-FINAL SUBMISSION-EXECUTIVE SUMMARY



JOLIET **ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT**

ENERGY ENGINEERING ANALYSIS



Prepared for



The Department of the Army **Omaha** District Corps of Engineers Contract No. DACA45-80-C-0090

Bv



Sanders & Thomas, Inc. An STV Engineers Professional Firm Consulting Engineers Pottstown, Pennsylvania 19464

DISTRIBUTION STATEMONT &

W WALLER W

Approved for public roleansy Distribution University

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING RESEARCH LABORATORIES, CORPS OF ENGINEERS P.O. BOX 9005 CHAMPAIGN, ILLINOIS 61826-9005



TR-I Library

17 Sep 1997

Based on SOW, these Energy Studies are unclassified/unlimited. Distribution A. Approved for public release.

Marie Wakeffeld, Librarian Engineering

SANDERS & THOMAS.

AN STV ENGINEERS PROFESSIONAL FIRM.

CONSULTING ENGINEERS. 11 ROBINSON STREET, POTTSTOWN, PA 19464. PHONE 215/326-4600. CABLE: SANTOM, TELEX 84-6430.

May 14, 1982

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Omaha District 6014 U.S. Post Office and Court House Omaha, NE 68102

Attention: MROED-MC

Reference: Energy Engineering Analysis Joliet Army Ammunition Plant Joliet, Illinois

Subject: Energy Engineering Analysis - Final Submission

Contract No.: DACA45-80-C-0090

Our Project No.: 05-4660

Gentlemen:

This letter transmits the Final Submission of the Energy Engineering Analysis for the Joliet Army Ammunition Plant, Joliet, Illinois. The Analysis presents energy conservation projects that will enable the plant to meet energy consumption reduction goals, as specified in the Army Facilities Energy Plan.

The Analysis consists of seven components:

- Executive Summary
- . Technical Report
- . Appendix I: Master Building List
- . Appendix II: Energy Conservation Calculations and Data
- Appendix III: Energy Conservation Measures Summaries
- Appendix III: Energy Conservation Measures
- Project Programming Documents

All comments have been reviewed and incorporated in the report, as appropriate.

This Energy Engineering Analysis is a valuable data base that can be used for the development of additional projects as Army goals are revised and other energy conservation projects become viable.

STV Engineers, Inc., Consulting Engineers, Architects, Planners, Construction Managers. THE STV ENGINEERS PROFESSIONAL FIRMS: Sanders & Thomas, Inc., Consulting Engineers, Architects, Planners; Seelye Stevenson Value & Knecht, Inc., Engineers and Planners; S&T Western, Incorporated, Consulting Engineers; Baltimore Transportation Associates, Inc., Consulting Engineers; Santafric, Engineers and Economists, LICCATIONS: New York, Rochester, Plainiver, N.Y.; Philadelphia, Pottstown, Pa.; Baltimore; Boston; Nashville; Atlanta; Miami; Jackson, N.J.; Stratford, Conn.; Newport Beach, Calif.; Abidjan, Ivory Coast; Cairo, Port Said, Egypt.

SANDERS & THOMAS.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Attention: MROED-MC

May 14, 1982 Page 2

The assistance that was provided by plant and COE personnel proved invaluable in completing this assignment. We appreciate their cooperation and hospitality.

Thank you for this opportunity to be of service.

Very truly yours,

SANDERS & THOMAS, INC.

in

David M**J**Jonik, P.E. Project Manager

DMJ:bg

– FINAL SUBMISSION – EXECUTIVE SUMMARY



JOLIET ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT

ENERGY ENGINEERING ANALYSIS



Prepared for



The Department of the Army

Omaha District Corps of Engineers Contract No. DACA45-80-C-0090





Sanders & Thomas, Inc. An STV Engineers Professional Firm Consulting Engineers Pottstown, Pennsylvania 19464

MAY 1982

PROJECT ABSTRACT

SANDERS & THOMAS.

ENERGY ENGINEERING ANALYSIS JOLIET ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT

This analysis is undertaken to assist the Joliet Army Ammunition Plant (JAAP) in meeting the goals established in the Army Facilities Energy Plan to reduce energy consumption by 25 percent by 1985.

