DMDC Report No. 97-006 April 1997

The Military as a Career:

Results from the 1992 DoD Surveys of Officers and Enlisted Personnel and Military Spouses





Defense Manpower Data Center Survey & Program Evaluation Division 1600 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 400 Arlington, Va. 22209-2593

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
gathering and maintaining the data needed, ar	d completing and reviewing the colle	gton Headquarters, Services, Directorate ement and Budget, Paperwork Reduction	or reviewing instructions searching existing data sources, sgarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Blank)	2. REPORT DATE April 1997	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES C	DVERED January 1996 to April 1997
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	April 1997		5. FUNDING NUMBERS
The Military as a Career: Reand Enlisted Personnel and		D Surveys of Officers	
6. AUTHOR(S) Daniel M. Aldridge, Tracy T Largo (WCG) and Betty D. 1		Smith, Josefina A.	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAM	E(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
Washington Consulting Gro 4330 East-West Highway, 9 Bethesda, Maryland 2081	Suite 1010		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENC	Y NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
Defense Manpower Data Ce 1600 Wilson Boulevard, Su Arlington, Virginia 22209			97-006
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STA	TEMENT	······································	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for public release	; distribution is unlimite	ed.	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 w	ords)		he Defense Mannower Data
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 w To better understand and p Center (DMDC) conducted surveys were designed to p	ords) lan for the needs of a c the 1992 Surveys of O provide information on	changing military force, t <i>officers and Enlisted Pers</i> issues such as the impa	he Defense Manpower Data onnel and Their Spouses. The ct of changing needs on military ersonnel/family policies. The
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 w To better understand and p Center (DMDC) conducted surveys were designed to p personnel and their families objective of this report was career intentions of active-or retention is satisfaction wit and promotion opportunitie that there was a higher like	ords) lan for the needs of a c the 1992 Surveys of O provide information on and to assist in the d to analyze issues related duty military members. h military life. Qualitates s, have a positive impa- lihood of women in the	changing military force, the fificers and Enlisted Pers issues such as the impate evelopment/revision of pate to satisfaction with the findings indicated that is the aspects of the militation	onnel and Their Spouses. The ct of changing needs on military ersonnel/family policies. The he military and assessment of the the most important influence on by job environment, such as morale hilitary life. Other findings noted with military life than were men
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 w To better understand and p Center (DMDC) conducted surveys were designed to p personnel and their families objective of this report was career intentions of active-or retention is satisfaction wit and promotion opportunitie that there was a higher like and no differences were no	ords) lan for the needs of a c the 1992 Surveys of O provide information on a, and to assist in the d to analyze issues related duty military members. h military life. Qualitated s, have a positive impa- lihood of women in the oted across race/ethnici	changing military force, t officers and Enlisted Pers issues such as the impa evelopment/revision of p ted to satisfaction with t Findings indicated that tive aspects of the militan- tet on satisfaction with m e military being satisfied ty. With respect to inter	onnel and Their Spouses. The ct of changing needs on military ersonnel/family policies. The he military and assessment of the the most important influence on ry job environment, such as morale
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 w To better understand and p Center (DMDC) conducted surveys were designed to p personnel and their families objective of this report was career intentions of active-or retention is satisfaction wit and promotion opportunitie that there was a higher like and no differences were no members, males, and sing re-enlist.	ords) lan for the needs of a c the 1992 Surveys of O provide information on a, and to assist in the d to analyze issues related duty military members. h military life. Qualitated s, have a positive impa- lihood of women in the oted across race/ethnici	changing military force, t officers and Enlisted Pers issues such as the impa evelopment/revision of p ted to satisfaction with t Findings indicated that tive aspects of the militan- tet on satisfaction with m e military being satisfied ty. With respect to inter	onnel and Their Spouses. The ct of changing needs on military ersonnel/family policies. The he military and assessment of the the most important influence on ry job environment, such as morale nilitary life. Other findings noted with military life than were men ntions to re-enlist, older service kely to indicate intention to
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 w To better understand and p Center (DMDC) conducted surveys were designed to p personnel and their families objective of this report was career intentions of active-or retention is satisfaction wit and promotion opportunitie that there was a higher like and no differences were no members, males, and sing	ords) lan for the needs of a c the 1992 Surveys of O provide information on a and to assist in the d to analyze issues related duty military members. In military life. Qualitate s, have a positive impa- lihood of women in the oted across race/ethnici le individuals with no d	changing military force, t <i>officers and Enlisted Pers</i> issues such as the impa evelopment/revision of p ted to satisfaction with t Findings indicated that give aspects of the militant for a satisfaction with n e military being satisfied ty. With respect to inter lependents were most li	onnel and Their Spouses. The ct of changing needs on military rersonnel/family policies. The the military and assessment of the the most important influence on ry job environment, such as morale nilitary life. Other findings noted with military life than were men nations to re-enlist, older service kely to indicate intention to 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 100
 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 w) To better understand and p Center (DMDC) conducted surveys were designed to p personnel and their families objective of this report was career intentions of active-or retention is satisfaction wit and promotion opportunitie that there was a higher like and no differences were no members, males, and sing re-enlist. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 	ords) lan for the needs of a c the 1992 Surveys of O provide information on a and to assist in the d to analyze issues related duty military members. In military life. Qualitate s, have a positive impa- lihood of women in the oted across race/ethnici le individuals with no d	changing military force, t <i>officers and Enlisted Pers</i> issues such as the impa evelopment/revision of p ted to satisfaction with t Findings indicated that give aspects of the militant for a satisfaction with n e military being satisfied ty. With respect to inter lependents were most li	onnel and Their Spouses. The ct of changing needs on military ersonnel/family policies. The he military and assessment of the the most important influence on ry job environment, such as morale hilitary life. Other findings noted with military life than were men nations to re-enlist, older service kely to indicate intention to 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 100 16. PRICE CODE
 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 w) To better understand and p Center (DMDC) conducted surveys were designed to p personnel and their families objective of this report was career intentions of active-or retention is satisfaction wit and promotion opportunitie that there was a higher like and no differences were no members, males, and sing re-enlist. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 	ords) lan for the needs of a c the 1992 Surveys of O provide information on a and to assist in the d to analyze issues related duty military members. In military life. Qualitate s, have a positive impa- lihood of women in the oted across race/ethnici le individuals with no d	changing military force, t <i>officers and Enlisted Pers</i> issues such as the impa evelopment/revision of p ted to satisfaction with t Findings indicated that give aspects of the militant ter on satisfaction with n e military being satisfied ty. With respect to inter lependents were most li	onnel and Their Spouses. The ct of changing needs on military ersonnel/family policies. The he military and assessment of the the most important influence on ry job environment, such as morale hilitary life. Other findings noted with military life than were men ntions to re-enlist, older service kely to indicate intention to 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 100 16. PRICE CODE

DMDC Report No. 97-006 April 1997

THE MILITARY AS A CAREER:

RESULTS FROM THE 1992 DOD SURVEYS OF OFFICERS AND ENLISTED PERSONNEL AND MILITARY SPOUSES

Daniel M. Aldridge, Tracy T. Sturdivant, Charles L. Smith, and Josefina A. Lago Washington Consulting Group

> Betty D. Maxfield, Project Director Defense Manpower Data Center

Defense Manpower Data Center Survey & Program Evaluation Division 1600 Wilson Boulevard Suite 400, Arlington, VA 22209

•

Executive Summary

Introduction

To maintain efficiency and effectiveness, the Department of Defense (DoD) must be a responsive employer. As the military becomes more gender-integrated and more family-oriented, DoD must understand and plan for the needs of the changing Force. To provide input for policies that relate to military families, the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) conducted the 1992 Department of Defense Surveys of Officers and Enlisted Personnel. The surveys were designed to provide an analysis of issues such as the impact of changing family structures, to guide updates of current policies to accommodate changing needs, and to assist in the development of new policies.

The 1992 surveys consisted of active-duty personnel in all four military Services. They were based on stratified samples of 40,812 officers and 56,015 enlisted personnel, for a total of 96,827 service members. Responses were received from 59,930 members (27,684 officers and 32,246 enlisted personnel). Response rates, based on the number of completed survey returns and the number of eligible members, were 71.6 percent for officers, 62.3 percent for enlisted personnel, and 66.3 percent overall. The total sample for the 1992 surveys consisted of four different samples:

- A longitudinal sample consisting of a subsample from the 1985 survey sample,
- A sample of recruiters,
- · A sample of active-duty members, and
- A sample of Active Guard/Reserve or Training and Administration of the Reserve (AGR/TAR) members.

The survey questionnaire gathered information on demographics, military background and lifestyles, deployments, retention and career intentions, dependents and child care issues, military compensation, benefits and programs, and family resources.

This report is the fifth in a series of five analyses based on the 1992 survey results. Its objective is to analyze issues related to satisfaction with the military and career intentions. By describing the underlying dimensions of satisfaction with military life and determining which demographic and environmental factors are related to the intention to remain in the Service, this report identifies the characteristics of soldiers who are more likely to choose the military as a career.

Retention of trained, experienced personnel is clearly vital to the maintenance of a fully qualified force (Rakoff, Griffith, & Zarkin, 1993). Programs such as the Army Family Research Program (AFRP) (Bell, Scarville, & Quigley, 1991) and individual researchers such as Segal and Harris (1993) have offered models of retention behavior. The 1992 surveys were intended to supplement the findings of these studies, and to provide additional insight into the factors that influence satisfaction with the military and career retention.

i

Analysis Methodology

A multivariate approach was used for an analysis of the 1992 survey results in order to address the following question:

• Are some service members more likely than others to be satisfied with military life?

Because of differences in the questionnaires and availability of dependent measures for enlisted personnel and officers, separate research questions were developed to examine retention patterns for the two groups:

- Are some enlisted personnel more likely than others to indicate willingness to reenlist?
- Are some officers more likely than others to indicate an intention to stay in the military for longer periods?

Explanatory variables (developed from the survey responses) included the following: 1) individual, military, and family demographics; 2) job-related variables for service members and their spouses; 3) satisfaction with military life; 4) income and benefits; and 5) Operations Desert Shield/Desert Storm (ODS/S) deployment status. As a preliminary step, simple descriptive frequencies and Chi-square tests were used to explore the interrelationships among the explanatory variables and the relationships between explanatory variables and dependent measures. Then a series of complex multivariate regression models was used to examine directional interrelationships between the explanatory and dependent variables. The objective was to provide information for future DoD programs, with the intention of improving overall satisfaction with military life and increasing personnel retention.

Findings

The analyses of survey results presented in this report suggest the following with respect to overall satisfaction with military life:

- The most important influence on retention of military personnel (i.e., reenlistment intentions for enlisted personnel and total expected years of service for officers) is satisfaction with military life.
- Perceptions of qualitative aspects of the military job environment (e.g., morale, promotion opportunity) have a more significant positive impact on satisfaction with the military than do income/benefit measurements.
- Service members—both enlisted personnel and officers—who feel that morale is high at their duty location and who believe that they are supported by their supervisor and chain of command are more likely to be satisfied with military life than those who do not.
- Service members who believe that they have good possibilities for promotion are more likely to be satisfied with military life.
- Service members who feel that their military job assignments are important and challenging are more likely to be satisfied with military life.

- Service members who place a high value on the military retirement system are more likely to be satisfied with military life.
- There are no significant differences among race/ethnicity groups in terms of satisfaction with military life.
- Women are more likely than men to be satisfied with military life.
- For enlisted personnel, higher numbers of dependents are associated with higher levels of satisfaction with military life. For officers, those who are single or in dual-military marriages with no dependents are less likely to be satisfied with military life.

The analyses suggest the following with respect to reenlistment intentions:

- Among both enlisted personnel and officers, those who have recently explored civilian job opportunities are likely to indicate an intention to leave the Service earlier, as are those who feel that they have a high likelihood of finding a good civilian job on short notice.
- Males and older service members are more likely to indicate an intention to reenlist (enlisted personnel) or a longer expected period of military service (officers).
- Among enlisted personnel with no dependents, those who are single are less likely to indicate an intention to reenlist than those who are married to civilians, and those in dual-military marriages are more likely to indicate an intention to reenlist than those who are married to civilians.
- Enlisted personnel in the Navy or Air Force are more likely to indicate an intention to reenlist than are those in the Army or Marine Corps. Air Force officers anticipate a longer term of service than Army or Navy officers, and Marine Corps officers anticipate fewer years of service.
- Enlisted personnel early in their enlistment period are more likely to indicate an intention to reenlist than are those with less time remaining in their enlistment period.

Blanti

Contents

ntroduction	1
Background	1
Literature Review	2
Non-Family-Related Factors and Retention	2
Family-Related Factors and Retention	4
Family-Related Factors and Retention	5
Survey Sample	-
lements of Career Satisfaction	7
Background	7
Satisfaction with the Military	14
Analysis Methodology	14
Results	16
Career Intentions	22
Analysis Methodology	22
Results	22
ammary and Conclusions	29
Satisfaction with the Military	29
Individual Military and Family Characteristics	29
Characteristics of Military Jobs and Workload	30
Attitudes About Military Life	30
Career Intentions: Desire to Remain in the Military	31
Individual, Military, and Family Characteristics	31
Characteristics of Military Jobs and Workload	32
Attitudes About Military Life	32
Conclusions	33
Conclusions	
References	35
Appendix A. Study Design	37
Appendix B. Analysis Methodology	41
Appendix C. Survey Questionnaire	49
Appendix C. Buryey Augulumane	

۰

۷

Page

List of Tables

.

.

7
16
18
••••
ers 20
23
24
25
$ch \ldots 40$
43
nnel 45
46
47
48

Page

Introduction

Background

To maintain efficiency and effectiveness, the Department of Defense (DoD) must be a responsive employer. Toward this end, DoD periodically assesses the characteristics, behaviors, attitudes, values, expectations, career intentions, and satisfaction of military service members and their families and identifies potential areas for improvements in personnel policy. As the military work force becomes more gender-integrated and more family-oriented, DoD must understand and plan for the needs of the changing force. Yesteryear's troops were predominantly single men; in contrast, today's volunteers consist of married men and women, mothers and fathers, dual-military couples, and single parents, as well as single men and women. Because the military is no longer primarily single individuals, personnel policies, services, and programs must be offered to enable the changing military personnel to manage the burdens of both Service life and the bearing of arms. Such policies and programs can contribute to recruitment, morale, readiness, performance, and personnel retention.

A variety of research studies have provided input for structuring DoD policies and programs. Some have modeled retention patterns and attempted to identify factors related to the choice of a military career, such as satisfaction with military life. Many of those studies, however, focused on the psychological characteristics of individual service members and did not fully explore the influence of outside factors (e.g., the family) on decisions by some service members to reenlist or to stay in the military longer than others.

To provide input for policies that relate to military families, the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) conducted the 1992 Department of Defense Surveys of Officers and Enlisted Personnel. Development of the surveys was coordinated through the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (Personnel Support, Families & Education, Office of Family Policy, Support & Services). The surveys were administered to active-duty personnel in all four military Services. They included items on demographics, military background and lifestyle, deployments, retention and career intentions, dependents, military compensation, benefits and programs, civilian labor force experience, and family resources.

To aid in the dissemination and utilization of findings from the 1992 surveys, DMDC has published a series of five topical reports based on analyses of the survey results. This report, the fifth in the series, presents findings concerning the military as a career, with a focus on the relationships of demographic characteristics and in-service experiences to satisfaction with military life and the decision to remain in the military beyond a single term of service. The four other reports address the following topics: background and characteristics of military families (Report 1); individual and family readiness for separation and deployment (Report 2); Operations Desert Shield/Desert Storm (Report 3); and child care arrangements (Report 4). The remaining sections of this introduction include a literature review, which describes earlier studies related to personnel retention in the military, and a survey methodology section, which describes the development of the 1992 surveys.

1

Literature Review

To the extent that low attrition and high retention improve the experience level of the force, they also contribute to personal and training readiness (Department of Defense, 1993, p. 8-5).

Like any organization, the military depends on a mix of experienced personnel to staff leadership positions, and junior members to perform lower-level functions as they gain experience and move up through the ranks. To maintain this balance, some 200,000 new recruits are brought into the four military branches each year along with 20,000 newly commissioned officers.¹ Similar numbers also leave military service, either through attrition or upon completion of one or more tours of duty.

Retention of a certain percentage of trained, experienced soldiers, sailors, airmen, and Marines is clearly vital to the maintenance of a fully qualified force. Essentially, maintaining a high-quality force is a two-step process: first, those with the potential for success must be attracted to join; and second, some percentage of those who are successful must decide to remain in service for an extended period, perhaps even for the balance of their careers.

Over the years, a great deal of research has been conducted to examine the factors that attract quality youth to military service. For instance, DoD conducts the Youth Attitude Tracking Study (YATS) to obtain the perceptions of young people (16-21 years old) concerning service in the military. These data are used in structuring enlistment incentive packages and formulating advertising strategies to inform the target population of the advantages of the military as an employer.

Research has also been carried out to isolate the factors that motivate those who actually do join the military. For example, the United States Army Recruiting Command has supported the New Recruit Survey (NRS), which is given to a random sample of potential recruits during their initial appointments at recruiting centers. Results from previous iterations of the NRS indicate that the top motivator for enlistment was obtaining money for college, followed by getting training in a specific job skill. Another important reason for enlisting was "to do something I can be proud of." Other frequently given reasons for joining included the desire to serve one's country, the desire to prove that "I can make it," and the desire to make more money (Rahe, 1996).

The final step in the development of a fully qualified career force is the reenlistment of those highperforming personnel whom the Services want to keep to fill their senior ranks. What are the factors that lead individuals to decide to remain in or to leave the military? Segal and Harris (1993) offered a model of retention behavior that arose from the Army Family Research Program (AFRP). This paradigm, summarized in Figure 1, was based on empirical research carried out under the AFRP. The general nature of the variables identified suggests that the results could be generalized to the other Services.

¹Personal communication from the Office of Accession Policy, Personnel and Readiness, OUSD (December 1996).

Non-Family-Related Factors and Retention

Several of the components in the retention model (e.g., soldier satisfaction with the Army, work rewards) are related to what is most commonly referred to in the literature as "job satisfaction." The link between job satisfaction and retention has been well documented, with correlations of approximately .40 (Muchinsky, 1990). There are several potential mediators of this relationship, including the availability of options outside the current place of employment. Obviously, someone who needs a job may remain in a position with which he/she is dissatisfied if the alternatives are perceived as worse or nonexistent.





As part of the Army's Project A, a measure of job satisfaction called the Army Job Satisfaction Questionnaire (AJSQ) was developed, covering satisfaction with six aspects of work and Army life: supervision, co-workers, promotions, pay, work, and the Army as an organization (Knapp, 1995). Although the content of the AJSQ overlapped to some extent with the Segal and Harris retention model, family factors such as the impact of dependents and feelings about family income were not addressed. Also not included were more subtle factors such as morale at the member's base location and value of benefits. Data collected from the AJSQ indicated that job satisfaction predicted first-tour intention to reenlist and, in the end, reenlistment behavior. This result is consistent with findings which show that job satisfaction has a significant impact on the reenlistment decision-making process (Knapp, McCloy, & DiFazio, 1993).

Rakoff, Griffith, and Zarkin (1993) applied multiple regression techniques to predict reenlistment intentions and actual retention behavior. The variables used fell into five broad groups: personal and family characteristics, Army service life, Army work characteristics, Army-civilian comparisons, and usefulness of Army family programs and services. On the basis of supervisor ratings, soldiers were first divided into high, medium, and low performers. Subsequent analyses were done within these groups. Findings of the study suggested that regardless of performance level and years of service, a positive impression of opportunities for advancement in the military as compared with the civilian job market was positively related to reenlistment intention. Opportunities for excitement and viewing the military as a good environment in which to raise children were significant predictors of retention for the highest and lowest performers. Other significant predictors were opportunity to serve the country and spouse employment potential (high performers) and a favorable comparison of the military with civilian life with respect to pay and retirement benefits (middle and low performers).

It is clear from these studies that a variety of work-related factors have an impact on the decision to stay in or leave the military. Further, many of these center on perceptions of the alternatives available in civilian versus military life.

Family-Related Factors and Retention

A variety of studies have indicated that married military members present fewer problems overall than do those who are single or who experience a divorce while in the military. Data from the Department of Defense (1993) indicate that DoD-wide attrition rates are highest for single persons without children and lowest among those who are married and have dependents. For both male and female service members, the number of disciplinary and substance abuse discharges was highest among those who entered the military unmarried and stayed that way. Conversely, the highest retention rates across branches were for married service members, either with dependents (36 percent) or without dependents (37 percent). The most obvious explanation for these results lies in the greater responsibility associated with marriage and children, making career satisfaction and the stability it affords, as well as income maintenance, even higher priorities for the married service member.

One of the most important findings emerging from research on military families is that spouses have a great deal of influence on the reenlistment decision-making process. In fact, Segal and Harris (1993) concluded that, "spouse attitudes have an impact on soldier attitudes and behavior above and beyond the soldiers' initial attitudes. There is even evidence that the spouse's attitude sometimes has more influence on the soldier's actual reenlistment behavior than the soldier's own predilection" (p. 17). Further, this apparently holds true to a large extent for committed, non-marital relationships (Orthner, Bowen, Zimmerman, & Short, 1992).

As reflected in the Segal and Harris model (Figure 1), there are a number of factors that influence spouses' attitudes toward the military and the military lifestyle. Perhaps one of the most important is the perception that the Service branch in question cares about and seeks to protect families (Griffith, Stewart, & Cato, 1988). Obviously, such perceptions are affected by a variety of factors, including family support services and programs and the provision of resources (e.g., time) for the service member to deal with family problems.

The employment status of military spouses has also been found to be related to their satisfaction with military life and, thus, their support for remaining in the military. The inability of a spouse to get or maintain a job has been shown to be related to dissatisfaction with the military way of life (Griffith et al., 1988). Conversely, being employed is related to more effective coping by spouses during times of separation (Griffith et al., 1988). Spouse employment also has an impact on financial status, which has been shown to be a particularly significant concern for more junior personnel (La Vange, McCalla, Gable, Rakoff, Doering, & Mahoney, 1986).

Satisfaction with military life is influenced by other factors, such as frequency of separations. A variety of mediators have been found to affect this relationship, including age and experience and length of residency at current location (Rosen & Moghadam, 1990). On a related note, correlations have been found between satisfaction with the military and the perceived amount of personal freedom regarding work hours, schedule, and time for personal/family life (Griffith, Rakoff, & Helms, 1993).

Many of the issues discussed in this literature review were addressed in the 1992 surveys. In addition to reenlistment intentions, data were collected on a variety of background characteristics, job and life satisfaction dimensions, etc. Therefore, analyses of these data should provide new insights into the impact of work and family characteristics on career planning. Such information will be of significant value to personnel and policy managers as they formulate plans and programs that will contribute to the maintenance of a fully qualified career force.

Survey Sample

The 1992 surveys were based on a probability sample of military personnel on active duty as of December 1991. The sample included 40,812 officers and 56,015 enlisted personnel (a total of 96,827 members) and was stratified by Service, status (officer or enlisted), and gender. Responses were received from 27,684 officers and 32,246 enlisted personnel (59,930 total), which represented a 66 percent overall response rate (respondents as a percentage of eligible members). Surveys similar to the 1992 surveys were also conducted in 1978 and 1985.

The survey sample included four separate samples: (1) longitudinal, (2) recruiters, (3) members, and (4) Active Guard/Reserve or Training and Administration of the Reserve (AGR/TAR) members.

The stratification scheme, sample sizes, and sample selection approach for each of the four samples were similar. All four samples were selected using probability methods; that is, each eligible individual had a non-zero, known probability of selection. Probability sampling allowed for the projection of the survey results to the target population (service members), using weights developed to reflect variable probabilities of selection and nonresponse bias. The database used in the analyses for this report included all four samples combined, and all analyses were conducted with the weighted data (see Appendix A for more detail on sampling, databases, and weighting).

The sampling frames, sample sizes, and stratification corresponding to each of the four samples selected for the 1992 surveys were as follows:

• The longitudinal sample consisted of a subsample of 11,999 from the personnel selected for the 1985 Department of Defense Survey of Officer and Enlisted Personnel who were still in the military as of December 1991. The sample maintained the stratification of the 1985 survey (i.e., Service, officer/enlisted status, and gender).

- The recruiter sample consisted of 3,999 recruiters, approximately 1,000 per Service.
- The member sample consisted of members on active duty as of December 1991 who had been in the Service for 4 months or more and were neither recruiters nor included in the 1985 survey. The sample of 75,345 active military personnel was derived by selecting approximately 5,000 members from each of the 16 cells defined by Service, officer/enlisted status, and gender.
- The AGR/TAR sample included approximately 500 AGR/TAR from each of the 14 cells defined by seven levels of Reserve Component and officer/enlisted status. Some cells had fewer than 500 members. A total of 5,484 full-time, support AGR/TAR members were selected.

Elements of Career Satisfaction

Background

Retention of a large percentage of trained, experienced military personnel is clearly vital to the maintenance of a fully qualified force. Essential to the military's ability to assess the reenlistment potential of its force is an evaluation of factors that influence satisfaction with military life and, ultimately, the decision to stay in the military. Toward that end, descriptive tests and multivariate analyses of the 1992 survey data were conducted to address the following research questions:

- What are the underlying dimensions of satisfaction with military life?
- What are the characteristics of service members who are more likely to be satisfied with the military?
- What factors influence the decision to reenlist (enlisted personnel) or to remain in the military for longer periods (officers)?

The analysis first determined which survey items could be combined to form a meaningful and reliable composite measure of satisfaction with military life, which was then used as a dependent measure in the first regression model. Secondly, two separate dependent measures of career intention were developed for enlisted personnel and officers, based on two different survey questions (different questions about retention were asked on the enlisted personnel and officer versions of the questionnaire).

To examine the research questions above, variables were selected for use as independent (explanatory) measures for the analyses. Table 1 lists the survey variables that were used in the analyses and the questionnaire items corresponding to the variables, as well as additional variables that were recoded, derived, or combined for use in the analytical models.

Short Name	Questionnaire/Record Data Item	Scale	Definition of Explanatory Variable
1) Individual Demo	graphics:		
Gender	Are you male or female?	—	Dichotomous numerical variable
Age	How old were you on your last birthday?	00-99 years	Discrete numerical variable for age of respondent
Race/Ethnicity	Are you: American Indian/Alaskan Native Black/Negro/African-American Oriental/Asian/Chinese/Japanese/Korean/ Filipino/Pacific Islander White/Caucasian Other (specify)?		Dichotomous variables for Black, White, Hispanic, and Other (all other race/ ethnicity categories). For example, when a respondent was Black, the variable BLACK was set to 1; otherwise, BLACK was
	Are you of Spanish/Hispanic origin or descent?		set to 0.

