REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for this collection of gathering and maintaining the data needed / collection of information, including suggestic Daily humans, suite 1204, Artington, VA 222	information is estimated to average 1 hour p and completing and reviewing the collection ins for reducing this burden, to Washington I 2024302, and to the Office of Management 4	per response, including the time for of information. Send comments re- Headquarters Services, Directorate and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Pi	reviewing instructions, searching existing data sour garding this burden estimate or any other aspect of for information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jeffe roject (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503	rces, this rson
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave bla		3. REPORT TYPE A Technica	ND DATES COVERED	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Diorga Lewis Acid-Base Addu Structures of Mes2Ga		tes and Their lecular	5. FUNDING NUMBERS Grant: N00014-96-1-0483	
6. AUTHOR(S) O.T. Beachley, Jr., Churchill, and Lauro	James R. Gardinier, ence M. Toomey	Melvyn Rowen	R&T Code: 4135002	•
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
Department of Chem State University o Buffalo, NY 14260	f New York at Buffalo)	Technical Report No. 49	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING A	GENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS((ES)	10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
Office of Naval Res 800 N. Quincy Stree Arlington, VA 2221	t		n/a	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		1(9980317 081	
Accepted for public	ation - Organometalli	ics IN		
eale ite distributi	en approved for publ on is unlimited. Rep permitted for any pu	production in	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wor	rds)	Et Mag (magita	1). bdk=2 4-pentapediona	to-
Compounds of the	type R ₂ Ga(bdk) (R=Me	, Et, Mes (mesil)	1); bdk=2,4-pentanediona	
			5,5,5,-hexafluoro-2,4-	:
			onato-(tmhd) have been ation, metathesis and	
			by elemental analyses,	
	r weight studies in b			,
			2Ga(hfac) demonstrated fo	our
spectroscopic studie	es. An A-ray scructu	he two mesityl r	ings and to the two oxyge	en
	tonate derivatives to			
investigated. Two a	adducts Me ₂ Ga(hfac)•N		continued on next page)	
14. SUBJECT TERMS Organogallium β-dik	etone derivatives, Le		15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
X-ray structural st			16. PRICE CODE	
	udy		_ / -	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSI	n/a FICATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTR	ACT
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified		i 19. SECURITY CLASSI OF ABSTRACT Unclassifie	FICATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTR	ACT

ź

Abstract (continued)

dissociation at room temperature and thus were fully characterized by elemental analysis, cryoscopic molecular weight studies in benzene, vapor pressure - temperature studies, and IR and multinuclear NMR (including variable temperature) spectroscopic studies. The compound Me₂Ga(hfac)•py was also characterized by an X-ray structural study. The structure involved five coordinate gallium with one Ga-N, two (inequivalent) Ga-O and two Ga-C bonds.

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH Contract N-00014-96-1-0483 R&T Code 4135002 TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 49

<u>Diorganogallium β -Diketonates and Their Lewis Acid-Based Adducts.</u> <u>Crystal and Molecular Structures of Mes₂Ga(hfac) and Me₂Ga(hfac)•NC₅H₅</u>

by

O. T. Beachley, Jr., James R. Gardinier, Melvyn Rowen Churchill, and Laurence M. Toomey

Prepared for Publication

in

Organometallics

State University of New York at Buffalo Department of Chemistry Buffalo, New York 14260-3000

22 January 1998

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

*This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited

Diorganogallium β-Diketonates and Their Lewis Acid-Base Adducts. Crystal and Molecular Structures of Mes₂Ga(hfac) and

Me₂Ga(hfac)•NC₅H₅

by

O.T. Beachley, Jr.*, James R. Gardinier, Melvyn Rowen Churchill*, and Laurence M. Toomey Department of Chemistry State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, NY 14260

Abstract

Compounds of the type $R_2Ga(bdk)$ (R= Me, Et, Mes (mesityl); bdk = 2,4pentanedionato-(acac), 1,1,1-trifluoro-2,4-pentanedionato- (tfac), 1,1,1,5,5,5-hexafluoro-2,4-pentanedionato- (hfac), 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-3,5-heptanedionato- (tmhd)) have been prepared by multiple routes including hydrocarbon elimination, metathesis and ligand redistribution reactions and fully characterized by elemental analyses, cryoscopic molecular weight studies in benzene, and IR and multinuclear NMR spectroscopic studies. An X-ray structural study of Mes, Ga(hfac) demonstrated four coordinate gallium with sigma bonds to the two mesityl rings and to the two oxygen atoms of the β -diketonate ligand. The Lewis acidities of the diorganogallium β -diketonate derivatives toward NMe₃ and/or pyridine were also investigated. Two adducts Me, Ga(hfac)•NMe and Me, Ga(hfac)•py were stable to dissociation at room temperature and thus were fully characterized by elemental analysis, cryoscopic molecular weight studies in benzene, vapor pressure - temperature studies, and IR and multinuclear NMR (including variable temperature) spectroscopic studies. The compound Me,Ga(hfac)•py was also characterized by an X-ray structural study. The structure involved five coordinate gallium with one Ga-N, two (inequivalent) Ga-O and two Ga-C bonds.

The incorporation of ligands with potential for the intramolecular stabilization of group 13 compounds in order to reduce their reactivity toward nucleophilic reagents and ligand redistribution reactions is of current interest.¹ Therefore, an investigation of the chemistry of organogallium compounds with β-diketonate ligands which are uninegative, symmetrical and potentially bidentate was initiated. Even though an extensive literature exists on the chemistry of diorganoaluminum² and diorganoindium³ β -diketonate derivatives, the corresponding gallium compounds have been little explored. Coates and Hayter⁴ reported in 1953 the preparation of the first diorganogallium β -diketonate derivative Me2Ga(acac) by the direct reaction between trimethylgallium and acetylacetone, while the second example, Et₂Ga(acac)⁵, was not described until 1991. Sato, Yamada, and Sugiura⁵ noted the increased air and pyrolytic stability of $Et_2Ga(acac)$ as compared to triethylgallium. As the first part of our investigation into the nature of gallium β -diketonate compounds, we report on the preparation of a series of diorganogallium β -diketonates by multiple types of reactions. These compounds were used then to investigate the effects of the β -diketonate ligand and of the organic groups bound to gallium on their physical and chemical properties including Lewis acidity.

Twelve diorganogallium β -diketonate compounds R₂Ga(bdk) (R = Me, Et, Mes; bdk = hfac, tfac, acac, tmhd) have been prepared in high yield by one or more of three different types of synthetic reactions, elimination reactions, metathesis reactions and ligand redistribution reactions. The elimination reaction (Eq 1) was the most desirable because

$$GaR_3 + H(bdk) \longrightarrow R_2Ga(bdk) + RH$$
 (1)

$$R_2GaCl + Na(bdk) \longrightarrow R_2Ga(bdk) + NaCl$$
 (2)

$$2 \operatorname{GaR}_3 + \operatorname{Ga}(bdk)_3 \longrightarrow 3 \operatorname{R}_2 \operatorname{Ga}(bdk)$$
 (3)

nearly quantitative yields of easily separable products were obtained in one synthetic step from the readily available reagents. Metathesis reactions (Eq 2) were also used to synthesize pure products in high yields. However, this reaction when compared with the

elimination reaction suffers the disadvantage that additional synthetic steps are required for the preparation of the reagents. Stoichiometric ligand redistribution reaction (Eq 3) is the least desirable. This method suffers the same type of disadvantage as the metathesis reaction. The reagent Ga(bdk)₃ must be independently prepared before the synthesis of $R_2Ga(bdk)$ can be attempted. When the ligand redistribution reaction was used, the choice of solvent was important to the success of the reaction. The yield of Mes₂Ga(hfac) was about 85% when Et₂O was used. In contrast, when the solvent was benzene, only a 25% yield of product was isolated under the identical reaction conditions of time and temperature.

