0.2 7.5 27.3 0.57 INFI 0.6 7.3 7.3 28.9 0.57 INFI 0.6 7. | - O une | ORP Re | unple Pe | (C) | ٤1 | | 15 | | 4 | | 91 | | 13 | | | Ξ | | | | | Ξ | 10 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 6 | | | | 1 | 14 | | | | 15 | | 14 | | 14 | : | 4 | 1 | 1 | 71 | 2 | |
| Average Operations Hydroger Average Operations Hydroger Average Operations Disc Rain Location Time Residual Imp/L) Time Residual Operations Operations 26.9 0.62 C50 0.845 0.4 26.9 0.62 C50 0.845 0.4 26.9 0.62 C50 0.846 0.4 26.9 0.62 C50 0.836 0.3 26.9 0.62 C50 0.846 0.4 26.9 0.62 C50 0.836 0.3 26.9 0.62 C50 0.836 0.3 26.9 0.62 C60 0.836 0.3 27.9 0.67 NFI 0.6 0.3 28.9 0.65 C60 0.836 0.4 28.9 0.65 C60 0.836 0.4 28.9 0.65 C60 | | | PH S: | | 7.5 | | 7.8 | | 7.5 | | 7.9 | | 1.1 | | | 7.3 | | | | | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 6.7 | | | | 8.1 | 7.0 | | | | 1.1 | | 7.2 | | 7.3 | i t | 7.5 | 26 | 2 | 76 | | |
| Average Operations Hydruger Average Operations Bydruger Average Operations Duse Rain Location Time Ring/L) Average Operations 26.9 0.62 C5/0 03:54 26.9 0.62 C5/0 03:24 26.9 0.62 C6/0 03:24 26.9 0.62 C6/0 03:24 26.9 0.62 C6/0 03:24 213.9 0.57 INF1 04:01 213.9 0.57 INF1 04:01 213.9 0.57 C4/0 03:14 213.9 0.57 C6/0 07:40 213.0 0.57 <td></td> <td>Ozone</td> <td>csidual</td> <td>mg/L)</td> <td>02</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.2</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>3.8</td> <td></td> <td>0.9</td> <td></td> <td>0.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>9.0</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>= :</td> <td>0.7</td> <td>1.2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.8</td> <td></td> | | Ozone | csidual | mg/L) | 02 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 3.8 | | 0.9 | | 0.0 | | | | | 0.1 | 0.3 | 9.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | = : | 0.7 | 1.2 | | | 0.8 | |
| Average Average Op Hydruger Average Op Busse Ralio Lucuition Inse Ralio Lucuition 26.9 0.62 C5/0 26.9 0.62 C6/0 26.9 0.62 C6/0 26.9 0.62 C6/0 26.9 0.62 C6/0 27.3 0.57 C6/0 23.9 0.57 C6/0 23.4 0.51 INFI 23.5 0.51 INFI 23.6 0.51 C1 | rations | ample | Time R | - | 08-55 | 10:41 | 13:24 | 16:46 | 08:36 | 10:39 | 13:15 | 16:41 | 08:25 | | | 09:05 | | | | | 08:30 | 08:21 | 08:14 | 08:08 | 07:58 | 07:47 | | 91:60 | | 07:40 | 15:24 | | | | 15:12 | 16:17 | 14:56 | 16:08 | 14:51 | 16:08 | 14:51 | 16:33 14:45 | | 14:22 | 16:24 | |
| Average Average Average Hydruger Average Feroxide PEROXONE S Dass Ratio Li (ng/L) (a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c | 5 | annele S | cation | | 020 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | DAC3 | DACI | 3AC2 | INFL | INFI | INFI | INFI | INFI | C1/0 | C2/0 | C3/0 | C4/0 | C5/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | DAC3 | INFL | INFI | INFI | INF1 | CIA | C1/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C4/0 | C410 | | 0,07 | C6/0 | |
| Average Average Average Average Average Feroxide Peroxide | rane | XONE S | atio Lo | | 63 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | .62 | .62 (| .62 | 62 | .57 | .57 | .57 | 57 | 57 | .57 | .57 | .57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | .57 | .57 | .57 | 21 | 51 | 21 | 51 | 5 5 | 2 | 151 | 51 | 51 | 151 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 5 5 | | 5 5 | |
| 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 | lge rer Ave | ide PERO | ц Ц ц | L) | - - | 6 | 9 | 0 6 | 0 0 | 0 6 | 0 6 | 0 | 9 0 | 0 6 | 0 6 | 0 6 | 0 6 | 0 6 | 0 6 | 0 6 | 9 0 | 9 0 | 9 0 | 0 6 | 0 6 | 9 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 0 | 9 9 | 9 | 0 0 9 9 | 9 | 6 0 | 9 | 9 | و بو | 9 v | ب | | | د بر در بر | , . , ., | |
| | c Avera | Perox | Dus | /gm) (| 26. | 26. | 26. | 26. | 26. | 26. | 26. | 26. | 26. | 26. | 26. | 23. | 23. | 23. | 23. | 23. | 23. | 23. | 23. | 23. | 23. | 23. | 23. | 23. | 23. | 53 | 23. | - 23 | 53 | 2 2 | 53 | 23. | 23. | 23. | 23 | 53 | 5 | Ri 8 | 1 8 | 5 7 | 3 6 | |
| Average Dosec Ozone Ozone Ozone Ozone Ozone A 3 1 1 A 3 1 1 1 A 3 1 1 1 A 3 1 | Averag | Ozono | Dose | (mg/L | 115 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | | 46.0 | 46.0 | |
| Average Applied Ozone Duse Duse Duse S7 S7 S7 S7 S7 S7 S7 S7 S7 S7 S7 S7 S7 | Average Annlied | Ozone | Duse | (mg/L) | 13 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 3 6 | 56 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 09 | 99 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 6 | 09 | 8 9 | | 2 9 | 3 9 | |
| W W Rate W W Rate Binn) 22,45 22,25 22,45 | | TOCCSS | w Rate | (ուզց | 245 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 0.17 | 24.0 | 24.0 | |
| | | ā | Velt Flo |) | - | | | _ | _ | | _ | _ | _ | - | | _ | _ | _ | _ | - | | | - | - | - | - | _ | - | - | - | | - | - | | | - | - | _ | - | | - | | | | | |
| Date 1 Date 1 Da | | | Date V | | 96/620 | 0/29/96 | 96/67/0 | 0/29/96 | 0/29/96 | 0/29/96 | 96/67/0 | 96/67/0 | 0/29/96 | 10/29/96 | 10/29/96 | 10/30/96 | 10/30/96 | 96/02/01 | 96/06/01 | 96/06/01 | 10/30/96 | 10/30/96 | 10/30/96 | 10/30/96 | 10/30/96 | 96/08/01 | 96/08/01 | 10/30/96 | 96/08/01 | 10/30/96 | 11/4/96 | 11/4/96 | 11/4/96 | 11/4/96 | 11/4/96 | 11/4/96 | 11/4/96 | 11/4/96 | 11/4/96 | 11/4/96 | 11/4/96 | 11/4/96 | 06/6/1 | 11/4/96 | | |

Page 10 of 13

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ŀ | | | | | |
|---------|------------|----------|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|--------|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------------|----------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|----------|------------|----------------|----------|--------|--------|------------|
| | | A | Average # | Average A | verage | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | * | Applied Tr. | ansierredHy | /druger Av | verage | ę | crations | | Ę | np. Oxiu | ation Conta | ctor | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ЪЧ | rucess (| Ozune | Ozone Pt | croxide PER | OXONE 5 | ample S | ample (| Dzone |) o | JRP Redu | ction Meas | ned | | | Total | | 3-Dinitro-2 | 4-Dinitro-2 | 6-Dinitro-2 | -Amino-4,6- | 2-Nitro- | 3-Nitro- 4 | 1-Amino-2,6- | 4-Nitro- | | Nitro- | |
| Date W | Vell Flov | w Rate | Dose | Dose | Dose F | Ratio L | ocation . | Fine R | csidual 1 | H San | uple Pote | ntial Peros | ide TN1 | , TNE | RDX | Nitrobodic | Nilrate | benzene | tolucne | toluene d | initrotolucno | toluene | tolucne d | linitrololuene | tolucne | HMX b | cnzcne | Tetryl |
| | 3 | (undg | (mg/L) |) (T/Bul) | ng/L) | | | Č | mg/L) | ູ | ÷ C | V) (Mg | L) (Jg/ | <u>) (μg/</u> | (J/gu) (| (hg/L) | N T/Bui) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (µg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (hg/L) | (T/dri | (hg/L) |
| | | | ŝ | | | | 9 | | | | | | 104 | , | 104 | , | 30 | 104 | 104 | | Q | 20 | | 100 | 04 | 104 | ġ | |
| 96/6/11 | | 24.0 | 8 | 40.0 | 1 7 2 6 | 150 | | | | | | | | , r | | ، ۱ | 8 | | הארי שטו | n log | אלר BOI | | | n IOB | | | | קער BOI |
| 06/6/11 | | 0.42 | 2 5 | 0.04 | 1 7 66 | | | 14-06 | | - | | ž | | | | • IO | 70.1 | | | | ICI ICI | DA L | IOI | | | n log | | BOI D |
| 06/6/11 | | 24.0 | n 9 | 40.0 | 0.62 | 950 | INFI | 10-10 | | | • • | 2 2 | 218 | , E | 1 I C | 124 | 0.64 | 100 | 17L | BOL. | 48.2 | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | 47 | BOL | 3.7 |
| 2002111 | | 0.42 | 09 | 9.56 | 35.6 | 950 | INFI | | | 2 | | 5 | 155 | 185 | 501 | 824 | 0 578 | ; = | | BOI | 46.3 | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL 108 | 47 | NOR OF | |
| 96/5/11 | | 24.0 | 9 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | INFI | 15:26 | | 1 0.7 | 4 | 22 | 5. 52 | 166 | 20.9 | 836 | 0.687 | : 3 | 8.6 | BOL | 47.1 | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | ŝ | BOL | 3.3 |
| 96/5/11 | | 24.0 | 60 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | INFI | | | | | | 198 | 254 | 19.7 | 535 | 0.759 | 0.8 | 7.5 | BOL | 46.5 | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | 4.8 | BOL | 3.8 |
| 11/5/96 | | 24.0 | 9 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | INFI | | | | | | 215 | 268 | 19.6 | 568 | 0.71 | 6.1 | 7.3 | BQL | 48.8 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | Š | BQL | 3.2 |
| 11/5/96 | 1 | 24.0 | 60 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C1/0 | 61:60 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 8 | 13 2 | 45.0 | 901 9 | 50 | 205 | 1.02 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3.1 | BQL | BQL |
| 11/5/96 | 1 | 24.0 | 60 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C1/0 | 12:11 | 0.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11/5/96 | 1 | 24.0 | 60 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C1/0 | 15:19 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 14 | 96 2 | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11/5/96 | - | 24.0 | 60 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C1/0 | 17:12 | 0.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11/5/96 | - 7 | 24.0 | 60 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C2/0 | 09:05 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 5 | 50 2 | H H | 7 58.6 | 1.8 | 74 | 80.1 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1.9 | BQL | BQL |
| 11/5/96 | - 2 | 24.0 | 60 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C2/0 | 12:07 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11/5/96 | - | 24.0 | 60 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C2/0 | 15:10 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 14 | 57 2 | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11/5/96 | - | 24.0 | 0 9 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C2/0 | 17:08 | 0.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11/5/96 | | 24.0 | 09 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C3/0 | 09:05 | 0.1 | 7.3 | 5 | 57 2 | 1 2.5 | 25 | 0.4 | 29 | 1.07 | BOL | BOL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 1.1 | BQL | BQL |
| 90/5/11 | | 0.40 | 9 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C3/0 | 12:07 | 1.2 | | | | | | | | | , | , | r | | | | | | | I. | , |
| 90/5/11 | - <u>-</u> | 0.40 | 2 9 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C3/0 | 15:05 | 0.6 | 7.4 | 15 | 52 2 | -+ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2042111 | | 0.42 | 8 5 | 45.6 | 226 | 0.56 | | 10-21 | 80 | | 2 | , | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 96/0/11 | | 24.0 | 09 | 45.6 | 9.55 | 0.56 | C4/0 | 11:60 | . 01 | 7.4 | = | 52 2 | 50 | = | BOL | 12.2 | 1.07 | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | BOL | 0.7 | BOL | BOL |
| 2042411 | · · | 0.12 | 09 | 456 | 356 | 0.56 | CAN | 02-11 | 00 | | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 96/0/11 | | 24.U | 00 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 950 | C410 | 14:53 | 0.7 | 7.5 | 5 | 36 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20/2/11 | 、 | 0.12 | 9 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | CAM | 16-40 | 80 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 96/5/11 | | 24.0 | 9 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | CS/0 | 08:43 | 0.1 | 7.5 | 9 | 49 2 | 4 0.1 | | BQL | 5.4 | 11 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 11/5/96 | | 24.0 | 60 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C5/0 | 11:52 | Ľ | | | | | | | | | , | | , | | | | | | | | |
| 11/5/96 | _ | 24.0 | 60 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C5/0 | 14:42 | 0.7 | 7.6 | 15 | 30 2 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11/5/96 | _ | 24.0 | 60 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C5/0 | 16:40 | 0.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11/5/96 | _ | 24.0 | 60 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C6/0 | 08:32 | 9.6 | 7.5 | 6 | 04 2 | 5 BQ | г г | BQL | 8.1 | 0.906 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 11/5/96 | | 24.0 | 60 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C6/0 | 11:46 | 0.8 | | | | ВQ | r 53 | i BQL | 2.2 | 1.16 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 11/5/96 | - | 24.0 | 09 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C6/0 | 14:34 | 9.6 | 7.6 | 15 | 53 S | 4 BQ | Г Г | BQL | 6'1 | 1.34 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 11/5/96 | - | 24.0 | 60 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | C6/0 | 16:30 | 0.5 | | | | BQ | Ľ 2 | BQL | 2.1 | 1.23 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 11/5/96 | | 24.0 | 60 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | GAC3 | 08:16 | 0.0 | 7.8 | œ | 943 | BQ | r BQ | r BQL | BQL | 1.78 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 11/5/96 | - | 24.0 | 60 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | GACI | | | | | | BQ | L BQ | L BQL | BQL | 1.16 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 11/5/96 | _ | 24.0 | 60 | 45.6 | 25.6 | 0.56 | GAC2 | | | | | | Bg | L BQ | L BQL | BQL | 1.37 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| 96/9/11 | | 24.0 | 60 | 45.9 | 26.8 | 0.58 | INFI | 09:23 | | 7.2 | 13 | 129 | 26 | 6 30 | 5 19.2 | 655 | 1.05 | 1.3 | 11.1 | BQL | 43 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 5.6 | BQL | 3.9 |
| 11/6/96 | - | 24.0 | 60 | 45.9 | 26.8 | 0.58 | INFI | | | | | | 27 | 8 31 | 4 19.8 | 680 | 1.05 | 1.3 | 11.2 | BQL | 44.7 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 6.5 | BQL | 4.3 |
| 11/6/96 | - | 24.0 | 60 | 45.9 | 26.8 | 0.58 | INFI | 14:31 | | 7.0 | 14 | 131 | 29 | 0 31 | 7 20 | 969 | - | 1.1 | 8.3 | BQL | 48.3 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 7.9 | BQL | 3.6 |
| 11/6/96 | _ | 24.0 | 60 | 45.9 | 26.8 | 0.58 | INFI | | | | | | 25 | 8 29 | 4 19.3 | 637 | - | 1.2 | 10.7 | BQL | 43.9 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 9 | BQL | 3.5 |
| 96/9/11 | - | 24.0 | 60 | 45.9 | 26.8 | 0.58 | INFI | | | | | | 28 | 4 31 | 4 19.6 | 682 | 0.987 | 0.9 | 1.7 | BQL | 46 | BQL | BQL | ВQL | BQL | 5.1 | BQL | 4.2 |
| 96/9/11 | - | 24.0 | 60 | 45.9 | 26.8 | 0.58 | C1/0 | 08:59 | 0.2 | 7.3 | 15 | 116 | 1 63 | 7 14 | 5 7.3 | 220 | 1.22 | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 4.2 | BQL | BQL |
| 11/6/96 | _ | 24.0 | 60 | 45.9 | 26.8 | 0.58 | C1/0 | 11:37 | 0.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 96/9/11 | | 24.0 | 60 | 45.9 | 26.8 | 0.58 | C1/0 | 14:13 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 16 | 217 | 'n | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Page 11 of 13
PEROXONE Plant Demonstration Task Test Conditions and Results Demonstration Phase 2

