REPORT, DO	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting builden for this correction of infor gathering and maintaining the bata needed, and o correction of information, including suggestions (o Data biophase Suite 1024 Articipation VA 2010)	mation is estimated to average 1 hour pr ompleting and revenuing the collection o if reducing this burden, to Washington H 302, and to the Other as Management	er response, including the time for f information. Send comments r eadquarters Services, Directorate	1
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE 30 October 1996	3. REPORT TYPE	Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503. AND DATES COVERED
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. FUNDING NUMBERS
SYNTHESIS OF A NOVEL INDIUM-NITROGEN CAGE COMPOUND. MOLECULAR STRUCTURE OF [NpIn(M-(NH) <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ] <sub>4</sub> (Np = CH <sub>2</sub> C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> )			•N00014-95-1-0194 R&T Project 313500816
i. AUTHOR(S)			•Dr. Harold E. Guard
Hamid Rahbarnoohi and Ri and Arnold L. Rheingold	chard L. Wells,		-
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAM	AE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
Department of Chemistry			REPORT NUMBER
Duke University	Technical Report		
Durham, NC 27708-0346			No. DU/DC/TR-62
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
Office of Naval Research			•
300 North Quincy Street			0004000 007
Arlington, VA 22217-5000			<u>9901209 037</u>
1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
2a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY ST	ATEMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for Public Releas Distribution Unlimited	e		
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)			
Reaction of the ligand 1,2- tetrameric compound [NpIn square pyramidal geometry	(NH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> with InNp n(μ-(NH) <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )] <sub>4</sub> which and an overall approxima	3 (Np = neopentyl = h contains five-coor ate tetrahedral symm	= CH <sub>2</sub> C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ) afforded the rdinate indium centers having netry.
4. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES
indium, nitrogen, cage compound, structure			9 16. PRICE CODE
7. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 18. OF REPORT	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSI OF ABSTRACT	FICATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRA
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unlimited
X 7540-01-280-5500		1	

4

•

۰

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED	3	
------------------------	---	--

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18 298-102 ŗ

#### OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

### Grant N00014-95-1-0194 R&T Project 3135008---16

#### Dr. Harold E. Guard

Technical Report No. DU/DC/TR-62

# SYNTHESIS OF A NOVEL INDIUM-NITROGEN CAGE COMPOUND. MOLECULAR STRUCTURE OF $[NpIn(M-(NH)_2C_6H_4]_4$ (Np = CH<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>)

H. RAHBARNOOHI,<sup>1</sup> R. L. WELLS,<sup>1</sup> AND A. L. RHEINGOLD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708 <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716

Accepted for publication in J. Chem. Soc. Chem Commun.

Duke University Department of Chemistry, P. M. Gross Chemical Laboratory Box 90346 Durham, NC 27708-0346

30 October 1996

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

Synthesis of a novel indium-nitrogen cage compound. Molecular structure of  $[NpIn(\mu-(NH)_2C_6H_4)]_4$  (Np = CH<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>)

Hamid Rahbarnoohi,<sup>a</sup> Richard L. Wells,<sup>\*a</sup> and Arnold L. Rheingold<sup>b</sup> <sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, Paul M. Gross Chemical Laboratory, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, U.S.A.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716, U.S.A.

Reaction of the ligand  $1,2(NH_2)_2C_6H_4$  with  $InNp_3$  (Np = neopentyl =  $CH_2C(CH_3)_3$ ) afforded the tetrameric compound,  $[NpIn(\mu-(NH)_2C_6H_4)]_4$ , which contains five-coordinate indium centers having square pyramidal geometry and an overall approximate tetrahedral symmetry.