Projects selected for implementation as a result of this analysis will enable JAAP to achieve the 1985 goal. These projects have been divided into standby status and mobilization status. Total annual energy savings for standby status from project implementation will be approximately 290,000 MBTU's. The total cost of project implementation is estimated at \$2.6 million. If mobilization status projects are implemented annual energy savings will be approximately 600,000 MBTU's during periods of full mobilization. The cost of implementing mobilization status projects is estimated at \$5.9 million.

USE OF THE REPORT

This Energy Engineering Analysis consists of the main report, three appendices, and a summary of annual energy consumption on a "perbuilding" basis. The main report identifies the purpose of the study, describes the existing and anticipated energy use trends, and defines and summarizes specific energy conservation projects recommended to achieve the goals stated in the Army Facilities Energy Plan. Appendices I, II and III, and the Annual Energy Consumption Summary include building information, weather data, cost data, and detailed computer-generated and manual calculations for each individual project.

The analysis will enable ammunition plant personnel to identify energy conservation measures and meet Army energy reduction goals.

The report includes:

- . Energy consumption by fuel type
- Energy consumption trends
- ECAM projects
- Other potential projects
- . Quick-fix management form
- Description of analyzed buildings

In addition, the Analysis is a detailed data base consisting of:

- . An analysis of building energy use
- Energy Conservation Measures applied to each analyzed building to be improved
- A set of marked-up prints from the survey indicating the conditions when surveyed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Letter of Transmittal	i
Title Page	iii
Project Abstract	iv
Use of the Report	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	vii

Section	Title	Page
1.1	Project Requirement	1
2.1	Plant Description	1
3.1	Army Facilities Energy Plan	1
4.1	Source Energy Consumption	4
5.1	Project Execution	4
6.1	Energy Conservation Opportunities	6
7.1	Projects Summary	· 7

18

Definition of Terms

vi

LIST OF TABLES

Table <u>No.</u>	Title	Page
1	Source Energy Consumption FY 1975 and 1979	<u>}</u>
2	Selected ECAM Projects	9
3	Viable Projects Not Selected For Implementation by JAAP	11
4	Energy Conservation Measures Not Selected	13
5	Increment "G" Minor Construction Maintenance and Repair Projects	14
6	Non-Viable or Out-of-Scope Projects	15
7	Summary of Projects	16

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No	Title	Page
1	Joliet Army Ammunition Plant Location Map	2
2	Joliet Army Ammunition Plant General Site Map	3
3	Energy Consumption: Mobilization versus Standby Status	5
4	Standby Status - Projected Energy Consumption	17

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 PROJECT REQUIREMENT

This engineering analysis is undertaken in order to develop a systematic program of projects that will lead to energy consumption reductions at the Joliet Army Ammunition Plant (JAAP) without compromising the mission of the plant, and in compliance with all applicable environmental and Occupational Safety and Health Administration regulations. Reduced energy consumption is a stated goal of the Army Facilities Energy Plan.

The projects included in this analysis are grouped into four increments: A - Energy Conservation and Management Program (ECAM) projects for buildings and processes, B - ECAM projects for utilities and energy distribution systems, E - Feasibility of central boiler plants, and G - Maintenance, repair, and minor construction projects.

2.1 PLANT DESCRIPTION

JAAP is the Army's largest ammunition plant with over 1,500 buildings on a 22,500 acre site 11 miles south of Joliet, Illinois. The location of the plant is shown on Figure 1: Joliet Army Ammunition Plant Location Map.

The plant is divided into two main functional areas: The Load, Assemble, and Pack (LAP) Area and the Manufacturing Area. Figure 2: Joliet Army Ammunition Plant General Site Map, shows the key features of the plant.