An X-ray structural study of Mes, Ga(hfac) confirmed the bidentate nature of the β diketonate ligand bonded to the gallium center. The molecular geometry and labeling of atoms is shown in Figure 1. Interatomic distances and angles are collected in Table 1. The central gallium atom is in a very distorted tetrahedral environment. The hexafluoroacetylacetonate moiety behaves as a typical chelating ligand and is linked to gallium by two equivalent bonds (Ga(1) - O(1) = 2.008(11) Å and Ga(1) - O(5) = 2.009(11) Å) with a bite angle of $O(1) - Ga(1) - O(5) = 88.7(4)^\circ$. These Ga-O bond lengths are slightly longer than those found for $Ga(hfac)_3^6$ which range from 1.942(5) - 1.971(5) Å [average = 1.954(5)] Å]. The two bulky mesityl ligands are separated by the expanded angle of C(11) - Ga(1) - $C(21) = 131.5(8)^{\circ}$ and are associated with gallium - carbon bond lengths of Ga(1) - C(11)= 1.971(13) Å and Ga(1) - C(21) = 1.943(10) Å (average = 1.957 Å), a distance comparable to that in GaMes₃ $(1.968(4) \text{ Å})^7$. Distances within the metallacyclic ring are symmetrical with O(1) - C(2) = 1.245(20) Å versus O(5) - C(4) = 1.252(21) Å and C(2) = 1.252(21) Å C(3) = 1.392(24) Å versus C(3) - C(4) = 1.422(23) Å. The C - CF₃ bonds have values consistent with their $C(sp^2) - C(sp^3)$ nature (C(1) - C(2) = 1.49(3) Å and C(4) - C(5) =1.42(3) Å). The structure is marred by extremely large 'thermal vibration ellipsoids" for the fluorine atoms. These large ellipsoids are consistent with large librational motion or hindered rotation of the CF₃ groups about their C - CF₃ bonds and give rise to some

artifically contracted C - F bond lengths (C - F = 1.18(3) - 1.30(3) Å as compared to the normal C(sp³) - F distance of 1.333 ± 0.005 Å in polyfluorinated systems⁸). Similar effects have been observed previously for molecules such as $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Rh[\eta^4-C_6(CF_3)_6]$.⁹⁻¹¹

The physical and spectroscopic properties of all twelve diorganogallium βdiketonate compounds are consistent with the presence of the bidentate ligand and four coordinate gallium. Cryoscopic molecular weight studies demonstrate the compounds to be monomeric in benzene solution. The compounds are typically liquids and can be distilled or sublimed quantitatively under reduced pressure (10⁻⁴ mmHg) without decomposition at about room temperature. The volatility was observed to increase as the extent of fluorine sustitution on the β -diketonate ligand increased. This same trend has been observed for $M(bdk)_3$ (M = Al, Ga, In)¹² and for other metallic systems.¹³ None of the current compounds are pyrophoric. The C=O stretching vibrations¹⁴ for R,Ga(bdk) were observed in the range of 1643-1648 cm⁻¹ for hfac compounds, 1575-1590 cm⁻¹ for the acac compounds, and at 1597-1599 cm⁻¹ for tmhd compounds. These bands are at significantly lower energies than those found for the free ligands (1689 cm⁻¹, H(hfac); 1728 cm⁻¹, H(acac); and 1720 cm⁻¹, H(tmhd)) and are indicative of β -diketonate chelation to the metal center. It should be noted, however, that such lowering of the streching frequencies for the metal derivatives relative to the free ligand is not conclusive evidence for carbonyl coordination to the metal center as the C=O stretching frequency for Me, Ga(tfac) was assigned to the very strong and relatively sharp band at 1620 cm⁻¹ whereas the free ligand had a very strong and broad band centered around 1600 cm⁻¹. The apparent difference in C=O stretching frequencies between $Me_2Ga(tfac)$ and other diorganogallium β -diketonates may be attributed to the mixing of modes due to the asymmetrical nature of the β -diketonate ligand. The room temperature ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra of benzene solutions of the diorganogallium β -diketonates are typical of compounds with chelated structures.

The potential of the diorganogallium β -diketonates which were prepared in this study to react with the Lewis base NMe₃ was examined by tensimetric titrations. The goals

were to determine whether these four coordinate gallium compounds would exhibit Lewis acidity and, if they were Lewis acids, to identify the site of acidity and to elucidate the factors which appear to control the relative Lewis acidities of the diorganogallium β -diketonate derivatives. Lewis acidity was observed and was found to be dependent upon the β -diketonate and the organic ligands bound to the gallium center. Only the fluorinated derivatives Me, Ga(hfac) and Me, Ga(tfac) in the series Me, Ga(bdk) (bdk = hfac, tfac, acac, tmhd) reacted with NMe, to form 1:1 Lewis adducts and only Me, Ga(hfac)•NMe, was stable to dissociation at room temperature. Furthermore, in the series R_2 Ga(hfac) (R = Me, Et, Mes) only the methyl and ethyl derivatives formed 1:1 Lewis adducts according to the tensimetric titration data but Et, Ga(hfac)•NMe, was unstable with respect to dissociation of NMe3 at room temperature. The two adducts which were isolated at room temperature, Me2Ga(hfac)•py and Me2Ga(hfac)•NMe3, decompose at approximately 100 °C as indicated by the change in slope in their plots of vapor pressure versus temperature and by the appearance of an orange-brown liquid with an brown solid on the sides of the tensimeter. Furthermore, thermal analysis of Me₂Ga(hfac)•py by using a DSC operating at a heating rate of 10 °C/min exhibited a sharp endotherm at 43.5 °C (mp 45.7 - 47.5 °C) and a broad endotherm with a peak at 102.3 °C, an endotherm consistent with decomposition. The nature of the decomposition was not studied.

There are two possible sites for the coordination of a Lewis base to a diorganogallium β -diketonate, either the gallium atom or the carbonyl carbon of the ligand. Since Me₂Ga(hfac)•NMe₃ was not conducive to an X-ray structural study in our laboratory because of its low melting point (29.9 - 31.3 °C), the site of coordination of an amine to Me₂Ga(hfac) in the solid state was elucidated by an X-ray structural study of Me₂Ga(hfac)•py (mp 45.7 - 47.5 °C). The pyridine is bonded to the gallium center. The molecular structure and labeling of the atoms are shown in Figure 2. Interatomic distances and angles are collected in Table 3. The central gallium atom has an unusual sterochemistry as it is essentially a distorted trigonal bipyramid with one very long (and presumably very

