REPORT, DOC	UMENTATION	PAGE		Forr OM	n Approved B No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden for this collection of informa	tion is estimated to average 1 hou	ir per response, in	icluding the time for	reviewing instruction	s, searching existing d
collection of information, including suggestions for re Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302	educing this burden, to Washingto , and to the Office of Managemen	n Headquarters S it and Budget, Pa	ervices, Directorate perwork Reduction P	for Information Opera oject (0704-0188), Was	tions and Reports, 12 shington, DC 20503.
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. R	EPORT TYPE A	ND DATES COVE	RED
	01/03/97	F	inal Repor	t 02/01/9	5-10/31/96
4. THEE AND SUBTILE				F49L	vombers
Computational Nonlinea	r Control			A <del>fos</del> r-9.	5-1-0169
6. AUTHOR(S)				-	
Arthur J. Krener				-	
				A	FOSR-TR-
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME	(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			$\sim$	$\sim$
Institute of Theoretic	al Dynamics			$\bigcirc$	46
University of Californ:	ia			l í	
Davis, CA 90016					
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS	S(ES)	<del></del>	10. SPONSORI	NG/MONITORIN
AFOSR/NM				AGENCY R	EPORT NUMBER
110 Duncan Avenue, Suit	te B115				
Bolling AFB, DC 20332-	-0001				
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
		•			
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STAT	EMENT			12b. DISTRIBU	TION CODE
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STAT	EMENT	DESTRIED	nor stur	12b. DISTRIBU	TION CODE
		DESTRISU Approve	TIOR STAT	12b. DISTRIBU	TION CODE
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT	ement Hea	DESTP130 Approve Distri	11017 STUR 1 tos public butics Unli	12b. DISTRIBU	TION CODE
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT	ement Hed	DISTRISO Approve Distri	HOR STAR t for public button Unlis	12b. DISTRIBU	TION CODE
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STAT UNU 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)	ement Hed	DESTP130 Approve Distri	11017 STAR 1 tos public butics Unli	12b. DISTRIBU	TION CODE
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT UNUM 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The goals of this re	EMENT Hed esearch project w	DISTRING Approve Distri	11017 STUR 1 for public builos Unlis developme	12b. DISTRIBU	TION CODE
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT UNUM 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The goals of this re algorithms for nonlinear performance despite numer	esearch project w systems which ar	DESTPINO Approve Distri	development tion gublic button Units development ationally	12b. DISTRIBU	TION CODE
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT UNUM 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The goals of this re algorithms for nonlinear performance despite numer recent generalization of	esearch project w systems which ar cical and modelin linear worst cas	District Approve Distri Vere the ce computing errors se (H-inf	tion public bution Unli- development ationally s. The app inity) con	12b. DISTRIBU	TION CODE tol and est with robust based on t
<ul> <li>12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT</li> <li>UNUM</li> <li>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The goals of this realgorithms for nonlinear performance despite numer recent generalization of systems. The construction </li> </ul>	EMENT esearch project w systems which ar rical and modelin linear worst cas	District Approve District vere the ce comput ig errors se (H-init I-infinit	development development ationally s. The app inity) control	12b. DISTRIBU	TION CODE TION CODE to and est with robust based on t based on t to nonlinea s on the s
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT UNUM 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The goals of this re algorithms for nonlinear performance despite numer recent generalization of systems. The construction of two PDE's of Hamilton-	EMENT esearch project w systems which ar cical and modelin linear worst cas on of nonlinear H -Jacobi type. Th	DESTRICT Approve Discrete vere the ce computing errors se (H-infi H-infinith he first	development ationally s. The applicationally inity) control is the on	12b. DISTRIBU	TION CODE TION CODE with robust based on t based on the son the s on the s
<ul> <li>12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT</li> <li>UMUM</li> <li>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)</li> <li>The goals of this realgorithms for nonlinear performance despite numer recent generalization of systems. The construction of two PDE's of Hamilton-lem of H-infinity subopti in the work of several and several</li></ul>	EMENT esearch project w systems which ar rical and modelin linear worst cas on of nonlinear H Jacobi type. Th mal control by s	Vere the ce comput de errors se (H-init H-infinit state fee	development development ationally s. The app inity) control is the one edback that	12b. DISTRIBU	TION CODE TION CODE to and est based on t based on t to nonlinea s on the s d with the red previou
