High Performance PEM Fuel Cells - From Electrochemistry and

Material Science to Engineering Development of a Multicell Stack

SIXTH QUARTERLY REPORT, MR #16

April - June 1996

ONR Contract # N00014-95-1-0114

LARTHING IN FATISLINE A Longo of the public release Larmouron Universe

Submitted by:

A. John Appleby and Supramaniam Srinivasan

Principal and Co-principal Investigators

Center for Electrochemical Systems and Hydrogen Research Texas Engineering Experiment Station Texas A&M University System 238 Wisenbaker Building College Station, Texas 77843-3402

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

Submitted to:

Dr. Lawrence H. Dubois
Defense Sciences Office
Advanced Research Projects Agency
3701 N. Fairfax Drive
Arlington, VA 22203-1714

Dr. Robert J. Nowak Code 313 Office of Naval Research Ballston Tower One 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217-5660 Mr. Jay Stedman 10 Harvest Lane Glastonbury, CT 06033

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED &

August 16, 1996



TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

in the second state of the

1. Task 1. Advanced Membrane - Electrode Assembly (MEA) Optimization		
1.1 Catalysts and Electrodes	4	
1.2 Proton Conducting Membranes	5	
1.3 Work to be Performed in the Next Month	6	
2. Task 2. Water Management	6	
2.1 Single Cells	7	
2.2 Cell Stacks	8	
2.3 Work to be Performed in the Next Month	. 8	
3. Task 3. Lightweight Cell Components	9	
3.1 Compact Lightweight Bipolar Plates	.9	
3.2 Work to be Performed in the Next Quarter	9	
4. Task 4: Performance Demonstration in > 250 W Short Stack	. 9	
4.1. Work to be Performed in the Next Quarter	10	
Summary of Expenditures	10	

2

LIST OF FIGURES

1 I

.

,

Figure 1 Cell potential vs. current density plots for PEMFCs with different type of electrocatalysts, 5 cm ² cell, anode Pt loading 0.3 mg/cm ² , GORE-SELECT 20 μm membrane, temperature 50 °C, atmospheric pressure, reactants humidified.	s 11
Figure 2 Tafel plot for the data from Figure 1, operating gases hydrogen/oxygen.	11
Figure 3 Cell potential vs. current density plots for PEMFC with different composition of the cathode electrocatalyst layers. Catalyst A - 1.1 mg Pt/cm ² (40% Pt/C), catalyst B - 0.8 mg Pt/cm ² (40% Pt/C) + 0.3 mg PtCoCr/cm ² (10% PtCoCr/C), 5 cm ² cell, temperature 50 °C, atmospheric pressure, humidifec hydrogen/air.))
Figure 4 Cell potential vs. current density plots for cells with GORE-SELECT 20 μm membrane with MEAs exhibiting high and low values of electronic resistance. 5 cm ² cell, temperature 50 °C, atmospheric pressure, humidified hydrogen/air.	d 12
Figure 5 Cell potential vs. current density plots for cells with GORE-SELECT 40 μm membrane with MEAs exhibiting high and low values of electronic resistance. 5 cm ² cell, temperature 50 °C, atmospheric pressure, humidified hydrogen/air. Insert - Tafel plot of the same data.	C
Figure 6 Cell potential vs. current density plots for a cell with GORE-SELECT 20 μm Electrocatalyst loading 2.0 mg Pt/cm ² , 50 cm ² cell, temperature 50 °C atmospheric pressure, dry reactant gases. Test performed at BCS Inc	n. 2, 13
Figure 7 Cell potential vs. current density plots for cells with gas diffusion electrode made at CESHR, catalyst layer deposited at BCS technology Electrocatalyst loading 4.8 mg Pt/cm ² , 50 cm ² cell, temperature 50 °C atmospheric pressure, dry reactant gases. Tests performed at BCS Inc.	/.
Figure 8 Cell potential vs. current density plots for 3-cell stack. Membrane Nafion 112 Electrocatalyst loading 4.5 mg Pt/cm ² , 50 cm ² cells, temperature 50 °C, dr reactant gases. Test performed at BCS Technology Inc	y 14
Figure 9 Cell potential vs. current density plots for the individual cells in a 3-cell stack Electrocatalyst loading 4.5 mg Pt/cm ² , 50 cm ² cells, temperature 50 °C hydrogen/air 1.3 atma, dry reactant gases. Test performed at BC	-,
Technology Inc Figure 10 Summary of expenditures - monthly and running totals.	16
rigue to building of expenditures menting and second of	

High Performance PEM Fuel Cells: From Electrochemistry and Material Science to Engineering Development of a Multicell Stack

1. Task 1. Advanced Membrane - Electrode Assembly (MEA) Optimization

Investigators: Serguey Gamburzev and Omourtag A. Velev

Objectives

The objective of this Task is to develop MEAs capable of attaining a current density of 0.7 A/cm^2 at 0.7 V with hydrogen and air as reactants at atmospheric pressure.

