VOLUME III SYSTEMS PHASE

# CHAPTER 6A ELECTRONIC WARFARE/RADAR HANDBOOK

.' <del>.</del> .



# 19970117 017

JANUARY 1990

USAF TEST PILOT SCHOOL EDWARDS AFB, CA

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1

# DISCLAIMER NOTICE



# THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

\$

CONTENTS

Rules of Thumb, Estimates	١
Conversion Factors	2
Electronic Equipment Designations	5
Frequency Designation Chart	6
ECM Frequency Bands & Channel Codes	7
Receiver Comparisons	10
System Antenna Selection Criteria	12
Antenna Scan Characteristics	17
Symbols, Terms, Abbreviations	30
ECM Techniques Glossary	31
Fundamental Jamming Relationships	32
Free Space Transmission Loss	38
Plane Earth Transmission Loss	39
Minimum Effective Antenna Height	40
Propagation Loss, Detection Range	41
Atmospheric Fading	42
Chaff Analysis	44

i

(The reverse of this page is blank.)

#### Rules of Thumb & Quick Estimates

- 1. Indicated Mach number x 10 = TAS in miles per minute. Example: .8 Mach x 10 = 8 miles/min TAS Accuracy + 5% from 12,000' to 80,000' std day.
- 2. TAS in miles/min x 100 = TAS in ft/sec. Example: 8 miles/min TAS x 100 = 800 ft/sec Accuracy = 1.25%
- 3. Indicated Mach no x 1000 = TAS in fps

Example: .8 Mach x 1000 = 800 ft/sec Accuracy + 6% from 12,000' to 80,000' std day.

4. One degree (1°) covers 1000' at 10 miles

This can be extended to 2000' @ 20 miles etc. or on to 6000' (1 mile) at 60 miles for each degree. Accuracy -5% (degrades with larger angles)

5. TACAN Ground Speed Checks

Ground speed in knots = distance (nautical miles) traveled in 36 seconds X 100

Quick Check: Ground speed in knots = distance traveled in nautical miles in 36 sec x 100.

Example: 4.5 nmi in 36 sec x 100 = 450 KTS GS.

6. Turning Performance Estimates (level turns)\*

A. Rate of turn (ROT) = normal load factor (g) x 10 deg/sec TAS in hundreds of knots

Example: [For flight conditions of 6 g's @ 600 KTAS]  $\frac{6 \times 10}{5} = 10 \text{ deg/sec rate of turn}$ 

B. Radius of turn (r) =  $\frac{(TAS \text{ in hundreds of knots})^2}{Normal load factor (g)} \times 1000 \text{ ft}$ Example: [For flight conditions of 6 g's @ 600 KTAS]

 $\frac{6^2 \times 1000}{6} = \frac{36 \times 1000}{6} = 6000 \text{ feet radius of turn}$ 

\*These estimates are accurate within 10% at g levels of 2, 3, or 6, 7, and 8. At g levels of 4 and 5, the accuracy is within 17%.

# **CONVERSION FACTORS**

To Convert	To Convert Into		To Convert Into Multiply By		
degrees	mils	17.778	,		
degrees	radians	0.01745			
degrees	revolutions	0.002778			
degrees/second	revolutions/minute	0.1661			
feet	kilometers	0.0003048	•		
feet	meters	0.3048			
feet	miles (nautical)	0.0001645			
feet	miles (statute)	0.0001894			
feet/second	kilometers/hour	1.097			
feet/second	knots	0.5922			
feet/second	meters/second	0.3048			
feet/second	miles/hour (statute)	0.6818			
kilometers	feet	3281.0			
kilometers	meters	1000.0			
kilometers	miles (nautical)	. 0.5397			
kilometers	miles (statute)	0.6214			
kilometers	fast/record	0.9113			
kilometers/nour	keets	0.5397			
kilometers/hour	knots meters (second	0.2778			
kilometers/nour	meters/second	0.2778			
kilometers/nour	hilumeter (bour	1.8537			
knots	miles/hour (noution)	1.0.02			
knots	miles/hour (nautical)	1.0			
knots	miles/nour (statute)	1.1.1			
knots	Teel/second	0.515			
KNOIS meters	feet	3.281			
meters	kilometers	0.001	•		
meters	miles (nautical)	0.0005396			
meters	miles (statute)	0.0006214			
meters/second	feet/second	3.281			
meters/second	kilometers/bour	3.6			
meters/second	knots	1.942			
meters/second	miles/hour (statute)	2.237			
miles (nautical)	feet	6080.3			
miles (nautical)	kilometers	1.853			
miles (nautical)	meters	1853.0			
miles (nautical)	miles (statute)	1.1516			
miles (statute)	feet	5280.0			
miles (statute)	kilometers	1 609			
miles (statute)	meters	1609.0			
miles (statute)	miles (noutical)	0 8684			
miles (statute)	knots	10			
miles/hour (nautical)	fact/second	1 467			
miles/hour (statute)	kilometers /hour	1 609			
miles/hour (statute)	knote	0.8684			
miles/hour (statute)	knots meters/second	0.4469			
milliondians	radians	0.001			
miliradians	radians	1.0186			
minifactions	iiiiis deurear	0.05675			
mils	ucgrees milliondiana	0.02022			
mus	miniradians	57 2			
	ocgrees de cos	ت. <i>تو</i> ۲ ۵ ۵			
revolutions	acgrees				
revolutions/minute	degrees/second	0.U			

	Mach. to Knots Conversions at Standard Atmosphere					
Altitude		Appro	ximate	Knots	at Mad	ch No.
in Feet	1	.95	.9	.85	.8	.75
0	662	629	596	562	529	496
1000	660	627	594	561	528	495
2000	657	624	591	558	526	493
3000	655	622	589	557	524	491
4000	653	620	587	555	522	490
5000	650	618	<b>58</b> 5	553	520	488
6000	648	616	583	551	518	486
7000	<b>6</b> 46	614	581	549	516	485
8000	643	611	579	547	515	483
9000	641	609	577	545	513	481
10000	639	607	575	543	511	479
12000	634	602	570	539	507	476
14000	629	598	566	535	503	472
16000	624	593	562	530	499	468
18000	619	588	557	526	495	<b>46</b> 4
20000	615	584	553	522	492	461
22000	610	580	549	518	488	458
24000	605	575	545	514	484	454
26000	600	570	540	510	480	450
28000	<b>59</b> 5	<b>56</b> 5	536	506	476	446
30000	<b>59</b> 0	561	531	502	472	443
32000	<b>58</b> 5	556	526	497	468	439
34000	580	551	522	493	464	435
36000	575	546	517	489	460	431
and						
above						

Mach to Knots Conversions							
 	proži	mate i	(nots	at Ma	ch No.		Altitude
 .7	.65	.6	.55	.5	.45	.4	in Feet
463	430	397	364	331	298	265	0
462	429	396	363	330	297	264	1000
460	427	394	361	329	296	263	2000
458	426	393	360	328	<b>29</b> 5	262	3000
457	424	392	359	327	294	261	4000
455	423	390	358	325	293	260	5000
454	421	389	356	324	<b>29</b> 2	259	6000
452	420	387	355	323	291	258	7000
450	418	386	354	322	289	257	8000
449	417	385	353	321	288	256	9000
447	415	383	351	320	287	255	10000
444	412	380	349	317	285	254	12000
440	409	377	346	315	283	252	14000
437	406	374	343	312	281	250	16000
433	403	371	340	310	279	248	18000
430	400	369	338	308	277	2-6	20000
427	397	366	336	305	275	244	22000
424	393	363	333	303	272	242	24000
420	390	360	330	300	270	240	26000
417	387	357	327	298	268	238	28000
413	384	354	325	295	266	236	30000
409	380	351	322	293	263	234	32000
406	377	348	319	290	261	232	34000
402	374	345	316	288	259	230	36000
							and
							above

