AD

GRANT NUMBER: DAMD17-94-J-4279

TITLE: Follow-Up Care for Older Women with Breast Cancer

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Sherrie H. Kaplan, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: New England Medical Center Boston, Massachusetts 02111

REPORT DATE: August 1996

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: Commander U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

# 19961125 072

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of i gathering and maintaining the data needed, a collection of information, including suggestion Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 2	nformation is estimated to average 1 hour per nd completing and reviewing the collection of ns for reducing this burden, to Washington Hea 2202-4302, and to the Office of Management	response, including the time for re information. Send comments rega adquarters Services, Directorate fo and Budget, Paperwork Reduction	viewing instructions, searching existing data sources, rding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this r Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blai	nk) 2. REPORT DATE August 1996	3. REPORT TYPE AND	DATES COVERED 95 - 31 July 96)
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	Magabe 1990	Aimuai (i Aug	5. FUNDING NUMBERS
Follow-Up Care for Ol	der Women with Breast	Cancer	DAMD17-94-J-4279
6. AUTHOR(S) Sherrie H. Kaplan, Ph	ı.D.		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION New England Medical C Boston, Massachusetts	enter		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Commander U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21702-5012		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILI Approved for public r			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for public r	elease; distribution u	nlimited	
<ol> <li>ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Little is known abo Extending work begun as p variations in care received specific aims are: 1) To de factors associated with rece care received by older wome of ongoing breast cancer care</li> </ol>	but what constitutes approp bart of an National Cancer I by older women affect sho scribe patterns of adjuvant ipt of these therapies; 2) To en during the early years f e on patients' quality of life.	priate care for older nstitute-funded proje ort-term psychosocial hormonal and chemo characterize and qua following diagnosis; a	women with breast cancer. ct, we are examining whether and clinical outcomes. Our otherapy in older women, and antify the breast cancer-related nd 3) To determine the effects
<ul> <li><b>13. ABSTRACT</b> (Maximum 200 Little is known abo Extending work begun as p variations in care received specific aims are: 1) To de factors associated with rece care received by older wome of ongoing breast cancer car We are conducting a diagnosed with stage I and I Boston, Massachusetts. Wo characteristics. Medical reco received, tests performed, an</li> </ul>	but what constitutes appropriate of an National Cancer I by older women affect shores scribe patterns of adjuvant in the set of these therapies; 2) To en during the early years of a on patients' quality of life. In longitudinal observational st I breast cancer between Octoor of the are interviewed annually or disease recurrences. Description of the carteristics associates and disease recurrences.	priate care for older nstitute-funded proje ort-term psychosocial hormonal and chemo characterize and qua following diagnosis; a udy of a cohort of 30 ber 1992 and Decemb to obtain information nnually to gather infor-	ct, we are examining whether and clinical outcomes. Our otherapy in older women, and antify the breast cancer-related nd 3) To determine the effects 2 women $\geq$ 55 years of age ber 1995 at five sites in n about health and personal rmation about treatments
<ul> <li><b>13. ABSTRACT</b> (Maximum 200 Little is known abo Extending work begun as p variations in care received specific aims are: 1) To de factors associated with rece care received by older wome of ongoing breast cancer car We are conducting a diagnosed with stage I and I Boston, Massachusetts. Wo characteristics. Medical reco received, tests performed, an used to identify patient and p of these variations on patient</li> </ul>	but what constitutes appropriate of an National Cancer I by older women affect shores scribe patterns of adjuvant in the set of these therapies; 2) To en during the early years of a on patients' quality of life. In longitudinal observational st I breast cancer between Octoor of the are interviewed annually or disease recurrences. Description of the carteristics associates and disease recurrences.	priate care for older nstitute-funded proje ort-term psychosocial hormonal and chemo characterize and qua following diagnosis; a udy of a cohort of 30 ber 1992 and Decemb to obtain information nnually to gather infor-	ct, we are examining whether and clinical outcomes. Our otherapy in older women, and antify the breast cancer-related nd 3) To determine the effects 2 women $\geq$ 55 years of age ber 1995 at five sites in n about health and personal rmation about treatments the analytic techniques will be n care received and the effects
<ul> <li><b>13.</b> ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Little is known abo Extending work begun as p variations in care received specific aims are: 1) To de factors associated with rece care received by older wome of ongoing breast cancer car We are conducting a diagnosed with stage I and I Boston, Massachusetts. Wo characteristics. Medical reco received, tests performed, an used to identify patient and p of these variations on patient</li> <li><b>14.</b> SUBJECT TERMS Breast</li> </ul>	but what constitutes appropriate of an National Cancer I by older women affect shores scribe patterns of adjuvant in the set of these therapies; 2) To en during the early years of a on patients' quality of life. In longitudinal observational st I breast cancer between Octoor of the are interviewed annually or disease recurrences. Description of the carteristics associates and disease recurrences.	priate care for older nstitute-funded proje ort-term psychosocial hormonal and chemo characterize and qua following diagnosis; a udy of a cohort of 30 ber 1992 and Decemb to obtain information nnually to gather infor- riptive and multivariat ated with variations in	ct, we are examining whether and clinical outcomes. Our otherapy in older women, and antify the breast cancer-related nd 3) To determine the effects 2 women $\geq$ 55 years of age ber 1995 at five sites in n about health and personal rmation about treatments the analytic techniques will be n care received and the effects 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 26

٢

•

R

Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 298-102

#### FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the US Army.

<u>N/A</u> Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

<u>N/A</u> Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

N/A Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

N/A In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

X For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.

<u>N/A</u> In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

N/A In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.

<u>N/A</u> In the conduct of research involving bazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.

Suerrie A. Lande B/29/96 PI - Signature / Date

# **Table of Contents**

1

Introduction	pages	1-4
Body	pages	4-9
Conclusion	pages	9-10
References	pages	11-12
Tables	pages	13-16
Appendix A Thank-you Note		

Appendix B -- Journal of the National Cancer Institute editorial

### 5. INTRODUCTION

#### Nature of the Problem

Little is known about what constitutes appropriate care for older women with breast cancer (1) because until recently, women  $\geq$  70 years of age were excluded from most clinical trials. It is perhaps not surprising, therefore, that there is considerable variation in how older women are treated (2-9). There are several reasons why careful longitudinal observational studies involving older women with breast cancer need to be performed. First, because of spiraling health care costs, Congress and third party payers are demanding that we determine, insofar as possible, what constitutes effective care for our patients. Although randomized clinical trials will continue to be the gold standard for assessing treatment efficacy, large numbers of older women are not likely to be enrolled in such clinical trials and those that are enrolled will not be representative of those cared for by most practicing physicians (1). Second, the variations in diagnostic evaluation and initial treatment that have been observed may or may not matter in terms of important short and long-term clinical outcomes (recurrence and mortality) and in terms of psychosocial outcomes (physical, social, and emotional function). Evidence linking variations in care received by older patients and variations in clinical and psychosocial outcomes is sparse. For example, only very recently has the first study been published which links nondefinitive therapy with an increased risk of mortality (10). In addition there are limited data regarding psychosocial outcomes. However, there is evidence to suggest that more extensive surgery is a risk factor for poor upper body function among older women, but not for poor emotional function (11). Because of the chronic nature of early stage breast cancer, what happens in terms of follow-up care (adjuvant therapy and surveillance testing) may have a greater effect on patients' well-being than initial treatment. Third, because the incidence of breast cancer is continuing to rise, because the incidence increases with age (12), appearing only to level off at about age 80-85 (13), and because the numbers of women 65 years of age are rapidly increasing, the absolute number of new breast cancer cases will continue to grow into the foreseeable future, as will the proportion of cases involving older women.