| | | | Tetryl | (hg/L) | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 3.5 | BQL | 10a | l l | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 2.8 |
|---------|-------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|------|------|-------|-------|
| | | Nitro- | cuzcuc | (hg/L) | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 10a | 2 | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| | | | 4 XMH | Hg/L) | | 2.1 | | | | 1.3 | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 5.9 | 6.4 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 4 | 3.6 | ŗ | 4 | 1.1 | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 5.3 |
| | | 4-Nitro- | tolucne | (J) (1/3/1) | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 104 | 1 | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| | | -Amino-2,6- | initrotolucne | (Hg/L) | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 10a | L. | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| | | 3-Nitro- 4 | tolucne d | (hg/L) | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 10g | בענ | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| | | 5- 2-Nitro- | re tolucne | (Hg/L) | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 104 | מלר | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| | | 2-Amino-4,(| dinitrotolucr | (hg/L) | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 37.6 | 45.7 | 40.5 | 36.1 | 37.2 | BQL | 104 | 171 | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 42.2 |
| | | 2,6-Dinitro | tolucne o | (hg/L) | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | • | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 10a | 170 | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| | | 2,4-Dinitro- | tolucne | (µg/L) | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 10.8 | 11.5 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 9711 | BQL | 100 | מלנ | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 11.3 |
| | | 3-Dinitro | benzene | (hg/L) | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.9 | 1.3 | Ξ | 1.1 | 1.2 | BQL | | ž | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 0.9 |
| | | 2 | Nitrate | (mg/L N | | 1.3 | | | | 1.37 | | | | 1.4 | | | | 1.45 | | | | 1.53 | 1.56 | 1.52 | 1.46 | 2.09 | 0.92 | 0.918 | 0.942 | 0.935 | 116.0 | 1.14 | | 7 | 1.27 | | 131 | | 1.31 | | 1.5 | 1.51 | 1.37 | 1.67 | 1.98 | 0.873 |
| | | Total | trobodic: | (µg/L) | | 101 | | | | 32.8 | | | | 1.11 | | | | 4.3 | | | | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.4 | BQL | 582 | 673 | 613 | 583 | 630 | 184 | 0 72 | | 30 | | 10.2 | | 4.5 | | 6.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 7 | BQL | 663 |
| | | | RDX Ni | µg/L) | | 2.1 | | | | 0.6 | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 17.4 | 20 | 18.5 | 81 | 18.5 | 5.7 | 71 | 2 | 0.5 | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 17.6 |
| | | | TNB |) (J/gu | | 81.5 | | | | 27.9 | | | | 10.6 | | | | 4.3 | | | | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.4 | BQL | 270 | 313 | 288 | 276 | 297 | 122 | 0 | 0.65 | 25.7 | | 9.7 | | 4.5 | | 6.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2 | BQL | 314 |
| | | | TNT |) (J) () | | 15.2 | | | | • | | | | 0.5 | | | | BQL | | | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 236 | 270 | 248 | 235 | 257 | 52.4 | 2 | <u>,</u> | 2.7 | | 0.5 | | BQL | | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | 269 |
| | Contactor | Measured | Peroxide | (mg/L) | | 27 | | 24 | | 26 | | 26 | | 25 | | 25 | | 25 | | 25 | | 25 | | 26 | | | | | | | | 25 | ac | 3 | 25 | | 25 | | 25 | | 25 | | | | | |
| | Oxidation | Reduction | Potential | (MV) | | 912 | | 926 | | 925 | | 866 | | 942 | | 930 | | 935 | | 925 | | 886 | | 886 | | 242 | 427 | | | | | 573 | 010 | | 945 | | 915 | | 616 | | 735 | | | | 250 | 429 |
| | Temp. | f ORP | sample | (°C) | | 12 | | 14 | | 12 | | 14 | | = | | 15 | | = | | 16 | | = | | 15 | | Ξ | 14 | | | | | 14 | Ξ | t | 14 | | 91 | | 4 | | 14 | | | | = | 12 |
| | | ÷ | H | | | 7.4 | | 7.3 | | 7.5 | | 7.4 | | 1.1 | | 7.5 | | 7.8 | | 7.6 | | 7.9 | | 7.8 | | 8.0 | 6.8 | | | | | 6.9 | 5 | 2 | 7.2 | | 7.4 | | 7.5 | | 7.6 | | | | 7.6 | 6.6 |
| | | Ozone | Residual | (mg/L) | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | | | | | | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | | | 0.0 | |
| | ocrations | sample | Time | | 16:26 | 08:53 | 11:33 | 14:06 | 16:23 | 08:46 | 11:30 | 13:59 | 16:22 | 08:37 | 11:27 | 13:50 | 16:20 | 08:30 | 11:23 | 13:42 | 16:18 | 08:22 | 11:20 | 13:24 | 16:16 | 08:08 | 15:38 | | | | | 15:28 | 16:28 | 16:25 | 15:00 | 16:22 | 14:43 | 16:19 | 14:37 | 16:16 | 14:22 | 16:13 | | | 14:05 | 08:30 |
| | ō | Sample 3 | Location | | C1/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | GAC3 | INFI | INFI | INFL | INFI | INFI | C1/0 | 010 | C2/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | GAC3 | INFI |
| | Average | ROXONE | Ratio | | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.55 |
| Average | Hydroger | Peroxide Pl | Dose | (mg/L) | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Average | ransferredl | Ozone | Duse | (mg/L) | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 40.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 45.6 |
| Average | Applied T | Ozone | Dose | (mg/L) | 09 | 9 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 93 | 9 (| 8 8 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| | | ocess | w Rate | (uid | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| | | Å | /cll Flo: | 3 | 1 | - | | - | - | - | _ | - | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | - | _ | _ | _ | - | _ | - | _ | _ | | | - | _ | | _ | _ | - | - | - | _ | - | - | |
| | | | alc W | | 96/5 | 96/5 | 96/5 | 96/9 | 96/9 | 96/9 | 96/9 | 96/9 | 96/9 | 6/96 | 96/9 | 6/96 | 96/9 | 96/9 | 96/9 | 96/9 | 96/9 | 96/9 | 96/9 | 96/9 | 96/9 | 96/9 | 96/L | 96/L | <i>961L</i> | 3616 | 7/96 | 9611 | 961 | 96/1 | 9611 | 9611 | 9611 | 96/1 | 7196 | 7196 | 7196 | <i>1</i> 196 | 7196 | 9611 | 7196 | 78/96 |
| | | | ä | | 114 | 11 | ЧI | Ш | 11 | 11/ | NI VII | IIV | NI VII | 11/ | 11/ | 11/ | 11 | 111 | 2 | 11/ | 11 | 11 | Ξ | Ξ. | Ĩ | Ì | 1 | 11 | 1 | 11 | Ê | Ē | = : | = = | 1 | 11 | Ξ | 11 | Ē | Ξ | 11 | Ξ | Ξ | 11 | Π | Ξ |

Page 12 of 13

PEROXONE Plant Demonstration Task Test Conditions and Results

Demonstration Phase 2

| | | | c Tctryl | (hg/L) | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 3.6 | BQL | | BQL |
|---------|------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | Nitro | henzene | (hg/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL |
| | | | ХМН | (hg/L) | 4.7 | 4 | 5.3 | S.7 | 3.6 | | 2.1 | | 1.3 | | 0.8 | | BQL | | BQL |
| | | - 4-Nitro- | e toluene | (J/JII) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL |
| | | Amino-2.6 | initrotolucne | ('T/girl) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL |
| | | 3-Nitro- 4 | toluene d | (hg/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL |
| | | - 2-Nitro- | t tolucne | (hg/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL |
| | | -Amino-4,6 | initrotoluen | (µg/L) | 31.3 | 37.5 | 39.8 | 38 | BQL | | BQL |
| | | .6-Dinitro-2 | tolucne d | (Jug/L) | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | BQL | | BQL |
| | | .,4-Dinitro-2 | toluene | (hg/L) | 9.5 | 10.5 | 11.2 | 9.01 | BQL | | BQL |
| | | .3-Dinitro-2 | henzene | (µg/L) | 0.6 | - | Ξ | - | BQL | | BQL |
| | | - | :: Nitrate | (mg/L N | 0.858 | 0.84 | 0.875 | 0.827 | 1.05 | | 1.17 | | 1.2 | | 1.19 | | 1.27 | | 1.28 | 1.31 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.47 | 1.36 | 1.25 |
| | | Total | litrobodic | (hg/L) | 608 | 570 | 594 | 586 | 194 | | 94.6 | | 25.2 | | 9.5 | | 3.7 | | 1.5 | 1.6 | 5 | 9.1 | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| | | | RDX N | (hg/L) | 14.4 | 16.7 | 18.5 | 17.2 | 5.7 | | 2.1 | | 0.4 | | BQL | | BQL | | BQL |
| | | | TNB | (hg/L) | 323 | 270 | 280 | 278 | 130 | | 76.4 | | 21.3 | | 8.3 | | 3.7 | | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | BQL | BQL | BQL |
| | | | TNT | (J)() | 222 | 228 | 235 | 232 | 54.4 | | 14 | | 2.2 | | 0.4 | | BQL | | BQL |
| | Contactor | Measured | Pcroxide | (Ing/L) | | | | | 23 | | 25 | | 25 | | 25 | | 25 | | 26 | | | | | | |
| | Oxidation | Reduction | Potential | (MV) | | | | | 610 | | 945 | | 956 | | 955 | | 945 | | 006 | | | | 253 | | |
| | Temp. | of ORP | Sample | ŝ | | | | | 12 | | = | | Ξ | | 0 | | 10 | | 0 | | | | œ | | |
| | | | Нd | | | | | | 6,9 | | 7.0 | | 7.2 | | 7.3 | | 7.4 | | 7.5 | | | | 7.6 | | |
| | su | c Ozonc | Rcsidua | (JVgm) | | | | | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | | 0.5 | 0.4 | | | 0.0 | | |
| | Operatio | Sampl | Time | | | | | | 08:00 | 09:2(| 08:02 | 09:14 | 07:53 | 09:10 | 07:48 | 80:60 | 07:4 | 0:60 | 07:29 | 08:51 | | | 07:11 | | |
| | | IE Sample | Location | | INFI | INFI | INFI | INFI | C1/0 | C1/0 | C2/0 | C2/0 | C3/0 | C3/0 | C4/0 | C4/0 | C5/0 | C5/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | C6/0 | GAC3 | GACI | GAC2 |
| | Average | PEROXON | Ratio | | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| Average | dHydroger | Peroxide | Dose | (mg/L) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Average | Transferre | Ozone | Dose | (ng/L) | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 | 45.6 |
| Average | Applied | Ozone | c Dose | (Ing/L) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 69 | 60 | 60 | 09 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| | | Process | Tow Rai | (udg) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| | | | Well F | | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | | Date | | 11/8/96 | 11/8/96 | 96/8/11 | 96/8/11 | 11/8/96 | 11/8/96 | 96/8/11 | 11/8/96 | 11/8/96 | 11/8/96 | 11/8/96 | 11/8/96 | 11/8/96 | 11/8/96 | 11/8/96 | 11/8/96 | 11/8/96 | 11/8/96 | 11/8/96 | 11/8/96 | 11/8/96 |

Page 13 of 13

.

-

Appendix D

Peroxone System As-Built Drawings



TRW SPACE & TECHNOLOGY DIVISION REDONDO BEACH, CALIFORNIA 'EROXONE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM 'RNHUSKER ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT

3









ġ.

| | 5 | | 4 | |
|----------|---|------------|--|-------------------------|
| | TOTAL MASONEY | <u> </u> | HUB ORAIN | |
| | 27777777 CAST BON | ⊜ | FLOOR DRAN | Bubbler L |
| | STEEL | | FLOOR SINK | |
| | SZZZZZZZ BROWZE | | DRAIN TRAP | |
| | | 6 | SURVEY CONTROL POINT | |
| | | Δ | BENCH MARK | PROGRESSI POSITIVE D |
| | | | HORIZONTAL OR VERTICAL POINT OF INTERSECTION | BLOWER OF |
| | EASTH | | VALLY OR JUNCTION STRUCTURE | |
| | | ← | CHANGE IN PIPING MATERIAL | FLAME ARE |
| | RECENCED ALL ADDRESS OF METAL DECKING | Ø | ROUND OR DIAMETER | |
| ł | | ۷ | ANGLE | |
| | | 24" RW-RCP | MPE SIZE, FLUID ABBREVIATION AND TYPE OF MPE | |
| | | EV-3-5F-7 | EQUIPMENT NUMBER (SEE EQUIPMENT | |
| | | | PIPE CALLOUT | |
| | 1000 (ROUCH FRAMING) OR OPDING OR | | SET PPIN SCHERED | |
| | DEPRESSION IN SLAB OR WALL | | BACKWATER VALVE | |
| с | | +022- | BACKFLOW PREVENTER | S PRESSURE SWITCH |
| | | | STOP GATE | |
| | ^C CENTERLINE Barris PROPERTY LINE | | SLUICE GATE | |
| | MEW STRUCTURE OR FACLITY | | GATE VALVE BURNED WITH | |
| | EXISTING STRUCTURE OR FACILITY | | BUTTENELY VALVE, BUNED WITH | L WELDED FITTING |
| | FUTURE STRUCTURE OR FACILITY | | VALVE BOX ECCENTRIC PLUG VALVE | |
| | | | BUNED WITH VALVE BOX | t scheven, socie |
| | | — | BUNED WITH VALVE BOX | |
| | HET PRELIKE (CVIL SHETS) | | GATE VALVE | FLANCED ADAPT |
| | EIOHO ELECTRICAL, OVERNEAD | | | |
| | EXOSTING PPELINE (SCREEDED) | | LUBNCATED PLUG VALVE | |
| | DITCH CENTERLINE WITH FLOW DRECTION | | GLOBE VALVE | |
| В | SLOPE EDISTING GRADE CONTOUR | | BALL VALVE | UHON |
| | (SUEDELEVATION | & | DIAPHRAGN VALVE | |
| | X 1230.2 EXISTING ELEVATION | | CHECK VALVE | |
| | CUT OR FILL SLOPE | AL R | | |
| | | | | |
| | 777777777777 REW ALL PATHO | | BACK-PRESSURE VALVE | STRANER |
| | P P P P EXSITE ALL PATTE COREFED | | MOTOR OPERATOR FOR VALVES Of * Electric, P * Prelmatici | |
| | | | TEMPERATURE CONTROL VALVE | FLOW TUBE |
| | | ្រា | | |
| | C MANNOLE | | SOLENOE VALVE - 3 RAY | DENSITY METER |
| <u> </u> | PCOTG O PRESSURE CLEANOUT TO GRADE | 44 44 | | |
| | | | FLOAT OPERATED VALVE | |
| | | L L | | |
| 1411 | | | NEEDLE VALVE | |
| 1861- | COTC CLEANOUT TO GRADE | | TREADURE RELEF TRETE | |
| | BLOW OFF ASSEMBLY | <u>一</u> 玲 | ANGLE VALVE | |
| | 5 | | 4 | |
| | <u> </u> | | | |