\*Author for correspondence

11

Chelating ligands containing the donor atoms of Group 15 have been used for stabilizing the electrophilic Group 13 elements.<sup>1-3</sup> The relevant studies have shown the diversity of such compounds characterized by varied degrees of oligomerization and complex coordination of the metal centers. In this regard, the five-coordinated organometallic compounds of Group 13 elements were limited to those using macrocyclic ligands.<sup>4</sup> For example, most of the five-coordinated indium compounds usually have distorted bipyrimidal<sup>5.7</sup> geometry, while fewer are known to have square pyramidal geometry.<sup>8-10</sup> In a recent study, we demonstrated that the independent reactions of AlMe<sub>3</sub> and GaMe<sub>3</sub> with  $1,2(EH_2)_2C_6H_4$  (E = N, P) in a 2:1 ratio produces four-coordinate tetrametallic compounds, { $(Me_2M)_4[(\mu-PH)_2(C_6H_4)]_2$ } (M = Al, Ga), through alkane elimination.<sup>11</sup> In a related study, reactions of sterically demanding primary amines with AlMe<sub>3</sub> resulted in isolation of several novel large aggregates of aluminum compounds but similar reactions with GaMe<sub>3</sub> led to C-H activation, forming "cyclometallated" compound.<sup>12</sup> Only recently, Roesky<sup>13</sup> and co-workers reported the first organometallic cubane compounds for GaN and InN systems,  $(C_6F_5NMMe)_4$  (M = Ga, In), from the reaction of MMe<sub>3</sub> with C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>. Herein, we discuss the formation of the novel 12member cluster,  $[NpIn(\mu-(NH)_2C_6H_4)]_4$  (Np = neopentyl = CH<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>).

. <sup>1</sup>

The reaction of  $InNp_3$  with  $1,2(NH_2)_2C_6H_4$  in a 1:1 ratio resulted in isolation<sup>‡</sup> of **1** as shown in equation 1.

$$4\ln Np_{3} + 4 \left( \begin{array}{c} NH_{2} \\ NH_{2} \end{array} \right) \frac{\text{Toluene, 24 h.}}{\Delta} \left( \begin{array}{c} NH_{2} \\ NH_{2} \end{array} \right) \frac{1}{\Delta} \left( \begin{array}{c} NH_{2} \\$$

Compound 1 is formed via alkane elimination and is isolated as colorless crystals which are sparingly soluble in aromatic solvent and slowly decompose in the presence of air. The X-ray structural analysis<sup>§</sup> of 1 reveals a tetramer with overall tetrahedral symmetry, which is composed of square pyramidal indium centers with similar In-N distances. The In1/In1A and In1B/In1C have closer In…In interaction than the other pairs. The N…N separation between N2/N2A and N2B/N2C is 2.74 Å which is shorter than distances between N1A/N1B and N1/N1C (3.19 Å). This is the result of the members of the pair being doubly bridged by the N2 atoms. All four In atoms are physically and chemically equivalent, but there is not a four fold overall symmetry. The In-N distances are between 2.259(6) and 2.290(6) Å (average 2.276(6) Å) and are slightly longer than the cubane, (C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>NInMe)<sub>4</sub>, with the average In-N bond length of 2.20 Å.<sup>13</sup> This can be rationalized since the indium atoms in 1 are five-coordinated and in (C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>NInMe)<sub>4</sub> are tetrahedral. In conclusion, the alkane elimination works smoothly to yield 1 and we will now investigate whether aluminum and gallium can form large clusters with 1,2(NH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful for the financial support of this work provided by the Office of Naval Research.

## Footnotes

<sup>†</sup> General Considerations. All manipulations were performed using general Schlenk and dry box techniques. Solvents were dried over Na/K alloy and distilled under dinitrogen prior to use. Literature method was used to prepare  $In(CH_2C(CH_3)_3)_3$ .<sup>14</sup>  $1,2(NH_2)C_6H_4$  was purchased from Aldrich and used as received. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H}NMR spectra were recorded on a GE-300 spectrometer operating at 300 and 75.4 MHz, respectively. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} spectra were referenced to TMS by using the residual protons or carbons of deuterated benzene at  $\delta$  7.15 or 128 ppm, respectively. Melting point (uncorrected) was obtained with a Thomas-Hoover Uni-melt apparatus and capillary was flame-sealed under argon. Elemental Analyses were performed by E+R Microanalytical Laboratory, Inc., Corona, NY. Mass spectrum data was collected on a JEOL JMS-SX 102A spectrometer operating in the electron ionization mode at 20 eV. IR Spectrum were acquired for KBr pellet on a BOMEM Michelson MB-100 FT-IR spectrometer. X-ray crystallographic data was obtained at 25 °C on a Siemens P4 diffractometer utilizing graphite-monochromated Mo-K $\alpha$  ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) radiation.