3.1 ARMY FACILITIES ENERGY PLAN

The Army Facilities Energy Plan sets short and long range energy goals for the Army and provide policy and planning guidance for the development of detailed facility energy plans. The Army's energy goals are to:

- Reduce total facility energy consumption by at least 25 percent by FY 1985 and by 50 percent by FY 2000, using FY 1975 as the base year.
- Reduce FY 85 average annual energy consumption per gross square foot of floor area by 45 percent in new buildings compared to FY 1975.
- Derive ten percent of Army facility energy from coal and alternate fuels by FY 1985.
- Derive one percent of Army facility energy from solar energy by FY 1985.
- . Eliminate use of natural gas by FY 2000.
- . Reduce facility use of petroleum fuels by 75 percent by FY 2000.





-2-



-3-

SANDERS & THOMAS.

AN STV ENGINEERS PROFESSIONAL FIRM

4.1

SOURCE ENERGY CONSUMPTION

Table 1: Source Energy Consumption, compares consumption from FY 1975, the base year for the study, with consumption during FY 1979. Fuel consumption over the period dropped considerably as a result of the shutdown of the plant's process facilities.

TABLE 1

SOURCE ENERGY CONSUMPTION FY 1975 AND 1979								
Source	Cost (\$000)	MBTU's Consumed (000)	Cost (\$000)	Y 1979 MBTU's Consumed (000)				
Electricity	\$1,093	746	\$ 344	135				
Fuel Oil No. 2	48	24	17	5				
Natural Gas	1,081	1,259	808	353				
Propane Gas	285	79	0	_0_				
Totals	\$2,507	2,108	\$1,169	493				

Current fuel consumption is primarily attributed to building as opposed to process requirements. Consumption varies depending upon the number of degree days experienced. Electrical consumption is relatively constant throughout the year.

JAAP is currently 98 percent on standby status and its energy usage is limited to building heating, cooling and lighting and utility systems. During active periods, the plant's energy consumption increases due to process requirements. "Energy Consumption: Mobilization Versus Standby Status" shown in Figure 3 depicts the various quantities of energy the base will consume during inactivity, partial mobilization (six continuous TNT lines), and full mobilization (six continuous, ten batch TNT lines and tetryl).

5.1 PROJECT EXECUTION

This energy engineering analysis was conducted in the following phases:

- . Field surveys and data gathering
- Analysis of projects
- Review and verification
- Preparation of Project Programming Documents

4



FIGURE 3 ENERGY CONSUMPTION: MOBILIZATION VERSUS STANDBY STATUS



5.1.1 Field Surveys and Data Gathering

The field surveys included buildings and process surveys. The building surveys were conducted in four areas:

- Architectural to evaluate such items as wall and roof types, and levels of insulation.
- Mechanical to evaluate heating, ventilating, and air conditioning systems
- Electrical to evaluate lighting and building electrical systems
- . Distribution to evaluate plant utility systems

The process surveys addressed the processes conducted at the plant including nitrating acid, TNT, tetyrl, and the various recovery systems in operation.

The distribution surveys covered all plant utility systems including electrical, steam, natural gas, water, sewage, and compressed air.

The survey phase provided a basis for the identification of energy conservation opportunities and the applicability of energy conservation measures to JAAP.

A study was also conducted to determine the feasibility of utilizing central heating plants in the LAP and Manufacturing Areas.

5.1.2 Analysis of Projects

After the data gathering phase it was possible to identify potential projects for analysis. These projects were analyzed for applicability to JAAP and their potential to save energy in relation to their implementation cost.

5.1.3 <u>Review and Verification</u>

JAAP personnel assisted in the selection of those projects which should be implemented and developed project priorities. All projects were reviewed and verified at the plant in consultation with JAAP personnel.

6.1 ENERGY CONSERVATION OPPORTUNITIES

The following energy conservation opportunities were investigated and found to be viable:

Insulation	Modify Hot Water Heater Controls
Storm Windows	Install Shower Flow Restrictors
Caulking	Reduce Ventilation Requirements
Weatherstripping	Heat Destratification

Load Dock Seals Reduce Glass Area Reduce Lighting Levels Replace Incandescent Fixtures Install Fluorescent Fixtures Install High-Efficiency Fixtures Oxygen Control for Boilers Blowdown Heat Recovery Boiler Modulating Controls Install Economizers Install New Burners Reduce Street Lighting Insulate Steam Lines Return Condensate

The following conservation opportunities were studied but found not viable because of low ECR or lack of conservation opportunity at the plant:

- . Improve power factor
- High-efficiency motor replacement
- . FM radio controls
- . Decentralize domestic hot water heaters
- . Reclaim heat from hot refrigerant gas
- Install chiller controls
- Replace chillers

7.1 PROJECTS SUMMARY

SANDERS & THOMAS.