| | 4 | | | 3 | | | | 2 | |
|----------|---|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---|-------|
| | HUB DRAIN | -X+X | HOSE 0106 01/83 | AB | ANCHOR BOLT | | FPC | FLEXIBLE MPE COUPLING | |
| | FLOOR DRAN | | SUBBLER LEVEL CONTROL | ABS AC | ABSOLUTE TEMPERATURE ACTIVATED CARBON, ASPNAL ALTERNATING CURRENT | TIC CONCRETE, OR | FPS FR FRP | FEET PER SECOND FRAME FIBEROLASS REINFORCED PLASTIC | - |
| | FLOOR SHK | | CENTRIFUGAL OR TURBINE PUMP | ACOUS ACOUS | AR CONDITIONING ACOUSTIC OR ACOUSTICAL ASPHALTIC CONCRETE PAVEL | THEM | FS | FAR SIDE, FLOOR SHEL, FRISHED SOM ALL FORGED STEEL, FROTH SPRAY OF FACTOR OF SAFETY | |
| | DRAIN TRAP | | | | ALUNPUN OR ALUN | | FSL FT FT,LB | FEET OR FOOT FOOT-POUND | |
| | SURVEY CONTROL POINT | <u> </u> | | AND | ANDENT AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDA (FORMERLY A.S.A.) | VACS INSTITUTE | FUT GA | FUTURE GAGE_OR GAUGE | |
| | BENCH MARK | | PROGRESSING CAVITY, POSITIVE DISPLACEMENT PUMP | API APTO APPROX | AMERICAN PETROLELIN INST APPROVED APPROXIMATE | TUTE | GAL GALY GEN | GALLON GALVANIZED GENERAL OR GENERATOR | |
| | NONIZONTAL OR VERTICAL POINT OF INTERSECTION | ₫⊷ | BLOWER OR COMPRESSOR | ARCH ASA ASME | ARCHITECTURAL AMERICAN STANDARDS ASSO AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECH | CATIONOIOW ANSD ANICAL ENGINEERS | aay | GALVANEZED IRON GLASS GLOBE VALVE | |
| | VALLT OR JUNCTION STRUCTURE | | INJECTOR OR EDUCTOR | ASSY AT | ASSEMBLY ACOUSTICAL TILE ATMOSPHERE | ITTO AND BATERIAL | | GALLONS PER DAY GALLONS PER HOUR GALLONS PER HOUR | |
| | CHANGE IN PIPING MATERIAL | | FLAME ANRESTER | AVAR AWWA | AR VACURAL AND AR RELEA AMERICAN WATER WORKS AS | SOCIATION | | GRADE OR GROUND GRATING GATE VALVE | |
| | ROLIND OR DIAMETER | | | BO BF BFP | BOARD BLIND FLANGE BACK FLOW PREVENTER | | | GNÓLNÓWÁTER Gypslm Mose mma | |
| | MILE | <u>_</u> | AR VACUUM ANU AR RELEASE ASSEMBLY | BLDC BLD FLG | BRAKE HORSEPOWER BLIDING BLIND FLANCE | | HOPE HOR HOW | HOH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE HEADER HARDWARE | |
| c | MPE SIZE, FLUID ABBREVIATION AND TYPE OF MPE | [‡] , | THERMOMETER | | BLACK OR BLOCK BLOCKING BEAM OR BENCH MARK | | HEX Ng Ngt | HEXAGONAL MENCURY HEIGHT | |
| ٦ | EQUIPMENT NAMER (SEE EQUIPMENT | | PIPE ANCHOR | B A S BSNT | BELL AND SPICOT BASEMENT BASE T | | HOREZ HP H/P | HORIZONTAL Horsepower or high pressure High point | |
| - | SCHEDULED | _O ROO | M THERMOSTAT | BTU IV | BUTTENFLY VALVE | | HVAC HEL | HEATING, VENTLATING AND AIR CONDITION High Water Level | ING |
|) | CHE PERG SCREDULE | Ϋ© 🚾 | SSURE GAUGE | ŝ | CENTIGRADE CAPACITY | | NYD | HANDHHEEL OPERATED HYDRAULIC OR HYDRANT | |
| - | BACKWATER VALVE | | SSURE GAUGE WITH DIAPHRAGM SEAL | 65 | CUBIC FEET PER HOUR CUBIC FEET PER MINUTE | | | NSIDE FACE NSIDE FACE NCH | |
| - | BACKFLOW PREVENTER | <u>ູ</u> ຮ່ ຮູຮ າ ຂ | SSURE SWITCH | | CHENICAL CAST IRON CONSTINCTION JOINT | | NLLB NSL NSTR | NCH-FOLND NSULATION OR INSULATED INSTRUMENT | |
| | STOP GATE | T Å ME | SSURE SWITCH WITH DIAPHRAGH SEAL | a. | CHOWNE GAS, CHOWNATOR CLEARANCE OR CENTERLINE CELING | , CHAIN LINK, | NT NV P | INTERIOR INVERT ELEVATION IRGN PIPE | |
| | SLEE GATE | | | CUR CNI | CLEAR | | JT I | INNIGATION Joint Eelyin, klo or karat | |
| _ | GATE VALVE, BUNED WITH | | | | CEMENT MONTAR LINED AND CONNUGATED METAL PIPE CONCRETE MASONRY LINT | COATED | | KILOORAM KILOMETER KILOVOLT | |
| _ | VALVE BOX Buttenfly Valve, Bured With | · ۱ | IELDED FITTING | | COLLINN COLLINN CONCRETE OR CONCENTRIC CONCRETE OR CONCENTRIC | | KW KWH | KLOWATT KLOWATT HOUR | |
| | VALVE BOX | ╎┈╅╧╅╾╴╷ | ECHANICAL-TYPE FITTING IGROOVED) | CONSTR | CONNECTION CONSTRUCTION OR CONSTRUCT CONTINUED OR CONTINUOUS | ст | | LITER LABORATORY LAVATORY | |
| - | BLINED WITH VALVE BOX | + | | | CONTRACTOR COMPRESSOR CLEAN-OUT TO GRADE | | | POLIND LEVEL LENGTH OR LONG | |
| - | LUBRICATED PLUG VALVE, BURNED WITH VALVE BOX | · | IELL AND SPIGOT OR HUBLESS FITTING | and and a | CAUSTIC SOLA OF CAST ST | EEL , | | LAFT OR LIGHT LOW WATER LEVEL LOW WATER | |
| - | GATE VALVE | | LANGED ADAPTER COUPLING | cn. | | | MAG | METER OR MALE OPPE THREADS | |
| | BUTTENFLY VALVE | | LANGED ADAPTER - SET SCREW TYPE | | DETAL DRIMANG FOLNTAIN OR DOUG DRICTLE MON | RAS FIR | MACH MAX MCC | MACHINE MAXIMUM MOTOR CONTROL CENTER | |
| - | ECCENTRIC PLUG VALVE | | DPANSION JOHT | DIA DIAC DIAPH | DIAGONAL DIAGONAL DIAPHRACM | | | MELEKURIAL MEDILM MANUFACTURER MANUFACTURED | : |
| - | LUNNCATED FLUG VALVE | · · · · · · · · · | ECHANICAL TIPE COUPLING | DISCH DH DR | DISCHARCE DOWN OR DECANT DOOR OR DRAIN | | MCD MH | MELION GALLONS PER DAY MANNOLE MALLEABLE INON | |
| _ | CLUBE VALVE | F | LEOBLE COUPLING | DNING DNING DNIFT | DRENCH SHOWER AND EYE I DRAWING DIFFUSER OR DIFFERENTIAL | TASH | MICRON MIL MIN | VL000.000 METER MLITARY OR VL000 INCH MINIMUM OR MINITE | |
| _ | | ، <u> </u> | LICK DISCONNECT COUPLER | H. | EAST EACH EIPANSION BOLT OR ANCHO | . | MISC MK MOD | MISCELLAREOLS MARK MODEL | |
| - | CHAPHRACH VALVE | [« | WARNED END OR PLUGGED END | | END CURVE ECCENTRIC EACH FACE OR EXHAUST FA | N . | NTC NTD NTC | MECHANICAL-TYPE COUPLING MOUNTED MOUNTED | |
| - | CHECK VALVE | I 1 | LIND FLANGE | E | EFFLIENT EXHAUST GRILLE OR EXISTIN ELEVATION | ig grade | MTL MTR MX | MATERIAL OR METAL MOTOR MICER | |
| 3 | PRESSURE REGULATING VALVE | | EDUCER OR INCREASER | | ELECTRICAL OF ELECTRONIC ENGNE EDGE OF PAVEMENT ENGLOSIBLE | | N NOS | NORTH National Bureau of Standards | |
| _ | BACK-PRESSURE VALVE | -@9- o | UT PPE | EQUIP | ENTRANCE EQUAL EQUIPMENT | | NC NEC NEMA | NORMALLY CLOSED NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE NATIONAL ELECTRICAL MANUFACTURERS | |
| | | | TRANER | EW DOI DC-HY | EACH WAY OR EYE WASH Edualist Extra heavy | | NFPA NG | NATIONAL FINE PROTECTION ASSOCIATION NATURAL GRADE OR NATURAL GAS | |
| - | MOTOR OPERATOR FOR VALVES M = ELECTINC, P = PHELMATICS | ` ` ` | RABI | | EXESTING EXPANSION JOINT EXTEMOR OR EXTENSION EXTEMPED | | NO NPS | NUMBER OR NORMALLY OPEN NOMINAL PIPE SIZE FORMERLY UP.S.) OR NATIONAL PAIR SERVICE | |
| - | TEMPERATURE CONTROL VALVE | →□ ' | LOW TUBE | FAIR | FAMILIANELT OR FINISH FAMILIATION, FAMILIATE OR | FABRICATED | NPT NRS NS | NATIONAL PIPE THREAD NON-RISING STEM NEAR SIDE | E |
| _ | SOLEHOID VALVE | | LAGNETIC METER | 282 E | FLAT BAR, FLOOR BEAM ON FLOOR CLEANOUT FLOOR CLEAN | FELD BOOK | NTS OC | NOT TO SCALE OVER-CROSSING OR ON CENTER | |
| _ | MALTIPORT VALVE - 3 WAY | | ensity meter | | FEMALE OFFE THOUSAGE OF FINAL FEMALE OFFE THOUSAGE FLAT FACE OR FAR FACE | . EPPLUENT | 80 87 87 | OUTSIDE DAMETER OR OVERALL DMENSION OVERFLOW OR OUTSIDE FACE OVER HEAD | 岳 |
| _ | METPORT VALVE - 4 WAY | | NOPELLER METER | FG FH FIG | FINEMED GRADE FINE HYDRANT FIGURE | | OPER OPIC ONG | OPERATOR OR OPERATING OPENING OVERNAL | - |
| - | FLOAT OPERATED VALVE | | NFICE PLATE AND FLANGES | FIN FIX FL | FINISHED FILTURE FLOOR | | OZ PG oH | CUNCE PRESSURE CACE NYDROGEN ION CONCENTRATION | Ę |
| _ | | | IOTAMETER | 1.12X 1.14 1.60 | FLEXIBLE FLANGE OR FLOOPING FLANGED FLOOP LINE | | | POINT OF INTERSECTION PLATE, PROPERTY LINE OR PLACE PHELINATIC | 4. TI |
| - | RECEILE VALVE | | | | FLOOR FACTORY MUTUAL G.AB. APP FOUNDATION | NOVED) | | POINT OF TANGENT POWER POLE OR POLYPROPYLENE POUNDS PER DAY POUNDS PER NOV | - |
| | THEOREM HELEF VILTE | ╺━┥╌╁╾╸╺ | | FOC | FACE OF CONCRETE FACE OF MASONITY FACE OF STUDS | | PPH PREFAB | PARTS PER MILLION PREFABRICATED | H |
| _ | ANGLE VALVE | <u> </u> | PE SUPFORT ON FLAN ONLY | FP | EDGE OF PAVEMENT | | PRV | PRESSURE REGILATING, RELIEF OR REDUCING VALVE | |
| _ | 4 | | | 3 | | | | 2 | |

