REACTIVELY SINTERED MOLYBDENUM DISILICIDE-BASED COMPOSITES

Final Report

submitted to

1.3

Dr. A.K. Vasudevan, Code 332

Office of Naval Research

Arlington, VA 22227

April, 1997



Principal Investigator

K.K. Chawla

Department of Materials & Met. Eng. New Mexico Tech Socorro, NM 87801

19971027 089

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3

Abstract

Т

The objective of this research was to identify the phases formed and determine some mechanical properties of reactively sintered MoSi₂ and MoSi₂ composites with carbon additions. The carbon additions were added via polymeric coatings on MoSi₂ particles. The purpose of adding carbon was to form silicon carbide particles (SiC_p) in situ and reduce the inherent presence of SiO₂ in MoSi₂. Carbon was added by two processes followed by pressureless sintering. The idea was to obtain a more uniform distribution of carbon by coating the MoSi₂ particles than by simply mixing carbon powder with MoSi₂ powder. The in situ formation of SiC_p would increase the low temperature toughness of MoSi₂ by using it as a reinforcement and also reduce the high temperature creep by reducing grain boundary sliding caused by viscous flow of SiO₂ at elevated temperatures.

The carbon coating of the MoSi₂ powder was obtained by: *p*henolic *r*esin *b*ased *c*arbon by solvent *e*vaporation (PRBCSE) and *a*queous *d*ispersion *f*locculation (ADF). The sintering temperatures and times ranged from 1600 to 1800 °C and 1 to 100 h, respectively. The addition of carbon did reduce the presence of SiO₂ and there was formation of SiC_p. However, the SiC_p formation was less than expected and, therefore, did not increase toughness significantly. The Nowotny phase (Mo_{≤4.8}Si₃C_{≤0.6}) was also found in less than expected amounts.

Fracture toughness did not increase appreciably in the composite samples because a maximum of 1.4 vol% SiC_p was formed. But observation of crack/SiC_p interaction showed promise in that if a sufficient amount of SiC_p could be formed, an appreciable increase in toughness could result.

	Abstractii
	Acknowledgments Error! Bookmark not defined.
	Table of Contents
	List of Figuresv
	List of Tablesx
	1. Introduction
	2. Objective
	3. Literature Review
	3.1 General
	3.1.1 Brittle-to-Ductile Transition Temperature5
	3.1.2 Oxidation Problems: PEST
	3.1.3 Important Phases in Mo-Si and Mo-Si-C Systems
	3.2 Effects of Processing Temperature on MoSi ₂ 7
	3.3 Effects of Carbon on MoSi ₂
	3.4 Oxidation of MoSi ₂ Matrix Composites9
	3.5 Properties of SiC Reinforced MoSi ₂
	3.6 Effect of Intrinsic Second Phases in MoSi ₂
4	4. Materials and Experimental Procedure
	4.1 Materials
	4.2 Powder Processing
	4.3 Experimental Procedure21

Table of Contents

,

I

1

[.

Ì

5. Results
5.1 Material Characterization
5.1.1 Density Measurements
5.1.2 Grain Size
5.1.3 SiO ₂ and Porosity
5.1.4 Effects of Ball-milling
5.2 Phase Identification
5.3 Mechanical Properties
5.3.1 Vickers Hardness
5.3.2 Fracture Toughness
6. Discussion
7. Conclusions
8. Suggestions for Future Work65
9. References
Appendix A

List of Figures

1

Ĺ.

¥

Figure 1:	Tetragonal I4/mmm unit cell of MoSi ₂ [8]
Figure 2:	Fracture Energy vs. loading temperature for pure MoSi ₂ . Notice the fracture energy increases starting at 800 °C indicating the onset of the transition from brittle to ductile behavior [9]
Figure 3:	Effect of carbon additions on the hardness of MoSi ₂ as a function of temperature [21]. Notice that the hardness increases with increasing carbon content.
Figure 4:	Effect of carbon additions on the fracture toughness as a function of temperature [21]. Notice that fracture toughness increases with carbon content and the fracture toughness increases with temperature for the samples with carbon additions. 11
Figure 5:	Thermogravimetric analysis of the square of the mass gain per unit area vs. time for monolithic $MoSi_2$ and $MoSi_2 - 30$ vol% SiC (1500 °C for 48 h) [4]. A linear relationship in the chart implies a parabolic oxidation indicating that oxygen is diffusing through the SiO ₂ surface layer
Figure 6:	Variation of $MoSi_2$ grain size with increasing SiC_p content [7]. The grain size decreases with increasing SiC_p content indicating that the SiC_p inhibited grain growth
Figure 7:	Variation of $MoSi_2$ hardness with increasing SiC_p content [7]. The hardness increased with increasing SiC_p content because of the presence of SiC_p and the smaller grain size found in the samples with SiC_p
Figure 8:	Fracture resistance vs. indentation crack length for $SiC_p / MoSi_2$ composites [7]. Different indentation crack lengths were obtained by varying the indentation load. The fracture toughness increases with increased SiC_p content
Figure 9:	Flexural strength vs. temperature for SiC_{pl} reinforced $MoSi_2$ [24,]. Notice that the 16 μ m $MoSi_2$ composite shows a lower transition temperature than the 8.4 μ m $MoSi_2$ composite
Figure 10:	Fracture toughness vs. temperature for SiC_{pl} reinforced $MoSi_2$ [24,]. Notice that the room fracture toughness of the composite is higher than the monolithic $MoSi_2$
Figure 11:	Schematic of the PRBCSE process

Figure 12:	Schematic of the ADF process
Figure 13:	Schematic diagrams of (a) Furnace assembly and accessories, and (b) Furnace
Figure 14:	Typical sintering schedule for sintering at 1700 °C for 1 h24
Figure 15:	Density vs. sintering time for ARSE 70/30 sintered at various temperatures. Circle shows the theoretical density for MoSi ₂ . 1700-SiC represents samples sintered on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi ₂ / 50 wt% BN
Figure 16:	Density vs. sintering time for ARSE 0/100 sintered at various temperatures. Circle shows the theoretical density for MoSi ₂ . 1700-SiC represents samples sintered on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi ₂ / 50 wt% BN
Figure 17:	Density vs. sintering time for PRBCSE powder sintered at various temperatures. Circle shows the theoretical density for MoSi ₂ . 1700-SiC represents samples sintered on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi ₂ / 50 wt% BN.
Figure 18:	Density vs. sintering time for ADF powder sintered at various temperatures. Circle shows the theoretical density for MoSi ₂ . 1700-SiC represents samples sintered on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi ₂ / 50 wt% BN
Figure 19:	Grain size vs. sintering time for the ARSE 70/30 samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi ₂ / 50 wt% BN
Figure 20:	Grain size vs. sintering time for the ARSE 0/100 samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi ₂ / 50 wt% BN
Figure 21:	Grain size vs. sintering time for the PRBCSE samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi ₂ / 50 wt% BN
Figure 22:	Grain size vs. sintering time for the ADF samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi ₂ / 50 wt% BN
Figure 23:	Optical micrograph in polarized light of an ADF sample sintered at 1800 °C for 1h. Notice the highly nonuniform grain size

Figure 24:	ARSE 0/100 sample sintered at 1700 °C for 100 h on SiC disks (a) SEM micrograph and (b) EDS oxygen map of that area shown in (a). Note the high oxygen content.
Figure 25:	ADF sample sintered at 1700 °C for 100 h on SiC disks (a) SEM micrograph and (b) EDS oxygen map of that area shown in (a). Note the low oxygen content
Figure 26:	Volume percent porosity and SiO ₂ vs. sintering time for the ARSE 70/30 samples sintered at various temperatures. Obtained using optical micrographs. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi ₂ / 50 wt% BN
Figure 27:	Volume percent porosity and SiO ₂ vs. sintering time for the ARSE 0/100 samples sintered at various temperatures. Obtained using optical micrographs. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi ₂ / 50 wt% BN
Figure 28:	Volume percent porosity and SiO_2 vs. sintering time for the PRBCSE samples sintered at various temperatures. Obtained using optical micrographs. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi ₂ / 50 wt% BN
Figure 29:	Volume percent porosity and SiO ₂ vs. sintering time for the ADF samples sintered at various temperatures. Obtained using optical micrographs. 1700- SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi ₂ / 50 wt% BN
Figure 30:	Volume percent SiO ₂ vs. Sintering time for the samples sintered on SiC disks at 1700 °C. Obtained from oxygen EDS dot maps40
Figure 31:	Volume percent porosity vs. Sintering time for the samples sintered on SiC disks at 1700 °C. Calculated by subtracting the SiO ₂ volume percent obtained by oxygen EDS dot mapping from the SiO ₂ and pore volume percent obtained by optical microscopy
Figure 32:	Optical micrographs of as-received, PRBCSE, sintered at 1700 °C for 1 h. Notice that the SiC (gray phase) forms at pores
Figure 33:	Optical micrographs of PRBCSE sample sintered at 1700 °C for 1h, a. ball- milled and b. not ball-milled. Notice the smaller and more consistent grain sizes found in the ball-milled sampled compared to the not ball-milled sample
Figure 34:	a. SEM micrograph of the PRBCSE, as-received, sintered at 1700 °C for 1 h. EDS spectra for regions marked 1, 2, and 3 in a. and b. Regions 1, 2, and 3 probably correspond to SiC. MoSi2, and Moc4 Si3C and respectively

Figure 35:	a. SEM micrograph of aqueous dispersion flocculation powder sintered at 1700 °C for 10 h. Arrow indicates area analyzed. b. EDS spectrum of the region analyzed. The spectrum shows that there is Mo, Si, and C indicating that this region is the Nowotny phase
Figure 36:	a. SEM micrograph of aqueous dispersion flocculation powder sintered at 1700 °C for 10 h. Arrow indicates area analyzed. b. EDS spectrum of the region analyzed. The spectrum shows that there is Si, and C indicating that this region is $SiC_{0.86}$
Figure 37:	Volume percentage of phases vs. weight percentage of carbon content calculated by using the Nowotny ternary phase diagram
Figure 38:	Typical micrograph used to determine the volume fraction of SiC_p in an ADF processed sample sintered at 1700 °C for 100 h. The average volume percentage was 1.4 % SiC_p . Arrows show SiC_p
Figure 39:	Vickers hardness vs. sintering time for the ARSE 70/30 samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi ₂ / 50 wt% BN
Figure 40:	Vickers hardness vs. sintering time for the ARSE 0/100 samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi ₂ / 50 wt% BN51
Figure 41:	Vickers hardness vs. sintering time for the PRBCSE samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi ₂ / 50 wt% BN
Figure 42:	Vickers hardness vs. Grain size for all the samples. Note that hardness decreased with increased grain size, as expected
Figure 43:	Optical micrographs of Vickers indentations (196 N, 15 s), a. ARSE 0/100, and b. PRBCSE. These cracks were used to calculate the fracture toughness. Arrows show the cracks that were used for the fracture toughness calculation
Figure 44:	Optical micrographs of Vickers indentations (196 N, 15 s) on samples sintered at 1700 °C for 100 h, a. ARSE 0/100, and b. ADF
Figure 45:	Fracture toughness vs. sintering time for the ARSE 70/30 samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% $MoSi_2$ / 50 wt% BN
Figure 46:	Fracture toughness vs. sintering time for the ARSE 0/100 samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi ₂ / 50 wt% BN

¥

s

ā

ŧ

viii

Figure 47:	Fracture toughness vs. sintering time for the PRBCSE samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi ₂ / 50 wt% BN 59
Figure 48:	SEM micrograph of a crack produced using a Vickers indentor. Notice that the SiC_p deflects the crack. The arrow in the optical micrograph points to the SiC_p in the SEM micrograph. Long arrow indicates the direction of the crack propagation
Figure 49:	Vickers indentations used to observe SiC_p crack interaction in an ADF sample sintered at 1700 °C for 100h. a. shows cracks avoiding the SiC_p possibly caused by a stress field. b. shows crack propagating straight through an SiC_p
Figure A1:	Isothermal section of the ternary phase diagram at 1600 °C for the Mo-Si-C system, the dashed line represents the MoSi ₂ -C pseudobinary system [25]
and a fail of the second	

i

ł

. T.

÷

Ì

1

ĸ

List of Tables

*.*_.