#### **Background/Previous Studies**

The current study is designed to identify determinants of variations in adjuvant hormonal/chemotherapy and follow-up care among older women with early stage breast cancer and the effects of these variations on health-related quality of life and breast cancer-specific function.

#### Adjuvant Tamoxifen Therapy has both Benefits and Risks/Barriers

**Benefits.** Adjuvant tamoxifen therapy has been shown to decrease both rates of recurrence and mortality in older women with early stage breast cancer. A meta-analysis of clinical trials worldwide that included 2656 women  $\geq$  70 years of age, documented decreases in both recurrence (28%) and overall mortality (21%) rates among patients with node-positive disease treated with tamoxifen. Similar proportional risk reductions were found for node-negative patients, although the absolute risk reduction was greater for women who were node-positive. In

addition, the magnitude of risk reduction, both with respect to recurrence and mortality, was similar across three postmenopausal age groups: 50-59, 60-69, and 70+. Adjuvant tamoxifen therapy also was beneficial for women with hormone receptor-poor tumors, albeit to a lesser extent than in those with hormone receptor-rich tumors. Treatment with tamoxifen also prevents the development of contralateral breast cancer (14). There are non-breast cancer benefits of therapy for postmenopausal women as well. Tamoxifen may prevent osteoporosis (15) and lower cholesterol levels (16). Recent reports from Europe suggest that tamoxifen reduces the risk of hospitalization for cardiovascular disease and for fatal myocardial infarction (17-18). Risks/Barriers to Treatment. Tamoxifen is prescribed as the result of a definite disease (breast cancer) in order to reduce the probability of events in the future: breast cancer recurrence; the development of contralateral breast cancer; death; and possibly, cardiovascular and osteoporotic complications. Although there are proven health benefits, the risks and costs are not insignificant. First, although some Medigap policies include a prescription medication benefit, many do not; most older persons must pay out-of-pocket for their medications, many of which cost a dollar or more per day (e.g., 19, 20). Generic tamoxifen, at the recommended dose of 20 mg/day, will cost most patients \$85/month or more over a two to five year period. Second, taking tamoxifen may make patients feel worse, not better. One clinical trial involving younger postmenopausal women documented about a 4% dropout rate due to side effects, including nausea, hot flashes, edema, and vaginitis (21). Another clinical trial, also involving women < 65 years of age, documented persistent vasomotor, gynecological, or other major side effects in 48% of tamoxifen treated women compared with 21% of controls. Moderate to severe hot flashes, for example, persisted for 12 months in 22% of tamoxifen subjects vs. 5% of controls (22). In a clinical trial of women 65 - 84 years of age, Cummings and colleagues noted that 42% of women taking tamoxifen experienced mild toxicity symptoms by ECOG criteria, 21% experienced moderate symptoms, and 3% experienced severe symptoms (23). Third, treatment with tamoxifen increases the risk of rare, but serious illnesses. Deep vein thrombosis can complicate the use of tamoxifen and this risk appears to be greater in women  $\geq 65$  years (24). In addition, recent studies from Europe and the United States are relatively consistent in demonstrating an increased risk of endometrial cancer among tamoxifen users (25, 26). About 75% of endometrial cancers occur in women  $\geq 60$  years of age, and this already elevated base rate appears to be more than doubled by the addition of tamoxifen treatment (26). In light of the growing body of information about the risk of endometrial cancer, annual gynecological examinations, ranging from a history and physical examination to pelvic and/or endovaginal ultrasound and/or endometrial sampling are recommended for patients receiving tamoxifen (26). However, there is uncertainty as to the best approach to surveillance (27-29).

#### **Evidence for Adjuvant Chemotherapy Treatment Efficacy**

The value of adjuvant chemotherapy with or without tamoxifen in postmenopausal women is controversial, and in women over 70 years of age, has not been well-studied. In the metaanalysis described above, adjuvant chemotherapy resulted in only a 10% reduction in the mortality of women aged 60-69, although recurrences were reduced significantly. There were only 274 women enrolled in chemotherapy trials who were  $\geq$  70 years of age, and in these, adjuvant chemotherapy did not appear beneficial (14). Clearly adjuvant chemotherapy cannot be considered standard treatment for postmenopausal women, especially those  $\geq$  70 years of age. It is possible, however, that adjuvant chemotherapy may be of benefit to subgroups of patients, especially those with aggressive disease. Because so little is known about the use of chemotherapy in older persons, the current project is addressing the following descriptive questions: 1) What proportion of older women, both with stage I and stage II breast cancer, currently receive adjuvant chemotherapy? and 2) What patient and physician characteristics are associated with the receipt of chemotherapy?

#### Surveillance for Recurrence following Initial Therapy

、 *•* 

Although women are routinely followed by clinical examination and laboratory testing for evidence of recurrence, there is no evidence that this strategy results in earlier detection of recurrence or reduces mortality (30). Furthermore, case series evaluating the yield of various screening strategies have documented that most recurrences are detected either by patients themselves or by clinical examination (31-35). Only about 15% of recurrences are detected by surveillance testing which, in 1990 dollars amounts to an annual cost of about \$1200/patient. No published studies have examined the costs and benefits, in human terms (either increasing anxiety or allaying fears), of surveillance testing, although a clinical trial evaluating these issues is reported to be in progress (35). Furthermore, none of the published studies have involved older women. Information about surveillance testing and its impact on patient outcomes, particularly psychosocial outcomes. The current study is addressing the following questions: 1) How often are patients being seen and by which physicians during the early years following primary treatment? and 2) What are the types and frequency of surveillance tests and what are the effects of this testing on patient outcomes?

<u>Summary:</u> Given the national mandate to determine what constitutes effective health care and the fact that breast cancer is a disease primarily of older women (nearly half of newly diagnosed cases of breast cancer occur in women  $\geq 65$  years of age), we are conducting a longitudinal study of newly diagnosed older women with stage I and II disease: 1) to identify variations in follow-up care, and 2) to link these variations to patient outcomes. In conjunction with limited clinical trial data, this will be valuable information to assist clinicians in medical decision-making. Together, these two types of data will be able to inform the development of guidelines for the care of older women with breast cancer.