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT UMUM 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The goals of this re algorithms for nonlinear performance despite numer recent generalization of systems. The construction of two PDE's of Hamilton- lem of H-infinity subopti in the work of several auditerm by term have been de	esearch project w systems which ar cical and modelin linear worst cas on of nonlinear H Jacobi type. Th mal control by s thors. Numerica eveloped. The se	Vere the ce computing errors se (H-initine first state fee al method	development development ationally s. The app inity) control is the one edback that is to compute is a new	12b. DISTRIBU	TION CODE TION CODE to and est based on t based on t to nonlines on the s of with the tred previous or series s facobileque
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT UMUM 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The goals of this re algorithms for nonlinear performance despite numer recent generalization of systems. The construction of two PDE's of Hamilton- lem of H-infinity subopti in the work of several au term by term have been de associated with H-infinit	EMENT esearch project w systems which ar rical and modelin linear worst cas on of nonlinear H Jacobi type. Th mal control by s thors. Numerica eveloped. The se sy suboptimal est	Vere the ce comput de gerrors de (H-inition de first state fee al methoo cecond PDF timation.	development development ationally s. The app inity) control is the one dback that is to compu- is a new A hybrid	12b. DISTRIBU Teleconci incol and releconci incol and releconci incol and releconci releconci incol and releconci relecon	TION CODE TION CODE to and est based on t based on t to nonlinea s on the s d with the red previous facobi equa onal metho
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT UMUM 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The goals of this re algorithms for nonlinear performance despite numer recent generalization of systems. The construction of two PDE's of Hamilton- lem of H-infinity subopti in the work of several au term by term have been de associated with H-infinit solve such problems has b	esearch project w systems which ar rical and modelin linear worst cas on of nonlinear H Jacobi type. Th mal control by s thors. Numerica eveloped. The set y suboptimal est peen developed.	Vere the ce computing errors se (H-initine first state fee al method cecond PDH cimation.	development development ationally s. The app inity) control is the one edback that is to compu- is a new A hybrid	12b. DISTRIBU	TION CODE to 1 and est with robust based on t to nonlines so n the s to with the ared previous facobi equation on al metho
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT UMUM 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The goals of this re algorithms for nonlinear performance despite numer recent generalization of systems. The construction of two PDE's of Hamilton- lem of H-infinity subopti in the work of several aud term by term have been des associated with H-infinit solve such problems has b	EMENT esearch project w systems which ar rical and modelin linear worst cas on of nonlinear H Jacobi type. Th mal control by s thors. Numerica eveloped. The se ty suboptimal est on developed.	Vere the ce comput de errors se (H-init he first state fee al method cond PDF cimation.	development development ationally s. The app inity) control is the one edback that is to compu- is a new A hybrid	12b. DISTRIBU	TION CODE to 1 and est with robust based on t to nonlinea s on the s ad with the ared previous facobi equa onal metho
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT UMUM 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The goals of this re algorithms for nonlinear performance despite numer recent generalization of systems. The construction of two PDE's of Hamilton- lem of H-infinity subopti in the work of several audress term by term have been de associated with H-infinit solve such problems has b	EMENT tesearch project w systems which ar rical and modelin linear worst cas on of nonlinear H Jacobi type. Th mal control by s thors. Numerica eveloped. The set y suboptimal est been developed.	DESTRICT Approve Discri Vere the ce comput ag errors se (H-init he first state fee al method cond PDE timation.	development development ationally s. The app inity) control is the one edback that is to compu- is a new A hybrid	12b. DISTRIBU Telecosci fied at of contr feasible we proach was atrollers the lers dependent associated thas appear ate a Taylor Hamilton-J d computati	TION CODE TION CODE to and est based on t based on t to nonlinea son the s d with the ured previo or series s lacobi equa onal metho
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT UMUM 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The goals of this realgorithms for nonlinear performance despite numer recent generalization of systems. The construction of two PDE's of Hamilton- lem of H-infinity subopti in the work of several auterm by term have been de associated with H-infinit solve such problems has b	EMENT esearch project w systems which ar rical and modelin linear worst cas on of nonlinear H Jacobi type. Th mal control by s thors. Numerica eveloped. The se ty suboptimal est oeen developed. DT	DESTRICT Approved Distri- vere the ce computing errors se (H-inithe first state fee al method cond PDH cimation.	development development ationally s. The app inity) control is the one dback that is to compute is a new A hybrid	12b. DISTRIBU	TION CODE to 1 and est with robust based on t to nonlinea s on the s and with the ared previous or series s acobi equa onal metho