Standard Atmosphere

Alt	Terr	1p. /	Press.
Ft	F	°°C	in. Hg.
	59.6	15.0	29.92
1000	55.4	13.0	26 Af •
2000	9		2 97
3001	44	,	25.84
5000 1	41.2	5.	24 94
6000	,26	3.1	23 9F
704	<b>14</b> G	11	23/14
804	36.0	2.8	51 10
1000	51	4 8	20.58
1100		11	14.4
200	16.2	- ÷	•4
* 3G96	126	10 A	10.20
14000		14	16 89
160/4	⊢- <u></u>		16.22
7601	1 16	5 m 1	11.1
BUHA	1 12		14 44
1901		56 <sup>1</sup>	1 14
2000			1212
2100		28.8	1 . 64
23000		41 - 14	1 12 11
24000	26.4		11.6
250%0	30.	34	
2600		34	10.5
27010	AC 6	47	9.7
29010	43.4	41	9.4
300-00	4A C	44 4	<b>μ</b> 444
310930		41 4	h 444
Second.		45.4	
33010			1
35000	6 8	54 1	1.04
36089	69 .	51.5	6 6 1
17600	64	5E -	1 6 00
38600			6 8
414000	69	44	5 5 NP
41001	64 .	56 1.	5.5 '8
42000	N4 (	50 :	5.134
43000	69	56.5	4 794
44000	69	4.	4 355
46000			4 151
47000	69	56 1	3 956
48000	64	56 4	2.10
44000	64	56.5	1 593
50000	1 64	24 2	1 3465

Temperature

Pivotal Altitude	= <u>TAS</u> 2(s	mph)
Angle of 1° =	1 mile in	56 miles
Approximate I	Distance t	o Sea Level Horizon
Statute M	iles 🗸	1.76xfeet above SL
Nautical #	Ailes ✓	1.33xfeet above SL
1 US Gallon of	gasoline kerosene	6. Pounds 6.75 Pounds 7.5 Pounds
	alcohol	66 Pounds
	water	8.33 Pounds
1 US Gallon	.8326 231 c	8 Imperial Gallons ubic inches
	134	cubic feet
	3.785	liters
1 cubic foot	75L	I.S. Gailons
Absolute Zero	-273	16° C = -459.688° F
Speed of Light	186.2 299.1	282 397 sm second 784.25 Km/second
Gravity = 32.1 9.80	7405 ft/se 665 meter	c/seC rs/seC/seC
1 Horsepowe	r = .7457 k	ilowatt
	550 foc	ot pounds/second
Circle Circumf	erence	piD = 2 piR
Sobore Surface	-	
Sphere Volume	2	$\frac{p}{6}$
Cylinder Volur	ne piR²	x height

#### - NOTES -

. .

4

· · · ·

# **Deciphering US Electronic Equipment Designations**

Example of Assignment



#### Equipment Indicators

#### Platform Installation

- Piloted aircraft A
- Underwater mobile, R
- submarine **Pilotless carrier** D
- F.
- Fixed ground G General ground use
- Amphibious ĸ
- Portable
- Mobile (ground)

# Invisible light, heat

- radiation C Carrier
- D Radiac
- G
- Telegraph or teletype 1 Interphone and public
- address Electromechanical or
- inertial wire covered
- ĸ Telemetering
- Countermeasures L
- M Meteorological
  - Equipment Function or Purpose
- 8 Bombing
- С Communications
- Direction finder. D reconnaissance and/or surveillance
- E Ejection and/or release
- G Fire control or searchlight directing
- Recording and/or н reproducing
- Computing ĸ
- Maintenance and/or test assemblies

- S Water U General utility
- V Vehicular (ground)
- W Water surface and
- underwater combination
- Z Piloted-pilotless airborne vehicle combination
- Equipment Type
  - N Sound in air
  - Radar P 0 Sonar and underwater
  - sound Radio R
  - S Special or combinations of types
  - Telephone (wire)
  - Visual and visible light v
  - Armament ₩ X Facsimile or television
  - Data processing Y
- - N Navigational aids
  - Q Special or combination of purposes
  - R Receiving, passive detecting
  - Detecting and/or range S and bearing, search
  - т Transmitting
  - W Automatic flight or remote control
  - X Identification and recognition

Variable configurations 165th model of this type designated Special or combination of purposes A countermeasures set Mounted on a piloted aircraft platform

A formally designated military system

## **Designation Modifiers**

Letters following the AN designation numbers provide added information about the equipment. Letters A, B, C, etc., indicate the latest modification. AN/ALR-67B would indicate the second modification to the system. The letter (V) indicates variable configurations are available. The letter (X) indicates developmental status. A double parenthesis ( ) indicates a generic system that has not yet received a formal designation, i.e., AN/ALQ-( ), or AN/ALQ-165( ). The double parenthesis is verbally referred to as "bowlegs."

All US military electronic equipment are assigned an identifying alphanumeric designation that can be used to determine the platform the equipment was designed to be operated on, the type of equipment, and the function of the equipment. This system is commonly called the AN designation system, although its formal name is the Joint Electronics Type Designation System (JETDS). The letters AN preceding the equipment indicators formerly meant Army, Navy, but are now considered to be an exclusive letter set that can only be used to indicate formally designated DOD equipment.







Courtesy Sanders Associates

# ECM Frequency Bands & Channel Codes

Band	Frequency in MHz	Channel Width MHz	F	ormer Bands MHz
Α	0-250	25	l	100-150
B	250-500	25	G	150-225
Ċ	500-1000	50	Ρ	225-390
D	1000-2000	100	L	390-1550
Ē	2000-3000	100	S	1550-5200
F	3000-4000	.100	С	3900–6200
G	4000-6000	200	Х	6200-10,900
Ĥ	6000-8000	200	K	10,900–36,000
1	8000-10,000	200	Q	36,000–46,000
J	10,000-20,000	1000	. V	46,000-56,000
ĸ	20,000-40,000	2000		
L	40,000-60,000	2000		
M	60,000-100,000	4000		

F	re	au	er	ncy	List
---	----	----	----	-----	------

Band and Channel	Frequency in MHz	Band and Channel	Frequency in MHz	
A-1	0–25	B-1	250-275	
A-2	25-50	B-2	275-300	
A-3	50-75	B-3	300–325	
A-4	75-100	B-4	325–350	
A-5	100-125	B-5	350-375	
A-6	125-150	B-6	375-400	
A-7	150-175	B-7	400-425	
A-8	175-200	B-8	425-450	
A-9	200-225	B-9	450-475	
A-10	225-250	B-10	475-500	



