Energy Engineering Analysis Program (EEAP) Limited Energy Study - Letting Fort Campbell, Kentucky

Final Report

Executive Summary

DIMINIATION STATEMENT & Approved to public released Distribution Uplimited

> CONTRACT #DACA27-01-94-D-0034 SYSTEMS CORP PROJECT #94013.01 SEPTEMBER 23, 1994



Louisville District-US Army Corp of Engineers



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING RESEARCH LABORATORIES, CORPS OF ENGINEERS P.O. BOX 9005 CHAMPAIGN, ILLINOIS 61826-9005

REPLYTO ATTENTION OF: TR-I Library

17 Sep 1997

Based on SOW, these Energy Studies are unclassified/unlimited. Distribution A. Approved for public release.

1,aktra

Marie Wakeffeld, Librarian Engineering

19971023 179

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

FY94 EEAP LIGHTING ENERGY STUDY, FT. CAMPBELL, KY

1.1 SYNOPSIS

Systems Corp surveyed and completed energy analyses for 95 representative buildings at Fort Campbell, categorized as Korean War Barracks, Airfield Buildings, and Blanchfield Hospital buildings B and C. The energy conservation opportunities (ECOs) evaluated were high efficiency interior and exterior lighting, and indoor lighting controls. Cost estimates were prepared using MeansData for Windows Spreadsheets, Version 2.0a. Life cycle cost analyses were performed using the Life Cycle Cost in Design (LCCID) computer program. Project development brochures (PDBs) and DD1391 forms were prepared for four Energy Conservation Investment Program (ECIP) projects. The total of the four projects that were developed represent \$385,283 in annual savings with a simple payback of 6.37 years and a saving to investment ratio (SIR) of 1.89.

1.2 INTRODUCTION

Systems Engineering and Management Corporation (Systems/Corp) was contracted by the Louisville District of the United States Army Corps of Engineers in June 1994 to perform a limited energy study for 95 buildings at Fort Campbell, Kentucky. The project includes a study of interior and exterior lighting, as well as controls.

1.2.1 Scope of Work

- 1. Evaluate selected energy conservation opportunities (ECOs) to determine their energy savings potential and economic feasibility.
- 2. Conduct a limited site survey of selected buildings or areas to insure that any methods of energy conservation which are practical and have not been evaluated in any previous energy study have been considered and the results documented.
- 3. Determine efficiency of existing systems. Determine the replacement option with the highest SIR.
- 4. Provide complete programming or implementation documentation for all recommended ECOs.
- 5. Prepare a comprehensive report to document the work performed, the results, and the recommendations.

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 2

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

FY94 EEAP LIGHTING ENERGY STUDY, FT. CAMPBELL, KY

1.2.2 Organization of the Final Report

The submitted material for this report consists of the following:

- Volume I: Executive Summary, Methods and Approach, Project I: Interior/Exterior Lighting at Airfield, Project II: Lighting Controls at Airfield, Project III: Interior Lighting and Controls at Blanchfield Hospital, Project IV: Interior Lighting at Korean War Barracks
- Volume II: Scope of Work, Interim Review Comments and Responses, and Interim Review Presentation

1.3 PRESENT AND HISTORICAL ELECTRICAL ENERGY CONSUMPTION

The baseline energy consumptions and the energy conservation opportunity energy consumption were determined using spreadsheets and manual calculating to model system energy consumption. These have been included in *Section 2* of this report.

The electric energy consumption, demand, and total costs for FY93 are shown in *Table 1.3.1 Fort Campbell Electric. Figure 1.3.1* is a bar graph of the monthly consumption and cost for FY93. The electric costs used to calculate the electric cost savings for the project are as follows:

COST/kWh	=	\$0.02114/kWh (No Demand)
COST/MBtu	=	\$6.18/MBtu (No Demand)
COST/kW	=	\$11.78/kW (Monthly Demand)

1.4 ENERGY CONSERVATION OPPORTUNITIES INVESTIGATED

Systems Corp analyzed two energy conservation opportunities (ECOs) at Fort Campbell, Kentucky. The analysis was performed utilizing energy models developed by Systems Corp and data collected during the field survey of the facilities at Fort Campbell. Each ECO was evaluated to determine the potential energy savings, dollar savings, implementation costs, simple payback, life cycle cost, and savings to investment ratio (SIR). The two ECOs that were evaluated are as follows:

ECO - 1 High Efficiency Interior/Exterior Lighting

ECO - 2 Lighting Controls



Table 1.3.1 Table 1.3.1 Fort Campbell Electric Frequencies Fy93 Intel

Cost/KWH	\$0.046	\$0.046	\$0.045	\$0.046	\$0.049	\$0.046	\$0.048	\$0.050	\$0.045	\$0.045	\$0.045	\$0.052	\$0.047	\$0.045	\$0.052	\$0.047
Total Cost	\$739,346	\$800,806	\$821,704	\$860,667	\$857,977	\$811,111	\$760,262	\$926,917	\$1,081,048	\$1,160,394	\$1,141,714	\$902,293	\$10,864,239	\$739,346	\$1,160,394	\$905,353
Consumption (KWH)	16,077,600	17,287,200	18,320,400	19,307,400	17,644,200	17,808,000	15,691,200	18,429,600	23,872,800	25,800,600	25,229,400	17,488,800	232,957,200	15,691,200	25,800,600	19,413,100
Demand (KW)	31,072	34,020	33,907	35,381	38,140	33,944	34,663	43,697	47,212	50,009	49,556	43,281	474,882	31,072	50,009	39,574
Month	Oct '92	Nov	Dec	Jan ¹ 93	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	unr	Inc	Aug	Sep	TOTAL	Min	Max	Avg



Page 1-4

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

FY94 EEAP LIGHTING ENERGY STUDY, FT. CAMPBELL, KY

Systems Corp's energy analysis models were used to determine the savings achieved for implementing each ECO in the facilities that were evaluated. MeansData for Windows Spreadsheets, Version 2.0a cost estimating software was used to estimate the implementation cost of each ECO in each facility evaluated. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Life Cycle Cost in Design, Version 1.0, Level 80, software was used to perform life cycle cost analyses and determine the SIR of each ECO for each facility evaluated.

1.4.1 ECOs Recommended

Systems Corp recommended that both of the ECOs evaluated be implemented, but not in every area surveyed. The following is a list of the ECOs recommended to be implemented by area surveyed. The criteria for recommendation is a favorable simple payback and savings to investment ratio (SIR).

- ECO 1: Airfield Buildings Blanchfield Hospital Korean War Barracks
- ECO 2: Airfield Buildings Blanchfield Hospital

1.4.2 ECOs Rejected

ECO-2, Lighting Controls, in the Korean War Barracks was rejected due to the large investment required for the proper controls set-up. The best opportunity for lighting controls was in the latrine areas. Due to multiple walls and sections, multiple overhead occupancy sensors would be required. Good energy savings were available, but the high investment costs gave the project a poor simple payback and SIR.

1.4.3 ECIP Projects Developed

Systems Corp developed four ECIP/FEMP projects. The projects included interior/exterior lighting in 28 buildings at the Airfield, lighting controls in 15 buildings at the Airfield, interior lighting and controls at Blanchfield Hospital, and interior lighting at 44 Korean War Barracks. The following table summarizes the savings and investments for each project.

FORT	TA CAMPBELL L ECIP PRO,	BLE 1.4 IGHTIN JECT SU	.3 IG ENER(JMMARY	GY ST	UDY	METUT
PROJECT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	1ST YEAR SAVINGS	TOTAL INVESTMENT	SPB (YRS)	SIR	Annual Energy Savings
1	INTERIOR LIGHTING AT AIRFIELD (ECO 1)	\$130,656	\$709,900	5.43	2.21	6,521
2	LIGHTING CONTROLS AT AIRFIELD (ECO 2)	\$26,209	\$60,078	2.29	5.21	634
3	INTERIOR LIGHTING AND LIGHTING CONTROLS AT HOSPITAL (ECO 1 & 2)	\$79,518	\$424,003	5.33	2.27	5,256
4	INTERIOR LIGHTING AT KOREAN WAR BARRACKS (ECO 1)	\$148,900	\$1,260,715	8.47	1.43	9,279
PRO	JECT TOTALS	\$385,283	\$2,454,696	6.37	1.89	21,690