Table 1:	Comparison of the properties of $MoSi_2$ and Ni-based superalloys [3, 4] 1
Table 2:	Crystallographic information for relevant phases in the Mo-Si and Mo-Si-C systems
Table 3:	Effect of hot-pressing temperature on the microstructural characteristics and mechanical properties of MoSi ₂ [6]8
Table 4:	Effect of carbon additions on the formation of SiO_2 and SiC and the weight loss in $MoSi_2$ [21]. Large weight loss was attributed to the formation and volatilization of MoO_3
Table 5:	Composition of the different powder blends by weight percent. Grade A and Grade C are pure $MoSi_2$ with powder particle sizes of approximately 10 μm and 1 μm , respectively. [29]
Table 6:	Work matrix for the twelve different sintering conditions23
Table 7:	Work matrix for the analyses that will be done on all the samples with the purpose of each
Table 8:	Green density for all of the samples
Table 9:	Compositional data obtained by WDS. Note the composition for SiC is slightly off stoichiometry possibly caused by signal coming from the matrix.47
Table A1:	Table of atomic compositions of the powders. 71
Table A2:	Estimated percentages of phases present after sintering73
Table A3:	Percentage of phases after sintering of the MoSi ₂ with C for PRBCSE and ADF processed powders

х

1. Introduction

Molybdenum disilicide has been predominantly used for furnace heating elements. but recently there has been interest in its use for high temperature structural applications [1,2]. The reason for this increased interest stems from its desirable characteristics which are a high melting point, relatively low density, good oxidation resistance, relatively good thermal conductivity and is electrically conductive [1]. The melting point of MoSi₂ is approximately 2030 °C as compared to a melting point of 1340 °C for the Ni-based superalloys (See Table 1) [3,4]. This could potentially give MoSi₂ a big advantage over the Ni-based superalloys in turbine applications because the operating temperature can be increased resulting in an increase in turbine efficiency and reduced emissions. The relatively low density (6.25 g/cm³) compared to the Ni-based superalloys (8.9 g/cm³) is an important advantage in turbine applications because of the need for low weight [3]. Good oxidation resistance stems from the ability of MoSi₂ to form a protective SiO₂ surface layer when exposed to oxygen. Another advantageous feature of MoSi₂ is its thermal conductivity which is superior to Ni-based superalloys at low temperatures and comparable to the Ni-based superalloys at high temperatures. This allows heat to be

. . .

Property	MoSi ₂	Ni-based superalloy
Density (g/cm ³)	6.25	8.9
Melting Temperature (°C)	2030	1340
Resistance to oxidation	To 1500 °C	To 1175 °C uncoated To 1230 °C coated
Thermal Conductivity (W/cm K)	0.635 at R.T. 0.285 at 1100 °C	0.108 0.288
Thermal Expansion Coefficient (10 ⁻⁶ °C ⁻¹)	8.5 at 1200 °C	10 at 1200 °C
Elastic Modulus (GPa)	380	131

Table 1: Comparison of the properties of MoSi₂ and Ni-based superalloys [3, 4].

dissipated at a rate better than ceramics and comparable to metals. MoSi₂ is electrically conductive allowing it to be electro discharge machined. This is desirable since conventional ceramics are not generally conductive and thus cannot be machined in this way.

÷....

An important characteristic of MoSi₂ is its tendency to form SiO₂ when exposed to air [1, 5]. Although, in the case of a consolidated component, the ability of MoSi₂ to form a protective SiO₂ surface layer is advantageous, in the powder form the SiO₂ forms on the surface of almost all powder silicide particles [6]. The SiO₂ on the surface of the powder particles ends up at grain boundaries in the microstructure after consolidation, causing easy grain boundary sliding at elevated temperatures. This contributes to the low creep resistance at elevated temperatures. From a processing point of view one can eliminate the presence of the deleterious SiO₂ in the microstructure of the consolidated component by two methods. The first would be to simply minimize the exposure of the starting powder to air. This is not economically desirable because great care would have to be taken to avoid air exposure. The second route would be to eliminate the SiO₂ just before consolidation. Removing the SiO₂ using some sort of a cleansing process would have the same handling problems as before. But if an element could be added to the starting powder which would eliminate the SiO_2 and form a desirable phase one could produce a composite. Carbon is a prime candidate for such use because it could reduce SiO_2 by converting it to SiC particles (SiC_p). The SiC_p would be an excellent second phase because it is a high temperature refractory material that has been used as a reinforcement and it is thermodynamically stable with MoSi₂ [4, 7].

2

2. Objective

The objective of this research was to identify the phases and examine the microstructure of reactively sintered MoSi₂ and MoSi₂ with in situ additions of carbon. Microstructural analysis techniques were used on samples made with different starting powders and sintering conditions. Some mechanical characterization by Vickers hardness testing was also done.

3. Literature Review

3.1 General

As pointed out in Chapter 1, $MoSi_2$ is potentially an important structural material for use at high temperatures in air. A summary of some of the important characteristics is provided below.

Molybdenum disilicide has a tetragonal crystal structure (a = 0.3205 nm and c = 0.7845 nm), shown in fig. 1[8]. It can be described as a borderline ceramic-intermetallic because the atomic bonding is a mixture of covalent and metallic.



Figure 1: Tetragonal I4/mmm unit cell of MoSi₂ [8].



Figure 2: Fracture Energy vs. loading temperature for pure MoSi₂. Notice the fracture energy increases starting at 800 °C indicating the onset of the transition from brittle to ductile behavior [9].

3.1.1 Brittle-to-Ductile Transition Temperature

1

Molybdenum disilicide shows brittle failure at low temperatures and ductile failure at high temperatures. Its brittle-to-ductile transition temperature (BDTT) is approximately 1000 °C. Below the BDTT, strength is limited by brittle fracture and above the BDTT strength is limited by plastic flow [1]. Ghosh *et al.* [9] studied the ductility of MoSi₂ which was hot-pressed at a temperature of 1700 °C for 2 h under 28 MPa pressure followed by hot isostatic pressing (HIPing) at 1700 °C for 90 min under 200 MPa pressure. Figure 2 shows the fracture energy as a function of loading temperature obtained from compression tests done at temperatures ranging from room temperature to 1000 °C. The values were calculated from the area under the stress vs. strain curves. One can clearly see the transition from brittle behavior (i.e., low fracture energy) to ductile behavior (i.e., high fracture energy).

3.1.2 Oxidation Problems: PEST

An oxidation phenomenon, called PEST, occurs in MoSi₂ at temperatures between approximately 400 and 600 °C. It was first discovered by Fitzer [10] in 1955. PEST causes MoSi₂ to completely disintegrate into a powder by the formation of MoO₃ at pores and preexisting cracks. PEST has been found to be directly related to the volatility of MoO₃ [11]. The disintegration is caused by the large volume changes in going from Mo to MoO₃ which corresponds to a volume increase of 340 % [11]. Since the MoO₃ is found at pores and preexisting cracks, it tends to wedge open pores and cracks causing more cracking eventually completely disintegrating the material.

3.1.3 Important Phases in Mo-Si and Mo-Si-C Systems

Crystallographic information of important phases in the Mo-Si and Mo-Si-C systems is given in Table 2. Silicide compounds have an affinity for O_2 resulting in the formation of amorphous silica (SiO₂) giving the silicide materials their excellent oxidation resistance. MoSi₂ forms SiO₂ and a Mo rich compound, Mo₅Si₃. Mo₅Si₃ is a refractory compound (m.p. = 2160 °C) with a tetragonal crystal structure. MoO₃ is an important compound in both systems because of its importance to the PEST phenomenon.

The Nowotny phase ($Mo_{\leq 4.8}Si_3C_{\leq 0.6}$) is a variable stoichiometry compound commonly found in Mo-Si-C ternary systems. The factors determining the variation have not been determined and relatively little is known about any of its properties. For this research the Nowotny phase is significant because it is one of the products of the reaction

Phase	Space Group	a (nm)	b (nm)	c (nm)
MoSi ₂ [13]	I4/mmm	0.3205	-	0.7845
Mo5Si3 [13]	I4/mcm	0.9648	-	0.491
Mo _{≤4.8} Si ₃ C _{≤0.6} [14]	P6 ₃ /mcm	0.7286	-	0.5046
α-SiC [15]	P6₃mc	0.3073	-	0.1508
β-SiC [16]	F43m	0.4360	-	-
MoO ₃ [17]	P21/c	0.7122	0.5374	0.5565

 Table 2:
 Crystallographic information for relevant phases in the Mo-Si and Mo-Si-C systems.

between $MoSi_2$ and C. This can be seen in the Mo-Si-C ternary phase diagram developed by Nowotny *et al.* [12], see fig. A1 in Appendix A.

3.2 Effects of Processing Temperature on MoSi₂

Wade and Petrovic [6] studied the effects of hot pressing temperature on the grain size, fracture toughness, Vickers hardness and mode of fracture (transgranular or intergranular) of MoSi₂. Their results are summarized in Table 3.

The grain size of MoSi₂ increased linearly with increasing hot-pressing temperature except for temperatures above 1800 °C where the grain size increased much faster. This was probably caused by the increased surface reactivity of MoSi₂ due to SiO(g) volatilization [6]. The SiO(g) was produced by the decomposition of SiO₂ at temperatures above approximately 1750 °C. Both the toughness and the hardness values decreased with increasing hot pressing temperature except for 1600 °C.

Hot press	Grain Size	Fracture	Vickers	Transgranular	Intergranular
Temperature	(µm)	Toughness	Hardness	Fracture	Fracture
(°C)		K_{c} (MPa m ^{0.5})	H _v (GPa)	(%)	(%)
1500	13.5	3.0	9.73	66.0	34.0
1600	15.3	3.6	9.87	70.9	29.1
1700	18.4	2.7	9.07	64.1	35.9
1800	20.5	3.0	9.08	67.3	32.7
1880	22.2	2.9	9.12	84.6	15.4
1920	31.9	2.3	8.92	97.0	3.0

Table 3:Effect of hot-pressing temperature on the microstructural characteristics and
mechanical properties of MoSi2 [6].

The percentage of transgranular fracture increased linearly with hot-pressing temperature except for the 1920 °C sample where it increased rapidly. The transgranular fracture was thought to occur on weak cleavage planes, (110), in MoSi₂ [18].

Above approximately 1750 °C, solid Mo_5Si_3 was formed from $MoSi_2$. Above 1900 °C, a $MoSi_2$ - Mo_5Si_3 eutectic liquid was formed [19,20]. The Mo_5Si_3 may have been the Nowotny phase, $Mo_{\leq 4.8}Si_3C_{\leq 0.6}$, but this was not verified experimentally.

3.3 Effects of Carbon on MoSi₂

Maloy *et al.* [21] studied hot-pressed MoSi₂ with carbon additions. The carbon was added in amounts of 1, 2, and 4 wt%. These samples were hot pressed at 1830 °C and 41.4 MPa. They observed that, as the amount of carbon increased, SiC formation increased while SiO₂ content decreased (Table 4). This was advantageous because SiC has a higher melting point than the spheroidization temperature of the SiO₂ (1700 °C) [22] and the elimination of SiO₂ would improve the creep resistance by minimizing grain boundary sliding. The disadvantage was that the carbon caused the material to become

Table 4: Effect of carbon additions on the formation of SiO₂ and SiC and the weight loss in MoSi₂ [21]. Large weight loss was attributed to the formation and volatilization of MoO₃.

wt% C	vol% SiO2	vol% SiC	Weight Loss (%)
0	14	0	0
1	5	<5	0
2	1	8	20
4	<1	14	47

more porous and lose weight during hot pressing. The increased porosity and weight loss was attributed to the volatilization of MoO₃, a heavy and volatile oxide.

Figure 3 shows Vickers hardness as a function of temperature obtained from a high temperature Vickers hardness tester. The MoSi₂ with carbon showed higher hardness values at all temperatures compared to the monolithic MoSi₂. The increase in hardness was attributed to the increase in SiC content. Figure 4 shows the fracture toughness as a function of temperature obtained from four point bend tests. The fracture toughness increased with increasing carbon content. The MoSi₂/SiC_p composite showed an increase in toughness with increasing temperature instead of a decrease as was the case with monolithic MoSi₂ (fig. 4). The increase in toughness was attributed to the reduction of SiO₂ at the grain boundary with the carbon addition which changed the cracking mode from intergranular to transgranular.

3.4 Oxidation of MoSi₂ Matrix Composites

Cook *et al.* [4] studied the high and low temperature isothermal oxidation, the thermal cycling behavior and the PEST phenomenon found in MoSi₂ and MoSi₂-based composites. The composite consisted of 30 vol% SiC and was then either HIPed or hot-pressed and then HIPed.



. .

Figure 3: Effect of carbon additions on the hardness of MoSi₂ as a function of temperature [21]. Notice that the hardness increases with increasing carbon content.

The excellent oxidation resistance of $MoSi_2$ stems from its ability to form a protective layer of SiO₂ at temperatures below 1700 °C in air. But above 1700 °C the SiO₂ tended to spheroidize or ball-up which decreased the oxidation resistance above 1700 °C [22]. Below 1700 °C the SiO₂ coating was said to appear transparent and adherent to the composite. The high temperature oxidation (1700 °C>T>750 °C) of MoSi₂ was said to be controlled by the following reaction [23],

$$5 \text{ MoSi}_2 + 7 \text{ O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Mo}_5 \text{Si}_3 + 7 \text{ SiO}_2$$

The low temperature (<750 °C) oxidation was said to be controlled by the following reaction [23],

$$2 \text{ MoSi}_2 + 7 \text{ O}_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{ MoO}_3 + 4 \text{ SiO}_2$$



Figure 4: Effect of carbon additions on the fracture toughness as a function of temperature [21]. Notice that fracture toughness increases with carbon content and the fracture toughness increases with temperature for the samples with carbon additions.