Table 1. Items included in the Analyses

Short Name	Questionnaire/Record Data Item	Scale	Explanatory Variable
I) Individual Demog	raphics (Continued):		
Years of Education	AS OF TODAY, what is the <i>highest</i> school grade or academic degree that you have? Less than 12 years of school (no diploma) GED or other high school equivalency	10 to 21 years of schooling: 10 years	Discrete numerical variable corresponding to years of schooling
	certificate	11 years	
	High school diploma	12 years	
	Some college, but did not graduate	13 years	
	2-year college degree	14 years	
	4-year college degree (BA/BS)	16 years	
	Some graduate school	17 years	
	Masters degree (MA/MS)	18 years	
	Doctoral degree (PhD/MD/LLB)	21 years	
	Other degree not listed	17 years	
2) Military Demogra	phics:		
Service Branch	In what Service are you? Army Navy	_	Dichotomous variables for each Service
	Marine Corps Air Force		
Pay Grade	What is your pay grade? Enlisted personnel: E1 to E9 Officers: O1 to O7 and W1 to W5	_	Dichotomous variable for E1 to E4, E5 to E6, and E7 to E9 (for enlisted personnel), O1 to O3, W1 to W3 and O4 to O7, W4 to W5 (for officers)
Military Occupation	Occupation Enlisted personnel: Infantry Electronic Equipment Repair Comm/Intelligence Specialists Health Care Specialists Other Tech/Allied Specialists Function Support/Administration Elec/Mech Equipment Repair Craftsmen Service/Supply Handlers Non-occupational Officers: General Officers and Executives Tactical Operations Officers Intelligence Officers Engineering and Maintenance Scientists and Professionals Health Care Officers Administrators Supply, Procurement, Allied Officers Non-occupational		Dichotomous variable for each occupation
Tenure	Variable taken from the ADMM&L/RCCDDS file	Number of months	The active date was subtracted from the survey date to yield number of days. The result was divided by 30.4 to yield number of months.

Short Name	Questionnaire/Record Data Item	Scale	Definition of Explanatory Variable
2) Military Demograp	hics (Continued):		
Number of PCS Moves	In all the time you have been on active duty, how many times did you move to a new location because of your permanent change of station (PCS)?	_	Discrete numerical variable indicating the number of moves
Location Code (CONUS/OCONUS)	Variable taken from the ADMM&L/RCCDDS file: CONUS OCONUS		Dichotomous variable, set to 1 if CONUS, 0 if OCONUS
Time Overseas	In all the time you have been on active duty, how many months have you spent at an overseas location?	0 to 78.5 months:	Discrete numerical variable
	0 months 1 to 12 months 13 to 24 months 25 to 36 months 37 to 60 months More than 60 months	0 months 5.5 months 18.5 months 30.5 months 48.5 months 78.5 months	
Time Separated	In your total military career, how many months were you completely separated from your	0 to 60 months:	Discrete numerical variable
	family? None Less than 3 months 3 to 4 months 5 to 6 months More than 6 to less than 12 months 1 to 2 years 3 to 4 years More than 4 years	0 months 2 months 3.5 months 5.5 months 9 months 18 months 42 months 60 months	
Time Left in Current Enlistment Period	How soon will you complete your current enlistment period INCLUDING ANY EXTENSIONS YOU HAVE NOW?	2 to 42 months	Discrete numerical variable
	Less than 3 months 3 months but less than 6 months 6 months but less than 9 months 9 months but less than 12 months 1 year but less than 2 years 2 years but less than 3 years At least 3 years or more	2 months 4 months 7 months 10 months 18 months 30 months 42 months	
3) Family Demograp	ohics:		
Family Type	What is your <i>current</i> marital status?	Single, no dependents	Dichotomous variable for each family type
	Is your spouse currently serving on active duty in the Armed Forces or in the Reserve/Guard?	Single with dependents Military spouse,	
	How many dependents do you have in each age group?	no dependents Military spouse with dependents Civilian spouse, no dependents Civilian spouse with dependents	

Short Name	Questionnaire/Record Data Item	Scale	Definition of Explanatory Variable
3) Family Demograph	nics (Continued):		
Spouse's Occupation	 Is your spouse currently: a. Full time in the Armed Forces b. In Reserve or National Guard c. Working full-time in Federal civilian job d. Working part-time in other civilian job e. Working part-time in other civilian job f. Working part-time in other civilian job g. Self-employed in his or her own business h. With a job, but not at work because of temporary illness, vacation, strike, etc. i. Unpaid worker (volunteer or in family business) j. Unemployed, laid off or looking for work k. Not looking for work but would like to work l. In school m. Retired n. A homemaker o. Other 		Defined five dichotomous variables: Full-time civilian (c, d) Part-time civilian (e, f) Full-time military (a) Reserve/ National Guard (b) Other (g-m, o)
4) Qualitative Job-Re	elated Factors:		
Concern About Force Reductions	 How concerned are you about the following as a result of the current talk about force reductions in the military strength? a. The kind of work you plan to go into if you leave the military b. Whether you will be able to get a civilian job quickly if needed c. The financial burden on you and/or your family should you have to leave the military unexpectedly 	5-point scale (1 = very greatly concerned 5 = not at all concerned)	On the basis of a factor analysis showing that the three variables measured a common concept, the mean of the scores on the three items was used to define a single new constructed variable.
Supervisory Support	 How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about military life? a. I receive good support from my chain-of-command b. I receive good support from my supervisors 	5-point scale, reverse coded (1 = strongly disagree 5 = strongly agree)	On the basis of a factor analysis showing that the two variables measured a common concept, the mean of the scores on the two items was used to define a single new constructed variable.
Morale	How would you describe the morale of military personnel at your current location?	7-point scale (1 = morale is very low 7 = morale is very high)	Discrete numerical variable
Stress	In the last year, how much stress has each of these factors caused you? a. Separation from family b. PCS move c. Job situation d. Personal safety e. Health	5-point scale (1 = a great deal 5 = none)	On the basis of a factor analysis showing that the five variables measured a common concept, the mean of the scores on the five items was used to define a single new constructed variable.

Table 1.	Items	included	in the	Analyses	(Continued)
----------	-------	----------	--------	----------	-------------

Short Name	Questionnaire/Record Data Item	Scale	Definition of Explanatory Variable
4) Qualitative Job-F	Related Factors (Continued):		
Quality and Value of Work	 How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about military life? a. My current job assignment is important work b. My current job assignment is challenging work? 	5-point scale, reverse coded (1 = strongly disagree 5 = strongly agree)	On the basis of a factor analysis showing that the two variables measured a common concept, the mean of the scores on the two items was used to define a single new constructed variable.
5) Other Job-Relate	d Factors:		
Hours Worked	On the average, what is the total number of hours per week you work at your military job? 40 hours or less 41-50 hours 51-60 hours 61-80 hours More than 80 hours	40 to 85 hours: 40 hours 45.5 hours 55.5 hours 70.5 hours 85 hours	Discrete numerical variable
Leave Not Taken	During the past year have the demands of your military job prevented you from taking annual leave?	-	Dichotomous variable, set to 0 if yes, 1 if no
Hours of Duty	What percent of your work hours are spent on duty-related tasks? Less than 20 percent 21-40 percent 41-60 percent 61-80 percent 81-100 percent	5-point scale, reverse coded (1 = 81-100 percent 5 = less than 20 percent)	Discrete numerical variable
Promotion Opportunity	How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about military life? a. My promotion opportunity is better than it would have been without this assignment	5-point scale, reverse coded (1 = strongly disagree 5 = strongly agree)	Discrete numerical variable
Uncertainty About Military Career	What are the primary sources of any uncertainty you have right now about what you could expect from a military career? Mark ALL that apply.	Choice of 0 to 8 sources of uncertainty	Discrete numerical variable, equal to the number of sources marked
Pay/Benefits (Inflation)	How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about military life? a. My military pay and benefits will not keep up with inflation	5-point scale (1 = strongly agree 5 = strongly disagree)	Discrete numerical variable
Health Benefits	Comparing your job level to a comparable civilian position, do you feel your health (including dental) benefits are: Better than most About the same Worse than most	3-point scale, reverse coded (1 = worse than most 3 = better than most)	Discrete numerical variable

Short Name	Questionnaire/Record Data Item	Scale	Definition of Explanatory Variable
5) Other Job-Related	Factors (Continued):		
Current Retirement System	How valuable is the current retirement system to you? Very valuable Moderately valuable Of some value Of no value	4-point scale, reverse coded (1 = of no value 4 = very valuable)	Discrete numerical variable
Future Retirement Benefits A. Military personnel in the future will not have as good retirement benefits as I have now		5-point scale (1 = strongly agree 5 = strongly disagree)	Discrete numerical variable
6) Income/Benefit M	easurements:		
Pay and Allowances	What is your estimate of the total annual value of your pay and allowances? Less than or equal to \$20,000 \$20,001 to \$30,000 \$30,001 to \$40,000 \$40,001 to \$50,000 \$50,001 to \$60,000 \$60,001 to \$70,000 More than \$70,000 Don't know		Discrete numerical variable, set to \$15,000 if less than or equal to \$20,000; midpoint of each range up to \$70,000; \$85,000 if more than \$70,000; or missing if don't know
Family Income	Overall how do you feel about your/your family income; that is all the money that comes to you and other members of your family living with you? Very satisfied Satisfied Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied Dissatisfied Very dissatisfied	5-point scale, reverse coded (1 = very dissatisfied 5 = very satisfied)	Discrete numerical variable
7) ODS/S Deployme	nt:		
ODS/S	Were you deployed for Operations Desert Shield/Desert Storm? Yes, for less than 3 months Yes, for 3 to less than 6 months Yes, for 6 to less than 9 months Yes, for 9 months or more No		Dichotomous variable, se to 1 if deployed, 0 if not deployed
8) Promotion (Offic	ers Only):		
Next Grade Promotion (officers only)	What do you think your chances are of being promoted to the next higher grade?	11-point scale (0 = no chance 10 = certain)	Discrete numerical variable
General/Flag Officer Promotion (officers only)	What do you think your chances are of being promoted to General/Flag Officer during your career?	11-point scale (0 = no chance 10 = certain)	Discrete numerical variable

-

Short Name	Questionnaire/Record Data Item	Scale	Definition of Explanatory Variable
) Satisfaction with th	ne Military (Constructed Variable):		
Satisfaction with the Military	 Below is a list of issues associated with the military way of life. Please indicate your level of satisfaction/dissatisfaction with each issue: a. Personal freedom b. Acquaintances/friendships c. Work group/co-workers d. Assignment stability e. Pay and allowances f. Environment for families g. Frequency of moves h. Retirement benefits i. Opportunity to serve one's country j. Satisfaction with current job k. Promotion opportunities I. Job training/in-service education m. Job security n. Working/environmental conditions 	5-point scale, reverse coded (1 = very dissatisfied . 5 = very satisfied)	Since the source variables are on different scales (i.e., 5-point vs. 7-point), the variables were standardized. The composite variable was then computed as the average of the standardized scores.
	In general, how satisfied are you with your current job?	5-point scale, reverse coded (1 = very dissatisfied . 5 = very satisfied)	
	Now, taking all things together, how satisfied are you with the military way of life?	7-point scale (1 = very dissatisfied . 7 = very satisfied)	
10) Civilian Job Mark	cet:		
Actively Looked for Civilian Employment	Have you actively looked for civilian employment within the past 12 months? Yes No		Dichotomous variable, set to 0 if yes, 1 if no
Likelihood of Finding a Civilian Job	If you were to leave the Service NOW and tried to find a civilian job, how likely would you be to find a <i>good civilian job</i> ?	11-point scale (0 = no chance 10 = certain)	Discrete numerical variable
11) Career Intentions	»:	······	
Likelihood of Reenlistment (enlisted personnel)	How likely are you to reenlist at the end of your current term of service? Assume that all special pays which you currently receive are still available.	11-point scale (0 = no chance 10 = certain)	Discrete numerical variable
Total Expected Years of Service (officers)	When you finally leave the military, how many <u>total</u> years of service do you expect to have?	00-49	Discrete numerical variable

Satisfaction with the Military

Analysis Methodology

The 1992 surveys addressed issues associated with the military way of life and level of satisfaction in the following three questions (see Appendix C for a copy of the questionnaire). First, respondents were asked:

Below is a list of issues associated with the military way of life. Considering current policies, please indicate you level of satisfaction/dissatisfaction with each issue.

- Personal freedom
- Acquaintances/friendships
- Work group/co-workers
- Assignment stability
- Pay and allowances
- Environment for families
- Frequency of moves
- Retirement benefits
- Opportunity to serve one's country
- Satisfaction with current job
- Promotion opportunities
- Job training/in-service education
- Job security
- Working/environmental conditions.

The responses were reverse-coded; in other words, a value of 1 corresponded to Very Dissatisfied, 2 corresponded to Dissatisfied, 3 corresponded to Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied, 4 to Satisfied, and 5 to Very Satisfied. A second survey question asked:

In general, how satisfied are you with your current job?

Again, the responses were reverse-coded on a five-point scale from Very Dissatisfied to Very Satisfied. A third question asked:

Now, taking all things together, how satisfied are you with the military way of life?

Responses to the third question were coded on a seven-point scale from Very Dissatisfied to Very Satisfied (see Table 1).

A series of statistical analyses were conducted to guide the formation and fine tuning of the satisfaction measure. Specifically, these analyses were undertaken to determine whether the above survey items shared an underlying conceptual dimension and could be combined to form a meaningful and reliable composite measure of "satisfaction." Factor analysis, a statistical technique that is used to identify a reduced number of underlying dimensions or factors present in a group of variables, was used for this purpose. The factor analysis was intended to provide a systematic approach to understanding the interrelationships among the various items of a question or questions, and to uncover groups of items that fell within the same factor and could be used as composite variables in subsequent analyses. A principal component approach was used, as described in the "Constructed Variables" section of Appendix

B. This procedure was used to develop a single dependent variable (SATISFACTION WITH THE MILITARY), which was used in multivariate models to measure the level of satisfaction of each respondent.

Comparable analyses were conducted to reduce the number of independent variables into conceptually similar and statistically reliable composites. Specifically, composites were formed from survey items dealing with concerns about force reductions, support from supervisors, stress, and quality and value of work. For example, the constructed variable CONCERNS ABOUT FORCE REDUCTIONS combined three survey items based on the following question:

How concerned are you about the following as a result of the current talk about force reductions in the military strength?

- The kind of work you plan to go into if you leave the military
- Whether you will be able to get a civilian job quickly if needed
- The financial burden on you and your family should you have to leave the military unexpectedly.

Respondents were asked to score their concerns on a 5-point scale (see Table 1). Factor analysis was used to decide which variables were appropriate to combine, and a discrete numerical variable was created, using a mean of the scores. (More detail on the use of factor analysis for constructed variables is provided in Appendix B.)

The composite variable SUPERVISORY SUPPORT combined two survey items based on the following question:

How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the military?

- I receive good support from my supervisors
- I receive good support from my chain-of-command.

Respondents were asked to score their level of agreement on a 5-point scale. The variable was developed using a mean of the two scores.

Other composite variables, such as STRESS and QUALITY/VALUE OF WORK, were created in a similar fashion. For more detail on the development of variables used in models, see Table 1.

Seven sets of explanatory (independent) variables were used to develop a sequential, or hierarchical, multiple regression model. The following sets of variables were included: *individual demographics*—gender, age, race/ethnicity, and years of education; *military demographics*—Service Branch, pay grade, military occupation, tenure, number of PCS moves, location code (CONUS/OCONUS), and time overseas; *family demographics*—family type (derived from marital status, spouse type [civilian/military], and dependents); *qualitative job-related factors*—concern about getting a civilian job quickly, support from supervisor and chain of command, morale of military personnel at member's location, stress over the last year, quality and value of work; *other job-related factors*—hours worked per week at military job, leave not taken because of work demands, percentage of work hours spent on duty-related tasks, promotion opportunity, uncertainty about military career, future pay/benefits will not keep up with inflation, health benefits comparable to civilian job, value of retirement system; *income/benefit measurements*—total pay and allowances, feelings about family income; and *ODS/S deployment*—

deployed in Operations Desert Shield/Desert Storm. For officers, the model included an eighth set of explanatory variables, *promotion*—perceived chances of being promoted to next higher grade or to General/Flag Officer.

In general, multiple regression is used to determine how much variance in a dependent variable can be explained by each independent variable, holding other independent variables constant. In addition, the coefficients of the independent variables can be used to predict the level of a dependent variable when the dependent variable is discrete or continuous (as opposed to predicting the presence or absence of an outcome). However, because not all independent variables are measured on the same scale, the relative magnitudes of the coefficients do not reveal their relative importance. The value of the t statistic is used to determine which variables should be kept in the model (i.e., the significance of the coefficients associated with the explanatory variables). An R^2 statistic is used to measure the goodness of fit of the model (see discussion in Appendix B).

Results

Enlisted Personnel

The contribution of a set of variables in this sequential model can be expressed as the percent of variance (R^2) in the dependent variable that each set explains. Table 2 shows the progression in the overall explanatory power of the regression model and the percent of variance in the dependent variable attributable to each variable set in the sequential model. At 60 percent explained, the final model was a good fit. The addition of job-related factors caused the most dramatic increase in the fit (from 6 percent to 49 percent).

Variable Set in the Sequential Model	Percentage of Variance in SATISFACTION WITH THE MILITARY Explained by Model
1. Individual Demographics	2
2. Set 1 + Military Demographics	6
3. Set 2 + Family Demographics	6
4. Set 3 + Qualitative Job-Related Factors	49
5. Set 4 + Other Job-Related Factors	57
6. Set 5 + Income/Benefit Measurements	60
7. Set 6 + ODS/S Deployment	60

Table 2. Variance in the Dependent Variable (SATISFACTION WITH THE MILITARY) Explained by the Sequential Model for Enlisted Personnel

Note: The statistical significance of the overall model was determined by calculating the *F* statistic and its associated probability (see discussion in Appendix B).

The relationship between the degree of satisfaction with the military and the independent variables in this multivariate analysis can be expressed as the change (increase or decrease) in the degree of satisfaction attributable to a unit change in the independent variable, holding constant all other variables in the model. For example, the relationship between satisfaction and gender can be expressed as how much more or less satisfied males are as compared with females (the reference group). For discrete numerical variables such as age, the relationship can be expressed as the change in the degree of satisfaction for each additional unit in the independent measure. The results of the multivariate analyses are shown in Table 3, which presents the coefficients associated with each statistically significant explanatory variable. The coefficients show the direction and magnitude of the relationship between SATISFACTION WITH THE MILITARY and each of the independent variables. The direction of each relationship is indicated by the sign of the coefficient, and the magnitude is indicated by the value, which represents a movement along the satisfaction scale. For example, the coefficient of .010 for Air Force means that, other things being equal, Air Force personnel were likely to be *more* satisfied with the military than Army personnel (the reference group), and the coefficient of .001 for number of PCS moves means that each additional move to a new location (a one-unit change in the independent variable) was associated with *less* satisfaction. Coefficient values were small because satisfaction was measured on a small scale and because one unit of change in the independent variable, on a small scale and because one unit of change in the independent variables (e.g., a one-point difference in a stress rating) did not result in large movements along the satisfaction scale, on average.

For enlisted personnel, significant demographic variables in the model were gender, Service branch, number of PCS moves, and number of dependents (Table 3). All other things being equal, male enlisted service members tended to be less satisfied with military life than their female counterparts. Air Force enlisted personnel were more satisfied than those in other Services. As expected, there was an inverse relationship between moves and satisfaction—more frequent moves were related to lower levels of satisfaction. An unexpected result was the finding that a higher number of dependents was related to a higher level of satisfaction. Perhaps enlisted personnel with large families felt that the provisions for child care and support in the military were attractive.

As expected, several qualitative job-related factors were associated with satisfaction. Enlisted personnel who felt that their work was important and challenging (i.e., those who gave higher ratings for quality and value of work) were more satisfied with military life overall. Similarly, the higher the morale of military personnel at a member's location, the greater was his/her satisfaction. In other words, enthusiasm tended to be infectious. Perceptions of support from supervisors and the chain of command also tended to increase satisfaction with military life. Finally, the more concern that enlisted personnel had about being forced to leave the military for a civilian job, the higher was their satisfaction with military life.

A variety of other job-related variables also had significant impacts on satisfaction with military life. For example, long hours were associated with significant decreases in satisfaction—the greater the number of hours worked over 40 hours per week, the lower the satisfaction. Conversely, personnel who felt that they would be rewarded for hard work (e.g., through promotion opportunities) tended to be more satisfied with military life. Similarly, personnel who were able to take leave were more satisfied than those who could not take leave because of job demands.

Not surprisingly, income and benefit measurements were also important to satisfaction. Satisfaction increased with the following: more confidence that pay and benefits would keep up with inflation, higher perceived value of retirement system, higher total pay and allowances, and greater satisfaction with family income. In addition, the higher the perceived value of military benefits compared with the benefits of a civilian job, the higher the reported satisfaction. Financial stability has been associated with satisfaction in past studies (e.g., see Segal and Harris, 1993) and confirmed in other reports in this series. It is interesting to note, however, that in terms of the increase in model fit (see Table 2), the impact of qualitative job-related factors was greater than that of income/benefit measurements.

Significant Variables	Coefficient
Intercept	-0.271
Gender (Female)	
Male	-0.003
Service Branch (Army)	•
Air Force	0.010
Number of PCS Moves	0.001
Number of Dependents	0.005
Concern About Force Reductions: Getting a Civilian Job Quickly	-0.001
Support from Supervisor and Chain of Command	0.016
Morale of Military Personnel at Member's Location	0.010
Stress over the Last Year	0.010
Quality and Value of Work	0.017
Hours Worked per Week at Military Job	-0.003
Leave Not Taken Because of Work Demands	0.008
Promotion Opportunity	0.006
Uncertainty About Military Career	-0.006
Pay/Benefits Will Not Keep Up with Inflation	0.005
Health Benefits Comparable to Civilian Job	0.008
Value of Retirement System	0.009
Total Pay and Allowances	0.000
Feelings About Family Income	0.017

Table 3. Relative Effects of Independent Variables on Satisfaction with the Military for Enlisted Personnel

Note: Reference groups for dichotomous and categorical variables are shown in parentheses. Groups that were not significantly different from the reference group are not shown in the table; thus, the categories included for each variable may be different in different tables.

Finally, lower stress was associated with higher satisfaction, which is consistent with previous studies that linked stress and dissatisfaction. Furthermore, a lower number of uncertainties expected from a military career was also associated with higher satisfaction.

Officers

A similar analysis was conducted for officers. As shown in Table 4, the progression in the model fit was similar to the pattern seen in the model for enlisted personnel.

Table 4.	Variance in the Dependent Variable (SATISFACTION WITH THE MILITARY)
	Explained by the Sequential Model for Officers

Variable Set in the Sequential Model	Percentage of Variance in SATISFACTION WITH THE MILITARY Explained by Model
1. Individual Demographics	1
2. Set 1 + Military Demographics	3
3. Set 2 + Family Demographics	3
4. Set 3 + Qualitative Job-Related Factors	47
5. Set 4 + Other Job-Related Factors	55
6. Set 5 + Promotion	55
7. Set 6 + Income/Benefit Measurements	59
8. Set 7 + ODS/S Deployment	59

Note: The statistical significance of the overall model was determined by calculating the *F* statistic and its associated probability (see discussion in Appendix B).

As was seen for the enlisted personnel model, demographics explained very little of the variance in the model for officers, and thus the addition of job-related factors had the largest impact on the fit of the model. Although there was no significant increase in the model fit with the addition of the promotion variable (step 6) or the ODS/S deployment variable (step 8), these variables were significantly related to the dependent variable and therefore were kept in the model. The results of the multivariate analyses are reported in Table 5. As with the model for enlisted personnel, only significant predictors are shown. Many more individual demographic variables were significant in the officer model than in the model for enlisted personnel.

Male officers reported lower levels of satisfaction with military life than did female officers. Older officers tended to be more satisfied in general. Officers in pay grades O4 to O7 reported higher levels of satisfaction than those in pay grades O1 to O3 (which is independent of the age finding). The impact of education, however, was surprising, in that each additional year of education was related to a drop in satisfaction. Although the negative impact of one additional year of education was not strong, the cumulative impact of several additional years (e.g., a doctorate as opposed to a bachelor's degree) appeared to be sizeable.

Military demographic variables significantly related to satisfaction were Service branch and military occupation. Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corp officers were more satisfied than their Army counterparts. Tactical operations officers, intelligence officers, scientists and professionals, and administrators were more satisfied than engineering and maintenance officers (the reference group). General officers and executives, supply officers, procurement and allied officers, and health care officers were similar to the reference group in this regard.

Significant Variables	Coefficient
Intercept	-0.769
Gender (Female)	
Male	-0.009
Age	0.002
Years of Education	-0.002
Service Branch (Army)	
Navy	0.012
Air Force	0.017
Marines	0.020
Pay Grade (O1 to O3)	
O4 to O7	0.009
Military Occupation (Engineering & Maintenance)	
Tactical Operations Officer	0.012
Intelligence Officer	0.013
Scientists and Professionals	0.019
Administrators	0.010
Number of PCS Moves	-0.002
Family Type (Civilian Spouse, No Dependents)	
Single, No Dependents	-0.024
Dual-Military, No Dependents	-0.012
Concern About Force Reductions: Getting a Civilian Job Quickly	0.003
Support from Supervisor and Chain of Command	0.030
Morale of Military Personnel at Member's Location	0.021
Stress over the Last Year	0.033
Quality and Value of Work	0.041
Hours Worked per Week at Military Job	-0.001
Leave Not Taken Because of Work Demands	0.020
Promotion Opportunity	0.009
Uncertainty About Military Career	-0.013
Pav/Benefits Will Not Keep Up with Inflation	0.010
Health Benefits Comparable to Civilian Job	0.018
Value of Retirement System	0.024
Chance of Being Promoted to Next Pay Grade	0.002
Chance of Being Promoted to General/Flag Officer	0.004
Feelings About Family Income	0.038

Table 5. Relative Effects of Independent Variables on Satisfaction with the Military for Officers

Note: Reference groups for dichotomous and categorical variables are shown in parentheses. Groups that were not significantly different from the reference group are not shown in the table; thus, the categories included for each variable may be different in different tables.

In contrast to the results for enlisted personnel, family demographics had a significant impact on the satisfaction levels reported by officers. Single officers with no dependents were less satisfied with the military, a finding consistent with the results of earlier studies which found that married service members tended to be more satisfied with military life. However, officers in dual-military marriages with no dependents also tended to be less satisfied, which appears to be *inconsistent* with previous studies. Single officers with dependents, officers in dual-military marriages with dependents, and officers with a civilian spouse and dependents were all similarly satisfied with military life (that is, they were not statistically different).

