Army Research Laboratory



Light Scattering Intensity Fluctuations in Microdroplets Containing Inclusions

by Gorden Videen, Paul Pellegrino, Dat Ngo, John S. Videen, and Ronald G. Pinnick

ARL-TR-1392

August 1997

19970804 037

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Army Research Laboratory

Adelphi, MD 20783-1197

ARL-TR-1392

August 1997

Light Scattering Intensity Fluctuations in Microdroplets Containing Inclusions

Gorden Videen and Paul Pellegrino Information Science and Technology Directorate, ARL

Dat Ngo _{NgoCo}

John S. Videen University of California at San Diego

Ronald G. Pinnick Information Science and Technology Directorate, ARL

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Abstract

A prominent characteristic of light scattered from a microparticle containing inclusions is fluctuating intensity due to changing positions of the inclusions with respect to each other and the host droplet. We calculate the magnitude of these fluctuations for a host sphere containing a single nonconcentric spherical inclusion and experimentally measure the fluctuation amplitudes for host spheres containing multiple inclusions. We find that for relatively small single inclusions, the amplitude of the scattering fluctuations increases approximately linearly with the area of the inclusion. For multiple inclusions, the fluctuation amplitude increases with concentration, with an approximate power-law dependence.

Contents

.

.

.

.

1	Introduction	1	
2	Single Inclusions	2	
3	Multiple Inclusions	5	
4	Results	8	
A	cknowledgements	9	
R	References		
D	Distribution		
Report Documentation Page			

بر

Figures

1	Comparison of scattering parameters of a spherical host con- taining single spherical inclusion as a function of inclusion ra- dius ($\lambda = 647.1$ nm)	3
2	Experimental intensity fluctuation amplitudes from $r_{host} \sim 10$ to 12 μ m glycerol hosts as a function of latex inclusion con- centration	6

1. Introduction

A great deal of interest has recently developed in the elastic [1-5] and inelastic [6-11] scattering of host droplets containing small inclusions. The scattered intensity from such systems fluctuates with time, as the relative positions of the inclusions change. Such systems are of interest in atmospheric optics, since atmospheric water droplets and aerosols generally contain inhomogeneities that can significantly affect the scattering and absorption properties of the host [12]. Knowing the effects of microcontaminants on the scattering and absorption by water droplets and aerosols is important in radiative transfer calculations [13,14]. These effects are also of interest in scattering from biological systems (cells), which are generally inhomogeneous and may undergo refractive index changes with time.

The inverse problem of calculating the contaminant characteristics from the scattering signal should not be overlooked: information about the scattering system is often our primary interest. We realize the difficulties in this latter task for our system of a host particle containing small inclusions when we consider the relative sizes of the particles involved. Scattering signals of two particles in close proximity do not add linearly, especially when one of the particles is encapsulated within the other; nevertheless, we know that the scattering effect of an inclusion whose volume is orders of magnitude smaller than the host is small [15]. Furthermore, the inclusion does not add additional structure to the scattering phase function of the host; the relative amplitudes of the maxima and minima may be altered somewhat, but the number of spherical harmonics necessary to describe the host, with or without an inclusion, is approximately the same [16–20]. The time-varying intensities remain to be analyzed.

We examine the time-varying intensities in two separate experiments. First, we examine the effects of a single spherical inclusion on the scattering intensity fluctuations. We performed this investigation numerically, since it is rather difficult to prepare samples to such precision, and computer codes exist that make such an investigation routine [16–26]. Second, we examine the effects of inclusion concentration. We performed this investigation experimentally, since the number of computations currently required for an adequate numerical study make such an approach impractical.