This latter reaction is thought to be responsible for the PEST problem found in MoSi₂.

Thermogravimetric analysis was also done at 1500 °C for 48 h [4]. The results indicated that the square of the mass weight gain per unit area was a linear function of time (fig. 5). This implied that the oxidation rate was parabolic as a function of time which meant the oxidation was rate-limited by diffusion of oxygen ions through the SiO₂ surface layer. Figure 5 shows that the MoSi₂ with SiC exhibited a lower mass gain as a function of time than the monolithic MoSi₂ indicating an improved oxidation resistance.

Two cyclic oxidation experiments were then done in air by inserting the samples into a furnace (1200 °C and 1500 °C) for 55 min and then cooling down at room temperature for 5 min. This was done for 144 cycles. The results showed that both the reinforced and unreinforced samples showed minimal weight gain.



Figure 5: Thermogravimetric analysis of the square of the mass gain per unit area vs. time for monolithic MoSi₂ and MoSi₂ - 30 vol% SiC (1500 °C for 48 h) [4]. A linear relationship in the chart implies a parabolic oxidation indicating that oxygen is diffusing through the SiO₂ surface layer.

These samples were then exposed to 500 °C in air to induce PEST in the material. The results showed that the pure MoSi₂ had minimal weight loss after 160 h in the samples that were cycled at both 1200 °C and 1500 °C. The composite sample cycled at 1200 °C showed minimal weight loss during the PEST experiment, but the composite sample cycled at 1500 °C had just under 16 % weight gain and completely disintegrated before 160 h. This probably resulted from microcracking induced during thermal cycling from the thermal mismatch between SiC (α =4.8 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹ at 1200 °C) and MoSi₂ (α =8.5 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹ at 1200 °C) [4]. The microcracks produced during thermal cycling allowed O₂ to diffuse into the microcracks forming voluminous MoO₃ which tended to wedge open cracks



Figure 6: Variation of $MoSi_2$ grain size with increasing SiC_p content [7]. The grain size decreases with increasing SiC_p content indicating that the SiC_p inhibited grain growth.

exposing more of the internal $MoSi_2$ structure. This eventually lead to total disintegration of the material.

3.5 Properties of SiC Reinforced MoSi₂

Reinforcement of $MoSi_2$ with SiC_p was investigated by Bhattacharya and Petrovic [7]. The SiC_p content in the composites ranged from 0 to 40 vol%. These samples were hot pressed in argon at 1850 °C at a pressure of 30 MPa. The densities of the hot-pressed samples ranged from 93% to 96% of theoretical density. The grain size of the $MoSi_2$ matrix decreased with increasing SiC_p content (fig. 6) which indicated that the SiC_p inhibited the grain growth. Figure 6 also indicates that the effect of the SiC_p inhibiting grain growth stabilized at approximately 20 vol% SiC_p .



Figure 7: Variation of $MoSi_2$ hardness with increasing SiC_p content [7]. The hardness increased with increasing SiC_p content because of the presence of SiC_p and the smaller grain size found in the samples with SiC_p .

The hardness and fracture toughness of the composite increased with increasing SiC_p content as shown in figs. 7 and 8. Figure 7 shows that hardness increased with increasing SiC content which was attributed to the presence of the SiC and a smaller grain size with increasing SiC content. The monolithic $MoSi_2$ sample used in the fracture toughness tests was hot pressed at 1600 °C, as opposed to 1850 °C, because the indentation cracks were not well formed in the 1850 °C sample. Figure 8 also indicates that the toughness reached a maximum value with the 40 vol% SiC_p sample. The different indentation crack lengths were obtained by varying the indentation loads.

Richardson and Freitag [24] examined SiC platelet (SiC_{pl}) reinforced MoSi₂. The reinforcement in this case was hexagonal α -SiC_{pl}, approximately 17 µm in diameter and 2.3 µm thick and at 20 vol%. The MoSi₂ powder used was in two forms: 16.0 µm



Figure 8: Fracture resistance vs. indentation crack length for SiC_p / MoSi₂ composites
 [7]. Different indentation crack lengths were obtained by varying the indentation load. The fracture toughness increases with increased SiC_p content.

average particle size (99.5% pure) and 8.6 μ m average particle size (99.95% pure). The materials were hot pressed in helium at 1700 °C and 34.5 MPa for 90 min.

Flexure bars and chevron notch bars for four-point bend tests were used to determine the flexure strength and fracture toughness, respectively. The reinforced samples showed higher flexural strength than the monolithic sample studied by Carter *et al.* [25] (fig. 9). The composite made with the 16.0 µm particle size MoSi₂ exhibited higher flexural strength than the 8.4 µm particle size MoSi₂ at temperatures above approximately 900 °C but under 900 °C the behavior was reversed. The 8.4 µm particle size MoSi₂ sample also exhibited a lower transition temperature than the 16.0 µm particle size MoSi₂ because of the increased porosity found in the 8.4 µm particle size MoSi₂.



Figure 9: Flexural strength vs. temperature for SiC_{pl} reinforced MoSi₂ [24,25]. Notice that the 16 μm MoSi₂ composite shows a lower transition temperature than the 8.4 μm MoSi₂ composite.

Their results indicated that the fracture toughness increased with temperature until approximately 800 °C and then decreased dramatically until 1100 °C, the highest test temperature (fig. 10). The increase in fracture toughness under 1100 °C stemmed from crack deflection and pullout of the SiC_{pl} .

3.6 Effect of Intrinsic Second Phases in MoSi₂

Cotton *et al.* [26] studied the effects of the SiO₂ that is inherently present on the surface of MoSi₂ particles in commercial powders. The powders were hot-pressed into small disks in graphite dies at 1700 °C and 31 MPa for 30 min. The powders used consisted of two types: commercial MoSi₂ and arc melted MoSi₂ produced in the laboratory [27]. The commercial powder obtained from Cerac, Inc. consisted of 5 μ m



Figure 10: Fracture toughness vs. temperature for SiC_{pl} reinforced MoSi₂ [24,28]. Notice that the room fracture toughness of the composite is higher than the monolithic MoSi₂.

particles. The laboratory powders were produced by arc-melting the correct proportions of pure Mo and Si, followed by roll grinding in ethanol for 170 h which yielded approximately 10 μ m particles. Some of the arc-melted material was not ground to show a comparison between the hot-pressed and arc-melted material.

Energy dispersive spectrometry (EDS) and selected-area diffraction patterns (SADP) in TEM were used to determine the secondary phases present. Amorphous silica (SiO₂) was detected in both samples in amounts ranging from 5 to 10 vol%. It was also noted that there were small amounts of SiC and $Mo_{\leq 4.8}Si_3C_{\leq 0.6}$ found at the grain boundaries which were thought to be produced by carbon contamination from the graphite die.

The fracture surfaces of bend samples showed that SiO₂, SiC and Mo_{≤4.8}Si₃C_{50.6} were present at the grain boundaries. This indicated that the presence of these phases caused the material to fracture intergranularly [26]. The arc-melted material (not ground) was also fractured and showed transgranular cleavage and the absence of SiO₂, SiC and $Mo_{\leq 4.8}Si_3C_{\leq 0.6}$ on the fracture surface. The transgranular fracture is desirable because the toughness is determined by the properties of the MoSi₂ and not the phases present at the grain boundaries (i.e., SiO₂, SiC and $Mo_{\leq 4.8}Si_3C_{\leq 0.6}$). This implies that the elimination of these secondary phases would allow the mechanical properties to be dominated by the MoSi₂ and not the secondary phases.

The contact angle between the $MoSi_2$ and SiO_2 particles was approximately 120° which indicated only slight wetting. This was consistent with their observation that the SiO_2 found in grains had a spherical shape. The SiO_2 found at the grain boundary was described as being "two spherical caps which appeared ellipsoidal in projection" [26]. Similar results were reported by Kisly and Kodash [22]. This spheroidization of SiO_2 leads to a decrease in oxidation resistance above 1700 °C for $MoSi_2$.

4. Materials and Experimental Procedure

4.1 Materials

The as-received $MoSi_2$ powder' consisted of the four types shown in Table 5. Grade A and Grade C were pure $MoSi_2$ with particle size of approximately 10 µm and 3 µm, respectively. Carbon coating was put on the Grade C $MoSi_2$ particles by two different processes. The actual carbon content in the powders after pyrolysis was measured at ART [29] by using a Leco carbon analyzer.

4.2 Powder Processing

The two processes used to coat Grade C MoSi₂ particles were: Phenolic Resin Based Carbon by Solvent Evaporation (PRBCSE) and Aqueous Dispersion Flocculation (ADF). The coating was done at ART.

The PRBCSE powder used a phenol formaldehyde resin, Polyophen 23056ⁱⁱ, to apply a carbon coating on the MoSi₂ particles. The resin, Grade C MoSi₂ powder, and acetone were mixed by ball-milling. The acetone was then evaporated leaving only the resin coating on the MoSi₂ particles (see fig. 11). The resin coating was then pyrolyzed at 600 °C for 1 h in argon to yield a carbon coating on MoSi₂ particles. The coated powder was then pressed into a green compact in a steel die and sintered. Due to the nonuniformity of SiC_p found in some preliminary samples, these powders were ball-milled

i Advanced Refractory Technologies, Inc., Buffalo, NY ii Durez Corp., North Tonawanda, NY

Table 5:Composition of the different powder blends by weight percent. Grade A and
Grade C are pure MoSi2 with powder particle sizes of approximately 10 μm
and 3 μm, respectively. [29]

ä.___.

	Grade A (wt%)	Grade C (wt%)	Actual Carbon (wt%)	
ARSE 70/30	70	30	0	
ARSE 0/100	0	100	0	
PRBCSE	0	98.79	1.21	
ADF	0	97.12	2.88	



Figure 11: Schematic of the PRBCSE process.

using WC media to obtain a more uniform distribution of carbon in the $MoSi_2$ powders and SiC_p in the consolidated samples.

In the ADF process, a high carbon yielding cationic starch flocculant was electrostatically adhered to the surface of the MoSi₂ particles giving a move even distribution of resin compared to that obtained in the PRBCSE process. The starch used was Redibond 5320ⁱⁱⁱ which is a liquid natural polymer with cationic quaternary amine functionality. The process involved dispersing the MoSi₂ powder in an aqueous system by adding an anionic dispersant and ball-milling for 1 h. The starch flocculant and glycerol plasticizer were added to the slurry and ball-milled for another 30 min. The slurry was put in a high shear blender and the pH was increased to activate the flocculant which gelled the slurry. At this point the MoSi₂ powder was uniformly coated with the starch. Water was added to thin the slurry and put into a vacuum forming die to remove the water. The material was dried and passed through a 100 mesh screen. The powder was pressed into a green sample and pyrolyzed at 600 °C for 1 h in vacuum to convert the starch into carbon (see fig. 12). The sample was then sintered.

4.3 Experimental Procedure

The powders were cold pressed under a pressure of 140 MPa into thin, disk shaped, green samples. These disks were approximately 2.9 cm in diameter (inside diameter of the die), approximately 0.4 cm in height, and weighed approximately 8 g. The mass, diameter, and height were measured to calculate an approximate geometric, green

iii National Starch and Chemical Company, Bridgewater, NJ



Figure 12: Schematic of the ADF process.

density. Before sintering, the samples were embedded in a mixture of 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN or placed on top of SiC disks. The purpose of embedding the material was to isolate the samples from the graphite crucible, minimize volatilization of the carbon, obtain uniform shrinkage, improve microstructural uniformity, and enhance densification [29]. BN powder was used to prevent the MoSi₂ bed from densifying during sintering. The SiC disks were used to isolate the samples from the graphite crucible and to eliminate the formation of MoB which resulted in samples which were embedded in the MoSi₂/BN powder. Multiple sets of samples were sintered under nine different conditions shown in Table 6. Samples were heated at 10 °C/min and held at 600 °C for 1 h to pyrolyze the starch in the ADF samples; a typical sintering schedule is shown in fig. 13. The starch was

	1 h	10 h	100 h
1600 °C	X	X	X
1700 °C	X	X	X
1800 °C	X	X	X

Table 6:Work matrix for the twelve different sintering conditions.

ĺ

not pyrolyzed on the $MoSi_2$ particles before cold pressing so that it could be used as a binder in the cold pressed samples to toughen the compacts for handling.

An Astro high temperature furnace (1000-3560-FP20) that could reach temperatures in excess of 2000 °C in inert atmospheres was used. A computer controller was designed to control the temperature (fig. 14). This computer controller varied the furnace power output to match the input temperature from the axially mounted, Type C thermocouple (W-5% Re / W-26% Re) to the set point temperature. The controller could control the temperature to within ± 0.1 °C of the set point during ramping and soaking and less than 5 °C overshoot going from ramp to soak and vice versa. A new furnace cooling system that used a liquid to liquid heat exchanger was also designed.

