Army Research Laboratory



Viscous Damping in the Drive Train of a Gas Turbine Engine

by T. A. Korjack



19980316 040

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Army Research Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5067

ARL-TR-1622

March 1998

Viscous Damping in the Drive Train of a Gas Turbine Engine

T. A. Korjack

Information Science and Technology Directorate, ARL

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Abstract

Overdamping that is common to a single-degree-of-freedom damped linear vibratory system was extended to multidegree-of-freedom damped linear system, viz, a drive train situated in a typical gas turbine. Inequalities involving the mass, damping, and stiffness parameters were derived to form a system with a free response that was overdamped in each respective node. A general method to be employed in establishing the design parameters for designing systems to be overdamped in each mode has been identified for purposes of analysis, and the method was utilized to a four-degree- of-freedom model of a drive train in a gas turbine engine with a new look at a solution methodology for overdamping considerations. This technique, or method, for eliminating oscillations in n-degree-of-freedom lumped parameter systems by increasing the amount of viscous damping in the system has been illustrated by using actual data.

Table of Contents

Page

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Conception	2
3.	Methodology	3
4.	Design Application	6
5.	Conclusion	10
6.	References	11
	Appendix: Parameter Values	13
	Distribution List	17
	Report Documentation Page	21

1. Introduction

Viscous damping can limit the oscillations in mechanical systems such as in drive trains of modern tanks. If we look at a linear one-degree-of-freedom spring, mass, and dashpot arrangement, the selection of the proper values of mass, stiffness and damping constants to produce an overdamped or critically damped system can be effectuated without too much difficulty. The solution of a constant coefficient second-order ordinary differential equation shows that if $c \ge 2 \text{ mk}$ (where m, c, and k are the mass, damping, and stiffness coefficients, respectively) then the mechanical system will not oscillate. The purpose of this treatise is to show similar inequalities for nonoscillation of multidegree-of-freedom systems, especially inherent in the accessory gear box, reduction gear box and output shaft of a typical gas turbine engine. This type of analysis can prove to be invaluable for the diagnostics and prognostics of engines as illustrated by Helfman, Dumer and Hanratty (1995).

The systems considered here are those that can be modeled by the matrix differential equation

$$M\ddot{x}(t) + C\dot{x}(t) + Kx(t) = 0,$$
 (1)

where x (t) is an n-dimensional vector of displacements and M, C, and K are nxn symmetric matrices containing the physical parameters of mass, damping, and stiffness constants. It is further assumed that M and K are positive definite matrices, and that C is at least a positive semi-definite matrix. The procedure presented in this work will take advantage of the derived matrix conditions (Inman and Andry 1980), to generate nonlinear algebraic inequalities for the physical parameters of the system. If the parameters can be chosen to satisfy these inequalities, the resulting transient energy response will be overdamped in each respective mode. The inequalities are stated explicitly in terms of the mass, damping, and stiffness constants of the system.

The exact relations for overdamping will be derived for a general two-degree-of-freedom system. As soon as the damping criterion becomes satisfied, the results will be used to calculate the eigenvalues of the system to illustrate that the damped system is, in fact, overdamped in each mode. The design of a specific four-degree-of-freedom model of a drive train in a gas turbine engine associated with the reduction gear drive train in a tank will be given to illustrate the problems encountered in more practical design situations. The generalization to n degrees of freedom will become obvious from these examples.

2. Conception

Inman and Andry (1980) tell us that if, in addition to the restrictions previously listed, the matrices M, C, and K are such, that the matrix

$$M^{-1/2} CM^{-1/2} - 2(M^{-1/2} KM^{-1/2})^{1/2}$$
 (2)

is positive definite, then all of the eigenvalues of equation (1) will be negative real numbers, and hence each mode will be classified as overdamped. Since M is symmetric and positive definite, it possesses a unique positive definite square root, $M^{1/2}$ with inverse $M^{-1/2}$. Using the transformation

$$x = M^{-1/2} y$$

equation (1) is reduced to

$$\ddot{y} + A\dot{y} + By = 0,$$
 (3)

where $A = M^{-1/2} CM^{-1/2}$, and $B = M^{-1/2} KM^{-1/2}$. The condition for overdamping in each mode for equation (2) is that the matrix $A-2B^{1/2}$ must be positive definite. Since the square root of a matrix is, in general, harder to compute then the square of a matrix, it is tempting to use the matrix A^2-4B

in design work. Fortunately, it has been shown (Bellman 1968) that if A^2-4B is positive definite, then so is $A-2B^{1/2}$. Thus, requiring the matrix A^2-4B to be positive definite ensures that each mode of equation (2) will be a decaying nonoscillating (overdamped) function of time.