Frequency Liet					
Band and Channel	Frequency in MHz	Band and Channel	Frequency in MHz		
C-1	500-550	D-1	1000-1100		
C-2	550-600	D-2	1100-1200		
C-3	600-650	D-3	1200-1300		
C-4	650-700	D-4	1300-1400		
C-5	700-750	D-5	1400-1500		
C-6	750-800	D-6	1500-1600		
C-7	800-850	D-7	1600-1700		
C-8	850-900	D-8	1700-1800		
C-9	900-950	D-9	1800-1900		
C-10	950-1000	D-10	1900-2000		
E-1	2000-2100	F-1	3000-3100		
E-2	2100-2200	F-2	3100-3200		
E-3	2200-2300	F-3	3200-3300		
E-4	2300-2400	F-4	3300-3400		
E-5	2400-2500	F-5	3400-3500		
E-6	2500-2600	F-6	3500-3600		
E-7	2600-2700	F-7	3600-3700		
E-8	2700-2800	F-8	3700-3800		
E-9	2800-2900	F-9	3800-3900		
E-10	2900-3000	F-10	3900-4000		
G-1	4000-4200	H-1	6000-6200		
G-2	4200-4400	H-2	6200-6400		
G-3	4400-4600	H-3	6400-6600		
G-4	4600-4800	H-4	6600-6800		
G-5	4800-5000	H-5	6800-7000		
G-6	5000-5200	H-6	7000-7200		
G-7	5200-5400	H-7	7200-7400		
G-8	5400-5600	H-8	7400-7600		
G-9	5600-5800	H-9	7600-7800		
G-10	5800-6000	H-10	7800-8000		
I-1 I-2 I-3 I-4 I-5 I-6 I-7 I-8 I-9 I-10	8000-8200 8200-8400 8400-8600 8600-8800 8800-9000 9000-9200 9200-9400 9400-9600 9600-9800 9800-10.000	J-1 J-2 J-3 J-4 J-5 J-6 J-7 J-8 J-9 J-9 J-10			

	Frequency List				
Band and Channel	Frequency in MHz	Band and Channel	Frequency in MHz		
K-1 K-2 K-3 K-4 K-5 K-6 K-7 K-8 K-9 K-10	20,000-22,000 22,000-24,000 24,000-26,000 26,000-28,000 28,000-30,000 30,000-32,000 32,000-34,000 34,000-36,000 36,000-38,000 38,000-40,000	L-1 L-2 L-3 L-4 L-5 L-6 L-7 L-8 L-9 L-10	40,000-42,000 42,000-44,000 44,000-46,000 46,000-48,000 48,000-50,000 50,000-52,000 52,000-54,000 54,000-56,000 56,000-58,000 58,000-60,000		
M-1 M-2 M-3 M-4 M-5 M-6 M-7 M-8 M-9 M-10	60,000-64,000 64,000-68,000 68,000-72,000 72,000-76,000 76,000-80,000 80,000-84,000 84,000-88,000 88,000-92,000 92,000-96,000 96,000-100,000				

.

. . . .

9

.

.

.

.

# **Receiver Comparisons**



bandwidths and a narrow bandwidth cannot be searched fast, so the tendency is to build wider RF front ends and search faster. Again, the frequency resolution becomes a problem. And in addition, to perform direction finding requires multiple receivers.



#### Microscan

The hardware candidates to consider are: crystal video, superheterodyne, IFM, micro-scan systems, channelized receivers, Bragg cell systems and Surface Acoustic Wave dispersive delay lines. Only the common systems will be presented, and hybrid types will be avoided to keep the comparisons simple.

Crystal video receivers will be around forever. Its technology has been proven, it is very low cost and it does very well in limited applications. A crystal video system cannot make good fine frequency measurement, the analyzer has to handle a wide open system and cannot readily handle complex signals. Basically, it is incapable of handling frequency agile systems.



#### Superheterodyne

Looking at the superheterodyne system, the other most common system in the U.S. inventory, it is very good in some cases; and it is not susceptible to jamming. On the other hand, it takes a relatively long time to scan a frequency range. Minimum pulsewidths are determined by the

A microscan technique will do away with some of the problems with the superheterodyne, because it is just a fast scan superhet. In this example, an up chirp local oscillator and a down chirp Surface Acoustic Wave dispersive delay line is used, which would allow a system to scan the frequency range within I radar pulse, thus giving a very high probability of detection. The system has many good qualities. It has a high probability detection, can handle wide band signals, has wide acquisition bandwidth and can handle frequency agile signals. But on the other hand, it requires a channelizer and the minimum pulsewidth cannot go much below 0.1 microsecond. A very critical alignment problem exists between the sweep and the dispersion delay line. And again, it requires multiple receivers for DF and a very wide IF bandwidth. The significance of the wide IF bandwidth is the overlap of signals and the inability of an operator to separate signals once the spectrum has been overlapped on the IF.

#### Channelized

A channelized receiver is an attempt to take a crystal video or a superhet and cover the RF spectrum in pieces. Take pieces of the spectrum, narrow them down and handle them one at a time. It gives several advantages: high selectivity, high probability of detection, and it is not susceptible to jamming. On the other hand, it is limited in frequency accu-

Excerpted from an article by R.C. Brown, GTE-Sylvania, in the 1977 edition of *The International Countermeasures Handbook*.

#### **RECEIVER COMPARISONS**



racy, because the system receives a channel at a time. The channelized receiver has limited resolution, because it can only handle pulsewidths inversely proportional to the bandwidth. It requires a channelizer and in general, cannot do monopulse DF, in a size and cost effective manner.





#### IFM

The instantaneous frequency measurement receiver (IFM) is nothing but a polar discriminator, but can give a high probability of detection and very good frequency measurement accuracy. It is a proven design and it can handle frequency agile signals. Conversely, the sensitivity isn't very good: it can be jammed, it doesn't handle a very high data rate well, unless the designer starts combining it with filters on the front end or tunable reject filters. It cannot handle simultaneous signals, it cannot handle CW signals, and it will always be an aid to the conventional systems for fast acquisition, but rarely can ever do the job all by itself.

#### **Bragg Cell Operation**

A Bragg cell is an optical crystal with special properties. Iluminating a Bragg cell with a laser beam and applying RF to the acoustic transducer in the Bragg cell, the acoustic wave will be propagated down the Bragg cell and the laser beam defracted by an amount in proportion to the stress (frequency) on the cell. Therefore, as different frequencies are propagated down the Bragg cell, the laser beam will defract a different amount. In a receiver, an array of photo detectors is used as the target, with each photo detector representing a channel of the receiver. This is basically a

channelized receiver, but in this case a Bragg cell is used to separate the elements, instead of a number of filters. It is much smaller, cheaper, it has a high sensitivity, high probability of detection, and high selectivity. There are still problems with it and more development in the area of Bragg cells is needed. It is tough to handle frequency agile signals with a Bragg cell system because of the read out-it takes time to read out all the photo detectors. This system cannot measure pulse-width, because the pulsewidth information is lost when the photo detectors integrate a number of pulses. One has to do special things to the receiver to do monopulse DF and it is relatively slow in frequency search, primarily because the readout time of the photo-detectors.



CON
MODERATE TIME RESOLUTION OF PULSES     UNPROVEN HARDWARE

. MONOPULSE OF WITH ONE RECEIVER GOOD ECCM

#### Surface Acoustic Wave Receiver

The Surface Acoustic Wave system has been set up using two channels, two dispersive delay lines, to get a broader bandwidth than could be obtained with one. In addition, using two channels eliminates the need for preselection, because image response is handled in the processing. Also, a two channel system is adaptable to direction finding. This system has a high probability of detection, good sensitivity, minimum pulsewidth, can handle frequency agile signals. and has a good high dynamic range. It can handle multiple signals, can do monopulse DF with a single receiver and it is very hard to jam. On the other hand, there are some disadvantages: it takes a moderate time to resolve pulses that are close together, and basically the hardware is not fully mature.

# System Antenna Selection Criteria

To help select the proper antenna for a particular EW system, it's often only necessary to answer three fundamental questions:

Is an omnidirectional antenna (one covering 360 degrees in azimuth), or a directional antenna, with a narrower antenna beam, required?