The sintered densities were determined by a nondestructive glycerol submersion method [30]. This method involves weighing a sintered sample, in air, followed by weighing the sintered sample submerged in a mixture of glycerol and distilled water (86 wt% glycerol / 14 wt% distilled water), and finally weighing the sintered sample impregnated with the glycerol mixture in air. The density was then calculated from the following expression,

23



Figure 13: Typical sintering schedule for sintering at 1700 °C for 1 h.

$$\rho_s = \rho_g \cdot \frac{M_1}{M_3 - M_2}$$

where ρ_s is the density of the sample, ρ_g is the density of the glycerol mixture, M_1 is the mass of the sample in air before submersion, M_2 is the mass of the sample while submerged in the glycerol mixture, and M_3 is the mass of the sample with the glycerol mixture impregnated, weighed in air. According to Pennings and Grellner [30], the reproducibility of density measurement by this technique is better than 0.003 g/cm³, while the accuracy is better than 0.006 g/cm³.

The grain size was determined using optical micrographs taken in polarized light. ASTM Standard E 112-85 comparison method [31] was used for the grain size determination.

The sintered samples were polished to a 600 grit finish using SiC grinding paper to obtain a flat surface and to remove the surface layer for X-ray diffraction (XRD). After



a.



b.

Figure 14: Schematic diagrams of (a) Furnace assembly and accessories, and (b) Furnace.

	Phase Distribution	Average Grain Size	Fracture Toughness	Hardness	Determination of Phases
ОМ					
Bright field	X				
Polarized		X			
Microprobe	X				X
SEM	X				
EDS					X
WDS	X				X
XRD					X
Vickers Indentation			X	Х	

Table 7:Work matrix for the analyses that will be done on all the samples with the
purpose of each.

а<u>н</u>.

XRD, the samples were mounted in epoxy and polished to a 0.1 μ m finish using diamond paste. Optical microscopy (OM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) were used to characterize the microstructure. The work matrix in Table 7 shows the analyses done, along with the purpose for each of the analyses.

A Zeiss and a Unitron Versamet-2 optical microscopes were both used to study the porosity in bright field and grain structure in polarized light. A Hitachi Hi-scan HHS-2R and JEOL high resolution SEM were used for the SEM examination. A JEOL 733 microprobe with both energy and wavelength dispersive spectrometry, EDS and WDS, attachments with low element detection capabilities was used for phase identification and distribution analysis. A Leco M-400 microhardness tester and a Leco macrohardness tester were used for Vickers indentation tests. Siemens D5000 and Philips PW-1720 Xray diffractometers were used for X-ray diffraction analysis. A Cu target ($\lambda_{K\alpha} = 0.154$ nm) was used at an acceleration voltage of 40 kV and a current of 20 mA.
5. Results

5.1 Material Characterization

5.1.1 Density Measurements

The average green density values are shown in Table 8. The ARSE 70/30 green density was higher than the ARSE 0/100 green density which is attributed to the bimodal powder distribution of the ARSE 70/30 which resulted in higher overall sintered densities. The green density of the ARSE 0/100 was lower than that of the PRBCSE and ADF because of the lubricating effect of the carbon in the PRBCSE and starch in the ADF. The ADF powder could be handled much easier than the other samples indicating that the starch also served as a binder. The ADF samples could have been pressed at a higher pressure but for the sake of consistency the same pressure (138MPa) was used. A higher pressure on these samples could have resulted in higher green and sintered densities and possibly less porosity. The final sintered ADF samples never showed cracking whereas the others always showed cracking in the green state.

The sintered densities for all of the powders are shown in figs. 15 through 18. The densities were measured using samples that were surface ground to remove the MoB that formed on the surface of all the samples sintered in the $MoSi_2/BN$ embedding powder (50 wt% $MoSi_2$ / 50 wt% BN). The MoB surface coating did penetrate into the sintering

Table 8:	Green	density	for	all	of	the	samp	oles.

	ARSE 70/30	ARSE 0/100	PRBCSE	ADF
Density (g/cm ³)	3.46	3.05	3.30	3.70



 Figure 15: Density vs. sintering time for ARSE 70/30 sintered at various temperatures. Circle shows the theoretical density for MoSi₂. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.



 Figure 16: Density vs. sintering time for ARSE 0/100 sintered at various temperatures. Circle shows the theoretical density for MoSi₂. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.



Figure 17: Density vs. sintering time for PRBCSE powder sintered at various temperatures. Circle shows the theoretical density for MoSi₂. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.



Figure 18: Density vs. sintering time for ADF powder sintered at various temperatures. Circle shows the theoretical density for MoSi₂. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.

induced cracks leaving very small amounts of MoB in all but the ADF samples. The density of MoB ($\rho_{MoB} = 8.6 \text{ g/cm}^3$) is higher than that of MoSi₂ ($\rho_{MoSi2} = 6.25 \text{ g/cm}^3$) which would result in higher overall density values if it were not removed.

÷.....

The densities of the samples sintered on SiC disks were generally higher than those sintered in the MoSi₂/BN embedding powder, see figs. 15 through 18. The density of all of the samples sintered at 1800 °C was lower than that of those sintered at lower temperatures which could be attributed to the higher porosity found in the samples sintered at 1800 °C. The density of the samples sintered on the SiC disks was higher than that of the samples sintered in the MoSi₂/BN powder indicating that the embedding powder affected the densification of the samples. The embedding powder could also be the cause for the melting of the samples sintered at 1800 °C for 100 h and the dramatic decreases in density for the samples sintered at 1800 °C for 1 and 10 h compared with those sintered at lower temperatures.

5.1.2 Grain Size

The grain size results are shown in figs. 19 through 22. The average grain size of the ADF samples was very nonuniform compared with the other samples (fig. 23). The most notable trend common to all the samples was the large average grain size found in the samples sintered at 1800 °C. The samples sintered at 1800 °C for 100 h melted and could not be studied. The melting could be due to a eutectic reaction between MoSi₂ and BN in the embedding powder. All the samples exhibited an increase in average grain size with increasing time and temperature as expected. The ARSE 70/30, ARSE 0/100 and PRBCSE samples sintered on the SiC disks at 1700 °C exhibited a relatively constant



Figure 19: Grain size vs. sintering time for the ARSE 70/30 samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.



Figure 20: Grain size vs. sintering time for the ARSE 0/100 samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.



Figure 21: Grain size vs. sintering time for the PRBCSE samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.



Figure 22: Grain size vs. sintering time for the ADF samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.



i

Figure 23: Optical micrograph in polarized light of an ADF sample sintered at 1800 °C for 1h. Notice the highly nonuniform grain size.

average grain size with increasing time (figs. 19 through 21). This may be a result of grain boundary pinning by the SiO_2 particles at the grain boundaries inhibiting grain growth. The ADF sample contained almost no SiO₂ and exhibited a quite large increase in grain size with increasing sintering time indicating that indeed the SiO₂ may have inhibited grain growth (fig. 22). The spheroidization temperature for the SiO₂ is approximately 1700 °C [22]. The average grain size was relatively constant at 1600 °C (i.e., below the spheroidization temperature) in the ARSE 70/30, ARSE 0/100 and PRBCSE samples. But at 1700 and 1800 °C the average grain size increased more rapidly indicating that the spheroidizing of SiO₂ allowed the grains to grow more freely. The ARSE 70/30 contained a combination of 10 μ m and 3 μ m particle size MoSi₂ and the ARSE 0/100 contained only 3 μ m particle size MoSi₂, thus the SiO₂ content was higher in the ARSE 0/100 because of the greater overall surface area. The spheroidization of the SiO_2 in the ARSE 70/30 was less prominent than the ARSE 0/100 because there was less SiO₂ present allowing grain growth to occur more freely in the ARSE 70/30 samples. Figure 19 shows a large increase in average grain size at 1700 °C and 1800 °C with increasing sintering time indicating the spheroidizing of SiO_2 . Figure 20 does not show such a dramatic increase at 1700 °C indicating that the SiO₂ in this sample was controlling the grain growth.

5.1.3 SiO₂ and Porosity

Oxygen maps obtained by energy dispersive X-ray analysis were used to determine if the carbon addition reduced the presence of SiO₂ (figs. 24 and 25). Figure 24b and 25b show the EDS oxygen maps for the ARSE 0/100 (3 μ m particle size MoSi₂) and the ADF (3 μ m particle size MoSi₂ with 2.88 wt% carbon) sintered on SiC disks at 1700 °C for 100



i

L

ľ

Figure 24: ARSE 0/100 sample sintered at 1700 °C for 100 h on SiC disks (a) SEM micrograph and (b) EDS oxygen map of that area shown in (a). Note the high oxygen content.



Figure 25: ADF sample sintered at 1700 °C for 100 h on SiC disks (a) **SEM micrograph** and (b) EDS oxygen map of that area shown in (a). Note the low oxygen content. h. The oxygen maps indicate that indeed the SiO_2 content was reduced by the addition of carbon.

Optical micrographs and image analysis software were used to obtain a volume percentage of porosity plus SiO₂ content (figs. 26 through 29). EDS maps of oxygen were then used to obtain a volume percentage of SiO₂, assuming it was the only oxide compound present in the material (fig. 30). This number was then subtracted from the volume percentage of porosity plus SiO₂ obtained using the optical micrographs giving the volume percentage of porosity (fig. 31). This analysis was only done for the samples sintered on top of the SiC disks because these were the only samples used for EDS oxygen mapping. Figure 30 shows that the addition of carbon did reduce the presence of SiO₂ by comparing the ARSE 0/100 samples with the ADF samples. The PRBCSE samples showed much higher SiO₂ which was a result of the ball-milling and this will be explained in Section 5.1.4. The ADF samples showed higher porosity compared to that of the ARSE 0/100 samples caused by the porosity in the ADF samples left after the pyrolysis of the starch before sintering. The porosity in the PRBCSE samples exhibited a similar trend as the ARSE 0/100 but was lower indicating that the high SiO₂ content reduced the porosity found in the sintered samples.

5.1.4 Effects of Ball-milling

Ball-milling was done on the PRBCSE powder to obtain a more even distribution of carbon in the starting powder because the as-received PRBCSE samples all formed SiC_p at pores as shown in fig. 32. The powders were designed so that after pyrolyzing the phenolic resin, the MoSi₂ particles would be evenly coated with carbon. Because the



Figure 26: Volume percent porosity and SiO₂ vs. sintering time for the ARSE 70/30 samples sintered at various temperatures. Obtained using optical micrographs. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.



Figure 27: Volume percent porosity and SiO₂ vs. sintering time for the ARSE 0/100 samples sintered at various temperatures. Obtained using optical micrographs. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.



Figure 28: Volume percent porosity and SiO₂ vs. sintering time for the PRBCSE samples sintered at various temperatures. Obtained using optical micrographs. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.



Figure 29: Volume percent porosity and SiO₂ vs. sintering time for the ADF samples sintered at various temperatures. Obtained using optical micrographs. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.



Figure 30: Volume percent SiO₂ vs. Sintering time for the samples sintered on SiC disks at 1700 °C. Obtained from oxygen EDS dot maps.



Figure 31: Volume percent porosity vs. Sintering time for the samples sintered on SiC disks at 1700 °C. Calculated by subtracting the SiO₂ volume percent obtained by oxygen EDS dot mapping from the SiO₂ and pore volume percent obtained by optical microscopy.



Figure 32: Optical micrographs of as-received, PRBCSE, sintered at 1700 °C for 1 h. Notice that the SiC (gray phase) forms at pores.

powder was made using a slurry process there was probably some agglomeration of the resin before pyrolysis leaving an uneven distribution of C. Figure 32 shows that the carbon did not evenly coat the $MoSi_2$ particles because the SiC_p (gray phase) formation occurred at a pore.

The grain size of the ball-milled PRBCSE samples was much smaller and more uniform than the not ball-milled samples (fig. 33). This can be attributed to the more evenly dispersed carbon and smaller MoSi₂ particle size observed in the ball-milled samples. Ball-milling reduced the particle size, broke up the SiO₂ layer that was present in the starting powder and produced more SiO₂ on the smaller ball-milled MoSi₂ particles giving a higher SiO₂ content in the final sintered samples.

5.2 Phase Identification

Scanning electron microscopic and EDS observations on an as-received PRBCSE sample sintered at 1700 °C for 1 h are shown in fig. 34. Figure 34a shows a region near a sintering induced void at which SiC formed preferentially because of an uneven distribution of carbon in the starting powder which was explained in section 5.1.4. Spot EDS spectra of regions marked 1, 2, and 3 in fig. 34a are shown in fig. 34b. Region 1 is Si rich and there is no Mo, which implies that this could be one of two phases, either SiO₂ or SiC. Since the sample was not coated for SEM examination, one can eliminate the possibility of SiO₂ because it is not conductive and thus would cause charging. Since no charging was observed, it would appear that region 1 was SiC. Region 2 is likely to be MoSi₂ because this was the most abundant phase found in the sample. The EDS spectrum of this region shows that the intensity of the Si peak is higher than that of the Mo peak, implying that qualitatively there was more Si than Mo



;

Figure 33: Optical micrographs of PRBCSE sample sintered at 1700 °C for 1h, **a. ball-**milled and b. not ball-milled. Notice the smaller and more consistent grain sizes found in the ball-milled sampled compared to the not ball-milled sample.



