	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				FORM APPROVED OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burde gathering and maintai of information, includi Suite 1204, Arlington,	n for this collection of information ining the data needed and complet ng suggestions for reducing the b VA 22202-4302 and to the Offi	n is estimated to average 1 hour per respi ating and reviewing the collection of inform burden to Washington Headquarters Servi Ce of Management and Budget, Paperwor	onse, including the time for revie nation. Send comments regard res. Directorete for information k Reduction Project (0704-0186	wing Instructions, s ng this burden estin Operations and Rep ), Washington, DC	earching existing data sources, nate or any other aspect of the collection onts, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, 20503	
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)2. REPORT DATE3. REPORT TYPE1 July 1996Technical Program			3. REPORT TYPE AN	AND DATES COVERED		
			Technical Progress Repor		9/30/95 - 07/01/96	
4. TITLE AND SU	JBTITLE OF REPORT			5. FUNDING N	IUMBERS	
Augmentation and Control of Burn Rates In Plasma Devices						
6. AUTHOR(S)				N00	014-95-1-1221	
	Mohamed A. Bourham					
7. PERFORMING	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) North Carolina State University Department of Nuclear Engineering Raleigh, NC 27695-7909				IG ORGANIZATION REPORT	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR	ING/MONITORING AGENCY	
	Office of Naval Res Mechanics & Energ 800 North Quincy S Arlington, VA 2221	earch y Conversion Street 17-5660	960718	079		
11. SUPPLEMEN	TARY NOTES:				P <u>ark yr yn i</u>	
	The views, opinion not be construed as designated by other	s and/or findings containe s an official Department documentation.	d in this report are t of the Navy position	hose of the an, policy, or	author(s) and should decision, unless so	
12a. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE		
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.						
13. ABSTRACT	(Maximum 200 words)					
The boundary layer in electrothermal-chemical devices plays a major role in burn process at the plasma-propellant interface. The set of experiments conducted at NC State University on JA-2 solid granular propellant showed evidence of enhanced burn rates when the plasma is injected normal to the grains. for the tested range of pressure between 55 and 90 MPa (8,000 and 12,000 psi, respectively) over 400 $\mu$ s pulse length. Results showed a geometry influence on the burn rates when plasma is injected at an inclination angle to the surface of the propellant. Calculations were performed using SODIN code and compared to values obtained from optical emission spectroscopy. Core and boundary layer plasma temperatures are about 1.7 and 0.8 eV, respectively. The heat flux at the boundary layer is about 10% of that of the source, suggesting that the plasma energy deposited on the propellant is mostly absorbed in the boundary layer. The obtained value of the energy transmission factor ( $f \approx 10\%$ ) suggests that radiative heating may be limited during the burn of the propellant due to limited energy transport to the surface, and that plasma kinetic pressure has a stronger effect on the burn rate than the plasma radiative heat flux.						
14. SUBJECT TERMS					NUMBER OF PAGES:	
ETC, JA-2 Burn Rates, Plasma Flow, Boundary Layer, Diagnosti					PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY C OF REPORT:	CLASSIFICATION 18. SI THIS UNCLASSIFIED	ECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	7 19. SECURITY CLASSI OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIE	FICATION 20.	LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	
ONRCHI	COMPUTER V 1.0 11/5	94		TANDARD FO	RM 298 (REV 2-89)	

-----

i.

#### **Progress Report**

July 1, 1996

# AUGMENTATION AND CONTROL OF BURN RATE IN PLASMA-DEVICES

## (Contract N00014-95-1-1221, R&D Number 33e1016---01) Department of the Navy, Office of Naval research

#### Mohamed A. Bourham and John G. Gilligan North Carolina State University, Department of Nuclear Engineering Raleigh, N. C. 27695-7909

The understanding of the boundary layer physics is essential for a possible control of the performance of electrothermal-chemical devices. A plasma source performance depends on how the plasma is generated and then expands into the combustion chamber, and how plasma temperature and pressure affects the burn rates of candidate propellants. The interior ballistics are highly affected by the micro-processes within the boundary layer. In any ETC device, the boundary layer is more complex due to plasma-propellant mixing during the burn and combustion processes. Our approach focuses on:

- Boundary layer physics in electrothermal-chemical devices.
- Experiments and modeling of plasma flow and flame-vapor shield.
- Plasma-propellant and plasma-bore interface, and experimental verification of vapor shield effect.
- Development of useful diagnostics for core and plasma boundary.
- Physics of plasma-propellant interaction and experiments on burn rates of various propellants.
- Geometrical influence on burn rates of solid granular propellants.
- Decoupling plasma parameters and effect of individual properties on burn rates.

During this reporting period, we report on the progress in plasma-propellant interaction and investigation of the boundary layer behavior at the plasma-propellant interface. We focus on the effectiveness of radiative heating on the burn rates of JA-2 solid propellant to evaluate the role of radiative heating versus plasma kinetic pressure effects.

Following our 1995 End-of-Fiscal-Year report, where we reported on a set of experiments to evaluate burn rates of JA-2 solid propellant, an analysis of the experimental shots conducted on JA2 solid propellant as a function of plasma injection angle has been fulfilled. This analysis compares the experimentally measured plasma temperature, density and pressure to the code predicted values. The 1-D, time dependent code SODIN has been used to predict the plasma parameters of the source for the shots when the plasma is injected into the propellant surface at different inclination angles. The experimental values of the plasma parameters, temperature and density, were obtained from optical emission spectroscopy measurements. Because of the fact that the plasma is optically thick, the optical emission spectroscopy data are indicative of the plasma boundary layer, and hence, obtained parameters are those for the boundary layer.