#### Purpose of the Current Study

As described above, we are filling important gaps in knowledge by addressing the following study questions in our current study:

1. What patient and provider characteristics are associated with the receipt of hormonal and/or chemotherapy?

2. What are the effects of hormonal treatment on patients' quality of life?

3. What patient and provider characteristics are associated with the receipt of surveillance tests?

4. What are the effects of surveillance testing on patients' quality of life?

#### Our specific aims are:

1. To describe patterns of adjuvant hormonal and chemotherapy in older women, and factors associated with receipt of these therapies.

2. To characterize and quantify the breast cancer-related care received by older women during the early years following diagnosis.

3. To determine the effects of ongoing breast cancer care (adjuvant therapy and disease surveillance) on patients' quality of life.

#### **Overview of Methods of Approach**

As described in more detail below (6. **BODY**), we are studying a cohort of women  $\geq 55$  years of age with newly diagnosed early stage breast cancer over a 2-5 year time period. Initial telephone interviews are conducted at 3-5 months following initial definitive treatment, with subsequent interviews occurring approximately two years later, and annually thereafter. Medical records are abstracted, beginning at the time of diagnosis and continuing until project completion, or the development of metastatic disease or subject death. The medical record review covering the initial treatment period and the baseline interview are funded by the National Cancer Institute. The follow-up interviews and medical record reviews are funded under the current project by the US Army Medical Research and Development Command.

#### 6. BODY

. .

## <u>Overview and Summary of Progress of Parent Study Funded by the</u> <u>National Cancer Institute (CA57754)</u>

Funding from the National Cancer Institute (NCI) has enabled us to enroll the cohort that is being followed longitudinally for the current project. Patients  $\geq 55$  years of age with newly diagnosed early stage breast cancer, being cared for at one of five hospitals with academic affiliation in Boston, Massachusetts, were enrolled between January 1993 and April 1996. Eligible patients were sent an introductory letter signed by their surgeon and a consent form approximately three months following initial surgical treatment. This was followed by a telephone call from our interviewer who further explained the study, answered questions, and obtained informed consent. Data was collected via a review of patients' surgical records, and a 30 minute computer-assisted telephone interview with consenting eligible patients. Data collected from medical records included: histology, stage, estrogen receptor status, surgery performed, additional therapies received, and medical comorbidities.

Our patient telephone interview included questions about: general health-related quality of life, breast cancer-specific quality of life, medical comorbidities, the treatment decision-making process, treatment priorities, perceptions of doctor-patient communication, and demographic characteristics.

<u>Results</u>. Our overall response rate was 78%. Of 387 eligible patients, 302 participated. Nonparticipants were older (mean age=71.2 years for participants; 68.4 years for non-participants), but there was no difference in the proportion of participants and non-participants with stage I and stage II disease. Descriptive data on the 302 patients enrolled are presented in Tables 1-6. A little over half of our subjects are  $\geq 65$  years of age and most are white. Half are married; most of the remainder are widowed. The majority have a high school education or greater. Our measure of comorbidity is a continuous measure based on patients' reports of diagnoses of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, congestive heart failure, and ischemic heart disease and related symptoms. In this sample the average score was 7.06 and ranged from 3.0 to 20. Positive scores reflect above average comorbidity. In addition, the majority of patients have infiltrating ductal carcinoma and have stage I disease. Of interest, stage I patients tend to be slightly older than stage II patients (68.9 vs. 66.6 years for mean age), perhaps reflecting the increasing use of mammography in older women. In dramatic contrast to patterns of care observed elsewhere among older women with breast cancer, the majority of our patients undergo breast conserving surgery. The majority also undergo an axillary dissection. While there is no relationship between age and type of surgery (mastectomy vs. breast conserving surgery) in our study sample, there is a relationship between age and whether women who undergo breast conserving surgery receive a course of radiation therapy (Table 4). Women  $\geq$  75 years of age who undergo breast conserving surgery are significantly less likely to receive a course of radiation therapy than are women < 75 years of age (p=0.006). Similarly, women  $\geq$  75 years of age are also significantly less likely to undergo axillary dissection (Table 5) than are their younger counterparts (p=0.000).

When asked about the helpfulness of breast cancer-related information received from a variety of sources, the information that was provided by their breast cancer physicians was rated as very or somewhat helpful by all responding patients. Written materials, both those provided by breast cancer physicians as well as those that patients obtained on their own, were also rated quite highly with respect to perceived helpfulness. Of less perceived helpfulness was information provided by friends and family, that from television specials, or that provided by the patient's family doctor, or the American Cancer Society.

We also asked patients about factors that were important in their decision-making. As can be seen, factors rated very important by almost all patients were two: 1) minimizing the possibility of recurrence, and 2) their doctors' recommendations. Although there was less consensus, also very important to the majority were quality of life after treatment and their family's opinion. In contrast, treatment-related factors were rated as not important at all by the majority of patients: 1) the effects of treatment on sexuality, 2) difficulty getting to and from treatments, and 3) the effects of treatment on looks. Half or close to half also stated that what they would have to pay out-of-pocket, the length of treatment, discomfort and disability following surgery, other side effects of treatment such as nausea and fatigue; and other post-surgical problems were not important at all in their decision-making process.

In summary, we have found that in the academic practices we are studying in Boston, the majority of older women with newly diagnosed early stage breast cancer receive breast conserving surgery, regardless of age. Nonetheless, there remain age-related variations in primary treatment: the receipt of axillary dissection overall, and the receipt of radiation therapy among those undergoing breast conserving surgery. These age-related variations in the care of the oldest old are comparable to those reported by us and others previously, and do not appear to be changing with time. This may well reflect continued uncertainty about the value of these interventions in women  $\geq$  75 years of age. In addition, our patients reported that minimizing the risk of recurrence and their doctors' recommendations were the two most important considerations in their treatment decision-making.

#### **Experimental Methods Used for Current Study**

Institutional Review Board Approval: All annual Institutional Review Board approvals have been obtained from each of the study sites. We received approval from Faulkner Hospital on November 14, 1995; from Boston Medical Center on November 15, 1995; from Boston City Hospital on December 27, 1995; from Beth Israel Hospital on October 16, 1995; and from New England Medical Center on December 12, 1995.

#### **Study Implementation**

х ) **ж** 

**Subject Enrollment and First Follow-up Interview in the Current Study.** Subjects enrolled in the NCI study are mailed a consent packet 20 months after their diagnosis date. This time interval was chosen because it was the shortest interval from initial diagnosis possible with the initiation of the US Army Research and Development Command funding. To date, two hundred sixty-four patients from the cohort have been contacted to participate in the present study and 213 have completed their first follow-up interview. The non-participation rate is 14%. Fifteen patients could not be contacted because of changes in telephone numbers or addresses, or summer travel. An additional four patients had died and two were too ill to participate. Only 13 patients (5%) actually refused to participate. We will continue to enroll subject participants from the NCI project until all have reached 20 months of follow-up.