Q

| | | | 2 | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|--|------------|--|----|
| | NICHOR BOLT | FPC | FLEXIBLE PIPE COUPLING | PSF | PRESSURE SWITCH POLINIS PER SQUARE FOOT | |
| 1 | ABBOREVIATION Absolute temperature Activate cannon Asphaltic concrete or | FPS FR | FEET PER SECOND | PSI | POUNDS PER SOLIARE INCH A POUNDS PER SOLIARE INCH ABSOLUTE | |
| | ALTERNATING CURRENT | FR | FIBERGLASS REINFORCED PLASTIC FAR SIDE, FLOOR SINK, FINISHED SURFACE, | PT | POINT OF TANGENT POINT OF TANGENT RESESSION THEATED DOLLA & FIR | |
| | ACOUSTIC ON ACOUSTICAL ASPHALTIC CONCRETE PAVEMENT | | FORGED STEEL, FROTH SPRAY OF FACTOR | PTF | POLYTETRAFLUOROETHYLENE (TEFLON+) | |
| | ADJISTABLE | FSL FT | LOW FLOW SWITCH Feet or foot | | POLYVINYL CHLORIDE NE POLYVINYLIDENE FLUORIDE (KYNAR*) | |
| | ALLMPRIN OR ALLM | FT.LB FTC | FOOT-POUND FOOTING | OT | GUARRY TILE | |
| | AMBEDIT AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS INSTITUTE | FUT | FUTURE CACE OR GAUCE | | | |
| | AMERICAN PETROLELAN INSTITUTE | CAL V | GALLON GAL VANIZED | RAD | RADIS, HERE RECOVERED AND A CONTRACT OF A CO | n |
| | APPROVED APPROXIMATE | ନ୍ତି | GENERAL OR GENERATOR | 10 | ROOF DRAIN, ROUND, OR ROAD | |
| | ANERTICAN STANDARDS ASSOCIATIONING ANSO | ä | | REC | CIRC RECIRCULATED | |
| | AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TESTING AND MATERIAL | 800 870 | GROLIND GALLONS PER DAY | NEF | F REFERENCE OR REFER | |
| | ACOUSTICAL TLE | | GALLONS PER HOUR GALLONS PER MINUTE | RED | n Rediforce or redforced ad regulated | |
| | AIR VACUUM AND AIR RELEASE VALVE AMERICAN WATER WORKS ASSOCIATION | GRO GRITC | GRADE OR GROUND GRATING | NES | SIL RESILENT V Nevision | |
| | 80480 | 8 | GROUNOWATER | | G HOOFING ROOF OR RAISED FACE | |
| | BLIND FLANCE BACK FLOW PREVENTER | GTP N/R | UDSE 888 | | MENDLUTIONS PER MINUTE | |
| | BRAKE HORSEPOWER | HOPE | HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE HEADER | RIP | P RENFORCED THEIMOSETTING PLASTIC | |
| | BLIND FLANCE BLACK OR BLOCK | HOW | HANDWARE HEXAGONAL | ANN. | RABWATER LEADER | |
| | BLOCKING BEAM OR BENCH NARK | HQ HCT | MERCURY HEIGHT | 1 | SOUTH, SCUM, SHK, SECOND OR SLOPE | |
| | BOTTON BELL AND SPICOT | HORIZ | HORIZONTAL Horisepower or high pressure | l sg | D SCREWED FM STANDARD CLINC FEET PER MINUTE | |
| | BASCHERT BURNAL INT | N/P NR | HEATING RETURN OR HOUR | 50 | H SCHEDULE R STORM DRAMS | |
| | BUTTERFLY VALVE | NTAC NEL | HEALING, TETLETING AND AN CONSTITUTION | SEC | C SECONDARY | |
| | CENTIGRADE | 100 | HANDHHEEL OPERATED | SHI | T SHEET OR SHELP | |
| | CENTER TO CENTER | Q | INSIDE DIAMETER | 50 | SLUDGE UN SLUPE LN SOLUTION CTATE MERICES DE | |
| | CLINC FEET PER HOUR CLINC FEET PER WALTE | N | | 1 5 | STATE PRESSURE ECS SPECIFICATIONS | |
| | CHERCHELT FER SECOND | N LS | NCH-POLND INSULATION OR INSULATED | 50 | SOLIARE SANTARY SEVER, STADLESS STEEL OR | C |
| | CONSTRUCTION JOINT | NSTR | NSTRUMENT INTERIOR | | SERVICE SINK SECONDS SAYBOLT UNIVERSAL | |
| | CLEARNICE OF CENTERLINE | P | INVERT ELEVATION | S | | |
| | CLEAR | JIN I | STATION JOINT | | D STANDARD L Steel | |
| | CENTIMETER CENERAL MORTAR LINED AND COATED | K KG | KELVIN, KELD OR KARAT | STI STI | N STANLESS | |
| • | CONJUGATED METAL PIPE CONCRETE MASONIT UNIT | | KLONETER KLOVOLT | ST ST | T STANLESS STEEL NUCT STRUCTURAL OR STRUCTURE | |
| | CLEANOUT COLLIAN | KW | KLOWATT HOUR | 1 | CT SUCTION VALVE SOLENOD VALVE NA EVENETIMAL OR SYMPOL | |
| | CONCRETE OR CONCENTRIC CONDENSER OR CONDENSATE | 1.7 | LOW POINT | SY: | S SYSTEM | |
| | CONSTRUCTION OR CONSTRUCT | โลย | LABORATORY | TA | N TANGENT | |
| | CONTINUED OR CONTINUOUS | La la | POLAD | H H | TOP OF CURB TEMPERATURE OR TEMPORARY | F |
| | CLEAN-OUT TO GRADE | | LENGTH OR LONG | TH | K THEX OR THEXNESS | 1 |
| | COLORINATED POLYVINIL CALORDE | | LEFT OR LIGHT | Ξ | TELEPHONE POLE OR TELEGRAPH POLE | |
| | CONTER | Link | LOWER | 1 H | TOP OF WALL OR THERMOMETER WELL | |
| | | Line . | METER OR MALE OFFE THREAD | | | |
| | DETAL CONTAN OR DOLDLAS FR | MAX | MACHINE MAXIMUM CONTER | iii iii | C UNDER-CROSSING | |
| | DUCTLE MON | NECH | MECHANICAL | Ĭ | GC UNDERGROUND CONDUIT | |
| | DIACONIAL | | MANUF ACTURER MANUF ACTURED | l ac | L UNDERWRITERS LABORATORIES | |
| | DISCHARGE DOWN OR DECANT | NICO NH | MATION GALLONS PER DAY MANHOLE | ¥∧ | VACLEM, VALVE, VERTICAL, VENT, VOLT OR VOLLIME AR VARIES OR VARIABLE | 1 |
| | DOOR OR DRAIN DRENCH SHOWER AND EYE WASH | MCRON | MALLEABLE PRON | VE | CP VITRIFED CLAY PPE ERT VERTICAL | В |
| | OFFUSER OR DIFFERENTIAL | | MELITARY OR VLOOD INCH MOMMAN OR MONITE | 1 1 | OL VOLUME TC VENT TO CELING | |
| | EAST | MISC | MISCELLANEOUS MARK | v ī | TR VERT THROUGH NOOP | |
| | EXPANSION BOLT OR ANCHOR | 1400 145 | MOREL MOR SINK | | V WITH | 1 |
| | ECCENTINC EACH FACE OR EDHALIST FAN | | MOLINITED | 1 | WATER HEATER | |
| | EFFLUENT CHILLE OR EXISTING GRADE | | MATERIAL OR METAL MOTOR | l i k | 0 WITHOUT 5 WATER SUNFACE | |
| | ELEVATION Electrical or electronic | 1 | MDER | | STP WATER STOP T WEIGHT | 1 |
| | ENGRE OF PAVENENT | H | NORTH NATIONAL BUREALI OF STANDARDS | | IF HATER HORKING PRESSURE | |
| | ENCLOSURE DITRANCE | NC. | NORMALLY CLOSED NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE | 2 | IS DOUBLE EXTRA STRONG | |
| | EQUIPMENT EACH WAY OR EVE WASH | NEMA | NATIONAL ELECTRICAL MANUFACTURERS | 12 | | |
| | EDMALST EXTRA HEAVY | NG NG | NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION ASSOCIATION NATURAL GRADE OR NATURAL GAS | , <u>7</u> | ZENO OR ZONE AS DUIL I Landiana | |
| | EXISTING EXPANSION JOINT | NC NO | NOT IN CONTRACT NUMBER OR NORMALLY OPEN | 1 | DATE: 10/24/97 | 1 |
| | EXTENSI OR EXTENSION EXTILDED | MPS . | OR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE | | | 1 |
| | FARMENET OR FRESH | ins i | NON-RISING STEM | <u>.</u> | | 1 |
| | FLAT BAR, FLOOR SEAM OR FELD BOOK | lins - | NOT TO SCALE | A | | - |
| | FLOOR DRAM | oc 🛛 | OVER-CROSSING OR ON CENTER | <u> </u> | | 1 |
| | FEMALE PIPE THREAD) FLAT FACE OF FAR FACE | 80 07 | OVERFLOW OR OUTSIDE FACE | | | 1A |
| | FACE TO FACE | OFER | OPERATOR OR OPERATING | | | - |
| | FINE HYDRANT FIGURE | ONC | CINCINAL | A | MONTGOMERY WATSON THE SPACE & TRUERAL MARKEN | 1 |
| | FINISHED | OZ PG | PRESSURE GAGE | | | - |
| | FLOOR | l H | HYDROGEN ION CONCENTRATION | 6. TET | COMMUSE DEMONS INATION PROGRAM COMMUSER ARMY AMAINTION PLANT | 1 |
| | FLANGE OR FLOOPING | PL PNEU | PLATE, PROPERTY LINE OR PLACE | | | |
| | FLOW LINE FLOOR LANCELAL AND ADDRESS | POT | POINT OF TANGENT POWER POLE OR POLYPROPYLENE | | ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS | |
| | PARTURE BUILDER BAR APPROVED | | POUNDS PER DAY POUNDS PER HOUR | | | 1 |
| | | - | TAKID FER MELAN | | jännin unset fürste änten | 1 |
| | FACE OF MASONY | PREFAB | PREFABRICATED | | | -1 |
| | FACE OF CONDUCT. FACE OF STUDS FACE OF STUDS FACE OF WALL EDGE OF WALL | PREFAB PRESS PRV | PREFAMILATED PRESSURE PRESSURE REGULATING, RELIEF OR PRESSURE VALVE | | | 1 |

Í

;

with the second

ĵ į

•

1

1 m



*















| 1 | <u></u> | | 2 | | I | 7 | |
|--|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------|---------|
| MICAL FEED | 50180 | r | MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT | | | - | |
| INECTION | NO. | NAME | OESCREPTION | CRETERIA | REMARKS | - | 1 30 |
| /EL SWITCH PORT | | NEW TRW WELL EXTRACTION WELL NO.66 | EXISTING 4" OR LARGER CASING WELL EXISTING 4" OR LARGER CASING WELL | GROUNDWATER LEVEL # BGS | 25 GPN 15 GPM | | |
| | | | | | | | • |
| | 6P-1 | EXTRACTION PUMP NO. I | SUBMERSIBLE WELL PUMP | 25 GPM, 75' TDH, 74 HP ELEC. | GRUNDFOS 255, 208V | - | 1 |
| | £7-2 | EXTRACTION PUMP NO. 2 | SUBMERSIBLE WELL PUMP | 23 UFR, 13 101, 74 17 ELEU | GRUNUF05 255, 2084 | | |
| | | | | | | 1 ⁰ | |
| | | | | | 4-20mA | | |
| SPIGOT OR PIPE CONNECTION | M-I MX-I | INFLUENT FLOW METER | HLOL IN-LINE MIXER | 2 PVC SCH 80, 4-ELEMENT, | SIGNET | -1 | |
| OUTLET | 0T-I | OZONE CONTACTOR NOJ | UNPACKED COLUMN W/CO-CURRENT | SOO GAL. 3' DUA. X 13' 00 W/2 DOME DIFFUSER | 304 SS | | |
| 1 | 07-2 | OZONE CONTACTOR NO.2 | LINPACKED COLUMN W/CO-CURRENT A COUNTER-CURRENT FLOW | 500 GAL. 3' DIA. X IS' DO W/2 DOME DIFFUSER | 304 SS | | |
| 1 | 07-3 | OZONE CONTACTOR NO.3 | A COUNTER-CURRENT FLOW | W/2 DOME DIFFLISER 500 CAL. 3' DIA. X LS' DO | 304 SS | _ | |
| <u></u> | 01-5 | OZONE CONTACTOR HOLS | A COUNTER-CURRENT FLOW | 1 172 DOME DIFFUSER 500 GAL, 3' DIA, X 13' (H) 1 172 DOME DIFFUSER | 304 SS | - - | |
| i | 07-6 | OZONE CONTACTOR NO.5 | A COUNTER-CURRENT FLOW | SOO GAL. S' DUA. X IS' OU #/2. DOME. DIFFUSER | 304 SS | | |
| TION ANGLE PLATE | TK-2 | STORAGE TANK | POLYETHYLENE WASTE WATER STORAGE TANK | 500 GAL. 46' DIA. X 76' 00 | · · · · | | |
| | P-2 | TRANSFER PUMP NO. 2 | CENTRIFUGAL, END-SUCTION PUMP | 3500 RPM | | | |
| | | | | | | - | |
| | GAC-I | GRANILLAR ACTIVATED | CARBON QUANTITY 1000 LB. | EBCT TO MIN EACH AT | CALGON CYCLESOR® FPI | 1 | |
| C10 | GAC-2 | GRANDLAR ACTIVATED | CARBON QUANTITY 1000 LB. | EBCT ID MINE EACH AT 25 GPM FLOW | LEASED | | |
| CAP | GAC-3 | | CARBON QUANTITY 1000 LB. | 25 GPM FLOW | LEASED 4-ZOmA | | : |
| SUPPORT | 057-1 | OXYGEN STORAGE TANK | LIQUIDOXYGEN STORAGE TANK | 3000 GAL | LEASED | -1 | |
| BUILDING | 07-1 | OXYGEN VAPORIZER | LOCAL/MANUAL CONTROL | 500 SCFH & IS PSI DISCHARGE | LEASED | 1 | |
| - EXIST BUILDING | 06-1 | OZONE GENERATOR | CAPACITY 100 LE/DAY | OZONE DOSAGE (EACH VESSEL) 55MG/L # IOX 0 # 25 GPM | LEASED | - | |
| - | | H O DAY TANK NOJ | POLYETHYLENE TANK | 275 GAL 42" DIA X 48" DD | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | - | |
| | DT-3 | SOOLAN THIOSULFATE | POLYETHYLENE TANK | 50 GAL. 23" DIA. X 42.75" 00 | | - | |
| | DF-I | CHEMICAL FEEDER NOJ | ELECTRONIC METERING PLMP | 2.5 GPH | LMBR WITH 4-WAY VALVE OR EQUAL | | |
| | DF-2 | CHEMICAL FEEDER NO.2 | ELECTRONIC METERING PUMP | 2.5 GPH | LMBR WITH 4-WAY VALVE | | |
| | DF-3 | CHEMICAL FEEDER NO.3 | ELECTRONIC METERING PUMP | 2.5 GPH | LMBR2 WITH 4-WAY VALVE | - | |
| | 0F-5 | CHEMICAL FEEDER NO.5 | ELECTRONC METERING PLMP | 2.5 GPH | LMBIZ WITH 4-WAY VALVE | -1 | |
| | OF-6 | CHEMICAL FEEDER NO.6 | ELECTRONIC METERING PUMP | 2.5 GPH | LMBR WITH 4-WAY VALVE | | : |
| | DF-7 | CHEMICAL FEEDER NO.7 | ELECTRONIC METERING PUMP | 2.5 GPH | LMBR2 WITH 4-WAY VALVE | | 1 |
| | <u>−00</u> | OZONE DESTRUCTOR | DESTRUCTOR | CAPACITY 8.5 SCFW | LEASED | - | |
| | 1003-1 100X-1 | MDER NO. I | CHEMICAL MIXER | 1/3 HP, 36" LONG SHAFT | - | | |
| | MX-2 | MIDCER NO. 2 | CHEMICAL MIXER | 1/3 HP, 36" LONG SHAFT | | | - |
| | MX-3 | MOKER NO. 3 | CHEMICAL MIXER | 1/3 HP, 36" LONG SHAFT | | ⁻ | |
| C SCH 80 TO | TK-3 | WATER SOFTENER | RO SYSTEM | 500 GAL. 52 DULX60 (H) | CULLIGAN | - | |
| | NG-I | NITROGEN GENERATOR | | SMALL COMPRESSOR | | | |
| CONTROL PANEL | SP-I | SUMP PUMP | SUBMERSIBLE SUMP PUMP | 5 GPM, 12' TDH | LITTLE GIANT | | |
| RUT PIPE SUPPORT | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | - | · ſ |
| | | 1 | 1 | | | ╡ | · · · · |
| _→ | | | | · | AS BUILT | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | DATE: 10/24/97 | I | |
| | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | Â | | ٦A | |
| <i></i> | | | | | | | |
| - CONCRETE POST (TYP) | | | | MONTGOMERY WATS | | | |
| - CONCRETE POST (TYP) ELL COVER | | | | | | | |
| CONCRETE POST (TYP) IELL COVER PUMP SUPPORT | | | | PD | IOXONE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM | | |
| CONCRETE POST (TYP) VELL COVER PUMP SUPPORT VC WELL | | | | territori un PET 8. 8. Counte territori un territori | ROXONE DEMONSTRATION PROCRAM Indisker arbit amaginition plant | | |
| - Concrete Post (Typ) Ell Cover Pump Support YC Well | | | | Inclusion to PET COR | ROXONE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM NUSKER ARMY AMMENTION PLANT ENT SCHEDULE AND DETAIL! | s | |
| CONCRETE POST (TYP) IELL COVER PUMP SUPPORT VC WELL | · | | | INCLUSION COR | NOXONE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM NUSKER ARMY AMMENITION PLANT ENT SCHEDULE AND DETAILS | 5 | |
| CONCRETE POST (TYP) FELL COVER PUMP SUPPORT VC WELL | | | | La Caunta de Corres de La Caunta de Corres de | NOXONE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM INTESTER ARMY AMAINTION PLANT ENT SCHEDULE AND DETAILS | 5 | |
| CONCRETE POST (TYP) VELL COVER PUMP SUPPORT VC WELL | | 3 | | La Canada L. S. Canada T. S. Lon Const T. S. Lon Equipment Service structure Rest Stor Rest Stor Long Termine Rest Stor Long Termine Termine Rest Stor Long Termine Termin | ROXONE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM NUSKER ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT ENT SCHEDULE AND DETAILS M-2 | 5 | |





