1996 Medical Defense Bioscience Review May 12-16, 1996



19960705 058

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED)

Response of artificial human skin to irritants: cytokine and prostaglandin release

£

W. Bowers, Jr., M. Blaha, A. Alkhyyat and J. Walker*

U.S. Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine, Natick, MA 01760-5007, *U.S. Army Natick Research, Development and Engineering Center

ABSTRACT

Cytokines have been implicated in aspects of vesicant injury/repair. This study describes responses of artificial human skin (Skin² and EpiDerm) to chloroethyl ethyl sulfide (CEES), defined by interleukin-1 α (IL-1 α), tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) and prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) release. Skin² and EpiDerm in Millicells of 6 well Costar trays containing 1ml of assay media/well were exposed to CEES (2.0mg/L, flow rate 1L/min for 2hr) in humidified air. Control tissues were exposed without CEES. Millicells containing Skin² or EpiDerm (12/group) were transferred to fresh assay media and incubated for 22 hr. Tissues (6/group) were used for MTT tests. Media from each well were stored in liquid N,. $IL-1\alpha$ (RIA or ELISA), PGE_2 (RIA or EIA), and $\text{TNF-}\alpha$ (EIA) were measured in thawed specimens. CEES significantly increased release of IL-1 α (192pg/ml ± 34.9, control 55pg/ml ± 16.6) and PGE_2 (3,977pg/0.1ml ± 1,197, control 2,541pg/0.1ml ± 570) from Skin², but not TNF- α levels, with viability (MTT) 3%. Neither IL-1 α nor TNF- α were elevated by CEES-exposed EpiDerm, although PGE₂ was elevated $(258pg/0.1ml \pm 71 vs 184)$ ± 79), viability 46%. We conclude pro-inflammatory mediators, IL-1 α and PGE₂, could play significant roles in CEES injury and that either fibroblasts are critical to the process, or EpiDerm, which lacks fibroblasts, is somehow more resistant.

blic reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for thering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments relation of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate vis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Placement, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paper	reviewing instructions, searching existing data source
general bouget, reperior Reduction in	garding this burden estimate or any other aspect of the
AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) 2. REPORT DATE 3. REPORT TYPE A 5 June 1996 Abstract	roject (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503. ND DATES COVERED
TITLE AND SUBTITLE Response of artificial human skin to irritants: cytokine and prostaglandin release AUTHOR(S) Bowers, W., Jr., M. Blaha, A. Alkhyyat, and J. Walker	5. FUNDING NUMBERS
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine Natick MA 01760-5007	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Same as 7.	10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.	120. DISTRIBUTION CODE
ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Cytokines have been implicated in aspects of vesicant tudy describes responses of artificial human skin (Skin ² a hloroethyl ethyl sulfide (CEES), defined by interleukin-1 ecrosis factor- α (TNF- α) and prostaglandin E ₂ (PGE ₂) relea n Millicells of 6 well Costar trays containing 1ml of ass xposed to CEES (2.0mg/L, flow rate 1L/min for 2hr) in hum issues were exposed without CEES. Millicells containing 12/group) were transferred to fresh assay media and incub issues (6/group) were used for MTT tests. Media from eac iquid N ₂ . IL-1 α (RIA or ELISA), PGE ₂ (RIA or EIA), and TN n thawed specimens. CEES significantly increased release 4.9, control 55pg/ml ± 16.6) and PGE ₂ (3,977pg/0.1ml ± 1,1 ,541pg/0.1ml ± 570) from Skin ² , but not TNF- α levels, with either IL-1 α nor TNF- α were elevated by CEES-exposed EpiD levated (258pg/0.1ml ± 71 vs 184 ± 79), viability 46%. W nflammatory mediators, IL-1 α and PGE ₂ , could play signific njury and that either fibroblasts are critical to the pro acks fibroblasts, is somehow more resistant. SUBJECT TERMS artificial Skin, Vesicant, CEES, IL-1 α , TNF- α , PGE ₂	and EpiDerm) to α (IL-1 α), tumor se. Skin ² and EpiDerm ay media/well were midified air. Control Skin ² or EpiDerm wated for 22 hr. The well were stored in F- α (EIA) were measured a of IL-1 α (192pg/ml ± 197, control h viability (MTT) 3%. Werm, although PGE ₂ ; was fe conclude pro-
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 19. SECURITY CLASSIFIC OF REPORT OF THIS PAGE OF ABSTRACT	ATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

· . .