Second Follow-up Interview. Our second follow-up interview occurs approximately 12 months after the first follow-up interview. To date, 98 subjects have completed their second follow-up interview. A total of 17 (14%) have declined to participate. Seven could not be reached because residence and telephone numbers had changed. Five patients had died and two were too ill to participate. Only 3 (2%) refused to participate.

All women participating in the study as of July 1996 were sent a thank-you note, not only to express our appreciation for their involvement in the study thus far, but to maximize retention for the remainder of the project. A copy of the thank-you note is included in the Appendix. **Collection of Surveillance Data.** Medical record abstractions were begun in November 1994, and a new medical record abstraction is performed annually for each participant. A data entry system was created with the same data quality checks as the interview data entry system. To assess inter-rater reliability, a 20% random sample of charts are reviewed by Dr. Silliman.

As each of our sites is a cancer center, we originally projected that we would be able to get a complete medical record abstraction, including adjuvant therapy and follow-up visit information from the records at each study site. Most of our study participants do receive their adjuvant treatment and follow-up care at the hospital where their surgery was performed. However, over the last year, thirty-two patients have had incomplete follow-up information in their study site medical record. We therefore developed a protocol to obtain the missing information from other doctor's offices and cancer care centers. The majority (59%) of the missing information were medical oncologists' notes, reports, and correspondence. Other missing information ranged from radiation oncologists' reports, to primary care physicians' reports and gynecologists' reports. Our method of obtaining missing information has been successful; approximately 80% of the medical offices contacted have sent us the requested information.

#### **Preliminary Results for Current Study**

< + •

**First Follow-Up Interview.** Our first follow-up interview which is completed approximately 20 months after the date of diagnosis, focuses on adjuvant therapy and follow-up care. Preliminary results reflect 213 women who have completed their first follow-up interviews. Although we have experienced a 14% loss to follow-up, our follow-up sample is very similar to the full baseline sample. For example, at the time of diagnosis, 41% of the full sample were less than 65 years of age; in the follow-up sample, 43% of the women were less than 65 years of age at the time of diagnosis. Similarly, at the time of diagnosis, 65% of the full sample had stage I disease; in the follow-up sample, 68% of the women had stage I disease at the time of diagnosis.

Adjuvant Therapy. Sixty-seven percent of patients (n=141) reported that their physicians had recommended adjuvant tamoxifen therapy and 94% (n=133) of these women reported that they had actually begun tamoxifen therapy. Of the 133 patients who had taken tamoxifen at any time, 75 (56%) reported that they were experiencing side effects. Table 7 shows the type of side effects experienced by the women. The most common side effect reported was hot flashes, which were experienced by 75% of the women. Vaginitis and depression were two other side effects reported by an important minority of patients. Nonetheless, at the time of the interview, 114 patients (86%) reported that they were still taking tamoxifen.

Bivariate analyses demonstrate that although there is no significant difference between the proportion of stage I and stage II patients taking tamoxifen at the time of the interview, a higher proportion of stage II patients, as expected, were taking it: 61% of stage I patients; 74% of stage II patients. Within stage, similar proportions of younger ( $\leq 69$  years of age) and older (> 70 years of age) women were taking tamoxifen. Among stage I patients, approximately 60% of both age groups reported that they were taking tamoxifen; among stage II patients, 72% of the younger group and 80% of the older group reported that they were taking tamoxifen. A larger proportion of younger women (74%) than older women (44%) reported that they were experiencing side effects from tamoxifen treatment. In spite of the fact that younger women (84%; 86%) reported that they were still taking tamoxifen.

Only 38 (18%) patients reported that adjuvant chemotherapy was recommended, and all but one of these patients received treatment. Most (34 of 37) patients who began chemotherapy reported that they experienced side effects. Tables 8 shows the type of sides effects experienced by these patients. The two most commonly reported side effects, each reported by over 90% of the women, were hair loss and fatigue; 85% of women reported that they were troubled by nausea. However, only four patients did not complete a complete course of therapy. **Follow-up Care**. Our subjects reported that they saw their family physicians about two times in the past year (mean=2.3), on average. Among patients who reported at least one visit, the mean number was slightly higher (mean=2.6). The mean number of visits to their breast cancer surgeon was 1.9; among those reporting at least one visit, the mean was 2.3. For all patients the mean number of visits to their medical oncologist was 1.6, while for patients reporting at least one visit it was 2.5. Finally, for radiation oncologist visits, the mean number of visits were 1.1 and 2.2 respectively. Across the entire sample, women reported making, on average, 4.6 visits to breast cancer specialists during the previous year. Approximately 50% of women reported that they felt calm before their breast cancerrelated visits, while 30% reported that they did not. Similarly, 21% of women reported that they felt upset before their visit, while 69% stated that they did not. The vast majority of women reported that they felt good after a visit with their breast cancer specialist. Only 3% of women stated that they felt scared after a visit; 94% reported that they felt confident. Future analyses using medical record abstract data will allow us to determine whether it is abnormal test results, or referrals for further testing, that explain why a few patients feel upset after their visits and, conversely, whether the vast majority leave feeling better because they have been declared disease free.

ι)

Patients were also asked about how they were coping with feelings and concerns in their life that may be affected by their breast cancer. Most women, almost two years beyond their breast care diagnosis, reported that they feel they are doing well managing long-term life concerns. However, 17% of women reported that they were concerned about who would care for them if their condition deteriorated, and 16% reported that they were worried about recurrence. Nonetheless, only 101 women felt worried about their family's ability to manage if they became sicker. Analyses examining the relationship of factors such as stage and test results to patient concerns will help us to understand the context of these women's responses.

Second Follow-Up Interview. Our second follow-up interview occurs approximately 12 months after the first interview and includes much of the same information as the first follow-up interview. In addition, it asks more specific questions about adjuvant tamoxifen therapy and gynecological surveillance and evaluation. We added these latter questions because of the concern about endometrial cancer risk and the uncertainty regarding the value of screening in this setting. To date, 98 subjects have completed their second follow-up interview. Again, non-participants appear to be similar to those continuing to participate, having similar mean ages at diagnosis: 66.6 for respondents and 68.6 for non-respondents. Non-respondents have a higher representation of stage II patients, which reflects the higher death rate among stage II versus stage I patients. Adjuvant tamoxifen therapy and gynecological care. Patients are asked about their tamoxifen use in the second follow-up interview. We found that 64% of patients (n=63) had been prescribed tamoxifen. Of those who were not currently taking tamoxifen (n=45, 46%), 12 (27%) reported that their doctor had recommended tamoxifen, and only 2 of these chose not to take it. Ten patients had discontinued tamoxifen; 7 of whom stopped because of side effects; hot flashes (n=3), depression (n=3), nausea (n=3) and vaginitis (n=2). Of the current tamoxifen users (n=53), 57% reported experiencing side effects. The most common side effects were hot flashes (63%), vaginitis (13%), and depression (13%). In addition, 16 patients complained of 14 other side effects that they attributed to tamoxifen.