2. Single Inclusions

We begin our analysis by examining numerical results calculated from a host sphere containing a single, nonconcentric, spherical inclusion. We choose this particular system because it is the simplest encapsulated system for which a complete theory has been derived [16-26]. Two relevant systems are examined: a glycerol host containing a polystyrene latex inclusion, and a water host containing a carbon inclusion. Figure 1a shows the standard deviation of the backscatter intensity σ_{back} (reflecting the intensity fluctuations) as a function of latex inclusion radius r_{inc} . We calculate the backscatter intensities (Mueller matrix element S_{11}) by letting the inclusion position vary systematically throughout the host. The density of inclusion positions is doubled until the results vary by less than 1 percent from those of the previous density. Since we assume that the inclusion placement within the host droplet is arbitrary, we neglect the effects of surface tension. Although neglecting these effects may appear an oversimplification, pictures of water droplets containing carbon inclusions show the inclusions to be placed "randomly throughout the volume of each drop" [12]. For at least some applications, the assumption of a random distribution is appropriate, and we use it in our calculations. It should be noted that these calculations are extremely computer intensive; for instance, the 10 data points calculated for host radius $r_{host} = 3.0 \ \mu m$ took approximately 10 cpu days on a Silicon Graphics 8000-series processor running at 1.4 Gflops.

Since the computer time necessary to make the calculations is approximately proportional to r_{host}^5 , we limit ourselves to calculations of relatively small host radii. Even so, certain trends are apparent in these data. First, the amplitude of the intensity fluctuations tends to increase for small inclusion radii, eventually reaching a maximum value, before dropping sharply toward zero as the inclusion fills the entire volume of the host sphere. Second, the intensity fluctuations appear to have little dependence on the host radius. Increasing the glycerol host radius by 50 percent has only a minor effect on the fluctuation amplitudes. The fluctuation amplitudes must show a dependence on the host refractive index m_{host} , because when m_{host} approaches the refractive index of the inclusion or the incident medium, the system reduces to an isolated Mie sphere for which there are no intensity fluctuations. This could be



Figure 1. Comparison of scattering parameters of a spherical host containing single spherical inclusion as a function of inclusion radius ($\lambda = 647.1$ nm): (a) calculated amplitude of intensity fluctuations, (b) average backscatter intensity, and (c) normalized intensity fluctuation amplitudes (intensity fluctuation amplitude normalized to average backscatter intensity). Data points are calculated for glycerol hosts ($m_{host} = 1.471$) containing latex inclusions ($m_{inc} = 1.59$) and for water hosts ($m_{host} = 1.335$) containing carbon inclusions ($m_{inc} = 1.94 + 0.66i$).

why the water host, having a lower refractive index than the glycerol host, displays a lower level of intensity fluctuations. Third, when the inclusion radius is relatively small compared with the host radius, the amplitude of the intensity fluctuations appears to have a power-law dependence—that is, an approximately quadratic dependence on the inclusion radius, increasing approximately linearly with the area of the inclusion.

Figure 1b shows the average backscatter intensity (over all inclusion positions within the host) as a function of the inclusion radius for the scattering systems shown in figure 1a. As the inclusion radius is increased, the average backscatter intensity also increases before decreasing to previous levels. The shape of these curves can be attributed to enhanced backscatter (EBS), which has been studied extensively from irregular surfaces [27–32]. EBS has been attributed to constructive interference of rays reflecting off multiple interfaces. The path difference is the same when the order of the interfaces that the light ray strikes is reversed for backscattered light; therefore, the forward and backward traversing rays interfere constructively, and the resulting intensity is enhanced. As the inclusion size increases, the inclusion scatters more light, contributing to this effect. As its size approaches that of the host sphere, the phase differences acquired by rays traveling through the host sphere become negligible, and the EBS disappears.

Figure 1c is the normalized fluctuation intensity: i.e., the standard deviation of the backscatter intensity, shown in figure 1a, divided by the average backscatter intensity shown in figure 1b. This quantity corresponds to the proportion of intensity fluctuation or "noise" on the average signal, and is readily measurable. The normalized fluctuation intensity is extremely sensitive to system parameters. For the water host, the fluctuations are relatively constant, remaining approximately 1 percent of the average backscatter intensity signal, as the carbon inclusion size is increased from the Rayleigh regime until it is nearly the size of the host sphere. For the glycerol hosts, the amplitude of the intensity fluctuations again has an approximate power-law dependence on the inclusion radius, and for these parameters, the power-law exponent is approximately 2; i.e., the normalized intensity fluctuations are approximately proportional to the area of the inclusion.