7.1.1 Introduction

A complete listing of all ECAM, Increment "G" and other projects is provided in project number order. This is followed by specific categories of projects arranged in priority order according to descending ECR. A summary of project categories completes this section in Table 7: Summary of Projects.

7.1.2 Selected ECAM Projects

ECAM Projects selected by JAAP personnel at the Review and Verification Meeting are presented in Table 2: Selected ECAM Projects. Projects are separated by standby or mobilization status and listed in order of descending ECR.

7.1.3 Viable Projects Not Selected For Implementation By JAAP

Table 3: Viable Projects Not Selected for Implementation by JAAP, includes those projects not selected for implementation by JAAP personnel. These projects were not selected because anticipated procedural changes at the plant would make these projects unnecessary and other projects have accomplished the same purpose. Projects are separated by standby and mobilization status and listed in order of descending ECR.

7.1.4 Energy Conservation Measures Not Meeting ECAM Criteria

Those portions of ECM Nos. 2 through 8 not included in selected ECAM projects or Increment "G" projects are summarized in Table 4: Energy Conservation Measures Not Meeting ECAM Criteria. Annual MBTU savings, CWE, TIC, and ECR data are included for the unselected portion of

each ECM. A complete itemization of individual building projects from which future implementation selection could be made appears in Appendix III.

Increment "G" - Minor Construction, Maintenance and Repair Projects 7.1.5

Table 5: Increment "G" - Minor Construction, Maintenance and Repair Projects, lists qualifying projects by descending ECR.

7.1.6 Non-Viable or Out-of-Scope Projects

Table 6: Non-Viable or Out-of-Scope Projects lists those energy conservation projects not in accordance with ECAM guidance or not included within the scope of work.

7.1.7 Increment "E" - Central Boiler Plant Projects

Central boiler plant projects were developed for the LAP and Manufacturing Areas. Recommended projects are as follows:

- LAP Area: Coal Fired, High Temperature Water System Project Cost = \$35,240,000;
- . Manufacturing Area: Upgrade South Boiler Plant to burn coal; utilize existing steam distribution system - Project Cost = \$29,000,000.

7.1.8 Installation of Independent Heating Systems (Package Boilers)

JAAP personnel have developed a project to install package boilers when power house operations are discontinued. This is a viable project and the energy savings are included in the total energy savings figure for FY 1981 to FY 1985 energy projects.

7.1.8.1 Project Calculation Summary

- Annual Energy Savings: 271,900 MBTU's
- FY 82 Annual Cost Savings: \$1.322.000
- Benefits: \$32,572,000
- FY 82 CWE: \$1,957,000 FY 82 TIC: \$1,957,000
- ECR: 138.9
- BCR: 16.6
- SAP: 1.4 years

7.1.9 Projected Energy Trends

Figure 4: Standby Status-Projected Energy Consumption, shows the projected trend in energy consumption over the period FY 1975 to FY 2000. From FY 1981 to FY 1985, when the energy projects will be implemented, energy use will be reduced by approximately 290,000 MBTU's per year. Building energy use will be reduced from 130 to 30 KBTU's per gross square foot per year over the same period.