Omnidirectional antennas are used where coverage in all directions is required for warning and/or intercept functions; and for sidelobe suppression and qualification of signals in conjunction with direction finding systems. "Omnis" are also used for self-protection fuze jamming. Directional antennas are fundamental with direction finding systems, high gain intercept systems, directional jamming systems and radars.

Does the system require a linearly or circularly polarized antenna?

Linear polarization is used when the other antenna is of

known linear polarization, and it is desired to optimize the gain of the system; or if polarization analysis is to be performed.

*Circular polarization* is desirable when the polarization of the other antenna is unknown or variable, or if polarization diversity for any other reason is required.

Should the antenna cover a broad frequency band of an octave or more, or will a narrow band antenna meet the requirements of the system?

Once these alternatives have been considered, the choice of antennas can be narrowed down to only a few, in Table I. The chart in Table II, listing the general characteristics of the various antenna types, narrows the choice even further.

Courtesy: Klaes Elfving, GTE-Sylvania

System Requirements							
Pattern	Polarization	Bandwidth	Antenna Type				
Omnidirectional Antenna		Narrow Band	Dipole Loop				
	Linearly Polarized	Broad Band	Biconical Swastika				
		Narrow Band	Normal Mode Helix				
	Circularly Polarized	Broad Band	Biconical w/Polarizer Lindenblad 4-Arm Conical Spiral				
Directional Antenna		Narrow Band	Yagi Dipole Array				
	Linearly Polarized	Broad Band	Log Periodic Horn				
		Narrow Band	Axial Mode Helix Horns w/Polarizers				
	Circulatory Polarized	Broad Band	Cavity Spirals Conical Spirals				





#### Table II. Antenna Characteristics



#### **Table II. Antenna Characteristics**



#### **Table II. Antenna Characteristics**





#### SPIRAL SCAN



#### **RASTER SCAN**



### Echo Signals with Conical Scan



#### Echo Signals with Conical Scan





#### PALMER SCAN (Conical Scan superimposed on some other scan)

# **Antenna Scan Characteristics** TRACK WHILE SCAN Targets common to both scans can be accurately tracked in both azimuth and elevation. TWS is commonly associated with guided missile radars. TWS scan is produced by two uni-directional sector scans simultaneously scanning in two planes. MONOPULSE Target tracking scan using a feedhorn that is divided into four sections radiating four beams each of a different polarity and focused through a lens into one beam with four quadrants. Amplitude or phase comparison of the received signal tracks the target through space. AMPLITUDE Dual Amplitude Sensing with Four Beams

. . .

#### SIGNAL FLOW IN THE MONOPULSE COMPARATOR



#### LORO Lobe On Receive Only

Four beams transmitted simultaneously separate receive antenna sampling the transmitted beams in a lobe switching pattern.



#### COSRO

COnical Scan on Receive Only Four beams transmitted simultaneously. separate receive antenna nutating the receive signal only giving a conical scan component to the radar receiver.



#### Altitude Determining Capability

Lower Target = Shorter interval between echoes

Typical Display may be either "PPI" or "EPI"





A uni-directional scan employing several RF frequencies: each RF beam overlaps previous beam. Uni-directional sector produced by changing radar frequency in discrete steps. Each step results in an overlapping beam. Each on a different bearing

.....







. . .





. . .



#### **Glossary of Symbols, Terms and Abbreviations**

- Za = Antenna Impedance = R<sub>n</sub> jX<sub>a</sub>
  - where: R<sub>R</sub> = Antenna Radiation Resistance
    - X<sub>a</sub> = Antenna Terminal Reactance

**Radiation Resistance** = That coefficient by which the square of the effective current on the antenna  $(l^2_{EFF})$  can be multiplied to obtain the effective power radiated by the antenna.

Zo = Antenna Characteristic Impedance.

Radiation Pattern = A plot of the electric field strength in polar coordinates.

**Polarization** = The direction of the electric field vector with respect to a set of coordinate axes.

**Linear Polarization** = The direction of the resultant electric field vector is constant with time.

**Vertical Polarization** = Here taken to mean perpendicular to the earth surface or ground plane for the given orientation of the antenna.

Horizontal Polarization = Here taken to mean parallel to the earth's surface (or ground plane) for the given orientation of the antenna.

**Circular Polarization** = The direction of the resultant electric field vector varies with time in such a fashion that its tip traces out a circle in the plane perpendicular to the direction of propagation.

**Resonant Antenna** = One whose impedance is pure resistance.

Gain = Ratio of maximum radiation intensity in a given direction to the maximum radiation intensity produced in the same direction by a hypothetical lossless antenna which radiates uniformly in all directions (an isotropic radiator), for same power input.

**Bandwidth** = The frequency range over which the antenna impedance variation will not cause the standing wave ratio to exceed some specified limit, typically 1.5 to 2.

**HPBW** = **Half-Power Beamwidth** = The angular beamwidth in degrees of the radiated power pattern at the half-power point.

 $\lambda$  = Free space wavelength.

 $\eta = 120\pi$ 

1n = Natural or Naperian logarithm.

**VSWR**  $\approx$  **Voltage Standing Wave Ratio** = Ratio of voltage maximum to voltage minimum of a standing wave pattern. The angles # and  $\phi$  where used are measured in the usual way for standard spherical coordinates.

#### References

- 1. Kraus, J.D., "Electromagnetics", McGraw-Hill, New York 1953
- 2. Kraus, J.D., "Antennas", McGraw-Hill, New York. 1950.
- Stratton, J.A., "Electromagnetic Theory", McGraw-Hill, 1941
   Jasik, H. (Ed.), Antenna Engineering Handbook, McGraw-Hill, New
- York, 1961. 5. Jordan, E.C., "Electromagnetic Waves and Radiating Systems
- Prentice-Hall, New York, 1950. 6. Schelkunoff, S.A. and Friis, H.T., "Antennas, Theory and Practice Wiley, New York, 1952.
- Ramo, S. and Whinnery, J.R., "Fields and Waves in Modern Radio Wiley, New York, 1948.
- Slater, J., "Microwave Transmission". McGraw-Hill. New York. 1942
   "Reference Data for Radio Engineers" 4th Edition. H.P. Westman.
- "Reference Data for Radio Engineers" 4th Edition. H.P. Westman Editor, International Telephone and Telegraph Corp., New York, 1956
   Silver, S., "Microwave Antenna Theory and Design. McGraw-Hill
- Silver, S., "Microwave Antenna Theory and Design McGraw-Hill New York, 1949.
   Fry, D.W. and Howard, F.K., "Aerials for Centimetre Wave Length"
- 11. Fry, D.W. and Howard, F.K., "Aerials for Centimetre Wave Length University Press, Cambridge, 1950.
- "Very High Frequency Techniques", Radio Research Laboratory Staff, Vols. Land II, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1947
- 13. Fradin, A.Z., "Microwave Antennas", Pergamon Press. Ltd.: London 1961.
- Pugh & Pugh, "Principles of Electricity and Magnetism Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1960.
- 15. LaPort, E.A., "Radio Antenna Engineering." McGraw-Hill: New York 1952.
- 16. Private Notes, "Antennas and Propagation. Dr. Chas. Polk. University of Rhode Island.
- 17. Harper, A.E., "Rhombic Antenna Design D Van Nostrand New York 1941.
- 18. Skolnik, M.I., "Radar Systems Engineering McGraw-Hill New York 1962.



# ECM Techniques Glossary

Aerosols - solid particles dispersed in the atmosphere having resonant size particles with a high index of refraction.
The particles both scatter and absorb visual and laser directed energy so as to cut down on weapon systems directed by these techniques.