PLAN SCALE %' = "-0"





1. COP 2. RE: 3. CDD RE: 4. ALL CR:

2

5. 47 18























| NAMEPLATE AND LEGEND PLATE SCHEDULE | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| NAMEPLATE | LEGEND | | | | | | |
| CONTROL PANEL-1 (CP-1) | - | | | | | | |
| WELL PUNP. EP-1 | ON-OFF | | | | | | |
| VELL PUMP. EP-2 | ON-OFF | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| EFFLUENT PUMP. P-2 | HAND-OFF-AUTO | | | | | | |
| INFLUENT FLOW-OPH (FIR-203) | - | | | | | | |
| EFFLUENT FLOW-OPM (FIR-701) | - | | | | | | |
| LIGHT BOX-1 (LTX-1) | - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | L I GHTBOX- | (LTX-1) SCHEDU | E | |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|---------|
| I TEM | LINE 1 | LINE 2 | LINE 3 | |
| A-1 | WELL PUNP | EP-1 | RUN | |
| A-2 | VELL PUMP | EP-2 | MUN | 1 |
| -+ | | () . | | DELETED |
| A-4 | SYSTEN | - | FAILURE | |
| | -COUAL IZATION | TANK LEVEL | | DELETED |
| 8-1 | WELL PUMP | EP-1 | FAILURE | |
| 8-2 | WELL PUMP | EP-2 | FAILURE | |
| | -WELL FUMP | 66-3 | PAILURE- | DELETED |
| 8-4 | OZONE | GENERATOR | FAILURE | |
| 8-5 | EFFLUENT | TANK LEVEL | HICH | |
| | | ••• | | DELETED |
| C-2 | EFF. PUMP | P-2 | RUN | |
| C-3 | SUMP | LEVEL | HIGH | |
| c -4 | CZONE | DESTRUCTOR | FAILURE | |
| | | | | |
| -0-1 | | | FAILURE | DELETED |
| 0-2 | EFF. PUMP | P-2 | FAILURE | |
| D-3 | DAY TANK 1 | LEVEL | LOW | |
| 04 | DAY TANK 2 | LEVEL | LOW | |
| 0-5 | DAY TANK 2 | LEVEL | LOW | |

Q

. .



Appendix E

Project Experimental Plan

CORNHUSKER ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT

PEROXONE GROUNDWATER TREATMENT PROJECT

EXPERIMENTAL PLAN

submitted to:

TRW Space & Technolgoy

Prepared by:

MONTGOMERY WATSON 250 North Madison Avenue Pasadena, CA 91101

JULY 5, 1996

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION

-

| 1. | INTRO | DDUCI | TION & C | GENERAL APPROACH | | 1-1 |
|----|-------|---------------------------------|----------|---|------|-----|
| | 1.1 | GENE | RAL AP | PROACH | 1-1 | |
| | | 1.2.1 | Task 1 (| 1 week): Conduct System Debugging | 1-1 | |
| | | 1.2.2 | Task 2 (| 1 weeks): Conduct Process Optimization | 1-2 | |
| | | 1.2.3 | Task 3 (| 10 weeks): Conduct System Demonstration | 1-2 | |
| 2. | TEST | ING PL | AN | | | |
| | 2.1 | TASK | 1-CON | IDUCT SYSTEM DEBUGGING | 2-1 | |
| | | 2.1.1 | System 3 | Startup & Leak Detection | 2-1 | |
| | | 2.1.2 | Equipme | ent Calibration | 2-2 | |
| | | | 2.1.2.1 | Influent Water Flowmeter | 2-2 | |
| | | | 2.1.2.2 | Hydrogen Peroxide Meeting Pumps | 2-2 | |
| | | | 2.1.2.3 | Ozone Feed-Gas Flowmeters | 2-2 | |
| | | 2.1.3 | Alarm C | Checks | 2-3 | |
| | | | 2.1.3.1 | Overflow Alarm on 1st Contactor | 2-3 | |
| | | | 2.1.3.2 | Overflow Alarm on the Wet Well | 2-3 | |
| | | | 2.1.3.3 | Spill Alarm on Containment Pad | 2-3 | |
| | | | 2.1.3.4 | Overflow Alarm on Surge Tank | 2-3 | |
| | 2.2 | TASK | 2—CON | IDUCT PROCESS OPTIMIZATION | 2-4 | |
| | | 2.2.1 | Perform | ance Evaluation Testing | 2-4 | |
| | | | 2.2.1 | Test #1 | 2-4 | |
| | | | 2.2.2 | Test #2 | 2-5 | |
| | | | 2.2.3 | Test #3 | 2-5 | |
| | | | 2.2.4 | Test #4 | 2-5 | |
| | | | 2.2.5 | Test #5 | 2-6 | |
| | | | 2.2.6 | Test #6 | 2-6 | |
| | | | 2.2.7 | Test #7 | 2-7 | |
| | | | 2.2.8 | Test #8 | 2-7 | |
| | 2.3 | TASK | 3—CON | IDUCT SYSTEM DEMONSTRATION | 2-8 | |
| | | 2.3.1 | Plant De | emonstration | 2-8 | |
| | | 2.3.2 | Tracer T | esting | 2-9 | |
| | 2.4 | ANAL | YTICAL | METHODS | 2-10 | |
| | 2.5 | H ₂ O ₂ F | EED ST | OCK SOLUTION PREPARATION | 2-10 | |
| | 2.6 | SAMP | LE IDEN | ITIFICATION | 2-10 | |

SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION & GENERAL APPROACH

1.1: INTRODUCTION

TRW Space and Technology retained the services of Montgomery Watson to design, construct, and operate a 25 gpm Peroxone groundwater treatment demonstration plant. The groundwater at the Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant (CAAP) in Grand Island, Nebraska is contaminated with various energetic compounds, including TNT, RDX, TNB, and other nitrobodies. The objective of the project is to determine the ozone dose, hydrogen peroxide dose, and hydraulic retention time needed to reliably achieve the required removals of these contaminants to acceptable levels. The anticipated levels of contaminant concentrations in the groundwater, and their respective treated water goals are listed in Table 1.

Table 1

| Contaminant | Anticipated Groundwater Concentration (mg/L) | Target Concentration After Peroxone Treatment (mg/L) |
|-------------------|---|---|
| TNT | 0.5 | 0.002 |
| RDX | 0.2 | 0.002 |
| TNB | 0.1 | 0.002 |
| Total Nitrobodies | 1.0 | 0.030 |

Anticipated Contaminant Levels and Corresponding Treated Water Goals

Figure 1 shows a schematic of the groundwater treatment demonstration plant. The design of the demonstration plant includes six (6) ozone contactors in series with ozone and hydrogen peroxide fed independently to each contactor. A GAC contactor is provided at the effluent side of the plant with an EBCT of 30 minutes at 25 gpm to ensure that no contaminants are discharged with the plant water during testing. The maximum design applied ozone dose to each contactor is 60 mg/L for a total applied ozone dose of 360 mg/L. The hydrogen peroxide system is designed to deliver a maximum of 18 mg/L to each contactor for a total of 108 mg/L at 25 gpm (this provides a $H_2O_2/Ozone$ Ratio of 0.3 mg/mg). At the design flow rate of 25 gpm, the average hydraulic retention time (HRT) in each contactor is 20 minutes for a total system HRT of 120 minutes. The system will be tested on waters from two (2) groundwater wells. The notations used in this document for the two wells are "Well A" and "Well B".

This document details the experimental plan to be implemented at the demonstration plant to achieve the project objectives.



FIGURE 1 PEROXONE DEMONSTRATION PLANT SCHEMATIC



FIGURE 2 PROJECT SCHEDULE

-
1.2: GENERAL APPROACH

The overall testing schedule, which is planned for a total of 12 weeks, is outlined in Figure 2. After the plant is constructed and all the equipment are installed, the demonstration plant operators will conduct three primary tasks.

1.2.1: Task 1 (1 week):—Conduct System Debugging

During the first week, the plant pumps and chemical feed systems will be started up at a low flow rate (approximately 10 gpm) using tap water, and checked for any water or chemical leaks. The system will also be checked for malfunctions of chemical feed equipment and shut-down alarms. After the leaks and malfunctions, if any, are repaired, the flow rate through the plant will be continuously increased until the design flow of 25 gpm is reached. The plant will then be operated at that flow rate for a period of two (2) hours. During this period all water and chemical feed equipment will be checked for operational stability.

1.2.2: Task 2 (1 week):—Conduct Process Optimization

During the 2nd week, process optimization testing will be conducted using water from each of the two wells. Process optimization will involve operating the system at various ozone doses, collecting water samples from the effluent of each of the six contactors, as well as from the wall taps along the water depth of the first contactor, and analyzing them for ozone residual and target contaminants' concentrations. The applied ozone dose tested will range from 30 mg/L to 75 mg/L. The flowrate will be held constant at the design value of 25 gpm. Since the ozone generator is capable of producing a maximum dose of 60 mg/L at 25 gpm water flowrate, the flowrate will be reduced to 15 gpm when evaluating the ozone dose ranging from 60 mg/L to 75 mg/L. These tests will allow for the determination of the optimum operating conditions that will result in the reduction of the contaminants to their respective target concentrations.

1.2.3: Task 3 (10 weeks):—Conduct System Demonstration

During the period extending from the 3rd week through the 12th week, the system will be operated under constant conditions. This period will serve to demonstrate that the system can achieve the anticipated performance on a long-term basis. The demonstration period will be divided into two (2) segments of 5 weeks each, with water from one of each of the two wells used as the raw water source in each segment. In addition, two tracer tests will be conducted on the system to determine the hydraulic characteristics of the contactor design at the selected water flow rate.

SECTION 2 TESTING PLAN

The following paragraphs detail the tests to be conducted during each task. In order to facilitate the implementation of this experimental plan, Figure 1 includes a schematic of the demonstration plant showing the plant components and all the sampling taps installed. Each tap is letter-coded for ease of identification and sampling tracking.

2.1: TASK 1—CONDUCT SYSTEM DEBUGGING

The objectives of this 1-week task are as follows:

- 1. start up the demonstration plant,
- 2. ensure that all its components are fully operational,
- 3. calibrate all chemical feed systems,
- 4. test all alarms and emergency shut-down systems, and
- 5. check for leaks and malfunctions.

The following is a description of the tests to be conducted in this task:

2.1.1: System Startup & Leak Detection

Fill the surge tank with tap water and pump water into the system at an indicated flowrate of 25 gpm to fill up the six contactors with water. When the six contactors and the GAC contactor are full with water, turn off the water flow rate, look for any major leaks, and then wait for 30 minutes and check for any minor leaks throughout the system including, but not limited to, the following:

- 1. the sides of the contactors
- 2. sampling taps
- 3. pipes and pipe connections
- 4. pumps and chemical injection ports

If any leaks are detected, the leaking component of the system will be isolated, drained from water, and repaired. The system will be refilled with water and re-checked for leaks. This process should be repeated until no leaks are detected.

Once the system is void of leaks, the water will be started at a flow rate of 10 gpm. The ozone system will be turned on, and ozone will be fed to the six contactors at 40 percent of capacity (which should translate into a total dose of 360 mg/L to a flow of 10 gpm). The Soap-Bubble test will be conducted on all gas-phase pipe connections outside the ozone generator, monitor, and destruct unit. While ozone is being fed to the system, the hydrogen peroxide feed system to the six contactors will be turned on. The system will be checked for any hydrogen peroxide leaks. If any leaks in the ozone system or the hydrogen peroxide

system are detected, the system will be shut down, and the leaks repaired. This test will be repeated until both feed systems are void of detectable leaks.

After all system components are checked for leaks, the water flow rate will be increased gradually to 25 gpm, accompanied by a corresponding increase in ozone generator setting and hydrogen peroxide feed rate to deliver the design doses of 360 mg/L ozone and 108 mg/L hydrogen peroxide. The system will be operated under these conditions for a period of 30 minutes during which a final leak check will be conducted on all system components.

2.1.2: Equipment Calibration

The following instruments and monitoring equipment will be calibrated during this task:

- 1. influent water flowmeter
- 2. hydrogen peroxide metering pumps

2.1.2.1: Influent Water Flowmeter

The influent water flowmeter will be calibrated using a polyethylene 55-gallon drum. Tap water will be used in this test. A total of three (3) indicated flow rates will be evaluated: 10, 18, and 25 gpm. A constant flowrate will be allowed to flow through the flowmeter. The water will be diverted from the effluent of the first contactor through a flexible hose to the drain. After 10 minutes of steady flow, the water will be diverted into the 55-gallon calibration drum. Time will be kept using a stopwatch until the 50 gallon mark is reached. During the test, one operator will watch the flowmeter to ensure that the reading is stable at the test flowrate. The ratio of 50 gallons by the fill time (in minutes) will constitute the actual flowrate value in gpm. This test will be repeated in triplicates for each of the three test flowrates. It is important that the temperature of the water be measured and recorded during each test run. The datasheet to be used in this test is shown in Figure 3. Once the calibration curve is developed, the "actual" flowrate, instead of the "indicated" flowrate, should be used in all subsequent testing.

2.1.2.2: Hydrogen Peroxide Metering Pumps

The column calibration method will be used to calibrate the metering pumps. No water will be flowing through the contactors during this test. However, the contactors should be full. A total of three (3) pump settings will be calibrated for each of the pumps installed. A 50-mL graduated burette will be filled with water and connected to the suction side of the pump being calibrated. The pump is then turned on at one of the three settings being tested. After the first 30 seconds, the timing will begin and the water level in the burette will be read and recorded. Once the water level reaches the 5-mL mark the timer will be stopped. The ratio of the volume drawn (in mL) divided by the draw time (min) will constitute the flow rate in mL/min. This test will be repeated in duplicates for each of the three test settings. The temperature of the test water should be measured and recorded in each test.