If it is desired to make the solution of equation (3) overdamped in each mode for arbitrary initial conditions, then it suffices to choose the physical constants m_i , c_i , and k_i so that A^2-4B is positive definite.

3. Methodology

To illustrate the previously mentioned ideas, consider the two-mass arrangement in Figure 1. The appropriate matrices for the equations of motion are

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 + c_2 & -c_2 \\ -c_2 & c_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad K = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 + k_2 & -k_2 \\ -k_2 & k_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$



Figure 1. Two-Degree-of-Freedom System.

The matrix M^{-1/2} is

$$M^{-1/2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{m_1} & 0\\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{m_2} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (4)

Denoting the $i-j^{th}$ element of a generic matrix A by A_{ij} and forming the matrix A^2-4B yields

$$(A2 - 4B)_{11} = \frac{(c_1 + c_2)^2}{m_1^2} + \frac{c_2^2}{m_1 m_2} - 4 \frac{k_1 + k_2}{m_1}$$
(5)

$$(A^{2} - 4B)_{12} = \frac{-c_{1}c_{2} - c_{2}^{2}}{m_{1}\sqrt{m_{1}m_{2}}} - \frac{c_{2}^{2}}{m_{2}\sqrt{m_{1}m_{2}}} + \frac{4k_{2}}{\sqrt{m_{1}m_{2}}} = (A^{2} - 4B)_{21}$$
(6)

$$(A^{2}-4B)_{22} = \frac{c_{2}^{2}}{m_{2}^{2}} + \frac{c_{2}^{2}}{m_{1}m_{2}} - 4 \frac{k_{2}}{m_{2}}.$$
 (7)

It is desired to choose m_i , c_i , and k_i so that the matrix A^2-4B is positive definite. A necessary and sufficient condition for a matrix D to be positive definite is for each of its leading principal minors to be positive. In particular, a real 2 × 2 matrix D is positive definite, if and only if

$$D_{11} > 0$$
, and $D_{11} D_{22} - D_{12} D_{21} > 0$.

Applying these inequalities to $(A^2 - 4B)$ yields

$$\frac{(c_1 + c_2)^2}{m_1^2} + \frac{c_2^2}{m_1 m_2} > 4 \frac{k_1 + k_2}{m_1}$$
(8)

$$\left[\frac{(c_1 + c_2)^2}{m_1^2} + \frac{c_2^2}{m_1 m_2} - 4 \frac{k_1 + k_2}{m_1}\right] \times \left[\frac{c_2^2}{m_2^2} + \frac{c_2^2}{m_1 m_2} - 4 \frac{k_2}{m_2}\right] > \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_1 m_2}} 4k_2 - \frac{c_1 c_2 + c_2^2}{m_1 \sqrt{m_1 m_2}} - \frac{c_2^2}{m_2 \sqrt{m_1 m_2}}\right)^2.$$
(9)

If the parameters m_i , c_i , and k_i are now chosen to satisfy equation (9) (along with the physical constraints that m_i , c_i , and k_i are all positive) then equation (1) will be overdamped in each mode and will not oscillate when perturbed from equilibrium. In total, the six parameters must satisfy eight inequalities (Brent 1973; Byrne and Hall 1973).

The approach taken here was simply to fix the values of m_i and k_i and chose values of c_i to satisfy equation (3). For example, the values

$$m_1 = 1$$
 $m_2 = 1$
 $c_1 = 4$ $c_2 = 5$
 $k_1 = 1$ $k_2 = 2$

satisfy equation (9). In order to verify that this set of values implies overdamping, we solve the eigenvalue problem using these parameters. This yields the characteristic polynomial

$$\lambda^4 + 14\lambda^3 + 25\lambda^2 + 13\lambda + 2 = 0$$
 (10)

with eigenvalues

 $\lambda_1 = -0.2891$, $\lambda_2 = -0.4652$,

$$\lambda_3 = -1.2389$$
,
 $\lambda_4 = -12.0068$.

and

Thus, the design procedure yields an overdamped response, since each eigenvalue is a negative real number.