weak) bond to oxygen and four more normal bonds, one to oxygen, one to nitrogen and two to carbon atoms. Thus, the hexafluoroacetylacetonate ligand is associated with very assymmetric bonds to gallium with the Ga(1)-O(1) distance of 1.971(8) Å and the other very long distance of 2.590(8) Å, Ga(1)•••O(2). The compound with five-coordinate gallium atoms¹⁵ {Me₂Ga[OCH(Me)₂CH₂NMe₂]}₂ has Ga-O distances of 1.919(2) Å (equatorial) and 2.097(2) Å (axial). The Ga-O bond lengths in oxygen adducts of triorganogallium compounds are 2.047 Å (avg) for (Mes)₃Ga•OH₂•2THF¹⁶, 2.107(6) Å for $(0-MeC_6H_4)_3GaeOEt_2^{17}$, and 2.069(7) Å for $(C_6H_5CH_2)_3GaeTHF.^{18}$ In contrast, the gallium-oxygen distance for the six coordinate gallium compound Ga(hfac)₃⁶ is 1.954(5) Å whereas for Mes₂Ga(hfac) it is 2.009(11) Å. It may also be noted that the shorter Ga(1)-O(1) bond length in Me₂Ga(hfac)•py is slightly longer than the Ga-O average bond lengths found for [(C₅H₅), GaOEt],¹⁹ (1.906(3) Å, [Me, GaOH],²⁰ (1.96 Å), [Bu, GaOH],²¹ [1.957(5) Å], and [Mes,GaOH], •THF¹⁶ [1.949(2) Å]. The remaining gallium-to-ligand bonds are normal and internally consistent, viz, Ga(1)-N(1) = 2.128(9) Å and the Ga- CH_3 linkages Ga(1)-C(21) = 1.986(13) Å and Ga(1)-C(22) = 1.941(14) Å (average Ga- $CH_3 = 1.964$ Å). This Ga-N distance is comparable to that found for $Ga(N_3)_3 \cdot 3 \text{ py}^{22}$ where the average Ga-N(py) distance is 2.131(4) Å. Bond lengths around the six-membered Ga-O-C-C-C-O system are consistent with some bond alternation with Ga(1)-O(1) = 1.971(8)Å (short), O(1)-C(2) = 1.287(15) Å(long), C(2)-C(3) = 1.346(16) Å(short), C(3)-C(4) = 1.346(16)1.386(16) Å(long), C(4)-O(2) = 1.251(17) Å(short) and O(2)•••Ga(1) = 2.590(8) Å(long); unfortunately, differences between C-O and C-C bonds are on the borderline of statistical significance. Using the trigonal bipyramid description for the coordination about Ga(1), the diaxial angle is then $O(2) \bullet \bullet \bullet Ga(1) - N(11) = 162.3(3)^{\circ}$ and the diequatorial angles are O(1)- $Ga(1)-C(21) = 112.2(5)^{\circ}$, $O(1)-Ga(1)-C(22) = 109.9(5)^{\circ}$ and $C(21)-Ga(1)-C(22) = 109.9(5)^{\circ}$ 133.0(6)°. The CF₃ centered on C(1) is well-behaved with C(1)-F = 1.288(17) -1.315(17) Å, whereas that centered on C(5) shows once again the effects of libration and

has some artifically reduced carbon-fluorine distances (C(5)-F = 1.172(25) Å, 1.177(27) Å and 1.302(24) Å).

The properties of the trimethylamine and pyridine adducts of $Me_2Ga(hfac)$ in benzene solution were also investigated in order to learn more the nature of gallium-base interaction. The compound $Me_2Ga(hfac) \cdot NMe_3$ was monomeric and not dissociated in benzene solution according to cryoscopic molecular weight studies. There was no dependence of the observed molecular weight on the concentration of the solution. On the other hand, $Me_2Ga(hfac) \cdot py$ was partially dissociated in solution. The observed molecular weight was concentration dependent. As the solution was diluted, the observed molecular weight decreased. Thus, pyridine would appear to be a weaker Lewis base than is trimethylamine in benzene solution.

The infrared and NMR spectra of Me2Ga(hfac)•NMe3 and Me2Ga(hfac)•py are consistent with the hypothesis that the Lewis base is bonded to gallium rather than to a carbonyl carbon atom. If the observed bands at 1685 cm⁻¹ and at 1683 cm⁻¹ for Me2Ga(hfac)•NMe3 and for Me2Ga(hfac)•py, respectively, are assigned to the carbonyl stretching frequencies¹⁴, the adducts have stronger carbon - oxygen bonds and weaker metal - carbonyl interactions than does Me2Ga(hfac). The corresponding band for the parent compound Me₂Ga(hfac) occurs at 1645 cm⁻¹ whereas it is 1689 cm⁻¹ for the free ligand H(hfac). The NMR spectra of benzene solutions of the two adducts suggest that the β-diketonate ligand and the amine undergo very rapid exchange processes in solution, even at -70 °C, the lowest temperatures studied. The ¹³C NMR spectrum at the normal operating temperature of the instrument indicated only one type of carbonyl carbon atom and one type of trifluoromethyl carbon atom for each adduct wheras the corresponding ¹⁹F NMR spectra had only one apparent signal for the trifluoromethyl groups for each compound. Similarly, the room temperature ¹H NMR spectra of benzene solutions of these compounds had one set of signals for each compound, one for the unique hfac-H proton, one for the CH₃ group bonded to gallium, and only 1 signal or set of signals attributable to the appropriate amine.

<u>ب</u>

The line for the gallium-methyl protons for a specific adduct is at lower field than for $Me_2Ga(hfac)$ whereas the line for the base protons are at higher field than for the free base. The reverse situation is observed for typical adducts.²³ The gallium methyl lines of the adduct are typically at higher field than for the free acid and amine protons for the adduct are at lower field than the free Lewis base. Variable temperature ¹H NMR spectra of toluene solutions of $Me_2Ga(hfac)$ •py and $Me_2Ga(hfac)$ •NMe₃ over a temperature range of -70 to 110 °C were also recorded. The spectra did not change in appearance when compared with those observed at the normal operating temperature of the instrument. However with increasing temperature, the amine resonances moved downfield toward the chemical shift observed for the free amine while the resonances for the unique hfac proton and the Ga-CH₃ protons moved upfield toward the chemical shifts observed for a benzene solution of $Me_2Ga(hfac)$. These observations suggest that the gallium nitrogen bonds of the adducts are more dissociated at the higher temperatures, as expected.

Attempts to prepare MeGa(bdk)₂ (bdk = hfac, acac) and MesGa(hfac)₂ by elimination and ligand redistribution reactions were unsuccessful. The products were mixtures of R₂Ga(bdk) and Ga(bdk)₃. Thus, these observations are consistent with the chemistry observed for the corresponding organoaluminum β -diketonate compounds² and might reflect the preferential coordination numbers of 4 and 6 rather than 5 for gallium and aluminum.

Experimental

All compounds described in this investigation were manipulated in a standard vacuum line or in a purified argon atmosphere. The starting materials GaMe₃ and GaEt₃ were purchased from Strem Chemical and vacuum distilled prior to use. Trimesitylgallium(III) was prepared and purified according to literature procedure⁷. The starting material GaCl₃ was purchased from Strem Chemical and sublimed under dynamic vacuum prior to each use. The β -diketones were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co.,

dried over K_2CO_3 and vacuum distilled prior to use. The sodium β -diketonates were prepared according to literature procedures.²⁴ Dimethylgallium chloride²⁵ and diethylgallium chloride²⁶ were prepared by stoichiometric ligand redistribution reactions in pentane at room temperature and were purified by vacuum sublimation at room temperature and by vacuum distillation at 37 °C, respectively. The compound Ga(acac)₃ was purchased from Strem Chemicals and was used without further purification. Tris(hexafluoroacetylacetonato)gallium(III) was prepared by a metathesis reaction between GaCl₃ and Na(hfac) in a 1:3 mol ratio in pentane and was purified by room temperature sublimation under dynamic vacuum (10^{-4} mmHg). The melting point and IR spectrum were in agreement with published data.⁶ Pyridine and NMe₃ were dried over KOH and distilled prior to use. All solvents were dried by conventional procedures. Infrared spectra of the compounds either as liquids or as Nujol mulls of solids between CsI plates were recorded by using a Perkin-Elmer Model 683 spectrometer. Absorption intensities are reported with the abbreviations w (weak), m (medium), s (strong), vs (very strong), and sh (shoulder). Elemental analyses were performed by E+R Microanalytical Laboratories, Inc., Corona, NY. The ¹H NMR (400 MHz) and ¹⁹F NMR (376.3 MHz) spectra were recorded by using a Varian Unity-Inova 400 spectrometer. The ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini 300 spectrometer. The ¹H NMR (500 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz) spectra were obtained by a Varian Unity-Inova 500 spectrometer. Proton chemical shifts are reported in δ (ppm) units and are referenced to C₆D₅H at 7.15 ppm and SiMe₄ at 0.00 ppm. Carbon chemical shifts are referenced to $SiMe_4$ at 0.00 ppm and ¹³C impurities in C_6D_6 at 128.39 ppm. Fluorine chemical shifts were referenced to $CF_3C_6H_5$ at 0.00 ppm. The following abbreviations are used to report the multiplicities of lines s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), m (multiplet). All samples for NMR spectroscopic studies were contained in sealed tubes. Melting points were observed for samples which were contained in sealed capillaries filled with purified argon and are uncorrected. Molecular

weights were measured cryoscopically in benzene by using an instrument similar to that described by Shriver and Drezdon^{27a}.