Ē

Page 1-6

ARMY	FY 19 <u>94</u> N		ONSTRUCTI	ON PROJECT		3 September
3. INSTALLATION AND I						
Fort Campbell,	Kentucky					
4. PROJECT TITLE				4	5. PROJECT NUMBER	र
INTERIOR/EXT	ERIOR LIGHTING	REPLACEM	ENT AT ARM	Y AIRFIELD	ECIP #1	
Life Cycle Cost A	Analysis		1			
Fiscal Year: 199	anon∕Exterior Lighti 94	ng Replacem	ents			
Analysis Date: 0	9/23/94					
Economic Life: F	ifteen (15) Years					
1. INVESTMENT						
A. CONSTRU	JCTION COST			645,364		
B. SIOH				32,268		
C. DESIG	IN COST			32,268		
D. ENER	GY CREDIT CALC			-0-		
E. SALVA	GE VALUE			-0-		
F. TOTAL INVESTMENT \$709,900						
2. ENERGY SAV ANALYSIS D	VINGS ATE ANNUAL SAVIN	NGS, UNTI CO	ST & DISCOUI	NTED SAVINGS	3	
FUEL		COST \$/MBtu (1)	SAVINGS MBtu/YR(2)	ANNUAL \$ SAVINGS(3)	DISCOUNT FACTOR(4)	DISCOUNT SAVINGS
A. ELECT		6.18	6521	40,300	12.43	500,926
B. DIST						
C. RESID						
D. NG						
E. DEMAND				82,741	11.85	980,481
F. TOTAL			6521	123,041		1,481,40
3. NON-ENERG	Y SAVINGS					
A. ANNUAL			44.05			\$7615
(1)DISCOU (2)DISCOU B. NON-REC SAVINGS	UNTED SAVINGS URRING		11.05			\$90,238
ITEM		SAVINGS (+ COST(-)(1)) YEAR OF OCCURRENC	DISCOUNT CE (2) FACTOR	DISCOUNTED SAVINGS(+) COST (-)(4)	•
a. Replace Ir	nterior					
b. Replace E	xterior					
с.						
d. Total						

DD FORM 1391 1 DEC 76 PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED INTERNALLY UNTIL EXHAUSTED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(WHEN DATA IS ENTERED)

1. COMPONENT ARMY	FY 19 <u>94</u> MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJEC	Τ DATA	2. DATE 23 September 94
3. INSTALLATION AND LO Fort Campbell, K	i DCATION ientucky		L <u></u>
4. PROJECT TITLE INTERIOR/EXTE	RIOR LIGHTING REPLACEMENT AT ARMY AIRFIELD	5. PROJECT NU ECIP #1	JMBER
SPECIAL REQUI	REMENTS PARAGRAPH 1 (SRP-1) (continued)	£	
4. FIRST YEAR D	OLLAR SAVINGS		\$ 130,65
5. SIMPLE PAYBA	СК		5.43 Yea
6. TOTAL NET DIS	SCOUNTED SAVINGS		\$1,517,64
7. DISCOUNTED S	SAVINGS RATIO		2.2
	· ·		
	· · · · · ·		

DD FORM 1391 1 DEC 76 PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED UNTIL EXHAUSTED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (WHEN DATA IS ENTERED)

ARMY		ONSTRUCT			3 Septemb
	-1 19 <u>54</u> WILLIANT C				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCAT	ION				
		·			
4. PROJECT TITLE			5.	PROJECT NUMBE	ĒR
	DLS AT AIRFIELD			ECIP #2	
Life Cycle Cost Analy	vsis Controle at Airfield				
Fiscal Year: 1994	Controis at Anneid				
Analysis Date 09/23/	94				
Economic Life: Fiftee	n (15) Years				
1. INVESTMENT				-	
A. CONSTRUCTION	ON COST		54,616		
B. SIOH			2,731		
C. DESIGN CO	DST		2,731		
D. ENERGY C	REDIT CALC		-0-		
E. SALVAGE	VALUE		-0-		
F. TOTAL INV	ESTMENT		\$60,078		
2. ENERGY SAVING ANALYSIS DATE	S ANNUAL SAVINGS, UNIT C	OST & DISCOU	NTED SAVINGS		
FUEL	COST \$/MBtu (1)	SAVINGS MBtu/YR(2)	ANNUAL \$ SAVINGS(3)	DISCOUNT FACTOR(4)	DISCOUI SAVING
A. ELECT	6.18	634	3,921	12.43	48,73
B. DIST					
C. RESID					
D. NG					
E. DEMAND			22,288	11.85	264,1
F. TOTAL		634	26,209		312,8
3. NON-ENERGY SA	VINGS				
		11 95			
(2)DISCOUNT (2)DISCOUNTE	ED SAVINGS	11.00			
в. NON-RECURR SAVINGS	ING				
ITEM	SAVINGS	(+) YEAR OF		DISCOUNTE	D
	0051(-)(1)	UCCURREN	JE (Z) FAUTUR	COST (-)(4)	1
a. Replace Interio	r				
b. Replace Exterio	or				
C.					
••					



.

PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED INTERNALLY UNTIL EXHAUSTED

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(WHEN DATA IS ENTERED)

1. COMPONENT ARMY	FY 19 <u>94</u> MILITARY CONSTRU	CTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE 23 September 9
3. INSTALLATION AND LO Fort Campbell, Ki	CATION entucky		
4. PROJECT TITLE LIGHTING CONT	ROLS AT AIRFIELD	5. PROJECT N ECIP #2	IUMBER
SPECIAL REQUIF	EMENTS PARAGRAPH 1 (SRP-1) (cor	ntinued)	······································
4. FIRST YEAR DO	OLLAR SAVINGS		\$ 26,20
5. SIMPLE PAYBA	CK PERIOD		2.29 Yea
6. TOTAL NET DIS	COUNTED SAVINGS		\$312,85
7. DISCOUNTED S	AVINGS RATIO		5.2
DD FORM 1391 1 DEC 76	PREVIOUS EDITIONS M. UNTIL EXHAUS	AY BE USED	
	FOR OFFICIAL U	USE ONLY ENTEREDI	4 - 1

ARMY	 FY 19 94 N		ONSTRUCTI			23 Septembe
				•		
Fort Campbell, K	lentucky					
4. PROJECT TITLE						BER
INTERIOR LIGHTIN	G REPLACEMENT A		S AT BLANCHFI	ELD HOSPITAL	ECIP #3	
Life Cycle Cost A Project Title: Inter Fiscal Year: 1994 Analysis Date 09/ Economic Life: Fit 1. INVESTMENT A. CONSTRU B. SIOH C. DESIGN D. ENERG E. SALVAO	nalysis ior Lighting Repla 23/94 iteen (15) Years CTION COST V COST Y CREDIT CALC GE VALUE	acements and	Controls	385,457 19,273 19,273 -0- -0-		
F. TOTAL	INVESTMENT			424,003		
2. ENERGY SAV ANALYSIS DA	INGS TE ANNUAL SAVIN	NGS, UNIT CO	ST & DISCOUN	NTED SAVINGS	5	
FUEL		COST \$/MBtu (1)	SAVINGS MBtu/YR(2)	ANNUAL \$ SAVINGS(3)	DISCOUNT FACTOR(4)	DISCOUN
A. ELECT		6.18	5256	32,482	12.43	403,75
B. DIST						
C. RESID						
D. NG		÷				
E. DEMAND				40,974	11.85	485,54
F. TOTAL			5256	73,456		889,29
3. NON-ENERGY	SAVINGS					
A. ANNUAL R (1)DISCOU (2)DISCOU B. NON-RECL SAVINGS	ECURRING NT FACTOR NTED SAVINGS IRRING		11.85			\$606 \$71,83
0/11100		SAVINGS (+)) YEAR OF	DISCOUNT E (2) FACTOR	DISCOUNT SAVINGS(+	ED)
ITEM		0001()(1)			0031 (-)(4	<i>•</i>)
ITEM	erior	0001(4)(4)			0031 (-)(4	7)
ITEM a. Replace Int b. Replace Ex	erior terior				0031 (-)(4	')
ITEM a. Replace Int b. Replace Ex c.	erior terior				0031 (-)(4	<i>''</i>
ITEM a. Replace Int b. Replace Ex c. d. Total	erior terior				0031 (-)(4	7

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(WHEN DATA IS ENTERED)

