

AD _____

GRANT NUMBER DAMD17-94-J-4175

TITLE: Mapping of a Breast Carcinoma Tumor Suppressor Gene to
Chromosome 11P15.5

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Tracey Moore, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of North Carolina
at Chapel Hill
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-4100

REPORT DATE: July 1997

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

19971016 163

PREPARED FOR: Commander
U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release;
distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE July 1997	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Annual (1 Jul 96 - 30 Jun 97)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Mapping of a Breast Carcinoma Tumor Suppressor Gene to Chromosome 11P15.5			5. FUNDING NUMBERS DAMD17-94-J-4175	
6. AUTHOR(S) Tracey Moore, Ph.D.				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-4100			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Commander U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Loss of heterozygosity (LOH) on the short arm of chromosome 11 has been demonstrated in many cancers, suggesting the presence of a tumor suppressor gene. A number of studies have narrowed the region showing LOH in breast tumors to 11p15.5. The objectives of this study are: to screen breast tumor samples for LOH to further resolve the location of the putative tumor suppressor gene; and to screen candidate genes from this region.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">We are examining LOH in our region of interest using polymorphic markers. Samples from heterozygous individuals are examined from both normal and tumor tissue. We have isolated genomic DNA from 112 tissue samples, constituting 56 matched tumor and normal pairs. These samples are being analyzed for loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at three separate polymorphic markers, D11S1318, D11S4088, and D11S860. The analysis of marker D11S1318 is complete and is comparable to results which have been previously reported at 6% LOH. To date D11S4088 is showing 12% LOH and D11S860 is incomplete.</p>				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Humans, Anatomical Samples, Tumor Suppressor Genes, Genetic Mapping, Positional Cloning, Breast Cancer			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 10	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited	

FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army.

JM Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

JM Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

JM Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

___ In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

JM For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.

JM In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

JM In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.

JM In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.

JM *JM* 7/29/97
PI - Signature Date

(4) TABLE OF CONTENTS

page 1	Front Cover
page 2	Report Documentation Page
page 3	Foreword
page 4	Table of Contents
page 5	Introduction
page 6	Body
page 8	Conclusions
page 9	References

(5) INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most frequent cause of cancer death among North American and European women. An understanding of the basic mechanisms underlying the initiation and progression is imperative to both reducing the incidence of and improving the prognosis for the disease. Like the majority of human neoplasms, mammary carcinomas arise from epithelial cells, which suggests that insights gained from the study of breast cancer may shed light on the development and progression of various other cancers as well.

Cellular transformation can result from overabundance of oncogene product and subsequent overwhelming of normal cellular control mechanisms. Two well documented mechanisms include mutation of oncogenes or amplification of normal genes. In addition, numerous studies have suggested that the loss of a gene or genes involved in growth control may convert normal cells to their neoplastic counterparts. Demonstration of loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at specific chromosomal locations in a variety of familial cancers including retinoblastoma, Wilms' tumor and Recklinghausen's neurofibromatosis have led to the identification of tumor suppressor genes for these diseases (1). Some other cancers that have been associated with LOH are neuroblastoma, colorectal cancers, and carcinomas of the kidney, lung, and breast (1, 2). The identification of these genes by positional cloning techniques supports the same strategy for mapping putative breast carcinoma genes, particularly if LOH data have already indicated a likely location to within a 6-9 megabase pair (mb) region.

Loss of heterozygosity on the short arm of chromosome 11 has been demonstrated in many cancers, including rhabdomyosarcoma, Wilms' and other embryonal tumors, as well as tumors of the brain, bladder, lung, ovary, liver, adrenals, colon, and breast (3-16). In addition, functional studies have demonstrated loss of tumorigenicity by MCF-7 breast carcinoma cells after transfer of a whole chromosome 11 (17) and LOH at 11p15.5 by human milk epithelial cells immortalized by microinjection of SV40 DNA (18). A number of studies have narrowed the region showing LOH in breast tumors to 11p15 (19, 20) or even further, to 11p15.5 (7, 21-23).

We plan to narrow the region of LOH chromosome 11p15.5 in breast cancer to a small area amenable to positional cloning approaches. This will include the development of a physical map of the region, the use of new polymorphic markers in that region and the application of these markers to matched sets of normal and breast tumor material. This will eventually lead to the isolation of candidate genes for tumor suppressor activity in breast cancer. In addition to furthering our understanding of the basic mechanisms of oncogenic processes, identification of tumor suppressor genes and elucidation of their contribution to tumor formation and progression will aid in both diagnosis and treatment of cancers. For example,

the loss of a gene product presents a situation that may be particularly amenable to nonsurgical interventions, such as gene replacement and/or drug therapy. The missing substance provided by the lost tumor suppressor gene may regulate oncogene expression, so replacement or enhancement of a tumor suppressor gene product may be a particularly valuable tool in a variety of situations.

(6) BODY

During the past year we have focused our efforts on LOH analysis of the short arm of chromosome 11. We have isolated DNA from 112 tissue samples constituting 56 matched pairs of tumor and normal breast tissue. The DNA from these samples was analyzed with three polymorphic markers in our region of interest, 11p15.5. The three markers chosen to start the analysis are D11S1318, D11S4088, and D11S860. All three have a high maximum heterogeneity. These three markers have non-overlapping allele sizes to facilitate multiplex PCR.