<ul> <li>12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT</li> <li>UMUM</li> <li>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)</li> <li>The goals of this realgorithms for nonlinear performance despite numer recent generalization of systems. The construction of two PDE's of Hamilton-lem of H-infinity subopti in the work of several auterm by term have been de associated with H-infinit solve such problems has b</li> <li>14. SUBJECT TERMS</li> </ul>	EMENT tesearch project w systems which ar rical and modelin linear worst cas on of nonlinear H Jacobi type. Th mal control by s thors. Numerica eveloped. The set y suboptimal est been developed. DT	Vere the compute se (H-initian the first state fee al method cond PDF cimation.	development development ationally s. The app inity) control is the one edback that is to compu- tis a new A hybrid	12b. DISTRIBU Teleconci inco	TION CODE to 1 and est with robust based on t based on the son the s to nonlinea son the s ad with the red previo or series s acobi equa onal metho
<ul> <li>12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT</li> <li>UMUM</li> <li>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)</li> <li>The goals of this realgorithms for nonlinear performance despite numer recent generalization of systems. The construction of two PDE's of Hamilton-lem of H-infinity subopti in the work of several auterm by term have been deassociated with H-infinit solve such problems has b</li> <li>14. SUBJECT TERMS</li> <li>Nonlinear Control and Est</li> </ul>	EMENT tesearch project w systems which ar rical and modelin linear worst cas on of nonlinear H Jacobi type. Th mal control by s thors. Numerica eveloped. The se ty suboptimal est been developed. DT rimation	Vere the compute decompute se (H-initiant state fee al method cond PDF cimation.	development development ationally s. The app inity) control is the one dback that is to compu- tis a new A hybrid	12b. DISTRIBU Telecarci incoming	TION CODE to 1 and est with robust based on t to nonlinea son the s ad with the red previo or series s acobi equa onal metho
<ul> <li>12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT</li> <li>UMUM</li> <li>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)</li> <li>The goals of this realgorithms for nonlinear performance despite numer recent generalization of systems. The construction of two PDE's of Hamilton-lem of H-infinity subopti in the work of several auterm by term have been de associated with H-infinit solve such problems has b</li> <li>14. SUBJECT TERMS</li> <li>Nonlinear Control and Est</li> <li>17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION [18. 5]</li> </ul>	EMENT esearch project w systems which ar rical and modelin linear worst cas on of nonlinear H Jacobi type. Th mal control by s thors. Numerica eveloped. The se ty suboptimal est been developed. DT rimation SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	Vere the ce computing errors se (H-infinithe first state fee al method cond PDF cimation.	development development ationally s. The app inity) control is the one dback that is to compu- tis a new A hybrid TY INSPECT	12b. DISTRIBU Islocation incomposition feasible was atrollers the lers dependent thas appear ite a Taylo Hamilton-J i computation ED 2 15. N 16. P CATION 20. L	TION CODE tol and est with robust based on t to nonlinea s on the s d with the red previous or series s acobi equa onal metho NUMBER OF PAG 9 RICE CODE
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT UMUM 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The goals of this real algorithms for nonlinear performance despite numer recent generalization of systems. The construction of two PDE's of Hamilton- lem of H-infinity subopti in the work of several auterm by term have been de associated with H-infinit solve such problems has b 14. SUBJECT TERMS Nonlinear Control and Est 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 18. S	EMENT esearch project w systems which ar rical and modelin linear worst cas on of nonlinear H Jacobi type. Th and control by s othors. Numerica eveloped. The se ty suboptimal est been developed. DT fination ECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	Vere the ce computing errors se (H-initine first state fee al method cond PDH cimation.	development development ationally s. The app inity) control is the one dback that is to compute is a new A hybrid TY INSPECT	12b. DISTRIBU Telecord fied at of contr feasible we proach was atrollers the tassociated thas appead the a Taylo Hamilton-J d computati ED 2 15. N 16. P CATION 20. L	TION CODE TION CODE To and est based on t to nonlinea son the s ad with the red previo or series s acobi equa onal metho NUMBER OF PAC 9 RICE CODE

Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 298-102

# Final Technical Report Computational Nonlinear Control AFOSR-95-1-0169

A. J. Krener Department of Mathematics and Institute of Theoretical Dynamics University of California Davis, CA 95616-8633

#### Abstract

The goals of this research project were the development of control and estimation algorithms for nonlinear systems which are computationally feasible with robust performance despite numerical and modeling errors. The approach was based on the recent generalization of linear worst case  $(H_{\infty})$  controllers to nonlinear systems. The construction of nonlinear  $H_{\infty}$  controllers depends on the solution of two PDE's of Hamilton-Jacobi type. The first is the one associated with the problem of  $H_{\infty}$  suboptimal control by state feedback that has appeared previously in the work of several authors. Numerical methods to compute a Taylor series solution term by term have been developed. The second PDE is a new Hamilton-Jacobi equation associated with  $H_{\infty}$  suboptimal estimation. A hybrid computational method to solve such problems has been developed.

### 1 Nonlinear $H_{\infty}$ Control by State Feedback

In this section an algorithm for computing term by term a state feedback  $H_{\infty}$  control law for a nonlinear system is described. The MATLAB code to impliment this algorithm is available from http://scad.utdallas.edu/scad/ in the Nonlinear Systems Toolbox. The function "hji.m" impliments the following algorithm.

Consider a nonlinear system of the form

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u). \tag{1}$$

where the input u consists of two subvectors  $u = [u_c; u_d]$ , a control  $u_c$  and a disturbance  $u_d$ . The goal is to compute the feedback law for  $u_c$  that minimizes the maximum over all  $u_d$  the cost

$$\int_0^\infty l(x,u) \, dt. \tag{2}$$

19970604 142

1

See [K1] for further details. It is assumed that for each x, l is strictly convex in  $u_c$ , strictly concave in  $u_d$ .

When it exists and is smooth the optimal cost  $\pi(x)$  starting at x will satisfy the Hamilton-Jacobi-Isaacs PDE

$$\Pi_x f + l = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\Pi_x f_u + l_u = 0 \tag{4}$$

where for each x the evaluation is at the minmax u satisfying (4).

It is further assumed that f, l have series expansions

$$f(x,u) = f^{[1]}(x,u) + f^{[2]}(x,u) + f^{[3]}(x,u) + \dots + f^{[d]}(x,u)$$
(5)

$$l(x,u) = l^{[2]}(x,u) + l^{[3]}(x,u) + l^{[4]}(x,u) + \dots + l^{[d+1]}(x,u)$$
(6)

where  $f^{[j]}(x, u)$ ,  $l^{[j]}(x, u)$  denote homogeneous polynomials of degree j. The leading terms are of the familiar linear-quadratic form

$$f^{[1]}(x,u) = Ax + Bu \tag{7}$$

$$l^{[2]}(x,u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( x'Qx + 2x'Su + u'Ru \right).$$
(8)

The matrix R is symmetric and invertible but not positive definite because of the assumption that l is strictly convex in  $u_c$ , strictly concave in  $u_d$ .

Following Al'brekht [A], it is assumed that the optimal cost  $\pi(x)$  and feedback  $\kappa(x)$  have similar power series expansions

$$\pi(x) = \pi^{[2]}(x) + \pi^{[3]}(x) + \pi^{[4]}(x) + \ldots + \pi^{[d+1]}(x)$$
(9)

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} u_c \\ u_d \end{bmatrix} = \kappa(x) = \kappa^{[1]}(x) + \kappa^{[2]}(x) + \kappa^{[3]}(x) + \dots + \kappa^{[d]}(x)$$
(10)

where the leading terms are

$$\pi^{[2]}(x) = \frac{1}{2}x'Px \tag{11}$$

$$\kappa^{[1]}(x) = Kx \tag{12}$$

Note that the feedback is the optimal one for both the control  $u_c$  and the disturbance  $u_d$ .