DATA LOGSHEET

TASK 1 - RAW WATER FLOWMETER CALIBRATION

| | Comment | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------|----|----|----|---------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|--|
| Calculated Flowrate | gpm | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Time to | Fill, min | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fill Volume | gallons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indicated Flowrate | gpm | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Target Flowrate | gpm | 10 | 10 | 10 | | 18 | 81 | 18 | 25 | 25 | 25 | |
| | Time | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Date | | | | | | | | | | | |

Note: Tests to be conducted in triplicate at indicated flowrates of 10, 18 and 25 gpm.

be used in this test is shown in Figure 4. Once the calibration curve is developed, the "actual" flow rate should be used in all subsequent testing.

2.1.2.3: Ozone Feed-Gas Flowmeters

The ozone gas flowmeters to the six contactors need to be calibrated during this task. A wet gas flowmeter will be leased to the project. The following procedure will be used to calibrate the feed-gas flow meter to each contactor at each of three gas flow settings (0.5, 1, and 2 scfm indicated flow).

- 1. turn on the gas to the test contactor at one of the three test flowrate settings,
- 2. connect the wet gas flowmeter to the off-gas line from the test contactor,
- 3. measure the gas flowrate using the manufacturer's directions.
- 4. take a duplicate gas flowrate reading,
- 5. repeat the duplicate measurements at the other two indicated flowrates,
- 6. repeat the above 5 steps on each of the remaining five contactors.

Note that the ozone generator setting should be at "zero" and the feed-gas should contain no ozone. Also, no water flow through the contactor is necessary. However, it is important that each contactor be full of water to the operating water level. In addition, a pressure gauge and a temperature gauge will be installed downstream of the flowmeter to the first contactor to measure the actual gas temperature and pressure. This information is necessary to correct the gas flow for temperature and pressure. Figure 5 shows the data logsheet to be used to record the data collected from this calibration test.

2.1.3: Alarm Checks

The following alarms will be checked by the operator during this task :

- 1. Overflow alarm on the 1st contactor,
- 2. Overflow alarm on the wet well between the 6th contactor and the GAC contactors,
- 3. Spill alarm in the containment pad, and
- 4. Overflow alarm on the surge feed tank.

All alarm checks will be conducted using tap water.

2.1.3.1: Overflow Alarm on 1st Contactor

To check whether the overflow alarm on the 1st contactor is operating properly, the valve between the 1st and 2nd contactor will be closed off and tap water will be turned on at 25 gpm flowrate into the 1st contactor. The contactor will fillup until the water reaches the level sensor. At that time, the alarm should shut down the entire power system. This includes the extraction well pumps, influent water pump, transfer pump in the wet well, the ozone generator, and the hydrogen peroxide pumps.

DATA LOGSHEET

TASK 1 - CHEMICAL FEED PUMP CALIBRATION

| | [| <u> </u> | | Burrette | Burrette | Draw | Draw | leasure | d |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------|--------|------|----------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | Pump | tart Mai | nd Mar | Volume | Time | Flowrate | • |
| Date | Time | ump No | Setting | mL | mL | mL | min. | mL/min | Comment |
| 2000 | | p | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | • | | | | | |
| | | | | _ | | | | | |
| | · | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| · · · | | | | ļ | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| ļ | | L | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | ļ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| | | [| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | | | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | | 1 | l | 1 | | 1 | L |

DATA LOGSHEET

TASK 1 - OZONE GAS FLOWMETER CALIBRATION

| | | Ozone | Gas Flow | Measured | Gas | Gas | |
|------|------|-----------|----------|----------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | | Contactor | Setting | Gas Flow | Pressure | Temp. | |
| Date | Time | Number | (sefm) | (scfm) | (atm) | (°C) | Comment |
| Date | Time | Number | 0.5 | (Sering | (40222) | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | |
| | | 1 | 0.5 | | | | |
| | | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| | | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| | | 1 | 2 | | | | |
| | | 1 | 2 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | | | | |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | | | | |
| | | 2 | 1 | | | | |
| | | 2 | 1 | | | | |
| | | 2 | 2 | | | | |
| | | 2 | 2 | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | 3 | 0.5 | | | | |
| | | 3 | 0.5 | | | | |
| | | 3 | 1 | | | | |
| | | 3 | | | | | |
| - | | 3 | 2 | | | | |
| | | 3 | 2 | | | | |
| | .= | | | | | | |
| | | 4 | 0.5 | | | | |
| | | 4 | 0.5 | | | | |
| | | 4 | | | | | |
| | | 4 | 1 | | | | |
| | | 4 | 2 | | | | |
| | | 4 | 2 | | | | |
| | | F | 0.5 | | | | |
| | _ | 5 | 0.5 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | | 5 | 0.5 | | | | |
| | | 5 | 1 | | | | |
| | | 5 | 1 | | | | |
| | | 5 | 2 | | | | |
| | | 5 | ۷ | | | | |
| | | 6 | 0.5 | | | | |
| | | 6 | 0.5 | | | | |
| | | 0 | 1.5 | | | | ······································ |
| | | 0 | 1 | | | | · |
| | | 0 | 1 | | | | ······································ |
| | | 0 | 2 | | | | |
| | | 0 | ۷ | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | | ł | |

2.1.3.2: Overflow Alarm on the Wet Well

The same type of test will be conducted on the wet well. The transfer pump between the wet well and the GAC contactor will be turned off. The wet well will be filled with tap water. As the wet well fills up and the water reaches the level sensor, the alarm system should shut down the entire power system. This should include the extraction well pumps, raw water pump, the ozone generator, and the hydrogen peroxide feed pumps.

2.1.3.3: Spill Alarm on Containment Pad

The spill alarm in the containment pad will be checked. Using a flexible hose, tap water will be diverted into the sump of the containment pad. As the pad fills up and the water reaches the level sensor, the alarm should shut down the power system. This should include the extraction pump wells, raw water pump, the transfer pump in the wet well, the ozone generator, and the hydrogen peroxide feed pumps.

2.1.3.4: Overflow Alarm on Surge Tank

Testing of the overflow alarm on the surge tank is similar to that of the alarm on the 1st contactor or the wet well. The pump from the surge tank to the first contactor will be turned off, and the tank will be filled with tap water. As the surge tank fills up and the water reaches the level sensor, the alarm system should shut down the entire power system. This should include the extraction well pumps, raw water pump, the ozone generator, and the hydrogen peroxide feed pumps.

2.2: TASK 2—CONDUCT PROCESS OPTIMIZATION

After completing system startup and instrument calibration, the 1-week process optimization task will begin. The objective of this task is to evaluate contaminant removal under a wide range of ozone dose in order to select the optimum set of conditions for system demonstration. Figure 6 shows the datalogsheet that will be used during each process optimization test. It is noted that all testing will be conducted in a countercurrent flow mode.

This task includes a total of 8 tests to be conducted over a one-week period. The concept behind this task is to run the PEROXONE plant at four applied ozone doses ranging from 30 mg/L to 75 mg/L, which extend well below and above the anticipated required dose of 60 mg/L. The applied doses to be evaluated are 30 mg/L, 45 mg/L, 60 mg/L, and 75 mg/L. All doses, except the 75 mg/L dose, will be evaluated at a hydraulic flowrate of 25 gpm. Due to the limitation of the ozone generator capacity, the flowrate will have to be reduced to 15 gpm in order to evaluate the system performance at the applied dose of 75 mg/L. These tests will be conducted on each of the two wells to be evaluated. All applied and transferred ozone doses will be accurately measured by monitoring the ozone concentration in the feed gas and the off gas streams to and from each of the six contactors. In addition, the hydrogen peroxide stock solution will be prepared at 2% strenght (20,000 mg/L) by diluting the neat 35%

DATA LOGSHEETS

TASK 2 - PROCESS OPTIMIZATION TASK

| | | CONTACTOR OFF- GAS OZONE CONC | |
|--|-----------|----------------------------------|------|
| TEST CONDITIONS: | CONTACTOR | (mg/L) | TIME |
| DATE: | C1 | | |
| TEST NO.: | C2 | | |
| WELL CODE: | C3 | | |
| WATER FLOW RATE (gpm): | C4 | | |
| FEED-GAS OZONE CONC (mg/L): | C5 | | |
| H ₂ O ₂ FEED TANK CONC (mg/L): | C6 | | |
| | | | |

| SAMPLE | SAMPLE | OZONE | OZONE | CONTAMINANT |
|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|-------------|
| LOCATION | TIME | RESIDUAL | RESIDUAL 2 | SAMPLE |
| CODE | (0.4147) | | (MC/L) OPTIONAL | CODE |
| | (24firk) | (MG/L) | | |
| INF | | | | 02INF |
| C1/0 | | | | 02C1/0 |
| C1/UF | | | | 02C1/UF |
| C1/2 | | | | 02C1/2 |
| C1/4 | | | | 02C1/4 |
| C1/6 | | | | 02C1/6 |
| C1/8 | | | | 02C1/8 |
| C2/0 | | | | 02C2/0 |
| C2/UF | | | | 02C2/UF |
| C3/0 | | | | 02C3/0 |
| C3/UF | | | | 02C3/UF |
| C4/0 | | | | 02C4/0 |
| C4/UF | | | | 02C4/UF |
| C5/0 | | | | 02C5/0 |
| C5/UF | | | | 02C5/UF |
| C6/0 | | | | 02C6/0 |
| C6/UF | | | | 02C6/UF |
| C6/0UQ | | | | 02C6/0UQ |
| C6/UFUQ | | | | 02C6/UFU9 |
| GAC3 | | | | 02GAC3 |
| GAC1 | | | | 02GAC1 |
| GAC2 | | | | 02GAC2 |

Note: "UQ" following sample location designator indicates explosives sample not quenched with Thiosulfate

solution with DI water. All throughout these eight tests, the gas flowrate to each contactor will be set at 0.8 scfm.

The following is a detailed description of how each of the eight tests will be conducted.

2.2.1: Test #1 (Week #2; Monday): Conditions: Well A; Flow Rate = 25 gpm; Ozone Dose = 30 mg/L per contactor; H_2O_2 Dose = 9 mg/L per contactor.

At 8:00 AM on Monday morning, set the flow rate through the system at 25 gpm with the ozone generator set to produce an applied dose of 30 mg/L, and the hydrogen peroxide flowrate to each contactor set at 42.3 mL/min which translates into a hydrogen peroxide dose of 9 mg/L to each contactor. At 8:30 AM the concentration of ozone in the feed gas will be measured. If the applied ozone dose is not 30 mg/L \pm 3 mg/L, then the ozone generator will be adjusted and rechecked after period of 15 minutes. This process will be repeated until the dose is within this acceptable range. For a water flowrate of 25 gpm, and a gas flowrate of 0.8 scfm, a ozone gas-phase concentration of 125 mg/L will result in the target applied ozone dose of 30 mg/L to each contactor.

Assuming that each contactor is completely mixed, then the six contactors in series can be simulated by six completely stirred tank reactors (CSTRs) in series. Therefore, steady-state conditions are expected to be reached in 4 hours of operating time. While waiting for steady-state conditions to be reached, 4 influent samples will be collected from the surge tank and analyzed for explosives concentrations.

After steady-state conditions are reached, samples will be collected from the effluent of each of the six contactors, as well as from five taps along the water depth of the first contactor. The samples will then be analyzed for ozone residual and explosives concentrations. The feed-gas and the off-gas from each of the six contactors will then be analyzed for ozone gas-phase concentration. It is anticipated that the off-gas ozone concentrations will be different between the six contactors, and therefore it is important that they be measured individually.

2.2.2: Test #2 (Week #2; Monday): Conditions: Well A; Flow Rate = 25 gpm; Ozone Dose = 45 mg/L per contactor; H₂O₂ Dose = 13.5 mg/L per contactor

After all the samples from test #1 are taken (approximately 5:00 PM), the hydrogen peroxide flowrate to each contactor will be set at 64 mg/L, which translates into a hydrogen peroxide dose of 13.5 mg/L. The ozone generator will be set to produce 188 mg/L ozone in the gas-phase, which translates into an applied ozone dose of 45 mg/L to each contactor (water flowrate = 25 gpm, and gas flowrate = 0.8 scfm to each contactor). After 30 minutes, the ozone concentration in the feed-gas will be analyzed to confirm that the target dose is achieved. Adjustments, if necessary, will be made to the ozone generator setting, and the ozone gas-phase concentration will be rechecked until the target ozone dose is reached. The system will then be left to run overnight.

At 8:00 AM on Tuesday morning, the ozone generator setting, the hydrogen peroxide feed rate, and the water flow rate will be checked and recorded to ensure that they have not changed overnight. Water samples will then be collected from the effluent of each contactor, and from five taps along the water depth of the first contactor, and analyzed for ozone residual and explosives concentrations. The feed-gas and the off-gas from each of the six contactors should analyzed for ozone gas-phase concentration to record the applied and transferred ozone dose.

The samples should be collected before 10:00 AM on Tuesday, and the system will then be setup for Test #3.

2.2.3: Test #3 (Week #2; Tuesday): Conditions: Well A; Flow Rate = 25 gpm; Ozone Dose = 60 mg/L per contactor; H_2O_2 Dose = 18 mg/L per contactor

After the samples from Test #2 are taken (10:00 AM), the ozone gas-phase concentration will be set at 250 mg/L \pm 25 mg/L (which translates into an applied ozone dose of 60 mg/L \pm 6 mg/L), and the hydrogen peroxide flowrate set at 85 mL/min, which translates into a hydorgen peroxide dose of 18 mg/L. At 10:30 AM, the concentration of ozone in the feed gas will be measured. If the applied ozone dose is not 60 mg/L \pm 6 mg/L, then the ozone generator will be adjusted and rechecked after period of 15 minutes. This process will be repeated until the dose is within this acceptable range. The system is then allowed to reach steady-state conditions. This should be reached within four hours. While waiting for steadystate conditions to be reached, 4 influent samples will be collected from the surge tank and analyzed for explosives concentrations.

After steady-state conditions are reached, samples will be collected from the effluent of each of the six contactors, as well as from five taps along the water depth of the first contactor. The samples will then be analyzed for ozone residual and explosives concentrations. The feed-gas and the off-gas from each of the six contactors will then be analyzed for ozone gas-phase concentration. It is anticipated that the off-gas ozone concentrations will be different between the six contactors, and therefore it is important that they be measured individually.

2.2.4: Test #4 (Week #2; Tuesday): Conditions: Well A; Flow Rate = 15 gpm; Ozone Dose = 75 mg/L per contactor; H₂O₂ Dose = 22.5 mg/L per contactor

After all the samples from test #3 are taken (approximately 5:00 PM), the hydrogen peroxide flowrate to each contactor will be set at 64 mg/L, which translates into a hydrogen peroxide dose of 22.5 mg/L. The ozone generator will be set to produce 188 mg/L ozone in the gas-phase, which translates into an applied ozone dose of 75 mg/L to each contactor (water flowrate = 15 gpm, and gas flowrate = 0.8 scfm to each contactor). After 30 minutes, the ozone concentration in the feed-gas will be analyzed to confirm that the target dose is achieved. Adjustments, if necessary, will be made to the ozone generator setting, and the ozone gas-phase concentration will be rechecked until the target ozone dose is reached. The system will then be left to run overnight. It is noted that a total of 7 hours of operation time is required before steady-state conditions are reached for a flowrate of 15 gpm.