1.1 Catalysts and Electrodes

Previous work at CESHR has shown that platinum alloys, supported on carbon black enhance the performance of the oxygen (air) electrodes in PEMFCs. Increasing the amount of alloy, however, results in an increase of the gas transport limitation in the electrodes. This fact is illustrated in Figure 1 where a potential vs current density plot for a cell with a cathode electrocatalyst consisting of 40 wt. % PtCrCo-alloy supported on XC72 (E-TEK, Inc.) is compared with that for a cell with a Pt/C cathode, with the same Pt loading. The platinum alloy electrocatalyst has a higher electrocatalytic activity for the oxygen electrode reaction, which is further illustrated in the Tafel plot (Figure 2): at current densities above 0.7 A/cm² the mass transport limitations make the PEMFC with the alloy electrocatalyst show a poorer performance than that of the electrode with a Pt electrocatalyst. To increase the electrocatalytic activity of the air electrode and simultaneously minimize the transport limitations, mixture of a 10 wt.% alloy supported on carbon and a high platinum loading (40 wt.%) on carbon was used as the electrocatalyst. A comparison of the performances of PEMFCs with this mixed electrocatalyst and with Pt is shown on Figure 3. The presence of the alloy electrocatalyst enhances the electrocatalytic activity at low current densities and the presence of the Pt electrocatalyst preserves the open structure of the electrode - in this way the performance enhancement is evident over the entire range of current densities. This experiment was repeated with 20 µm thick GORE-SELECTTM membrane and a similar effect was observed.

1.2 Proton Conducting Membranes

Two potential problems arise when using very thin electrolyte layers ($\approx 20 \text{ µm}$) in PEMFCs: (i) high rate of gas crossover; and (ii) the possibility of electronic shorting between the electrodes. The first effect is due to the properties of the membrane, but the second one probably occurs during MEA preparation and mounting in the cell fixtures. Either of these characteristics leads to a lower open circuit cell potential and apparently flat Tafel slopes in the low current density region of the potential vs current density plot. To avoid electronic shorting through the membrane, MEAs were prepared by the usual hot-pressing procedure, but without applying significant pressures. The electronic resistances of the MEAs were measured immediately after hot-pressing and also after assembly in the single cell test fixture. The electronic resistance of the cells was found to be dependent on the degree of compression. Compression was controlled by varying the thickness of the gasket used to bond the electrodes to the membranes. Cell potential vs current density plots for PEMFCs with different values of electronic resistance are presented on Figure 4.

The proton conducting membrane in these experiments was 20 μ m thick GORE-SELECTTM. When the electronic resistance in the cell was high (larger than 1 k Ω), the open

5

circuit potential and the characteristics of the E vs i plot in the low to intermediate current density region were practically identical to those of PEMFCs with thicker membranes (> 50 µm), but the cell performance was found to be lower at high current densities. This is probably due to the higher contact resistance between the electrodes and the graphite fixtures. When the compression increased the electronic resistance decreased, and the OCV and the apparent Tafel slope also decreased, but the cell performance showed an improvement at higher current densities. To eliminate the electronic short-circuiting through the membrane, cells with 40 µm thick GORE SELECTTM membranes were prepared and tested. The results showed that the cell performance depended very little on the membrane thickness for current densities up to 1.0 A/cm² when the cathodic reactant gas was air. The data in Figure 6 show that for a PEMFC with a 40 µm thick membrane there is very little difference, at high current densities, between the performance of an electronically shorted MEA and an MEA with no electronic conductivity, however there is a significant difference between the two in the low current density region (see insert in Figure 6).

1.3 Work to be Performed in the Next Month

• Preparation of larger size electrodes (up to 200 cm²) with the best electrode composition and determination of the effects of scale-up on cell performance.

2. Task 2. Water Management

Investigators: Hari Dhar, Serguey Gamburzev, Omourtag A. Velev, and Frank Simoneaux

[•] BCS Technology, Inc. - subcontractor on this project

Objectives

The objective of this Task is to eliminate external humidification of the reactant gases for PEMFC stacks operating at the desired current density of 0.7 A/cm^2 for which the goal is a cell potential of 0.7 V.