As was found for enlisted personnel, there was an inverse relationship between the number of PCS moves reported by officers and their satisfaction with military life. Officers, like enlisted personnel, seemed to benefit from higher morale at their duty locations, greater support from supervisors and the chain of command, and lower stress in general. Similarly, the greater the number of uncertainties expected from the military, the lower the satisfaction level. Stability appears to be an important influence on the satisfaction of service members across the board.

The job-related findings for officers were almost exactly like those for enlisted personnel. Officers were more satisfied if they: felt their work was more important and challenging; did not work too many hours; were able to take leave; felt they had promotion opportunities; and were more concerned about being forced to leave the military for a civilian job. As with enlisted personnel, job satisfaction and the potential for career growth had the strongest influence on officers' satisfaction.

Monetary rewards and financial security were also important indicators of officers' satisfaction with the military. The following factors were associated with higher satisfaction for officers: more confidence that pay and benefits would keep up with inflation, higher perceived value of military health benefits compared with civilian benefits, higher perceived value of the retirement system, greater expectation of being promoted to the next pay grade or to General/Flag Officer, and greater satisfaction with family income. It should be noted, however, that the influence of qualitative job-related factors was again stronger than that of income/benefit measurements in terms of the improvement in model fit (see Table 4).

Career Intentions

Retention of well-trained, experienced service members is an important issue to the military. This section of the report addresses the question, "What are the factors that contribute to the decision to remain in the military?" The career intentions model adds another dimension to the exploration of the military as a career.

Analysis Methodology

The 1992 surveys addressed this issue directly for enlisted service members. Given a scale of 0 (no chance of reenlisting) to 10 (certain to reenlist), respondents were asked to indicate how likely they would be to reenlist at the end of their current term of service. This question was judged to be a reliable measure of career intention for enlisted personnel. In order to develop the dependent measure LIKELIHOOD OF REENLISTMENT, the ordinal scale was converted to a numerical variable.

Determining a measure of career intention for officers was not as straightforward. The reenlistment question was not asked of officers; however, they were asked how many years of service they expected to have when they finally left the military. The variable TOTAL EXPECTED YEARS OF SERVICE was judged to be a reasonable proxy for longevity and the most reliable measure of career intention available in the officer survey.

The same eight sets of explanatory (independent) variables from the previous multiple regression analysis on satisfaction with military life, plus two additional sets, were used to develop a sequential multiple regression model. The two additional sets were *satisfaction with the military*—the satisfaction composite variable used as a dependent variable in the other model; and *civilian job market*—actively looked for civilian employment, likelihood of finding a civilian job now. Detailed definitions of the variables are provided in Table 1. The results below are based on regression techniques that were applied to normal, unstandardized data.

Results

Enlisted Personnel

Again, the contribution of a set of variables in the sequential model can be expressed as the percent of variance (\mathbb{R}^2) in the dependent variable that each set explains. Table 6 shows the progression in the overall explanatory power of the regression model for enlisted personnel and the percent of variance in the dependent variable to each variable set in the sequential model.

The relationship between the likelihood of reenlistment and the independent variables in this multivariate analysis can be expressed as the change (increase or decrease) in the likelihood of reenlistment attributable to a unit change in the independent variable, holding constant all other variables in the model. For example, the relationship between reenlistment and gender can be expressed as how much more or less likely males are to reenlist as compared with females (the reference group). For discrete numerical variables such as age, the relationship can be expressed as the change in the likelihood of reenlistment for each additional unit in the independent measure. Variables in the final model that were significantly related to the dependent variable are presented in Table 7.

Variable Set in the Sequential Model	Percentage of Variance in LIKELIHOOD OF REENLISTMENT Explained by Model	
1. Individual Demographics	12	
2. Set 1 + Military Demographics	20	
3. Set 2 + Family Demographics	21	
4. Set 3 + Qualitative Job-Related Factors	32	
5. Set 4 + Other Job-Related Factors	44	
6. Set 5 + Income/Benefit Measurements	44	
7. Set 6 + Satisfaction with the Military	46	
8. Set 7 + ODS/S Deployment	46	
9. Set 8 + Civilian Job Market	47	

Table 6. Variance in the Dependent Variable (LIKELIHOOD OF REENLISTMENT) Explained by the Sequential Model for Enlisted Personnel

Note: The statistical significance of the overall model was determined by calculating the *F* statistic and its associated probability (see discussion in Appendix B).

The variable with the most dramatic impact on the decision to reenlist was satisfaction with military life (coefficient 8.413). The perceived value of the military retirement system (coefficient 1.331) and the fact that the service member had not actively looked for civilian employment (coefficient 1.121) were the second and third most powerful influences, respectively. The following paragraphs describe the impact of some of the other variables in the enlisted personnel model.

Several individual demographic variables were significantly related to the reenlistment decision. Males were more likely to reenlist than females. By race/ethnicity, only those enlisted personnel in the "Other" race/ethnicity category were less likely to reenlist than were Whites (the reference group). This result is interesting because it indicates that there were no significant differences between Whites and any other race/ethnicity categories. Older enlisted service members were more likely to indicate an intention to reenlist than younger ones.

Two military demographics—Service branch and time left in the current enlistment period—were significantly related to the likelihood of reenlistment. Navy and Air Force enlisted service members were more likely to reenlist than their Army and Marine Corps counterparts, and more time left in the current enlistment period was associated with a greater likelihood of reenlisting.

For the family types with no dependents, single enlisted personnel were less likely to reenlist than those with a civilian spouse (the reference group), whereas those in dual-military marriages were more likely to reenlist than the reference group. Dependents did not appear to significantly affect the reenlistment decision when satisfaction with military life was held constant. However, those with dependents tended to report higher satisfaction with military life, and thus can be expected to reenlist at a higher rate than those without dependents.

Significant Variables	Coefficient
Intercept	-0.307
Gender (Female)	
Male	0.196
Age	0.021
Race/Ethnicity (White)	
Other	-0.380
Service Branch (Army)	
Navy	0.309
Air Force	0.366
Pay Grade (E1 to E4)	
E5 to E6	0.760
Location Code	-0.224
Time Left in Current Enlistment Period	0.020
Family Type (Civilian Spouse, No Dependents)	
Single, No Dependents	-0.431
Dual-Military, No Dependents	0.477
Concern About Force Reductions: Getting a Civilian Job Quickly	-0.391
Morale of Military Personnel at Member's Location	0.085
Stress over the Last Year	0.216
Hours Worked per Week at Military Job	0.015
Percentage of Work Hours Spent on Duty-Related Tasks	-0.075
Uncertainty About Military Career	0.150
Value of Retirement System	1.331
Total Pay and Allowances	0.000
Feelings About Family Income	-0.122
Satisfaction with the Military	8.413
Actively Looked for Civilian Employment	1.121
Likelihood of Finding a Civilian Job Now	-0.045

Table 7. Relative Effects of Independent Variables on Likelihood of Reenlistment for Enlisted Personnel

Note: Reference groups for dichotomous and categorical variables are shown in parentheses. Groups that were not significantly different from the reference group are not shown in the table; thus, the categories included for each variable may be different in different tables.

Relatively few qualitative job-related factors were directly associated with the likelihood of reenlistment, as compared with the number that were significant in the model for satisfaction with the military, because the satisfaction with military life variable in this model captured much of their impact. Morale of the personnel at a member's location did have a direct, as well as an indirect, impact, as did a lower overall level of stress, but variables measuring the importance of work and the support of supervisors and the chain of command were no longer significant. As expected, the less concern that enlisted personnel had about being forced to leave the military for a civilian job, the less likely they were

to indicate an intention to reenlist. Similarly, the higher the reported likelihood of the enlisted service member's getting a civilian job now (as of the survey date), the *less* likely he or she was to indicate an intention to reenlist.

Variables related to income and retirement benefits continued to be significant. Higher pay and allowances and higher perceived value of the retirement system increased the likelihood of reenlistment. On the other hand, expected promotion opportunity and previous job demands that prevented the service member from taking annual leave were not significant. The effect of feelings about family income was unexpected, in that higher satisfaction with family income was associated with a lower likelihood of reenlistment. As reported in Tables 3 and 5, the opposite effect was seen for both enlisted personnel and officers with respect to satisfaction with military life.

The impact of the number of sources of uncertainty about what service members expected from a military career was also counterintuitive. Enlisted personnel who reported *more* uncertainty also indicated a *greater* likelihood of reenlistment.

Finally, both of the variables related to the civilian job market were significantly related to reenlistment. Enlisted personnel who had not actively looked for civilian employment within the past 12 months were more likely to indicate an intention to reenlist, as were those who felt a lower likelihood of finding a good civilian job if they left the military. Of course, actively looking for civilian employment may be more an effect than a cause of an intention to reenlist.

Officers

A similar analysis of career intentions was conducted for officers, using the dependent variable EXPECTED YEARS OF SERVICE. Table 8 shows the progression in the overall explanatory power of the regression model for officers, and Table 9 shows the coefficients for variables in the final model that were significantly related to the dependent variable. As in the analysis for enlisted personnel, Table 9 reports the direction of the relationship between EXPECTED YEARS OF SERVICE and the significant explanatory variables as well as the magnitude of their effects.

Variable Set in the Sequential Model	Percentage of Variance in EXPECTED YEARS OF SERVICE Explained by Model
1. Individual Demographics	29
2. Set 1 + Military Demographics	34
3. Set 2 + Family Demographics	35
4. Set 3 + Qualitative Job-Related Factors	38
5. Set 4 + Other Job-Related Factors	50
6. Set 5 + Promotion	59
7. Set 6 + Income/Benefit Measurements	59
8. Set 7 + Satisfaction with the Military	59
9. Set 8 + ODS/S Deployment	59
10. Set 9 + Civilian Job Market	59

Table 8. Variance in the Dependent Variable (EXPECTED YEARS OF SERVICE) Explained by the Sequential Model for Officers

Note: The statistical significance of the overall model was determined by calculating the F statistic and its associated probability (see discussion in Appendix B).

Significant Variables	Coefficient
ntercept	1.025
Sender (Female)	
Male	1.102
Age	0.177
Race/Ethnicity (White)	
Hispanic	-0.733
Other	-0.725
Years of Education	-0.223
Service Branch (Army)	
Air Force	0.356
Marines	-0.763
Pay Grade (O1 to O3)	
O4 to O7	-0.698
Military Occupation (Engineering & Maintenance)	
General Officers and Executives	-1.018
Health Care Officers	0.783
Tenure	0.036
Number of PCS Moves	0.070
Concern About Force Reductions: Getting a Civilian Job Quickly	0.170
Morale of Military Personnel at Member's Location	
Hours Worked per Week at Military Job	0.015
Percentage of Work Hours Spent on Duty-Related Tasks	0.100
Promotion Opportunity	0.135
Uncertainty About Military Career	0.164
Pay/Benefits Will Not Keep Up with Inflation	-0.212
Value of Retirement System	1.972
Chance of Being Promoted to Next Pay Grade	0.398
Chance of Being Promoted to General/Flag Officer	0.732
Feelings About Family Income	-0.196
Satisfaction with the Military	3.439
Actively Looked for Civilian Employment	1.356
Likelihood of Finding a Civilian Job Now	-0.109

Table 9. Relative Effects of Independent Variables on Expected Years of Military Service for Officers

Note: Reference groups for dichotomous and categorical variables are shown in parentheses. Groups that were not significantly different from the reference group are not shown in the table; thus, the categories included for each variable may be different in different tables.

As was seen for enlisted personnel, the variable with the most dramatic impact on the decision to stay in the military longer (the comparable variable for enlisted personnel was LIKELIHOOD OF REENLISTMENT) was satisfaction with military life (coefficient 3.439). In addition, the perceived value of the military retirement system (coefficient 1.972) and the fact that the service member had not actively looked for civilian employment (coefficient 1.356) were again the second and third most powerful influences, respectively. The following paragraphs describe the impacts of some of the other variables in the model for officers.

Several individual demographic variables were significantly related to expected years of service. Male officers indicated more expected years of service than female officers. Black officers were not different from Whites with respect to total years of expected service, whereas officers in the Hispanic and "Other" race/ethnicity categories expected to have fewer total years of service than did Whites or Blacks. Older officers, like older enlisted personnel, were more likely to indicate an intention to stay in the military longer. Years of education, however, was negatively correlated with expected years of service—in other words, more highly educated officers on average planned to stay in the military for shorter terms.

By Service branch, Navy officers and Army officers (the reference group) were statistically the same with respect to total years of expected service. In contrast, Air Force officers expected to serve for more years than those in the Army, and Marine Corps officers expected to serve for fewer years. Officers in pay grades O4 to O7 expected fewer years of service than those in pay grades O1 to O3. After controlling for the effects of age and tenure (which were positively related to expected years of service), higher pay grades remained negatively correlated with expected years of service for officers.

With respect to military occupation, two categories were significantly different from the reference group (engineering & maintenance officers). General officers and executives anticipated fewer total years of service, and health care officers anticipated more. Higher numbers of PCS moves for officers were associated in this model with expectations of more years of military service, despite the earlier findings of negative correlations between number of PCS moves and satisfaction with the military for both officers and enlisted personnel (see Tables 3 and 5). For officers, family type had no significant impact on expected years of service.

Many job-related variables were significant in the model. For example, officers who were less concerned about getting a civilian job quickly after leaving the military expected to serve for fewer years than those who were more concerned. However, the results for variables generally related to job satisfaction were mixed. Contrary to expectations, officers who indicated higher morale at their locations also indicated fewer expected years of military service (the opposite was found for enlisted personnel). Similarly, a higher number of hours worked per week was associated with a higher number of expected years of service, and more sources of uncertainty about the officer's military career were associated with more expected years of service (as was also seen in the model for enlisted personnel). Results for feelings about family income in the model for officers, as in the enlisted personnel model, were also unexpected, associating higher satisfaction with fewer expected years of service.

For variables related to officers' perceptions of their opportunities for promotion, the results were as expected. Positive responses to questions about promotion opportunities overall, chance of being promoted to the next pay grade, and chance of being promoted eventually to General/Flag Officer were all associated with longer expected service.
Again, as was seen for enlisted personnel, both of the variables related to the civilian job market were significant in the model for officers. Officers who had actively looked for civilian employment in the past 12 months indicated fewer expected years of military service, and those who indicated that they felt a higher likelihood of finding a good civilian job if they left the military indicated fewer expected years of service.

Summary and Conclusions

The objective of this report was to analyze the responses of enlisted personnel and officers to questions on the 1992 Department of Defense Surveys of Officers and Enlisted Personnel concerning their perceptions of the military as a career. The investigation was divided into two areas: satisfaction with the military and career intentions. Soldiers' satisfaction with the military was evaluated on the basis of their answers to questions that asked them to rate their level of satisfaction with important aspects of serving in the military, ranging from the characteristics of their military jobs to ratings of personal fulfillment, and the results were tested for correlations with variables including individual and family characteristics, military demographics, job characteristics, income, and deployment in Operations Desert Shield/Desert Storm (ODS/S). Career intentions were evaluated in terms of the intention to reenlist (enlisted personnel) and expected years of military service (officers), and multiple regression models were used to examine the effects of demographic variables, job-related factors, income/benefit measurements, ODS/S deployment, satisfaction with military life, and perceptions of the civilian job market on the choice of the military as a career.

The results of this study are generally consistent with previous research on soldier satisfaction (see the literature review on pages 2-5). In addition, they provide insights into the characteristics of personnel who are more likely to reenlist or stay in the military longer.

Satisfaction with the Military

The factors that were related to satisfaction with the military were, for the most part, similar for enlisted personnel and officers. Findings can be grouped loosely by type of factor: individual (personal), military, and family characteristics; characteristics of military jobs and workload; and attitudes about military life.

Individual, Military, and Family Characteristics

One of the more interesting findings was that females—both enlisted personnel and officers—were generally more satisfied with military life than were their male counterparts. In addition, consistent with previous research (Department of Defense, 1993; Segal & Harris, 1993), the results presented here indicate that having a nuclear family contributes to satisfaction with military life. In particular, having dependents was related to higher levels of satisfaction. Accordingly, single officers without dependents and officers in dual-military marriages without dependents were less satisfied with the military than were other officers. In addition, the satisfaction of enlisted personnel with military life increased as the number of dependents increased, regardless of marital status.

For enlisted personnel, no statistically significant relationship was found between age or education level and satisfaction with military life. For officers, however, those who were older were more satisfied than younger officers, and those who were more highly educated were less satisfied than those with fewer years of schooling.

Satisfaction levels differed across the Service branches for both enlisted personnel and officers. Enlisted personnel in the Air Force expressed greater satisfaction with military life than their counterparts in the Army, Navy, and Marine Corps. In contrast, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps officers expressed similar levels of satisfaction, which were higher than those reported by Army officers. These results may merit further attention to identify the aspects of military work and life that differ across the Services and are related to satisfaction.

Characteristics of Military Jobs and Workload

Several aspects of military jobs and workload were associated with high levels of satisfaction. First, a positive environment at work was highly correlated with level of satisfaction. Officers and enlisted personnel who felt they received good support from their supervisors and the chain of command were more satisfied than those who did not. Further, an enlisted member's or officer's perception of morale at his or her location was positively related to level of satisfaction. Because the analyses do not allow determination of causal relationships, it could not be concluded from the results whether high satisfaction causes a more positive view of co-worker attitudes or, conversely, whether positive morale leads to higher individual satisfaction. Satisfaction with the military was also highly related to the perceived quality of the work itself and the prospects for the future. For both enlisted personnel and officers, the more important and challenging the work and the greater the opportunities for promotion, the higher was the satisfaction with military life in general.

Several aspects of the work environment (workload, stress, and career uncertainty) were negatively related to satisfaction. For example, working more than 40 hours a week was associated with diminished satisfaction for both enlisted personnel and officers. Further, members who indicated that job demands had prevented them from taking annual leave during the year preceding the survey were less satisfied with the military than those who did not. Service members were also asked to rate their stress levels due to separation from family, PCS moves, job situation, personal safety, and health. Potential sources of career uncertainty included career goals and opportunities, military leadership, and potential changes in military manpower needs. In general, higher levels of perceived stress and more sources of uncertainty were associated with lower levels of satisfaction.

Taken together, these findings indicate the importance of the social context of the job, motivational factors, and the level of stress to the quality of military work life. This supports the findings of previous research (Griffith et al., 1993; Rakoff et al., 1993; Segal & Harris, 1993) showing a strong correlation between satisfaction and feelings of personal freedom, self-improvement, challenge, and support from military leaders.

Attitudes About Military Life

Other factors outside the immediate characteristics of the job environment were also related to satisfaction with the military way of life. For example, the more PCS moves an enlisted person or officer had undergone, the lower was his or her level of satisfaction with military life. Similarly, the importance of military pay and benefits to the perceived quality of military life was demonstrated by several findings:

- Higher military pay and total family income (including nonmilitary sources) were associated with greater satisfaction with the military.
- Confidence that military pay and benefits would keep up with inflation was associated with greater satisfaction with the military.

- More highly ranked officers (O4 to O7) were more satisfied than lower ranked officers (O1 to O3) (although satisfaction levels did not differ by pay grade for enlisted personnel).
- Higher satisfaction with the military was found for those enlisted personnel and officers who believed that the military retirement system was very valuable than for those who rated the retirement system as being less valuable.

Of particular interest, however, was the finding that the statistical impact of qualitative job-related factors (e.g., morale, stress, supervisory support, and quality and value of work) on overall satisfaction with the military appeared to be greater than the impact of income/benefit measurements.

In summary, the results of the 1992 surveys show that the personal characteristics of enlisted personnel and officers, job-related factors, and several other aspects of the military (e.g., number of PCS moves) are associated with satisfaction with the military way of life. These findings have implications for the design of recruiting literature, the development of salary and benefit packages, and the structure of personnel policies and job requirements. In addition, as described in the following section, satisfaction with military life is highly related to the decision to remain in the military.

Career Intentions: Desire to Remain in the Military

In the 1992 surveys, desire to remain in the military was measured differently for enlisted personnel and officers. Enlisted personnel were asked how likely they were to reenlist at the end of their current term of service. Officers were asked to estimate the length of their military careers. In both cases, however, similar explanatory variables were tested in the models.

Individual, Military, and Family Characteristics

A number of personal characteristics were related to career plans. Age was positively related to reenlistment plans (enlisted personnel) and expected term of service (officers). For officers, years of education was negatively related to expected years of military service. Male enlisted personnel were more likely to anticipate reenlisting than were females, and male officers expected to have longer military careers than did females. Among enlisted personnel, there were no statistically significant differences among race/ethnicity categories with regard to intended reenlistment plans, except that those in the "Other" category were less likely to reenlist. For officers, both the Hispanic and "Other" categories anticipated fewer years of service than Whites or Blacks.

There were also differences across the Services in the expected length of a military career. Enlisted Navy and Air Force personnel were more likely to anticipate reenlistment than Marine Corps and Army personnel. Air Force officers anticipated the longest terms of service, and Marine Corps officers expected the shortest tenure. More highly paid enlisted personnel (pay grades E5 to E6) were more likely to indicate intentions to reenlist, whereas more highly paid officers (pay grades O4 to O7) expected fewer total years of service than those in pay grades O1 to O3. Interestingly, for enlisted personnel, the amount of time left in a service member's current enlistment period was positively correlated with his or her stated likelihood of reenlistment; that is, enlisted personnel early in the current term expressed a higher likelihood of reenlisting than those with little time left. This result suggests that the desire to reenlist may diminish over the course of an enlistment period, and further investigation might indicate a critical point in the enlistment period when the change occurs. For officers, on the

other hand, there was a positive correlation between tenure (i.e., months already served) and expected total years of military service.

As noted in the literature review at the beginning of this report, marital status repeatedly has been shown to be related to retention of military personnel. In this study, enlisted personnel in dual-military marriages without dependents were found to be more likely to reenlist than those in other family types, and single members with no dependents were the least likely to indicate intentions to reenlist. However, marital status was not related to anticipated length of service for officers.

Characteristics of Military Jobs and Workload

The two models for career intentions included the constructed variable "Satisfaction with the Military" as an independent variable. Because that variable appeared to capture much of the impact of military job-related factors, the remaining significant associations with job-related factors in the career intentions models were difficult to interpret (see discussion of Tables 7 and 9 on pages 23-28). It was clear, however, that views of civilian employment opportunities were significantly related to career intentions for both enlisted personnel and officers. In both models, less concern about being forced to leave the military for a civilian job was associated with a shorter expected term of military service; service members who had not actively looked for civilian employment in the year before the survey generally expected to serve more time in the military than those who had; and those who perceived a higher likelihood of being able to find a civilian job on short notice expected to spend less time in military service than those who felt less confident about civilian employment opportunities.

Attitudes About Military Life

Perhaps the most important finding of the survey analyses in this report is that, for both enlisted personnel and officers, overall satisfaction with the military had the strongest association with career intentions of any of the explanatory variables tested. High satisfaction with military life was strongly related to intentions to reenlist or to stay in the military longer. Although the analyses do not permit identification of a cause and effect relationship, the data suggest that satisfaction with military life has an extremely important influence on career decisions for military personnel.

Variables related to military pay and benefits were positively correlated with satisfaction with the military, but the relationships of these variables to career intentions were more complex. For enlisted personnel, higher pay and benefits were associated with a high likelihood of reenlistment; but for officers, higher pay and benefits were associated with a shorter expected term of service. Paradoxically, officers who expressed confidence that pay and benefits would keep pace with inflation anticipated fewer years of service than those who did not. In addition, the effects of feelings about total family income (including non-military sources) were unexpected. Higher satisfaction with family income was associated with a lower likelihood of reenlistment (enlisted personnel) and fewer expected years of service (officers). These results suggest that as the financial stability of a service member's family increases, he or she may be more likely to indicate an intention to leave the military source.

Surprisingly, enlisted personnel and officers who perceived the military environment as highly uncertain were also more likely to anticipate reenlisting or having long military careers. Questions about perception of uncertainty in the civilian environment were not included in the survey; therefore, a soldier's perceptions of the two settings could not be compared. Possibly those who were relatively uncertain about their futures in the military were equally concerned about career opportunities in the civilian sector and, consequently, wanted to remain in the more familiar military environment. Similarly, service members who felt more certain of their military opportunities may also have felt relatively certain of their chances for success in the civilian world and, therefore, may have been more willing to take the risk of making a career change.

Conclusions

The analyses of survey results presented in this report suggest the following:

- Women are more likely than men to be satisfied with military life.
- For enlisted personnel, higher numbers of dependents are associated with higher levels of satisfaction with military life. For officers, those who are single or in dual-military marriages and have no dependents are less likely to be satisfied with military life.
- There are no significant differences among race/ethnicity groups in terms of satisfaction with military life.
- Service members—both enlisted personnel and officers—who feel that morale is high at their duty location and who believe that they are supported by their supervisor and chain of command are more likely to be satisfied with military life than those who do not.
- Service members who feel that their military job assignments are important and challenging are more likely to be satisfied with military life.
- Service members who believe that they have good possibilities for promotion are more likely to be satisfied with military life.
- Service members who place a high value on the military retirement system are more likely to be satisfied with military life.
- Perceptions of qualitative aspects of the military job environment (e.g., morale, promotion opportunity) have a more significant positive impact on satisfaction with the military than do income/benefit measurements.
- The most important influence on retention of military personnel (i.e., reenlistment intentions for enlisted personnel and total expected years of service for officers) is satisfaction with military life.
- Males and older service members are more likely to indicate an intention to reenlist (enlisted personnel) or a longer period of military service (officers).
- Enlisted personnel in the Navy or Air Force are more likely to indicate an intention to reenlist than are those in the Army or Marine Corps. Air Force officers anticipate a longer term of service than Army or Navy officers, and Marine Corps officers anticipate fewer years of service.
- Enlisted personnel early in their enlistment period are more likely to indicate an intention to reenlist than are those with less time remaining in their enlistment period.

- Among enlisted personnel with no dependents, those who are single are less likely to indicate an intention to reenlist than those who are married to civilians, and those in dual-military marriages are more likely to indicate an intention to reenlist.
- Among both enlisted personnel and officers, those who have recently explored civilian job opportunities are likely to indicate an intention to leave the Service earlier, as are those who feel that they have a high likelihood of finding a good civilian job on short notice.