At 8:00 AM on Wednesday morning, the ozone generator setting, the hydrogen peroxide feed rate, and the water flow rate will be checked and recorded to ensure that they have not changed overnight. Water samples will then be collected from the effluent of each contactor, and from five taps along the water depth of the first contactor, and analyzed for ozone residual and explosives concentrations. The feed-gas and the off-gas from each of the six contactors should analyzed for ozone gas-phase concentration to record the applied and transferred ozone dose.

The samples should be collected before 10:00 AM on Wednesday, and the system will then be setup for Test #5.

2.2.5: Test #5 (Week #2; Wednesday): Conditions: Well B; Flow Rate = 25 gpm; Ozone Dose = 30 mg/L per contactor; H₂O₂ Dose = 9 mg/L per contactor

After Test #4 samples were taken (before 10:00 AM), the feed water will be switched to well B, and the flow rate through the system will be set at 25 gpm. The ozone generator will then be set to produce an applied dose of 30 mg/L, and the hydrogen peroxide flowrate to each contactor set at 42.3 mL/min which translates into a hydrogen peroxide dose of 9 mg/L to each contactor. At 10:30 AM the concentration of ozone in the feed gas will be measured. If the applied ozone dose is not 30 mg/L \pm 3 mg/L, then the ozone generator will be adjusted and rechecked after period of 15 minutes. This process will be repeated until the dose is within this acceptable range. For a water flowrate of 25 gpm, and a gas flowrate of 0.8 scfm, a ozone gas-phase concentration of 125 mg/L will result in the target applied ozone dose of 30 mg/L to each contactor.

Steady-state conditions are expected to be reached in 4 hours of operating time. While waiting for steady-state conditions to be reached, 4 influent samples will be collected from the surge tank and analyzed for explosives concentrations.

After steady-state conditions are reached, samples will be collected from the effluent of each of the six contactors, as well as from five taps along the water depth of the first contactor. The samples will then be analyzed for ozone residual and explosives concentrations. The feed-gas and the off-gas from each of the six contactors will then be analyzed for ozone gas-phase concentration. It is anticipated that the off-gas ozone concentrations will be different between the six contactors, and therefore it is important that they be measured individually.

2.2.6: Test #6 (Week #2; Wednesday): Conditions: Well B; Flow Rate = 25 gpm; Ozone Dose = 45 mg/L per contactor; H₂O₂ Dose = 13.5 mg/L per contactor

After all the samples from test #5 are taken (approximately 5:00 PM), the hydrogen peroxide flowrate to each contactor will be set at 64 mg/L, which translates into a hydrogen peroxide dose of 13.5 mg/L. The ozone generator will be set to produce 188 mg/L ozone in the gas-phase, which translates into an applied ozone dose of 45 mg/L to each contactor (water flowrate = 25 gpm, and gas flowrate = 0.8 scfm to each contactor). After 30 minutes, the

ozone concentration in the feed-gas will be analyzed to confirm that the target dose is achieved. Adjustments, if necessary, will be made to the ozone generator setting, and the ozone gas-phase concentration will be rechecked until the target ozone dose is reached. The system will then be left to run overnight.

At 8:00 AM on Tuesday morning, the ozone generator setting, the hydrogen peroxide feed rate, and the water flow rate will be checked and recorded to ensure that they have not changed overnight. Water samples will then be collected from the effluent of each contactor, and from five taps along the water depth of the first contactor, and analyzed for ozone residual and explosives concentrations. The feed-gas and the off-gas from each of the six contactors should analyzed for ozone gas-phase concentration to record the applied and transferred ozone dose.

The samples should be collected before 10:00 AM on Thursday, and the system will then be setup for Test #7.

2.2.7: Test #7 (Week #2; Thursday): Conditions: Well B; Flow Rate = 25 gpm; Ozone Dose = 60 mg/L per contactor; H_2O_2 Dose = 18 mg/L per contactor

After the samples from Test #6 are taken (10:00 AM), the ozone gas-phase concentration will be set at 250 mg/L \pm 25 mg/L (which translates into an applied ozone dose of 60 mg/L \pm 6 mg/L), and the hydrogen peroxide flowrate set at 85 mL/min, which translates into a hydorgen peroxide dose of 18 mg/L. At 10:30 AM, the concentration of ozone in the feed gas will be measured. If the applied ozone dose is not 60 mg/L \pm 6 mg/L, then the ozone generator will be adjusted and rechecked after period of 15 minutes. This process will be repeated until the dose is within this acceptable range. The system is then allowed to reach steady-state conditions. This should be reached within four hours. While waiting for steadystate conditions to be reached, 4 influent samples will be collected from the surge tank and analyzed for explosives concentrations.

After steady-state conditions are reached, samples will be collected from the effluent of each of the six contactors, as well as from five taps along the water depth of the first contactor. The samples will then be analyzed for ozone residual and explosives concentrations. The feed-gas and the off-gas from each of the six contactors will then be analyzed for ozone gas-phase concentration. It is anticipated that the off-gas ozone concentrations will be different between the six contactors, and therefore it is important that they be measured individually.

2.2.8: Test #8 (Week #2; Thursday): Conditions: Well B; Flow Rate = 15 gpm; Ozone Dose = 75 mg/L per contactor; H₂O₂ Dose = 22.5 mg/L per contactor

After all the samples from test #7 are taken (approximately 5:00 PM), the hydrogen peroxide flowrate to each contactor will be set at 64 mg/L, which translates into a hydrogen peroxide dose of 22.5 mg/L. The ozone generator will be set to produce 188 mg/L ozone in the gas-phase, which translates into an applied ozone dose of 75 mg/L to each contactor (water flowrate = 15 gpm, and gas flowrate = 0.8 scfm to each contactor). After 30 minutes, the

ozone concentration in the feed-gas will be analyzed to confirm that the target dose is achieved. Adjustments, if necessary, will be made to the ozone generator setting, and the ozone gas-phase concentration will be rechecked until the target ozone dose is reached. The system will then be left to run overnight. It is noted that a total of 7 hours of operation time is required before steady-state conditions are reached for a flowrate of 15 gpm.

At 8:00 AM on Friday morning, the ozone generator setting, the hydrogen peroxide feed rate, and the water flow rate will be checked and recorded to ensure that they have not changed overnight. Water samples will then be collected from the effluent of each contactor, and from five taps along the water depth of the first contactor, and analyzed for ozone residual and explosives concentrations. The feed-gas and the off-gas from each of the six contactors should analyzed for ozone gas-phase concentration to record the applied and transferred ozone dose. After all samples are taken, the system will be shut down.

Based on the above discussion, a total of 104 explosives samples will be collected during the Process Optimization task. Table 2 shows a breakdown of the explosives sampling schedule during this task.

Table 2

| Test # | Day | Influent | Contactor Effluent | Taps Along 1st Contactor Depth | Total |
|--------|-------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| 1 | Mon. | 4 | 6 | 5 | 15 |
| 2 | Mon./Tues. | | 6 | 5 | 11 |
| 3 | Tues. | 4 | 6 | 5 | 15 |
| 4 | Tues./Wed. | | 6 | 5 | 11 |
| 5 | Wed. | 4 | 6 | 5 | 15 |
| 6 | Wed./Thurs. | _ | 6 | 5 | 11 |
| 7 | Thurs. | 4 | 6 | 5 | 15 |
| 8 | Thurs./Fri. | | 6 | 5 | 11 |
| ΤΟΤΑΙ | L | 16 | 48 | 40 | 104 |

Explosives Sampling Schedule During Process Optimization Testing

Important Note: The schedule for conducting Task 2 (Process Optimization) is very compact, and does not allow for any interruptions to the plant operation. Unfortunately, it is Montgomery Watson's experience with similar projects that interruptions can occur. In order to keep the project on schedule, if interruptions do take place, optimization will be conducted on one well only. However, both wells will still be evaluated in Task 3 (System Demonstration).

2.3: TASK 3—CONDUCT SYSTEM DEMONSTRATION

During this 10-week task, the plant will be operated under constant ozone and hydrogen peroxide doses and water flow rate for a period of three weeks with each of the three wells. The exact operating conditions, such as ozone dose, hydrogen peroxide dose, and water flowrate, will be determined based on the results of Task 2 (Process Optimization Task). The selected operating conditions will be those that result in the removal of the contaminants to their corresponding target finished water levels at the lowest possible treatment cost. The plant will be operated five days a week, 24 hours per day, but will be attended for only 8 hours/day.

In addition, two tracer tests will be conducted at different days during this task. The objective of the tracer tests is to characterize the hydraulic behavior of the system and assess the degree of mixing taking place in the contactors. This information will be used to determine whether packing material will be necessary in the 1000-gpm full-scale plant.

2.3.1: Plant Demonstration

During the plant operation, the sampling schedule detailed in Table 3 will be implemented during each 5-week period for each of the two wells to be tested. It is noted that ORP stands for Oxidation Reduction Potential which will be measured online using ORP probes. The analytical results obtained from this task will be logged into the data logsheet shown in Figures 7.a and 7.b for countercurrent (downflow) and co-current (upflow) operation, respectively. However, it is noted that the plant will be operated in the countercurrent mode, unless otherwise decided during the first project progress meeting.

DATA LOGSHEETS

TASK 3 - SYSTEM DEMONSTRATION

HYDROGEN PEROXIDE: TARGET H₂O₂ DOSE (mg/L): H₂O₂ FEED FLOW RATE (mL/min):

> TARGET APPLIED OZONE (mg/l): FEED-GAS OZONE CONC (mg/l):

TEST CONDITIONS: DATE: WELL CODE:

OZONE :

| ATER FLOW RATE (gpm): CONTACTOR FLOW (UP/1DO | WNFLOW | FEED-G | AS FLOW RATE (scfm): | | 7 | H2O2 FEED | TANK CONC (mg/L): | | | Verlfication Time | |
|---|----------------|-----------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--|
| SAMPLE SAMPLE CODE | SAMPLE TIME | OZONE RESIDUAL (mg/L) | OZONE RESIDUAL 2 (optional) (mg/L) | Hd | ORP | OZONE DFF-GAS CONC (mg/L) | TRANSFERRED OZONE DOSE (mg/L) | H ₂ O ₂ 'EED RAT. (mL/min) | H ₂ O ₂ DOSE (mg/L) | CONTAMINANTS SAMPLE CODE | MISC. SAMPLE CODE |
| | | 4/D | 5 | 2/D (D INF) (E | 2/D) INF) | 2/D | 2/D | . <u>n</u> | 5 | D (2/W INF, W GAC1,2) | M |
| INF | | • | | | | 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1 | | | | 03-00-INF- | 03-00-INFMIS |
| C1 /0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-01 /0- | |
| C1/0 | | | | | ╞ | | | | | 03-00-C1/0- | and the second sec |
| C1/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C1/0- | |
| C1/0 | | | | | $\left \right $ | | | | | 03-00-C1/0- | |
| C2/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C2/0- | at the states they are the time to the term |
| C2/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C2/0- | |
| C2/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C2/0- | and the second secon |
| C2/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C2/0- | |
| C3/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C3/0- | 日本になるので、 |
| C3/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C3/0- | |
| C3/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C3/0- | an a |
| C3/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C3/0- | |
| C4/0 | | | | | ╞ | | | | | 03-00-C4/0- | |
| C4/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C4/0- | Construction of the second second |
| C4/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C4/0- | |
| C4/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C4/0- | |
| C5/0 | | | | | F | | - | | | 03-00-C5/0- | |
| C5/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C5/0- | |
| C5/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C5/0- | |
| C5/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C5/0- | ostrani, sutrativ v constrant |
| C6/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C6/0- | 03-00-C6/0M |
| C6/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C6/0- | 03-00-C6/0M |
| C6/0 | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C6/0- | 03-00-C6/0M |
| C6/0 | | | | | | | | - | | 03-00-C6/0- | 03-00-C6/0M |
| C6/0UG | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C6/0UG- | |
| C6/0UG | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C6/0UG- | |
| ce/oug | | | | | ╡ | | | | | 03-00-C6/0UG- | When a grow water of the second |
| ce/oug | | | | | | | | | | 03-00-C6/0UG- | |
| GAC3 | | | | | | SAME: N | 1997年の1997年1月1日 | | | 03-00-GAC3- | 03-00-GAC3N |
| GAC3 | | | | | | ***************** | | 12043420 | 19. 19. 19 . 19. 19. | 03-00-GAC3- | 03-00-GAC3N |
| GAC3 | | | | | <u>11</u> | 三日の大 | | のないのです。 | 1.248-5 | 03-00-GAC3- | 03-00-GAC3N |
| GAC3 | | | | | 174 | 「「「大学」」 | 小田市 御書のから ちんとうちん | a may strate | Same State | 03-00-GAC3- | 03-00-GAC3N |
| GACI | | | And water to be and the second of the second s | A BAR AND | 1 A. 47 | and the second | it is the state with the state of a state of | a strand a second | Alt Anderstein der Sternen der Sterne der Ste | 03-00-GAC1- | |
| GAC2 | | | | | | STATISTICS - | | | | 03-00-GAC2- | |

-

Note: Form of Sample Location ID for Task 3 is location code followed by "W" and well identifier, e.g. C1/0W1.