Synthesis of $R_2Ga(bdk)$ by Elimination Reactions (Method A). The preparation of all diorganogallium β -diketonate compounds by elimination reactions was accomplished by combining stoichiometric quantities of GaR_3 and the β -diketone in pentane, essentially the same method reported by Coates and Hayter⁴ except solvent was used. In a typical preparation, 0.823 g (7.23 mmol) of GaMe, and 30 mL of pentane were vacuum distilled into a 250 mL round-bottomed flask fitted with a stir bar and connected to a 100 mL Schlenk flask by a glass elbow. Then, 1.50 g (7.23 mmol) of hfacH was added by vacuum distillation at -196 °C. As the reaction mixture was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature with stirring, vigorous methane evolution occurred for about 10 min. The resulting yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 12 h to ensure complete reaction. The methane and pentane were separated from the product by vacuum distillation by holding the reaction flask at -20 °C(alcohol/ice). Then, the product was isolated and purified by repeated fractional dynamic vacuum distillations by using -20 °C and -196 °C traps. The yellow crystalline solid which remained in the -20°C trap was further purified by vacuum distillation at room temperature to yield Me₂Ga(hfac) (2.22 g, 7.23 mmol, 91.6 % yield based on Hhfac).

Synthesis of $R_2Ga(hfac)$ (R = Me, Et) by Metathesis Reactions (Method B). The preparation of $R_2Ga(hfac)$ (R = Me, Et) by metathesis reactions was accomplished by combining stoichiometric quantities of GaR_2Cl and Na(hfac) in pentane. In a typical experiment a Solv-Seal two-necked flask was charged with the appropriate diorganogallium chloride (3 - 7 mmol), was equipped with a magnetic stir bar and a sidearm dumper containing a stoichiometric quantity of Na(hfac) and was connected to another Solv-Seal Schlenk flask with a medium porosity glass frit. Next, approximately 30 mL of pentane was vacuum distilled onto the diorganogallium chloride at -196°C. With the solution of R_2GaCl at 0 °C, Na(hfac) was added over a period of 20 min. After the reaction mixture had been stirred at room temperature for 12 h, the resulting yellow product was filtered. The diorganogallium β -diketonate was separated from the solvent by fractional distillations by using -20°C and -196°C baths as described previously.

Synthesis of $R_2Ga(bdk)$ (R = Me; bdk = hfac, acac; R = Mes, bdk = hfac) by Ligand Redistribution Reactions (Method C). In a typical reaction, the reagents GaR_3 and $Ga(bdk)_3$ were added to a reaction flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar. Then approximately 25 mL of solvent (pentane for Me₂Ga(hfac); Et₂O for Me₂Ga(acac)) was vacuum distilled into the flask. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature, stirred and subsequently heated in a 70 °C oil bath for 2 h. Then the resulting clear solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. In the case of Mes₂Ga(hfac), an additional heating period of 3 h was required for complete reaction. The solvent was removed while holding the reaction mixture at -20 °C. Purification of the product was achieved by either fractional vacuum distillation or vacuum sublimation, as appropriate.

Tensimetric Titrations. The diorganogallium β -diketonate derivatives which reacted with NMe₃ were determined by tensiometric titrations at 0 °C either neat or as toluene solutions [Mes₂Ga(bdk) bdk = hfac, acac, tmhd] as described by Shriver and Drezdon.^{27b} Those diorganogallium β -diketonate derivatives which formed stoichiometric adducts were subsequently prepared, isolated and characterized.

Preparation of Lewis Adducts. A preweighed amount (1-10 mmol) of $R_2Ga(hfac)$ (R = Me, Et) was vacuum distilled into a flask at -196 °C which was connected by a glass elbow to another Schlenk flask. After an excess of amine was added by vacuum distillation, the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The excess amine was removed at 0 °C by vacuum distillation. The resulting product was then purified at room temperature by either vacuum sublimation (Me₂Ga(hfac)•NMe₃, Me₂Ga(hfac)•py) or vacuum distillation (Et₂Ga(hfac)•NMe₃).

Determination of Vapor Pressure as a Function of Temperature. The vapor pressure of each diorganogallium β -diketonate derivative was observed at room temperature and above by using an immersible tensimeter which was connected to the manometer on the vacuum line.^{27c} Mercury heights were measured by using a cathetometer which could be read to ±0.01 mm Hg.

Synthetic Reaction and Product Characterization Data. Me,Ga(hfac). Yield of product: Method A, 91.6 %; Method B, 73.6 %; Method C, 78.8 %. Mp: Yellow liquid at room temperature; liquid easily supercooled; crystals formed by maintaining pure compound at 0 °C for 2 h. Vp at 20 °C: 13.9 mmHg (log p = -2155/T +8.45, $R^2 = 0.999$). Cryoscopic molecular weight, benzene solution, formula weight 306.84 (observed molality, observed mol. wt., association): 0.0605, 274, 0.89; 0.0490, 261, 0.85; 0.0318, 266, 0.87. Anal. Calcd for C₇H₇F₆GaO₇: C, 27.40 H, 2.30. Found: C, 27.65; H, 2.43. ¹H NMR (C_6D_6): δ 5.80 (s, 0.7 H, H-hfac,), - 0.26 (Ga-CH₃, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75.46 MHz, $C_6 D_6$) δ 181.08 (q, $J_{CO,F}$ = 37.7 Hz, -C=O), 117.84 (q, $J_{C,F}$ = 287 Hz, -CF₃), 91.31 (s, =C-), -6.17 (s, H₃C-Ga). ¹⁹F NMR (C₆D₆): δ -14.38. IR (Neat, cm⁻ ¹): 1735 (w), 1700 (w), 1652 (sh,s), 1645 (vs), 1615 (sh,m), 1611 (m), 1562 (m), 1534 (m), 1500 (w), 1451 (vs), 1355 (w), 1332 (w), 1255 (vs). Vapor pressure vs temperature data: 1/T(x10⁻³)[log p], 3.382 [1.161], 3.272 [1.446], 3.163 [1.678], 2.984 [2.045], 2.872 [2.291], 2.800 [2.439], 2.713 [2.622], 2.644 [2.78]. Me, Ga(hfac) • NMe, Colorless crystalline solid. Mp: 29.9 - 31.3 °C. Vp @ 20 °C: 2.0 mmHg (log p = -2281/T + 8.44, $R^2 = 0.978$). Cryoscopic molecular weight, benzene solution, formula weight 365.95 (observed molality, observed mol. wt., association): 0.0631, 348, 0.95; 0.0.0496, 343, 0.94; 0.0323, 344, 0.94. Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₆F₆GaNO₂: C, 32.82; H, 4.41; N, 3.83. Found: C, 32.80; H, 4.52; N, 3.72. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 6.08 (s, 0.6 H, *H*-hfac), 1.62 (s, 9 H, NCH₃), -0.16 (s, 6 H, Ga-CH₃). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 175.97 (q, ${}^{2}J_{CF}$ = 34 Hz, C=O), 118.77 (q, J_{CF} = 288 Hz, -CF₃), 90.41 (s, =C-), 46.46 (s, N-CH₃), -7.073 (s, Ga-CH₃). ¹⁹F NMR (C_6D_6): δ -14.39. IR (Nujol mull, cm⁻¹): 1685