These expansions are plugged into the Hamilton-Jacobi-Isaacs equations and terms of the same degree are collected. The leading terms in (3) are degree 2 and those in (4) are of degree 1, and yield the familiar Riccati equation and state feedback,

$$0 = PA + A'P + Q - (PB + S)R^{-1}(B'P + S')$$
(13)

$$K = -R^{-1}(B'P + S') \tag{14}$$

The next step is to collect terms in (3) of degree 3 and those in (4) of degree 2, this yields a system of linear equations for  $\pi^{[3]}$ ,  $\kappa^{[2]}$  involving *P*, *K* which are then solved. The process is repeated through terms in (3) of degree d + 1 and those in (4) of degree *d* yielding linear equations for  $\pi^{[d+1]}$ ,  $\kappa^{[d]}$  that depend on the lower degree solutions. The call of "hji.m" is of the form

$$[ka, fk, py, lk] = hji(f, l, n, m, d);$$

The input parameters f, l are the dynamics and lagrangian as above. More precisely, they are matrices of coefficients of the various monomials in f, l in block lexographic order as described in the Read Me First file in the Nonlinear Systems Toolbox. The parameters n, m are the dimensions of x, u respectively and d is the degree of the series expansion of f.

The first output parameter is  $ka = \kappa$  and the third output parameter is  $py = \pi$  as described above. More precisely they are matrices of their coefficients in block lexographic order. The other output parameters are the matrices of the coefficients of the closed loop dynamics  $fk(x) = f(x, \kappa(x))$  and the closed loop lagrangian  $lk(x) = l(x, \kappa(x))$ 

The routine "hji.m" differs from "hjb.m" by calling "care.m" instead of "lqr2.m" to solve the Riccati equation. The routine "care.m" can handle indefinite Q and R while "lqr2.m" requires that Q be nonnegative definite and R be positive definite. The current release of the MATLAB Control Toolbox contains "lqr2.m" and the next release will contain "care.m".

Both "hji.m" and "hjb.m" can solve the Hamilton-Jacobi PDE for dynamics and lagrangians that depend on static or dynamic parameters. Such problems arise in designing servos [K2] and model matching controllers [K3]. Certain restrictions apply. See the comments in the m-files.

#### 2 Hybrid Nonlinear Estimation

A hybrid algorithm for a nonlinear state observer that utilizes two levels of computation has been developed [KrD]. On the higher level one approximately computes a negative log liklihood function Q(x,t) for the currnt state given the past observations and initial state liklihood. The most likely estimate of x(t) is  $\hat{x}(t) = \operatorname{argmin}Q(x,t)$  At the lower level, we initiate local observers that resemble extended Kalman filters at the local minima of Q(x,t). These are computed on a much faster time scale. One also computes how well they explain the observations. and takes as the estimate, the one that best explains the observations to date. This algorithm can be suitably modified to calculate nonlinear  $H_{\infty}$  estimators [K1].

Since the computation of the Q function is expensive, it is done on a relatively coarse spatial and temporal grid. Hence the minimum of Q converges slowly to the true state and is never very accurate due to the coarseness of the grid. The local observers are computationally inexpensive especially since the filter gains are derived from Q rather than solutions of Riccati equations. Moreover when initialized close to the true value, they converge quickly and accurately. However if they are initialized far from the true value, they don't always converge to it. The coarse information in Q allows one to initialize the local observers properly.

Mortensen [M] and Hijab [H] introduced the concept of minimum energy estimation. Given an initial state estimate  $\hat{x}^0$ , an observation history  $\{y(s): 0 \le s \le t\}$  and an endpoint x one seeks the minimum "energy" triple  $x^0$ , w(s), v(s) satisfying

$$\dot{x}(s) = f(x(s)) + w(s)$$
 (15)

$$y(s) = h(x(s)) + v(s)$$
 (16)

$$x(0) = x^0 \tag{17}$$

$$x(t) = x. \tag{18}$$

The "energy" of the triple  $x^0$ , w(s), v(s) is defined as

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \left| \frac{w(s)}{v(s)} \right|^2 ds + \frac{e^{-\alpha t}}{2} \left| \hat{x}^0 - x^0 \right|^2.$$
(19)