Asynchronous Pulsed Jamming—where the jammer nearly matches the pulse repetition frequency (PRF) of the victim radar, and transmits multiples of the PRF.

**Barrage Noise Jamming**—noise jamming spread in frequency to deny the use of multiple radar frequencies to effectively deny range information.

**Blinking** -spot noise jamming by two sources in the same angular cell of the victim radar. The jamming is alternated between sources causing the radar system to oscillate back and forth. Too high a blinking frequency can allow the tracker to average the data while too low a frequency will cause the missile to home-in on one of the jammers.

Buddy Mode—multiple jamming aircraft in the same radar angular resolution cell. Potentially of use in jamming angletracking monopulse radars.

Cooperative Countermeasures—same concept as Buddy Mode, but requires communication between platforms. Generates larger errors

**Countdown**—a technique for forcing the radar AGC to continuously change value, and, hopefully even oscillate. The jummer rapidly changes the duty cycle of the deception pulses.

**Cover Pulse** jammer covers radar return with a pulse usually much wider than the radar pulse. Since tracking circuits are looking for largest return, they will transfer to the cover pulse, thereby denying range information.

Cross Eye - technique used against monopulse and other passive lobing radars. Jammer is a two-source interferometer that causes the phase front of the signal reaching the radar to be highly distorted, requires a high jam-to-signal ratio or the skin echo will show up in the pattern nulls.

**Cross Polarization** – or "Cross Pole", is a monopulse jamming technique where a cross-polarized signal is transmitted to give erroneous angle data to the radar. The component of the jamming signal with the same polarization as the radar must be very small.

**Deception Jamming**—any means of jamming consisting of false signals that have similar characteristics to the victim radar thereby deceiving the operator into erroneous conclusions.

False Target – typically used against search radars. Introduces pulse (targets) into the radar passband at times not corresponding to actual radar returns. Effect is to create a response at ranges where there is no target.

**Image Jamming** – Jamming the image frequency of a monopulse radar causing the antenna to be driven away from the target. Not effective if the radar uses image rejection.

Instantaneous Inverse Gain—pulse-by-pulse amplification, modulation and re-radiation of the victim radar's pulses to obscure angle data. **Inverse Gain**—amplification, inverse modulation and reradiation of a radar's pulse train at the nutation rate of the radar scan. Deceives a conical scanning radar in angle.

Obscuration—effects produced by masking-type jammers. Denial of either range or angle is achieved by submerging data in interference caused by noise or noise-like signals.

PRF Stripping—another name for countdown (see Count-

Range Gate Pull-In (RGPI)—same as range gate pull-off (see next entry) except that the deceptive pulse is transmitted before the radar pulse is received. This is accomplished by digital storage of the pulse repetition period, which must be extremely stable.

Range Gate Pull Off (RGPO)—deception technique used against pulse tracking radars using range gates. Jammer initially repeats the skin echo with minimum time delay at a high power to capture the AGC circuitry. The delay is progressively increased, forcing the tracking gates to be pulled away ("walked off") from the skin echo. Frequency memory loops (FML's), or transponders provide the variable delay.

**Repeater Jamming**—any equipment that intercepts and reradiates a modified signal to present erroneous data on azimuth, range, number of targets, etc.

**Skirt Frequency Jamming**—jamming a monopulse radar at frequencies on the skirts of the response curve of the radar. Careful radar design minimizes the effectiveness of this technique.

Smith Modulation—deceptive technique which operates on the servo loop of the victim radar. Two RF carriers are transmitted with a few cycles difference.

Spot Noise Jamming —jammer's entire power output is concentrated in a very narrow bandwidth, ideally identical to that of the victim radar. Used to deny range and sometimes angle information.

Sweep Lock Jamming – a swept jammer with an additional feature of lock-on capability.

Swept Audio—jamming technique usually employed against conical-scan-on-receive-only (COSRO) radars. The received pulses are amplified and re-transmitted by the target and are amplitude modulated at a frequency close to the suspected receiver antenna scan frequency.

Serrodyne—a method of "pulling-off" the velocity gate of a doppler radar by using a voltage-controlled phase shifter, using a Traveling Wave Tube ( $\Gamma WT$ ). This introduces a frequency shift from zero to some maximum value, pulling the doppler tracking gate away from the skin echo. The phase shift is usually accomplished by modulating the TWT's helix voltages.

Transponder—device used to transmit jamming pulses at the frequency of the victim radar by use of an internal oscillator. Used for cover pulses, RGPO, RGPI and false targets.

Velocity Gate Pull-Off (VGPO)—method of capturing the velocity gate of a doppler radar and moving it away from the skin echo.



# **Fundamental Jamming Relationships**

There are a number of calculations that need to be made in connection with jamming. For example, how much power is required from a jammer to screen a given target if the jammer is carried on the target? If the jammer is carried on a separate vehicle (stand-off jammer)? How much gain is required in a deceptive repeater to simulate a given size target? Given jammer and target characteristics, what is the minimum range at which the target will be protected?

In free space the radar echo power returned from a target varies inversely as the fourth power of the range, while power received at the radar from a jammer carried on the target varies inversely with the square of the target range. Therefore, as range decreases, radar echo power increases more rapidly than does received energy from the jammer. Inevitably a point is reached where the energy received from a noise jammer is no longer great enough to hide the skin echo. This range is called the burn-through range.

The Self Protection Jammer. The received radar signal power can be written as

$$S = \frac{P_r G_r^2 \sigma \lambda^2 g^4}{(4\pi)^3 R^4} \qquad (1)$$

where S = received echo signal power

- $P_r = radar power output$
- $G_r$  = gain of radar antenna toward target
- $\sigma$  = target radar cross section
- $\lambda$  = wavelength
- R = radar-to-target range
- $g^2$  = propagation one-way power gain;  $0 \le g^2 \le 4$

Due to reflections from the earth, the signal field strength at the target may be anywhere from 0 (reflected and direct rays cancel) to two times (reflected and direct rays are equal and additive) the strength the field would have in free space. The ratio of field strength to free-space. The ratio of field strength to free-space field strength is g. Power is proportional to the square of the field strength, or to  $g^2$ . The propagation factor in the equation is for two-way propagation, hence  $g^4$ . In calculating the magnitude of the propagation factor, the effects of atmospheric attenuation should be included.

The jamming energy received by the radar within the receiver bandwidth, J, can be given by

$$J = \frac{P_{j}BG_{j}G_{r}\lambda^{2}g^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}R^{2}}$$
(2)

where  $P_i = jammer$  power output per unit bandwidth B = radar receiver noise bandwidth

G. = gain of jammer antenna toward radar

The jammer bandwidth is generally greater than the radar receiver bandwidth; hence, only a fraction of the jammer power output is effective in jamming the radar.

By combining equations (1) and (2), the equation for the jam-to-signal ratio,  $J \cdot S$ , is

$$\frac{J}{S} = \frac{4\pi P_j G_j B R^2}{P_j G_j \sigma g^2}$$
(3)

By replacing  $J_1$  S by C, the minimum J S ratio for which the target is obscured, equation (3) can be solved for burnthrough range, the minimum target range at which the target is obscured. C is called the camouflage factor.

$$R_{B} = \sqrt{\frac{P_{r}G_{r}C\sigma g^{2}}{4\pi P.G.B}}$$
(4)

If the jammer noise as received has the same characteristics as receiver noise, then the camouflage factor is the reciprocal of the minimum signal-to-noise ratio for detection. Thus if a radar has a signal processing that permits the detection of targets with a minimum signal-to-noise ratio of 0.5, then a camouflage factor of 2 will be required to obscure the target.