	SAN AN STV EN	DERS & T GINEERS PROFESSION/	THO AL FIRM	MAS.	7•0	0.8			1.3	1.7	0•3	9•6	.6.1
		四		ω 2	či Roj	ă O			8 30	.3 11	•6 10	5	-5 7
		BCF		11.	m	'n			43 .	6	14.	14,	10,
		SAP		1.7	5.0	6.4			h •0	2•0	1.3	1•3	1.8
		TIC (\$000)		74	295	249	618		625	2,247	286	204	118
		CWE (\$000)		70	281	236	587	·	594	2,135	272	194	112
		Benefits (\$000)		833	1,114		2,688		9,025	20,934	4,173	2,962	1,235
	ROJECTS	Annual Cost Savings (\$000)		цг S	56	37	135		259	1,044	208	148	62
TABLE 2	FED ECAM P	Annual MBTU Savings		5,440	7,590	4,930	17,960		179,000	238,400	27,300	19,360	8,530
	SELLEC	Project Title	FY 84 Standby Status	ECAM Project to Repair Damaged Insulation on 150 PSIG Steam System, Manufacturing Area - Standby Status	ECAM Project to Insulate Roofs for Selected Buildings - Standby Status	ECAM Project to Insulate Walls for Selected Buildings - Standby Status	SUBTOTAL	FY 84 Mobilization Status	ECAM Project to Preheat Boiler Feedwater with Cooling Tower Return Water - Mobilization Status	ECAM Project to Recover Heat from Redwater Condensate - Mobilization Status	ECAM Project to Improve LAP Boiler Plants - Mobilization Status	ECAM Project to Repair Damaged Insulation on 150 PSIG Steam System, Manufacturing Area - Mobilization Status	ECAM Project to Insulate Roofs on Selected Buildings - Mobilization Status
		Project No.	Ň	9-20 1	1-5	6-3			6-01	8-6)	8-4	6-3	2-10

ECR	55 . 8		50.1	56.7	45.2	34.0	
BCR	8.1		7.2	8.2	6•6	h.8	
SAP	2.4		2•6	2.3	2.9	3•9	
TIC/ (\$000)	100 ⁴	n inne	853	127	823	231	5,914
CWE (\$000)	380)	801	120	782	220	5,610
Benefits (\$000)	3_241).	6,144	956	5,407	1,118	55,195
Annual Cost Savings (\$000)	162	 	306	148	270	56	2,563
Annual MBTU Savings	21,190		40,160	6,820	35,350	7,480	583,590
Project Title	FY 84 Mobilization Status (Continued) ECAM Project to Insulate Roofs and Walls.	Repair Condensate Return System, Group 2 - Mobilization Status	ECAM Project to Insulate Roofs and Walls, Repair Condensate Return System, Group 1 - Mobilization Status	ECAM Project to Insulate Walls on Selected Buildings - Mobilization Status	ECAM Project to Insulate Roofs and Walls, Repair Condensate Return System, Group 3 - Mobilization Status	ECAM Project to Insulate Roofs and Walls on Selected Buildings - Mobilization Status	SUBTOTAL
Project No.	5-8		2-5	5-11	6-3	7-15	

-10-

.

SANDERS & THOMAS.

TABLE 2 (Continued)

${\mathcal V}$	din
5	NIN
U	$\langle \cdot \rangle = 0$

TABLE 3

VIARLE PROTECTS NOT SELECTED FOR THPLEMENTATION BY JAAP

ر ۱	VIABLE PROJECTS NOT SELECTED FOR 1	IMPLEMENTA	TION BY	JAAP			
Project No	Drotert Mitle	Annual MBTU Serings	FY 84 ' CWE (\$000)	FY 84 TIC	р v v	פטפ	С С Ц
		2011	100041	100041	DAL	Ung	473
	FY 84 Standby Status						
6-7*	New Insulation of 150 PSIG Steam Distribution System Manufacturing Area	17,300	877	923	11.6	1.6	19.7
5-16	Family Housing Improvements	1,000	65	72	8.5	1.1	15.4
	SUBTOTAL .	1,000	65				
	FY 84 Mobilization Status						
2-6-2	Recover Heat from Redwater Condensate Penlage Combustion Controls in Nouth Disut	238,400 80,600	2,135 1,061	2,247	1 O 0 S	6.6 6	7.111 7.111
101	Coordinate Steam Plant Output with Steam Producing Processes	112,000	1,751	1,843 1,843	3.6	5.3	64°4
**	Improve LAP Boiler Plants - Group 1 Immove IAP Boiles Plants - Crown 2	30,300 28 EÓD	640 640	674 710	2•8 -	6 . 9	47.3
6-5*	Repair Condensate Return System	52,750	1,300	1,368	- N N N	2•9	41.0
6-4*	Replace Existing Insulation on 150 and 300 PSIG Steam Distribution Systems, Manufac- turing Area	84,600	2,453	2,582	6. 6	2.9	34•5
***	Improve LAP Boiler Plants - Group 70	8,800	389		5.8	3.3	22•6
				/			

-11-

* Project 6-7 is supplanted by selected ECAM project 6-6 and is not included in the subtotal.