4. Design Application

The process described here may be useful in enhancing the survivability of certain structures by designing them to have an overdamped free response. In order to illustrate this in a design contest, we consider the drive train of a gas turbine engine (see Figure 2). The numerical values for inertia and stiffness are listed in the appendix, along with the definition of each parameter.



Figure 2. Schematic of Turbine and Drive Train Components.

In order to produce a C matrix that would allow inequalities similar to equation (9) to be formulated, some mechanism must be available for adding damping to the system. For nonrotational systems, this may be accomplished by the use of shock absorbers or linear actuators. For dampers, this may be useful. Figure 2 indicates the addition of such dampers to an existing system (i.e., c_1 , c_2 , c_3 , c_5 , and c_6).

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{J}_{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{J}_{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{J}_{4} \end{bmatrix},$$
(11)

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} c_{1} & -c_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ -c_{1} & c_{1} + c_{2} & -c_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -c_{2} & c_{2} + c_{3} & -c_{3} \\ 0 & 0 & -c_{3} & c_{3} + d_{4} \end{bmatrix},$$
(12)

and

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} k_{1} & -k_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ -k_{1} & k_{1} + k_{2} & -k_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -k_{2} & k_{2} + k_{3} & -k_{4}n_{1}n_{2} \\ 0 & 0 & -k_{4}n_{1}n_{2} & k_{4} \end{bmatrix},$$
(13)

where J_i = the various values of inertia; c_i = added damping constants; d_4 = the damping constant, due to a possible connection strut; k_1 and k_2 = shaft stiffness constants; and k_3 and k_4 = stiffness constants associated with the transmission and gear system. The transmission has a gear ratio n_1 , and the timing gear has a ratio of n_2 . Forming the matrix A^2-4B yields

$$(A2 - 4B)_{11} = \frac{c_1^2}{J_1^2} + \frac{c_2^2}{J_1 J_2} - 4\frac{k_1}{J_1}, \qquad (14)$$

$$(A^{2} - 4B)_{12} = (A^{2} - 4B)_{21} = -\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{J_{1}\sqrt{J_{1}J_{2}}} - \frac{c_{1}(c_{1} + c_{2})}{J_{2}\sqrt{J_{1}J_{2}}} + \frac{4k_{1}}{\sqrt{J_{1}J_{2}}},$$
(15)

$$(A^{2} - 4B)_{13} = (A^{2} - 4B)_{31} = \frac{c_{2}^{2}}{J_{2}\sqrt{J_{1}J_{3}}},$$
 (16)

$$(A2 - 4B)14 = (A2 - 4B)41 = 0, (17)$$

$$(A^{2} - 4B)_{22} = \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{J_{1}J_{2}} + \frac{(c_{1} + c_{2})^{2}}{J_{2}^{2}} + \frac{c_{2}^{2}}{J_{2}J_{3}} - 4 \frac{k_{1} + k_{2}}{J_{2}}, \qquad (18)$$

$$(A^{2} - 4B)_{23} = -\frac{c_{2}(c_{1} + c_{2})}{J_{2}\sqrt{J_{2}J_{3}}} - \frac{c_{2}(c_{2} + c_{3})}{J_{3}\sqrt{J_{2}J_{3}}} + 4\frac{k_{2}}{\sqrt{J_{2}J_{3}}}$$

$$= (A^2 - 4B)_{32}, \qquad (19)$$

$$(A2 - 4B)24 = (A2 - 4B)42 = \frac{c_2 c_3}{J_3 \sqrt{J_2 J_4}},$$
 (20)

$$(A^{2} - 4B)_{33} = \frac{c_{2}^{2}}{J_{2}J_{3}} + \frac{(c_{2} + c_{3})^{2}}{J_{3}^{2}} + \frac{c_{3}^{2}}{J_{3}J_{4}} - 4\frac{k_{2} + k_{3}}{J_{3}}, \qquad (21)$$

$$(A^{2} - 4B)_{34} = -\frac{c_{3}(c_{2} + c_{3})}{J_{3}\sqrt{J_{3}J_{4}}} - \frac{c_{3}(c_{3} + d_{4})}{J_{4}\sqrt{J_{3}J_{4}}} + 4\frac{n_{1}n_{2}k_{4}}{\sqrt{J_{3}J_{4}}}$$

$$= (A^2 - 4B)_{43}, \qquad (22)$$

and

•

$$(A^{2} - 4B)_{44} = \frac{c_{3}^{2}}{J_{3}J_{4}} + \frac{(c_{3} + d_{4})^{2}}{J_{4}^{2}} - 4\frac{k_{4}}{J_{4}}.$$
 (23)