The Stand-Off Jammer. Frequently jammers are carried on escort vehicles rather than on the platform to be protected. The escort vehicles in this case frequently stand off at a distance from the target, out of range of the target defenses.

The stand-off jamming equation may be derived by first modifying slightly equations (1) and (2). In equation (1), R is replaced by R<sub>i</sub>, the radar-to-target range, and g is replaced by  $g_i$ , the radar-to-target propagation factor. In equation (2), G<sub>i</sub>, is replaced by G<sub>i</sub>, the gain of the jammer antenna toward the radar; R by R<sub>i</sub>, the radar-to-jammer range; and g by  $g_i$ , the propagation factor on the jammer-to-radar path. It follows that

$$= \frac{4\pi P_{i}BG_{ji}G_{ii}}{P_{r}G_{r}^{2}\sigma} \frac{R_{i}^{4}}{R_{i}^{2}} \frac{g_{i}^{2}}{g_{i}^{4}}$$
(5)

$$R_{B} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{P_{r}G_{r}^{2}C\sigma R_{1}^{2}}{4\pi P_{j}BG_{1r}G_{r_{1}}}} \frac{g_{t}^{4}}{g_{1}^{2}}$$
(6)

Repeater Gain Requirement. A repeater receives a signal, amplifies it, and retransmits it either with or without additional modulation. An unmodulated repeater may be used to make the radar target appear larger than it really is, a decoy. A modulated repeater may also be used for break lock of a tracking radar.

and

To calculate the gain required of a repeater, first write an equation for the power reflected from the target,  $P_{\rm eff}$ 

$$P_{refl} = \frac{P_r G_r \sigma g^2}{4\pi R^2}$$

The power radiated by the repeater toward the radar is given by

$$P_{i} = \frac{P_{r}G_{r}G_{ir}G_{jr}G_{e}\lambda^{2}g^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}R^{2}}$$

where  $G_e = gain$  of repeater (electronic gain). The jam-tosignal ratio then is

$$\frac{J}{S} = \frac{G_{jr}G_{jl}G_{e}\lambda^{2}}{4\pi\sigma}$$
(7)

Note that the J S ratio is independent of range. Replacing J S by C and solving for the product of the three gains.

$$G_{ir}G_{jt}G_{e} = \frac{4\pi C\sigma}{\lambda^{2}}$$
(8)

 $C\sigma$  is the additional radar cross section simulated by the repeater,  $\sigma$ .

$$G_{tr}G_{tr}G_{e} = \frac{4\pi \sigma_{s}}{\lambda^{2}}$$

The Modulated Repeater. The equations developed above for the required repeater gain assume that the repeater is unmodulated, and hence its output signal bandwidth is the same as the bandwidth of the radar signal. If the repeater is modulated, its bandwidth is increased. If the increase is substantial, part of the radiated energy lies outside the radar receiver pass band. The repeater gain must then be increased to compensate for this loss of signal energy.

A common type of repeater is the gated repeater which is turned on and off from one to several times during a repeated pulse in order to avoid feedback from the transmitting to the receiving antenna, as shown in Figure 1. Note the DC component of the pulse envelope voltage is reduced by the factor  $\beta$ , where  $\beta$  is the duty cycle. It follows that the carrier power output is reduced by  $\beta^2$ . The gain of the gated repeater must be increased by 1  $\beta^2$  to compensate for the loss due to gating. For the gated repeater then





$$G_{\mu}G_{\mu}G_{e} = \frac{4\pi C\sigma}{\beta^{2}\lambda^{2}} \qquad (9)$$

**Repeater Power Output.** Repeater gain remains constant as long as its amplifier does not saturate. The repeater power output therefore increases as range decreases. If the range is decreased arbitrarily close to zero, the repeater must eventually saturate.

It is instructive to calculate repeater output power as a function of range. The input power is given by

$$P_{in} = \frac{P_r G_r g^2}{4\pi R^2} \ A_e = \frac{P_r G_r G_{ir} \lambda^2 g^2}{(4\pi R)^2}$$
(10)

Solving equation (9) for the electronic gain and multiplying by Pin.

$$P_{out} = \frac{P_t G_t \sigma_s g^2}{4\pi R^2 G_t \beta^2}$$
(11)

If, in equation (11), the smallest range at which the repeater must be fully effective is used, the equation gives the required maximum power output.

### Typical Pulse Repeater Deceptive Jamming System







### Jamming Techniques by Radar Type

TRACKING RADAR TYPE	JAMMING TECHNIQUE	JAM-TO-SIGNAL RATIO REQUIRED (JSRR)	RECEIVER SENSITIVITY INCREASE REQUIRED (RSIR)	COMMENTS
PULSED	Noise	~ 0-6 dB	0 dB	
	RGPO Range Gate Pull Off	0-6 dB	0 dB	Technique usually used to pull radar off target signal and provide infinite jam-to-signal ratio for angle jamming techniques. JSRR varies with split or contiguous tracking gates, gate widths, gate separation, tracking servo response, pull-off rates of jammer Relatively ineffective technique against manual operator
	RGPI/RGPO RANRAP	~0 dB	0 dB	Technique requires predictive gates More effec- tive against manual operator. However, requires more duty cycle from jammer.
	COVER PULSE. also useful against leading edge tracking ECCM	~0 dB	0 dB	Technique useful to supply noise type response (defeat radars range resolution capability for techniques like Buddy Mode )

.

TRACKING RADAR TYPE	JAMMING TECHNIQUE	JAM-TO-SIGNAL RATIO REQUIRED (JSRR)	RECEIVER SENSITIVITY INCREASE REQUIRED (RSIR)	COMMENTS
CW RADARS	VGPO replaces RGPO velocity gate	0-6 dB	0 dB	Technique usually used to pull radar on the target signal and provide infinite JSRR for angle jamming techniques
PULSE DOPPLER	VGPO combines with RGPO	0-6 dB	0 dB	Technique usually used to pull radar off the target signal and provide infinite JSRR for angle jamming techniques
PULSE CODED RADARS Chirp. etc	Frequency Time Ambiguity Jamming			JSRR dependent upon ambiguity diagrams and coding of signal.
Angle Tracking Conical Scan or Active Lobe Switching	Inverse Gain Scan	10-25 dB for break lock	10-15 dB for break lock	JSRR & RSIR vary with squint angle and radar angle tracking time constants
TWS Auto Radar Track	Main Lobe Blanking	10-15 dB	10 dB	JSRR for breaklock varies with angle tracking gate widths, gate separation, and tracking time constants
TWS Manual	JETS	10-13 dB	10 dB	JSRR & effectiveness vary greatly with each specific operator
Magar Irdux	Instantaneous Inverse Gain	~ 20 dB	~ 20 dB	JSRR & RSIR depend upon depth of radar nulls and the desired angular width of the jamming signal
TWSRO Track while scan	JETS	10-13 dB	10 dB	JSRR for breaklock varies with angle tracking gate widths, gate separation, and time constants
COSRO	Swept Audio or Multiplexed Swept Audio	10-25 dB	10-15 dB	See CONSCAN & the sweep rate of the audio
	Above, with jog detection	10-25 dB	10-15 dB	These techniques are used to reduce uncertainty of threat scan rate PSD places strigent added requirements on signal processing
	Passive Scan Detection (PSD)	10-25 dB	10-15 dB	
Monopulse & other passive lobing radars with CAM	Cross- Polarization	20-40 dB	20-40 dB	JSRR & RSIR vary with Condon Lobe (Cross- Polarized) response of victim antenna. The Condon Lobe response varies with F. D ratio type of feedhorn, illumination taper, and use of polarization screening
	Cross-Eye	~ 20 dB at 80 spacing at 20 NM at 1 0 BW threat antenna	~ 20 dB	JSRR & RSIR varies with antenna separation on aircraft in radar angular mils. To jam at maximum range requires maximum JSRR
	Buddy Mode Radar Angular Resolution Cell Jamming	0-3 dB	10 dB	JSRR will vary significantly only if A C spacing exceed 1 radar beamwidth. Requires multiple A.C.
	Cooperative Counter- measures	0-3 dB	10 dB	Same approximate requirements as Buddy Mode but requires communication amongst aircraft for technique coordination. Génerates larger errors
	Countdown	10-30 dB	10 dB	JSRR varies with AGC time constants and receiver instantaneous dynamic range
Range and or Velocity	* *			RGPO etc. and VGPO may be used in combination with any of the Angle Techniques
ALTERNATE	MISSILE BEACON TRACKING	0-6 dB	Requires a C D band receiver	JSRR quoted here will work but requires new definition from other JSRR's quoted and must be carefully implemented. Technique is effective against command guidance missile systems that use radar to track a beacon in the missile and is effective countermeasure to optical target track.