3. Multiple Inclusions

In many practical instances, like droplet seeding, the host droplet contains more than one inclusion. It is therefore desirable to examine the effects of inclusion concentration on the intensity fluctuations. Although theories have been derived to calculate the scatter from a host containing multiple inclusions [18,19,22], it is currently impractical to make intensity fluctuation calculations for these types of scattering systems because of the enormous number of computations required. We therefore examine experimentally the fluctuation dependence on concentration; however, these experimental results are not meant to be compared with the theoretical results of the previous section. The results of this section are meant to provide additional information on the behavior of the scattered intensities as a function of the inclusion parameters: in this case, the inclusion concentration.

In our experiment, a charged glycerol droplet having radius $r_{host} \sim 10$ to 12 μ m is captured in an electrodynamic trap [33]. The droplet is generated with a spray atomizer prepared to contain a known concentration of uniform spherical latex inclusions having nominal radius r_{inc} . The solution contains a small amount of surfactant to prevent clumping. We determined the host droplet size to within 5 percent by measuring the positions of the first two minima of the Fraunhoffer pattern using the technique outlined by Chen [34]. Levitated droplets are illuminated with a krypton-argon laser beam (λ = 647.1 nm), and the scattered light is detected by photomultiplier tubes placed in the forward-scatter direction ($\sim 7^{\circ}$) and in the backward-scatter direction ($\sim 179.5^{\circ}$). The detectors collect light over a relatively small conical solid angle (approximately 0.1° half angle). The signals are amplified, fed through a low-pass filter (250 Hz) to remove detector shot noise, and digitized with an 820-Hz analog-to-digital recorder. To minimize evaporation, we hold the droplets for approximately 1 hour in the electrodynamic trap, so that equilibrium with the vapor within the containment vessel enclosing the trap can be attained. However, since the containment vessel is not completely airtight (it contains holes to allow for entrance and exit of the laser beam), some vapor does escape and the host droplet slowly evaporates. The rate of evaporation is approximately 0.2 nm over a 6-s data run.

In order to estimate relative errors in the intensity fluctuations, we produce 3 to 5 droplets for each concentration and measure the intensities over 20 data runs for each droplet. Since we do not know the exact number of inclusions in each droplet, only the inclusion concentrations in solution, we examined several droplets at each concentration to find their average scattering characteristics. Figure 2 shows the average of the standard deviations of the experimental forward-scattered intensities, normalized to the average scattered intensity as a function of latex inclusion concentration, along with their relative uncertainties for two different-size latex inclusions ($r_{inc} = 0.25, 0.5 \ \mu m$). As the inclusion concentration increases, the amplitude of the intensity fluctuations also increases. The amplitude of the intensity fluctuations is much larger for the hosts containing the larger inclusions, and remains larger even when the inclusion concentration is expressed as a volume fraction. Figure 2 also shows regression curves for each inclusion size. The amplitude of the intensity fluctuations increases with inclusion concentration following a power law; the power-law exponent is dependent on the inclusion size.

For the system of host droplets containing multiple inclusions, the backscatter intensity fluctuations vary dramatically with droplet size as well as inclusion concentration. These variations are so large that a clear dependence



Figure 2. Experimental intensity fluctuation amplitudes from $r_{host} \sim 10$ to 12 µm glycerol hosts as a function of latex inclusion concentration. Number of inclusion spheres in $r_{host} \sim 10 \ \mu$ m host is approximately 4.2×10^{-9} ml times concentration.

of backscatter intensity fluctuation with concentration is not evident in our data. It is much easier to see a trend in the intensity fluctuation as a function of concentration if we look at the scatter in the forward direction. For smaller concentrations of smaller inclusions, we would expect the backward-scatter intensities to provide useful information, as demonstated by the theoretical results shown in figure 1.

4. Results

We have explored the dependence of the intensity fluctuations found in microdroplets containing inclusions. We found that for microdroplets containing a single, relatively small spherical inclusion, the amplitude of the intensity fluctuations increases approximately linearly with the area of the inclusion. Experimentally, we found that as the inclusion concentration increases, so does the amplitude of the fluctuations. The rate at which the intensity fluctuations increase is dependent on the inclusion size and also appears to have a power-law dependence on concentration.

Acknowledgements

Gorden Videen, Paul Pellegrino, and Dat Ngo hold National Research Council research associateships at the Army Research Laboratory and at the Edgewood Research and Development Engineering Center (ERDEC). We would like to thank Ivy Sindoni, Steve Christesen, and the Atmospheric Science and Technology Team at ERDEC for their computer assistance.