1. COMPONENT ARMY	FY 19 94 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE 23 September 94
3. INSTALLATION AND Fort Campbell,	LOCATION Kentucky	
	5. PROJECT	NUMBER
INTERIOR LIGHT	ING REPLACEMENT AND CONTROLS AT BLANCHFIELD HOSPITAL ECIP #3	3
SPECIAL REQU	ING REPLACEMENT AND CONTROLS AT BLANCHFIELD HOSPITAL ECIP #3	3
SPECIAL REQU	ING REPLACEMENT AND CONTROLS AT BLANCHFIELD HOSPITAL ECIP #(3 \$ 79,51
SPECIAL REQU 4. FIRST YEAR 5. SIMPLE PAYE	ING REPLACEMENT AND CONTROLS AT BLANCHFIELD HOSPITAL ECIP #3 JIREMENTS PARAGRAPH 1 (SRP-1) (continued) DOLLAR SAVINGS BACK PERIOD	3 \$ 79,51 5.33 Year
INTERIOR LIGHT SPECIAL REQU 4. FIRST YEAR 5. SIMPLE PAYE 6. TOTAL NET D	ING REPLACEMENT AND CONTROLS AT BLANCHFIELD HOSPITAL ECIP #3 JIREMENTS PARAGRAPH 1 (SRP-1) (continued) DOLLAR SAVINGS BACK PERIOD DISCOUNTED SAVINGS	3 \$ 79,51 5.33 Year \$961,12

DD FORM 1391 1 DEC 76

.

.

÷

PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED UNTIL EXHAUSTED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (WHEN DATA IS ENTERED)

.

ARMY	FY 19 <u>94</u> I		ONSTRUCT	ION PROJEC		23 Septer
3. INSTALLATION AND LO	CATION				I	
Fort Campbell, Ke	entucky					
4. PROJECT TITLE					5. PROJECT NUM	BER
		S KOREAN WA	R BARRACKS	•	ECIP #4	
Life Cycle Cost An	alvsis					
Project Title: Interi	or Lighting Repl	acements				
Fiscal Year: 1994	2/04					
Economic Life: Fift	een (15) Years					
1 INVESTMENT						
				1 146 105		
B SIOH				1,140,100 57 205)	
C DESIGN	COST			57,305		
D. ENERGY				-0-		
E. SALVAG	E VALUE			-0-		
F. TOTAL I	NVESTMENT			1,260,715		
2. ENERGY SAVIN ANALYSIS DAT	NGS 'E ANNUAL SAVII	NGS, UNIT CO	ST & DISCOUI	NTED SAVINGS	5	
FUEL		COST \$/MBtu (1)	SAVINGS MBtu/YR(2)	ANNUAL \$ SAVINGS(3)	DISCOUNT FACTOR(4)	DISCO
A. ELECT		6.18	9,279	. 57,344	12.43	\$71
B. DIST						
C. RESID						
D. NG						
E. DEMAND				67,540	11.85	800
F. TOTAL			9,279	124,884		1,51
3. NON-ENERGY S	SAVINGS					
A. ANNUAL RE			14 OE			\$24
(2)DISCOUN (2)DISCOUN B. NON-RECUF SAVINGS	TED SAVINGS RING		11.05			\$284
ITEM		SAVINGS (+)	YEAR OF	DISCOUNT	DISCOUNTE	Đ
		COST(-)(1)	OCCURRENC	CE (2) FACTOR	SAVINGS(+) COST (-)(4))
	rior					
a. Replace Inter						
a. Replace Inter b. Replace Exte	rior					
a. Replace Inter b. Replace Exte c.	erior					
a. Replace Inter b. Replace Exte c. d. Total	irior					

PREVIOUS EDITIONS MAY BE USED INTERNALLY
UNTIL EXHAUSTED
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

1. COMPONENT ARMY	FY 19 94 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PRO	OJECT DATA	2. DATE 23 September 9
3. INSTALLATION AND			I
Fort Campbell,	Kentucky		
4. PROJECT TITLE INTERIOR LIGHT	ING REPLACEMENTS AT KOREAN WAR BARBACKS	5. PROJECT N	UMBER
SPECIAL REQU	JIREMENTS PARAGRAPH 1 (SRP-1) (continued)		
4. FIRST YEAR	DOLLAR SAVINGS		\$148,9
5. SIMPLE PAYI	BACK PERIOD		8.47 Ye
6. TOTAL NET [DISCOUNTED SAVINGS		\$1,797,7
7. DISCOUNTEE) SAVINGS RATIO		1.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (WHEN DATA IS ENTERED)

.