Patients were also asked about gynecological care they received. We asked patients who had ever taken tamoxifen if they were referred to a gynecologist. Of the 54 who responded, 21% had been referred to a gynecologist once they started using tamoxifen. For patients who received gynecological care, 22% had had a vaginal ultrasound, and 14% had had an endometrial biopsy. **Emotional Adjustment.** Patients were asked how they felt they were doing with worries and feelings surrounding their cancer. More than half of the patients (61%) felt they were doing excellent or very good with dealing with feelings of anger, fear and grief. Similarly, over half of the patients felt they were doing excellent or very good with their worries regarding their family's ability to manage if they got sicker, or worries about who would take care of them if they got sicker (59% and 50% respectively). However, approximately 17% of patients did not feel they were doing well with worries about recurrence of cancer. Nonetheless, after asking patients to respond to certain statements about how they were feeling about their lives, 81% responded they "enjoyed life", 93% had "accepted their illness", and 75% were "content with their quality of life". Of note, 26% of patients were concerned about the risk of cancer in their family members.

### Plans for the 03 Project Year.

1 1

The third follow-up interview has been developed and pre-tested. This will be the final follow-up interview for this project. The interview is a subset of questions from the second follow-up interview. Questions that no longer pertain to patients three years after their primary treatment have been dropped, and in addition we will be asking more in depth questions about long term side-effects from surgery and radiation therapy.

Medical record abstracting will continue throughout the 03 Year using the form as originally developed. Each subject will have a medical record abstract form related to each year of follow-up after the completion of her initial definitive treatment. Medical abstracting will end if patients develop metastatic disease or die. If patients develop in-breast recurrence or contralateral disease, abstracting will be suspended until the second episode of definitive treatment has been completed. For each subject, medical record abstracting will continue until the four year anniversary date of their initial treatment.

For patients who have died, we will obtain copies of death certificates from the Massachusetts Department of Health to determine the cause of death.

#### 7. CONCLUSIONS

Because the current project is as yet not complete, it is premature to speculate about project implications. However, it is important to note that several products have emanated thus far from the combination of the parent study and the current study.

1) Dr. Silliman (Principal Investigator) and colleagues submitted a grant proposal to the National Cancer Institute June 1, 1995 entitled "Adjuvant Tamoxifen Therapy in Old Age: Determinants and Consequences" (R01 CA/AG 70818). It was funded and is due to begin in September 1996.

2) Dr. Silliman is co-investigator on a proposal submitted by Dr. Marianne Prout on July 17, 1996 to the US Army Medical Research and Development Command entitled "A pilot study of methods to assess whether breast cancer affects the functional status of older women who are long-term survivors".

3) Dr. Silliman was invited to write an editorial as a companion to an article on age-related treatment variations published in the Journal of the National Cancer Institute June 4, 1996. A copy is included in the Appendix.

4) Dr. Silliman has been invited to speak at the Cancer in the Elderly 1996 Conference (November 1996), at the lecture series sponsored by the Massachusetts Department of Health (January 1997), and at a special meeting of medical oncology educators in Puerto Rico (February 1997).

5) Dr. Silliman continues to serve as a member of the External Advisory Committee for the Jonnson Comprehensive Cancer Center's (UCLA) Cancer Prevention and Control in Older Women initiative.

*(* )

# 8. REFERENCES

- 1. Silliman RA, Balducci L, Goodwin JS, Holmes FF, Leventhal EA. Breast cancer care in old age: what we know, don't know, and do. J Natl Cancer Inst 1993;85:190-199.
- 2. Allen C, Cox EB, Manton KG, et al. Breast cancer in the elderly. Current patterns of care. J Am Geriatr Soc 1986;34:637-642.
- 3. Samet J, Hunt WC, Key C, et al. Choice of cancer therapy varies with age of patient. JAMA 1986;255:3385-3390.
- 4. Chu J, Diehr P, Feigl P, et al. The effect of age on the care of women with breast cancer in community hospitals. J Gerontol 1987;42:185-190.
- 5. Greenfield S, Blanco DM, Elashoff RM, et al. Patterns of care related to age of breast cancer patients. JAMA 1987;257:2766-2770.
- 6. Mann B, Samet J, Key C, et al. Changing treatment of breast cancer in New Mexico from 1969 through 1985. JAMA 1988;259:3413-3417.
- 7. Silliman RA, Guadagnoli E, Weitberg AB, et al. Age as a predictor of diagnostic and initial treatment intensity in newly diagnosed breast cancer patients. J Gerontol 1989;44:M46-50.
- 8. Bergman L, Dekker G, van Leeuwen FE, et al. The effect of age on treatment choice and survival in elderly breast cancer patients. Cancer 1991;67:2227-2234.
- 9. Satariano ER, Swanson GM, Moll PP. Nonclinical factors associated with surgery received for treatment of early-stage breast cancer. Am J Public Health 1992;82:195-198.
- 10. Goodwin JS, Samet JM, Hunt WC. Determinants of survival in older cancer patients. J Natl Cancer Inst 1996;88:1031-1038.
- 11. Satariano WA. Comorbidity and functional status in older women with breast cancer: Implications for screening, treatment, and prognosis. J Gerontol 1992;47:24-31.
- 12. Boring CC, Squires TS, Tong T. Cancer statistics, 1993. Cancer J Clin 1993;43:7-26.
- 13. Yancik R, Ries LG, Yates JW. Breast cancer in aging women. A population-based study of contrasts in stage, surgery, and survival. Cancer 1989;63:976-981.
- 14. Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Group. Systemic treatment of early breast cancer by hormonal, cytotoxic, or immune therapy: 133 randomized trials involving 31,000 recurrences and 24,000 deaths among 75,000 women. Part 1. Lancet 1992;339:1-15.
- 15. Love RR, Mazess RB, Barden HS, et al. Effects of tamoxifen on bone mineral density in postmenopausal women with breast cancer. N Engl J Med 1992;326-852-856.
- 16. Love RR, Wiebe DA, Newcomb PA, et al. Effects of tamoxifen on cardiovascular risk factors in postmenopausal women. Ann Intern Med 1991;115:860-864.
- 17. McDonald CC, Stewart HJ. Fatal myocardial infarction in the Scottish adjuvant tamoxifen trial. BMJ 1991;303:435-437.
- 18. Rutqvist LE, Mattsson A. Cardiac and thromboembolic morbidity among postmenopausal women with early-stage breast cancer in a randomized trial of adjuvant tamoxifen. J Natl Cancer Inst 1993;85:1398-1406.
- 19. Drugs for stable angina pectoris. The Medical Letter 1994;36:111-114.
- 20. An ACE inhibitor after a myocardial infarction. The Medical Letter 1994;36:69-70.
- 21. Love RR. Tamoxifen therapy in early breast cancer: Biology, efficacy, and side effects. J Clin Oncol 1989;7:803-815.