The addition of c_1 , c_2 , c_3 , c_5 , and c_6 is necessary to make A^2-4B positive definite. Requiring the four leading principal minors of the 4 × 4 matrix A^2-4B to be positive yields four inequalities in the inertia, damping and stiffness parameters. Using the values for J_i and k_i (listed in the appendix) and choosing c_i to satisfy the inequalities yields

$c_1 = 12.0000 \times 10^4$	N-m	s/rad,
$c_2 = 5.8653 \times 10^4$	N-m	s/rad,
$c_3 = 1.4700 \times 10^2$	N-m	s/rad,
$c_4 = 3.5300 \times 10^2$	N-m	s/rad,
$c_5 = 18.0000 \times 10^4$	N-m	s/rad,

and

 $c_6 = 7.1347 \times 10^4$ N-m s/rad

as one possible solution for the added damping constants.

The characteristic polynomial for this system is

 $2.024397 \times 10^{-5} \, \lambda^8 + 8.57279 \times 10^{-3} \, \lambda^7 + 1.186625 \, \lambda^6$

+ 58.521594 λ^{5} + 7.830627 × 10² λ^{4}

 $+ 2.5788556 \times 10^{3} \lambda^{3} + 5.7545081 \times 10^{3} \lambda^{2}$

$$+5.7354329 \times 10^{3} \lambda + 16.620975 = 0, \qquad (24)$$

which has roots

$$\lambda_{1} = -0.002,$$

$$\lambda_{2} = -1.707,$$

$$\lambda_{3} = -14.814,$$

$$\lambda_{4} = -68.894,$$

$$\lambda_{5} = -1.5 \times 10^{2},$$

$$\lambda_{6} = -1.85 \times 10^{2},$$

$$\lambda_{7} = -0.943 + 2.216 \text{ i},$$

and

$$\lambda_8 = -0.943 - 2.216 i$$

5. Conclusion

A method accompanied by the complexity of the process and its level of applicability has been presented for eliminating oscillations in n-degree-of-freedom lumped parameter systems by increasing the amount of viscous damping in a four-degree-of-freedom system.

Another method available to produce total overdamping is given in Beskos and Boley (1980) for two-degree-of-freedom systems. Unfortunately, to extend the process in Beskos and Boley (1980) to n degrees of freedom requires a closed-form solution of polynomials of degree (n - 1). However, the method here requires only the numerical solution of nonlinear equalities. In addition, for the two-degree-of-freedom case, the method presented in Bellman (1970) allows only the parameters c_1 and c_2 to be adjusted. As an alternative, the method presented here allows all of the parameters m_i , c_i , and k_i to be sensitized i.e., adjusted to effectuate mass, damping and stiffness characteristics. Hence, it seems quite apparent that this method is more advantageous for design work especially when it involves computationally intensive operations.

6. References

Bellman, R. Introduction to Matrix Analysis. 2nd edition. McGraw Hill, 1970.

- Bellman, R. "Some Inequalities for the Square Root of a Positive Definite Matrix." *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 1, pp. 321–324, 1968.
- Beskos, D. E. and B. A. Boley. "Critical Damping in Linear Discrete Dynamic Systems." *Journal* of *Applied Mech.*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 627–630, 1980. (See also Technical Report Office of Naval Research Grant/Contract no. N00014-74-C-1042.)
- Brent, R. "Some Efficient Algorithms for Solving Systems of Nonlinear Equations," SIAM J. Numer. Anal., vol. 10, pp. 327–344, 1973.
- Byrne, G., and C. Hall (eds.). Numerical Solution of Systems of Nonlinear Algebraic Equations. Academic Press, NY, 1973.
- Inman, D. J. and A. N. Andry, Jr. "Some Results on the Nature of Eigenvalues of Discrete Damped Linear Systems." *Journal of Applied Mech.*, vol. 47, no. 4. pp. 927–930, 1980.
- Helfman, R. J. Dumer and T. Hanratty. "Turbine Engine Diagnostics.", ARL-TR-856, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, September, 1995.