- JAMMING NOTES -

•

ECM CALCULATION GUIDE	JAMMER		
σ	$\begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} P_{i} \\ \hline \\ REC \\ G_{e} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} P_{r} \\ P_{r} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} P_{\sigma} \\ \hline \\ P_{\sigma} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} G_{e} \\ C_{e} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} XMIT \\ \hline \\ G_{e} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} XMIT \\ \end{array}$		
Pt Ps or Pj XMIT REC RADAR	( R RANGE )		
$P_{t} = \frac{P_{t}G_{t}G_{e}\lambda^{2}}{R^{2}16\pi^{2}}$	RADAR POWER AT JAMMER INPUT		
$P_{s} = \frac{P_{t}G_{t}^{2}\lambda^{2}\sigma}{R^{4}16\pi^{2}4\pi}$	RADAR SIGNAL POWER AT RADAR REC		
$\frac{P_{j/s}}{P_{e}} = \frac{\frac{P_{e}G_{e}4\pi R^{2}}{P_{t}G_{t}\sigma}}$	JAM SIGNAL RATIO AT RADAR FOR SATURATED JAMMER OUTPUT		
$\frac{\dot{P}_{j/s}}{G_{x}} = \frac{G_{e}^{2}G_{x}\lambda^{2}}{4\pi\sigma}$	JAM SIGNAL RATIO AT RADAR FOR UN SATURATED JAMMER OUTPUT (AND SELECTED JAMMER GAIN)		
$\frac{R_e^2}{P_e} = \frac{P_t G_t G_e G_x \lambda^2}{P_e^{16\pi^2}}$	JAMMER SATURATION RANGE		
$\frac{R_{x}^{2}}{P_{j/s}} = \frac{P_{t}G_{t}\sigma P_{j/s}}{P_{e}G_{e}4\pi}$	JAM SIGNAL CROSSOVER RANGE FOR SELECTED P <sub>j/s</sub>		
$P_{i(min)} = \frac{G_e^{\lambda}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{P_{s(min)}P_t}{\pi\sigma}}$	MINIMUM RADAR POWER AT JAMMER RECEIVER AT THRESHOLD OF RADAR DETECTION		
$\frac{P_{i}(\max)}{P_{j/s}} = \frac{\frac{P_{e}G_{e}^{2}\lambda^{2}}{4\pi\sigma P_{j/s}}}$	MAXIMUM RADAR POWER AT JAMMER RECEIVER FOR SELECTED P <sub>j/s</sub> BURN THRU		
P = POWER OF RADAR IN mW t (DBM)	<ul> <li>σ - RADAR TARGET REFERENCED</li> <li>TO 1 SQ. METER (DBM<sup>2</sup>)</li> </ul>		
G <sub>t</sub> = GAIN OF RADAR ANT. IN DB G_ = GAIN OF JAMMEP. (REC. &	G = GAIN OF XPONDER RF AMP. IN DB		
<ul> <li>XMIT) ANT'S, IN DB</li> <li>P = RADAR POWER @ JAMMER</li> <li>INPUT IN mW(DBM)</li> </ul>	P - JAMMER TO RADAR REC. 1/5 POWER IN DB		
λ - RF WAVELENGTH (METERS)	R - RADAR RANGE IN METERS (DBM)		
P - RADAR REC. POWER FROM TARGET IN mW (DBM) P - RADAR REC. POWER FROM J JAMMER IN mW (DBM)	P - JAMMER OUT SATURATED POWER REFERENCED TO 1 mW(DBM)		

# Free space one way transmission loss between isotropic antennas.



Free Space, one way, transmission loss between Isotropic antennas.

The basic concept in estimating radio transmission loss is the loss expected in free space, that is, in a region free of all objects that might absorb or reflect radio energy. This concept is essentially the inverse square law in optics applied to radio transmission. For a one-wavelength separation between nondirective (isotropic) antennas, the free-space loss is 22 db, and it increases by 6 db each time the distance is doubled. The free-space transmission ratio at a distance d is given by

$$\frac{P_{r}}{P_{t}} = \left(\frac{\lambda}{4\pi d}\right)^{2} g_{t}g_{r}$$

where P<sub>r</sub>, P<sub>t</sub> = received power and radiated power respectively, measured in same units

 $\lambda$  = wavelength, in same units as d

 $g_t$  (or  $g_r$ ) = power gain of transmitting (or receiving) antenna

The power gain of an ideal isotropic antenna that radiates power uniformly in all directions is unity by definition. Another form of expressing free-space transmission is the concept of the free-space field intensity  $E_0$ , which is

 $E_0 = \frac{\sqrt{30P_tg_t}}{d}$  Volts/meter

where d is in meters and P<sub>t</sub> in watts.

given by

The use of the field-intensity concept is frequently more convenient than the transmission-loss concept at frequencies below about 30 Mc, where external noise is generally controlling and where antenna dimensions and heights are comparable to or less than a wavelength. The free-space field intensity is independent of frequency, and its magnitude for 1 kw radiated from a half-wave dipole is shown on the left-hand scale of the nomograph.

The concept of free-space transmission assumes that the atmosphere is perfectly uniform and nonabsorbing and that the earth is either infinitely far away or its reflection coefficient is negligible. In practice, the modifying effects of the earth, the atmosphere, and the ionosphere need to be considered. The following nomographs take such factors into consideration.

Excerpted from Antenna Enginnering Handbook by Jasik with permission of the publisher, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc.

# One Way Transmission Loss Between Isotropic Antennas Over Plane Earth.

The presence of the ground modifies the generation and the propagation of radio waves so that the received power or field intensity is ordinarily less than would be expected in free space. The effect of plane earth on the propagation of radio waves is given by

E = 1 + Re<sup>i ⊥</sup> + (1 - R)Ae<sup>i ⊥</sup> + Direct Reflected "Surface wave" Induction field and wave waves secondary effects of the ground

where R = reflection coefficient of ground

A = "surface-wave" attenuation factor

 $\gamma = \frac{\gamma q}{\gamma}$ 

h<sub>1.2</sub> = antenna heights measured in same units as wavelength and distance

The parameters R and A depend to some extent on polarization and ground constants. The term "surface wave" has led to considerable confusion since it has been used in the literature to stand for entirely different concepts. However, the important point to note is that considerable simplification is possible in most practical cases and that the variations with polarization and ground constants and the confusion about the surface wave can often be neglected. For near-grazing paths, R is approximately equal to -1and the factor A can be neglected as long as both antennas are elevated more than a wavelength above the ground (or more than 5 to 10 wavelengths above sea water). Under these conditions the effect of the earth is independent of polarization and ground constants and the above equation reduces to

$$\left|\frac{E}{E_0}\right| = \sqrt{\frac{P_r}{P_0}} = 2\sin\frac{\Delta}{2} = 2\sin\frac{2\pi h_1 h_2}{\lambda d}$$

where Po is the received power expected in free space.