References

- Bell, D.B., Scarville, J., & Quigley, B. (1991). The Army Family Research Program: Origin, purpose, and accomplishments (Research Note 91-44). Alexandria, VA: U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences (AD A238-729).
- Department of Defense. (1993). Family status and initial term of service, Vol. II Trends and indicators. Washington, DC: Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness).
- Griffith, J.D., Rakoff, S., & Helms, R.F. (1993). Report on family and other impacts on retention (Technical Report 986). Alexandria, VA: U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences.
- Griffith, J.D., Stewart, L.S., & Cato, E.D. (1988). Annual survey of Army families: A report on Army spouses and families in 1987, Vols. 1-3. Alexandria, VA: U.S. Army Community and Family Support Center.
- Knapp, D.J. (1995). Examination of job satisfaction in Army enlisted personnel: Its antecedents and consequences. Manuscript in preparation.
- Knapp, D.J., McCloy, R.A., & DiFazio, A.S. (August 1993). Prediction of military turnover using intentions, satisfaction, and performance. Paper presented at the 101st Annual Convention of the American Psychological Association, Toronto, Canada.
- La Vange, L.D., McCalla, M.D., Gable, T.J., Rakoff, S.H., Doering, Z.D., & Mahoney, B.S. 1986. Description of officers and enlisted personnel in the U.S. Armed Forces: 1985. Supplementary tabulations from the 1985 DoD survey of officer and enlisted personnel, Vol. II. Arlington, VA: Defense Manpower Data Center.
- Muchinsky, P.M. (1990). Psychology applied to work. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole Publishing.
- Orthner, D.K., Bowen, G.L., Zimmerman, L.E., & Short, K.A. (March 1994). Young single soldiers and relationships (Research Note 94-11). Alexandria, VA: U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences.
- Rahe, T. (October 1996). New Recruit Survey (Regular Army) 1996. Fort Knox, KY: U.S. Army Recruiting Command.
- Rakoff, S.H., Griffith, J.D., & Zarkin, G.A. (1993). Models of soldier retention (Technical Report 988). Alexandria, VA: U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences.
- Rosen, L.N., & Moghadam, L.Z. (1990). Matching the support to the stressor: Implications for the buffering hypothesis. *Military Psychology*, 2, 193-204.
- Segal, M.W., & Harris, J.J. (1993). What we know about Army families (Special Report 21). Alexandria, VA: U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences.

-

plante 36

Appendix A. Study Design

The 1992 Department of Defense Surveys of Officer and Enlisted Personnel comprised four separate samples: longitudinal, recruiters, members, and Active Guard/Reserve or Training and Administration of the Reserve (AGR/TAR) members. The sample design for this survey was a stratified sample selected from active duty personnel as of December 1991. The database used in the analysis for this report combined all four samples.

Sample Design

The samples were selected by probability methods. That is, each eligible individual had a non-zero, known probability of selection. This procedure allowed for the projection of the survey results to the target population. Sampling design for the 1992 surveys proceeded as follows: identify sampling frames, devise stratification scheme, select sampling methodology, decide sampling sizes, select sample, and develop weights. These steps are described in the following sections.

Target population and sampling frames. The target population is the group being estimated by the sample. For example, the target population for the recruiter sample was all recruiters. A sampling frame is a database that represents the target population from which a sample is drawn.

Stratification. Stratification is a sample design feature that seeks to reduce the variance of sample estimates by defining homogeneous subgroups of sampling units and selecting the samples independently within each stratum. In addition, stratification may be used to control subgroup sample sizes. For the 1992 surveys, the stratification variables were identifiers present in the Active Duty Military Master and Loss (M&L) File and in the Reserve Component Common Personnel Data System (RCCPDS). The longitudinal sample was not stratified, but it reflected the stratification carried out in the selection of the 1985 sample. The definitions of the stratification cells for the other three samples are identified below.

The target populations, sampling frames, stratification schemes, and sample sizes corresponding to each of the four samples selected for the 1992 surveys were as follows:

- The longitudinal sample consisted of a subsample of 11,999 from the personnel selected for the 1985 Department of Defense Survey of Officers and Enlisted Personnel who were still in the military as of December 1991. The sampling frame was based on the file of the 1985 sample and the 1992 M&L File.
- The recruiter sample consisted of 3,999 recruiters, approximately 1,000 per Service. The sampling frame was extracted from the 1992 M&L File.
- The member sample consisted of members on active duty as of December 1991 who were in the Service for 4 or more months and were neither recruiters nor included in the 1985 survey. The sample of 75,345 active military personnel was derived by selecting approximately 5,000 members from each of the 16 cells defined by Service, officer/enlisted status, and gender. The sampling frame was constructed from the M&L File.
- The AGR/TAR sample consisted of members included in the RCCPDS. The sample included approximately 500 AGR/TAR from each of the 14 cells defined by seven levels of Reserve

Component and officer/enlisted status (some cells had fewer than 500 members). A total of 5,484 full-time, support AGR/TAR members were selected.

Sample selection. The longitudinal sample was selected using simple random (equal probability) sampling of eligible from the 1985 survey. The recruiter sample was selected with simple random sampling from within each of the four Services. The member sample was selected with simple random sampling within each of the 16 previously cited strata. If there were fewer than 5,000 service members in a member-sample stratum, all members were included in the sample. The AGR/TAR sample was selected by simple random sampling from within each of 14 sampling strata defined by Reserve Component and enlisted/officer status.

Weighting. Weights were developed to reflect the variable probabilities of selection and nonresponse adjustments. Weighting in sample surveys has several objectives: (a) to reflect varying probabilities of selection; (b) to adjust for sample losses due to nonresponse; and (c) to adjust for deficiencies in the sampling frame that may introduce bias.

Each sample selected for the 1992 surveys consisted of only a subset of its respective target population. Therefore, to represent the entire population, it was necessary to derive base weights that projected the sample to the populations covered by the sampling frames. The base weight is the reciprocal of the probability of selection. For the longitudinal sample, which did not involve stratification, the base weight (*BWT*) was computed as:

BWT = (number in population in 1992) / (sample size).

For the other three samples that were stratified, the base weight was computed within stratum as:

$$BWT_s = (number in the stratum) / (stratum sample size)$$

To account for nonresponse, the base weight was adjusted by a nonresponse factor. Nonresponse adjustment through weighting implies that, within adjustment cells, nonrespondents are similar to respondents with respect to the characteristics being measured by the survey. To develop the nonresponse adjustment, respondents and nonrespondents were partitioned into adjustment cells based on Service, status, and gender. For each of the four samples, the nonresponse adjustment was developed as all eligibles (respondents and nonrespondents) divided by all respondents. The nonresponse adjustment cells corresponded to the sampling strata. That is, for sampling stratum S, the nonresponse adjustment factor, F_S , is:

$$F_{s} = (eligible)_{s} / (respondents)_{s}$$

Multiplying the base weight by the corresponding nonresponse adjustment factor (i.e., $BWT_S \times F_S$) made the respondents represent not only the segment of the population they were sampled to represent but also nonrespondents in adjustment cell S.

The last phase of the weighting process involved raking to known population totals for various key characteristics. (Raking is a computational procedure that adjusts the final weight so that the weighted estimate from the sample corresponds to known totals for the groups defined by the raking variables.) Three levels of raking were performed. The first level of raking was indexed by Service, enlisted/officer status, and gender. Status was not used in raking the recruiters sample. The second level was indexed

by pay grade and race/ethnicity, and the third level by marital status. This process adjusted the weights so that the sum of the weights for respondents over the raking variables corresponded to the known counts of eligible respondents in the respective cells.

Data Collection

Questionnaire development. Each of the 1992 survey instruments was constructed around a core set of questions comparable to those used in previous personnel surveys, particularly the 1985 Surveys of Officers and Enlisted Personnel. The questionnaire content focused on information about personal and military background, family composition, economic status, preparedness, career plans, satisfaction with various aspects of military life, and assessment of military programs and services. In addition, the 1992 surveys included questions regarding Operations Desert Shield/Desert Storm. Separate instruments were administered to enlisted personnel and officers. The enlisted and officer questionnaires were nearly identical, except on questions relating to enlistment intentions and promotions.

Administration. The data collection for the 1992 surveys was conducted by the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) from May to October 1992. First, the total sample was aggregated by unit. Any unit with more than one member selected for the survey was sent a pre-notification letter, advising the unit commander of the survey and requesting that a point-of-contact (POC) be appointed to receive and distribute the surveys. A total of 10,973 pre-notification letters were mailed to units in April 1992. Address correction was required for 667 (6 percent) of the units.

The first questionnaires were mailed to units for distribution to members beginning in late April and continuing through May 1992. If only one member from a unit was selected to participate in the survey, that member was sent the survey package directly (approximately 7 percent of the sample).

Although nonresponse is present in all voluntary surveys, the potential bias caused by nonresponse can be reduced by thorough nonresponse follow-up. In the 1992 study, nonresponse at the unit level was handled by sending three follow-up letters. The first letter notified the POCs of the units from which DMDC had not received the survey check lists; the second letter informed the POC that the roster of survey participants had not been received; and the third letter was a notification that the completed surveys had not been returned to DMDC. Follow-up questionnaires were mailed 1 to 2 months later to nonrespondents directly at their units.

Response rates. The initial 1992 sample consisted of 40,812 officers and 56,015 enlisted personnel, for a total of 96,827 members. According to POC-provided information, 6,557 individuals in the sample had separated from the military by the time the survey was administered. Ultimately, the number of eligible members was 90,270.

At the close of the data collection in October 1992, a total of 59,930 completed surveys (27,684 officers and 32,246 enlisted) had been received. The level of nonresponse varied by Service, pay grade, and gender. Response rates were calculated based on the number of completed returns and the number of eligible members. The adjusted response rates were 72 percent for officers, 62 percent for enlisted personnel, and 66 percent overall. Response rates by gender were 67 percent for males and 66 percent for the Services were 72 percent for the Air Force, 71 percent for the Navy, 62 percent for the Marine Corps, and 59 percent for the Army.

Although the overall level of participation was quite high, response rates differed by subgroups (Table A1). In general, officers in the Navy and male officers in the Air Force had the highest response rates, while enlisted members in the Army had the lowest response rate.

		Service Branch					
Status and Gender	Army	Navy	Marine Corps	Air Force	Total		
fotal Complete (Number)				7.000	27,684		
Officers	7,349	8,160	4,189	7,986	-		
Male	4,178	4,343	3,910	4,420	16,851		
Female	3,171	3,817	279	3,566	10,833		
Enlisted Personnel	7,237	8,517	6,995	9,497	32,246		
Male	4,236	4,899	4,254	5,257	18,646		
Female	3,001	3,618	2,741	4,240	13,600		
Total	14,586	16,677	11,184	17,483	59,930		
Male	8,414	9,242	8,164	9,677	35,497		
Female	6,172	7,435	3,020	7,806	24,433		
Response Rate (Percent)			70.6	73.5	71.6		
Officers	65.7	76.5		74.3	72.2		
Male	67.3	76.8	70.7	74.5	70.7		
Female	63.6	76.3	68.6	72.5 71.1	62.3		
Enlisted Personnel	53.3	66.4	58.4		62.2		
Male	53.8	66.4	58.6	70.2	62.2		
Female	52.6	66.4	58.1	72.2	62.6 66.3		
Total	58.9	71.0	62.4	72.2			
Male	59.8	70.9	63.8	72.0	66.6		
Female	57.7	71.1	58.9	72.4	65.9		

Table A1. Questionnaire Completion and Response Rates by Status, Gender, and Service Branch

Appendix B. Analysis Methodology

Analysis Database

The initial database used for the series of reports on the 1992 Department of Defense Surveys of Officer and Enlisted Personnel was prepared using Statistical Analysis System (SAS) software for DoD use and served as the basis for a public-use tape. In the preparation of this file, the survey data were thoroughly edited, and analysis was carried out for key variables such as gender and race/ethnicity. In addition, constructed variables were developed from survey answers (e.g., total number of dependents), and from RCCPDS extracted information (e.g., location of current assignment—CONUS/OCONUS). Additional recodings and composite variables created during the course of this analysis are discussed in the next two sections.

Extracting and recoding. The first step in the construction of the analysis database was to extract from the original DoD file a SAS file that included only the variables identified in the analysis plan. During this extraction step, all SAS character variables were converted to numeric variables so that they could be used in SAS procedures. Several variable types need to be defined in order to explain the conversion. A categorical variable (e.g., race/ethnicity) has character values (e.g., 1 = White, 2 = Black) that represent possible categories or items. These variables were converted to numeric dichotomous (1 = Yes, 0 = No) variables, one for each category. To use the race/ethnicity example, dichotomous variables were created for *White* (1 = White, 0 = Non-white), *Black* (1 = Black, 0 = Non-black), and so on. An ordinal variable contains characters (e.g., 1 = Very Well, 2 = Well) that represent levels on a scale. These variables were simply made numeric in the analysis data set; some were used as is and some were subject to further recoding. A discrete numerical variable is a variable that can assume a countable number of values (e.g., hours worked).

The extracted data set was split into data sets for enlisted personnel and officers. Since the analysis was to be performed separately for these two groups, these restricted data sets were more manageable and facilitated processing. In addition to the general character to numeric conversions described above, a series of recodes had to be performed to prepare variables for use in tabulations or models, and to facilitate interpretation of the results. The following types of recodes were done:

- Valid skips were originally coded as SAS "special" missing values (.S). Following this convention, all "not applicable" responses were also recoded to the same special missing code (.S). This conversion differentiates these types of respondents from respondents who did not answer the question. A regular missing value is coded ".".
- For multiple-response categories measured with an ordinal scale, codes were reverse-scored when the highest code indicated a negative response. For example, one question asked how well a spouse would take care of family finances in the member's absence. It was answered using a scale that varied from Very Well (1) to Very Poorly (5). After recoding, Very Well was scored a 5, Very Poorly was scored a 1, and intermediate values were adjusted accordingly. This recoding facilitated interpretation of the results by making responses uniform in their direction.
- Dichotomous variables were created for variables that had a No response and several options for the Yes response. For example, in the Operations Desert Shield/Desert Storm (ODS/S) deployment question, the four Yes responses (i.e., fewer than 3 months, 3 but fewer than 6 months, 6 but fewer

than 9 months, and 9 months or more) to the ODS/S deployment question were collapsed into a single Yes category.

• Response categories that had one-character codes representing ranges of values were assigned a numerical value corresponding to the midpoint of the range. This conversion captured the different widths of the ranges. For example, one pre-specified response option for "Total Value of Pay" ranged from \$20,001 to \$30,000. The original code of 2 was changed to a value of \$25,000.

Constructed variables. New variables were developed using combinations of possible responses to a single question or of multiple questions (composite variables). One type of new variable consisted of combining categorical responses to several parts of a question. For example, respondents were asked how many dependents they had in each of several age groups (e.g., under 1 year, 1 to under 2 years). A discrete numerical variable for youngest dependent was constructed by identifying the lowest non-missing answer (e.g., 2 dependents in the 1 to under 2 category) and entering the midpoint of the range (1.5 in this case) as the value of the new variable.

Composite variables were created in order to capture the information from several multiple-item questions with response categories consisting of ordinal scales, thereby reducing the number of variables to analyze. Factor analysis, a statistical technique that is used to identify a reduced number of dimensions or "factors" present in a group of variables, was used for this purpose. Factor analysis gives the analyst a systematic approach to understanding the interrelationships among items and uncovers groups of items that measure the same concept or issue.

The factor identification was performed with the SAS procedure PROC FACTOR, using the principal component approach to factor extraction (Mardia, Kent, & Bibby, 1979) and incorporating the final weight. Each principal component calculated is a linear combination of the original variables and has an eigenvalue which indicates how much variance is explained by that component or factor. "Factor loadings" describe the correlation of each original variable with the factor and indicate how much weight is assigned to each factor.

The initial matrix of factor loadings is difficult to interpret because many of the variables have moderate-size correlations with several factors. Through a process of rotation, the matrix is transformed by applying a nonsingular linear transformation which groups the coefficients more closely around 0, 1 or -1. Rotation makes assigning names to the common factors, which is always a subjective process, more objective by highlighting patterns. We used an orthogonal rotation, which maintains the axes of the matrix at a right angle. A variety of algorithms are used for orthogonal rotation. The most commonly used is the varimax method, which maximizes the variance of squared loadings and attempts to minimize the number of variables that have high loadings on a factor. We used the varimax method to enhance the interpretability of the factors. Cronbach's coefficient Alpha was used to assess the reliability of the factors identified through interpretation of the rotated matrix. High coefficient Alphas (0.7 and above) indicate a reliable composite variable.

The construction of the variable PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED WITH PCS MOVES is an example of using factor analysis to develop composite variables. Each of 18 different potential problems was rated by the respondent on a scale of *Very Serious Problem* to *Not a Problem*. A preliminary factor analysis reduced the 18 items to five dimensions, as shown in Table B1. Based on a member's response to each of the 18 items, a mean score for each of the five dimensions was computed. This score consisted of the mean of the codes associated with the individual items in a particular factor. The mean score was a discrete numerical variable that could be used as a dependent or independent variable in the analysis.

Table B1. Factors Identified as Problems Associated with Members' Most Recent PCS Moves

Factor 1: Spouse/Dependent Considerations Item

- N Finding dependent medical care
- M Finding dependent dental care
- R Spouse adjusting to new environment
- Q Children adjusting to new environment
- G Finding civilian employment for spouse and dependents
- O Finding child care

Factor 2: Financial

ltem

- C Costs of setting up new residence
- B Temporary lodging expense
- E Transportation costs incurred during move
- D Costs of selling/moving from old residence
- K Finding permanent housing
- A Adjusting to higher cost of living

Factor 3: Career/Education

ltem

- H Continuing your education
- J Transferring college credits
- F Finding off-duty employment for yourself

Factor 4: Personal Adjustment Item

- S Adjusting yourself to new environment
- L Finding shopping, recreational facilities

Factor 5: Dual-Service Couple

ltem

P Military treatment of dual-service couples

The variable SATISFACTION WITH THE MILITARY was constructed across items from questions with different scales. In this situation, the scales were standardized (with a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1) using the SAS procedure PROC STANDARD before doing factor analysis. The variable SATISFACTION WITH THE MILITARY combined nine survey items: whether life in the military was as the respondent expected (5-point scale); whether the respondent was satisfied with personal freedom, the opportunity to serve one's country, working conditions, coworkers, military job stability, friendships, and frequency of moves (5-point scales); and satisfaction with overall military life (7-point scale). After standardization, factor analysis yielded factor loadings of 0.4 and above, which were high enough to allow identification of common factors. Cronbach's coefficient Alpha was 0.81 for enlisted personnel, 0.79 for officers, and 0.81 for enlisted personnel and officers combined, which indicated reliable composite variables for all three data sets. The final composite was calculated based on the mean of these standardized items for cases with at least five of the nine survey items present.

Statistical Procedure

Multiple regression was used to examine the relationship of a set of independent variables with the expected level of a dependent variable. The value of the *t*-statistic was used to determine which variables should be kept in the model by examining the significance of the coefficients associated with the explanatory variables. The significance of the overall model was measured using the *F* statistic, which was based on the Wald Chi-square statistic, and an additional *F* test was used to assess the significance of the *increases* in the overall quality of the model when new sets of variables were entered. Variables were entered in related groups; that is, a systematic, hierarchical modeling approach was used. The final model was determined by eliminating variables with coefficients that were not statistically significant at the 5 percent level. An R² statistic was used to measure the goodness of fit of the model. In multiple regression, R² measures the proportion of the variation in the dependent variable (y) that is explained by the regression equation (i.e., the explanatory variables $x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n$).

Computing Software

The SAS[®] software was used to extract data from the initial database provided by the DoD, construct variables, and run descriptive tabulations. When the analysis graduated to descriptive tests and models, however, SAS was not appropriate. The sample design and estimation procedure for the 1992 surveys had to be incorporated into the estimation of test statistics. Since survey data sets were based on a complex sample design and estimation approach, the SUrvey DAta ANalysis (SUDAAN) software was used to perform the modeling and compute test statistics used in the analyses

SUDAAN calculates model parameters, sampling errors, and test statistics for a variety of statistical procedures, including coefficients of linear regressions and loglinear models. The software uses Taylor series linearization to approximate functions of linear statistics (e.g., means and linear regression coefficients) estimated from the sample data. It also accommodates weights that reflect varying probabilities of selection and other adjustments.

Statistical Backup

Tables B2 through B5 show the regression estimated coefficients and associated p values for the test of the hypothesis that the coefficient is zero for each of the three dependent variables presented in the report. The results for enlisted personnel and officers are presented separately.

	Coeffi	cient	p Value for
Significant Variables	Value	S.E.	H:B = 0
ntercept	-0.271	0.007	<0.01
Gender (Female)	- ··		
Male	-0.003	0.001	<0.01
Service Branch (Army)			
Navy	0.002	0.002	0.39
Air Force	0.010	0.002	<0.01
Marines	-0.000	0.002	0.95
Number of PCS Moves	-0.001	0.000	0.01
Number of Dependents	0.005	0.002	<0.01
A Louis Force Reductioner Cetting & Civilian Job Quickly	-0.001	0.001	0.02
and the second obside of Command	0.016	0.001	<0.01
Support from Supervisor and Chain of Command Morale of Military Personnel at Member's Location	0.010	0.001	<0.01
Stress over the Last Year	0.010	0.001	<0.01
Quality and Value of Work	0.017	0.001	<0.01
Hours Worked per Week at Military Job	-0.003	0.000	<0.01
Leave Not Taken Because of Work Demands	0.008	0.002	<0.0
	0.006	0.001	<0.0
Uncertainty About Military Career	-0.006	0.001	<0.0
Pay/Benefits Will Not Keep Up with Inflation	0.005	0.001	<0.0
and the Carmon and the Civilian Joh	0.008	0.001	<0.0
Value of Retirement System	0.009	0.001	<0.0
Total Pay and Allowances	0.000	0.000	0.0
Feelings About Family Income	0.017	0.001	<0.0

Table B2. Multiple Regression Results for Satisfaction with the Military (Dependent Variable = SATISFACTION WITH THE MILITARY): Enlisted Personnel

Note: Reference groups for dichotomous and categorical variables are shown in parentheses.

	Coeff	icient	p Value	
Significant Variables	Value	S.E.	- for H:B = 0	
ntercept	-0.769	0.021	<0.01	
Gender (Female)				
Male	-0.009	0.003	0.01	
	0.002	0.000	<0.01	
Age Years of Education	-0.002	0.001	0.04	
Service Branch (Army)	***** *********************************		-	
	0.012	0.003	<0.01	
Navy Air Force	0.017	0.003	<0.01	
Marines	0.020	0.003	<0.01	
Pay Grade (01 to 03)				
O4 to O7	0.009	0.004	0.02	
Military Occupation (Engineering & Maintenance)				
General Officers and Executives	0.014	0.009	0.11	
Tactical Operations Officer	0.012	0.004	<0.01	
Intelligence Officer	0.013	0.006	0.03	
Scientists and Professionals	0.019	0.006	<0.01	
Health Care Officers	-0.008	0.005	0.05	
Administrators	0.010	0.005	0.05	
Supply, Procurement and Allied Officer	0.006	0.005	0.26	
Non-Occupational	0.010	0.006	0.12	
Number of PCS Moves	-0.002	0.001	<0.01	
Family Type (Civilian Spouse, No Dependents)				
Single, No Dependents	-0.024	0.004	<0.01	
Single with Dependents	-0.004	0.008	0.59	
Dual-Military, No Dependents	-0.012	0.006	0.05	
Dual-Military with Dependents	-0.008	0.006	0.24	
Civilian Spouse with Dependents	-0.001	0.004	0.84	
Concern About Force Reductions: Getting a Civilian Job Quickly	0.003	0.001	0.01	
Support from Supervisor and Chain of Command	0.030	0.001	<0.01	
Morale of Military Personnel at Member's Location	0.021	0.001	<0.01	
Stress over the Last Year	0.033	0.002	<0.01	
Quality and Value of Work	0.041	0.002	<0.01	
Hours Worked per Week at Military Job	-0.001	0.000	<0.01	
Leave Not Taken Because of Work Demands	0.020	0.003	<0.01	
	0.009	0.001	<0.01	
Promotion Opportunity	-0.013	0.001	<0.01	
Uncertainty About Military Career	0.010	0.002	<0.01	
Pay/Benefits Will Not Keep Up with Inflation	0.018	0.002	<0.01	
Health Benefits Comparable to Civilian Job	0.024	0.002	<0.01	
Value of Retirement System	0.002	0.001	<0.01	
Chance of Being Promoted to Next Pay Grade	0.004	0.001	<0.01	
Chance of Being Promoted to General/Flag Officer	0.038	0.001	<0.01	

Table B3. Multiple Regression Results for Satisfaction with the Military (Dependent Variable = SATISFACTION WITH THE MILITARY): Officers

Note: Reference groups for dichotomous and categorical variables are shown in parentheses.

	Coeffic	cient	p Value for H:B = 0	
Significant Variables	Value	S.E.		
ntercept	-0.307	0.661	0.64	
Sender (Female)				
Male	0.196	0.087	0.02	
Age	0.021	0.011	0.06	
Race/Ethnicity (White)				
Black	0.203	0.124	0.10	
Hispanic	-0.098	0.153	0.52	
Other	-0.380	0.187	0.04	
Service Branch (Army)				
Navy	0.309	0.116	0.01	
Air Force	0.366	0.113	<0.01	
Marines	-0. 006	0.124	0.96	
Pay Grade (E1 to E4)	•			
E5 to E6	0.760	0.126	<0.01	
E7 to E9	0.277	0.201	0.17	
Location Code	-0.224	0.092	0.02	
Time Left in Current Enlistment Period	0.020	0.003	<0.01	
Family Type (Civilian Spouse, No Dependents)				
Single, No Dependents	-0.431	0.148	<0.01	
Single with Dependents	0.184	0.211	0.38	
Dual-Military, No Dependents	0.477	0.216	0.03	
Dual-Military with Dependents	0.325	0.196	0.10	
Civilian Spouse with Dependents	0.200	0.140	0.15	
Concern About Force Reductions: Getting a Civilian Job Quickly	-0.391	0.043	<0.01	
Morale of Military Personnel at Member's Location	. 0.085	0.033	0.01	
Stress over the Last Year	0.216	0.054	<0.01	
Hours Worked per Week at Military Job	0.015	0.004	<0.01	
Percentage of Work Hours Spent on Duty-Related Tasks	-0.075	0.033	0.02	
Uncertainty About Military Career	0.150	0.034	<0.01	
Value of Retirement System	1.331	0.051	<0.01	
Total Pay and Allowances	0.000	0.000	0.03	
Feelings About Family Income	-0.122	0.045	0.01	
Satisfaction with the Military	8.413	0.757	<0.01	
Actively Looked for Civilian Employment	1.121	0.113	<0.01	
Likelihood of Finding a Civilian Job Now	-0.045	0.018	0.01	

Table B4. Multiple Regression Results for Likelihood of Reenlistment (Dependent Variable = LiKELIHOOD OF REENLISTMENT): Enlisted Personnel

Note: Reference groups for dichotomous and categorical variables are shown in parentheses.