22. Love RR, Cameron L, Connell BL, Leventhal H. Symptoms associated with tamoxifen treatment in postmenopausal women. Arch Intern Med 1991;151:1842-1847.

11

- 23. Castiglione M, Gelber RD, Goldhirsch A. Adjuvant systemic therapy for breast cancer in the elderly: Competing causes of mortality. J Clin Oncol 1990;8:519-526.
- 24. Fisher B, Brown A, Wolmark N, et al. Prolonging tamoxifen therapy for primary breast cancer. Findings from the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project clinical trial. Ann Intern Med 1987;106:649-654.
- 25. Fornander T, Cedermark B, Mattson A, et al. Adjuvant tamoxifen in early breast cancer: Occurrence of new primary cancers. Lancet 1989;1:117-120.
- 26. Fisher B, Costantino JP, Redmond CK, et al. Endometrial cancer in tamoxifen-treated breast cancer patients: Findings from the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project (NSABP) B-14. J Natl Cancer Inst 1994;86:527-537.
- 27. Hulka CA, Hall DA. Endometrial abnormalities associated with tamoxifen therapy for breast cancer: Sonographic and pathologic correlation. AJR 1993;160:809-812.
- 28. Cohen I, Rosen DJD, Tepper R, et al. Ultrasonographic evaluation of the endometrium and correlation with endometrial sampling in postmenopausal patients treated with tamoxifen. J Ultrasound Med 1993;5:275-280.
- 29. Cohen I, Rosen DJD, Shapira J, et al. Endometrial changes in postmenopausal women treated with tamoxifen for breast cancer. Brit J Obs Gynecol 1993;100:657-570.
- 30. Schapira DV, Urban N. A minimalist policy for breast cancer surveillance. JAMA 1991; 265:380-382.
- Winchester DP, Sener SF, Khandekar JD, Oviedo MA, Cunningham MP, Caprini JA, et al. Symptomatology as an indicator of recurrent or metastatic breast cancer. Cancer 1979;43:956-960.
- 32. Scanlon EF, Oviedo MA, Cunningham MP, Caprini JA, Khandekar JD, Cohen E, et al. Preoperative and follow-up procedures on patients with breast cancer. Cancer 1980;46:977-979.
- 33. Pandya KJ, McFadden ET, Kalish LA, Tormey DC, Taylor SG, Falkson G. A retrospective study of earliest indicators of recurrence patients on Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group adjuvant chemotherapy trials for breast cancer. Cancer 1985;55:202-205.
- 34. Marrazzo A, Solina G, Puccia V, Fiorentino E, Bazan P. Evaluation of routine follow-up after surgery for breast carcinoma. J Surg Oncol 1986;32:179-181.
- 35. Zwaveling A, Albers GHR, Felthuis W, Hermans J. An evaluation of routine follow-up for detection of breast cancer recurrences. J Surg Oncol 1987;34:194-197.

Table 1. Patient Demographics (n=302)		
Characteristic	n (%)	
Age		
55-64	123 (41)	
65-74	111 (37)	
75+	65 (22)	
Race		
White	280 (94)	
African-American	13 (4)	
Other minority	7 (2)	
Marital Status		
Married	148 (49)	
Widowed	98 (33)	
Single	23 (8)	
Divorced/Separated	30 (10)	
Education		
< High school	51 (17)	
High school graduate	107 (36)	
> High school	141 (47)	

Table 2. Patient Clinical Characteristics (n=302)	
Characteristic	mean (range)
Comorbidity (Casemix)	7.06 (3.0 - 20)
	n (%)
Breast Cancer	
Histology Infiltrating ductal	259 (86)
Infiltrating lobular	31 (10)
Other	12 (4)
Stage	
Ī	196 (65)
П	104 (35)

Table 3. Primary Tumor Management (n=302)		
	n (%)	
Type of Surgery		
Breast conserving	227 (76)	
Mastectomy	71 (24)	
Axillary Dissection		
Yes	258 (86)	
No	43 (14)	

Table 4. Age and Radiation Therapy Following Breast Conserving Surgery (n=302)		
Age	n (%)	
55-64	77 (90)	
55-64 65-74 75+	83 (91)	
75+	33 (69)	

Table 5. Age and Axillary Dissection (n=302)		
Age	n (%)	
55-64	120 (98)	
55-64 65-74	101 (91)	
75+	34 (52)	

Table 6. Patient Breast Cancer Treatment Decision-Making (n=302)		
	n (%)	
Information sources perceived somewhat		
or very helpful in decision-making		
Breast cancer physicians or staff	294 (99)	
Written materials from breast cancer physicians	248 (84)	
Other written materials obtained by patient	198 (67)	
Friends and family	161 (54)	
Television	139 (47)	
Family doctor	120 (41)	
American Cancer Society	70 (24)	
Factors very important in decision-making		
Minimizing recurrence	293 (99)	
Doctors' recommendations	283 (96)	
Quality of life after treatment	225 (77)	
Family's opinion	153 (52)	
Factors not important in decision-making		
Effects of treatment on sexuality	244 (83)	
Difficulty getting to and from treatments	190 (65)	
Effects of treatment on looks	185 (63)	
What they would have to pay out-of-pocket	153 (52)	
Length of treatment	135 (46)	
Discomfort and disability following surgery	132 (45)	
Other side effects of treatment	133 (45)	
Other post-surgical problems	129 (44)	

Table 7. Reported Side Effects of Tamoxifen Treatment (n=75)		
Type of Side Effect	n (%)	
Hot flashes	56 (75)	
Vaginitis	21 (28)	
Depression	18 (24)	
Nausea	8 (11)	
Phlebitis	3 (4)	
Edema	3 (4)	
Other	30 (40)	

Table 8. Reported Side Effects of Chemotherapy (n=34)		
Type of Side Effectn (%)		
Hair loss	31 (91)	
Fatigue	32 (94)	
Nausea	29 (85)	
Depression	19 (56)	
Flu Symptoms	16 (47)	
Mouth Sores	12 (35)	
Other	10 (29)	

Appendix A



On behalf of our research team at the Boston University Medical Center I want to thank you for helping us with our breast cancer project. This project began in 1993, and to date over 300 of you have participated. Through telephone interviews you have provided information about treatment decision-making. In addition, many of you have completed subsequent interviews to discuss the follow-up care that you receive. Again, we would like to thank you for your help with our project, and hope you enjoy speaking with Elaine Abrams, our interviewer. Results of our project will be made available to you upon completion of our research. Please feel free to call or write us if you have questions or suggestions.