**Portions of projects 6-4, 6-5, 7-1, 7-3 and 7-7 have been allocated to selected ECAM projects. These duplications of energy savings and cost are listed "below this table and are deducted to obtain the adjusted subtotal.

SANDERS & THOMAS.

	-		
٠			-
		Q	j
		-	۰.
		-	
		Ċ	1
	•	r	1
	-	H	2
		c	2
		Ċ	Ś
	-	-	
	ζ	_	2
•			-
•	` (~	- 7
•	, ,	Y	-
•	۰ ۲	Y	

CR	국•88 동•99 국•99 국			ed To Project No.		5-9
BCR	111110 •••••			ect Allocate	6-3	5-7, 5-8, 7-8 7-8 7-8
SAP	6.1 7.0 8.0 8.0 11.7			Proje		
FY 84 TIC (\$000)	340 338 297 297 297 297 1,307	13,722	-3,536 10 , 186		1,983	1,268 197 63 25
FY 84 CWE (\$000)	323 321 282 282 282 1,242	12,901	<u>-3,361</u> 9,540		1,884	1,205 188 60 24
Annual MBTU Savings	6,900 6,000 4,600 17,800	684 , 930	- <u>146,510</u> 538,420		67,100	47,060 20,830 9,010 2,510
Project Title	<pre>Improve LAP Boiler Plants - Group 60 Improve LAP Boiler Plants - Group 2 Improve LAP Boiler Plants - Group 5 Improve LAP Boiler Plants - Group 7 Remove Existing Incandescent and Mercury Vapor Street and Area Lighting Fixtures and Replace with High-Pressure Sodium Fixtures</pre>	SUBTOTAL	ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTED SUBTOTAL	ents	Replace Existing Insulation on 150 and 300 PSIG Steam Distribution Systems, Manufac- turing Area	Repair Condensate Return System Improve LAP Boiler Plants - Group 1 Improve LAP Boiler Plants - Group 3 Improve LAP Boiler Plants - Group 70
Project No.	7-2 7-2 6-1 6-1		-12-	Adjustm	6-4	6-5 7-1 7-3 7-7

3,536

3,361

146,510

TOTAL ADJUSTMENT

SANDERS & THOMAS. AN STV ENGINEERS PROFESSIONAL FIRM

	ENERGY CONSERVATION	MEASURES NOT MEETING	ECAM CRITERIA*	
ECM No.	Annual MBTU Savings	FY 84 CWE (\$000)	FY 84 TIC (\$000)	ECR
2	58,600	1,438	1,613	40.8
3	7,500	212	223	35.4
4	2,590	104	109	24.9
5	8,120	500	526	16.2
6	10,600	1,601	1,685	6.6
7	61,180	7,151	7,527	8.6
8	240	0.6	0.7	398.0
TOTAL	148.830	11.007	11.684	

TABLE 4

*Those portions of ECM Nos. 2 through 8, not included in selected ECAM projects or Increment "G" projects, are summarized in this table.

INCREMENT "G" MINOR CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR PROJECTS