	Coeffi	cient	p Value for	
Significant Variables	Value	S.E.	H:B = 0	
ntercept	1.025	1.029	0.32	
Gender (Female)				
Male	1.102	0.120	<0.01	
Age	0.177	0.021	<0.01	
Race/Ethnicity (White)	-			
Black	-0.360	0.255	0.16	
Hispanic	-0.733	0.320	0.02	
Other	-0.725	0.278	0.01	
Years of Education	-0.223	0.039	<0.01	
Service Branch (Army)	•			
Navy	-0.082	0.155	0.60	
Air Force	0.356	0.137	0.01	
Marines	-0.763	0.154	<0.01	
Pay Grade (O1 to O3)				
O4 to O7	-0.698	0.144	<0.01	
Military Occupation (Engineering & Maintenance)				
General Officers and Executives	-1.018	0.381	0.01	
Tactical Operations Officer	0.066	0.169	0.69	
Intelligence Officer	0.208	0.278	0.46	
Scientists and Professionals	0.306	0.226	0.18	
Health Care Officers	0.783	0.222	<0.01	
Administrators	0.147	0.197	0.46	
Supply, Procurement and Allied Officer	0.107	0.225	0.63	
Non-Occupational	0.377	0.277	0.17	
Tenure	0.036	0.002	<0.01	
Number of PCS Moves	0.070	0.026	0.01	
Concern About Force Reductions: Getting a Civilian Job Quickly	-0.178	0.056	<0.01	
Morale of Military Personnel at Member's Location	-0.083	0.041	0.04	
Hours Worked per Week at Military Job	0.015	0.005	0.01	
Leave Not Taken Because of Work Demands	-0.211	0.112	0.06	
Percentage of Work Hours Spent on Duty-Related Tasks	-0.120	0.049	0.01	
Promotion Opportunity	0.135	0.047	<0.01	
Uncertainty About Military Career	0.164	0.045	<0.01	
Pay/Benefits Will Not Keep Up with Inflation	-0.212	0.067	<0.01	
Value of Retirement System	1.972	0.074	<0.01	
Chance of Being Promoted to Next Pay Grade	0.398	0.018	<0.01	
Chance of Being Promoted to General/Flag Officer	0.732	0.036	<0.01	
Feelings About Family Income	-0.196	0.060	<0.01	
Satisfaction with the Military	3.439	0.447	<0.01	
	1.356	0.166	<0.01	
Actively Looked for Civilian Employment Likelihood of Finding a Civilian Job Now	-0.109	0.027	<0.01	

Table B5. Multiple Regression Results for Expected Years of Military Service (Dependent Variable = TOTAL EXPECTED YEARS OF SERVICE): Officers

Note: Reference groups for dichotomous and categorical variables are shown in parentheses.

-

Appendix C. Survey Questionnaire



1992 Department of Defense Survey of Enlisted Personnel

The Department of Defense is conducting a survey of military personnel from the Army, Navy, Marine Corps and Air Force. You have been selected to participate in this important survey. Please read the instructions before you begin the survey.

PRIVACY NOTICE

AUTHORITY: 10 U.S.C. 136

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE OR PURPOSES: Information collected in this survey is used to sample attitudes and/or discern perceptions of social problems observed by service members and to support additional manpower research activities. This information will assist in the formulation of policies which may be needed to improve the working environment. ROUTINE USES: None

DISCLOSURE: Voluntary. Failure to respond will not result in any penalty to the respondent. However, maximum participation is encouraged so that the data will be complete and representative. Your survey instrument will be treated as confidential. All identifiable information will be used only by persons engaged in, and for the purposes of, the survey. Only group statistics will be reported.

OFFICE USE ONLY	
() R	
⊖ NE	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR CO	MPLETING THE SURVEY
	Y
Please use a No. 2 pencil.	If you are asked to give numb

USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY

- Make heavy black marks that fill the circle for your answer.
- Please do not make stray marks of any kind.

CORRECT MARK INCORRECT MARKS X - √

 Sometimes you will be asked to "Mark ALL that apply." When this instruction appears you may mark more than one answer.

Example:

If you attended (or are now attending) college, what kind of school was/is it? Mark ALL that apply.

- C Does not apply, do/did not attend college
- Vocational. trade. business, or other career training school
- Junior or community college (two-year)
- Four-year college or university
- C Graduate or professional school
- Specialized Service Career School
- C Professional Military Education Institution

If your answer is "junior or community college (two-year)" and "four-year college or university," then mark two circles clearly.

 Sometimes you will be asked to "Mark One." When this instruction appears mark the answer that best applies.

Example:

What is your pay grade? Mark One.

. E1	E5	. E9
E2	🛑 E6	
E3	E7	
E4	E8	

 If your answer is E6, then just mark one circle as shown above.

ers for your answer, please record as shown below.

Example:

As of today, how many months have you been assigned to your present post. base, ship or duty station?

If your answer is 35 months . . .

- Write the numbers in the boxes. making sure the last number is always placed in the right-hand box.
- · Fill in the unused boxes with zeros.
- Then, mark the matching circle below each box.



· Answers to some of the questions will be on a SEVEN-POINT SCALE.

Example:

How would you describe the morale of military personnel at your current location? Mark One.

MORALE IS VERY LOW ---- 3 ----- 5 ---- 5 --**1**.)--- 2 -

MORALE IS VERY HIGH --- [']7 € -

- If your answer is "MORALE IS VERY LOW," you would mark the circle for number 1.
- If your answer is "MORALE IS VERY HIGH," you would mark the circle for number 7.
- · If your opinion is somewhere inbetween, you would mark the circle for number 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6.

Sometimes you will be asked to mark one answer for each item.

Example:

THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT YOUR FEELINGS ABOUT THE PERMANENT LOCATION WHERE YOU LIVE. If you live on base, answer for that base. If you live off-base, answer for that community.

LOCATION CHARACTERISTICS

Mark each item as:	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor	Does Not Apply	Don't
Climate	O D	Q	ر آ	•		\mathcal{L}^{1}	C,
Distance to population centers		•	· .				
Family's ability to handle cost of living	0	Q	•			(¹	C .
Availability of military housing	i de la companya de l	C				<i></i>	-
Quality of military housing	0	С				0	

-2-



- 3 -

	FOR NAVY AI In all the time <u>months</u> have y No time on	you have b you been o sea duty	een on activ	ve duty, how r Number Mor 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 1, (1) (1 2, (2) 2 3, 3 (3) (4 (5) (5 (6) (6 (7) (7 (8) (6 (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9)	nany hths
	<u>months</u> have y ∵⊇ No time on	vou been o	n <u>sea dutv</u> ?	Number Mor 0,0,0,0 1,1,1,1 2,2,2 3,3 3,3 3,3 3,3 3,3 3,3 3,3 3,3 3	ths
	·	sea duty		0,0,0,0 1,1,1,1 2,2,3,3 1,2,3,3 1,2,3,3 1,3,4,5 1,1,2,4 1,1,1,1,	
				0,0,0,0 1,1,1,1 2,2,3,3 1,2,3,3 1,2,3,3 1,3,4,5 1,1,2,4 1,1,1,1,	
RMANEN	POST BASE				
RMANEN	POST BASE			2 2 2 2 3 3 3 4 4 4 5 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 9	
RMANENT	POST BASE				
		, SHIP OR	DUTY STA	TION. Answe	
Serious Problem	Somewhat of a Problem	Slight Problem	Not a Problem	Does Not Apply	Don'i Know
0		Ó	0	O	\odot
	0	5			2
iint 🔘	O I	0	0	(0
		•			
0	0	0	0	Ó	0
Ó	Ō	\cup	Ö	i.	
õ	Õ	Õ	Õ	Ō	000000000
ŏ,	õ	5	, ⁼ ,	-	Ō
õ	ŏ	ó	Õ	Ò	Ö
ŏ	ŏ	õ	ŏ	Ğ	ŏ
ŏ	ŏ	õ	Ö	č.	õ
ŏ	ŏ	~		- A	ŏ
ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	õ	õ	ŏ
8	ŏ	õ	\sim	X	č
	\sim	ă	ă	5	ă
Š	ğ	S	Ö	\sim	\sim
X	ğ	X	0		5
2	Š	Ç			<u> </u>
ä	ž	ő	X		ò
0	U	U	\bigcirc	0	C.
	Problem	Problem of a Problem O O O O O O O O O O O O	Problem of a Problem Problem O O O O int O	Problem of a Problem Problem Problem O O O O int O O O O O O O	Problem of a Problem Problem Problem Apply 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 int 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

- C Live on-board a Navy ship

-



15. THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT YOUR FEELINGS ABOUT THE PERMANENT LOCATION WHERE YOU LIVE. If you live on base, answer for that base. If you live off-base, answer for that community.

Please mark each item below as:

LOCATION CHARACTERISTICS	-	• •	F _1.	Deer	Very	Does Not	Don't
Climate	Excellent	Good	Fair		Poor	Apply	Know
Distance to population centers	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	
Family's ability to handle cost of living	Õ	Ō	Ō	Ó	0	0	\odot
Availability of military housing	0	0	0	0	\odot	\bigcirc	
Quality of military housing	0	0	О	0	O	0	1
Availability of civilian housing	\circ	0	0	O	0	Ó	÷
Quality of civilian housing	0	0	С	0	0	C	2
Affordability of civilian housing	Cr.	\odot	0	0	Ċ	. `	
Attitudes of local residents toward military member	ers						
and families	\circ	0	0	0	0	\odot	<u>()</u>
Availability of Federal employment for spouse or	-	_	_				
dependents	C .	0	0	0	()	$\langle \cdot \rangle$	
Availability of other civilian employment for self,	-			~		-	
spouse or dependents	Õ	Q	Q	<u> </u>	Q	Ç	
Quality of schools for dependents	Q	õ	Õ	<u> </u>	O O	Q	
Availability of medical care for you	Õ	Ŏ	Q	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	<u> </u>
Quality of medical care for you	Ő	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	<u> </u>
Availability of medical care for spouse or dependent	\sim	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Q	Q	Ŏ
Quality of medical care for spouse or dependents	Q	Q	Q	Ő	· O	Õ	õ
Availability of a good house of worship	\circ	0	0	0	0	U U	\odot

16. HOW MUCH OF A PROBLEM IS EACH OF THE FOLLOWING AT THE LOCATION WHERE YOU PERMANENTLY LIVE? If you live on-base, answer for the base. If you live off-base, answer for that community. If you live onboard ship, answer for your ship. If you live in an on-station operational location, answer for that location.

For each item below, mark if it is:	Serious Problem	Somewhat of a Problem	Slight Problem	Not a Problem	Don't Know
Drug use	O	0	0	0	\odot
Alcohol use	0	C)	Ô	O -	
Crime	0	\bigcirc	C	Õ	0
Racial tension	0	\odot	\bigcirc	Ó	
Child abuse	0	О	С	0	\odot
Spouse abuse	C	0	()	\bigcirc	
Other family violence	0	С	Ċ.	\bigcirc	C.
Juvenile delinquency	C .	Ö	\bigcirc	\odot	
Rape	Ó	0	0	0	-
Gang activity	C.	<u>C</u> i	0	χ.	
Pornography	0 ·	O	0	<u>()</u>	·

ွှစ္

ି 1 ି 2 ି 3

ි4 () 5

1

17.	. In all the time you've been on active duty, how many
	times did your spouse/dependents move to a new
	location because of your permanent change of station
	(PCS)?
	C Decement and I deall have any ensure/dependents

... Does not apply, I don't have any spouse/dependents

() o	5 / 6
्र <u>ी</u>	. 7
2	< 8
. 3	· 9
4	10 or more
5	

18. In all the time you have been on active duty, how many times did you move to a new location because of your permanent change of station (PCS)? Do not count permanent change of assignment (PCA).



- 5 -

-



USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY 31. If you were guaranteed a promotion to the next higher 27. How likely are you to reenlist at the end of your current pay grade, how likely would you be to reenlist at the term of service? Assume that all special pays which you end of your current term? Assume that all special pays currently receive are still available. Mark One. which you currently receive are still available. Mark One. O Does not apply. I plan to retire Does not apply. I plan to retire O Does not apply, I plan to leave the Service O Does not apply. I plan to leave the Service Does not apply. I do not expect any more promotions () (0 in 10) No chance ○ (1 in 10) Very slight possibility 🗧 (0 in 10) No chance ○ (2 in 10) Slight possibility (1 in 10) Very slight possibility (3 in 10) Some possibility (2 in 10) Slight possibility (4 in 10) Fair possibility ○ (5 in 10) Fairly good possibility (3 in 10) Some possibility 🤇 (4 in 10) Fair possibility C (6 in 10) Good possibility (5 in 10) Fairly good possibility 🔆 (7 in 10) Probable (6 in 10) Good possibility ... (8 in 10) Very probable (7 in 10) Probable (9 in 10) Almost sure (8 in 10) Very probable 😳 (10 in 10) Certain (9 in 10) Almost sure Don't know (10 in 10) Certain : Don't know 28. How much influence does your spouse have on your decision about reenlisting at the end of your current term of service? O Does not apply, I am not married (GO TO Q30) 32. If you were guaranteed retraining in a skill with better O A good deal of influence career opportunities than your current one, how likely ○ A little influence would you be to reenlist at the end of your current ○ No influence term? Assume that all special pays which you currently receive are still available. Mark One. O Does not apply, I do not wish to retrain into another skill 29. Has your spouse's support for your decision about C Does not apply, I plan to retire reenlisting changed in the past year? O Does not apply, I plan to leave the Service ① Yes, increased Yes, decreased (0 in 10) No chance O No, has not changed ○ (1 in 10) Very slight possibility (2 in 10) Slight possibility (3 in 10) Some possibility 30. If you were guaranteed a choice of location for your (4 in 10) Fair possibility next tour, how likely would you be to reenlist at the end (5 in 10) Fairly good possibility of your current term? Assume that all special pays which you currently receive are still available. Mark One. (6 in 10) Good possibility ○ (7 in 10) Probable ... Does not apply. I plan to retire C (8 in 10) Very probable Does not apply. I plan to leave the Service . (9 in 10) Almost sure 💭 (10 in 10) Certain (0 in 10) No chance (1 in 10) Very slight possibility 👃 (2 in 10) Slight possibility Oon't know. (3 in 10) Some possibility 🔆 (4 in 10) Fair possibility 🗧 (5 in 10) Fairly good possibility

- 7 -

(6 in 10) Good possibility
(7 in 10) Probable
(8 in 10) Very probable
(9 in 10) Almost sure
(10 in 10) Certain

🗇 Don't know

	MILY CHARACTERISTICS
33. Are you male or female?	39. When you FIRST ENTERED ACTIVE SERVICE, wh
C Male	was the highest school grade or academic degree
C Female	that you had? DO NOT INCLUDE DEGREES FROM
	TECHNICAL/TRADE OR VOCATIONAL SCHOOLS.
	Mark One.
34. How old were you on your last birthday?	Less than 12 years of school (no diploma)
set the order of the	GED or other high school equivalency certificate
Age Last Birthday	High school diploma
	Some college, but did not graduate
	2-year college degree
	4 year college degree (BA/BS)
	Some graduate school
	C Master's degree (MA/MS)
() () ()	Doctoral degree (PhD/MD/LLB)
4 8 4 9	Other degree not listed above
ै. ५ 5 (5)	
5. (5. (6. (6)	40. AS OF TODAY, what is the <i>highest</i> school grade of
	academic degree that you have? DO NOT INCLUE
(7) (8)	DEGREES FROM TECHNICAL/TRADE OR
(8)	. VOCATIONAL SCHOOLS. Mark One.
	 Uccarioval schools, mark one. Less than 12 years of school (no diploma)
	O GED or other high school equivalency certificate
	O High school diploma
35. Where were you born?	Some college, but did not graduate
O In the United States	\bigcirc 2-year college degree
\bigcirc Outside the United States to military parents	⊖ 2-year college degree (BA/BS)
\bigcirc Outside the United States to non-military parents	Some graduate school
	O Master's degree (MA/MS)
	C Doctoral degree (PhD/MD/LLB)
36. Are you:	C Other degree not listed above
C American Indian/Alaskan Native	Other degree not listed above
 Black/Negro/African-American Oriental/Asian/Chinese/Japanese/Korean/Filipino/Pacific 	41. If you attended (or are now attending) college, wi
	kind of school was/is it? Mark ALL that apply.
Islander	O Does not apply, I do/did not attend college
O White/Caucasian	 O Vocational/trade/business, or other career training
Other (specify):	school
	() Junior or community college (2-year)
	\bigcirc Four-year college or university
	Graduate/professional school
The use of Conside Historic subject of descent	Specialized Service Career School or Profession
37. Are you of Spanish Hispanic origin or descent?	Military Education Institution
No (not Spanish Hispanic)	Other
Yes, Mexican-Mexican-American/Chicano	
C Yes. Puerto Rican	42. During 1991, did you attend a civilian school?
Ves, Cuban	↓ No, was not interested in attending
C Yes. Central or South American	\bigcirc No, could not get tuition assistance for the progra
C Yes, other Spanish Hispanic	wanted
	Warned O No, due to conflict with work schedule
00 A	\bigcirc No, for personal reasons
38. Are you currently pregnant?	\bigcirc Yes, attended at own expense
Does not apply	C Yes, attended at Service expense
Yes	 Yes, attended at Service expense Yes, attended partially at Service expense, partial
() No	
	own expense
4	



- 43. Which of the following Educational Assistance Programs are you eligible to receive benefits under? Mark ALL that apply.
 - The Montgomery GI Bill (MGIB) Ċ
 - The Veterans Educational Assistance Program (VEAP)
 - Vietnam Era GI Bill (converted to MGIB)
 - Educational Assistance Test Program (EATP) ·
 - I am not eligible under any of these programs
 - C I don't know if I am eligible under any of these programs.
- 44. What is the highest grade or year of regular school or college that your MOTHER (or FEMALE GUARDIAN) and FATHER (or MALE GUARDIAN) have completed and gotten credit for? Mark your best estimate.

ELEMENTARY GRADES	MOTHER	FATHER
1st	O	0
2nd	00000000	Q
3rd	0	0000000
4th	Q	୍
5th	Q	<u> </u>
6 t h	Q	Q.
7th	Q	Q
8th	0	0
HIGH SCHOOL GRADES	-	~
9th	Q	Q
10th	Õ	Q
11th	0000	0000
12th (include GED)	O	Ó
COLLEGE (YRS OF CREDIT)	~	~
1	000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
2	Ö	Č
3	Q	Õ
4	Q	Ő
5	Ö	Q
6	Ő	Ö
7	Ŏ	ğ
8 or more	Ő	ğ
Don't know/unsure	0	O
. What is your <i>current</i> marital s	tatus? Mar	k only one

45. What is	your current marital status? Mark only one
answer.	~

- \bigcirc Married for the first time \bigcirc Widowed (GO TO Q52)
- Divorced (GO TO Q52) C Remarried
- C Never Married (GO TO Q59) Separated
- 46. Is your spouse currently serving on active duty in the Armed Forces or in the Reserve/Guard?
 - · No
 - Yes, in a Reserve/Guard Component
 - Yes, on active duty in the:
 - O Marine Corps Army C Navy ○ Air Force
- 47. Is your spouse currently living with you at your present permanent post, base or duty station?
 - ੁ Yes
 - . No

- 48. Is your spouse currently living on or near a military
 - base?
 - Yes No

49. When were you and your current spouse married?

	Year
19	
	00
	(1)(1) (2)(2)
	33
	@ @
	5) 6 6
	(7) (7)
	8 8
	(9) (9)



O	Very	well
\cap	Well	

0 Ο

⊖ One

🔾 Two

C Three

One

J Two

🗇 Three

C Fairly well O Not well at all

51. How well do you and your current spouse agree on your career plans?

Very	well	
Well		

O Fairly well O Not well at all

52. How many times have you been married? (Include your present marriage).

	0	Fo
	Ý	• •

our

Five or more

- 53. Did any of these marriages end in divorce?
 - ⊖ Yes
 - O No (GO TO Q59)

54. Did any of these divorces occur while on active duty? ⊖ Yes

- No (GO TO Q59)
- 55. How many times have you been divorced while on active duty?
 - C Four C Five or more
- 56. Did the court consider your retirement pay to be part of any divorce settlement? Mark ALL that apply.
 - O Yes, child support payments.
 - Yes. alimony payments
 - Yes, community property payments
 - No. my spouse received other property to offset interest in retirement
 - 🗇 No. it's all payable to me

	To what extent has your decision to sta Very great extent Great extent Moderate extent Slight extent Not at all	any divo ıy in the	orce set military	tleme / until	nt infl retire	uenco ment	ed :?	 58. To what extent do you feel to military contributed to any for the intervention of the intervent of the intervent		erving in th	he
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					V	DEPEN	DENTS			
	How many depended <u>Do not</u> include your of this question, a de blood, marriage, or a over half their suppo	rself or y pendent idoption,	/our sp is anyoi	ouse. ne rela	For th ated to	e purp vou t	pose by	62. Do you give child support t children live with? ○ Yes ○ No	o the pers	on(s) your	
	\bigcirc Does not apply. I		depend	ents (O	SO TO	Q77)	63. How many of your depende	nt childre	n have you	I
							1	adopted?	Three		
			Numbe	rotU	epenc	ients			Four or m	ore	
	Age of dependent Under 1 year	None	<u>1</u>	2	<u>3</u>	4 O	5 or more	⊖ Two			
	1 year to under 2 yea 2-5 years 6-13 years	ars Ö Ö Ö	00000	0.0		400000000		64. If you are a single-parent o married to a military memb family care plan?			ita
60.	14-22 years 23-64 years 65 years or over	O			1	Ŭ		 Yes 65. Are arrangements for your realistically workable for each 			
60.	14-22 years 23-64 years	O ents in Q ng age gi ermanent	luestior roups v t post, t	n 59 d vho <u>ci</u> base c	o you urrent	have I <u>y live</u>	e in e	65. Are arrangements for your	ch of the ry for eacl	following item. we with me.	,
60.	14-22 years 23-64 years 65 years or over How many depende each of the followin <u>with you</u> at your pe	O ents in Q ng age gi rmanent self or you	luestior roups v t post, t	n 59 d vho <u>ci</u> base d Se.	o you <u>urrent</u> or duty	have <u>Iv live</u> / stati	in <u>e</u> ion?	65. Are arrangements for your realistically workable for ea situations? Mark one catego ○ Not applicable, my childre Short-term emergency situat	ch of the ry for eacl n do not liv Yes on	following n item. ve with me.	,
60.	14-22 years 23-64 years 65 years or over How many depende each of the followin <u>with you</u> at your pe Do not include yours	O ents in Q ng age gi rmanent self or you	luestion roups v t post, t ur spous	n 59 d vho <u>ci</u> base d Se.	o you <u>urrent</u> or duty	have <u>Iv live</u> / stati	in <u>e</u> ion? 5 or	 65. Are arrangements for your realistically workable for easituations? Mark one catego Not applicable, my childre Short-term emergency situat such as a mobility exercise 	ch of the ry for eacl n do not liv Yes on	following n item. ve with me.	,
60.	14-22 years 23-64 years 65 years or over How many depende each of the followin <u>with you</u> at your pe Do not include yours Age of dependent Under 1 year	O ents in Q ng age gi rmanent self or you <u>None</u>	luestion roups v t post, t ur spous	n 59 d vho <u>ci</u> base d Se.	o you <u>urrent</u> or duty	have <u>Iv live</u> / stati	in <u>e</u> ion?	65. Are arrangements for your realistically workable for ea situations? Mark one catego ○ Not applicable, my childre Short-term emergency situat	ch of the ry for eacl n do not liv Yes on	following n item. ve with me.	,
60.	14-22 years 23-64 years 65 years or over How many depended each of the followin with you at your pe Do not include yours Age of dependent Under 1 year 1 year to under 2 ye 2-5 years	O ents in Q ng age gi rmanent self or you <u>None</u>	luestion roups v t post, t ur spous	n 59 d vho <u>ci</u> base d Se.	epence	have ly live y stati dents 4 0	5 or more	 65. Are arrangements for your realistically workable for easituations? Mark one categor O Not applicable, my children Short-term emergency situat such as a mobility exercise Long-term situation such as a 	ch of the ry for eacl n do not liv Yes on	following n item. re with me. Probably	,
	14-22 years 23-64 years 65 years or over How many depended each of the followin with you at your pe Do not include yours Age of dependent Under 1 year 1 year to under 2 ye 2-5 years 6-13 years 14-22 years 23-64 years 65 years or over	None None Sars	Numbe	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{n} \ 59 \ d\\ \mathbf{vho} \ \underline{cr}\\ \mathbf{oase} \ d\\ \mathbf{oase} \ d\\ \mathbf{r} \ \mathbf{of} \ \mathbf{D} \ \mathbf{O} \ $	epend sr duty	have $\frac{1}{12}$ have $\frac{1}{12$	5 or <u>more</u>	 65. Are arrangements for your realistically workable for easituations? Mark one categor O Not applicable, my childre Short-term emergency situat such as a mobility exercise Long-term situation such as a deployment Evacuation due to conflict or wartime situation 66. Who took care of your depryour longest TDY/deployment Mark ALL that apply. O Does not apply, have not 	ch of the ry for eacl n do not liv Yes on a unit C C endent ch ent in the	following in item. we with me. Probably O O O O Ildren durin past 12 mo	ng
	14-22 years 23-64 years 65 years or over How many depende each of the followin with you at your pe Do not include yours Age of dependent Under 1 year 1 year to under 2 ye 2-5 years 6-13 years 14-22 years 23-64 years 65 years or over If you have depend not currently live w	O ents in Q ing age gu rmanent self or you O ars O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Nuestion roups v t post, t ur spous Numbe	n 59 d who <u>cr</u> pase d se. r of D 2000000 00000000000000000000000000000	epend 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	have ly live / stati dents 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\frac{5 \text{ or }}{\frac{5}{2}}$	 65. Are arrangements for your realistically workable for easituations? Mark one catego Not applicable, my childred Short-term emergency situat such as a mobility exercise Long-term situation such as a deployment Evacuation due to conflict or wartime situation 66. Who took care of your deployment TDY/deployment Mark ALL that apply. 	ch of the ry for eacl n do not liv Yes on a unit C endent ch ent in the peen on Ti	following in item. we with me. Probably O O O O Ildren durin past 12 mo DY or deploy	ng ont
	14-22 years 23-64 years 65 years or over How many depende each of the followin with you at your pe Do not include yours Age of dependent Under 1 year 1 year to under 2 ye 2-5 years 6-13 years 14-22 years 23-64 years 65 years or over If you have depend	None None Self or you None Self or you Self or	Auestion roups v t post, t ur spous Numbe	n 59 d who <u>cr</u> pase d se. r of D 20000000 0000000000000000000000000000	epend 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	have ly live / stati dents 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\frac{5 \text{ or }}{\frac{5}{2}}$	 65. Are arrangements for your realistically workable for easituations? Mark one categor is Not applicable, my children Short-term emergency situat such as a mobility exercise Long-term situation such as a deployment Evacuation due to conflict or wartime situation 66. Who took care of your deployment and the situation 66. Who took care of your deployment and the situation 66. Who took care of your deployment and the situation 66. Who took care of your deployment and the situation 66. Who took care of your deployment and the situation 66. Who took care of your deployment and the situation 66. Who took care of your deployment and the situation and the sit	ch of the ry for eacl n do not lin Yes on a unit C endent ch ent in the peen on Th en did not i elf	following in item. we with me. Probably O O O O Ildren durin past 12 mo DY or deploy ive with me	ng ont ye at