Increasing the forgetting factor  $\alpha$  decreases the importance of the initial state estimate and earlier observations and increases the importance of the later observations. The value Q(x,t) is a measure of the likelihood that x(t) = x given the initial state estimate and the observations to date. The smaller Q(x,t) is, the more likely x(t) = x. Let Q(x,t) denote the infimum of (19) over all triples satisfying (15-18), then the minimum energy estimate is

$$\hat{x}(t) = \operatorname{argmin}Q(x, t). \tag{20}$$

It is not hard to see that Q is a solution in the viscosity sense of the Hamilton Jacobi Bellman (HJB) PDE

$$\alpha Q + Q_t + Q_x f + \frac{1}{2} Q_x Q'_x - \frac{1}{2} |y - h|^2 = 0.$$
(21)

For  $H_{\infty}$  estimation the PDE is slightly different, [K1],

$$Q_t + Q_x f + \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} Q_x Q'_x - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} |y - c|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |k - \hat{u}|^2 = 0$$
(22)

Following Kushner and Dupuis [KD], we compute Q not by approximately solving the HJB PDE but rather by solving an approximating nonlinear program. Let r, k be relatively coarse spatial and temporal steps. Choose a subdomain of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  where the state is known to be and consider the rectangular lattice of points in the subdomain with spacing r. Following the dynamic program approach (in forward time), we define the approximate solution Q(x, t)of (21) at lattice points x and time steps t by

$$Q(x,t+k) = \inf \left\{ (1-\alpha k)Q(z,t) + \left| \frac{x-z}{k} - \frac{f(x,t+k) + f(z,t)}{2} \right|^2 \frac{k}{2} + \left| y(t) - \frac{h(x,t) + h(z,t)}{2} \right|^2 \frac{k}{2} \right\}$$

$$Q(x,0) = \frac{1}{2} \left| x - \hat{x}^0 \right|^2$$
(24)

where the infimum is over z in the whole lattice in the subdomain or some subset such as the 2n nearest neighbors of x.

Notice that the computation of Q must be done in real time because of the presence of y(t). The complexity of the computation is inversely proportional to the spatial step r to the power of the state dimension n. Hence there is a tradeoff between accuracy (small r) and computational ease (large r). Of course similar difficulties arise in all nonlinear estimation

algorithms, for example, nonlinear filtering requires solving the Zakai stochastic PDE in real time.

The extended Kalman Filtering is an alternative approach which can be very accurate when it converges. However it may fail to converge if the problem is highly nonlinear. If we assume that the w, v in (15,16) are independent standard white Gaussian noises and the initial state estimate is an independent Gaussian random vector with mean  $\hat{x}^0$  and covariance  $P^0$  then the extended Kalman Filter (EKF) takes the form

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = f(\hat{x}, t) + Ph'_{x}(\hat{x}, t)(y - h(\hat{x}, t))$$

$$\dot{P} = f_{x}(\hat{x}, t)P + Pf_{x}(\hat{x}, t)' + I$$
(25)

$$= J_x(x,t)P + PJ_x(x,t) + I$$
(00)

$$-Ph'_{x}(\hat{x},t)h_{x}(x,t)P \tag{20}$$

$$\hat{x}(0) = \hat{x}^0$$
 (27)

$$P(0) = P^0 \tag{28}$$

An example of a highly nonlinear problem where an EKF may fail to converge is

. . . .

$$\dot{x} = x(1-x^2)$$
 (29)

$$y = x^2 + \epsilon x. \tag{30}$$

If  $\epsilon = 0$  the states x, -x are indistinguishable but for nonzero  $\epsilon$  the system is observable. The dynamics has stable equilibria at  $x = \pm 1$  and an unstable equilibrium at x = 0. If  $\epsilon > 0$ , the system is initialized near -1 and the EKF is initialized near 1, the EKF will fail to converge to the true value [KrD].