References

- P. Chýlek, D. Ngo, and R. G. Pinnick, "Resonance structure of composite and slightly absorbing spheres," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 9, 775–780 (1992).
- B. V. Bronk, M. J. Smith, and S. Arnold, "Photon-correlation spectroscopy for small spherical inclusions in a micrometer-sized electrodynamically levitated droplet," Opt. Lett. 18, 93–95 (1993).
- D. Ngo and R. G. Pinnick, "Suppression of scattering resonances in inhomogeneous microdroplets," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 11, 1352–1359 (1994).
- 4. G. Videen, P. Pellegrino, D. Ngo, R. G. Pinnick, and P. Nachman, "Light scattering angular correlation of spherical droplets containing inclusions," *Trends in Optics and Photonics Series* Vol. 2, *Advances in Optical Imaging and Photon Migration*, R. R. Alfano and James G. Fujimoto, eds. (Optical Society of America, Washington, DC, 1996), 45-49.
- 5. J. Gu, T. E. Ruekgauer, J.-G. Xie, and R. L. Armstrong, "Effect of particulate seeding on microdroplet angular scattering," Opt. Lett. 18, 1293–1295 (1993).
- 6. J.-G. Xie, T. E. Ruekgauer, R. L. Armstrong, and R. G. Pinnick, "Suppression of stimulated Raman scattering from microdroplets by seeding with nanometer-sized latex particles," Opt. Lett. 18, 340–342 (1993).
- H.-B. Lin, A. L. Huston, J. D. Eversole, A. J. Campillo, and P. Chýlek, "Internal scattering effects on microdroplet resonant emission structure," Opt. Lett. 17, 970–972 (1992).
- R. L. Armstrong, J.-G. Xie, T. E. Ruekgauer, J. Gu, and R. G. Pinnick, "Effects of submicrometer-sized particles on microdroplet lasing," Opt. Lett. 18, 119–121 (1993).
- 9. R. L. Armstrong, J.-G. Xie, T. E. Ruekgauer, and R. G. Pinnick, "Energy-transfer-assisted lasing from microdroplets seeded with fluorescent sol," Opt. Lett. 17, 943–945 (1992).

- T. Kaiser, G. Roll, and G. Schweiger, "Enhancement of the Raman spectrum of optically levitated microspheres by seeded nanoparticles," J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 12, 281–286 (1995).
- J. D. Eversole, H.-B. Lin, and A. J. Campillo, "Input/output resonance correlation in laser-induced emission from microdroplets," J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 12, 287–296 (1995).
- 12. P. Chýlek, V. Ramaswamy, and R. J. Cheng, "Effect of graphitic carbon on the albedo of clouds," J. Atmos. Sci. 41, 3076–3084 (1984).
- P. Chýlek, G. Videen, D. Ngo, R. G. Pinnick, and J. D. Klett, "Effect of black carbon on the optical properties and climate forcing of sulfate aerosols," J. Geophys. Res. 100, 16,325–16,332 (1995).
- 14. P. Chýlek, G. B. Lesins, G. Videen, J.G.D. Wong, R. G. Pinnick, D. Ngo, and J. D. Klett, "Black carbon and absorption of solar radiation by clouds," J. Geophys. Res. 101, 23,365–23,371 (1996).
- W. S. Bickel, H. Yousif, and W. B. Bailey, "Masking of information in light scattering signals from complex scatterers," Aerosol Sci. Technol. 1, 329-335 (1982).
- 16. J. G. Fikioris and N. K. Uzunoglu, "Scattering from an eccentrically stratified dielectric sphere," J. Opt. Soc. Am. 69, 1359–1366 (1979).
- F. Borghese, P. Denti, and R. Saija, "Optical properties of spheres containing a spherical eccentric inclusion," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 9, 1327–1335 (1992).
- 18. F. Borghese, P. Denti, and R. Saija, "Optical properties of spheres containing several spherical inclusions," Appl. Opt. **33**, 484–493 (1994).
- G. Videen, D. Ngo, P. Chýlek, and R. G. Pinnick, "Light scattering from a sphere with an irregular inclusion," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 12, 922–928 (1995).
- N. C. Skaropoulos, M. P. Ioannidow, and D. P. Chrissoulidis, "Indirect mode-matching solution to scattering from a dielectric sphere with an eccentric inclusion," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 11, 1859–1866 (1994).