(s), 1646(m), 1605 (w), 1557 (s), 1529 (m), 1480 (s), 1447(m), 1408 (w). Vapor pressure vs temperature data, 1/T (x10⁻³) [log p]; 3.66 [0.155], 3.31 [0.708], 3.27 [0.892], 3.1 [1.358], 3 [1.627], 2.92 [1.832], 2.83 [2.062], 2.74 [2.306], 2.7 [2.413],2.61 [2.577], 2.54 [2.605], 2.45 [2.588]. Me,Ga(hfac) • py. Lilac colored solid before complete removal of excess pyridine. Colorless crystalline solid after purification by room temperature vacuum sublimation; isolated in 85.6 % yield. Mp: 45.7 - 47.5 °C. Vp @ 20 °C: 0.4 mmHg (log p = -2404/T + 8.41, R² = 0.976). Cryoscopic molecular weight, benzene solution, formula weight 385.94 (observed molality, observed mol. wt., association): 0.08342, 345, 0.89; 0.0703, 336, 0.87; 0.0528, 295, 0.76. Anal. Calcd for C₁,H₁,F₆GaNO₂: C, 37.35; H, 3.13; N, 3.63. Found: C, 37.53; H, 3.07; N, 3.63. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) & 8.13 (m, py), 6.75 (m, py), 6.42 (m, py), 6.13 (s, 0.7 H, Hhfac), 0.09 (s, 6 H, Ga-CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 176.99 (q, ²J_{CF} = 35 Hz, C=O), 147.53 (s, py), 138.60 (s, py), 125.00 (s, py), 118.53 (q, $J_{CF} = 286$ Hz, -CF₃), 90.81 (s, =C-), -4.14 (s, Ga-CH₃). ¹⁹F NMR (C₆D₆): δ -14.31. IR (Nujol mull, cm⁻¹): 1740 (m,sh), 1683 (vs), 1656 (s, sh), 1610 (s), 1557 (s), 1533 (s), 1472 (vs, br), 1397 (m). Vapor pressure vs temperature data, $1/T (x10^3) [\log p]$; 3.4 [0.176], 3.37 [0.204], 3.27 [0.398], 3.19 [0.653], 3.13 [0.833], 3.08 [0.987], 3.02 [1.215], 2.97 [1.348], 2.87 [1.632], 2.86 [1.688], 2.8 [1.803], 2.75 [1.897], 2.68 [2.131], 2.61 [2.26], 2.51 [2.404], 2.5 [2.408], 2.44 [2.448], 2.41 [2.457], 2.34 [2.485]. Et,Ga(hfac). Yield of product: Method A. 94.9 %. Method B. 85.5 %. Brilliant yellow liquid purified by room temperature vacuum distillation. Vp @ 20 °C: 2.2 mmHg. Cryoscopic molecular weight, benzene solution, formula weight 334.90 (observed molality, observed mol. wt., association): 0.0826, 330, 0.98; 0.0615, 328, 0.98; 0.0412, 326, 0.97. Anal. Calcd for C₀H₁₁F₆GaO₂: C, 32.28; H, 3.31. Found: C, 32.30; H, 3.24. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $C_6 D_6$) δ 5.86 (*H*-hfac, 0.7 H), 0.95 (t, $J_{CH} = 8$ Hz, GaCH₂CH₃), 0.42 (q, $J_{CH} = 8$ Hz, Ga-CH₂). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 181.53 (q, J_{COF} = 36 Hz, -C=O), 117.90 (q, J_{CF} = 285 Hz, -CF₃), 91.38 (s, =C-), 8.31 (s, GaCH₂CH₃), 5.55 (s, GaCH₂CH₃). ¹⁹F NMR

 $(C_6 D_6)$: δ -14.36. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 1765 (w), 1648 (vs), 1615 (m), 1565 (m), 1538 (w), 1453 (s). Et₂Ga(hfac)•NMe₃. Brilliant yellow liquid isolated in 73.7 % yield by vacuum distillation at room temperature. Vp @ 20 °C: 1.5 mmHg. Cryoscopic molecular weight, benzene solution, formula weight 394.01 (observed molality, observed mol. wt., association): 0.0822, 349, 0.89; 0.0670, 346, 0.88; 0.0466, 344, 0.87. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₂₀F₆GaNO₂: C, 36.58; H, 5.12. Found: C, 36.60; H, 4.64. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 6.04 (s, 0.7 H, *H*-hfac), 1.68 (s, 9 H, NCH₃), 1.14 (t, J_{CH} = 8 Hz, 6 H, GaCH₂CH₃), 0.45 (t, $J_{CH} = 8$ Hz, 4 H, GaCH₂CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 203.29 (q, ${}^{2}J_{CF}$ = 36 Hz, C=O), 46.80 (s, NCH₃), 9.84 (s, GaCH₂CH₃), 5.43 (s, GaCH₂CH₃). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 1648 (s), 1613 (w), 1580 (w), 1565 (w), 1539 (w), 1477 (w), 1455 (m). Mes₂Ga(hfac). Yield of product: Method A. 65.7 %. Method C. 87.3 %. Bright yellow solid purified by recrystallization from methylcyclohexane at -20 °C (crystallographic quality crystals) or by vacuum sublimation at 60 °C. Mp: 91.1 -93.4 °C. Cryoscopic molecular weight, benzene solution, formula weight 515.14 (observed molality, observed mol. wt., association): 0.0620, 601, 1.17; 0.0540, 570, 1.11; 0.0367, 561, 1.09. Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₂₃F₆GaO₂: C, 53.63; H, 4.50. Found: C, 53.81; H, 4.51. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $C_6 D_6$) δ 6.73 (s, 2H, Mes-H), 5.83 (s, 0.7 H, Hhfac), 2.38 (s, 12H, o-Mes-CH₃), 2.10 (s, 6H, p -Mes-CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, $C_6 D_6$ δ 180.48 (q, ²J_{CF} = 36 Hz, C=O), 144.93 (s, Mes), 140.00 (s, Mes), 138.86 (s, Mes), 128.89 (s, Mes), 117.70 (q, J_{CF} = 284 Hz, -CF₃), 92.33 (s, =C-), 24.64 (s, o-Mes-CH₃), 21.49 (s, p -Mes-CH₃). ¹⁹F NMR (C_6D_6): δ -14.36. IR (Nujol mull, cm⁻¹): 1643(m), 1609(w), 1602(w), 1562(m), 1535(w), 1458(s), 1446 (sh, s), 1436 (sh, m), 1365 (sh, m), 1356 (sh, w), 1340 (sh, w), 1287 (w). Me,Ga(tfac). Yield of product: Method A. 93.4%. Colorless liquid. Vp @ 20 °C: 3.1 mmHg (log p = -2172/T + 7.99, R² = 0.997). Cryoscopic molecular weight, benzene solution, formula weight 252.87 (observed molality, observed mol. wt., association): 0.0794, 238, 0.94; 0.0644, 234, 0.93; 0.0438, 232, 0.92. Anal. Calcd for C₇H₁₀F₃GaO₂: C, 33.25; H, 3.99. Found: C,