Suppose Q(x,t) is a smooth solution to HJB PDE (21),  $\hat{x}(t)$  is a relative minimum of Q(x,t) and  $q(t) = Q(\hat{x}(t),t)$  then

$$0 = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t), t) \tag{31}$$

$$0 = Q_{xx}(\hat{x}(t), t)\dot{x}(t) + Q_{xt}(\hat{x}(t), t).$$
(32)

If one partially differentiates (21) with respect to x and evaluates at  $\hat{x}(t)$ , t one obtains

$$0 = Q_{tx}(\hat{x}(t), t) + Q_{xx}(\hat{x}(t), t)f + h'_{x}(\hat{x}(t), t)(y - h(\hat{x}(t), t).$$
(33)

From the last two equations one obtains

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = f(\hat{x}, t) + Q_{xx}^{-1}(\hat{x}, t) h_x'(\hat{x}, t)(y - h(\hat{x}, t))$$
(34)

and evaluating (21) at  $\hat{x}(t)$ , t yields

$$\dot{q} = -\alpha q + \frac{1}{2}|y - h(\hat{x}, t)|.$$
 (35)

These are the equations of a local observer based on Q. Notice the similarity of (34) to (25) of an EKF.

The hybid approach is as follows.

1) Compute Q(x,t) by a nonlinear programming approximation (23) on a coarse spatial and temporal grid,

- 2) At each relative minimum of Q(x,t), initialize a local observer  $\hat{x}(t), q(t)$
- 3) Let the various local observers  $\hat{x}(t), q(t)$  evolve according to (34, 35) on a fast time scale,
- 4) Eliminate redundant local observers when they come close together,
- 5) Choose as the current estimate, the  $\hat{x}(t)$  of the local observer with smallest q(t).

While this algorithm can result in a large number of local observers, the computational burden associated with each one is quite small, less than an EKF. Each local observer invloves integrating n+1 differential equations instead of  $(n^2+3n)/2$  for an EKF. The total computational burden associated with computing Q(x,t) on a coarse spatial and temporal grid and computing many local observers on a fine temporal scale is considerably lighter than computing Q(x,t) on a fine spatial and temporal grid. Moreover the accuracy of the solution of the HJB PDE (21) is limited by the fineness of the spatial grid while machine precision is the limit on the spatial accuracy of a local observer. In [KrD] this observer is applied to a pair of examples.

#### References

- [A] E. G. Al'brekht, On the optimal stabilization of nonlinear systems, PMM-J. Appl. Math. Mech., 25, (1961), 1254-1266.
- [H] O. B. Hijab, *Minimum Energy Estimation*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. of Calif., Berkeley, 1980.
- [K1] A. J. Krener, Nonlinear worst case (H-Infinity) control and estimation, J. Math. Sys. Est. Con 7, (1996), 81-106.
- [K2] A. J. Krener, The construction of optimal linear and nonlinear regulators, In Systems, Models and Feedback: Theory and Applications. A. Isidori and T.J. Tarn, (eds.) Birkhauser-Boston, (1992), 301-322.
- [K3] A. J. Krener, Optimal model matching controllers for linear and nonlinear systems, In Nonlinear Control System Design 1992, M. Fliess, (ed.), Pergamon Press, Oxford, (1994), 209-214.
- [KrD] A. J. Krener and A. Duarte, A Hybrid computational approach to nonlinear estimation, Proc. of 1996 Conference on Decision and Control.
- [KD] H. J. Kushner and P. G. Dupuis, Numerical Methods for Stochastic Control Problems in Continuous Time, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [M] R. E. Mortensen, Maximum likelihood recursive nonlinear filtering. J. Optimization Theory and Applic., 2(1968), 386-394.

# Participants in AFOSR-F49620-95-1-0169"I"

A.J. Krener

A. Banaszuk

A. Duarte

J. Humbert

T. Swanston

# Publications supported in part by AFOSR-95-1-0169

December 12, 1996

1. A. J. Krener, Nonlinear worst case (H-Infinity) control and estimation, J. Math. Sys. Est. Con 7, (1996), 81-106.

2. A. J. Krener and A. Duarte, A Hybrid computational approach to nonlinear estimation, Proc. of 1996 Conference on Decision and Control

3. A. J. Krener, Nonlinear Systems Toolbox V. 1.0, (1996) A MATLAB based toolbox available from http://scad.utdallas.edu/scad/