- S. C. Hill, H. I. Saleheen, and K. A. Fuller, "Volume current method for modeling light scattering by inhomogeneously perturbed spheres," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 12, 905–915 (1995).
- K. A. Fuller, "Scattering and absorption cross sections of compounded spheres. III. Spheres containing arbitrarily located spherical inhomogeneities," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 12, 893–904 (1995).
- M. M. Mazumder, S. C. Hill, and P. W. Barber, "Morphology-dependent resonances in inhomogeneous spheres: comparison of the layered Tmatrix method and the time-independent perturbation method," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 9, 1844–1853 (1992).
- G. Videen, D. Ngo, and P. Chýlek, "Effective-medium predictions of absorption by graphitic carbon in water droplets," Opt. Lett. 19, 1675– 1677 (1994).
- 25. D. Ngo, G. Videen, and P. Chýlek, "A FORTRAN code for the scattering of EM waves by a sphere with a nonconcentric spherical inclusion," Comput. Phys. Commun. 1077, 94–112 (1996).
- 26. K. Fuller, "Morphology-dependent resonances in eccentrically stratified spheres," Opt. Lett. **19**, 1272–1274 (1994).
- 27. V. Celli, A. A. Maradudin, A. M. Marvin, and A. R. McGurn, "Some aspects of light scattering from a randomly rough metal surface," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 2, 2225–2239 (1985).
- K. A. O'Donnell and E. R. Méndez, "Experimental study of scattering from characterized random surfaces," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 4, 1194–1205 (1987).
- 29. E. Bahar and M. A. Fitzwater, "Depolarization and backscatter enhancement in light scattering from random rough surface: comparison of full wave theory with experiment," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 6, 33-43 (1989).
- J. M. Soto-Crespo and M. Nieto-Vesperinas, "Electromagnetic scattering from very rough random surfaces and deep reflection gratings," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 6, 367–384 (1989).

- 31. M. J. Kim, J. C. Dainty, A. T. Friberg, and A. J. Sant, "Experimental study of enhanced backscattering from one- and two-dimensional random rough surfaces," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 7, 569–577 (1990).
- M. Saillard and D. Maystre, "Scattering from metallic and dielectric rough surfaces," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 7, 982–990 (1990).
- 33. S. Arnold, "Spectroscopy of single levitated micron-sized particles," Optical Effects Associated with Small Particles, P. W. Barber and R. K Chang, eds. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988), 66–127.
- 34. T. W. Chen, "Simple formula for light scattering by large spherical dielectric," Appl. Opt. **32**, 7568–7571 (1993).

Distribution

Admnstr Defns Techl Info Ctr Attn DTIC-OCP 8725 John J Kingman Rd Ste 0944 FT Belvoir VA 22060-6218

Central Intellgnc Agency Dir DB Standard Attn GE 47 QB Washington DC 20505

Chairman Joint Chiefs of Staff Attn J5 R&D Div Washington DC 20301

Defns Intllgnc Acgy Attn DT 2 Wpns & Sys Div Washington DC 20301

Dir of Defns Rsrch & Engrg Attn DD TWP Attn Engrg Washintgon DC 20301

Ofc of the Secy of Defs Attn ODDRE (R&AT) G Singley Attn ODDRE (R&AT) S Gontarek The Pentagon Washington DC 20301-3080

OIR CSB CRB Attn A M Jones RB 1413 OHM Washington DC 20505

US Dept of Energy Attn KK 22 K Sisson Attn Techl Lib Washington DC 20585

Commanding Officer Attn NMCB23 6205 Stuart Rd Ste 101 FT Belvoir VA 22060-5275

CECOM Attn PM GPS COL S Young FT Monmouth NJ 07703

CECOM RDEC Electronic Systems Div Dir Attn J Niemela FT Monmouth NJ 07703 CECOM

Sp & Terrestrial Commetn Div Attn AMSEL-RD-ST-MC-M H Soicher FT Monmouth NJ 07703-5203

DARPA Attn B Kaspar Attn J Pennella Attn L Stotts Attn Techl Lib 3701 N Fairfax Dr Arlington VA 22203-1714