2.1 Single Cells

الراب الراحي فالمترفع فالمتقو فالمتقتم فلتقر فلتقر فللمرفز للمرار

BCS supplied CESHR membrane-electrodes assemblies for testing in single cells and a four cell stack. The performance of the stack was much less satisfactory than that obtained at BCS. Therefore, to verify its own performance data, BCS tested more MEAs in a single cell and a four cell stack. Performance data of the PEMFC with the GORE-SELECTTM membrane, and electrodes with a Pt loading 2 mg/cm² are shown in Figure 6 using H₂/air and H₂/O₂ reactants. The performance of the PEMFC with air was about 500 mA/cm² at 0.7 V and 1 atm pressure. With oxygen, the current density under similar conditions was about 900 mA/cm². In the previous Quarterly Report, we reported that with electrodes containing 5 mg/cm² Pt, the cell potential with air at 0.7 V was about 700 mA/cm². On the air side, pressure was 1.25 atma. This small overpressure enhances performance. The present performance data are in accordance with the values previously obtained.

Uncatalyzed gas diffusion electrodes (substrate plus diffusion layer) from CESHR were evaluated at BCS Technology. These electrodes (50 cm² active area) were catalyzed by procedures developed at BCS. The electrocatalyst loading was 4.8 mg Pt/cm², the membraneelectrode assembly was prepared using Nafion 112 membrane. The fuel cell rapidly increased in performance after startup, the cell conditioning period being shorter than that observed with E-TEK electrodes. Figure 8 shows the performance with air and with oxygen at atmospheric pressure. At 0.7 V, the current density in the PEMFC with H_2/air was about 500 mA/cm², and with H_2/O_2 the performance was 700 mA/cm². These performances are similar to those observed with E-TEK electrodes of similar electrocatalyst loading. The performance data using the GORE-SELECTTM membrane and electrodes from CESHR are presented in Figure 7. At 0.7 V, the current density was about 0.6 A/cm² with air. These data are slightly less satisfactory than those obtained with the E-TEK electrodes.

2.2 Cell Stacks

One 3-cell stack of area 50 cm² was assembled at BCS Technology, Inc. with MEAs prepared using a Nafion 112 membrane and electrodes containing a Pt loading of 4.5 mg/cm². The performance data of the stack with air and oxygen are given in Figure 8. At 2.1 V (0.7 V per cell), the current density was about 0.48 A/cm² with air and about 0.65 A/cm² with oxygen. The cells required slight pressurization on the air side of the cell. The individual cell performance is given in Figure 9. The performance of the third cell was found to be slightly lower, and required a rapid flow (flushing) of hydrogen periodically. This problem did not result from the quality of the MEAs used, but was probably due to a design problem associated with stacking or the internal manifolding. The stack was tested at CESHR for performance verification and approximately the same performance was observed as that at BCS. However, problems similar to those noted at BCS were also seen at CESHR.

2.3 Work to be Performed in the Next Month

2.3.1 Single Cells

• Evaluation of thinner membranes such as Nafion 112 and GORE-SELEC1[™].

• Evaluation of self-humidified MEAs with Nafion 112 and GORE-SELECT[™] membranes in larger (100 and 150 cm²) area fuel cells. The objective will be to reproduce the performance of smaller cells.

2.3.2 Cell Stacks

• Investigation of the effect of scale-up and cell stacking on performance in four cell stacks using BCS and CESHR MEAs.

3. Task 3. Lightweight Cell Components

Investigators: Imran J. Kakwan, Frank Simoneaux, and Omourtag A. Velev

Objectives

The objective of this Task is to identify and test cell components to build a lightweight PEMFC stack.

3.1 Compact Lightweight Bipolar Plates

No work was done during this Quarter.

3.2 Work to be Performed in the Next Quarter

4. Task 4: Performance Demonstration in > 250 W Short Stack

Investigators: James Lee, Imran J. Kakwan, and Omourtag A. Velev.

Status - no work during quarter.

4.1. Work to be Performed in the Next Quarter

• Studies and analysis of designs for bipolar plates, flow-fields, thermal and water management for a short stack configuration will continue.

Summary of Expenditures

The summary of expenditures for this project is presented in Figure 10.



Figure 1 Cell potential vs. current density plots for PEMFCs with different type of electrocatalysts, 5 cm² cell, anode Pt loading 0.3 mg/cm², GORE-SELECT 20 μm membrane, temperature 50 °C, atmospheric pressure, reactants humidified.







Figure 3 Cell potential vs. current density plots for PEMFC with different composition of the cathode electrocatalyst layers. Catalyst A - 1.1 mg Pt/cm² (40% Pt/C), catalyst B - 0.8 mg Pt/cm² (40% Pt/C) + 0.3 mg PtCoCr/cm² (10% PtCoCr/C), 5 cm² cell, temperature 50 °C, atmospheric pressure, humidifed hydrogen/air.