Table 1

	Maximum heterogeneity	Allele number and size
D11S1318	78%	10 (127-145)
D11S4088	92%	20 (204-242)
D11S860	91%	12 (154-196)

A. Collection of breast tumor samples for LOH analysis

As of our last report the UNC breast cancer bank had given us 6 matched normal/tumor pairs. Using the standard proteinase K method for DNA isolation from tissue samples we now have DNA from 56 matched pairs for our LOH analysis. At the time this fellowship was written the breast cancer bank stated that they would be able to supply us with 100 matched tumor and normal tissue pairs each year. The amount of tissue available has not been at the anticipated level and at present we have samples from every matched pair available from the facility which totals 56 pairs. We plan to continue isolating DNA from the matched tissue pairs as they become available from the breast cancer bank. We are also investigating other sources of breast tissue samples and have now been provided with slides with breast tumor slices with areas of pure tumor circled.

B. LOH analysis

We would like to narrow the region of LOH on chromosome 11p15.5 in breast cancer to a small area amenable to positional cloning techniques. This entails the use of polymorphic markers in that region to help narrow the location of a tumor suppressor gene. The polymorphic markers are used to analyze the matched sets of normal and breast tumor material to detect the minimal region which is lost in tumor samples. In this way we plan to isolate candidate genes for tumor suppressor activity in breast cancer.

To date we are analyzing 56 matched pairs with three separate polymorphic markers, D11S1318, D11S4088, and D11S860. Two of these markers have been used to analyze the LOH pattern of 11p15.5 before. D11S860 has been shown to be inside the region of LOH while D11S1318 is thought by many groups to be outside the region on the telomeric border. The results of LOH analysis with D11S4088 have not been reported to date, and we wanted to see if this marker is inside or outside our region of interest since it falls within the genomic contig map constructed by our laboratory. Our results to date are summarized in Table 2. The analysis is complete with D11S1318, and almost complete with D11S4088. Analysis of D11S860 is not yet complete and we have encountered a problem in that approximately 50% of our samples seem to be homozygous with this marker.

Table 2

A.	D11S1318		
	heterozygous samples	47/56	84%
	MSI	9/56	16%
	LOH	3/47	6%
B.	D11S4088		
	heterozygous samples	36/45	80%
	MSI	3/45	7%
	LOH	4/33	12%
	non informative	3/45	na

(7) CONCLUSIONS

The collection of breast tumor DNA samples has been expanded. We have used these samples to start our LOH analysis. Analysis is nearly finished with two of the three markers. We have results with D11S1318 which are similar to already published findings and this marker is thought to be outside the 3 Mb area of LOH. We have found that a marker which is centromeric to D11S1318, D11S4088, is also probably outside the region of interest. The telomeric boundary for the area of LOH has been moved centromeric with these results. We will move centromeric with the LOH analysis and then begin to isolate candidate genes from the area.

(8) REFERENCES

1. Evans, H. J., Prosser, J. Tumor-suppressor genes: Cardinal factors in inherited predisposition to human cancers. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 98: 25-37 (1992).
2. Stanbridge, E. J. Human tumor suppressor genes. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 24: 615-657 (1990).
3. Weston, A., Willey, J. C., Modali, R., Sugimura, H., McDowell, E. M., Resau, J., Light, B., Haugen, A., Mann, D. L., Trump, B. F., Harris, C. C. Differential DNA sequence deletions from chromosomes 3, 11, 13, and 17 in squamous-cell carcinoma, large-cell carcinoma, and adenocarcinoma of the human lung. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 86: 5099-5103 (1989).
4. Fults, D., Petronio, J., Noblett, B. D., Pedone, C. A. Chromosome 11p15 deletions in human malignant astrocytomas and primitive neuroectodermal tumors. *Genomics* 14: 799-801 (1992).
5. Fults, D., Pedone, C. A., Thomas, G. A., White, R. Allelotype of human malignant astrocytoma. *Cancer Res.* 50: 5784-5789 (1990).
6. Byrne, J. A., Simms, L. A., Little, M. H., Algar, E. M., Smith, P. J. Three non-overlapping regions of chromosome arm 11p allele loss identified in infantile tumors of the adrenal and liver. *Genes Chrom. Cancer* 8: 104-111 (1993).
7. Devilee, P., Van Den Broek, M., Mannens, M., Slater, R., Cornelisse, C. J., Westerveld, A., Meera Khan, P. Differences in patterns of allelic loss between two common types of adult cancer, breast and colon carcinoma, and Wilms' tumor of childhood. *Int. J. Cancer* 47: 817-821 (1991).
8. Mannens, M., Slater, R. M., Heyting, C., Blik, J., de Kraker, J., Coad, N., de Pagter-Holthuizen, P., Pearson, P. L. Molecular nature of genetic changes resulting in loss of heterozygosity of chromosome 11 in Wilms' tumors. *Hum. Gen.* 81: 41-48 (1988).
9. Scrabble, H. J., Witte, D. P., Lampkin, B. C., Cavenee, W. K. Chromosomal localization of the human rhabdomyosarcoma locus by mitotic recombination mapping. *Nature* 329: 645 (1987).
10. Wadey, R. B., Pal, N., Buckle, B., Yeomans, E., Pritchard, J., Cowell, J. K. Loss of heterozygosity in Wilms' tumour involves two distinct regions of chromosome 11. *Oncogene* 5: 901-907 (1990).
11. Reeve, A. E., Sih, S. A., Raizis, A. M., Feinberg, A. P. Loss of allelic heterozygosity at a second locus on chromosome 11 in sporadic Wilms' tumor cells. *Mol. Cell. Bio.* 9: 1799-1803 (1989).
12. Skinner, M. A., Vollmer, R., Hyuper, G., Abbott, P., Inglehart, J. D. Loss of heterozygosity for genes on 11p and the clinical course of patients with lung carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* 50: 2303-2306 (1990).
13. Fujimori, M., Tokino, T., Hino, O., Kitagawa, T., T., I., Okamoto, E., et al. Allelotype study of primary hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* 51: 89-93 (1991).
14. Ehlen, T., Dubeau, L. Loss of heterozygosity on chromosomal segments 3p, 6q and 11p in human ovarian carcinomas. *Oncogene* 5: 219-223 (1990).