. .

<sup>‡</sup> Synthesis of 1. Inside the Dri-Lab, 0.71 g (2.17 mmole) of InNp<sub>3</sub> was combined with 0.24 g (2.17 mmole) of  $1,2(NH_2)C_6H_4$  in a 250 ml Schlenk flask and ca. 40 ml of toluene was added to the mixture. The mixture was refluxed for 24 h. The volume of resultant pale-yellow solution was decreased by ca. 20 ml in *vacuo* and left undisturbed. The X-ray quality crystals of 1 were deposited in the bottom of flask within 24 h. Yield, 90% based on InNp<sub>3</sub>; mp 245 °C. Anal. Calcd. (Found) for C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>68</sub>N<sub>8</sub>In<sub>4</sub> : C, 45.23 (45.35); H, 5.87 (5.99); N, 9.59 (9.54). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  6.82(8H, m, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>); 6.66(8H, m, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>); 3.14 (8H, s, N-H); 0.96 (8H, s, In-CH<sub>2</sub>); (36H, s, CMe<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  142.8, 140.5, 121.2, 119.0, 118.4, 114.3 (s, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>); 34.3 (s, C<u>Me<sub>3</sub></u>); 31.3 (s, In-<u>C</u>H<sub>2</sub>); 30.9 (s, <u>C</u>Me<sub>3</sub>). Mass spectral data (EI mode): peaks at *m/e*, 293 corresponding to [M<sup>+</sup>/4 + H]. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>) : IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3282, 3310, and 3375 cm<sup>-1</sup>, N-H stretching mode; 1629(w) and 1584(s) cm<sup>-1</sup>, N-H bending mode.

§ X-ray structural solution and refinement. A suitable crystal was mounted in thin-walled capillaries and sealed with silicone grease under argon atmosphere and then flame-sealed. The unit-cell parameters were obtained by least-squares refinement of the angular setting of 24 reflections ( $20^{\circ} \le 2\theta \le 25^{\circ}$ ). Preliminary photographic evidence indicated a tetragonal crystal system with 4/m Laue symmetry. The systematic absence in the diffraction data were consistent for I-centering and the following space groups: *I*4, *I*4, *I*4/m, *I*422, and *I*4mm, *I*4m2, *I*42m, *I*4/mmm. *E*-statistic suggested a noncentrosymmetric space group. The presence of tetrahedral molecular symmetry, the absence of mirror plane symmetry in the placement of neopentyl and C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> groups, and Z = 2 eliminated all of the space group options except for *I*4. The solution in *I*4 produced a chemically reasonable structure and computationally stable refinement. The structure was solved by direct method, completed by subsequent difference Fourier synthesis and refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures. A semi-empirical absorption correction was applied. The structure was refined as a racemic twin with a 70/30 ratio of enantiomeric lattice. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement coefficients. Hydrogen atoms were treated as idealized contributions. All software and sources of the scattering factors are contained in the SHELXTL (5.3) program libraries.<sup>15</sup>

۰.

Molecular diagrams showing the solid state conformation and atom numbering schemes of 1 and the core of 1 are presented in Figures 1 and 2, respectively, with selected interatomic distances and angles listed in the figure captions. *Crystal data*: for C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>68</sub>N<sub>8</sub>In<sub>4</sub> (1), mw = 1168.34, colorless plate, 0.4 x 0.4 x 0.18 mm, crystal system: tetragonal, space group: *I*4, a = b = 10.194(2) Å, c = 23.4380(10) Å, V = 2435.6(7) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2,  $D_{calc} = 1.593$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, F(000) = 1168, MoK $\alpha = 0.71073$  Å, 2024 reflections collected with 1911 being independent reflections; refinements converged to R = 0.0340 ( $R_w^2 = 0.0894$ ). *Supplementary material*, Atomic coordinates, thermal parameters, complete bond lengths and angles, and crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