Dir of Chem & Nuc Ops DA DCSOPS Attn Techl Lib Washington DC 20310

Dpty Assist Scy for Rsrch & Techl Attn SARD-TR R Chait Attn SARD-TT D Chait Attn SARD-TT F Milton Rm 3E479 Attn SARD-TT K Kominos Attn SARD-TT R Reisman Attn SARD-TT R Reisman Attn SARD-TT T Killion Attn SARD-TT C Nash Rm 3E479 The Pentagon Rm 3E476 Washington DC 20310-0103

DUSD Space Attn 1E765 J G McNeff 3900 Defense Pentagon Washington DC 20301-3900

Hdqtrs Dept of the Army Attn DAMO-FDQ D Schmidt 400 Army Pentagon Washington DC 20310-0460

OSD Attn OUSD(A&T)/ODDDR&E(R) J Lupo The Pentagon Washington DC 20301-7100

US Army Engrg Div Attn HNDED FD PO Box 1500 Huntsville AL 35807

Distribution

US ARMY ERDEC

Attn B Bronk Attn I Sindoni Attn J Embury Attn M Milham Attn S Christesen Attn S Godoff Aberdeen Proving Ground MD 21005-5423

US Army Matl Cmnd Dpty CG for RDE Hdqtrs Attn AMCRD BG Beauchamp 5001 Eisenhower Ave Alexandria VA 22333-0001

US Army Matl Cmnd Prin Dpty for Acquisition Hdqrts Attn AMCDCG-A D Adams 5001 Eieenhower Ave Alexandria VA 22333-0001

US Army Matl Cmnd Prin Dpty for Techlgy Hdqrts Attn AMCDCG-T M Fisette 5001 Eisenhower Ave Alexandria VA 22333-0001

US Army Mis & Spc Intllgnc Ctr Attn AIAMS YDL Redstone Arsenal AL 35898-5500

US Army NGIC Attn Rsrch & Data Branch 220 7th Stret NE Charlottesville VA 22901-5396

US Army Nuc & Cheml Agency 7150 Heller Loop Ste 101 Springfield VA 22150-3198

US Army Rsrch Lab Attn SLCRO-D PO Box 12211 Research Triangle Park NC 27709-2211

US Army Strtgc Defns Cmnd Attn CSSD H MPL Techl Lib Attn CSSD H XM Dr Davies PO Box 1500 Huntsville AL 35807 US Military Academy Dept of Mathematical Sci Attn MAJ D Engen West Point NY 10996

USAASA Attn MOAS-AI W Parron 9325 Gunston Rd Ste N319 FT Belvoir VA 22060-5582

Chief of Nav OPS Dept of the Navy Attn OP 03EG Washington DC 20350

GPS Joint Prog Ofc Dir Attn COL J Clay 2435 Vela Way Ste 1613 Los Angeles AFB CA 90245-5500

Ofc of the Dir Rsrch and Engrg Attn R Menz Pentagon Rm 3E1089 Washington DC 20301-3080

Special Assist to the Wing Cmndr Attn 50SW/CCX CAPT P H Bernstein 300 O'Malley Ave Ste 20 Falcon AFB CO 80912-3020

USAF SMC/CED Attn DMA/JPO M Ison 2435 Vela Way Ste 1613 Los Angeles AFB CA 90245-5500

ARL Electromag Group Attn Campus Mail Code F0250 A Tucker University of TX Austin TX 78712

US Army Rsrch Lab Attn AMSRL-CI-LL Tech Lib (3 copies) Attn AMSRL-CS-AL-TA Mail & Records Mgmt Attn AMSRL-CS-AL-TP Techl Pub (3 copies) Attn AMSRL-IS-EE G Videen (15 copies) Adelphi MD 20783-1197