The Breast Cancer Study Team

**Appendix B** 

# Breast Cancer Care in Old Age: Where Do We Go From Here?

Rebecca A. Silliman\*

Breast cancer is a disease primarily of older women. The cumulative risk for this disease reaches its maximum well into the ninth decade of life (1). It is also a serious disease in older women. The approximate 10-year risk of disease recurrence for women 70 years of age or older who are lymph node negative with 1- to 5-cm tumors is 20%-30%; the risk for women with one to three positive lymph nodes and tumors of any size is 50%; the risk for women with four or more positive lymph nodes and tumors of any size is 80% (2). These risks are especially clinically relevant because recent gains in life expectancy have occurred at the end of life: The average life expectancy of an 85-year-old woman is nearly 6.5 years (3).

During the past decade, several studies (4-9) have documented age-related variations in care among patients with early stage breast cancer. These studies were conducted in a variety of health care settings and geographic regions. They have demonstrated age-related differences in diagnostic and prognostic evaluation as well as in initial treatment patterns. As a result of these studies, there has been heightened interest in understanding, in particular, variations in the use of mastectomy versus breast-conserving surgery with or without radiation therapy among older women and the impact of these variations on patient outcomes.

In this issue of the Journal, Ballard-Barbash et al. (10) have added to our understanding of age-related variations in breast cancer care through the use of a unique dataset that links Medicare<sup>1</sup> claims records with data from nine tumor registries participating in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program.<sup>2</sup> Studying older women with newly diagnosed early stage disease, these investigators have documented the independent effects of age and comorbidity on the use of breast-conserving surgery versus mastectomy and on the use of radiation therapy following breast-conserving surgery. Specifically, they found that women aged 80 years or more, those with two or more comorbid conditions, and those with stage I disease were more likely to receive breast-conserving surgery. In contrast, among those receiving breast-conserving surgery, the oldest old ( $\geq$ 80 years of age) were much less likely to receive postoperative radiation therapy. In multivariate modeling, both age and comorbidity were independently associated with the receipt of postoperative radiation therapy. The oldest old and those with two or more comorbid conditions were less likely to receive radiation therapy.

Particular strengths of this study include the enrollment of a large cohort of patients (n = 18704) cared for in nine different geographic settings, the careful attention to statistical control for potentially confounding factors, and the use of a validated measure of comorbidity. Nonetheless, the limitations of the data

raise questions about the validity and the interpretation of the findings.

First, differential ascertainment of comorbidity may have importantly biased the data. A comorbidity score could not be calculated for 15% of the sample. Moreover, the authors note that only 36% of women who underwent breast-conserving surgery without axillary lymph node dissection had a reference hospitalization identified for the purpose of calculating the comorbidity index. Since it is the oldest old who are least likely to undergo axillary lymph node dissection (8), underascertainment of comorbidity in this group may have magnified the independent effect of age on the receipt of treatment. This possibility is supported by the fact that, for example, although there were 1352 women 80 years old or older who underwent breast-conserving surgery, only 220 of the entire sample undergoing breast-conserving surgery had two or more clinically important comorbid conditions. Not only do 80% of persons 65 years old or older have at least one chronic condition, but also the prevalence of chronic diseases increases dramatically with age, and multiple chronic conditions are especially common among older women (11).

Second, as Ballard-Barbash et al. (10) noted, the SEER database does not contain any information about functional status, social support, or patient preferences. In old age, functional status is a better predictor of mortality and other adverse events such as nursing home placement than is comorbidity (12). Furthermore, impaired function and diminished social support are particularly common among the oldest old. In particular, because of gender disparities in life expectancy, older women are frequently single and lack family or other supports (13). Because functional status and social support issues may limit an older woman's ability both to access and to tolerate radiation therapy, forgoing postoperative radiation therapy may not be an unreasonable decision, particularly in view of data not only suggesting low disease recurrence rates among older women receiving breast-conserving surgery but no postoperative radiation therapy (14-16), but also suggesting no significant survival advantage for those receiving breast-conserving surgery plus postoperative radiation therapy in comparison with those receiving breast-conserving surgery alone (17).

Third, the SEER database also does not contain any information about tamoxifen therapy. For example, we do not know how many women who underwent breast-conserving surgery

<sup>\*</sup>*Correspondence to:* Rebecca A. Silliman, M.D., Ph.D., Geriatrics Section, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston University Medical Center, 88 East Newton St., F431, Boston, MA 02118.

See "Notes" section following "References."

were treated with tamoxifen in place of postoperative radiation therapy. Anecdotally, this pattern of care is relatively common among the oldest old, although this practice has not been studied systematically.

Fourth, and perhaps most importantly, the dataset does not include detailed outcome information. Indeed, few data are available that address outcomes among older women. What data there are suggest that, with respect to mortality, age-related variations in treatment patterns may be less important than stage (1,18). Furthermore, the oldest old are more likely to die with their disease rather than of it (19,20). Unfortunately, physicians are very inaccurate in their assessments of a given patient's future life expectancy, and we do not know how variations in treatment have an impact on the often more relevant outcomes of functional status and quality of life.

Given these limitations, where do we go from here? It is important to remember that age-related variations in care are not unique to breast cancer patients. Such variations have been noted not only in patients with other cancers (21-23), but also in patients with other diseases (24,25). The U.S. population is aging rapidly; yet we lack the scientific knowledge base to guide our clinical decision-making. Because of the increasing heterogeneity that is the hallmark of aging, generalizing from studies of younger patients is not always appropriate.

If we are to design studies to address questions of efficacy and effectiveness in old age, what are the essential elements that must be incorporated? First, we need studies of the oldest old. The findings reported by Ballard-Barbash et al. (10) highlight the fact that it is the group 80 years old or older about whom we know very little; this lack of knowledge is manifested by large variations in how they are treated. Although efforts should be made to design clinical trials for this age group, the known barriers to enrolling these patients into such trials (26,27) mean that considerable education of physicians, patients, and their families will be required before such trials will be feasible, purely from the standpoint of sample size. Even if adequate sample sizes can be achieved, there will always be concerns about generalizability, which will be magnified only in studies of the oldest old.

Second, we need to conduct studies that include the broadest range of older patients. Most likely, these studies will be observational. Although methodologically challenging, they offer the best prospect for answering many of the questions about which we care most. For example, we might learn about variations in outcomes among patients who have received breast-conserving surgery, with or without postoperative radiation therapy, by performing careful follow-up studies of patients treated in geographic regions with high and low rates of postoperative radiation therapy following breast-conserving surgery. These studies will require careful attention to such potential confounding variables as functional status and health-related quality of life. Moreover, they must recognize that patients themselves are the best sources of this information. Investigators conducting research on aging have developed psychometrically sound methods for measuring these variables in older persons (28). These measures, however, have been incorporated infrequently into studies of cancer patients. Thoughtful incorporation of these measures into future studies will require the close collaboration of geriatricians, oncology specialists, epidemiologists, and health services researchers, at the very least.