010 00000							
are away? © Does not apply. I do not have any	family (GO TO O82	1					
C. Dues not apply, 100 not have any		,					
		ery Seldo			0 /1	Very Often	
		or Never	Seldom	Sometimes	Often	or Always	Apply
Your family's safety in their communi	ity achold consists dono.	00	5	ž	<u> </u>		Š
Your family's ability to get car or hous	senoid repairs done		·	N	-		
Your family having enough money to	meet expenses, pa	0	0	0	0	0	O
bills, etc. Your child(ren)'s health and well-beir	10	ŏ	000	000	000	0000	\odot
Your family's safety in the event of w		00	Õ	Ō	Ó	0	0
Tour raminy's safety in the event of the			-				
30. How well did or would your spous	e take care of the f	ollowing	in your abse	nce?			
O Does not apply, I do not have a sp							
	Very		Neither Wel		Very	Does Not	Don' Knov
	Well	Well	nor Poorly	Poorly	Poorly	Apply	- C
Child care	õ	O C	\mathcal{O}		\sim	- A	\sim
Family member's health	20	-) 	ă	ŏ	ŏ	,)	ŏ
Family finances	O O		- O	Ğ	\mathbf{C}		č
Housing	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	000	ŏ
Emotional or parenting problems	O O		Š	ŏ	Ő	Ú,	- Č
Evacuation of family members	U		\bigcirc				
81. <u>In the past year</u> , how many month military assignment? Include TDYs O Does not apply, I do not have a s	s, remotes, deploym	ents, sch	arated from y ools, etc.	our spouse	or depen	dents becau	se of yo
81. <u>In the past year</u> , how many month military assignment? Include TDYs O Does not apply, I do not have a s O None	s, remotes, deploym	ents, sch	arated from y ools, etc.	our spouse	or depen	dents becau	se of yo
military assignment? Include TDYs O Does not apply, I do not have a s	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent O 6 months O 7 months	ents, sch	arated from y ools, etc.	our spouse	or depen	dents becau	se of yo
military assignment? Include TDYs O Does not apply, I do not have a s O None	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months	ents, sch	arated from y ools, etc.	our spouse	or depen	dents becau	se of yo
military assignment? Include TDYs O Does not apply, I do not have a s O None O Less than 1 month	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent O 6 months O 7 months O 8 months O 9 months	ents, sch s	arated from y ools, etc.	our spouse	or depen	dents becau	se of yo
military assignment? Include TDYs O Does not apply, I do not have a s O None O Less than 1 month O 1 month	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent O 6 months O 7 months O 8 months O 9 months O 10 months	ents, sch s	arated from y ools, etc.	our s pouse	or depen	dents becau	se of yo
military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 9 months 0 10 months 0 11 months	ents, sch	arated from y ools, etc.	our spouse	or depen	dents becau	se of yo
military assignment? Include TDYs O Does not apply, I do not have a s O None O Less than 1 month O 1 month O 2 months O 3 months	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent O 6 months O 7 months O 8 months O 9 months O 10 months	ents, sch	arated from y ools, etc.	our spouse	or depen	dents becau	se of you
military assignment? Include TDYs O Does not apply, I do not have a s O None O Less than 1 month O 1 month O 2 months O 3 months O 4 months O 5 months S 5 months None None D Less than 1 month D 2 months D 3 months D 4 months D 5 m	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 10 months 0 11 months 12 months	ents, sch	ools, etc. npłetely sepa	rated from y		x	
 military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months 82. In your <u>total military career</u>, how to because of your military assignments 	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 10 months 0 11 months 12 months many months were pents? Include TDY,	ents, sch is you con remotes.	ools, etc. npletely sepa deployment, s	rated from y		x	
military assignment? Include TDYs O Does not apply, I do not have a s O None O Less than 1 month O 1 month O 2 months O 3 months O 4 months O 5 months S 5 months None None D Less than 1 month D 2 months D 3 months D 4 months D 5 m	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 10 months 0 11 months 12 months many months were pents? Include TDY,	ents, sch is you con remotes.	ools, etc. npletely sepa deployment, s	rated from y		x	
 military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months 5 months 82. In your <u>total military career</u> , how not because of your military assignm Does not apply, no spouse or de 	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 10 months 0 11 months 12 months many months were pents? Include TDY,	ents, sch is you con remotes.	ools, etc. npletely sepa deployment, s	rated from y		x	
 military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months 82. In your <u>total military career</u> , how n because of your military assignm Does not apply, no spouse or de None 	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 10 months 0 11 months 12 months many months were pents? Include TDY,	ents, sch is you con remotes.	ools, etc. npletely sepa deployment, s	rated from y		x	
 military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months 82. In your <u>total military career</u> , how to because of your military assignm Does not apply, no spouse or de None Less than 3 months 	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 10 months 0 11 months 12 months many months were pents? Include TDY,	ents, sch is you con remotes.	ools, etc. npletely sepa deployment, s	rated from y		x	
 military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months 82. In your <u>total military career</u> , how the because of your military assignm Does not apply, no spouse or de None Less than 3 months 3-4 months 	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 10 months 0 11 months 12 months many months were pents? Include TDY,	ents, sch is you con remotes.	ools, etc. npletely sepa deployment, s	rated from y		x	
 military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months 82. In your <u>total military career</u> , how to because of your military assignm Does not apply, no spouse or de None Less than 3 months 3-4 months 5-6 months 	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 9 months 0 10 months 12 months many months were nents? Include TDY, pendents during mili	ents, sch is you con remotes.	ools, etc. npletely sepa deployment, s	rated from y		x	
 military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months 82. In your <u>total military career</u> , how the because of your military assignm Does not apply, no spouse or de None Less than 3 months 3-4 months 5-6 months More than 6 months but less that 	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 9 months 0 10 months 12 months many months were nents? Include TDY, pendents during mili	ents, sch is you con remotes.	ools, etc. npletely sepa deployment, s	rated from y		x	
 military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months 82. In your total military career, how the because of your military assignm Does not apply, no spouse or de None Less than 3 months 3-4 months 5-6 months More than 6 months but less that 1-2 years 	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 9 months 0 10 months 12 months many months were nents? Include TDY, pendents during mili	ents, sch is you con remotes.	ools, etc. npletely sepa deployment, s	rated from y		x	
 military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months 82. In your <u>total military career</u> , how the because of your military assignm Does not apply, no spouse or de None Less than 3 months 3-4 months 5-6 months More than 6 months but less that 	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 9 months 0 10 months 12 months many months were nents? Include TDY, pendents during mili	ents, sch is you con remotes.	ools, etc. npletely sepa deployment, s	rated from y		x	
 military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months 82. In your <u>total military career</u> , how the because of your military assignm Does not apply, no spouse or de None Less than 3 months 3-4 months 5-6 months More than 6 months but less that 1-2 years 3-4 years Over 4 years 	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 9 months 0 10 months 12 months many months were hents? Include TDY, pendents during mili	ents, sch s you con remotes. itary care	n pletely sepa deployment, s er	rated from y schools, etc.	our spou	se or depend	dents
 military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 3 months 4 months 5 months 82. In your <u>total military career</u> , how the because of your military assignm Does not apply, no spouse or de None Less than 3 months 3-4 months 3-4 months 5-6 months More than 6 months but less that 1-2 years 3-4 years Over 4 years 83. Did the government pay for your	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 9 months 0 10 months 12 months many months were hents? Include TDY, pendents during mili	ents, sch s you con remotes. itary care	n pletely sepa deployment, s er	rated from y schools, etc.	our spou	se or depend	dents
 military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months 5 months 82. In your total military career, how to because of your military assignm Does not apply, no spouse or de None Less than 3 months 3-4 months 5-6 months 5-6 months More than 6 months but less that 1-2 years 3-4 years Over 4 years 83. Did the government pay for your station?	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 9 months 0 10 months 0 11 months 12 months many months were tents? Include TDY, pendents during mili	ents, sch s you con remotes. itary care	n pletely sepa deployment, s er	rated from y schools, etc.	our spou	se or depend	dents
 military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 3 months 4 months 5 months 82. In your <u>total military career</u> , how the because of your military assignm Does not apply, no spouse or de None Less than 3 months 3-4 months 3-4 months 5-6 months More than 6 months but less that 1-2 years 3-4 years Over 4 years 83. Did the government pay for your	s, remotes, deploym pouse or dependent 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 9 months 0 10 months 0 11 months 12 months many months were tents? Include TDY, pendents during mili	ents, sch s you con remotes. itary care	n pletely sepa deployment, s er	rated from y schools, etc.	our spou	se or depend	dents

-

VI MILITARY COMPENSATION	I, BENEFITS, AND PROGRAMS
EVERYONE SHOULD A	NSWER THIS SECTION
Ale Do you receive a MONTHLY Basic Allowance for Quarters (BAQ)? (BAQ is a payment for housing.) ○ Does not apply, I live in base/government housing ○ Yes, partial BAQ ○ Yes, full BAQ ○ No 35. Do you receive a Basic Allowance for Subsistence (BAS) or Separate Rations? (These are payments for food.) ○ Yes ○ No 36. What is the amount of the MONTHLY Federal Tax Advantage of your combined Quarters and Food Allowances (BAS or Separate Rations and BAQ)? If you are uncertain of the exact amount, please give your best estimate. ○ I do not receive BAS or Separate Rations and BAQ. ○ I never heard of the Federal Tax Advantage. ○ I don't know the amount of the Fed	 87. Which of the following special monthly pays or allowances do you <i>currently</i> receive? Mark ALL that apply. I don't receive <u>ANY</u> special monthly pays. Jump Pay Sea Pay Submarine Pay Foreign Duty Pay Overseas Cost of Living Allowance Variable Housing Allowance Selective Reenlistment Bonus (SRB) Overseas Tour Extension Incentive Pay Deployment Related Allowances Other Special Pays or Allowances Other Special Pays or Allowances 88. As an alternative to CHAMPUS (Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services) for your dependents would you join a prepaid local health maintenance organization (HMO)? Assume you would be required to pay a total monthly fee of \$20. Does not apply. I have no dependents Yes No Do you personally have any current health coverage from any civilian health insurance or health maintenance organization (HMO)? Mark ALL that apply. No Yes. through my current former civilian employer Yes. through my spouse's current/former civilian employer Yes. purchased separately Yes. through other (specify):

90. In the past year, what portion of <u>your spouse's and/or dependent's</u> health care was received from each of the following sources? Include prescription drugs as well as visits to physicians and other health care professionals for check-ups/treatment.

Does not apply. I have no spouse or dependents.	PERCENT						
	None	1-20	21-40	41-60	61-80	81-100	
From military hospital medical facility/PRIMUS/NAVCARE	· · · ·		N	×2	\odot	0	
Through CHAMPUS (include CHAMPUS REFORM INITIATIVE							
PROGRAM)					O .	0	
Through civilian plan/HMO	2		<u>,</u>	0	C	0	
Purchased directly				5	O	Ô	
Through other (specify):	م م		C	0	0	0	

•

91. In the past year, what portion of <u>your</u> health care was receindrugs as well as visits to physicians and other health care profections.				urces? i	nclude pi	rescription		
	PERCENT							
	None	1-20	21-40	41-60	61-80	81-100		
From military hospital medical facility/PRIMUS/NAVCARE	C	Ó	Ĉ		÷٠	C .		
Through CHAMPUS (include CHAMPUS REFORM INITIATIVE								
PROGRAM)		5			-	٠.		
Through civilian plan/HMO	0	C		N. 1	\odot	Ć.		
Purchased directly		~		÷.,				
Through other (specify):	0	C.		-	-	() ()		
92. How much did you spend on health care services and	97. Do you	have a c	urrent wi	ritten wil	1?			
products (for you and your family) last year? Include	Yes			Dont	know			
CHAMPUS deductibles, civilian insurance premiums, drugs,	No							
etc. Do not include dental care.								
Less than \$100	98. Does <u>ar</u>			old your	power-c	of-attorne		
\$101 - \$200		my spous						
O \$201 - \$300		someone	other that	in my spo	ouse			
Q \$301 - \$ 500	💭 No							
Q \$501 - \$800	. 🔿 Don't	know						
O \$801 - \$1,000								
O More than \$1,000	99. Do you plan to elect the Survivor Benefit Plan upo retirement? Mark One.							
93. Are you currently enrolled in the Delta Dental Program or	. Uncertain, am not aware of the plan at all							
some other dental benefits program? Mark ALL that apply.	 Uncertain, am aware of the plan but want to study Uncertain, do not understand the plan clearly No. I plan to leave the Service before retirement 							
💭 No								
\bigcirc Yes, the Delta Dental Program								
🕖 Yes, my spouse's civilian dental program	No, n	o survivo	rs					
Yes, other private dental insurance	No. can get better coverage elsewhere No. too expensive							
94. How much did you spend for dental treatment (for you	Yes, will only elect minimum coverage							
and your family) last year? (Include Delta Dental Program	Yes, will elect more than minimum coverage but							
and civilian premiums as well as direct payments for	than full					-		
treatment.)	Yes, will elect full coverage							
Less than \$100				0				
S101 - 5200	100. How valuable is the current retirement system							
S201 - 5300	Very valuable C ⁺ some value							
. \$301 - \$500		rately va	luable		value			
\$501 SECO		-		-				
\$801 - S1.000	101. Compar	ing your	job level	to a cor	nparable	e civilian		
More than \$1,000			feel the		-			
		r than mo		Wors		-		
95. Comparing your job level to a comparable civilian	About	the sam	e	Dont	know			
position, do you feel your health (including dental)								
benefits are:	102. What is	your est	imate of	the total	annual	value of		
Better than most	102. What is your estimate of the total annual value of pay and allowances and benefits? (Pay, allowance)							
About the same								
Worse than most	medical, exchange, commissary, retirement, etc.):							
Don't know		01 - \$30						
- · · ·		01 - \$40.						
		01 - \$50						
96. Do you have Life Insurance?								
96. Do you have Life Insurance?	S50.0	U1mnu						
No	 \$50.0 \$60.0 							
No Yes. SGLI	\$60.0	01 - \$70	.000					
No	\$60.0 More		.000					



103. For each program or service listed below, please mark (a) whether you have ever used it at your present permanent location and (b) how important its availability is to you.

	A) Used Service/Pi		B) Importance				·
	Yes	No	Very Important	Important	Neither Important nor Unimportant	Un-	Very Un- important
Bowling centers	-	Õ	0	0	Ö	\bigcirc	
Golf courses	Ō	- Õ		Õ	õ	õ	ŏ
Marinas	000000000000	000000	00000000000000	Ĉ	ŏ	00000000000000000	ŏ
Stables	õ	Õ	Ð	õ	Õ	ŏ	ŏ
Fitness centers	Õ	Õ	Õ	Õ	Õ	Õ	ŏ
Youth activities	Č	Ō	Õ	Ō	Õ	ō	Õ
Libraries	Ó	Õ	Č	Ō	Õ	Õ	ŏ
Arts and crafts center	7.5	÷,	·	0000000000	000000000	Ō	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Tours and tickets	0	20000	Ó	Ō	Õ	Ō	Õ
Recreation gear issue	Ó	Ó	Ċ,	0	Ō	Ō	õ
Main exchange	0	О	С	0	Ō	Ō	Õ
7-Day Store/Shoppette	Ó	<u></u>	0	0	Ō	Õ	õ
Clubs	0	\odot	0	Ó	Ó	Ō	Õ
Temporary lodging facilities (e.g., Navy lodge, transient billeting)	0	О	С	0	Ō	0	0
Cabins, cottages and cabanas	0	0		0	0	Õ	Õ
Laundry/dry cleaning	0	0	0000000	0000000	000000	0000000	Õ
Photo hobby shop	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó
Auto repair centers	Õ O	0	0	0	0	0	Ō
Auto hobby shop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rentals/equipment	Õ Õ	0	Ó	0	0	0	O
Animal care clinics	0	000000000	0	0	0	0	00000000
Auto/truck rental	0	С	ÕÕ	ŎŎ	Ō	Õ	0
Commissary	0	0	0	0	0	Õ	Õ

104. Did you vote in the last local election? In the last Presidential election?

Last local election

- Yes, in person at the polls
- Yes, by absentee ballot
- . No

Last Presidential election

- Ves, in person at the polls
- C Yes, by absentee ballot
- ⊖ No

- 15 -
USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY

 105. For each family program or service listed below, please mark (a) whether you have ever used it at your present
 permanent duty location and (b) your level of satisfaction if you have used it -

	A) Used the Service/Program B) Satisfaction			ŧ	B) Satisfactio	n	
	Yes	No	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Dis- satisfied	Very Dis- satisfied
Family Support Centers/Family Service Center/Army Community Service	С	0	0	0	0	0	0
Individual counseling/therapy	О	0	С	\bigcirc	C	Q	0
Marriage and family counseling/therapy/ enrichment	0	C	0	0	C	0	0
Services to individuals or families concerning military separation/deployment	C	0	O	0	C	Ο	0
Chaplain services/religious opportunities	О	0	0	0	0	0	Ο
Parent education	0	0	0	0	Ο	0	0
Youth/adolescent programs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Child care services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Financial counseling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Single-parent programs	Ο	O	0	0	O	Ο	Õ
Pre-marital programs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Services for families with special needs (e.g handicapped, gifted)	G	0	C	0	0	0	C
Crisis referral services	0	O	C	0	0	0	С
Spouse employment services	Ő	0	O	0	0	Ċ	, O
Spouse/child abuse services	С	0	О	0	\odot	O	0
Alcohol treatment/drug abuse programs	\odot	Ő	0	\bigcirc	Ó	ι.	
Rape counseling services	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	C	0	0	O
Legal assistance	Û,		0	0	<u>о</u> ,	O	Ċ
Relocation assistance services	С	С	C	0	0	0	О
Information and referral services	C	Ċ	0	Ó	0	Ó	Ċ.
Stress management programs	С	0	0	0	0	0 -	С
Suicide prevention programs	C	0	C	0	C	Q	\bigcirc
Transition assistance/pre-retirement/separa from military		0	0	О	0	0	O
Housing Office services	Ó	0	0	0	0	C.	

- 16 -

VII CIVILIAN LA	BOR FORCE	EXPERIENCE		
A. YOUR (OWN EXPERI	ENCE		
In the fast month, how many hours did you perform volunteer work for an on- or off-base activity? Mark one in each column. No. of Hours On-base Off-base Did not perform volunteer work O O Less than 5 hours O O 5 to 10 hours O O More than 10 hours O O	<u>the av</u> busin		0.00 (-).	
What would increase your interest/ability to volunteer Mark ALL that apply. Parking privileges Volunteering with a triend More volunteer assignments of interest Reimbursement of expenses Child care More recognition for volunteer assignments Opportunity for useful training for the future Better leadership of volunteers Better organization of volunteers Other (specify): Nothing would increase interest-ability	109. Altoge earned	l before taxes an <u>your off-duty he</u>	t was the total and other deduction $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$	
	€ \$10	0.000 or more	99999	
How much did each of the following contribute to you Mark each item as:			9 9 9 9 9	Major Contribution
Mark each item as: Needed additional income to meet basic	r having a second	job or your own - Minor	business? Moderate Contribution	
Mark each item as: Needed additional income to meet basic expenses	n having a second No Contribution	job or your own - Minor	່ອັອີອີອີອີອີອີອີອອີອອີອອີອອີອອີອອີອອີອອີ	
Mark each item as: Needed additional income to meet basic expenses Nice to have extra income to use now	n having a second No Contribution	job or your own Minor Contribution	business? Moderate Contribution	
Mark each item as: Needed additional income to meet basic expenses Nice to have extra income to use now Saving extra income for future needs	r having a second	job or your own Minor Contribution	business? Moderate Contribution	
Mark each item as: Needed additional income to meet basic expenses Nice to have extra income to use now Saving extra income for future needs Independence	n having a second No Contribution	job or your own Minor Contribution	business? Moderate Contribution	
Mark each item as: Needed additional income to meet basic expenses Nice to have extra income to use now Saving extra income for future needs Independence Self-esteem	n having a second No Contribution	job or your own Minor Contribution	business? Moderate Contribution	
Mark each item as: Needed additional income to meet basic expenses Nice to have extra income to use now Saving extra income for future needs Independence Self-esteem Enjoyment of work itself	n having a second No Contribution	job or your own Minor Contribution	business? Moderate Contribution	
Mark each item as: Needed additional income to meet basic expenses Nice to have extra income to use now Saving extra income for future needs Independence Self-esteem	n having a second No Contribution	job or your own Minor Contribution	business? Moderate Contribution	

110. How much did each of the following contribute to your having a second job or your own business?

Mark each item as:	No			
	Contribution	Minor Contribution	Moderate Contribution	Major Contribution
Needed additional income to meet basic				Contrabotion
expenses	С		C	С
Nice to have extra income to use now	<u></u>			õ
Saving extra income for future needs	Č,	O .	0	ŏ
Independence	÷.		2	ŏ
Self-esteem	Č	Ó	Ő	ŏ
Enjoyment of work itself	·		<u>.</u>	ŏ
To gain experience for a non-military second				Ũ
career	C		Ċ	0
Other (specify):	2. 	-	×	ŏ

.

_

111. In the past 12 months, have you received any job offers	114. If you were to leave the Service NOW and tried to fin
for a civilian job which you could take if you leave the	a civilian job, how likely would you be to find a good
Service?	<u>civilian job</u> ? Mark One.
Yes	^(*) (0 to 10) No chance
U/ No	(1 in 10) Very slight possibility
	\cup (2 in 10) Slight possibility
112. Have you actively looked for civilian employment within	(3 in 10) Some possibility
the past 12 months?	🔘 (4 in 10) Fair possibility
.) Yes	(5 in 10) Fairly good possibility
· No	(6 in 10) Good possibility
	(7 in 10) Probable
13. Do you expect to be involuntarily separated within the	., (8 in 10) Very probable
next 12 months during force reductions?) (9 in 10) Almost sure
Yes	🗋 (10 in 10) Certain
No	
Don't know	Don't know

= 115. How concerned are you about the following as a result of the current talk about force reductions in the military

strength?	Very Greatly Concerned	Greatly Concerned	Moderately Concerned	Somewhat Concerned	Not At All Concerned
Your long-term opportunities in the military	0	\odot	0	0	0
The kind of work you plan to go into if you					
leave the military	÷.	. /	* .e		0
Whether you will be able to get a civilian jol	C				
quickly if needed	O	0	0	0	0
The financial burden on you and/or your far should you have to leave the military	nily				
unexpectedly	0	0	0	Ö	0
Ability to adjust to civilian life	0	Ó	Ō	Ō	Õ

B. YOUR SPOUSE'S EXPERIENCE. IF NOT MARRIED, GO TO Q118

- 18 -

116. Is your SPOUSE currently: Mark ALL that apply.

- Fuil-time in the Armed Forces
- ... In Reserve or National Guard

- Working full-time in Federal civilian job Working full-time in other civilian job Working part-time in Federal civilian job
- UWorking part-time in other civilian job
- Self-employed in his or her own business With a job, but not at work because of TEMPORARY illness, vacation, strike, etc.

- Unpaid worker (volunteer or in family business)
- Unemployed, laid off, or looking for work
- Not looking for work but would like to work *ت*_ ا
- In school
- . Retired
- A homemaker
- Other

- 117. To what extent does your spouse's job interfere with your military job?
 - Does not apply, spouse not employed
 - Completely
 - A great deal
 - Somewhat
 - Uery little
 - 💭 Not at all



VIII FAMILY RESOURCES

118. During 1991, did <u>vou or your spouse</u> receive any income from the following sources? Mark 'YES' or 'NO' for each item.

RECEIVE INCOME SOURCE

Yes No

- O O Alimony, child support or other regular contributions from persons not living in your household
 Supplemental Security Income
- O Public Welfare or Assistance
 - WIC (food program for women, infants, and children)
- O Government Food Stamps
- 119. During 1991, how much did you and/or your spouse receive from the income sources listed in Q118? Do not include earnings from wages or salaries in this question. Give your best estimate.
 - O No income from sources in Q118.



120. During 1991, did you or your spouse receive any income from the following sources? Mark 'YES' or 'NO' for each item.

RECEIVE INCOME SOURCE

Yes	No	
с. 1 л	<i>.</i> ,	Interest and Dividends on Savings
		Stocks, Bonds or Other Investments
Ċ	•	Unemployment Compensation or Worker's
		Compensation
		Pensions from Federal, State or Local
		Government
0	0	Pensions from Private Employer or Union
\odot	٩.,	Social Security or Railroad Retirement
\odot	0	Anything else not including earnings from
		wages or salaries

121. During 1991, how much did you or your spouse receive from the income sources listed in Q120? Do not include earnings from wages or salaries in this question. Give your best estimate.

O No income from sources in Q120.



122. As of today, what is your estimate of your mortgage debt? (Include all properties and any second mortgages or home equity loans).

O Does not apply, I do not own any property.

		Amount
\$		
	0	0, 0 (0) 0 (0)
AMOUNT	1	OI OI O
	.2	2,12 2,2,2
	3	33333
	4	4.4 (4) 4 (4)
	5	5 5 5 5 5
	Έ	6.6 6 6
	7	7.7 7.7 2
	.8	8 8 8 8 8
	9,	(9, 9, (9), 9, (9)

○ \$1,000,000 or more

123. As of today, what is your estimate of the value of your current properties?

C Does not apply, I do not own any property.



USE NO. 2 PI	ENCIL ONLY
124. As of today, what is your estimate of the total amount of any other outstanding debts? <u>Exclude</u> any mortgages shown in Q122.	125. As of today, what is your estimate of the total amount of your assets? <u>Exclude</u> your current property counted in Q123.
Amount \$ AMOUNT \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Amount S 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 5 6 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 9 9 9 9 9
	 126. Overall how do you feel about your/your family income; that is all the money that comes to you and other members of your family living with you? Very satisfied Satisfied Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied Dissatisfied Very dissatisfied
IX MILIT	ARY LIFE
127. How would you describe the morale of military personnel a ship, indicate the morale of personnel on board ship. Mark One MORALE IS VERY LOW	MORALE IS VERY HIGH
128. In the event of combat, how would you describe your <i>conf</i>	
C/ Does not apply, not in combat or combat support unit (GO T VERY LOW	VERY HIGH
129. How would you describe your unit's readiness for combat	? Mark One.
. VERY LOW	VERY HIGH ۲ (۲ (۲ (۲ (۲ (۲ (۲ (۲ (۲ (۲ (۲ (۲ (۲ (۲
	20 -

-



130. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about military life?