Appendix:

Parameter Values

The parameter values of the turbine for the case of tip speed (ratio of 2) and turbine rotational speed of 5,000 RPM are

$$J_1 = J_2 = 1/2$$
 of turbine motor inertia = 1.65×10^4 N - s³ - m (1.46×10^5 lb - s² - in)

$$J_{2}$$
 = transmission inertia = 2.43 × 10² N - s² - in (2.15 × 10³ lb - s² - in)

$$J_4$$
 = generator inertia = 3.06 N - s² - in (27.1 lb - s² - in)

 n_1 = transmission gear ratio = 35.6

÷

$$n_2$$
 = pulley gear ratio = $\frac{178,000}{(35.6)(5,000)}$ = 0.999

$$\mathbf{k}_1 = \text{rotor stiffness} = 1.65 \times 10^5 \text{ N-m/rad} (1.46 \times 10^6 \text{ lb} - \text{in/rad})$$

$$k_2 = \text{shaft stiffness} = 2.69 \times 10^5 \text{ N} - \text{m/rad} (2.39 \times 10^6 \text{ lb} - \text{in/rad})$$

$$K_1$$
 = transmission shaft stiffness = 1.41 × 10⁵ N - m/rad (1.25 × 10⁶ lb - in/rad)

 $K_{\rm H}$ = generator shaft stiffness = 2.10 × 10³ N - m/rad (1.86 × 10⁴ lb - in/rad)

$$k_{3} = \frac{n_{1}^{2} n_{2}^{3} K_{1} K_{H}}{k_{1} + n_{2}^{3} K_{H}} = 2.62 \times 10^{6} \text{ N} - \text{m/rad} (2.39 \times 10^{9} \text{ lb} - \text{in/rad})$$

$$k_{4} = \frac{k_{3}}{n_{1}^{2} n_{2}^{3}} = 2.07 \times 10^{3} \text{n} - \text{m/rad} (1.83 \times 10^{6} \text{ lb} - \text{in/rad})$$

NO. OF COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 2 DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER DTIC DDA 8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD STE 0944 FT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218
- 1 HQDA DAMO FDQ DENNIS SCHMIDT 400 ARMY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0460
- 1 DPTY ASSIST SCY FOR R&T SARD TT F MILTON RM 3EA79 THE PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0103
- 1 OSD OUSD(A&T)/ODDDR&E(R) J LUPO THE PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20301-7100
- 1 CECOM SP & TRRSTRL COMMCTN DIV AMSEL RD ST MC M H SOICHER FT MONMOUTH NJ 07703-5203
- 1 PRIN DPTY FOR TCHNLGY HQ US ARMY MATCOM AMCDCG T M FISETTE 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001
- 1 PRIN DPTY FOR ACQUSTN HQS US ARMY MATCOM AMCDCG A D ADAMS 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001
- 1 DPTY CG FOR RDE HQS US ARMY MATCOM AMCRD BG BEAUCHAMP 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001

NO. OF COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 1 INST FOR ADVNCD TCHNLGY THE UNIV OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN PO BOX 202797 AUSTIN TX 78720-2797
- 1 USAASA MOAS AI WPARRON 9325 GUNSTON RD STE N319 FT BELVOIR VA 22060-5582
- 1 CECOM PM GPS COL S YOUNG FT MONMOUTH NJ 07703
- 1 GPS JOINT PROG OFC DIR COL J CLAY 2435 VELA WAY STE 1613 LOS ANGELES AFB CA 90245-5500
- 1 ELECTRONIC SYS DIV DIR CECOM RDEC J NIEMELA FT MONMOUTH NJ 07703
- 3 DARPA L STOTTS J PENNELLA B KASPAR 3701 N FAIRFAX DR ARLINGTON VA 22203-1714
- 1 USAF SMC/CED DMA/JPO M ISON 2435 VELA WAY STE 1613 LOS ANGELES AFB CA 90245-5500
- 1 US MILITARY ACADEMY MATH SCI CTR OF EXCELLENCE DEPT OF MATHEMATICAL SCI MDN A MAJ DON ENGEN THAYER HALL WEST POINT NY 10996-1786
- 1 DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL CS AL TP 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145

NO. OF COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 1 DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL CS AL TA 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145
- 3 DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL CI LL 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1145