Path experiments Image: Control of the second dimension of experimental processing of the operimentations, as earing setting and an experimental processing of the operimetation of the operisecond of the operimetation of the operimetation of t	REPORT DO	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188							
Disk Typing: State Lide, Jindyn W. 2016 4051, viel to the of the of Mangherer and Budge Paperskin Relation Typinel (074-0188), Wathymol (2800). 1- AGENCY USE ONLY (Lower blank) 2. REPORT DATE August 1997 Progress, from October 1996 to April 1997 4- THE AND SUBTILE Light Scattering Intensity Fluctuations in Microdroplets Containing Inclusions F. RANDIAN WINEERS F. RANDIAN WINEERS 4- ANTIONR9 Corden Videen (ARL), Paul Pellegrino (ARL), Dat Ngo (NgoCo), John S. Videen (Univ. of California, San Diego), Ronald G. Pinnick (ARL) PE: 61102A 7- REFORMING ORGANIZATION MANE(3) AND ADDRESSEES 2000 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1197 And Donessees ARL-TR-1392 Performing organization Reform Number ARL-TR-1392 3- SPONSONINGAMONTORING REFORMENT MANE(3) AND ADDRESSEES U.S. Army Research Laboratory 11. Seconstruction Manual Advances Adelphi, MD 20783-1197 11. Seconstruction Manual Advances Adelphi, MD 20783-1197 12. Seconstruction Manual Advances Adelphi, MD 20783-1197 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 13. Adelphi, MD 20783-1197 12. Seconstruction of the inclusions of the inclusions with respect to each other and the host droplet. We calculate the magnitude of these fluctuations for a host sphere containing a single nonconcentric spherical inclusions. We find that for relatively small single inclusions is fluctuating intensity due to changing positions of the inclusions with respect to each other and the host droplet. We calculate the magnitude of these fluctuations for a host sphere containing a single nonconcentric spherical inclusions. Me find that for relatively small single inclusions. For multi	Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection information including services. Directorate for Information corrections and Reports. 1215 Jefferson								
4. TILE AND SUBTILE 5. FUNDING NUMBERS Light Scattering Intensity Fluctuations in Microdroplets Containing Inclusions PE: 61102A A ANTIONERS PE: 61102A Corden Videen (ARL), Paul Pellegrino (ARL), Dat Ngo (NgoCo), John S. Videen (Univ. of California, San Diego), Ronald G. Pinnick (ARL) A. REPORTMUT ORAMIZATION REPORT NUMBER U.S. Army Research Laboratory A.R.L-TR-1392 Adelphi, MD 20783-1197 ARL-TR-1392 U.S. Army Research Laboratory A.REPORTNUMBER 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1197 In SPONSORNAMONTORING AGENCY NAMED ADDRESSES) In SPONSORNAMONTORING AGENCY NAMED ADDRESSES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory Seconstructure of the California of the California of the Inclusion of the Inclusion. For multiple inclusions, the fluctuation of reases approximately linearly with the area of the Inclusion. For multiple inclusions, the fluctuation amplitude increases with concentration, with an approximate power-law dependence. 14. SUBJECT TEMES 15. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION O'N REPORT 15. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION O'N REPORT 15. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION O'N ABRIVEL 15. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION O'N REPORT 15. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION O'N REPORT	Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 222 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	22-4302, and to the Office of Management and 2. REPORT DATE August 1997	Budget, Paperwork Reduction Proje 3. REPORT TYPE A Progress, from	nd Dates covered ND Dates covered October 1996 to April 1997					
a. AUTHOR(S) Corden Videen (ARL), Paul Pellegrino (ARL), Dat Ngo (NgoCo), John S. Videen (Univ. of California, San Diego), Ronald G. Pinnick (ARL) PERFORMING ORGANIZATION MARE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory ARL-TR-1392 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION MARE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory ARL-TR-1392 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION MARE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory PERFORMING ORGANIZATION MARE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory PERFORMING ORGANIZATION MARE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory PERFORMING ORGANIZATION MARE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory PERFORMING ORGANIZATION PERFECT TEMMS PERFECT TEMMS PERFECT TEMMS PERFECT TEMMS	4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Light Scattering Intensity F Inclusions	5. FUNDING NUMBERS PE: 61102A							
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) PERFORMING ORGANIZATION V.S. Army Research Laboratory ARL-TR-1392 38000 Powder Mill Road ARL-TR-1392 3401 Adelphi, MD 20783-1197 Serosonikon/Norroniko adexerv Name(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Serosonikon/Norronikon/Server Name(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Serosonikon/Norronikon/Server Name(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Serosonikon/Norronikon/Server Name(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Serosonikon/Norronikon/Server Name(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Serosonikon/Norronikon/Server Name(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Serosonikon/Norronikon/Server Name(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Serosonikon/Server Name(S) ADDRESS(ES)	6. Аитнов(s) Gorden Videen (ARL), Pau John S. Videen (Univ. of C								
B. SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENCY NAME(s) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENCY NAME(s) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1197 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES AMS code: 611102.53A11 ARL PR: 7FEJ60 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) A prominent characteristic of light scattered from a microparticle containing inclusions is fluctuating intensity due to changing positions of the inclusions with respect to each other and the host droplet. We calculate the magnitude of these fluctuations for a host sphere containing a single nonconcentric spherical inclusion and experimentally measure the fluctuation amplitudes for host spheres containing multiple inclusions. We find that for relatively small single inclusions, the amplitude of the scattering fluctuations increases approximately linearly with the area of the inclusion. For multiple inclusions, the fluctuation amplitude increases with concentration, with an approximate power-law dependence. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. SECURTY CLASSIFICATION OF THE PAGE Unclassified 12b. SECURTY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) U.S. Army Research Labor Attn: AMSRL-IS-EE 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1197	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-TR-1392							
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES AMS code: 611102.53A11 ARL PR: 7FEJ60 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) A prominent characteristic of light scattered from a microparticle containing inclusions is fluctuating intensity due to changing positions of the inclusions with respect to each other and the host droplet. We calculate the magnitude of these fluctuations for a host sphere containing a single nonconcentric spherical inclusion and experimentally measure the fluctuation amplitudes for host spheres containing multiple inclusions. We find that for relatively small single inclusions, the amplitude of the scattering fluctuations increases approximately linearly with the area of the inclusion. For multiple inclusions, the fluctuation amplitude increases with concentration, with an approximate power-law dependence. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS FAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS FAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY N U.S. Army Research Labou 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1197	10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER							
122. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) A prominent characteristic of light scattered from a microparticle containing inclusions is fluctuating intensity due to changing positions of the inclusions with respect to each other and the host droplet. We calculate the magnitude of these fluctuations for a host sphere containing a single nonconcentric spherical inclusion and experimentally measure the fluctuation amplitudes for host spheres containing multiple inclusions. We find that for relatively small single inclusions, the amplitude of the scattering fluctuations increases approximately linearly with the area of the inclusion. For multiple inclusions, the fluctuation amplitude increases with concentration, with an approximate power-law dependence. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF RESET 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF RESET 12. DISTRIBUTION OF ABSTRACT 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES AMS code: 611102.53A11 ARL PR: 7FEJ60								
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) A prominent characteristic of light scattered from a microparticle containing inclusions is fluctuating intensity due to changing positions of the inclusions with respect to each other and the host droplet. We calculate the magnitude of these fluctuations for a host sphere containing a single nonconcentric spherical inclusion and experimentally measure the fluctuation amplitudes for host spheres containing multiple inclusions. We find that for relatively small single inclusions, the amplitude of the scattering fluctuations increases approximately linearly with the area of the inclusion. For multiple inclusions, the fluctuation amplitude increases with concentration, with an approximate power-law dependence. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES Contaminants, scatter 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATE Approved for public relea	ment se; distribution unlimited.		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE					
14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 17 17 16. PRICE CODE 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 0F THIS PAGE Unclassified Unclassified	13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) A prominent characteristic of light scattered from a microparticle containing inclusions is fluctuating intensity due to changing positions of the inclusions with respect to each other and the host droplet. We calculate the magnitude of these fluctuations for a host sphere containing a single nonconcentric spherical inclusion and experimentally measure the fluctuation amplitudes for host spheres containing multiple inclusions. We find that for relatively small single inclusions, the ampli- tude of the scattering fluctuations increases approximately linearly with the area of the inclusion. For multiple inclusions, the fluctuation amplitude increases with concentration, with an approximate power-law dependence.								
Contaminants, scatter 16. PRICE CODE 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified Unclassified SAR	14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES					
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SARUnclassifiedUnclassifiedSAR	Contaminants, scatter			16. PRICE CODE					
	17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATI OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	ION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT					

.

4

ĸ

,

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 298-102