	REPORT OF INVENTIC	ONS AND SUBCON	ITRACTS					lam Apro OMB No Erpires Jun	rd 04 0131 10, 1932
Public reporting burden for this collection of infore reviewing the collection of information. Send comm (speciation) and Reports, 1715 fefferion Davis Highw	mation is etimated to average 5 minutes per re- ments regarding this burden estimate or any other way. Surte 1204, Artington, va 22202.4302, and to	woonse, including the time for review sweet of this collection of informatic 5 the Office of Management and Budg	rlng instructions, se on. including sugges get, Paperwork Redi	arching existing d. tions for reducing stion Project (0704	ita kources, gath this burden, lo Y .0297), Washingi	rering and r vashington ton, DC 205	naintaining th Headquarters 0]	he data needed, and i services, Directorate	ompleting and or information
US ALT FORCA / SUICONTRACTOR	CONTACT NUMBER AFOSR-F49620-95-1-0169	24. NAME OF GOVENHMENT FAIL	ME CONTINUCTOR	1 ( 001	MACT NUMBER			). TYPE OF REPORT	JAC) XX D LINAL
" AFOSR'NKY I'r coat)	d. AWARD DAIE (YYMMDD)	b. ADDA{SS (Include ZIF Code)		d. AWA	AD DATE (YYM	loam		4. REPORTING PERI	D [TTHMOO]
llO Duncan Ave., SuiteBJL Bolling, AFB, DC 20332-00	5 950201							• 10 9502	
		SECTION I - SUBJECT IN	VENTIONS						
Thoras as of data sequence in the second second	ED BY CONTRACTOR/SUBCONTRACTOR (II "M	one," so state)							
A MAMI(1) OF INVENIOA(1) [1+11, FLV, MI]	P.	(s)HOI(H)	C. DISCLO	URE HO.	d. ELEC PATEN (1) United Sta	TION TO FIL	.E IOHS ) Foreign	<ul> <li>CONFINITIALIA</li> <li>INSTAULA</li> <li>ISSIGNMENT</li> <li>CONTRACE</li> </ul>	LATOAY ENT OR FOAWAAOLD ING OFIKEA
			7416	HI NO.	(e) Yes (b)	Х (•) Ио	0H (q) H	(I) Yti	
KRENER, Arthur J.	none		1				1	1	I
	CONTRACTOR / SUBCONTRACTOR	9. ELECTED	FOREIGN COUNTR	ES IN WHICH A I	ATENT APPLICA	TION WILL	BE FILED		
Initial Mame of Inventor (Last, Figt, Mil)	(2) (2) Name of Inventor (Last, First, MI)	(1) Title of	Invention				(2) Fore	Ign Countries of Pate	nt Application
none	1	1					;		
	(b) Name of Employer								
(1) Addrens of Employer (Include 210 Code)	(1) Address of Emodoses (herbode 210 Coo								
	3								
	SECTION H -	SUBCONTRACTS (Containin	rg a "Patent R	ights clause)					V
6. SUBCONTRACTS AWARDED BY CONTRACTOR / SU	JECOHTRACTOR (If "None," to state)								
	Ţ	d. DFAR -	ATENT AIGHTS"					I. SURCONTRACT	DATES (Y' '0)
		UBCONTIACT NO.(5) (1) СТачи Numb	e (2) Dale er (YYMM)	530 5	PERFORMED U SUBCONTRAC	000X 10 85 14068 17(5)		(i) Award	(2) Estimated Completion
1			1	l				1	
				·					
		SECTION III - CERTI	FICATION						-
1. CEATFICATION OF REPORT BY CONTRACTOR / 3		of required If Small Bus	Iness or	Non-Pro	It organization.	daudde x) (	riate bor)		
Arthur J. Krener	ITAACTON OFFICIAL (Last, FIrst, MI) C.	I certify that the reporting inventions," that such pro	g party has pr Kedures have	xedures for peen followe	wompt Iden d and that a	tification all "Subjo	and time	ily disclosure of lons" have beer	"Subject reported.
	q	SIGHATURED / /						•	LE SIGNED
Professor		144 Se		ì					11/03/97
DD Form 882, OCT 89		Prévious editions are o	obsolete.						14.15
		)							