TABLE 5

FY 84

-		Annual	Annual Cost	FY 84			•.
Project No.	Project Title	MBTU Savings	(\$000)	(\$000)	ECR	SAP	Manhours
FY 84 St	tandby Status						
5-6	Reduce the Number of Lamps in Selected Buildings	640	3•3	8.9	75.8	2•6	044
5-3	Insulate Windows or Install Storm Windows on Selected Bldgs Standby Status	1,360	10.3	53	62.6	2•1	1,150
5-5	Replace Lighting Fixtures in Selected Bldgs Standby Status	620	ୟ • ଅ	29	22•6	8.7	1,450
5-4	Weatherstrip and Caulk Windows and Doors on Selected Bldgs Standby Status	340	. N	19	18.7	7.0	950
5-16	Family Housing Improvements - Standby Status	1,000	7.6	72	15.4	8.5	3,600
12-1	Insulate Steam and Condensate Return Lines - Bldg. 714	150	0.67	1.5	103.7	2•2	30
	Insulate Steam and Condensate Return Lines - Bldg. 61-7	180	1.4	0•°E		2•0	Ľ.
12-2	Heat Destratification - Bldg. 716.2 Heat Destratification - Bldg. 717 Heat Destratification - Bldg. 718-1 SUBTOTAL	260 1,620 6,400	1.2 7.1 <u>38</u>	5.4 18.2 1.3 <u>184</u>	52•0 93•8 53•3	, 4°4 4°5 4	88 301 74
FY 84 M	obilization Status						
514	Replace Lighting Fixtures in Selected Bldgs Mobilization Status	8,600	т т	92	98.8	2•0	h , 600
5-12	Insulate Windows and/or Install Storm Windows on Selected Bldgs Mobilization Status	2,510	19	30	86.7	1.5	1,500
5-13	Weatherstrip and Caulk Windows and Doors on Selected Bldgs Mobilization Status	1,340	10	60	23•5	5.6	3,000
	SUBTOTAL	12,450	73	182			

SANDERS & THOMAS.

-14-

TABLE 6

NON-VIABLE OR OUT-OF-SCOPE PROJECTS

I NON-VIABLE PROJECTS

Project	Annual MBTU Savings	FY 84 TIC (\$000)	ECR
EMCS Projects (includes ECM No's. 9 and 10)	3,500	616	6.0

II OUT-OF-SCOPE PROJECTS

Install Low Capacity Burner on One Boiler, North Power Plant

Preheat Spent Acid from TNT Lines

(AFR) Replace John Zinc Unit with Scrubber

(AOP) Preheat Tail Gases with Process Gas

(AOP) Adjust Loading on Compressor and Hot Gas Expander

(AOP) Use Molecular Sieve Instead of Tail Gas Incinerator

(DSN) Use Steam Absorption Chiller Instead of Electric-Driven

(NAC) Use Thermo Plastic Lined Transfer Piping to Acid Recovery Buildings Eliminate Cooling Requirement

Preheat Feed to Concentrator Tower on Acid Recovery Building

TABLE 7

SUMMARY OF PROJECTS

	Annual MBTU Savings	FY 84 TIC (\$000)
<u>FY 82</u>		
JAAP Package Boiler Project	271,900	1,957
<u>FY 84</u>		
Selected ECAM Projects (Standby)	17,960	636
Selected ECAM Projects (Mobilization)	583,590	5,914
Viable Projects Not Selected (Standby)	1,000	72
Viable Projects Not Selected (Mobilization)	538,420	10,186
Increment G Projects (Standby)	6,400	184
Increment G Projects (Mobilization)	12,450	182
TOTAL	1,159,820	17,174
Increment E Projects (Central Boiler Plants)		64,240
		81,414

-16-

•



-17-



ACID PLANT 4 SULFURIC ACID RECOVERY (SAR)



ACID PLANT 4 DIRECT STRONG NITRIC (DSN)



ACID PLANT 1 AMMONIA OXIDATION PLANT (AOP)



ACID PLANT 3 SULFURIC ACID CONCENTRATOR (SAC)



AC'D PLANT 4 COOLING TOWER



ACID PLANT 4 Ammonia Oxidation Plant (AOP) Left Direct Strong Nitric (DSN) Right



NEW TNT LINE ACID FUME RECOVERY PLANT (AFR)



CARBON ABSORPTION UNIT



FAMILY HOUSING WHITE CIRCLE AREA



FAMILY HOUSING BROWN CIRCLE AREA



FAMILY HOUSING WHITE CIRCLE AREA PREFABRICATED HOUSING



FAMILY HOUSING WHITE CIRCLE AREA PREFABRICATED HOUSING



J.