ł



Figure 34: a. SEM micrograph of the PRBCSE, as-received, sintered at 1700 °C for 1 h. EDS spectra for regions marked 1, 2, and 3 in a. and b. Regions 1, 2, and 3 probably correspond to SiC, MoSi₂, and Mo_{4.8}Si₃C_{40.6}, respectively.

in region 2 which would be the case for MoSi₂. Keeping this in mind, if we examine the EDS spectrum for region 3, we can see that the Mo peak is higher than the Si peak, which implies that there is more Mo in this region than Si which could correspond to Mo_5Si_3 or the Nowotny phase, $Mo_{5448}Si_3C_{50.6}$. The yield of secondary electrons increases with increasing average atomic number, Z, which means that the intensity will increase with increasing Z. Region 3 was brighter than the region 2 which confirmed the fact that region 2 had a lower average atomic number than region 3 which would be the case if region 2 were $MoSi_2$ (average atomic number for $MoSi_2$ is 23.3), and region 3 was Mo_5Si_3 (average atomic number is 31.5) or $Mo_{548}Si_3C_{50.6}$ (average atomic number is 29.4). If one compares the brightness of region 1 (i.e., possibly SiC) to regions 2 and 3 one can see that it is much darker indicating that this region has a much lower average atomic number (average atomic number for SiC is 10) which is consistent with the possibility that this is SiC. One can thus tentatively say that regions 1, 2, and 3 correspond to SiC, $MoSi_2$, and $Mo_{548}Si_3C_{50.6}$ or Mo_5Si_3 , respectively, but light element detection EDS and WDS was needed to verify these results, which are presented below.

Electron microprobe analysis involving both light element detection EDS and WDS was done on an ADF sample sintered at 1700 °C for 10 h. Specta software developed by Oxford Analytical was used for the WDS analysis which uses a ZAF (atomic number, absorption, and fluorescence) correction to determine atomic percentages of elements in compounds. The light element detection EDS results showed that the brightest phase (i.e., Region 3 in the previous micrograph) in the secondary electron micrographs contained Mo, Si, and C which indicated that this phase was the Nowotny phase and not Mo₅Si₃ (see fig. 35). Similar results were obtained by Costa e Silva *et al.* [32] for powder compositions in the same region on the ternary phase diagram (i.e., MoSi₂, SiC, and Mo₅₄₈Si₃C₅₀₆). The light element





Figure 35: a. SEM micrograph of aqueous dispersion flocculation powder sintered at 1700 °C for 10 h. Arrow indicates area analyzed. b. EDS spectrum of the region analyzed. The spectrum shows that there is Mo, Si, and C indicating that this region is the Nowotny phase.

detection WDS results from this same compound indicated that it was indeed the Nowotny phase with $Mo_{4.7}Si_3C_{0.56}$ stoichiometry, see Table 9. The darkest region in fig. 34a which was thought to be SiC showed only Si and C using the light element detection EDS (see fig. 36). The light element detection WDS analysis of the same region indicated that the stoichiometry was not perfect but was $SiC_{0.86}$. This may be caused by noise coming from the matrix which would lead to a higher Si in the compound. Although SiC and $Mo_{s4.8}Si_3C_{s0.6}$ were not detected by XRD, the ternary phase diagram developed by Nowotny [12] indicates that the compositions for the powders used should produce these phases at a temperature of 1600 °C (See Appendix 1, fig. A1).

The powder compositions and the Nowotny phase diagram were used to calculate the theoretical final composition of the sintered samples. Oxygen (O₂) content that was used for the calculation was determined by Kruse [33] and was reported to be 2.41 wt%. The details of this calculation are given in Appendix 1 and the results are shown in fig. 37. The results indicate that there should be a significant amount of both SiC and $Mo_{\leq 4.8}Si_3C_{\leq 0.6}$. The actual samples showed only trace amounts of each phase compared to what was calculated. The chemical reaction used in the calculation assumed that O₂ gas would

Table 9:	Compositional data obtained by WDS. Note the composition for SiC is
	slightly off stoichiometry possibly caused by signal coming from the matrix.

At% Mo	33.726	-	56.935
At% Si	62.692	53.263	36.304
At% C	-	45.670	6.761
Theoretical Composition	MoSi ₂	SiC	Mo _{≤4.8} Si ₃ C _{≤0.6}
Actual Composition	MoSi _{1.9}	SiC _{0.86}	M04.7Si3C0.56



Figure 36: a. SEM micrograph of aqueous dispersion flocculation powder sintered at 1700 °C for 10 h. Arrow indicates area analyzed. b. EDS spectrum of the region analyzed. The spectrum shows that there is Si, and C indicating that this region is SiC_{0.86}.



Figure 37: Volume percentage of phases vs. weight percentage of carbon content calculated by using the Nowotny ternary phase diagram.

be produced from the reaction and the production of CO or CO_2 was not considered. The thermodynamics of the reaction could not be quantified because the reaction took place in Ar and most thermodynamic data are for reactions in air. Thermodynamic data concerning the Nowotny phase are also not available indicating that only a guess could be made about the reaction. The carbon in the starting powders could have been oxidized by trace amounts of O_2 in the vacuum used thus reducing the amount of carbon. This would give a lower amount of SiC and the Nowotny phase.

Optical micrographs were used to determine how much SiC was formed in the ADF samples sintered at 1700 °C for 100 h (fig. 38). This sample contained more SiC_p than all of the other samples and contained only 1.4 vol%. This indicates that the reaction used in the



Figure 38: Typical micrograph used to determine the volume fraction of SiC_p in an ADF processed sample sintered at 1700 °C for 100 h. The average volume percentage was 1.4 % SiC_p. Arrows show SiC_p.

theoretical analysis probably needs to be considered for CO and CO₂ or a combination of O₂,

CO, and CO₂.

5.3 Mechanical Properties

5.3.1 Vickers Hardness

The results of Vickers indentation tests are shown in figs. 39 through 41. The ADF samples were not evaluated for hardness because of microstructural problems which consisted of inconsistently sized grains and an uneven distribution of porosity. All of the samples sintered at 1800 °C showed dramatic decreases in hardness with increasing



Figure 39: Vickers hardness vs. sintering time for the ARSE 70/30 samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.



Figure 40: Vickers hardness vs. sintering time for the ARSE 0/100 samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.



Figure 41: Vickers hardness vs. sintering time for the PRBCSE samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.

sintering time. This was clearly caused by an increase in grain size with increasing sintering time associated with these samples (figs. 19 through 21).

The hardness of all the ARSE 70/30 samples embedded in the MoSi₂/BN powder and on the SiC disks sintered at 1600 and 1700 °C was more or less constant. This was attributed to relatively slow grain growth at these temperatures. The hardness of the ARSE 0/100 and the PRBCSE samples sintered in the MoSi₂/BN embedding powder decreased with sintering time. The decreases in hardness with increasing sintering time can be directly attributed to the increases in grain size with increasing sintering time (figs. 19 through 22). The ARSE 0/100 and PRBCSE samples sintered on the SiC disks showed rather slow grain growth at 1600 and 1700 °C which explains the relatively constant hardness with increasing sintering time.



Figure 42: Vickers hardness vs. Grain size for all the samples. Note that hardness decreased with increased grain size, as expected.

In general, mechanical properties such as hardness and strength decrease with increasing grain size [34]. In order to evaluate the effect of grain size on hardness, a log-log plot is shown in fig. 40. The trend seems to follow,

```
H = 11.7 d^{-0.14}
```

H is the hardness, and d is the grain size. Spriggs *et al.* [35] studied the effect of grain size on the strength of hot pressed polycrystalline Al_2O_3 and found that the exponential term varied from -0.25 to -0.5. A correlation between the exponential term and a physical mechanism was not established. All that fig. 42 indicates is a decrease in hardness with increasing grain size, which is a fairly well accepted experimental fact. If SiC_p are present in sufficient amounts and act as grain boundary pinning agents, then one could expect a strengthening effect. The exponential term will also be affected by the presence of porosity but the equation used does not account for this.

5.3.2 Fracture Toughness

Fracture toughness was determined using a method developed by Anstis *et al.* [36]. This method uses various material properties, the diameter and the length of crack emanating from a Vickers indentation. The formula used is as follows,

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{C}} = A \left(\frac{E}{H}\right)^{0.5} \left(\frac{P}{c^{1.5}}\right)$$

where A is a constant for a Vickers indentor which is 0.016, E is the elastic modulus for polycrystalline $MoSi_2$ which is 440 GPa [37], P is the indentation load, c is the average length of the four radial cracks plus half the average indentation diameter produced by the indentation, and H is the hardness given by,

$$H = \frac{P}{0.5d^2}$$

where d is the average of the two indentation diameters measured from one corner to the opposite corner of the indentation. Figure 43 shows two typical indentations used to determine the crack lengths and indentation diameter. Figure 44 shows two indentations which exhibited microcracking making any measurement for the fracture toughness invalid, thus the fracture toughness of these samples and others similar to this were not evaluated. This method requires that the cracks be straight and unaffected by microstructure and that $c \ge 2a$. Anstis *et al.* [36] also reported a nominal accuracy better than 30-40% for polycrystalline materials. The values obtained from this analysis should only be used as a comparison with the other fracture toughness values obtained by the same method due to the nature of the method (affected by grain size and porosity).



1. F. S.

Figure 43: Optical micrographs of Vickers indentations (196 N, 15 s), a. ARSE 0/100, and b. PRBCSE. These cracks were used to calculate the fracture toughness. Arrows show the cracks that were used for the fracture toughness calculation



Figure 44: Optical micrographs of Vickers indentations (196 N, 15 s) on samples sintered at 1700 °C for 100 h, a. ARSE 0/100, and b. ADF

The fracture toughness of all the samples, shown in figs. 45 through 47, were relatively constant and near values reported by Bhattacharya *et al.* [7] (see fig. 8). It is clear from these observations that there were not enough SiC_p to affect the fracture toughness of the PRBCSE samples. Increasing the amount of C in the starting sample could yield a higher amount of SiC_p resulting in a higher toughness.

Figure 48 shows interaction between a variety of particles in $MoSi_2$ and a crack formed by a Vickers indentation (1 kg, 15 s). The first unidentified particle stopped the crack and the crack reinitiated on the opposite side. After the crack was reinitiated, it encountered another unidentified particle and the crack deflected around this particle. The crack then penetrated the SiC_p and was arrested there. A new crack was then formed in the SiC_p that propagated into the $MoSi_2$. Such crack deflection and reinitiation would enhance the toughness of the composite.

Figure 49 shows SEM micrographs of two Vickers indentations on an ADF sample sintered at 1700 °C for 100 h. The first micrograph shows a SiC_p that seems to have prevented crack formation. One can see cracks propagating from regions near the corners of the indentation indicating that there may be a compressive stress field surrounding the particle. This would imply that the composite should be tougher than the monolithic sample. The second micrograph shows a crack that propagated through the SiC_p but branched into two cracks. This would indicate a toughening effect of SiC_p because of crack branching, i.e., it needs more energy to drive two cracks than one.



Ì

Figure 45: Fracture toughness vs. sintering time for the ARSE 70/30 samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.



Figure 46: Fracture toughness vs. sintering time for the ARSE 0/100 samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.



Figure 47: Fracture toughness vs. sintering time for the PRBCSE samples sintered at various temperatures. 1700-SiC represents samples sintered at 1700 °C on SiC disks, all others were embedded in 50 wt% MoSi₂ / 50 wt% BN.



Figure 48: SEM micrograph of a crack produced using a Vickers indentor. Notice that the SiC_p deflects the crack. The arrow in the optical micrograph points to the SiC_p in the SEM micrograph. Long arrow indicates the direction of the crack propagation.



Figure 49: Vickers indentations used to observe SiC_p crack interaction in an ADF sample sintered at 1700 °C for 100h. a. shows cracks avoiding the SiC_p possibly caused by a stress field. b. shows crack propagating straight through an SiC_p

6. Discussion

The idea of reactive processing of $MoSi_2$ to reduce SiO_2 formation and produce a SiC_p reinforced composite is a promising one, but more work needs to be done to realize the potential. The elimination of SiO_2 was certainly accomplished with minimal amounts of carbon. However, the SiC_p was not formed in amounts large enough to consider the resultant product a true composite. The reactive processing can certainly be used as a scavenging process to reduce the amount of SiO_2 which should improve creep resistance by eliminating easy grain boundary sliding. The pressureless sintering approach, although more economical, produced large macrocracks in the sintered monolithic material and in the PRBCSE processed samples. However, the ADF processed samples showed promise in terms of macrocracking but need to be cold-pressed to a higher pressure which may reduce some of the excessive porosity found in those samples.