33.20; H, 3.90. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 5.35 (s, 0.5 H, *H*-hfac), 1.34 (s, 3 H, tfac-CH₃), 0.42 (s, 6 H, Ga-CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, C₆D₆) & 202.90 (s, H₃C-C=O), 171.66 (q, ${}^{2}J_{CF}$ = 34 Hz, -C=O), 121.49 (q, J_{CF} = 283 Hz, -CF₃), 96.59 (s,=C-), 28.46 (s, tfac-CH₃), -6.14 (s, GaCH₃). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 1729 (w), 1620 (vs), 1527 (vs), 1442 (vs), 1365 (vs), 1300 (br, vs). Vapor pressure vs temperature data, $1/T(x10^{-3})$ [log p]; 2.493 [2.603], 2.596 [2.377], 2.623 [2.215], 2.821 [1.895], 2.918 [1.667], 3.006 [1.459], 3.082 [1.26], 3.229 [0.973], 3.31 [0.82]. Me₂Ga(acac). Yield of product: Method A. 96.3 % Method C. 78.0 %. Colorless solid. Mp: 20 - 22°C (lit⁴ 21.8 -22.0°C). Vp @ 20 °C, 1.1 mmHg (lit⁴ 1.1 mmHg). Cryoscopic molecular weight, benzene solution, formula weight 198.90 (observed molality, observed mol. wt., association): 0.100, 186, 0.93; 0.0786, 179, 0.90; 0.0561, 174.46, 0.88. Anal. Calcd for C₇H₁₃GaO₂: C, 42.27; H, 6.59. Found: C, 42.49; H, 6.39. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 4.92 (*H*-acac, 0.7 H), 1.58 (s, 6 H, acac-CH₃), 0.03 (s, 6 H, Ga-CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, C₆D₆) & 193.33 (s, -C=O), 100.71 (s, =C-), 27.41 (s, acac-CH₃), -6.22 (s, Ga-CH₃). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 1590 (vs), 1530 (s), 1430 (s), 1370 (vs). Et₂Ga(acac). Yield of product: Method A. 89.2 %. Colorless liquid purified by vacuum distillation at 27 °C by using a short-path distillation apparatus. Cryoscopic molecular weight, benzene solution, formula weight 226.95 (observed molality, observed mol wt, association): 0.0846, 219, 0.96; 0.0642, 215, 0.95, 0.0439, 211, 0.93. Anal. Calcd for C₉H₁₇GaO₂: C, 47.63; H, 7.55. Found: C, 47.52; H, 7.44. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 4.94 (H-acac, 0.7 H), 1.63 (s, 6H, acac-CH₃), 1.28 (t, J_{CH} = 8 Hz, 6H, Ga-CH₂CH₃), 0.69 (q, J_{CH} = 8 Hz, 4H, Ga-CH₂CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, C₆D₆) & 193.75 (s, -C=O), 100.78 (s, =C-), 27.35 (s, acac-CH₃), 9.74 (s, Ga-CH₂CH₃), 4.70 (s, Ga-CH₂CH₃). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 1587 (vs), 1525 (vs), 1417 (vs), 1370 (vs). Mes, Ga(acac). Yield of product: Method A. 89.3 %. Colorless solid. After the mesitylene was removed by holding sample at 45 °C while dynamically pumping on the sample overnight, Mes, Ga(acac) was purified by recrystallization from methylcyclohexane. Mp: 131.4 °C (onset of glass transition) -

138.7 °C (melting to clear liquid). Cryoscopic molecular weight, benzene solution, formula weight 407.20 (observed molality, observed mol wt, association): 0.0820, 382, 0.94; 0.0647, 374, 0.92; 0.0397, 388, 0.95. Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₂₉GaO₂: C, 67.84; H, 7.18. Found: C, 67.82; H, 7.35. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 6.86 (s, 4 H, Mes-H), 4.92 (s, 0.7 H, H-acac), 2.60 (s, o-Mes-CH₃), 2.18 (s, 6H, p-Mes-CH₃), 1.52 (s, 6H, acac-CH₃. ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, $C_6 D_6$) δ 193.38 (s, C=O), 145.17 (s, Mes), 142.15 (s, Mes), 138.31 (s, Mes), 128.46 (s, Mes), 101.43 (s, =C-), 27.50 (s, acac-CH₃), 24.94 (s, o-Mes-CH₃), 21.61 (p-Mes-CH₃). IR (Nujol mull, cm⁻¹): 1577 (s), 1530 (s), 1459 (s), 1399 (m), 1373 (m), 1362 (m, sh), 1355 (m), 1345 (m). Me,Ga(tmhd). Colorless crystalline solid was isolated by holding the reaction mixture at -20 °C (alcohol/ice) while solvent was removed by vacuum distill-ation and then product was purified by room temperature vacuum sublimation. Yield of product: Method A. 95.9%. Mp: 24 - 25 °C. Vp @ 20 °C, 0.7 mmHg. Cryoscopic molecular weight, benzene solution, formula weight 283.06 (observed molality, observed mol. wt., association): 0.0757, 288, 1.02; 0.0608, 280, 0.99, 0.0401, 271, 0.96. Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₅GaO₂: C, 55.16; H, 8.90. Found: C, 55.05; H, 8.86. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 5.72 (s, 0.7 H, tmhd-H), 1.06 (s, 18 H, tmhd-CH₃), 0.07 (s, 6 H, Ga-CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 203.70 (s, -C=O), 91.32 (s, =C-), 41.65 (s, tmhd-CCH₃), 28.33 (s, tmhd-CCH₃), -6.58 (s, Ga-CH_a). IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 1597 (m), 1571 (vs, sh), 1560 (vs, sh), 1554 (vs), 1538 (vs), 1501 (vs), 1459 (m), 1380 (vs), 1356 (vs). Mes₂Ga(tmhd). Yield of product: Method A. 75.5 % based upon GaMes₃. Colorless solid isolated after removing other volatile products and solvent by vacuum distillation for 8 h while holding sample at 55 °C; then recrystallization from methylcyclohexane at -30 °C. Mp: 152.0 -155.4 °C (glass transition), 160.5 - 165.2 °C (melting to clear liquid). Cryoscopic molecular weight, benzene solution, formula weight 491.36 (observed molality, observed mol. wt., association): 0.0780, 519, 1.06; 0.0573, 408, 0.83; 0.0381, 501, 1.02. Anal. Calcd for C₂₉H₄₁GaO₂: C, 70.89; H, 8.41 found: C, 70.89; H, 8.43. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 6.85 (s, 4 H, Mes-H),

5.78 (s, 0.7 H, *H*-tmhd), 2.60 (s, 12H, o-Mes-CH₃), 2.18 (s, 6H, *p* -Mes-CH₃), 1.02 (s, 18 H, tmhd-CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 203.04 (s, C=O), 145.12 (s, Mes), 142.52(s, Mes), 138.21 (s, Mes), 128.44 (s, Mes), 92.18 (s, =C-), 41.69 (tmhd-CCH₃), 28.27 (s, tmhd-CCH₃), 25.02 (s, o-Mes-CH₃), 21.60 (p-Mes-CH₃). IR (Nujol mull, cm⁻¹): 1599 (m), 1552 (vs), 1508 (s), 1460 (vs), 1400 (m), 1375 (s) 1353 (s).

Reaction Between GaMe₃ and Ga(acac)₃ in a 1:2 Mol Ratio. A Solv-Seal glass tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with 2.025 g (5.516 mmol) of Ga(acac)₃, 0.317 g (2.76 mmol) of GaMe₃ and 20 mL of pentane. Then the reaction mixture was heated with a 70 °C oil bath and stirred for 20 h. However, the presence of a slightly soluble colorless solid in the reaction mixture suggested that reaction was incomplete. Thus, approximately 20 mL of Et,O was added and the resulting solution was heated with a 70 °C oil bath for 2 h. Vacuum distillation under dynamic vacuum with the original flask held at -20 °C and with traps on the vacuum line at -20 and -196 °C produced a mixture of a colorless crystalline solid and a slightly yellow (almost colorless) powdery solid in the Schlenk flask and a small amount of colorless crystals in the -20 °C trap. The pentane and ether collected in the -196 -°C trap. The colorless crystals in the Schlenk flask and in the -20 °C trap were transferred at room temperature to a weighable tube on the vacuum line. The melting point of the crystals (22-23 °C) and subsequent ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra confirmed Me, Ga(acac) (0.309 g, 1.55 mmol). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $C_s D_s$) δ 4.93 (s, 0.3H, acac-H), 1.58 (s, 6 H, acac-CH₃), 0.03 (s, 6 H, Ga-CH₃). ¹³C NMR $(125.7 \text{ MHz}, C_6 D_6) \delta 193.33 \text{ (C=O)}, 100.71 \text{ (=C-)}, 27.41 \text{ (acac-CH₃)}, -6.22 \text{ (Ga-CH₃)}.$ The powdery higher melting solid remaining in the Schlenk flask was identified as unreacted Ga(acac)₃ (1.360 g, 3.71 mmol. Mp: 197.0 - 199.5 °C (lit¹² 194 °C)). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 5.17, 1.74.