Time-averaged boundary layer temperatures of 8,800 to 14,000 °K ( $\approx 0.8 - 1.2 \text{ eV}$ ) and plasma densities of 2 x 10<sup>23</sup> to 4.5 x 10<sup>23</sup> m<sup>-3</sup> have been deduced by measurements along the axis of the device using the relative intensities and the Stark broadening of the copper lines. Comparisons with the measured burn rates of the JA-2 propellant versus the inclination angle suggests a stronger correlation of plasma burn rate with plasma kinetic pressure than with the radiative heat flux. Estimates of the temperatures and densities for some shots were analyzed by observing the C<sub>2</sub> Swan Bands. The data were compared with synthetic spectra calculated using the UVCODE molecular spectra-radiative transport code.

The plasma temperature calculated using a Boltzmann plot of neutral copper lines correlates well to that obtained from  $CO_2$  emission predictions with the data. The plasma density calculated from the Stark broadening of neutral copper lines correlates also well to that obtained from  $CO_2$  emission predictions with data. Both plasma temperature and density decrease with increased angles of injection.

2

The plasma pressure and the source heat flux are calculated by SODIN code for the same shots. SODIN code calculates the plasma parameters using the discharge current data file as an input to the code, and solves the set of governing equations self consistently. The average pressure for these shots is about  $125\pm25$  MPa, peak plasma pressure is  $250\pm50$  MPa, and source heat flux is  $28\pm6$  GW/m<sup>2</sup>. The values calculated by the code are those at the source exit (last node in the source), and are typical and consistent for shots at 5 kJ input energy to the source.

The core plasma temperature, as predicted by SODIN Code, is compared to the plasma boundary layer temperature calculated from optical emission spectroscopy. The average core plasma temperature is about  $1.7\pm0.1$  eV, while the plasma boundary layer temperature varies from 1.24 eV at 0° to 0.8 eV at 90°. In fact, the average plasma boundary layer temperature has an average of  $0.88\pm0.1$  eV over the entire range of injection angle except at 0° where it has a higher value (1.24 eV). The difference between temperatures (core and boundary) suggests that the boundary layer plays a role in absorbing a substantial fraction of the incoming heat flux (vapor shield mechanism), which is typical for ablating surfaces under high heat flux irradiation. This vapor shield mechanism would then reduce the effectiveness of radiative heating on the propellant. In order to estimate the effectiveness of the vapor shield, the core and boundary layer plasmas are both assumed to radiate as a blackbody such that the heat flux of the source and the boundary are scaled to the temperature by Stephen-Boltzmann's law. The source fluence may be expressed as:

$$q''(source) = \sigma(T_{SODIN})^4$$

where  $T_{SODIN}$  is the core plasma temperature at the source exit, and  $\sigma$  is the Stephen-Boltzmann's constant. The heat flux at the boundary layer is given by:

$$q''(boundary) = f \sigma (T_{boundary})^4$$

where  $T_{boundary}$  is the boundary layer temperature as calculated from spectroscopy measurements, and f is the energy transmission factor through the vapor shield layer. An estimate of the energy transmission factor can be obtained from the ratio between the boundary layer heat flux to the source flounce:

#### f = q''(boundary) / q''(source)

The energy transmission factor is about 10% and less for angles between 15 and 90°, which is also expected for most ablating surfaces when a vapor shield layer is developed and reaches steady-state. The highest value obtained for the factor f is 35% at 0°, which correlates to results observed for most graphite surfaces at such inclination angle. The obtained values of the factor fsuggest that radiative heating may be limited during the burn of the propellant due to limited energy transport to the surface, and that plasma kinetic pressure has a stronger effect on the burn rate than the plasma radiative heat flux.

Our research continues to further understand the role of radiative heating versus pressure effects, and whether the burn rate is highly dependent on radiative heating, plasma kinetic pressure, or both. Additionally, a set of decoupling experiments will be conducted to isolate several possible mechanisms in order to understand each mechanism individually. Modeling is ongoing and our 2-D boundary layer model is near completion, which includes turbulent flow and radiation transport.

ş



Fig. 1 Plasma temperature calculated using a Boltzmann plot of neutral copper lines and a match of CO<sub>2</sub> emission predictions with the data.



Fig. 2 Plasma density calculated from the Stark broadening of neutral copper lines and matching CO<sub>2</sub> emission predictions with data.



Fig. 3 Plasma pressure (peak and average) and source heat flux as predicted by SODIN Code for shots taken at different angle of inclination.



Fig. 4 Plasma temperature (core plasma) as predicted by SODIN Code compared to that calculated from Optical Emission Spectroscopy (plasma boundary).



Fig. 5 Energy transmission factor f through the developed vapor layer (plasma boundary layer) calculated from the ration between the surface heat flux (at the boundary) and the core plasma heat fluence.

#### **REPORTS DISTRIBUTION**

### ADDRESSEES

## NUMBER OF COPIES

DIRECTOR (ACTING) Dr. Spiro G. Lekoudis MECHANICS & ENERGY CONVERSION OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH 800 NORTH QUINCY STREET ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5660

PROGRAM MANAGER/OFFICER ONR: 333 Dr. Judah M. Goldwasser OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH BALLSTON TOWER ONE 800 NORTH QUINCY STREET ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22217-5660

ADMINISTRATIVE GRANTS OFFICER OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH REGIONAL OFFICE ATLANTA 101 MARIETTA STREET SUITE 2805 ATLANTA GA 30323-0008

DIRECTOR, NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN: Code 2627 WASHINGTON, DC 20375

DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER BUILDING 5, CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22304-6145

Dr. Richard S. Miller MECHANICS & ENERGY CONVERSION OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH 800 NORTH QUINCY STREET ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5660 2

3

1

1

2

1