## References

- 1. G. E. Coates, M. L. H. Green and K. Wade, Organometallic Compounds, Volume 1: The Main Group Elements, Butler & Tanner Ltd. (London), 1967.
- 2. T. Mole and E. A. Jeffrey, Organoaluminum Compounds, Elsevier (Amsterdam), 1972.
- 3. G. H. Robinson, Coordination Chemistry of Aluminum, VCH (New York), 1993.
- 4. J. P. Oliver and R. Kumar, *Polyhedron*, 1990, 9, 409.
- 5. M. Khan, R. C. Steevensz, D. G. Tuck, J. G. Noltes and P. W. R. Corfield, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1980, **19**, 3407.
- 6. R. O. Day and R. R. Holmes, Inorg. Chem., 1982, 21, 2379.
- 7. J. R. Leman, H. A. Roman and A. R. Barron, Organometallics, 1993, 12, 2986.
- 8. D. W. Brown, F. W. B. Einstein and D. G. Tuck, Inorg. Chem., 1969, 8, 14.
- 9. P. C. Lecomte, J. Protas, P. Cocolios and R. 1. Guilard, Acta Cryst., 1980, B 36, 2769.
- 10. A. M. Arif, D. C. Bradley, D. M. Frigo, M. B. Hursthouse and B. Hussain, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 783.
- 11. R. L. Wells, H. Rahbarnoohi, P. B. Glazer, L. M. Liable-Sands and A. L. Rheingold, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 3204.
- 12. K. M. Waggoner and P. P. Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 3385.
- 13. T. Belgardt, H. W. Roesky, M. Noltemeyer and H. G. Schmidt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1993, 32, 1056.
- O. T. Beachley Jr., E. F. Spiegel, J. P. Kopasz and R. D. Rogers, Organometallics, 1989, 8, 1915.
- 15. SHELXTL PC, Siemens Analytical X-Ray Instruments, Inc. (Madison, WI), 1990.

Figure 1. Molecular diagram (30% probability ellipsoids) of  $C_{44}H_{68}N_8In_4$  (1), hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (degrees): In(1)-C(1) 2.166(7), In(1)-N(2) 2.270(6), In(1)-N(1) 2.290(6), In(1)-N(1B) 2.259(6), In(1)-N(2A) 2.285(6), N(1)-C(6) 1.453(10), N(2)-C(11B) 1.400(8), C(11)-N(2C) 1.400(8), In(1)...In(1A) 3.2675(10); C(1)-In(1)-N(1B) 119.0(3), C(1)-In(1)-N(2) 119.4(3), N(1B)-In(1)-N(2) 73.8(2), C(1)-In(1)-N(2A) 111.2(3), N(1B)-IN(1)-N(2A) 129.0(2), N(2)-In(1)-N(2A) 74.5(2), C(1)-In(1)-N(1) 107.7(3), N(1B)-In(1)-N(1) 89.1(2), N(2)-In(1)-N(1) 132.5(2), N(2A)-In(1)-N(1) 83.9(2), C(6)-N(1)-In(1) 103.4(4), C(6)-N(1)-In(1C) 108.4(4), C(11B)-N(2)-In(1) 112.0(5), C(11B)-N(2)-In(1A) 125.2(4), In(1)-N(2)-In(1A) 91.7(2), In(1C)-N(1)-In(1) 121.7(3).

Figure 2. Molecular diagram of the InN core in  $C_{44}H_{68}N_8In_4$  (1).

۰.



•

Figure 1



#### TECHNICAL REPORTS DISTRIBUTION LIST

# ORGANOMETALLIC CHEMISTRY FOR ELECTRONIC & OPTICAL MATERIALS

Dr. Harold E. Guard Code 1113 Chemistry Division, 331 Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Va 22217-5660

Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. James S. Murday Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, DC 20375-5320

Dr. John Fischer, Director Chemistry Division, C0235 Naval Air Weapons Center Weapons Division China Lake, CA 93555-6001 Dr. Richard W. Drisko Naval Facilities & Engineering Service Center Code L52 Port Hueneme, CA 93043

Dr. Eugene C. Fischer Code 2840 Naval Surface Warfare Center Carderock Division Detachment Annapolis, MD 21402-1198

Dr. Bernard E. Douda Crane Division Naval Surface Warfare Center Crane, IN 47522-5000

Dr. Peter Seligman Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center RDT&E Division San Diego, CA 93152-5000