Reaction Between GaMes₃ and H(hfac) in a 1:2 Mol Ratio (Neat). Trimesitylgallium (0.816 g, 1.91 mmol) and H(hfac) (0.808 g, 3.88 mmol) were combined in a Schlenk flask to form a bright yellow reaction mixture which was allowed to stir at

room temperature for 12 h. Then, three products, a volatile colorless liquid (0.394 g, 3.28 mmol of mesitylene), a volatile colorless crystalline solid (0.429 g, 0.621 mmol of $Ga(hfac)_3$, mp 70.3 - 72.5 °C, (lit¹² 68.5 - 70.0°C) and a relatively less volatile yellow solid (0.419 g, 0.815 mmol of Mes₂Ga(hfac), mp 89.6 - 94.1°C) were separated by either vacuum distillation or sublimation, as appropriate, and identified by using the vacuum line to determine the vapor pressure and molecular weight of the volatile liquid (mesitylene) and the melting points to identify the gallium containing solids.

Collection of X-ray Diffraction Data. In each case crystals were sealed into thin-walled glass capillaries in an argon atmosphere inside a drybox kept under strictly anaerobic and moisture-free conditions. The crystals were inspected under a binocular polarizing microscope to ensure that they were single; they were then centered accurately on a Siemens R3m/V automated four-circle diffractometer. The unit cell parameters and Laue symmetry were determined as described previously.²⁸ Intensity data (Mo K α , λ = 0.710730 Å) were collected at ambient temperatures (24-25 °C) with graphitemonochromatized radiation. Data were corrected for absorption and for Lorentz and polarization factors. Details are provided in Table 3.

(a) $Mes_2Ga(CF_3COCHCOCF_3)$. The crystal was of dimensions $0.4 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ mm. The crystal belonged to the orthorhombic system (mmm diffraction symmetry); the systematic absences ($0k\ell$ for $k+\ell = 2n+1$, $h0\ell$ for h = 2n) are consistent with either the centrosymmetric space group Pnam or with the non-centrosymmetric space group Pna2₁. The successful solution of the structure was carried out in the lower, acentric, space group.

(b) $Me_2Ga(CF_3COCHCOCF_3)(py)$. The crystal was of dimension $0.6 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ mm and belonged to the orthorhombic crystal system. The systematic absences ($0k\ell$ for k = 2n+1, $h0\ell$ for $\ell = 2n + 1$ and hk0 for h = 2n + 1) uniquely define the centrosymmetric space group Pbca.

Determination of the Crystal Structures. All crystallographic calculations were carried out on a VAX station 3100 computer with use of the Siemens SHELXTL

PLUS (Release 4.11 (VMS)) program package.²⁹ The scattering factors used were the analytical values for neutral atoms; these were corrected for both components (Δf and $i\Delta f''$) of anomalous dispersion.³⁰ The structures were solved by direct methods and difference-Fourier syntheses. All non-hydrogen atoms were located and their positional and anisotropic thermal parameters were refined. Hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions based upon d(C-H) = 0.96Å and the appropriate idealized trigonal or tetrahedral geometry.³¹ The correctness of each structure was confirmed by means of a final difference-Fourier synthesis.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research (O.T.B.). The upgrading of the X-ray diffractometer was made possible by Grant 89-13733 from the Chemical Instrumentation Program of the National Science Foundation.

Supporting Information Available. Complete tables of positional parameters, interatomic distances and angles, anisotropic thermal parameters and calculated positions for hydrogen atoms for each compound (7 pages). For ordering information see any current masthead page.

References

- a) Fischer, R.A.; Mierh, A.; Ambacher, O.; Metzger, T.; Born, E. J. Cryst. Growth 1997, 170, 139 and references therein. b) Jutzi, P.; Dahlhaus, J. Neumann, B.; Stammler, H.-G. Organometallics 1996, 15, 747. c) Cowley, A.H.; King, C.S.; Decken, A. Organometallics 1995, 14, 20. d) Schumann, H.; Hatrmann, U.; Wassermann, W. Polyhedron 1990 9, 353.
- 2. a) Pasynkiewicz, S.; Dowbor, K. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 43, 75. b) Kroll,
 W.R.; Kuntz, I.; Birnbaum, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1971, 26, 313. c) Kroll,
 W.R.; Naegele, W. J. Organomet. Chem. 1969, 19, 439. d) Bogdanovic, B.
 Angew. Chem. Intl. Ed. 1965, 4, 954. e) Lewinski, L. J.; Zachara, J.; Justyniak, I.
 Organometallics 1997, 16, 4597.
- 3. a) Habeeb, J.J.; Tuck, D.G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 101, 1. b) Chung,
 H.L.; Tuck, D.G. Can. J. Chem. 1974, 52, 3944 and references therein. c) Clark,
 H.C.; Pickard, A.L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1967, 8, 427. d) Coates, G.E.;
 Whitcombe, R.A. J. Chem. Soc. 1956, 3351.
- 4. Coates, G.E.; Hayter, R.G. J. Chem. Soc. 1953, 2519.
- 5. Sato, H.; Yamada, T.; Sugiura, H. J. Cryst. Growth 1991, 115, 241.
- Ballarin, B.; Battiston, G.A.; Benetollo, F.; Gerbasi, R.; Porchia, M; Favretto, D.; Traldi, P. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1994, 217, 71.
- Beachley, Jr., O.T.; Churchill, M.R.; Pazik, J.C.; Ziller, J.W. Organometallics 1986, 5, 1814.
- 8. Tables of Interatomic Distances and Configurations in Molecules and Ions, Supplement 1956 - 1959. Spec. Pub. No. 18, The Chemical Society, London, 1965.
- 9. Churchill, M. R.; Mason, R. Proc. Chem. Soc. 1963, 365.
- 10. Churchill, M. R.; Mason, R. Proc. Roy. Soc. 1966, A292, 61.
- 11. Churchill, D. G.; Churchill, M. R.; Lake, C. H. J. Chem. Cryst. 1994, 24, 711.
- 12. Utsonomiya, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 1971, 44, 2688.

- Mehrotra, R.C.; Bohra, R; Gaur, D.P. Metal β-Diketonates And Allied Derivatives; Academic Press: New York, 1978; pp. 58-69.
- 14. While the exact assignment of the highly mixed C=O and C=C stretching frequencies has been the subject of much controversy (See ref. 7, pp. 109-119. and Joshi, K.C.; Pathak, V.N. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1977, 22, 37.), the assignments used in this paper follow the convention of Behnke, G.T.; Nakamoto, K. Inorg. Chem. 1967, 6, 433.
- 15. Thiel, K.-H.; Hecht, E.; Gelbrich, T.; Dümichen, U. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 540, 89.
- Storre, J.; Klemp, A.; Roesky, H.W.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Noltemeyer, M.; Fleischer, R.; Stalke, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 1380.
- 17. Atwood, D.A.; Cowley, A.H.; Jones, R.A. J. Coord. Chem. 1992, 26, 69.
- 18. Neumuller, B.; Gahlmann, F. Chem. Ber. 1993, 126, 1579.
- Cowley, A. H.; Mehrotra, S. K.; Atwood, J. L.; Hunter, W. E. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1115.
- 19. Neumuller, B.; Gahlmann, F. Chem. Ber. 1993, 126, 1579.
- 20. Smith, G.S.; Hoard, J.L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1959, 81, 3907.
- Atwood, D.A.; Cowley, A.H.; Harris, P.R.; Jones, R.A. Organometallics 1993, 12, 24.
- 22. Carmalt, C.J.; Cowley, A.H.; Culp, R.D.; Jones, R.A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem.Comm. 1996, 1453.
- 23. Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Maloney, J. D. Organometallics 1997, 16, 4016.
- Shin, H.-K.; Chi, K.M.; Farkas, J.; Hampden-Smith, M.J.; Kodas, T.T.; Duesler,
 E.N. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 424.
- 25. Armer, B.; Schmidbauer, H. Chem Ber. 1967, 100, 1521.
- 26. Eisch, J. J. J. Am. Chem Soc. 1962, 84, 3830.
- 27. Shriver, D.F.; Drezdon, M.A. The Manipulation of Air Sensitive Compounds;

Wiley: New York, 1986; (a) pp 38. (b) pp 172. (c) pp 175.