The Nowotny phase ($Mo_{\leq 4.8}Si_3C_{\leq 0.6}$) was identified using EDS and WDS and had a stoichiometry of $Mo_{4.7}Si_3C_{0.56}$. Although increasing the carbon addition would increase the amount of SiC_p, it is also likely to increase the amount of the Nowotny phase.

The bimodal ARSE 70/30 powder showed higher green and sintered densities and look promising with pressureless sintering. If used in conjunction with either the PRBCSE or ADF processing it would probably yield a better sample than the monomodal powder used.

The effects of ball-milling were detrimental in terms of SiO_2 content because the ball-milling reduced the particle size of the powders, exposing more surface area resulting in higher SiO_2 content in the starting powder.

The MoSi₂/BN embedding material caused the samples to form a MoB surface coating and caused the samples to melt when sintered at 1800 °C for 100 h. The samples sintered at 1800 °C for 1 and 10 h showed significantly higher grain growth rates than the samples sintered at 1600 and 1700 °C. The MoB contamination could be reduced by using less BN in the embedding powder which was added to prevent the MoSi₂ embedding powder from sintering. The SiC disks exhibited no contamination and a more consistent microstructure making this the embedding material of choice over the MoSi₂/BN embedding powder.

The indentation technique to determine fracture toughness was designed for unreinforced ceramics. Thus, the values obtained in this work can only be used as an approximation. Inaccuracies can also be attributed to the cracks not generally initiating from the corners of the indentation, microcracking, and the fact that the cracks were generally not straight and there were significant amounts of porosity. To accurately determine the fracture toughness more large scale testing would need to be done (i.e., 4point bend or 3-point bend with a chevron-notch). The fracture toughness values obtained were in the range of unreinforced $MoSi_2$. This is due to the fact that there was not enough SiC_p to make an appreciable difference in fracture toughness and more C needs to be added to the starting powder to produce more SiC_p .

A qualitative assessment of the effect of the SiC_p showed that cracks either deflect away from SiC_p indicating that the SiC_p may have a stress field associated with it, or crack branched, thus contributing to dissipation of energy in the fracture. Both of these situations should enhance the fracture toughness of the bulk material, provided there is enough reinforcement present.
The sintered ADF samples exhibited an uneven distribution of porosity probably left after the pyrolysis of the starch. The sintered ADF samples were first cold pressed with the starch present. The cold pressed samples were then pyrolyzed leaving carbon and voids. In the case of the sintered PRBCSE samples, the phenolic resin was pyrolyzed leaving a carbon coated MoSi₂ powder. It was then cold pressed and sintered, thus the porosity was not formed by pyrolysis. The grain size of the sintered ADF samples was also very nonuniform possibly caused by the uneven distribution of porosity. The hardness and fracture toughness results of the ADF samples showed very inconsistent values, attributable to the inhomogeneous microstructure (i.e. porosity and grain size). These results were not included. However, the sintered ADF samples showed much less cracking than all the other samples (probably because of the starch working as a binder). Thus, in principle, it should be possible to use higher pressure levels during cold pressing of ADF samples and produce better material in sufficient quantity to make flexure samples for strength and fracture toughness measurements.

7. Conclusions

- - - - - **-**

From this research the following conclusions can be drawn. The content of SiO_2 was reduced by the reaction of the carbon with SiO_2 as the EDS and WDS results showed. SiC_p and the Nowotny phase were both formed as the Mo-Si-C ternary phase indicated, this was also verified using EDS and WDS.

The bimodal ARSE 70/30 samples showed a higher green density, a higher sintered density, less porosity, and less SiO_2 than the monomodal ARSE 0/100 samples. This indicated that improvements could be attained by using a bimodal base $MoSi_2$ powder instead of the monomodal powder that was used in the powders with carbon.

Ball-milling was detrimental in terms of SiO_2 content because the reduction in particle size produced more overall surface area. This increased the amount of SiO_2 present in the starting powder making it more difficult to reduce its presence appreciably.

Observation of the crack/SiC_p interaction qualitatively indicated that the SiC_p would increase the fracture toughness in the composite samples. However, to obtain a significant increase in bulk fracture toughness more carbon would have to be added to obtain more SiC_p.

8. Suggestions for Future Work

More research needs to be done to obtain an accurate assessment of how the C reacts with $MoSi_2$ (i.e., if O_2 , CO, or CO_2 is produced).

More SiC_p needs to be formed to increase the fracture toughness appreciably by increasing the C content in the starting powder or adding SiC artificially.

The Nowotny phase may affect the bulk properties of the composite so more research on its properties needs to be addressed. This will give an assessment of how it will affect the properties of the composite.

Creep experiments need to be carried out to determine if the reduction of SiO_2 and the presence of SiC_p indeed improves the high temperature creep properties.

A more detailed assessment of the effect of porosity on the hardness should be done to determine its effects on the properties of the samples. Three-point and four-point bend tests should be carried out to obtain a more accurate assessment of the fracture toughness than by simply using the Vickers indentation.

9. References

AL 1

- Vasudevan, A. K., and Petrovic J. J., editors, "High Temperature Structural Silicides: Proceedings of the First High Temperature Silicides Workshop," Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, (1992).
- Bizzari, V., Linder, B., and Lindskog, N. "Molybdenum Disilicide Heating Elements: Meeting Advanced Ceramics Requirements," American Ceramic Society Bulletin, Vol. 68, pp. 1834-1841 (1989).
- 3. Bose, S., "Engineering Aspect of Creep Deformation of Molybdenum Disilicide," Materials Science and Engineering, Vol. A155, pp. 217-225 (1992).
- Cook, J., Khan, E. L., and Mahapatra, R. "Oxidation of MoSi₂-based Composites," Materials Science and Engineering, Vol. A155, pp. 183-198 (1992).
- Cook, J., Mahapatra, R., Lee, E. W., Khan, A. and Waldman, J., "Oxidation Behavior of MoSi₂ Composites," Ceramic Engineering Science Proceedings, Vol. 9-10, pp. 1656-1670 (1991).
- 6. Wade, R. K. and Petrovic, J. J. "Processing Temperature Effects on Molybdenum Disilicide," Journal of American Ceramics, Vol.75, pp. 3160-62 (1992).
- Bhattacharya, A. K., and Petrovic, J. "Hardness and Fracture Toughness of SiCparticle Reinforced MoSi₂ Composites," Journal of American Ceramic Society, Vol. 74, pp. 2700-703 (1991).
- Boldt, P. H., Embury, J. D., and Weatherly, G. C., "Room Temperature Microindentation of Single-crystal MoSi₂," Materials Science and Engineering, Vol. A155, pp. 251-258 (1992).
- Ghosh, A. K., and Basu, A., "The Effect of Grain Size and SiC Particulate on the Strength and Ductility of MoSi₂," Materials Research Society Symposium Proceedings, Vol. 322, pp. 215-222 (1994).
- 10. Fitzer, E. "Molybdandisilizid als Hochtemperaturwerkstoffe," Warmfest und Korrosionbestandige Sinterwerkstoffe, 2nd Plansee Seminar, June 19-23, (1955).
- Berztiss, D. A., Cerchiara, R. R., Gulbransen, E. A., Pettit, F. S. and Meier, G. H., "Oxidation of MoSi₂ and Comparison with Other Silicide Materials," Materials Science and Engineering, Vol. A155, pp. 165-181 (1992).

 Nowotny, H., Parthe, E., Kieffer, R., and Benesovsky, F., "Das Dreistoffsystem: Molybdan-Silizium-Kohlenstoff," Monatshefte fur Chemie, Vol. 85, pp. 255 (1954).

14

- 13. Gokhale, A. and Abbaschian, G. J., "The Mo-Si (Molybdenum-Silicon) System," Journal of Phase Equilibria, Vol. 12, pp. 493-498, (1991).
- Parthe, E., Jeitschko, W., and Sadagopan, V., "A Neutron Diffraction Study of the Nowotny Phase Mo_{≤5}Si₃C_{≤1}," Acta Crystallographica, Vol. 19, pp. 1031-1037, (1965).
- Thibauld, N. W., "Morphological and Structural Crystallography and Optical Properties of Silicon Carbide (SiC)," American Mineralogist, Vol. 29, No. pp. 327-362, (1944).
- 16. Olesinski, R. W. and Abbaschian, G. J., "The C-Si (Carbon-Silicon) System," Bulletin for Alloy Phase Diagrams, Vol. 5, pp. 486-89, (1984).
- 17. McCarron, E. M., "β-MoO₃: A Metastable Analogue of WO₃," Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications, pp. 336-338, (1986).
- Wade, R. K. and Petrovic, J. J., "Fracture Modes in MoSi₂," Journal of American Ceramic Society, Vol. 75, pp. 1682-84 (1992).
- 19. Cherniack, G. B. and Elliot, A. G., "High-Temperature Behavior of MoSi₂ and Mo₅Si₃," Journal of American Ceramic Society, Vol. 47, pp. 136-41 (1964).
- Gokhale, A. B. and Abbaschian, G. J., "Molybdenum-Silicon," in Binary Alloy Phase Diagrams, Vol. 2, pp. 1631-32 Edited by Massalski, T. B. American Society for Metals, Metals Park, OH, (1986).
- 21. Maloy, S. A., Lewandowski, J. J., Heuer, A. A., and Petrovic J. J., "Effects of C Additions on the High Temperature Mechanical Properties of Molybdenum Disilicide," Materials Science and Engineering, Vol. A155, pp. 159-163 (1992).
- 22. Kisly, P. S. and Kodash, V. Y. "The Mullite Coatings of Heaters Made of Molybdenum Disilicide," Ceramic International, Vol. 15, pp. 189-191 (1989).
- 23. Wirkus, C.D. and Wilder, D. R., "High Temperature Oxidation of Molybdenum Disilicide, Journal of American Ceramic Society, Vol. 49, pp. 173-177 (1966).
- Richardson, K. K., and Freitag, D. W., "Mechanical Properties of Hot Pressed SiC Platelet-reinforced MoSi₂," Ceramic Engineering Science Proceedings, Vol. 12, Vol. 9-10, pp. 1679-1689 (1991).

 Carter, D. H., Gibbs, W. S., and Petrovic, J. J., "Mechanical Characterization of SiC Whisker-Reinforced MoSi₂," LA-UR_883776, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, November, (1988).

41 .

- Cotton, J. D., Kim, Y. S., and Kaufman, M. J. "Intrinsic Second-phase Particles in Powder-processed MoSi₂," Materials Science and Engineering, Vol. A144, pp. 287-291 (1991).
- 27. Cotton, J. D., Kim, Y. S., and Kaufman, M. J., Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Florida, Gainsville, FL 32611.
- Gac, F. D., and Petrovic, J. J., "Feasibility of a Composite of SiC whiskers in an MoSi₂ Matrix," Journal of American Ceramic Society, Vol. 68, C-200-C-201 (1985).
- 29. Personal communication, Rich Hexemer, Advanced Refractory Technologies, Inc.
- Pennings, E. C. M. and Grellner, W., "Precise Nondestructive Determination of the Density of Porous Ceramics," Journal of American Ceramic Society, Vol. 72, pp. 1268-70 (1989).
- ASTM Standard E 112-84, "Standard Methods for Determining Average Grain Size," Annual Book of ASTM Standards, American Society for Testing Materials, Philadelphia, 1986.
- Costa e Silva, A., and Kaufman, M. J., "Phase Relations in the Mo-Si-C System Relevant to the Processing of MoSi₂-SiC Composites," Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A, Vol. 25A, pp. 5-15 (1994).
- Kruse, S., "The Analysis and Minimization of Oxygen Contamination in the Powder Processing of Molybdenum Disilicide," M.S. Thesis, Iowa State University, April 24, (1994).
- Knudsen, F. P., "Dependence of Mechanical Strength of Brittle Polycrystalline Specimens on Porosity and Grain Size," Journal of the American Ceramic Society, Vol. 42, pp. 376-387 (1959).
- 35. Spriggs, R. M., and Vasilos, T., "The Effect of Grain Size and Porosity on the Transverse Bend Strength and Elastic Modulus of Hot Pressed Alumina and Magnesia," as cited by Carnahan, R. D., and Knapp, W. J. in "Ceramics for Advanced Technologies," edited by Hove, J. E., and Riley, W. C., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, NY, pp. 87-90 (1965).
- 36. Anstis, G. R., Chantikul, B. R., Lawn, B. R., and Marshall, D. B., "A Critical Evaluation of Indentation Techniques for Measuring Fracture Toughness: I,

Direct Crack Measurements," Journal of the American Ceramic Society, Vol. 64, pp. 533-538 (1981).

 Nakamura, M., Matsumoto, S., and Hirano, T., "Elastic Constants of MoSi₂ and WSi₂ Single Crystals," Journal of the American Ceramic Society, Vol. 75, pp. 3160-3162 (1992).

** 1

1

1:::

1.:

7

.