FIGURE 7a

DATA LOGSHEETS

TASK 3 - SYSTEM DEMONSTRATION

| | | | Verification Time | 1 | |
|--------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | - | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| HYDROGEN PEROXIDE: | TARGET H ₂ O ₂ DOSE (mg/L): | 02 FEED FLOW RATE (mL/min): | H2O2 FEED TANK CONC (mg/L): | 1 | |
| | | H ₂ (| | | |
| OZONE : | ARGET APPLIED OZONE (mg/L): | 'EED-GAS OZONE CONC (mg/L): | FEED-GAS FLOW RATE (scfm): | | |
| | Г | F | | UPFLOW | |
| TEST CONDITIONS: | DATE: | WELL CODE: | ATER FLOW RATE (gpm): | CONTACTOR FLOW (UP/1 | |

| MISC. | SAMPLE | CODE | | | M |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------|----------|---------------|---------|
| CONTAMINANTS | SAMPLE | CODE | | D (2/W INF, W | GAC1,2) |
| H ₂ 02 | DOSE | | (mg/L) | | D |
| H_2O_2 | 'EED RAT. | | (mL/min) | | D |
| TRANSFERRED | OZONE | DOSE | (mg/L) | | 2/D |
| OZONE | OFF-GAS | CONC | (mg/L) | | 2/D |
| ORP | | | | 2/D | (D INF) |
| Ηd | | | | 2/D | (D INF) |
| OZONE | RESIDUAL 2 | (optional) | (mg/L) | | |
| OZONE | RESIDUAL | | (mg/L) | | 4/D |
| SAMPLE | TIME | | | | |
| SAMPLE | LOCATION | CODE | | | |

| | | | | | | - | 211/ LU-00-01 | | |
|-------------------------|----|--|---|--------------------------------|--------------|--|----------------|--|------------|
| ~~~~~ | | | | | | | - 10/10-00-00 | | T |
| C1/UF | | | | | | <u> </u> | 3-00-C1/UF- | | 1 |
| C1 /116 | | | | | | | 311/10/00/07 | | F |
| | | | | | | | | | Т |
| C1/UF | | | | | _ | - | 3-00-C1/UF- | | |
| C2/UF | | | | | | | 03-00-C2/UF- | and a state of the | |
| C9/11F | | | | | | | 11 CO CO 11E | | Т |
| <u>Cel Or</u> Co Irm | | | | | | | | | , , |
| C2/UF | -+ | | | | | | 03-00-C2/UF- | 「「「「「「「」」」 | 1 |
| C2/UF | | | | | | _ | 33-00-C2/UF- | | |
| C3/UF | | | | | | | 03-00-C3/UF- | and a state of the state of | 1 |
| C3/UF | | | | | | | 03-00-C3/UF- | | Γ. |
| C3/UF | | | | | | | 03-00-C3/UF- | | 1 |
| C3/UF | | | | | | | 03-00-C3/UF- | | |
| C4/UF | | | | | | | 03-00-C4/UF- | | · |
| C4/UF | | | | | | | 03-00-C4/UF- | and the second | 1.11 |
| C4/UF | | | | | | | 03-00-C4/UF- | 가슴은 가는 것을 못한 것 않는 것을 못 하는 것을 것을 못 하는 것을 못 하는 것을 것을 못 하는 것을 것을 못 하는 것을 것을 못 하는 것 않아요. 않아 있는 것을 못 하는 것 않아? 것 않아? 것 않아? 못 하는 것 않아? 것 않아? 않아? 않아? 않아? 않아? 않아? 않아? | |
| C4/UF | | | | | | | 03-00-C4/UF- | | |
| C5/UF | | | | | | | 03-00-C5/UF- | | 1 |
| C5/UF | | | | | | | 03-00-C5/UF- | | T. |
| C5/UF | | | | | | | 03-00-C5/UF- | | l · |
| C5/UF | | | | | | | 03-00-C5/UF- | | |
| C6/UF | | | | | | | 03-00-C6/UF- | 03-00-C6/UF- | 17 |
| C6/UF | | | | | | | 03-00-C6/UF- | 03-00-C6/UF- | 17, |
| C6/UF | | | | | | | 03-00-C6/UF- | 03-00-C6/UF- | 17 |
| C6/UF | | | | | | | 03-00-C6/UF- | 03-00-C6/UF- | |
| C6/UFUG | | | | | | | 03-00-C6/UFUG- | | |
| C6/UFUG | | | | | | | 03-00-C6/UFUG- | | |
| C6/UFUG | | | | | | | 03-00-C6/UFUG- | | |
| ce/urug | | | | | | | 03-00-C6/UFUG- | | |
| GAC3 | | | N 400 MAR | and a strate of a | | | 03-00-GAC3- | 03-00-GAC3- | ≥ |
| GAC3 | | | NAMES OF | 「「「「「「「「」」」を見たい。 | | | 03-00-GAC3- | 03-00-GAC3- | ļ≩ |
| GAC3 | | | 13.2014.201 | 1.11日本の主要である。 | 1.22.00 | 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1 | 03-00-GAC3- | 03-00-GAC3- | 2 |
| GAC3 | | | などが記載する | ورموان بجدة مذمر وأردوا والالا | 1997 N. 1997 | - 영상, 홍산 | 03-00-GAC3- | 03-00-GAC3- | 2 |
| GACI | | | | | - · · · · | | 03-00-GAC1- | | |
| 1010 | | | A. M. Conf. P. Mar. | | T | Ī | | | E |
| GAC2 | | | | | | - | 03-00-GAC2- | | -7 |

FIGURE 7b

GAC2 GAC2 I Start a start of the second of the second seco

| Table 3 |
|---------|
|---------|

| Samp | ing Location | | | Analyte | | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|---------|---------|-------|-------|
| ID | Description | Explosives | O, Res. | pH/ORP | Doses | Misc. |
| INF- <well#></well#> | Influent to Treatment System | 2/W | | D | | W |
| C1— <well#></well#> | Effluent of 1st Contactor | D | 4/D | 2/D | 2/D | |
| C2— <well#></well#> | Effluent of 2nd Contactor | D | 4/D | 2/D | 2/D | |
| C3— <well#></well#> | Effluent of 3rd Contactor | D | 4/D | 2/D | 2/D | |
| C4 <well#></well#> | Effluent of 4th Contactor | D | 4/D | 2/D | 2/D | |
| C5— <well#></well#> | Effluent of 5th Contactor | D | 4/D | 2/D | 2/D | |
| C6 <well#></well#> | Effluent of 6th Contactor | D | 4/D | 2/D | 2/D | W |
| GAC1- <well#< td=""><td>>Effluent of 1st GAC Contactor</td><td>W</td><td>D</td><td>D</td><td></td><td></td></well#<> | >Effluent of 1st GAC Contactor | W | D | D | | |
| GAC2 <well#< td=""><td>> Effluent of 2nd GAC Contactor</td><td>W</td><td>D</td><td>D</td><td></td><td></td></well#<> | > Effluent of 2nd GAC Contactor | W | D | D | | |
| GAC3 <well#:< td=""><td>>Effluent of 3rd GAC Contactor</td><td>D</td><td>D</td><td>D</td><td></td><td>W</td></well#:<> | >Effluent of 3rd GAC Contactor | D | D | D | | W |

Sampling Schedule During Task 3 (System Demonstration)

D = Sample collected once per day

W = Sample collected once per week

#/D = Sample collected # times per day

#/W = Sample collected # times per week

Misc.: General Mineral which includes TOC, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, TDS, calcium, magnesium, iron, & manganese.

Doses: Includes transferred ozone dose and hydrogen peroxide dose to each contactor.

Note: The well number notation on each sample identifies the groundwater source that was being tested when the sample was taken.

2.3.2: Tracer Testing

Both tracer tests will be conducted on the first contactor only. Water should be running for at least three (3) detention times at the test flow rate BEFORE the tracer is injected into the influent line. Tracer tests will be conducted using sodium fluoride, and will use the "pulse" or "slug" feed method. In other words, a pre-calculated mass of the tracer will be injected at time "zero" into the influent line to the first contactor. The injection period should be less than 10 seconds. Samples will then be taken at 5-minute intervals from the effluent of the first contactor, as well as from five taps along the depth of the contactor. The samples are then analyzed for fluoride concentration using a fluoride-selective probe. During this period, influent water samples should be collected from the raw water surge tank every 15 minutes and analyzed for background fluoride concentration. The analytical results obtained from the tracer tests will be logged into the data logsheet shown in Figure 8. The test will be conducted at two different days during the 10-week System Demonstration task.

It is anticipated that the fluoride concentration at the effluent of the 1st contactor will reach background levels within the 3-HRT sampling period. If the effluent tracer concentration is still higher than background after the 3-HRT sampling period, sampling should be continued for an additional hour.

DATA LOGSHEETS

TRACER TESTING

FEST CONDITIONS:

DATE: WELL CODE: ATER FLOW RATE (gpm): CK VOLUME INJECTED:

OCK CONCENTRATION: TRACER DOSE (mg): ACER INJECTION TIME:

CALIBRATION DATA:

| CADIDICITION | LATET. |
|----------------|---------------------|
| Fluoride Conc. | (m Probe Output (m) |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Calibration Re | sults |

SLOPE INTERCEPT

| | | FLUORIDE | FLUORIDE | INF. SAMPLE (Sample Every | FLUORIDE | FLUORIDE |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|----------|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Sample ID/Location | Time | READOUT (mV) | (mg/L) | 15 Minutes) | READOUT (mV) | (mg/L) |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| ········ | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 新闻的最高级 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | · · · | | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 가 가 같은 그 문화 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | J Charles I in the | AP 다양이 제 관계 같이 물이 | alar setter seden i |

2.4: ANALYTICAL METHODS

Five analyses will be conducted by the Montgomery Watson operators: ozone residual, pH, ORP, hydrogen peroxide, and fluoride tracer concentration measurements. All other analyses will be conducted by the independent evaluator using an on-site laboratory. Those analyses include all target contaminants, and general mineral constituents of the water including TOC, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, calcium, magnesium, TDS, iron, and manganese.

A HACH CEL/700 portable analyzer will be used to measure the ozone residual concentration, the pH of the water, and fluoride concentration. A bench-top ORION meter with an ORP probe will be used to measure the ORP of the water samples collected during plant operation. The hydrogen peroxide concentration will be measured using the Cobalt method.

2.5: H,O, FEED STOCK SOLUTION PREPARATION

A day tank will be used as the feed reservoir for hydrogen peroxide. The target concentration of hydrogen peroxide in the day tank is 2%, or 20,000 mg/L. This solution will be prepared from a commercial hydrogen peroxide stock solution, which has an approximate concentration of 35%, or 350,000 mg/L. The hydrogen peroxide will be diluted from 35% to 2% using deionized water. A deionized water system will be provided with the demonstration plant. The DI system should use tap water as its influent water source. To determine the exact dilution ratio to get the target 2% concentration, the exact stock solution concentration should first be measured. This is done by creating several dilutions of the stock solution and measuring their concentrations using the Cobalt method. After the day tank solution is prepared, its exact H_2O_2 concentration should be measured and recorded. The H_2O_2 flowrate to each contactor will then be adjusted to provide the target dose based on the feed tank concentration.

The stability of the H_2O_2 stock solution and the day tank feed solution is of concern. Therefore, the following measures should be implemented at all times:

- 1. The H_2O_2 stock solution should be stored in the dark at 4°C when not in use.
- 2. The day tank should be covered and protected from any sunlight.
- 3. The H_2O_2 concentrations in the stock solution and in the day tank should be checked evertime the day tank feed solution is prepared.
- 4. The stability of the H_2O_2 feed solution strenght in the feed day tank should be checked during a 24 hour period to ensure that the concentration of H_2O_2 does not change between preparation times. This test is done by measuring the feed solution strength at different times during the day.

2.6: SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

A standard notation should be used for all water quality samples provided to the independent evaluator for analysis. The notation should include the following parts:

- 1. Task Number
- 2. Test Number (if applicable)
- 3. Tap Code
- 4. Time & Date

For example, a sample collected from the effluent of the second contactor (tap C2/0) during Test #3 of Task #2 (Process Optimization task) at 2:15 PM on August 17 will have the notation:

02-03-C2/0-14150817

If no test number exists (e.g., during the 10-week demonstration period [Task #3]), the test number should be substituted with "00". Labels for all samples collected for immediate analysis by the operators should, at a minimum, include the tap letter-code.

To satisfy a 10% QA/QC requirement, one sample from the effluent of the 6th contactor will be collected in duplicates every week. The ID for these samples should have a notation at the end to show that they are duplicates. For example, the following identifiers are for duplicate samples collected from the effluent of the 6th contactor at 3:10 PM on September 12:

03-00-C6/0-15100912-D1 03-00-C6/0-15100912-D2

Appendix F

Project Team Contact List

USAEC Peroxone Groundwater Treatment Demonstration Study Points of Contact

US Army Environmental Center

Project Manager:

Mr. James Heffinger U.S. Army Environmental Center Building E4430 Aberdeen Proving Gr. MD 21010-5401 Phone: 410.612.6846 Fax: 410.612.6836 Email: jgheffin@usaec1.apgea.army.mil

Defense Evaluation Support Activity

Independent Evaluator and Project Manager:

Ms. Lynda Liptak DESA/TRI 2251 Wyoming Blvd. SE Kirtland AFB NM 87117 Phone: 505.262.4583 Fax: 505.260.2759 Email: liptakl@desa.osd.mil

TRW

Project Manager:

Dr. John Lamb TRW One Space Park O1/2030 Redondo Beach CA 90278 Phone: 310.813.9354 Fax: 310.813.9349 Email: john.lamb@trw.com Design Support:

Mr. Loren McClanathan TRW O1/2080 One Space Park Redondo Beach CA 90278 Phone: 310.813.9378 Fax: 310.812.1717 Email: loren.mcclanathan@trw.com

Subcontract Manager:

Ms. Rory Brooks TRW One Space Park O1/2261 Redondo Beach CA 90278 Phone: 310.814.4344 Fax: 310.814.7466 Email: rory.brooks@trw.com

Montgomery Watson

Project Manager:

Mr. Thomas Metzger Montgomery Watson 1300 Walnut Street Suite 200 Boulder CO 80302 Phone: 303.546.6671 Fax: 303.546.6726 Email: tom.metzger@us.mw.com

Technical Manager

Dr. Issam Najm Montogomery Watson 250 N. Madison Avenue Pasadena, CA 91101 Phone: 818.568.6744 Fax: 818.568.6323 Email: issam.najm@us.mw.com

System Design, Integration and Demonstration:

Mr. Vivek Agrawal Montgomery Watson 4525 S. Wasatch Blvd., 200 Salt Lake City, Utah Phone: 801.273.2466 Fax: 801.272.0430 Email: vivek.agrawal@us.mw.com

Mr. Ning-Wu Chang Montgomery Watson 250 No. Madison Ave. Pasadena, CA 91101 Phone: 818.568.6504 Fax: 818.568.6515 Email: ning_wu_chang@smtpgw.mw.com

Mr. Keith Johnson Montgomery Watson 4525 South Wasatch Blvd., Suite 200 Salt Lake City, Utah 84124-4799 Phone: 801.273.2408 Fax: 801.272.0430 Email: keith.johnson@us.mw.com

Mr. Karl Gramith Montgomery Watson 250 N. Madison Avenue Pasadena, CA 91101 Phone: 818.568.6740 Fax: 818.568.6323 Email: karl.gramith@us.mw.com Mr. Joseph Marcinko Montgomery Watson 250 N. Madison Avenue Pasadena, CA 91101 Phone: 818.303.5845 Fax: 818.392.3923 Email: joseph.marcinko@us.mw.com

Advisory Board

Ms. Elizabeth Fleming US Army Corps of Engineers 3909 Halls Ferry Rd. Vicksburg, MS 39180 Phone: 601.634.3943 Fax: 601.634.3833 Email: flemine@ex1.wes.army.mil

Dr. William Glaze University of North Carolina Dept. of Envr. Sci & Engr. Chapel Hill NC 27599 Phone: 919.966.1024 Fax: 919.966.2583 Email: bill glaze@unc.edu

Mr. Lindsey Lien US Army Corps of Engineers 12565 West Center Road Omaha NE 68144-3869 Phone: 402.697.2580 Fax: 402.697.2595 Email: Lindsey.K.Lien@mrd01.usace.army.mil

Dr. Stephen Maloney US Army Corps of Engineers P.O. Box 9005 Champaign IL 61826-9005 Phone: 217.373.3482 Fax: 217.373.3490 Email: s-maloney@cecer.army.mil

Mr. Jerry Miller

US Army Corps of Engineers 3909 Halls Ferry Rd. Vicksburg, MS 39180 Phone: 601.634.3931 Fax: 601.634.4263 Email: millerj@ex1.wes.army.mil

Mr. Kerwin Rakness Process Applications Inc. 2627 Redwing Road Fort Collins, CO 80526 Phone: 970.223.5787 Fax: 970.223.5786 Email: 75017.725@compuserve.com

Mr. Ted Streckfuss US Army Corps of Engineers Attn: CEMRO-HX-T 12565 West Center Road Omaha NE 68144-3869 Phone: 402.697.2560 Fax: Email: ted.h.streckfuss@mrO01.usace.army.mil