This expression is the sum of the direct and ground reflected rays and shows the lobe structure of the signal as it oscillates around the free-space value. In most radio applications (except air to ground) the principal interest is in the lower part of the first lobe, that is, where  $\Delta/2 \approx \pi/4$ . In this case,  $\sin \Delta/2 \approx \Delta/2$ , and the transmission loss over plane earth is given by

$$\frac{P_{r}}{P_{t}} = \left(\frac{\lambda}{4\pi d}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{4\pi h_{t}h_{2}}{\lambda d}\right)^{2} g_{t}g$$
$$= \left(\frac{h_{t}h_{2}}{d^{2}}\right)^{2} g_{t}g_{r}$$

It will be noted that this relation is independent of frequency, and it is shown in decibels for isotropic antennas. This nomograph is not valid when the indicated transmission loss is less than the free-space loss shown in the pre-



- 1. This chart is not valid when the indicated received power is greater than the free space power shown in the preceding nomograph for free space transmission loss.
- Use the actual antenna height or the minimum effective height shown in the minimum effective antenna height chart, whichever is the larger.

ceding nomograph for free space transmission loss, because this means that  $\Delta$  is too large for this approximation.

Although the transmission loss shown in the nomograph has been derived from optical concepts that are not strictly valid for antenna heights less than a few wavelengths, approximate results can be obtained for lower heights by using  $h_1$  (or  $h_2$ ) as the larger of either the actual antenna height or the minimum effective antenna height (see chart on minimum effective antenna gain). The error that can result from the use of this artifice does not exceed = 3 db and occurs where the actual antenna height is approximately equal to the minimum effective antenna height.

Excerpted from Antenna Engineering Handbook by Jasik with permission of the publisher, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc.



# Minimum Effective Antenna Height

Excerpted from Antenna Engineering Handbook by Jasik with permission of the publisher, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc.

Wherever the antenna heights are small compared with the wavelength, the received field intensity is ordinarily stronger with vertical polarization than with horizontal and is stronger over sea wate than over poor soil. In these cases the "surface-wave" cannot be neglected. This use of the term "surface wave" follows Norton's usage and is not equivalent to the Sommerfeld or Zenneck "surface waves."

The parameter A is the plane-earth attenuation factor for antennas at ground level. It depends upon the frequency, ground constants, and type of polarization. It is never greater than unity and decreases with increasing distance and frequency, as indicated by the following approximate equation:

$$A \approx \frac{-1}{1 + j \frac{2\pi d}{\lambda} (\sin \theta + z)^2}$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{1}{\epsilon_0 - \cos^2 \theta}} \text{ for vertical polarization of a set of the set of the$$

where  $z = \frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon_0 - \cos^2 \theta}$  for vertical polarization  $z = \sqrt{\epsilon_0 - \cos^2 \theta}$  for horizontal polarization

- $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon j60\sigma\lambda$
- $\theta$  = angle between reflected ray and ground
- = 0 for antennas at ground level
- $\epsilon$  = dielectric constant of ground relative to unity in free space
- $\sigma$  = conductivity of ground, mhos/meter
- $\lambda$  = wavelength, meters

In terms of these same parameters the reflection coefficient of the ground is given by

$$\mathsf{R} = \frac{\sin \theta - z}{\sin \theta + z}$$

When  $\# \ll |z|$  the reflection coefficient approaches -1; when  $\# \gg |z|$  (which can happen only with vertical polzarization) the reflection coefficient approaches +1. The angle for which the reflection coefficient is a minimum is called the pseudo-Brewster angle, and it occurs when  $\sin \# \pm |z|$ . As illustrated in the nomograph for transmission loss over plane earth, the effect of plane earth on the propagation of radio waves is given by

For antennas approaching ground level, the first two terms cancel each other ( $h_1$  and  $h_2$  approach zero, and R approaches -1) and the magnitude of the third term becomes

$$|(1 - R)A| \approx \frac{2}{(2\pi d/\lambda)z^2} = \frac{4\pi h_0^2}{\lambda d}$$



where  $h_0 = minimum$  effective antenna height shown

$$\frac{\lambda}{2\pi z}$$

The surface-wave term arises because the earth is not a perfect reflector. Some energy is transmitted into the ground and sets up ground currents, which are distorted relative to what would have been the case in an ideal, perfectly reflecting surface. The surface wave is defined as the vertical electric field for vertical polarization, or the horizontal electric field for horizontal polarization, that is associated with the extra components of the ground currents caused by lack of perfect reflection. Another component of the electric field associated with the ground currents is in the direction of propagation. It accounts for the success of the wave antenna at lower frequencies, but it is always smaller in magnitude than the surface wave as defined above.

In addition to the effect of the earth on the propagation of radio waves, the presence of the ground may also affect the impedance of low antennas and thereby may have an effect on the generation and reception of radio waves. As the antenna height varies, the impedance oscillates around the free-space value, but the variations in impedance are usually unimportant as long as the center of the antenna is more than a quarter wavelength above the ground.



. . .

**Propagation Loss Relative to Free-Space**—Find the curve for altitude of the second antenna. Loss is given by the vertical scale as a function of range.

**Detection Range of a Radar**—Select the dashed line corresponding to the free-space detection range of the radar. Its actual range will be given by the abscissa of the intersection of the dashed line with the appropriate target height curve. Radar and target heights may be interchanged.

For ESM or Communications—Select the dashed line corresponding to the free-space operating range. The actual range will be given by the abscissa of the intersection of the dashed line with the appropriate antenna height curve.

Courtesy of Dynell Electronics Corporation, Melville, N.Y.

# Fading Characteristics Resulting from Poor Atmospheric Conditions

At frequencies above 5,000 to 10,000 Mc, the presence of rain, snow, or fog introduces an absorption in the atmosphere which depends on the amount of moisture and on the frequency. During a rain of cloudburst proportions, the attenuation at 10,000 Mc may reach 5 db/mile and at 25,000 Mc it may be in excess of 25 db/mile. In addition to the

effect of rainfall, some selective absorption may result from the oxygen and water vapor in the atmosphere. The first absorption peak due to water vapor occurs at about 24,000 Mc and the first absorption peak for oxygen occurs at about 60,000 Mc.





Excerpted from Antenna Engineering Handbook by Jasik with permission of the publisher. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc.





Excerpted from Microwave Antenna Design supplement, May 1965 with permission of the publisher Hayden Microwaves Corporation

.....

CHAFF

# **Chaff: Electronic Analysis**



Dipole bandwidth at first resonance vs. A



Polarization effects on  $\sigma/\lambda^2$  in square wavelengths

CHAFF

In predicting the theoretical response of a cloud of dipoles, the fact that a dipole is located in a cloud of thousands of other dipoles results in a degradation effect known as shielding. This is a phenomenon which results when the dipole density of a cloud is such that it prevents every dipole from receiving the full amount of energy from the radar. This is one of the single most important effects present during the self-protection time period, and is predominant until the dipoles are separated by at least  $10\lambda$  in the saturation time period.







Chaff shielding nomogram

(The reverse of this page is blank.)