-

Appendix A

A theoretical analysis was done to quantify the phases present after sintering with the powder compositions, as stated previously. The atomic percentages of Mo, Si, and C contained in each of the powders were calculated assuming there is only MoSi₂ and C (See Table A1). The atomic percentages were used to determine what phases should be present at equilibrium using the 1600 °C isotherm ternary phase diagram done by Nowotny [24] (Figure A1). The powder compositions used lie on a tie-line that represents the MoSi₂ and C pseudobinary system, shown as a dashed line in Figure A1. All the powder compositions used lie in the region that contains MoSi₂, SiC, and Mo_xSi₃C_y. Mo_xSi₃C_y is called the Nowotny phase. The x and y subscripts vary but their dependence is not known.

The first reaction in the $MoSi_2$ powder occurs when it exposed to the O_2 in the atmosphere during powder processing forming an SiO_2 layer on the surface of the powder particles. This reaction can be represented by this chemical reaction,

$$A \operatorname{MoSi}_2 + B \operatorname{O}_2 \implies C \operatorname{MoSi}_2 + D \operatorname{Mo}_5 \operatorname{Si}_3 + E \operatorname{SiO}_2$$
(1)

where the letters A through E represent the coefficients. The next chemical reaction occurs during sintering and can be written as,

$$C MoSi_2 + D Mo_5Si_3 + E SiO_2 + F C \implies G MoSi_2 + H SiC + I Mo_xSi_3C_y + J O_2$$
 (2)

where F through J represent the coefficients. The first three products were the compounds determined using Nowotny's ternary phase diagram. Smaller quantities of O

 Table A1:
 Atomic compositions of the powders.

	Weight % C	Atomic % Mo	Atomic % Si	Atomic % C
PRBCSE	1.21	31.7	63.4	4.9
ADF	2.88	29.6	59.2	11.1

were found in the sintered samples with C compared to those without C indicating that the O was given off in a gaseous form.

A stoichiometric analysis was done to determine the weight percentages of the solid products. A molar balance was done for each of the elements in EQ. 1. For Mo,

$$A = C + 5D \tag{3}$$

for Si,

$$2A = 2C + 3D + E \tag{4}$$

for O,

$$2B = 2E \tag{5}$$

The number of moles of SiO_2 and $MoSi_2$ in the starting powder can be calculated assuming that 2.41 wt% O is present in the starting powder from previous research [33].

$$E = \frac{2.41 \text{ g O} \cdot 1 \text{ mol O} \cdot 1 \text{ mol SiO}_2}{16 \text{ g O} \cdot 2 \text{ mol O}} = 0.0753 \text{ mole}$$
(6)

After substituting EQ. 3 into EQ. 4 and solving for D,

$$D = \frac{E}{7} = 0.0108 \text{ mole}$$
(7)

Doing a mass balance for the remaining powder (ie. MoSi₂, Mo₅Si₃ and the Si in the SiO₂),

$$(100 - 2.41) g = C ATW_{MoSi2} + D ATW_{Mo5Si3} + E ATW_{Si}$$
 (8)

where ATW_{MoSi2} , ATW_{MoSSi3} and ATW_{Si} are the molecular weights of $MoSi_2$, Mo_5Si_3 and Si, respectively. Solving for C,

$$C = \frac{(100 - 2.41) - D \cdot ATW_{Mo5Si3} - E \cdot ATW_{Si}}{ATW_{MoSi2}} = 0.5878 \text{ mole}$$
(9)

After substituting EQ. 6 into EQ. 5,

	MoSi ₂	Mo ₅ Si ₃	SiO ₂
wt%	89.4	6.1	4.5

4.3

83.6

Table A2: Percentage of phases after oxidation of the MoSi₂ powder.

$$B = E = 0.0753 \text{ mole}$$
 (10)

12.1

substituting EQ. 7 and EQ. 9 into EQ. 3,

$$A = C + 5D = 0.6416 \text{ mole}$$
 (11)

weight percent of MoSi₂, Mo₅Si₃ and SiO₂ can be calculated,

vol%

$$wt\%_{i} = 100 \cdot \frac{MOL_{i} \cdot ATW_{i}}{\sum_{j} MOL_{j} \cdot ATW_{j}}$$
(12)

where wt%_i represents the weight percentage of phase i, MOL_i and MOL_j represent the number of moles of phase i and j in the reaction, and ATW_i and ATW_j represents the atomic weight of phase i and j. The volume percent can also be calculated,

$$vol\%_{i} = 100 \cdot \frac{\frac{MOL_{i} \cdot ATW_{i}}{\rho_{i}}}{\sum_{j} \frac{MOL_{j} \cdot ATW_{j}}{\rho_{j}}}$$
(13)

where vol% represents the volume percentage of phase i and ρ_i and ρ_j represent the density of phase i and j respectively. These values have been tabulated in Table A2.

Another stoichiometric analysis was done on the second chemical reaction (EQ. 2) which occurs during sintering. A molar balance is done for all the elements in the reaction. For Mo,

$$C + 5D = G + xI \tag{14}$$

for Si,

$$2C + 3D + E = 2G + H + 3I$$
 (15)

for C,

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{y}\mathbf{I} \tag{16}$$

for O,

$$2E = 2J \tag{17}$$

where x and y are the stoichiometric subscripts in the Nowotny phase compound. The subscripts x and y were assumed to be 4.7 and 0.56, respectively. These values were obtained from a WDS spot analysis. An equation can also be written for the weight percent of C that was added,

$$WTC = 100 \frac{F \cdot ATW_{c}}{C \cdot ATW_{MoSi2} + D \cdot ATW_{MoSSi3} + E \cdot ATW_{SiO2} + F \cdot ATW_{c}}$$
(18)

where WTC represents the weight percent C added, and C through F represent the number of moles of each phase. Solving for F,

$$F = \frac{WTC \cdot (C \cdot ATW_{MoSi2} + D \cdot ATW_{MoSi3} + E \cdot ATW_{SiO2})}{ATW_{c} \cdot (100 - WTC)}$$
(19)

Solving EQ. 16 for H,

1

$$H = F - yI \tag{20}$$

Substituting EQ. 20 into EQ. 15 and solving for I,

л.,

$$I = \frac{2C + 3D + E - 2G - F}{3 - y}$$
(21)

Substituting EQ. 21 into EQ. 14 and solving for G,

$$G = \frac{C + 5D - x\left(\frac{2C + 3D + E - F}{3 - y}\right)}{1 - \frac{2x}{3 - y}}$$
(22)

Solving EQ. 14 for I,

$$I = \frac{C + 5D - G}{x}$$
(23)

EQ. 12 and EQ. 13 were used to calculate the weight and volume percentage for the phases in the sintered samples (ie. $MoSi_2$, SiC, and $Mo_xSi_3C_y$). These values are tabulated in Table A3.

Table A3:Percentage of phases after sintering of the MoSi2 with C for PRBCSE and
ADF processed powders.

	C (wt%)	MoSi ₂ vol% (wt%)	SiC vol% (wt%)	Mo _{≤4.8} Si ₃ C _{≤0.6} vol% (wt%)
PRBCSE	1.21	86.4 (88.2)	7.3 (3.8)	6.3 (8.0)
ADF	2.88	68.6 (71.8)	16.9 (9.1)	14.5 (19.1)