Figure 4 Cell potential vs. current density plots for cells with GORE-SELECT 20 μm membrane with MEAs exhibiting high and low values of electronic resistance. 5 cm² cell, temperature 50 °C, atmospheric pressure, humidified hydrogen/air.



Figure 5 Cell potential vs. current density plots for cells with GORE-SELECT 40 μm membrane with MEAs exhibiting high and low values of electronic resistance. 5 cm² cell, temperature 50 °C, atmospheric pressure, humidified hydrogen/air. Insert - Tafel plot of the same data.



Figure 6 Cell potential vs. current density plots for a cell with GORE-SELECT 20 μm. Electrocatalyst loading 2.0 mg Pt/cm², 50 cm² cell, temperature 50 °C, atmospheric pressure, dry reactant gases. Test performed at BCS Inc..



Figure 7 Cell potential vs. current density plots for cells with gas diffusion electrodes made at CESHR, catalyst layer deposited at BCS technology. Electrocatalyst loading 4.8 mg Pt/cm², 50 cm² cell, temperature 50 °C, atmospheric pressure, dry reactant gases. Tests performed at BCS Inc.



Figure 8 Cell potential vs. current density plots for 3-cell stack. Membrane Nafion 112, Electrocatalyst loading 4.5 mg Pt/cm², 50 cm² cells, temperature 50 °C, dry reactant gases. Test performed at BCS Technology Inc..



Figure 9 Cell potential vs. current density plots for the individual cells in a 3-cell stack. Electrocatalyst loading 4.5 mg Pt/cm², 50 cm² cells, temperature 50 °C, hydrogen/air 1.3 atma, dry reactant gases. Test performed at BCS Technology Inc..



Figure 10 Summary of expenditures - monthly and running totals.

Cumulative Expenditures (Thousands)

PART.53-FORMS

.

:

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Notic reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, seducing the time for reviews instructions, searching easting data sources, gathering and maintuning the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, uncluding suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington neadourters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson collection of information, which is suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington neadourters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Collection of Information, which is suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington tard Sudget, # between Vertice 1024, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Sudget, # between AND, DA TES, COVERED				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	12. REPORT DATE	13. REPORT TIPE MA	D DATES COTENED	
	Aug. 16, 1996	Qtrly Rpt-	Apr. 1-June 30, 199	
A TITLE AND SUBTITLE High PE	erformance PEM F	uel Cells -	S. FUNDING NUMBERS	
4 MILE AND SUBTILE High Performance PEM Fuel Cells From Electrochemistry and Material Science to Engineering Development of a Multicell Stack		G-N00014-95-1-0114		
6. AUTHOR(S)				
A. John Appleby and Supramaniam Srinivasan				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Center for Electrochemical Systems and Hydrogen Research, Texas Engineering Experiment Station, Texas A&M University System, College Station, TX 77843-3402			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER MR #16, QR #6	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGEN	CY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING	
Department of the Navy Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5660		AGENCY REPORT NUMBER		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
122. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY ST	ATEMENT		125. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) To increase the electrocatalytic activity of the air electrode and simultaneously minimize the transport limitations, mixture of a 10 wt.% alloy supported on carbon and a high platinum loading (40 wt.%) on carbon was used as the electrocatalyst. The presence of the alloy electrocatalyst enhances the electrocatalytic activity at low current densities and the presence of the Pt electrocatalyst preserves the open structure of the electrode - in this way the performance enhancement is evident over the entire range of current densities. This experiment was repeated with 20 µm thick GORE-SELECT™ membrane and a similar effect was observed. One 3-cell stack of area 50 cm² was assembled at BCS Technology, Inc. with MEAs prepared using a Nafion 112 membrane and electrodes containing a Pt loading of 4.5 mg/cm². At 2.1 V (0.7 V per cell), the current density was about 0.48 A/cm² with air and about 0.65 A/cm² with oxygen. The cells required slight pressurization on the air side of the cell. The performance of the third cell was found to be slightly lower, and required a rapid flow (flushing) of hydrogen periodically. This problem did not result from the quality of the MEAs used, but was probably due to a design problem associated with stacking or the internal manifolding. The stack was tested at CESHR for performance verification and approximately the same performance was observed as that at BCS. However, problems similar to those noted at BCS were also seen at CESHR. 				
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 1 OF REPORT	8. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSII OF ABSTRACT	-IQTION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	

5 75-0-01-280-5500

.

Standard Form 298 (Rev 2-89) Provided by anyr Sta 239-15 295-102