15. Fearon, E. R., Feinberg, A. P., Hamilton, S. H., Vogelstein, B. Loss of genes on the short arm of chromosome 11 in bladder cancer. *Nature* 318: 377-380 (1985).
16. Dowdy, S. F., Fasching, C. L., Scanlon, D. J., Araujo, D., Livanos, E., Lai, K.-M., Weissman, B. E., Stanbridge, E. J. Suppression of tumorigenicity in Wilms' tumor by the p14:p15 region of chromosome 11. *Science* 254: 293-295 (1991).
17. Negrini, M., Castagnoli, A., Sabbioni, S., Recanatini, E., Giovannini, G., Possati, L., Stanbridge, E. J., Nenci, I., Barbanti-Brodano, G. Suppression of tumorigenesis by the breast cancer cell line MCF-7 following transfer of normal human chromosome 11. *Oncogene* 7: 2013-2018 (1992).
18. Garcia, I., Brandt, D., Weintraub, J., Zhou, W., Aapro, M. Loss of heterozygosity for the short arm of chromosome 11 (11p15) in human milk epithelial cells immortalized by microinjection of SV40 DNA. *Cancer Res.* 51: 294-300 (1991).
19. Ferti-Passantonopoulou, A., Panani, A. D., Raptis, S. Preferential involvement of 11q23-24 and 11p15 in breast cancer. *Cancer Genet. Cytogenet.* 51: 183-188 (1991).
20. Takita, K., Sato, T., Miyuagi, M., Watatani, M., Akiyama, F., Sakamoto, G., Kasumi, F., Abe, R., Nakamura, Y. Correlation of loss of alleles on the short arms of chromosomes 11 and 17 with metastasis of primary breast cancer to lymph nodes. *Cancer Res.* 52: 3914-3917 (1992).
21. Theillet, C., Lidereau, R., Escot, C., Hutzell, P., Brunet, M., Gest, J., Schlom, J., Callahan, R. Loss of an H-ras-1 allele and aggressive human primary breast carcinomas. *Cancer Res.* 46: 4776-4781 (1986).
22. Ali, I. U., Lidereau, R., Theillet, C., Callahan, R. Reduction to homozygosity of genes on chromosome 11 in human breast neoplasia. *Science* 238: 185-188 (1987).
23. Winquist, R., Mannermaa, A., Alavaikko, M., Blanco, G., Taskinen, P. J., Kiviniemi, H., Newsham, I., Cavenee, W. Refinement of regional loss of heterozygosity for chromosome 11p15.5 in human breast tumors. *Cancer Res.* 53: 4486-4488 (1993).
24. Reid, L. H., Crider-Miller, S. J., West, A., Lee, M., Massague, J., and Weissman, B. E. Genomic organization of the human *p57^{KIP2}* gene and its analysis in the G401 Wilm's Tumor assay, *Cancer Res.* 56: 1214-1218, 1996.
25. Kellogg, D. R., Kikuchi, A., Fujii-Nakata, T., Turch, C. W., and Murray, A. W. Members of the NAP/SET family of proteins interact specifically with B-type cyclins, *J. Cell. Biol.* 130: 661-673, 1995.
26. Dong, J. T., Lamg, P. W., Rinker-Schaeffer, C. W., Vukanovic, J., Ichikawa, T., Isaacs, J. T., and Barrett, J. C. KAI1, a metastasis suppressor gene for prostate cancer on human chromosome 11p11.2, *Science.* 268: 884-886, 1995.
27. Virtaneva, K. I., Emi, N., Marken, J. S., Aruffo, A., Jones, C., Spurr, N. K., and Schroder, J. P. Chromosomal localization of three human genes coding for A15, L6, and S5.7(TAPA1): all members of the transmembrane 4 superfamily of proteins, *Immunogenetics.* 39: 329-334, 1994.