Γ

}

STEAM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM DAMAGED INSULATION



STEAM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM SUBSTANDARD INSULATION



BUILDING 10-A GROUP 3 LOWER CEILING HEIGHT, INSULATE, AND ADD STRIP DOORS



GROUP 3 OPPORTUNITY FOR HIGH BAY DESTRATIFICATION AND INSULATION

DEFINITION OF TERMS

BENEFICIAL OCCUPANCY DATE (BOD)

The date a facility begins to operate.

BENEFIT-TO-COST RATIO (BCR)

The dollar savings realized over the life of the project divided by the nonrecurring capital investment (including design). BCR is a measure of project payback. A BCR of 1.0, for example, means that the projects initial capital investment will be recovered over its lifetime.

COST INDEX

Comparison of Energy Cost Indices for various years giving a chosen base year a value of 100.

CURRENT WORKING ESTIMATE (CWE)

The project installation cost escalated to the year the project is programmed for implementation. Installation costs are non-recurring and include all labor and material, contractor costs, bond, contingency, SIOH, and escalation. Design costs are not included and must be added to the CWE to develop the total project cost.

ENERGY CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT (ECAM)

Military funded program for retrofitting existing DOD facilities to make them more energy efficient.

ENERGY CONSERVATION MEASURES (ECM)

Programs to conserve energy and/or costs through energy/manpower reductions.

ENERGY COST

Cost of Source Energy Consumed (obtained from utility bills).

ENERGY COST INDEX

Energy cost per square foot of building.

-18-

SANDERS & THOMAS.

AN STV ENGINEERS PROFESSIONAL FIRM

ENERGY MONITORING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (EMCS)

This is a computer-based control system used to achieve energy dollar savings through automatic control of building heating, ventilating and air conditioning (HVAC) systems. This includes implementation of various energy conservation measures, such as programmed equipment shutoff, programmed outside air shutoff, and equipment optimization, to reduce the total energy consumption of individual buildings, reduce energy distribution system losses and improve HVAC system capability.

ENERGY-TO-COST RATIO (ECR)

The MBTU's per year saved divided by the non-recurring capital investment (excluding design). ECR is a measure of the amount of energy savings related to the required capital investment. Acceptable ECR's should be lower each year since energy costs escalate faster than capital investment costs.

ELECTRICAL ENERGY INDEX

Quantity of electricity per square foot of building area.

ELECTRICITY COST INDEX

Electricity cost comparison for various years using a base year with an assigned value of 100.

ELECTRICITY INDEX

Comparison of Electrical Energy Indices for various years to a chosen base year.

FUELS ENERGY INDEX

Ratio of BTU's of fuel consumed to the square footage of the base.

HEATING DEGREE DAYS

Total number of degree days based on 65°F.

HIGH TEMPERATURE HOT WATER (HTW)

A hot water heat transfer system generally using water above 350°F.

LAID-AWAY STATUS

Inactive buildings or equipment that are maintained in a state of readiness for mobilization.

SANDERS & THOMAS.

AN STV ENGINCERS PROFESSIONAL FIRM

MEDIUM TEMPERATURE HOT WATER (MTW)

A hot water heat transfer system generally using water between 230°F and 350°F.

MOBILIZATION STATUS

Period when the plant is operating at full production level.

SIMPLE AMORTIZATION PERIOD (SAP)

The project capital investment divided by the yearly savings. This yields the period of time required to recover the initial capital investment.

SOURCE ELECTRICITY ENERGY

Total amount of electricity purchased or total amount produced before line and efficiency losses.

SOURCE ENERGY CONSUMED

Sum of fuels consumed and electricity used (includes all fuels such as heating oil, diesel fuel, natural gas, propane, coal, etc.).

SOURCE ENERGY INDEX

Ratio of BTU's source energy consumed to square footage of the base.

SOURCE INDEX

Comparison of the Source Energy Indices for various years giving a chosen base year a value of 100.

STANDBY STATUS

Active of laid-away buildings or equipment used to maintain the plant at a reduced production level in readiness for mobilization.

TOTAL INSTALLED COST (TIC)

The sum of the CWE and the design costs.