Mark each item as: Life in the military is about what I expected it to be My family could be better off if I took a civilian job	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree O O	Strongly Disagree	Does Not Apply
Members of my family were well prepared by my Service for the requirements and demands of my job	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military personnel in the future will not have as good retirement benefits as I have now My military pay and benefits will not keep up with inflation	00	CO	C O	00	00	00
Skills attained in my job are helpful in securing a good civilia job My current job assignment is important work	Ų	ŎŎ	Ö	0	00	00
My current job assignment is challenging work	00	<u> </u>		r O	C	Ó
My promotion opportunity is better than it would have been without this assignment	0		õ	0	00	0
I receive good support from my chain-of-command I receive good support from my supervisors	00	o c	С. С		ŏ	č
131. On the average, what is the total number of hours per week you work at your military job?	135.	In the last ye factors caus		uch stress	has each of	these
 ○ 40 hours ○ 41 - 50 hours ○ 51 - 60 hours ○ 61 - 80 hours ○ More than 80 hours 		Separation fi family PCS move	A Great Deal rom	Fair Amount S	Some Little	e None

132. What percent of your work hours are spent on

duty-related tasks?

- Less than 20 percent
- 21 40 percent
- 41 60 percent
- 61 80 percent

133. During the past year have the demands of your military job prevented you from taking annual leave?

- Yes
- No

134. In general, how satisfied are you with your current job?

- Very satisfied
- Satisfied
- Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- Dissatisfied
- Very dissatisfied

	A Great Deal	Fair Amount	Some	Little	None
Separation fro	m			_	_
family	C	0	Ō	Ö	Q
PCS move		े	0	Q	Q
Job situation	0	\odot	Q	Q	Q
Family situation		yes. S	<u> </u>	ੁ	\sim
Personal safe	ty	0	Q.	Q	Q
Health					ι,

136. What are the primary sources of any uncertainty you have right now about what you could expect from a

- military career? Mark ALL that apply. My lack of experience in the military
 My career goals are unclear
- Unclear promotion and assignment criteria
- Changes in military manpower needs
- Possible Congressional actions (budget, RIFS, etc.)
- Uncertainty about senior leadership
- Personal safety
- Other
- O Not applicable, I do not have any uncertainty

For an third second if a second	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfie
For each item, mark if you are: Personal freedom		C			_
Acquaintances/friendships	ŏ	Č	ŏ	ŏ	000
Work group/co-workers	Ğ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ
Assignment stability		<u> </u>	ŏ	ŏ	, .
Pay and allowances	C	$\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$	ŏ	Ĉ	Ū.
Environment for families		2	ŏ	ŏ	
Frequency of moves	Č	ó	õ	õ	Ö
Retirement benefits	7		Ĵ	Ū.	_
Opportunity to serve one's country	\odot	Ó	ŏ	0	\odot
Satisfaction with current job		-	Ū.	0	
Promotion opportunities	0	C	0	0	
Job training/in-service education		÷.	()	0	
Job security	1.	0	Ú.	O -	ξ
Working environmental conditions	÷.		ζ.)	Ú.	ξ. ¹
 Now, taking all things together, how with the military way of life? Very dissatisfied Dissatisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Neither dissatisfied nor satisfied 			We're interested in you would like to covered in this su Yes - Use the co	make, whether o rvey. Do you hav	r not the topi re any comm

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR ANSWERING THIS SURVEY. PLEASE SEAL THE SURVEY IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED.

Satisfied Very satisfied



COMMENT SHEET FOR ENLISTED PERSONNEL

Please provide us with any comments you may have regarding military policies or military life in general in the space below. Before commenting, please fill in one bubble in each section.

	Se	rvice:
Location:	🔾 Army	⊖ Air Force
CONUS COverseas	🔿 Navy	🤅 Marines
	-	

Thank you for completing this survey! Please seal the survey in the envelope provided.



1992 Department of Defense Survey of Enlisted Personnel

The Department of Defense is conducting a survey of military personnel from the Army, Navy, Marine Corps and Air Force. You have been selected to participate in this important survey. Please read the instructions before you begin the survey.

PRIVACY NOTICE

AUTHORITY: 10 U.S.C. 136

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE OR PURPOSES: Information collected in this survey is used to sample attitudes and/or discern perceptions of social problems observed by service members and to support additional manpower research activities. This information will assist in the formulation of policies which may be needed to improve the working environment.

ROUTINE USES: None

DISCLOSURE: Voluntary. Failure to respond will not result in any penalty to the respondent. However, maximum participation is encouraged so that the data will be complete and representative. Your survey instrument will be treated as confidential. All identifiable information will be used only by persons engaged in, and for the purposes of, the survey. Only group statistics will be reported. -

O NR	
O R O NE	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE SURVEY

Please use a No. 2 pencil.		• If y	/ou are ask ease record	ed to give n I as shown l	umbers for y below.	your answe	er,
• Make heavy black marks that fill the circ	>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	Exam	ıple:				
answer. • Please do not make stray marks of any		88	signed to y	now many m vour present	ionths have post, base,	you been ship or dut	ły
INCORRECT MARKS CORRECT M	ARK O		ation?				
Sometimes you will be asked to "Mark A	ALL that apply.'	•	•	er is 35 mon		Number N	
When this instruction appears you may than one answer.	mark more	• Wi	aking sure	nbers in the the last nun	nber is		0
Example:			-		t-hand box.	00	0
Example: If you attended (or are now attending) c kind of school was/is it? Mark ALL that	oliege, what	• Fil	ll in the unu	used boxes	with zeros.		3
 Does not apply, do/did not attend collect Vocational, trade, business, or other categories 	ge		nen, mark th Ich box.	he matching	i circle belov		6
school Junior or community college (two-year) Four-year college or university						0	8
 Graduate or professional school Specialized Service Career School Professional Military Education Instituti 	on	• Ar St	nswers to s EVEN-POIN	ome of the of SCALE.	questions w	ill be on a	
 Other If your answer is "junior or community of 	college	Exan	nple:				
(two-year)" and "four-year college or un then mark two circles clearly.	liversity,"	Ho	ow would yearsonnel at	ou describe your curren	the morale t location?	of military Mark One.	
 Sometimes you will be asked to "Mark (this instruction appears mark the answe applies. 	One." When er that best		MORALE IS VERY LOW			MORALE IS VERY HIGH	
Example:							
What is your pay grade? Mark One. C E1 C E5 C E9		• If	your answe ould mark t	er is "MORA the circle fo	LE IS VERY r number 1.	LOw, you	
 ○ E2 ● E6 ○ E3 ○ E7 ○ E4 ○ E8 		• if w	your answe ould mark 1	er is "MORA the circle fo	LE IS VERY r number 7.	' HIGH," you	U
 If your answer is E6, then just mark one shown above. 	ecircle as	• lf m	your opinic ark the circ	on is somev cle for numb	vhere inbetv per 2 <u>or</u> 3 <u>or</u>	veen, you w 4 <u>or</u> 5 <u>or</u> 6.	rould
Sometimes you will be asked to mark o	ne answer for	each item	•	-			
Example: THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT YOUR live on base, answer for that base. If yo	FEELINGS AB	OUT THE , answer f	PERMANE or that com	NT LOCATI	ON WHERE	YOU LIVE.	lf you
LOCATION CHARACTERISTICS					Very	Does Not	De
Mart and How on	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Poor	Apply	Kr
Mark each item as:	Q	0	0	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	
Climate	\cap			\sim	ž	ž	
Climate Distance to population centers	0	<u> </u>	Ă	\cap	0	0	
Climate	0000	000	000	0000		00	(((



10. In all the time you have been on active duty, how many months have you spent at an overseas Iocation? NAVY AND MARINE CORPS PERSONNEL: Please count total time assigned both ashore and to ships homeported at overseas locations, including extended TDYs and schools. No time at an overseas location ○ No time at an overseas location ○ ② ③ ③ ④ ④ ③ ④ ① ③ ④ ① ③ ④ ① ③ ④ ① ③ ④ ① ③ ④ ① ① ③ ① ① ◎ ① ① ④ ① ③ ④ ① ③ ④ ① ④ ④ ① ④ ④ ① ③ ④ ① ④ ④ ① ● ④ ① ● ④ ① ● ④ ① ● ④ ① ● ④ ① ● ④ ① ● ⑤ ① ● ⑤ ① ● ⑤ ① ● ⑤ ① ● ⑤ ① ● ⑥ ① ● ⑤ ① ● ⑤ ① ● ⑤ ① ● ⑤ ① ● ⑤ ① ● ⑤ ① ● ⑥ ① ● ⑥ ① ● ⑥ ①	11.1	FOR NAVY AN In all the time y months have y O No time on	you have be ou been or sea duty	een on active	e duty, how m Number Mont 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0	any hs
 12. THINK ABOUT YOUR PCS MOVE TO YOUR CURRENT PE if this is your first assignment. For each item below, mark if it was: Adjusting to a higher cost of living Temporary lodging expenses Costs of setting up new residence, e.g., curtains, carpeting, p Costs of selling/moving from old residence Transportation costs incurred during the move Finding off-duty employment for your spouse or dependents Continuing your education Continuing spouse/dependent education Transferability of college credits Finding dependent dental care Finding dependent medical care Finding child care Military treatment of dual-Service couples Children adjusting to new environment Adjusting yourself to new environment 	Serious Problem	Somewhat	Slight	Not a Problem O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	TION. Answe	Don't Know 000000000000000000000000000000000000
 13. At your permanent post, base, ship or duty station, what type of housing do you live in? Base/government housing (include BEQ, BOQ, MOQ, Transient Personnel Billeting, Barracks) Leased by the military for Service families Owned or being bought by you or someone in your household Rented for cash Owned by someone else and let without payment of cash rent Live on-board a Navy ship Navy lodge 	- 4 -	if you are pro housing do y Does not a Base/gove Transier Leased by Owned or househe Rented fo Owned by cash re Live on-b Navy lodg	you live in apply, I am ernment ho of Personne y the militar to being bou old or cash or cash y someone nt oard a Nav	? not deploye rusing (includ el Billeting, È ry for Service ght by you o else and let	d/TDY de BEQ, BOO Barracks) e families r someone in	Q, MOQ, I your



15. THE NEXT QUESTION IS ABOUT YOUR FEELINGS ABOUT THE PERMANENT LOCATION WHERE YOU LIVE. If you live on base, answer for that base. If you live off-base, answer for that community.

Please mark each item below as:

LOCATION CHARACTERISTICS					Very	Does Not	Don'i
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Poor	Apply	Know
Climate	s Q≿	₩Ŏ S.	ःठ्रंः	<u> </u>	္ဆစ္လဲျ	Si Q Mi	. 0
Distance to population centers	0	\mathbf{O}	Ö	U S	× X	Ŭ,	X
Family's ability to handle cost of living	<u> </u>	<u>i Q</u>	<u></u>	Ŏ	Si Q A		X
Availability of military housing	0	0	0		No. 1		Ř
Quality of military housing	<u> </u>	Ŏ	Ŏ				8
Availability of civilian housing	Q				X		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	<u> </u>	l Ŏ	al garaith	N Q	୍ର ପ୍ରାନ୍ତ		Ξ Ă
Affordability of civilian housing	Q				· 24 · • · • • • • • • •		0
Attitudes of local residents toward military mem	ibers	~					ംര
and families			T ENGLISH	Be Rouce		- 0 	
Availability of Federal employment for spouse of	or 🕤	\sim	\sim	\cap	\sim	\sim	\cap
dependents	U C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	, U					\sim
Availability of other civilian employment for self			NES D: 275				ັ ∩
spouse or dependents	n in National and a state of the second s	i Q	N N				ŏ
Quality of schools for dependents		S S S		ň	್ಷಂತ್ರ		÷ŏ
•	Ŏ		$S_{\rm S}$	Ő		\mathbf{O}	Ξ Ŏ
Quality of medical care for you	S S		X	X	Ă	ŏ	ŏ
Availability of medical care for spouse or deper	idents O		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	X	ă di M	ŏ
Quality of medical care for spouse or dependent	nts O				Ă	, ă	୷ୖ୶
Availability of a good house of worship est	ene U		REAL CRIPT	r yr O			· · · •

16. HOW MUCH OF A PROBLEM IS EACH OF THE FOLLOWING AT THE LOCATION WHERE YOU PERMANENTLY LIVE? If you live on-base, answer for the base. If you live off-base, answer for that community. If you live onboard ship, answer for your ship. If you live in an on-station operational location, answer for that location.

For each item below, mark if it is:	Serious Problem	Somewhat of a Problem	Slight Problem	Not a Problem	Don't Know
Drug use	ି ପ୍ର	္ကာလုိ	<u> Ö</u>	ာန္ဝင္လမ္းဂ်	
Alcohol use	Star A				- ŏ
Crime CM Sanu actazaR to trisual isnotice since	in O	ŏ	ŏ	Î Î Î	ŏ
Child abuse	NO N	Ŏ	, DÖ	- 0	
Spouse abuse Other family violence		o prin O error			ŏ
	0	0	0		
Rape Gang activity	Ö	0		Ö	ŏ
Pornography	Ō	O		0	0

17. In all the tim	ne you've been on act	ive duty, hov	v many
	our spouse/dependen		
location bec	cause of your perman	ent change	of station
(PCS)?			

O Does not apply, I don't have any spouse/dependents

00	06
Ō1	07
Õ2	08
Ō 3	O 9
Õ4	O 10 or more
Ō 5	

18. In all the time you have been on active duty, how many times did <u>you move</u> to a new iocation because of your permanent change of station (PCS)? Do not count permanent change of assignment (PCA).
0

07

08

Ο	0
0	1
0	2
0	3

04 05

○ 9
 ○ 10 or more

.

- 5 -

USE NO. 2 PI	ENCIL ONLY
19. If your spouse is in the military, are you presently assigned to the same permanent base or geographic	
Iocation as your spouse? O Does not apply, I do not have a spouse (GO TO Q21) O Does not apply, my spouse is not in the military (GO TO Q21)	23. Wh yea
 Yes No, but I expect my spouse will be assigned to this location soon 	
O No, but I expect to be assigned to my spouse's location soon	
\bigcirc No, we were unable to get assigned to the same location \bigcirc No, for other reasons	
20. If future assignments require long separations from your spouse, what will you do?	
 Does not apply, I already plan to leave the Service Does not apply, my spouse already plans to leave the Service I will accept them 	
 I will leave the Service My spouse will leave the Service 	24. Wh do
21. Listed below are some reasons why military members sometimes find it difficult to <i>respond very quickly</i> to a recall/alert or to a change in work schedule. Have you experienced any of these within the past 12 months?	00000
 Mark ALL that apply. O Does not apply, I have not had recall/alert or change in work schedule	
 Does not apply, have not had problems Dependent care considerations Personal health problems other than pregnancy Pregnancy 	25. Wi joi
 Family health problem Second job Transportation arrangements Difficult to reach by telephone during off-duty hours 	0000000
 Distance to duty station Attending school during off-duty hours Other reason 	000
 22. If you were deployed for Operation Desert Shield/Desert Storm, what kinds of problems did you have responding? Does not apply, I was not deployed Dependent care considerations Personal health problems other than pregnancy Pregnancy Family health problem 	26. If or fo C C C
 Second job Attending school during off-duty hours Other problem Does not apply, I had no problems 	

111 REENLISTMENT/CAREER INTENT

23. When you finally leave the military, how many <u>total</u> years of service do you expect to have?



24. When you finally leave the military, what pay grade do you think you will have? Mark One.

○ E1 ○ E6 ○ W1 ○ O1 ○ O5 ○ E2 ○ E7 ○ W2 ○ O2 ○ O6 ○ E3 ○ E8 ○ W3 ○ O3 ○ O7 or	Enlisted Grades	Warrant Grades	Offic Grad	
	 ○ E1 ○ E1 ○ E2 ○ E7 ○ E3 ○ E8 	O ₩2 O ₩3 O ₩4	$\bigcirc 02$ $\bigcirc 03$	Õ O 6

- 25. When you finally leave the military, do you plan to join a National Guard or Reserve unit? Mark One.
 - O Does not apply, I am already a member
 - O Definitely yes
 - O Probably yes
 - O Don't know/Not sure
 - O Probably no
 - O Definitely no
 - O Does not apply, I am not eligible to join

26. If you had the freedom to select another career field or leave the Service next month, which of the following would you choose? Mark One.

- Select a totally new military specialty/occupation
- O Leave the Service
- \overline{O} Remain in Service in current career field
- O Return to a previous military specialty/occupation

USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY					
27. How likely are you to reenlist at the end of your current	31. If you were guaranteed a promotion to the next higher				
term of service? Assume that all special pays which you	pay grade, how likely would you be to reenlist at the				
currently receive are still available. Mark One.	end of your current term? Assume that all special pays				
O Does not apply, I plan to retire	which you currently receive are still available. Mark One.				
O Does not apply, I plan to leave the Service	O Does not apply, I plan to retire				
	O Does not apply, I plan to leave the Service				
O (0 in 10) No chance	O Does not apply, I do not expect any more promotions				
(1 in 10) Very slight possibility					
\bigcirc (2 in 10) Slight possibility	○ (0 in 10) No chance				
\bigcirc (3 in 10) Some possibility	. 🔿 (1 in 10) Very slight possibility				
\bigcirc (4 in 10) Fair possibility	🔿 (2 in 10) Slight possibility				
(5 in 10) Fairly good possibility	(3 in 10) Some possibility				
(6 in 10) Good possibility	O (4 in 10) Fair possibility ■				
O (7 in 10) Probable	○ (5 in 10) Fairly good possibility				
O (8 in 10) Very probable	○ (6 in 10) Good possibility				
O (9 in 10) Almost sure	O (7 in 10) Probable				
(10 in 10) Certain	(8 in 10) Very probable				
	O (9 in 10) Almost sure				
🔿 Don't know	○ (10 in 10) Certain				
	◯ Don't know				
28. How much influence does your spouse have on your					
decision about reenlisting at the end of your current					
term of service?					
O Does not apply, I am not married (GO TO Q30)					
O A good deal of influence	32. If you were guaranteed retraining in a skill with better				
O A little influence	<u>career opportunities than your current one</u> , how likely				
O No influence	would you be to reenlist at the end of your current				
	term? Assume that all special pays which you currently				
	receive are still available. Mark One.				
29. Has your spouse's support for your decision about	Dees not apply, I do not wish to retrain into another orthing				
reenlisting changed in the past year?	Dues not apply, i plan to retire				
Yes, increased	Does not apply, I plan to leave the Service				
○ Yes, decreased					
No, has not changed	O (0 in 10) No chance				
	 (1 in 10) Very slight possibility (2 in 10) Slight possibility 				
	 (2 in 10) Singht possibility (3 in 10) Some possibility 				
30. If you were guaranteed a choice of location for your	\bigcirc (4 in 10) Fair possibility .				
next tour, how likely would you be to reenlist at the end	\bigcirc (5 in 10) Fairly good possibility				
of your current term? Assume that all special pays which	 ○ (5 in 10) Parity good possibility ○ (6 in 10) Good possibility 				
you currently receive are still available. Mark One.	\bigcirc (7 in 10) Probable				
O Does not apply, I plan to retire	(7 in 10) Probable				
O Does not apply, I plan to leave the Service	(9 in 10) Almost sure				
	○ (10 in 10) Certain				
\bigcirc (0 in 10) No chance					
\bigcirc (1 in 10) Very slight possibility	O Don't kriow				
○ (2 in 10) Slight possibility					
○ (3 in 10) Some possibility					
○ (4 in 10) Fair possibility					
○ (5 in 10) Fairly good possibility					
○ (6 in 10) Good possibility					
O (7 in 10) Probable O					
🔆 (8 in 10) Very probable					
○ (9 in 10) Almost sure					
🔿 (10 in 10) Certain	-				

C: Don't know

.-



القنيع ا

33. Are you male or female?	39. When you FIRST ENTERED ACTIVE SERVICE, w
O Male	was the highest school grade or academic degree
	that you had? DO NOT INCLUDE DEGREES FRO
	TECHNICAL/TRADE OR VOCATIONAL SCHOOLS
	Mark One.
34. How old were you on your last birthday?	O Less than 12 years of school (no diploma)
	O GED or other high school equivalency certificate
Age Last Birthday	O High school diploma
	O Some college, but did not graduate
	O 2-year college degree
$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	O 4-year college degree (BA/BS)
$\bigcirc \bigcirc$	O Some graduate school
20	O Master's degree (MA/MS)
30	O Doctoral degree (PhD/MD/LLB)
3 0 0 0	O Other degree not listed above
	40. AS OF TODAY, what is the highest school grade
ื ดี	academic degree that you have? DO NOT INCLU
	DEGREES FROM TECHNICAL/TRADE OR
\odot	VOCATIONAL SCHOOLS. Mark One.
	O Less than 12 years of school (no diploma)
	O GED or other high school equivalency certificate
35. Where were you born?	O High school diploma
O In the United States	O Some college, but did not graduate
\bigcirc Outside the United States to military parents	O 2-year college degree
Outside the United States to non-military parents	O 4-year college degree (BA/BS)
	Some graduate school
	O Master's degree (MA/MS)
36. Are you:	 Doctoral degree (PhD/MD/LLB) Other degree not listed above
O American Indian/Alaskan Native	
O Black/Negro/African-American	41. If you attended (or are now attending) college, v
O Oriental/Asian/Chinese/Japanese/Korean/Filipino/Pacific	kind of school was/is it? Mark ALL that apply.
Islander	O Does not apply, I do/did not attend college
O White/Caucasian	O Vocational/trade/business, or other career training
O Other (specify):	school
	O Junior or community college (2-year)
	O Four-year college or university
	O Graduate/professional school
37. Are you of Spanish/Hispanic origin or descent?	O Specialized Service Career School or Profession
○ No (not Spanish/Hispanic)	Military Education Institution
O Yes, Mexican/Mexican-American/Chicano	Other
O Yes, Puerto Rican	
O Yes, Cuban	42. During 1991, did you attend a civilian school?
O Yes, Central or South American	O No, was not interested in attending
O Yes, other Spanish/Hispanic	 No, could not get tuition assistance for the programmed
	No, due to conflict with work schedule
	○ No, for personal reasons
38. Are you currently pregnant?	O Yes, attended at own expense
O Does not apply	Yes, attended at Service expense
	 Yes, attended partially at Service expense, part
○ No	own expense
•	

USE NO. 2 P	ENCIL ONLY
 43. Which of the following Educational Assistance Programs are you eligible to receive benefits under? Mark ALL that apply. The Montgomery GI Bill (MGIB) The Veterans Educational Assistance Program (VEAP) Vietnam Era GI Bill (converted to MGIB) Educational Assistance Test Program (EATP) I am not eligible under any of these programs I don't know if I am eligible under any of these programs 44. What is the highest grade or year of regular school or 	 48. Is your spouse currently living on or near a military base? Yes No 49. When were you and your current spouse married? Year 19 0 0 1 1 2 2
college that your MOTHER (or FEMALE GUARDIAN) and FATHER (or MALE GUARDIAN) have completed and gotten credit for? Mark your best estimate. ELEMENTARY GRADES MOTHER FATHER 1st ov even nonbling trades of the provide to providetotoprovidetotoprovation.	30 30 60 60 70 60
4th OOO 5th OOO 6th OO 7th redman ynatiling is to instage O is is so 8th OO	50. How well do you and your current spouse agree upon <u>his/her</u> career plans? O Very well O Fairly well O Well O Not well at all
HIGH SCHOOL GRADES 9th ci4 O to for as O 10th O O 11th O O 12th (include GED) O O	51. How well do you and your current spouse agree on your career plans? O Very well O Very well O Well O Not well at all
COLLEGE (YRS OF CREDIT) 1	52. How many times have you been married? (Include your present marriage). One O Four Two O Five or more Three
6 7 Sonu 6 25 ribus 50 utiz myst 8 or more Don't know/unsure	53. Did any of these marriages end in divorce? O Yes O No (GO TO Q59)
45. What is your <u>current</u> marital status? Mark only one answer. O Married for the first time O Widowed (GO TO Q52) O Remarried O Divorced (GO TO Q52)	 54. Did any of these divorces occur while on active duty? Yes No (GO TO Q59)
O Separated O Never Married (GO TO Q59) 46. Is your spouse currently serving on active duty in the	55. How many times have you been divorced while on active duty? One OFour
Armed Forces or in the Reserve/Guard? O No O Yes, in a Reserve/Guard Component	O Two O Five or more O Three
Yes, on active duty in the:O ArmyO Marine CorpsO NavyO Air Force	 56. Did the court consider your retirement pay to be part of any divorce settlement? Mark ALL that apply. Yes, child support payments Yes, alimony payments
 47. Is your spouse currently living with you at your present permanent post, base or duty station? Yes No 	 Yes, community property payments No, my spouse received other property to offset interest in retirement No, it's all payable to me

						JSE NO). 2 PEN					
	57	 57. To what extent has any divorce settlement influenced your decision to stay in the military until retirement? Very great extent Great extent Moderate extent Slight extent Not at all 			 58. To what extent do yo military contributed Very great extent Great extent Moderate extent Slight extent Not at all 	to any divord	;е?		e			
1						V D		Carry essarily the real	I'm an			
		How many dependent <u>Do not</u> include your of this question, a de blood, marriage, or a over half their support O Does not apply, I in	r self or yc pendent is doption, a rt. have no de	our spouse. anyone related and who dependent	For the ated to ands o GO TO	e purpo you by n you fo Q77)	se	 62. Do you give child su children live with? Yes No 63. How many of your of adopted? None 		ildrer		
			N	umper or D	epena		5 or	O One		r or m	ore	
	60	Age of dependent Under 1 year 1 year to under 2 yea 2-5 years 6-13 years 14-22 years 23-64 years 65 years or over 0. How many depended each of the followin with you at your per	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	estion 59 de pups who <u>cu</u> post, base o	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 <u>n</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		 Two 64. If you are a single-p married to a military family care plan? Does not apply Yes 65. Are arrangements for realistically workab situations? Mark on Not applicable, military 	r member, do	ndeni f the f	have a mili t children following item.	itary
		Do not include yours	en or your	spouse.						Yes	Probably	No
				lumber of D			5 or	Short-term emergen such as a mobility Long-term situation s	exercise	0	0	0
		Age of dependent Under 1 year	<u>None</u>	$\frac{1}{0}$	Ö		<u>nore</u>	deployment		0	0	0
		1 year to under 2 yea 2-5 years	ars Ö Ö	000	000	ÕÕ	000	Evacuation due to co wartime situation	onflict or	0	0	0
		6-13 years 14-22 years 23-64 years 65 years or over 1. If you have depend not currently live w base or duty station live? Mark ALL that O Does not apply (C O Spouse Ex-spouse O Grandmother O Grandfather	ith you a t n , with wf apply. GO TO Q6	t your perma nom do thes	anent se dep ative) who c post, endeni		66. Who took care of your longest TDY/d Mark ALL that apply. O Does not apply, h (GO TO Q68) Does not apply, n time (GO TO Q Child took care o Spouse or ex-spo Immediate family or sister) Other family men Friend or neighbo Public or private	eployment if ave not been ny_children did 68) f his/herself buse member (e.g nber or agency	on TE	past 12 mo	yed at the
]		O Other person(s)	(specify):			