Age is the most important risk factor for the development of breast cancer and many other diseases. Our challenge is to develop a sound body of scientific knowledge upon which we can draw to make the best decisions for and with our older patients. They deserve no less.

#### References

- Yancik R, Ries LG, Yates JW. Breast cancer in aging women. A population-based study of contrasts in stage, surgery, and survival. Cancer 1989;63:976-81.
- (2) Muss HB. The role of chemotherapy and adjuvant therapy in the management of breast cancer in older women. Cancer 1994;74:2165-71.
- (3) Manton KG, Stallard E. Cross-sectional estimates of active life expectancy for the U.S. elderly and oldest-old populations. J Gerontol 1991;46:S170-82.
- (4) Allen C, Cox EB, Manton KG, Cohen HJ. Breast cancer in the elderly. Current patterns of care. J Am Geriatr Soc 1986;34:637-42.
- (5) Samet J, Hunt WC, Key C, Humble CG, Goodwin JS. Choice of cancer therapy varies with age of patient. JAMA 1986;255:3385-90.
- (6) Greenfield S, Blanco DM, Elashoff RM, Ganz PA. Patterns of care related to age of breast cancer patients. JAMA 1987;257:2766-70.
- (7) Chu J, Diehr P, Feigl P, Glaefke G, Begg C, Glicksman A, et al. The effect of age on the care of women with breast cancer in community hospitals. J Gerontol 1987;42:185-90.
- (8) Silliman RA, Guadagnoli E, Weitberg AB, Mor V. Age as a predictor of diagnostic and initial treatment intensity in newly diagnosed breast cancer patients. J Gerontol 1989;44:M46-50.
- (9) Bergman L, Dekker G, van Leeuwen FE, Huisman SJ, van Dam FS, van Dongen JA. The effect of age on treatment choice and survival in elderly breast cancer patients. Cancer 1991;67:2227-34.
- (10) Ballard-Barbash R, Potosky AL, Harlan LC, Nayfield SG, Kessler LG. Factors associated with surgical and radiation therapy for early stage breast cancer in older women. J Natl Cancer Inst 1996;88:716-26.
- (11) Rakowski W, Pearlman DN. Demographic aspects of aging. In: Reichel W, editor. Care of the elderly: clinical aspects of aging. 4th ed. Baltimore (MD): Williams & Wilkins, 1995:488-95.
- (12) Reuben DB, Siu AL, Kimpau S. The predictive validity of self-report and performance-based measures of functional health. J Gerontol 1992;47: M106-10.
- (13) Gilford DM, editor. The aging population in the twenty-first century. Washington (DC): National Academy Press, 1988.
- (14) Clark RM, McCulloch PB, Levine MN, Lipa M, Wilkinson RH, Mahoney LJ, et al. Randomized clinical trial to assess the effectiveness of breast irradiation following lumpectomy and axillary dissection for node-negative breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 1992;84:683-9.
- (15) Veronesi U, Luini A, Del Vecchio M, Greco M, Galimberti V, Merson M, et al. Radiotherapy after breast-preserving surgery in women with localized cancer of the breast [see comment citations in Medline]. N Engl J Med 1993;328:1587-91.
- (16) Liljegren G, Holmberg L, Adami HO. Sector resection with or without postoperative radiotherapy for stage I breast cancer: 5-year results of a randomized trial [see comment citations in Medline]. Uppsala-Orebro Breast Cancer Study Group. J Natl Cancer Inst 1994;86:717-22.
- (17) Fisher B, Anderson S, Redmond CK, Wolmark N, Wickerham DL, Cronin WM. Reanalysis and results after 12 years of follow-up in a randomized clinical trial comparing total mastectomy with lumpectomy with or without irradiation in the treatment of breast cancer [see comment citation in Medline]. N Engl J Med 1995;333:1456-61.
- (18) Bergman L, Kluck HM, van Leeuwen FE, Crommelin MA, Dekker G, Hart AA, et al. The influence of age on treatment choice and survival of elderly breast cancer patients in south-eastern Netherlands: a populationbased study. Eur J Cancer 1992:28A:1475-80.
- (19) Manton KG, Wrigley JM, Cohen HJ, Woodbury MA. Cancer mortality, aging, and patterns of comorbidity in the United States: 1968 to 1986. J Gerontol 1991;46:S225-34.
- (20) Satariano WA, Ragland DR. The effect of comorbidity of 3-year survival of women with primary breast cancer. Ann Intern Med 1994;120:104-10.
- (21) Bennett CL, Greenfield S, Aronow H, Ganz P, Vogelzang NJ, Elashoff RM. Patterns of care related to age of men with prostate cancer. Cancer 1991;67:2633-41.

- (22) Desch CE. Penberthy L. Newschaffer CJ, Hillner BE, Whittemore M, Mc-Clish D, et al. Factors that determine the treatment for local and regional prostate cancer. Med Care 1996;34:152-62.
  - (23) Smith TJ, Penberthy L, Desch CE. Whittemore M, Newschäffer C, Hillner BE, et al. Differences in initial treatment patterns and outcomes of lung cancer in the elderly. Lung Cancer 1995;13:235-52.
  - (24) Gurwitz JH. Gore JM, Goldberg RJ, Rubison M, Chandra N, Rogers WJ. Recent age-related trends in the use of thrombolytic therapy in patients who have had acute myocardial infarction [see comment citation in Medline]. National Registry of Myocardial Infarction. Ann Intern Med 1996;124:283-91.
- (25) Krumholz HM. Radford MJ. Ellerbeck EF, Hennen J, Meehan TP, Petrillo M, et al. Aspirin for secondary prevention after acute myocardial infarction in the elderly: prescribed use and outcomes [see comment citation in Medline]. Ann Intern Med 1996;124:292-8.
- (26) Applegate WB, Curb JD. Designing and executing randomized clinical trials involving elderly persons. J Am Geriatr Soc 1990;38:943-50.

- (27) Carter WB, Elward K, Malmgren J, Martin ML, Larson E. Participation of older adults in health programs and research: a critical review of the literature. Gerontologist 1991;31:584-92.
- (28) Lawton MP, Teresi JA, editors. Focus on assessment techniques. Annual review of gerontology and geriatrics. Vol 14. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1994.

# Notes

<sup>1</sup>*Editor's note:* Medicare is the federal health care insurance program for people aged 65 and over and for the disabled.

<sup>2</sup>*Editor's note:* SEER is a set of geographically defined, population-based central tumor registries in the United States, operated by local nonprofit organizations under contract to the National Cancer Institute (NCI). Each registry annually submits its cases to the NCI on computer tape. These computer tapes are then edited by the NCI and made available for analysis.



Source: National Cancer Institute

A National Cancer Institute Graphic