4 44

•__

÷

I



	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for this collection of i gathering and maintaining the data needed	instructions, searching existing data sources,		
collection of information, including suggestion Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Adington, VA 22	ts for reducing this burden to Washington Heado 202-4302 and to the Office of Management and	utiters Services, Directorate for infor	mation Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson (0704/0189) Westignates DO 05555
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND	DATES COVERED
	April 1, 1997	Final report	covering 5/26/96 - 2/28
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. FUNDING NUMBERS
Reactively sintered	l molybdenum disilicide-b	ased composites	DO GI DO DEGIA
6. AUTHOR(S)			PR 96 FR 03 110-
K.K	. Chawla		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NA	MES(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
			REPORT NUMBER
New	Mexico Tech		· · ·
801 L	eroy Place		
Socor	то, NM 87801		
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGE	NCY NAMES(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10. SPONSORING / MONITORING
Office of Naval 1	Research		AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
Arlington, VA 2	2227		
Program Manag	er: Dr. A.K. Vasudevan. c	code 332	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
•			
a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY ST	ATEMENT		12. DISTRIBUTION CODE
APPROVED FOR PUBL	IC RELEASE		
		•	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 woode			
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)	· · · · ·		
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words, The objective of this resear	ch was to identify the phases	s formed and determin	e some mechanical properties o
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The objective of this resear reactively sintered MoSi ₂	ch was to identify the phases and MoSi ₂ composites with	s formed and determin carbon additions. The	e some mechanical properties o carbon additions were made via
 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The objective of this resear reactively sintered MoSi₂ polymeric coatings on Mod 	ch was to identify the phases and MoSi ₂ composites with Si ₂ particles. Carbon was ad	s formed and determin carbon additions. The ded to form silicon ca	e some mechanical properties o carbon additions were made via rbide particles (SiC _p) in situ and
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words, The objective of this resear reactively sintered MoSi ₂ polymeric coatings on Mod to reduce the inherent pr	ch was to identify the phases and MoSi ₂ composites with Si ₂ particles. Carbon was ad esence of SiO ₂ in MoSi ₂ . T	s formed and determin carbon additions. The ded to form silicon ca he in situ formation c	e some mechanical properties o carbon additions were made via rbide particles (SiC _p) in situ and of SiC _p would increase the low
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The objective of this resear reactively sintered MoSi ₂ polymeric coatings on MoSi to reduce the inherent pr temperature toughness of N	ch was to identify the phases and MoSi ₂ composites with Si ₂ particles. Carbon was ad esence of SiO ₂ in MoSi ₂ . T $IOSi_2$ by using it as a reinfor	s formed and determin carbon additions. The ded to form silicon ca he in situ formation c rcement and also reduc	e some mechanical properties o carbon additions were made via rbide particles (SiC _p) in situ and of SiC _p would increase the low ce the high temperature creep by
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The objective of this resear reactively sintered MoSi ₂ polymeric coatings on Mo to reduce the inherent pr temperature toughness of N reducing grain boundary sh	ch was to identify the phases and MoSi ₂ composites with Si ₂ particles. Carbon was ad esence of SiO ₂ in MoSi ₂ . T $MoSi_2$ by using it as a reinfor iding caused by viscous flow	s formed and determin carbon additions. The ded to form silicon ca he in situ formation c reement and also reduc of SiO ₂ . The carbon c	e some mechanical properties o carbon additions were made via rbide particles (SiC_p) in situ and of SiC_p would increase the low ce the high temperature creep by cating of the MoSi ₂ powder was
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words, The objective of this resear reactively sintered MoSi ₂ polymeric coatings on Mod to reduce the inherent pr temperature toughness of N reducing grain boundary sh obtained by: phenolic res	ch was to identify the phases and MoSi ₂ composites with Si ₂ particles. Carbon was ad esence of SiO ₂ in MoSi ₂ . T $IoSi_2$ by using it as a reinfor iding caused by viscous flow sin based carbon by solvent	s formed and determin carbon additions. The ded to form silicon ca he in situ formation co cement and also reduc of SiO ₂ . The carbon co evaporation and aque	e some mechanical properties o carbon additions were made via rbide particles (SiC_p) in situ and of SiC_p would increase the low the high temperature creep by oating of the MoSi ₂ powder was ous dispersion flocculation. The
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The objective of this resear reactively sintered MoSi ₂ polymeric coatings on Mod to reduce the inherent pr temperature toughness of N reducing grain boundary sli obtained by: phenolic re- sintering temperatures and	ch was to identify the phases and MoSi ₂ composites with Si ₂ particles. Carbon was ad esence of SiO ₂ in MoSi ₂ . T $MoSi_2$ by using it as a reinfor iding caused by viscous flow sin based carbon by solvent 1 times ranged from 1600 to	s formed and determin carbon additions. The ded to form silicon ca he in situ formation c cement and also reduc of SiO ₂ . The carbon c evaporation and aque 1800 °C and 1 to 100	e some mechanical properties o carbon additions were made via rbide particles (SiC_p) in situ and of SiC_p would increase the low ce the high temperature creep by oating of the MoSi ₂ powder was ous dispersion flocculation. The h, respectively. The addition o
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The objective of this resear reactively sintered MoSi ₂ polymeric coatings on Mo to reduce the inherent pr temperature toughness of N reducing grain boundary sh obtained by: phenolic re- sintering temperatures and carbon did reduce the prese	ch was to identify the phases and MoSi ₂ composites with Si ₂ particles. Carbon was ad esence of SiO ₂ in MoSi ₂ . T MoSi ₂ by using it as a reinfor iding caused by viscous flow sin based carbon by solvent 1 times ranged from 1600 to nce of SiO ₂ and there was for	s formed and determin carbon additions. The ded to form silicon ca he in situ formation co ccement and also reduc of SiO ₂ . The carbon c evaporation and aque 1800 °C and 1 to 100 mation of SiC _p . Howe	e some mechanical properties o carbon additions were made via rbide particles (SiC _p) in situ and of SiC _p would increase the low the high temperature creep by oating of the MoSi ₂ powder was ous dispersion flocculation. The h, respectively. The addition o ver, the SiC _p formation was less
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The objective of this resear reactively sintered MoSi ₂ polymeric coatings on Mo to reduce the inherent pr temperature toughness of N reducing grain boundary sh obtained by: phenolic re- sintering temperatures and carbon did reduce the prese than expected and, therefore	ch was to identify the phases and MoSi ₂ composites with Si ₂ particles. Carbon was ad esence of SiO ₂ in MoSi ₂ . T MoSi ₂ by using it as a reinfor iding caused by viscous flow sin based carbon by solvent 1 times ranged from 1600 to nce of SiO ₂ and there was for e, did not increase toughness	s formed and determin carbon additions. The ded to form silicon ca he in situ formation of ccement and also reduc of SiO ₂ . The carbon c evaporation and aque 1800 °C and 1 to 100 mation of SiC _p . Howe significantly. The No	e some mechanical properties o carbon additions were made via rbide particles (SiC_p) in situ and of SiC_p would increase the low the high temperature creep by oating of the MoSi ₂ powder was ous dispersion flocculation. The h, respectively. The addition of ver, the SiC _p formation was less wotny phase (Mo _{4.8} Si ₃ C _{0.6}) was
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The objective of this resear reactively sintered MoSi ₂ polymeric coatings on Mod to reduce the inherent pr temperature toughness of N reducing grain boundary sli obtained by: phenolic re- sintering temperatures and carbon did reduce the prese than expected and, therefor also found in less than exp	ch was to identify the phases and MoSi ₂ composites with Si ₂ particles. Carbon was ad esence of SiO ₂ in MoSi ₂ . T $MoSi_2$ by using it as a reinfor iding caused by viscous flow sin based carbon by solvent 1 times ranged from 1600 to nce of SiO ₂ and there was for e, did not increase toughness pected amounts.	s formed and determin carbon additions. The ded to form silicon ca he in situ formation of cement and also reduc of SiO ₂ . The carbon c evaporation and aque 1800 °C and 1 to 100 mation of SiC _p . Howe significantly. The No	e some mechanical properties o carbon additions were made via rbide particles (SiC_p) in situ and of SiC_p would increase the low ce the high temperature creep by oating of the MoSi ₂ powder was ous dispersion flocculation. The h, respectively. The addition o ver, the SiC _p formation was less wotny phase (Mo _{4.8} Si ₃ C _{0.6}) was
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The objective of this resear reactively sintered MoSi ₂ polymeric coatings on Mo to reduce the inherent pr temperature toughness of N reducing grain boundary sl obtained by: phenolic re- sintering temperatures and carbon did reduce the prese than expected and, therefor also found in less than exp	ch was to identify the phases and MoSi ₂ composites with Si ₂ particles. Carbon was ad esence of SiO ₂ in MoSi ₂ . T MoSi ₂ by using it as a reinfor iding caused by viscous flow sin based carbon by solvent 1 times ranged from 1600 to nce of SiO ₂ and there was for e, did not increase toughness pected amounts.	s formed and determin carbon additions. The ded to form silicon ca he in situ formation of ccement and also reduc of SiO ₂ . The carbon c evaporation and aque 1800 °C and 1 to 100 mation of SiC _p . Howe significantly. The No	e some mechanical properties o carbon additions were made via rbide particles (SiC _p) in situ and of SiC _p would increase the low the high temperature creep by oating of the MoSi ₂ powder was ous dispersion flocculation. The h, respectively. The addition of ver, the SiC _p formation was less wotny phase (Mo $_{4.8}Si_3C_{0.6}$) was
 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The objective of this resear reactively sintered MoSi₂ polymeric coatings on Mod to reduce the inherent pr temperature toughness of N reducing grain boundary sli obtained by: phenolic re- sintering temperatures and carbon did reduce the prese- than expected and, therefor also found in less than exp 4. SUBJECT TERMS 	ch was to identify the phases and MoSi ₂ composites with Si ₂ particles. Carbon was ad esence of SiO ₂ in MoSi ₂ . T MoSi ₂ by using it as a reinfor iding caused by viscous flow sin based carbon by solvent I times ranged from 1600 to nce of SiO ₂ and there was for e, did not increase toughness pected amounts.	s formed and determin carbon additions. The ded to form silicon ca he in situ formation of ccement and also reduc of SiO ₂ . The carbon c evaporation and aque 1800 °C and 1 to 100 mation of SiC _p . Howe significantly. The No	e some mechanical properties of carbon additions were made via rbide particles (SiC_p) in situ and of SiC_p would increase the low ce the high temperature creep by oating of the MoSi ₂ powder was ous dispersion flocculation. The oh, respectively. The addition of ver, the SiC _p formation was less wotny phase (Mo $_{4.8}Si_3C_{0.6}$) was
 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The objective of this resear reactively sintered MoSi₂ polymeric coatings on Mod to reduce the inherent pr temperature toughness of N reducing grain boundary sh obtained by: phenolic re- sintering temperatures and carbon did reduce the prese than expected and, therefor also found in less than exp SUBJECT TERMS 	ch was to identify the phases and MoSi ₂ composites with Si ₂ particles. Carbon was ad esence of SiO ₂ in MoSi ₂ . T MoSi ₂ by using it as a reinfor iding caused by viscous flow sin based carbon by solvent 1 times ranged from 1600 to nce of SiO ₂ and there was for e, did not increase toughness pected amounts.	s formed and determin carbon additions. The ded to form silicon ca he in situ formation of ccement and also reduc of SiO ₂ . The carbon c evaporation and aque 1800 °C and 1 to 100 mation of SiC _p . Howe significantly. The No	e some mechanical properties o carbon additions were made via rbide particles (SiC_p) in situ and of SiC_p would increase the low the high temperature creep by oating of the MoSi ₂ powder was ous dispersion flocculation. The h, respectively. The addition of ver, the SiC _p formation was less wotny phase (Mo _{4.8} Si ₃ C _{0.6}) was 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 87
 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The objective of this resear reactively sintered MoSi₂ polymeric coatings on Mot to reduce the inherent pr temperature toughness of N reducing grain boundary sh obtained by: phenolic re- sintering temperatures and carbon did reduce the prese than expected and, therefor also found in less than exp 4. SUBJECT TERMS 	ch was to identify the phases and MoSi ₂ composites with Si ₂ particles. Carbon was ad esence of SiO ₂ in MoSi ₂ . T $IoSi_2$ by using it as a reinfor iding caused by viscous flow is based carbon by solvent 1 times ranged from 1600 to nce of SiO ₂ and there was for e, did not increase toughness bected amounts.	s formed and determin carbon additions. The ded to form silicon ca he in situ formation of ccement and also reduc of SiO ₂ . The carbon c evaporation and aque 1800 °C and 1 to 100 mation of SiC _p . Howe significantly. The No	e some mechanical properties of carbon additions were made via rbide particles (SiC_p) in situ and of SiC_p would increase the low ce the high temperature creep by oating of the MoSi ₂ powder was ous dispersion flocculation. The oh, respectively. The addition of ver, the SiC _p formation was less wotny phase (Mo _{4.8} Si ₃ C _{0.6}) was 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 87 16. PRICE CODE
 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The objective of this resear reactively sintered MoSi₂ polymeric coatings on Mo to reduce the inherent pr temperature toughness of N reducing grain boundary sli obtained by: phenolic re- sintering temperatures and carbon did reduce the prese than expected and, therefor also found in less than exp SUBJECT TERMS SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 	ch was to identify the phases and MoSi ₂ composites with Si ₂ particles. Carbon was ad esence of SiO ₂ in MoSi ₂ . T $MoSi_2$ by using it as a reinfor iding caused by viscous flow sin based carbon by solvent 1 times ranged from 1600 to nce of SiO ₂ and there was for e, did not increase toughness bected amounts.	s formed and determin carbon additions. The ded to form silicon ca he in situ formation of cement and also reduc of SiO ₂ . The carbon c evaporation and aque 1800 °C and 1 to 100 mation of SiC _p . Howe significantly. The No	e some mechanical properties of carbon additions were made via rbide particles (SiC_p) in situ and of SiC_p would increase the low the high temperature creep by oating of the MoSi ₂ powder was ous dispersion flocculation. The h, respectively. The addition of ver, the SiC _p formation was less wotny phase (Mo $_{4.8}Si_3C_{0.6}$) was 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 87 16. PRICE CODE
 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The objective of this resear reactively sintered MoSi₂ polymeric coatings on Mod to reduce the inherent pr temperature toughness of N reducing grain boundary sli obtained by: phenolic re- sintering temperatures and carbon did reduce the prese than expected and, therefor also found in less than exp SUBJECT TERMS SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 	ch was to identify the phases and $MoSi_2$ composites with Si_2 particles. Carbon was ad esence of SiO_2 in $MoSi_2$. T $IoSi_2$ by using it as a reinfor- iding caused by viscous flow is based carbon by solvent 1 times ranged from 1600 to nce of SiO_2 and there was for e, did not increase toughness bected amounts.	s formed and determin carbon additions. The ded to form silicon ca he in situ formation of cement and also reduc of SiO ₂ . The carbon c evaporation and aque 1800 °C and 1 to 100 mation of SiC _p . Howe significantly. The No	e some mechanical properties of carbon additions were made via rbide particles (SiC_p) in situ and of SiC_p would increase the low the high temperature creep by oating of the MoSi ₂ powder was ous dispersion flocculation. The h, respectively. The addition of ver, the SiC _p formation was less wotny phase (Mo _{4.8} Si ₃ C _{0.6}) was 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 87 16. PRICE CODE

-

🕷 👍 A

.

296-10

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

The Report Documentation Page (RDP) is used in announcing and cataloging reports. It is important that this information be consistent with the rest of the report, particularly the cover and title page. Instructions for filling in each block of the form follow. It is important to *stay within the lines* to meet *optical scanning requirements.*

Block 1. Agency Use Only (Leave blank).

Block 2. <u>Report Date</u>. Full publication date including day, month, and year, if available (e.g. 1 Jan 88). Must cite at least the year.

Block 3. <u>Type of Report and Dates Covered.</u> State whether report is interim, final, etc. If applicable, enter inclusive report dates (e.g. 10 June 87 - 30 June 88.

Block 4. <u>Title and Subtitle</u>. A title is taken from the part of the report that provides the most meaningful and complete information. When a report is prepared in more than one volume, repeat the primary title, add volume number, and include subtitle for the specific volume. On classified documents enter the title classification in parentheses.

Block 5. <u>Funding Numbers.</u> To include contract and grant numbers; may include program element number(s), project number(s), task number(s), and work unit number(s). Use the following labels:

C - Contract	PR - Project
G - Grant	TA - Task
PE - Program	WU - Work Unit
Element	Accession No

Block 6. <u>Author(s)</u>. Name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. If editor or compiler, this should follow the name(s).

Block 7. <u>Performing Organization Name(s) and</u> <u>Address(es)</u>. Self-explanatory.

Block 8. <u>Performing Organization Report</u> <u>Number</u>. Enter the unique alphanumeric report number(s) assigned by the organization performing the report.

Block 9. <u>Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Names(s)</u> and Address(es). Self-explanatory.

Block 10. <u>Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency</u> Report Number. (If known)

Block 11. <u>Supplementary Notes</u>. Enter information not included elsewhere such as: Prepared in cooperation with...; Trans. of ...; To be published... When a report is revised, include a statement whether the new report supersedes or supplements the older report. Block 12a. <u>Distribution/Availability Statement</u>. Denotes public availability or limitations. Cite any availability to the public. Enter additional limitations or special markings in all capitals (e.g. NOFORN, REL, ITAR). E. 6

DOD - See DODD 5230.24, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents." DOE - See authorities.

- NASA See Handbook NHB 2200.2.
- NTIS Leave blank.

Block 12b. Distribution Code.

- DOD Leave blank.
- DOE Enter DOE distribution categories from the Standard Distribution for Unclassified Scientific and Technical Reports.
- NASA Leave blank.
- NTIS Leave blank.

Block 13. <u>Abstract</u>. Include a brief *(Maximum 200 words)* factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report.

Block 14. <u>Subject Terms</u>. Keyword or phrases identifying major subjects in the report.

Block 15. <u>Number of Pages</u>. Enter the total number of pages.

Block 16. <u>Price Code</u>. Enter appropriate price code (*NTIS only*).

Blocks 17. - 19. <u>Security Classification</u>. Selfexplanatory. Enter U. S. Security Classification in accordance with the U.S. Security Regulations (i.e., UNCLASSIFIED). If form contains classified information, stamp classification on the top and bottom of the page.

Block 20. Limitation of Abstract. This block must be completed to assign a limitation to the abstract. Enter either UL (unlimited) or SAR (same as report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited. If blank, the abstract is assumed to be unlimited.