- 10 -



79.	Here is a list of feelings or worrier		némbiére hev	e about the	r family (spo worry about	ouse, chil each of t	dren, parents he following) when when y
	Here is a list of feelings of wormer	s some military s			worry shout	each of t	he following	when y
	are away on assignment, TDY or o	sepicyment. How	often did o	would you	wony about			
	are away?							
	O Does not apply, I do not have any	y family (GO TO Q	182)					
							-	
			Very Seldor	n			Very Often	
			or Never	Seldom	Sometimes	Often	or Always	Appl
	Your family's ability to get car or hou	usehold repairs do	<u>ne ()</u>	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>
								12
								\sim
	Your child(ren)'s health and well-bei	ng	0	- O / ·	0	0	U	
	Your family's safety in this and							
					-			
80.	How well did or would your spous	e take care of th	e following i	in your abse	nce?			
	O Does not apply, I do not have a a	pouse of the son W	10. 3	44 - A				
		 South and the second sec			•			
		C # Very		Neither Wel		Very	Does Not	Don
		Wall 1	Well	nor Poorty	Poorty	Poorly	Apply	Kno
	Child gana							et O
	Family member's health	<u>.</u>	0	0				
ļ						$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$		
	Housing	0	0	0			D eave	$\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$
i					SO RE			0
	Evacuation of family members	0	0	0	0	0	U	\cup
	In the past year, how many month military assignment? Include TDYs O Does not apply, I do not have a s O None	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende O 6 months	ments, schoo ints 3	rated from y bis, etc.	our spouse (or depend	ients becaus	e of yc
•	military assignment? Include TDYs O Does not apply, I do not have a s	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende	rments, schoo ents s s s	rated from y ols, etc.	our spouse (or depend	ients becaus	e of yo
	military assignment? Include TDYs O Does not apply, I do not have a s O None O Less than 1 month O 1 month	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 9 months 0 10 month	ments, schoo onts 5 5 5 5 5	rated from y bis, etc.	our spouse (or depend	ients becaus	e of yc
	military assignment? Include TDYs O Does not apply, I do not have a s O None O Less than 1 month O 1 month O 2 months	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 9 months 0 10 month 0 11 month	ments, schoo onts s s s s ns ns	rated from y	our spouse (or depend	ients becaus	e of yc
	military assignment? Include TDYs O Does not apply, I do not have a s O Less than 1 month O 1 month O 2 months O 3 months	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 9 months 0 10 month	ments, schoo onts s s s s ns ns	rated from y	our spouse (or depend	ients becaus	e of yo
	military assignment? Include TDYs O Does not apply, I do not have a s O Less than 1 month O 1 month O 2 months O 3 months O 4 months O 5 months	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 7 months 8 months 9 months 10 month 11 month 12 month	ments, schoo onts s s s s ns ns ns	dis, e ic.				·
82.	military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a si None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months 5 months	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 9 months 0 10 month 0 11 month 0 12 month	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s ns ns me you comp	ols, etc. Netely separ	ated from yo			·
82.	military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months In your <u>total military career</u> , how m because of your military assignment	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 9 months 0 10 month 0 11 month 0 12 month nany months were	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	ols, etc. Ietely separ eployment, s	ated from yo			·
82.	military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a si None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months 5 months	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 9 months 0 10 month 0 11 month 0 12 month nany months were	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	ols, etc. Ietely separ eployment, s	ated from yo			·
82.	military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months In your <u>total military career</u> , how n because of your military assignme Does not apply, no spouse or dep	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 9 months 0 10 month 0 11 month 0 12 month nany months were	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	ols, etc. Ietely separ eployment, s	ated from yo			
82. 	 military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a si None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months In your <u>total military career</u>, how ne Does not apply, no spouse or dep None 	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 9 months 0 10 month 0 11 month 0 12 month nany months were	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	ols, etc. Ietely separ eployment, s	ated from yo			
82. (military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months In your <u>total military career</u> , how m because of your military assignmed Does not apply, no spouse or dep None Less than 3 months	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 9 months 0 10 month 0 11 month 0 12 month nany months were	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	ols, etc. Ietely separ eployment, s	ated from yo			
82. 	military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months in your <u>total military career</u> , how n because of your military assignment Does not apply, no spouse or dep None Less than 3 months 3-4 months	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 8 months 0 9 months 0 10 month 0 11 month 0 12 month nany months were	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	ols, etc. Ietely separ eployment, s	ated from yo			·
82. () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months In your <u>total military career</u> , how n because of your military assignme Does not apply, no spouse or dep None Less than 3 months 3-4 months 5-6 months	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 9 months 0 10 month 0 11 month 0 12 month 12 month ents? Include TDN pendents during m	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	ols, etc. Ietely separ eployment, s	ated from yo			·
82. () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s Hone Less than 1 month I month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months In your <u>total military career</u> , how n because of your military assignme Does not apply, no spouse or dep None Less than 3 months 3-4 months 5-6 months More than 6 months but less than	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 9 months 0 10 month 0 11 month 0 12 month 12 month ents? Include TDN pendents during m	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	ols, etc. Ietely separ eployment, s	ated from yo			
82. () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s Comparison of apply, I do not have a s Comparison of apply, I do not have a s Comparison of a month Description of a months Description of a months Does not apply, no spouse or dep None Less than 3 months Solution of a months Solution of a months Description of a months Des	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 9 months 0 10 month 0 11 month 0 12 month 12 month ents? Include TDN pendents during m	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	ols, etc. Ietely separ eployment, s	ated from yo			
82. 	military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s Comparison of apply, I do not have a s Comparison of apply, I do not have a s Comparison of a month Description of a months Description of a months Does not apply, no spouse or dep Comparison of a months Description of a month	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 9 months 0 10 month 0 11 month 0 12 month 12 month ents? Include TDN pendents during m	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	ols, etc. Ietely separ eployment, s	ated from yo			
82. 	military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s Comparison of apply, I do not have a s Comparison of apply, I do not have a s Comparison of a month Description of a months Description of a months Does not apply, no spouse or dep None Less than 3 months Solution of a months Solution of a months Description of a months Des	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 9 months 0 10 month 0 11 month 0 12 month 12 month ents? Include TDN pendents during m	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	ols, etc. Ietely separ eployment, s	ated from yo			
82. () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s Comparison of apply, I do not have a s Does not apply, I do not have a s Comparison of a month Description of a months Decause of your military career, how m because of your military assignme Does not apply, no spouse or dep None Less than 3 months Decause of months Decause of months Decause of a	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 9 months 0 10 month 0 11 month 0 12 month 12 month ents? Include TDN pendents during m	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	ols, etc. Siletely separ aployment, s	ated from yc chools, etc.	our spous	e or depende	ents
82. 	military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 2 month 3 months 3 months 5 months In your total military career, how m because of your military assignme Does not apply, no spouse or dep None Less than 3 months 3-4 months 5-6 months More than 6 months but less thar 1-2 years Over 4 years Did the government pay for your as	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 0 6 months 0 7 months 0 9 months 0 10 month 0 11 month 0 12 month 12 month ents? Include TDN pendents during m	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	ols, etc. Siletely separ aployment, s	ated from yc chools, etc.	our spous	e or depende	ents
82. 	military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 2 month 3 months 3 months 5 months In your <u>total military career</u> , how m because of your military assignme Does not apply, no spouse or dep None Less than 3 months 3-4 months 5-6 months 3-4 months 3-4 years Over 4 years Did the government pay for your a station?	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 6 months 7 months 9 months 10 month 11 month 12 month ents? Include TDN bendents during m	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	ols, etc. Siletely separ aployment, s	ated from yc chools, etc.	our spous	e or depende	ents
82. 	military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months 5 months In your total military career, how m because of your military assignme Does not apply, no spouse or dep None Less than 3 months 3-4 months 5-6 months More than 6 months but less thar 1-2 years 3-4 years Over 4 years Did the government pay for your a station? Does not apply, I have no spouse	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 6 months 7 months 9 months 10 month 11 month 12 month ents? Include TDN bendents during m	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	ols, etc. Siletely separ aployment, s	ated from yc chools, etc.	our spous	e or depende	ents
82. 	military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months in your total military career, how m because of your military assignme Does not apply, no spouse or dep None Less than 3 months 3-4 months 5-6 months Different and 6 months but less thar 1-2 years 3-4 years Over 4 years Did the government pay for your a station? Does not apply, I have no spouse Yes	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 6 months 7 months 9 months 10 month 11 month 12 month ents? Include TDN bendents during m	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	ols, etc. Siletely separ aployment, s	ated from yc chools, etc.	our spous	e or depende	ents
82. 	military assignment? Include TDYs Does not apply, I do not have a s None Less than 1 month 2 months 3 months 4 months 5 months 5 months In your total military career, how m because of your military assignme Does not apply, no spouse or dep None Less than 3 months 3-4 months 5-6 months More than 6 months but less thar 1-2 years 3-4 years Over 4 years Did the government pay for your a station? Does not apply, I have no spouse	s, remotes, deploy pouse or depende 6 months 7 months 9 months 10 month 11 month 12 month 12 month ents? Include TDN bendents during m	ments, schoo onts s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	ols, etc. Siletely separ aployment, s	ated from yc chools, etc.	our spous	e or depende	ents

	2 PENCIL ONLY
VI MILITARY COMPENSAT	ION, BENEFITS, AND PROGRAMS
	D ANSWER THIS SECTION
 Do you receive a MONTHLY Basic Allowance for Quarters (BAQ)? (BAQ is a payment for housing.) Does not apply, I live in base/government housing Yes, partial BAQ Yes, full BAQ No 	 87. Which of the following special monthly pays or allowances do you <u>currently</u> receive? Mark ALL that apply. I don't receive <u>ANY</u> special monthly pays. Jump Pay Sea Pay
 5. Do you receive a Basic Allowance for Subsistence (BAS or Separate Rations? (These are payments for food.) Yes No 	 Submarine Pay Flight Pay Foreign Duty Pay Overseas Cost of Living Allowance Variable Housing Allowance Overseas Housing Allowance Selective Reenlistment Bonus (SRB)
36. What is the amount of the MONTHLY Federal Tax Advantage of your combined Quarters and Food Allowances (BAS or Separate Rations and BAQ)? If you are uncertain of the exact amount, please give your best	O Overseas Tour Extension Incentive Pay O Deployment Related Allowances
estimate. O I do not receive BAS or Separate Rations and BAQ. O I never heard of the Federal Tax Advantage. O I don't know the amount of the Federal Tax Advantage.	Medical Program of the Uniformed Services) for your dependents would you join a prepaid local health maintenance organization (HMO)? Assume you would be required to pay a total monthly fee of \$20.
\$ MONTHLY FEDERAL TAX ADVANTAGE (0000 (101) (202) (202) (202) (303) (0000 (101) (202)	 Does not apply, I have no dependents Yes No Don't know 89. Do you personally have any current health coverage from any civilian health insurance or health maintenance organization (HMO)? Mark ALL that apply. No Yes, through my current/former civilian employer Yes, through my spouse's current/former civilian employer Yes, through other (specify):
 O. In the past year, what portion of <u>vour spouse's and/or</u> sources? Include prescription drugs as well as visits to p O Does not apply, I have no spouse or dependents. From military hospital medical facility/PRIMUS/NAVCARI 	Pr dependent's health care was received from each of the following physicians and other health care professionals for check-ups/treatment. PERCENT None 1-20 21-40 41-60 61-80 81-100 O O O O
Through CHAMPUS (include CHAMPUS REFORM INIT PROGRAM) Through civilian plan/HMO Purchased directly	

> --

O Does not apply, I have no spouse or dependents.			PERC	ENT		
From military hospital medical facility/PRIMUS/NAVCARE	None	1-20 〇	21-40 〇	41-60	61-80	81-100 O
Through CHAMPUS (include CHAMPUS REFORM INITIATIVE PROGRAM) Through civilian plan/HMO	000	000	000	000	000	000
Purchased directly Through other (specify):	00	00	00	0	00	õ

USE NO. 2 PEN		Abo follo	wing sol	irces? If	nclude pr	rescription
1. In the past year, what portion of your health care was received from each of the following sources? Include prescription						
drugs as well as visits to physicians and other nodal out of the model of pro-						
		4 00	01 40	41-60	61-80	81-100
	\mathbf{O} we		543 0 342	al Qar		a color
From military hospital medical facility/PRIMUS/NAVCARE	•				-	0
Through CHAMPUS (include CHAMPUS REFORM INITIATIVE	0	0	0	Q	Q	<u> </u>
	ŏ	Ō	0000	_ O .≪	IABQ'S	101,5 0
Through civilian plan/HMO and a state that set the State	ŏ	ŏ	Ō	Ó	Q	0
Durshaaad diractiv	0000	0000	Õ	0	0	O
Through other (specify):	0	Ŭ	-			
				-itton W	1112	
92. How much did you spend on health care services and	97. Do you	have a	current w		't know	
and usto (for you and your family) last year r licitore		-		0.000	•	
CHAMPUS deductibles, civilian insurance premiums, drugs,	() No					
etc. Do not include dental care.						-of-attornev
O Less than \$100	98. Does <u>a</u>	nyone c	urrently r	1010 900	n pono.	-of-attorney
	O Yes,	my spou	JSØ		001100	
○ \$101 - \$200		someon	e other th	an my s	jouse	
○ \$201 - \$300	() No					
O \$301 - \$500	🔿 Don	't know				
○ \$501 - \$800						H Dian Unan
○ \$801 - \$1,000	99. Do you	ı plan to	elect the	Survivo	or Bener	it Plan upon
O More than \$1,000	ratiran	nent? Ma	ark One.			
which the Delte Dental Program Of	🔿 Und	ertain, a	m not awa	are of the	e plan at	all .
93. Are you currently enrolled in the Delta Dental Program or		ertain, a	m aware (of the pla	in dui wa	ant to study it
some other dental benefits program? Mark ALL that apply.		ortain d	o not und	erstand t	ne plan (Cleany
○ No	Ó No.	I plan to	leave the	Service	before r	etirement
O Yes, the Delta Dental Program		no survi	vors			
O Yes, my spouse's civilian dental program	\bigcirc No,	can get	better cov	verage e	Isewhere)
O Yes, other private dental insurance	\bigcirc No,	too exp	ensive			
		unill only	v alact mil	nimum c	overage	
94. How much did you spend for dental treatment (for you			ct more th	an minir	num cov	erage but les
and your family) last year? (Include Della Della Trogram		nan full	••••••			
and civilian premiums as well as direct payments for	\frown Va	an ill ala	ct full cov	erace		
treatment.)						
O Less than \$100		مليمهاه	ie the cu	rrent rel	irement	system to y
O \$101 - \$200		ry valuat		0 01	some va	alue
O \$201 - \$300		ry valuat	valuable		no valu	
○ \$201 - \$500		oerately	Valuable	0.0		
O \$501 - \$800	•		our ich la	val to a	compar	able civilian
○ \$801 - \$1,000	101. Com	baring ye		ho milita	ry retire	ment system
 O More than \$1,000 		ion, ao y			lorse tha	n most
		tter than			on't knov	
95. Comparing your job level to a comparable civilian		pout the s	same	00	0.1111.00	
position, do you feel your health (including dental)					atal ann	ual value of
	102. What	is your	estimate		vite? (Pa	ual value of
benefits are:	pay a	and allow	wances a		rotirem	ay, allowance:
O Better than most	medi	cal, exch	ange, cor	nmissary	, rearea	ent, etc.):
About the same	O Le	ess than	\$20,000			
O Worse than most		20,001 -	\$30,000			
○ Don't know	0\$	30,001 -	\$40,000			
	0\$	40,001 -	\$50,000			
96. Do you have Life Insurance?	0\$	50,001 -	\$60,000			
O No		60,001 -	\$70,000			
O Yes, SGLI	I ÕN	fore than	\$70,000			
O Yes, SGLI and other policy or policies		on't kno				
O Yes, a policy or policies other than SGLI						
O Don't know	1					



103. For each program or service listed below, please mark (a) whether you have ever used it at your present permanent location and (b) how important its availability is to you.

Ves No Important Important		A) Used Service/Pi		Very		B) Importance Neither Important nor	e Un-	Very Un-
Recreation gear issue 0	Golf courses Marinas Stables Fitness centers Youth activities Libraries Arts and crafts center	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000	00000000	0 0 0 0 0			
transient billeting) 0	Recreation gear issue Main exchange 7-Day Store/Shoppette Clubs	0	0 0 0	0 0 0		00	0 0 0	
Animal care clinics	transient billeting) Cabins, cottages and cabanas Laundry/dry cleaning Photo hobby shop Auto repair centers Auto hobby shop Rentals/equipment Animal care clinics Auto/truck rental	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		000	000000000000000			

104. Did you vote in the last local election? In the last Presidential election?

Last local election

 \bigcirc Yes, in person at the polls \bigcirc Yes, by absentee ballot \bigcirc No

Last Presidential election

 \bigcirc Yes, in person at the polls \bigcirc Yes, by absentee ballot \bigcirc No

-

	A) Used Service/Pr	the	u have used it. B) Satisfaction						
	Yes	No	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Dis- satisfied	Very D satisfi		
General Constant States		-04			(* 0)*	0.8	0		
Individual counseling/therapy	0	0	0	0	O	0			
Mainage and family counsaling/therapy/	0	Ø	O	Ο	Ó	O	Č. C		
Services to individuals or families concerning military separation/deployment	0	0	0	0	0	arran and	(
Chaptain services/religious opportunities	्	ାଠ	023	S. O	8 0	C.	Saxel.		
Parent education	0	0	0		O	رين ودوني. من ودوني	(
Youthvadolescent programs	0	0		Ō	0	an by ⊕ na strange na strange			
Child care services	0	0	0	0	0	O A Production	(* 1		
Financial counseling	0	0	0	Ο	0	Ο	,		
	0	0	0	0	0	O and a second second			
Single-parent programs Pre-mantal programs	0	0		Ö	0	Ó	• •		
Services for families with special needs (e.g. handicapped, gifted)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Crisis referral services	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Spouse employment services	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Spouse/child abuse services	0	0		0	Ο.				
Alcohol treatment/drug abuse programs	0	0	0	0	0	0 Marine 1			
Rape counseling services	0	0	O	: O	0	0			
Legal assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Relocation assistance services	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Information and referral services	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Stress management programs	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Suicide prevention programs	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Transition assistance/pre <etirement separa<="" td=""><td>ation</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td></td></etirement>	ation	0	0	0	0	0			
from military		0		0	0	0			

USE NO. 2	PENCIL ONLY
boop a bait of a upy pluow yook war wor that a BOR	
	N EXPERIENCE
6. In the last month, how many hours did you perform volunteer work for an on- or off-base activity? Mark one in each column. No. of Hours On-base Off-base Did not perform volunteer work cop Output Output Output Less than 5 hours Output Output Output Output 5 to 10 hours Output Output Output Output More than 10 hours Output Output Output Output	108. During 1991, how many hours a week did you spend on the average working at a civilian job or at your own business during your off-duty hours? O None (GO TO Q111). AVERAGE NUMBER
 D7. What would increase your interest/ability to volunteer? Mark ALL that apply. Parking privileges Volunteering with a friend More volunteer assignments of interest Reimbursement of expenses Child care More recognition for volunteer assignments Opportunity for useful training for the future Better leadership of volunteers Better organization of volunteers Other (specify): Nothing would increase interest/ability 	HOURS PER WEEK 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
	● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ●
10. How much did each of the following contribute to your ha	aving a second job or your own business?
Mark each item as: Needed additional income to meet basic at the Component of the text of text of the text of tex of text of text of text	No Minor Moderate Major Contribution Contribution Contribution Contribution Contribution O VALARCHIRE Opposite the contribution Opposite the contribution Opposite the contribution O VALARCHIRE Opposite the contribution Opposite the contribution Opposite the contribution O VALARCHIRE Opposite the contribution Opposite the contribution Opposite the contribution O VALARCHIRE Opposite the contribution Opposite the contribution Opposite the contribution O VALARCHIRE Opposite the contribution Opposite the contribution Opposite the contribution O Opposite the contribution Opposite the contribution Opposite the contribution Opposite the contribution

110. How much did each of the following contribute to your having a second job or your own business?

Mark each item as:	No Contribution	Minor Contribution	Moderate Contribution	Major Contribution
Needed additional income to meet basic entry is expenses Nice to have extra income to use now Saving extra income for future needs Independence Self-esteem Enjoyment of work itself				0.0 x 1.0 0 24 MA MO 0
To gain experience for a non-military second career Other (specify):	OO	0	O (mg)	

.

 111. In the past 12 months, have you received any job offers for a civilian job which you could take if you leave the Service? Yes No 112. Have you actively looked for civilian employment within the past 12 months? Yes No 113. Do you expect to be involuntarily separated within the next 12 months during force reductions? Yes No Don't know 	 114. If you were to leave the Service NOW and tried to f a civilian job, how likely would you be to find a good civilian job? Mark One. (0 to 10) No chance (1 in 10) Very slight possibility (2 in 10) Slight possibility (3 in 10) Some possibility (4 in 10) Fair possibility (5 in 10) Fairly good possibility (6 in 10) Good possibility (7 in 10) Probable (8 in 10) Very probable (9 in 10) Almost sure (10 in 10) Certain
--	--

strength? Your long-term opportunities in the military	Very Greatly Concerned	Greatly Concerned	Moderately Concerned Academic Solution	Concerned	Concerned
The kind of work you plan to go into if you leave the military	Ō	0	0	0	0
Whether you will be able to get a civilian jo quickly if needed	0	0	0		0
The financial burden on you and/or your fa should you have to leave the military unexpectedly Ability to adjust to civilian the Company of	0	0 0	00	0 0	0 0

B. YOUR SPOUSE'S EXPERIENCE. IF NOT MARRIED, GO TO Q118

116. Is your SPOUSE currently: Mark ALL that apply.

- O Full-time in the Armed Forces
- O In Reserve or National Guard
- Working full-time in Federal civilian job
- O Working full-time in other civilian job
- O Working part-time in Federal civilian job
- O Working part-time in other civilian job
- Self-employed in his or her own business
- O With a job, but not at work because of TEMPORARY

illness, vacation, strike, etc.

- O Unpaid worker (volunteer or in family business)
- O Unemployed, laid off, or looking for work
- O Not looking for work but would like to work
- O in school
- O Retired
- O A homemaker
- O Other

ľ

- 117. To what extent does your spouse's job interfere with your military job?
 - O Does not apply, spouse not employed
 - O Completely
 - O A great deal
 - O Somewhat
 - O Very little
 - O Not at all



- 19 -



USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY

Ī

نتيني التيني

لننتعا

30. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the fo	bilowing st	atements al	bout militar	y ine?		
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Does Not Appiy
Mark each item as: Life in the military is about what I expected it to be			~ ~ ~		StariOrari i	
My family could be better off if I took a civilian job	Õ	Õ	Ō	Ŭ.	0	Ö
Members of my family were well prepared by my Service for	or		an cari		A MARCE COLOR	
the requirements and demands of my job.	- <u>0</u>	O	0	O ;;;;	0	O
Military personnel in the future will not have as good	_	-	•	•	~	~
retirement benefits as I have now	Q	O .	O O	O O	N N	Ö
My military pay and benefits will not keep up with inflation	္၀	. OQ	U N	Uese		Jacob O
Skills attained in my job are helpful in securing a good civil	ian	\sim	\sim	\circ	\cap	\cap
job	Ö				ŏ	Ň
My current job assignment is important work	$\mathbf{\tilde{\mathbf{N}}}$		i y y i	<u> </u>		
My current ich assignment is challenging WORK					್ಷ ಕಲ್ಪಿ ಸ್ಥಾರ	
My promotion opportunity is better than it would have been			0			0
without this assignment	N Q		- O		õ.	ŏ
I receive good support from my chain-of-command	Sec A	No. Xree	ŏ	cita Ö ist	ă di la	<u>Õ</u> mme
I receive good support from my supervisors		•			_	
 40 hours or less 41 - 50 hours 51 - 60 hours 61 - 80 hours More than 80 hours 		Separation f family PCS move	irom		ome Little	None
	· · · ·	Job situation	~		\mathbf{O}	· O
32. What percent of your work hours are spent on	1	Family situa				K X
duty-related tasks?		Personal sa Health			\tilde{O}	ŏ
O Less than 20 percent		(Call)	U	U	U .U	Ŭ
 41 - 60 percent 61 - 80 percent 						
O 81 - 30 percent	136.	What are th	e primary s	ources of a	ny uncertai	inty you
		have right r	now about v	vhat you co	uld expect	from a
			eer? Mark A			
33. During the past year have the demands of your militar		-	of experience		-	
job prevented you from taking annual leave?	•	-	er goals are u		-	
O Yes			promotion ar		ent criteria	
O No		Changes	s in military n	nanpower n	eeds	
— · · · ·			Congression			S, etc.)
		Ξ	nty about se	nior leaders	hip	
34. In general, how satisfied are you with your current job		O Personal	l safety			
O Very satisfied		Other			_ _	
O Satisfied		🔾 Not appl	icable, I do n	lot have any	uncertainty	

O Satisfied

Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
 Dissatisfied
 Very dissatisfied

- 21 -

USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY

137. Below is a list of issues associated with the military way of the Considering current policies, please indicate your level of satisfaction/dissatisfaction with each issue.

For each item, mark if you are:	Very Setisfied	en e	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Disectisfied	Very Dissetisfied
Personal Malikan					
Acquaintances/friendships	O de la com	Out a	KNAS WA DOMENSO	O	0
Assignment stability	0	0	Smap erored ion	na i Och i	0
Environment for families	0		a ethy i have 🗿 🖓 a sector	<u> </u>	O
Frequency of moves					
Retirement benefits	0	0	0	. 0	0
Coponing to serve one statement					
Satisfaction with current job	- 0	0	0	0	<u> </u>
Promotion opportunities					
Job training/in-service education	0.0		0	0	0
VI NOT			41	یہ وگری۔ افغانیہ دونہ ہے۔	
Working/environmental conditions	0	Ō	O sst to th	. O	0

138. Now, taking all things together, how satisfied are you with the military way of life?

- O Very dissatisfied
- O Dissatisfied
- O Somewhat dissatisfied
- O Neither dissatisfied nor satisfied
- O Somewhat satisfied
- O Satisfied
- O Very satisfied

139. We're interested in any comments or recommendations you would like to make, whether or not the topic was covered in this survey. Do you have any comments?
Yes - Use the comment sheet on the next page
No

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR ANSWERING THIS SURVEY. PLEASE SEAL THE SURVEY IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED.

-

2.52.55

. .. .

Blank

• .

severaço dut Minerio y

a provinsi transfer da alta da alta da

.

...........

- 24 -