- 28. Churchill, M. R.; Lashewycz, R. A.; Rotella, F. J. Inorg. Chem. 1977, 16, 265.
- 29. Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL PLUS, Release 4.11 (VMS), Siemens Analytical Instrument Corp.; Madison, WI, 1989.
- 30. International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography; Kynoch Press: Birmingham, England, 1974, Vol. 4, pp 99 - 101 and 149 - 150.
- 31. Churchill, M. R. Inorg. Chem. 1973, 12, 1213.

Table	1.
-------	----

Selected Interatomic Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for Mes₂Ga(hfac).

(a) Gallium–ligan	(a) Gallium-ligand bond lengths			
Ga(1)-O(1)	2.008(11)	Ga(1)-C(11)	1.971(13)	
Ga(1)O(5)	2.009(11)	Ga(1)-C(21)	1.943(10)	
(b) Bondlengths v	vithin chelate ring			
O(1)C(2)	1.245(20)	C(2)–C(3)	1.392(24)	
O(5)-C(4)	1.252(21)	C(3)-C(4)	1.422(23)	
(c) Bond lengths i	nvolving CF ₃ groups			
C(1)-F(1)	1.18(3)	C(5)-F(4)	1.26(4)	
C(1)-F(2)	1.21(3)	C(5)–F(5)	1.26(3)	
C(1)–F(3)	1.30(3)	C(5)–F(6)	1.30(3)	
C(1)C(2)	1.49(3)	C(5)C(4)	1.42(3)	
(d) Angles around	gallium			
O(1)-Ga(1)-O(5)	88.7(4)	O(5)-Ga(1)-C(11)	104.0(5)	
O(1)-Ga(1)-C(11)	111.4(5)	O(5)-Ga(1)-C(21)	109.1(5)	
O(1)-Ga(1)-C(21)	103.8(6)	C(11)-Ga(1)-C(21)	131.5(8)	

Table 2.Selected Interatomic Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for $Me_2Ga(hfac) \cdot NC_5H_5$.

(a)	Gallium–ligand distances	
	- · · ·	
	•	

Ga(1)-O(1)	1.971(8)	Ga(1)C(21)	1.986(13)
Ga(1)•••O(2)	2.590(8)	Ga(1)C(22)	1.941(14)
Ga(1)-N(11)	2.128(9)		

(b) Bond lengths within 'chelate' ring

O(2)--C(4)

C(1)-C(2)

O(1)-C(2) 1.287(15) C(2)-C(3) 1.346(16)

C(3)--C(4)

C(5)-C(4)

1.386(16)

1.507(21)

(c)	Bond lengths involving CF ₃ groups

1.251(17)

1.486(18)

C(1)-F(1)	1.311(18)	C(5)-F(4)	1.302(24)
C(1)-F(2)	1.315(17)	C(5)–F(5)	1.172(25)
C(1)-F(3)	1.288(17)	C(5)-F(6)	1.177(27)

(d) Bond lengths within pyridine ring

N(11)-C(12)	1.335(17)	C(13)-C(14)	1.313(29)
N(11)-C(16)	1.297(16)	C(14)-C(15)	1.380(26)
C(12)-C(13)	1.430(21)	C(15)-C(16)	1.369(19)
(e) Angles around g	gallium		
O(1)-Ga(1)-N(11)	85.8(3)	O(1)-Ga(1)-C(21)	112.2(5)

N(11)-Ga(1)-C(21)101.8(4)O(1)-Ga(1)-C(22)109.9(5)N(11)-Ga(1)-C(22)101.0(5)C(21)-Ga(1)-C(22)133.0(6)

Table 2. (Cont.)

O(2)•••Ga(1)–O(1)	76.5(3)	O(2)•••Ga(1)–N(11)	162.3(3)
O(2)•••Ga(1)-C(21)	84.8(5)	O(2)•••Ga(1)-C(22)	85.8(5)

(f) Angles within the $CF_3COCHCOCF_3$ ligand

Ga(1)O(1)C(2)	134.6(7)	Ga(1)•••O(2)-C(4)	120.7(8)
C(2)-C(1)-F(1)	112.6(11)	C(2)-C(1)-F(2)	111.0(11)
F(1)-C(1)-F(2)	103.5(12)	C(2)-C(1)-F(3)	115.9(12)
F(1)-C(1)-F(3)	108.4(12)	F(2)-C(1)-F(3)	104.5(11)
O(1)-C(2)-C(1)	109.2(10)	O(1)C(2)C(3)	130.4(11)
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	120.3(11)	C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	123.3(11)
O(2)-C(4)-C(3)	127.2(11)	O(2)C(4)C(5)	115.3(12)
C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	117.2(13)	C(4)-C(5)-F(4)	109.0(18)
C(4)-C(5)-F(5)	116.0(16)	F(4)C(5)F(5)	99.1(16)
C(4)-C(5)-F(6)	117.1(14)	F(4)-C(5)-F(6)	97.8(17)
F(5)C(5)F(6)	114.0(23)		

(g) Angles involving the pyridine moiety

Ga(1)-N(11)-C(12)	120.4(8)	Ga(1)N(11)C(16)	124.3(8)
C(12)-N(11)-C(16)	115.4(11)	N(11)-C(12)-C(13)	121.8(14)
C(12)-C(13)-C(14)	120.1(17)	C(13)-C(14)-C(15)	118.1(16)
C(14)-C(15)-C(16)	118.2(16)	N(11)-C(16)-C(15)	126.3(13)

Table 3.

Data for the X-Ray Crystallographic Studies of $Me_2Ga(hfac)$ and $Me_2Ga(hfac) \cdot NC_5H_5$.

Compound	Mes ₂ Ga(hfac)	$Me_2Ga(hfac) \cdot NC_5H_5$
Molec. Formula	$\mathrm{C_{23}H_{23}F_6GaO_2}$	$C_{12}H_{12}F_6GaNO_2$
Crystal System	Orthorhombic	Orthorhombic
Space Group	Pna2 ₁ (No. 33)	Pbca (No.61)
a, Å	8.167(2)	8.0160(13)
b, Å	18.982(5)	18.755(4)
c, Å	15.345(4)	21.591(4)
V, Å ³	2378.9(10)	3246.1(11)
Z	4	8
molec wt	515.1	385.9
D, g/cm ₃	1.438	1.579
$\mu(Mo K\alpha), mm^{-1}$	1.212	1.750
T, max/min	N/A	0.4536/0.5240
F(000)	1048	1536
2θ range, deg	5 - 45	5 - 45
h	-8 to 0	0 to +8
k	0 to +20	0 to +20
l	0 to +16	-23 to +23
Reflections Collected	1833	4727
Independent Reflections	1635	2132 ($R_{int} = 3.7 \%$)
Reflections above 60	909	1002
Absolute Structure	$\eta = 0.2(2)$	N/A

Table 3 (Cont.)		
Weighting Scheme, w ⁻¹	$\sigma^{2}(F) + 0.0017F^{2}$	$\sigma^2(F) + 0.0066F^2$
Number of parameters refined	296	200
Final R indicies (all data)	R = 8.78 %	R = 6.33 %
	wR = 7.03 %	wR = 9.34 %
R Indicies (60 data)	R = 4.44 %	R = 5.48 %
,	wR = 4.71 %	wR = 6.53%
Goodness-of-Fit	1.00	0.98
Largest and Mean $\Delta \sigma$	0.003, 0.001	0.075, 0.005
Data-to-Parameter Ratio	5.5:1	5.8:1
Largest Difference Peak	0.50 eÅ ⁻³	0.58 eÅ ⁻³
Largest Difference Hole	-0.41 eÅ ⁻³	-0.37 eÅ ⁻³

Captions to Figures

Figure 1. Molecular geometry of $Mes_2Ga(hfac)$ (ORTEP2 diagram, 30% probability envelopes for thermal vibration ellipsoids and with hydrogen atoms artificially reduced).

Figure 2. Molecular geometry of $Me_2Ga(hfac) \cdot NC_5H_5$ (ORTEP2 diagram, 30 % probability envelopes for thermal vibration ellipsoids and with hydrogen atoms artificially reduced).



+ ()

F (C

FIG 2



•