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

4 DIR USARL AMSRL CI LP (305) NO. OF COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 1 DIR USARL ATTN AMSRL IS J GANTT 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADLEPHI MD 20783-1197
- 1 DIR USARL ATTN AMSRL IS C COL M KINDL GIT 115 O'KEEFE BLDG ATLANTA GA 30332-0800

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

8 DIR, USARL ATTN: AMSRL-IS-C, R HELFMAN J DUMER MAJ J VAGLIA R KASTE T KORJACK (4 CP)

REPORT DO		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188				
Public reporting burden for this callection at gathering and maintaining the data readed, it	l information is estim and completing and	aled is average 1 hour per responsi reviewing the collection of informat	e, including the t tion. Send comme	me for reviewing fastructions, ats regarding this burden esti	searching ex mate or any o	listing dala sources, other aspect of this
collection of Information, Including suggestic Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Artington, VA 22	ons for reducing this 202-4302, and to the	burden, to Washington Headquarter Office of Management and Budget,	rs Services, Direc , Paperwork Reduc	orate for information Operation lion Project(0704-0168), Was become TYPE AND BA	ns and Report hington, DC 2 TEC COVER	IS, 1215 JENEISON 10503. JED
1. AGENCY USE ONLY <i>(Leave L</i>	biank)	Z. KEPUKI DAIE		ingl April 97 - 1	Nov 07	ιευ ,
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		March 1998	<u>l</u> r	illai, April 97 - I	5. FUND	ING NUMBERS
Viscous Damping in the l	4B0	010503350000				
6. AUTHOR(S)						
T. A. Korjack						
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NA	AME(S) AND AD	DRESS(ES)			8. PERF	ORMING ORGANIZATION
LLC Americ Desserveb Leb	oratom				KEPU	KI NUMBEK
ATTN AMSRI IS-CI	Glatory					ADI _TD_1622
Aberdeen Proving Groun	d, MD 2100)5-5067			1	ЧИП ТИ I ΛΥΥ
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE	NCY NAMES(S)	AND ADDRESS(ES)			10.SPON	ISORING/MONITORING NCY REPORT NUMBER
						······································
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
	TATEMENT				12b. DIS	TRIBUTION CODE
IZA. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITE S	IAICHICAI					
Approved for	public relea	ase; distribution is u	nlimited.			
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wo	nrds)				I	
Overdamning th	at is comm	on to a single degre	ee of freed	om damped linea	ar vibrat	tory system was extended to
multidegree of freedom d	amped line	ar system, viz., a dri	ive train si	tuated in a typica	al gas tu	rbine. Inequalities involving
the mass, damping and sti	iffness para	meters were derived	l to form a	system with a fr	ee respo	onse which is overdamped in
each respective node. A g	general metl	hod to be employed	in establi	shing the design	paramet	ters for designing systems to
be overdamped in each m	ode has bee	en identified for pur	poses of a	nalysis, and the r	nethod	ethodology for overdamning
considerations. This techn	nique or me	thod for eliminating	oscillatio	ns in n-degree of	freedor	n lumped parameter systems
by increasing the amount	of viscous of	lamping in the syste	em has bee	n illustrated by u	sing act	tual data.
14. SUBJECT TERMS		<u></u>	······			15. NUMBER OF PAGES
viscous damping; drive tra	ain; gas turb	vine engine.				20 16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	18. SECURI	TY CLASSIFICATION	19. SE	URITY CLASSIFICATION		20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
OF REPORT	OF THE		OF	ABSTRACT		1
UNCLASSIFIED	UNCL	ASSIFIED		LASSIFIED	Stan	I UL Idard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)

.

.

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-16 298-182

USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

1. ARL Report Number/Author <u>ARL-TR-1622 (Korjack)</u> Date of Report <u>March 1998</u>

2. Date Report Received _____

3. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.)

4. Specifically, how is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.)

5. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided, or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate.

6. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization, technical content, format, etc.)

Organization

Name

CURRENT ADDRESS

OLD ADDRESS

Street or P.O. Box No.

City, State, Zip Code

7. If indicating a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide the Current or Correct address above and the Old or Incorrect address below.

E-mail Name

Organization					
Name	<u></u>				
Street or P.O. Box	No.				
City, State, Zip Co	de				
(Remove this sheet	t, fold as indicated, tape closed, and mail.)				
	(DO NOT STAPLE)				