REPORT DOCUMENTATION P	AGE	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
Let creporting purgen for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour po strained and maintaining the pata needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of flection of information, including suggestions for reducing this purgen, to Washington H substitution way, suite 1204, Arlington, 74, 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management an	er response, including the time for f information. Send comments rec eadquarters Services, Directorate f un Buidnet, Paperwork Reduction Pr	oject (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.
AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Diank) 22. REPORT DATE Sept 16, 1995	3. REPORT TYPE AN Final	D DATES COVERED
TITLE AND SUBTITLE Neuronal Micronets as Nodal Elements		5. FUNDING NUMBERS
leuronal Micronets as Nodal Elements		G N00014-92-J-1923
. AUTHOR(S)		
Thomas Huntington Brown		
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
Yale University, Grant and Contract Admini 12 Prospect Place, New Haven, CT 06511-351 Attn: Ms Sally Tremaine, Associate Directo	.0	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(	ES)	10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
Inventions form.		attached Report of 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Inventions form.		
Inventions form. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT No known restrictions on release of inform 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)	mation	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE ent way to emulate neur
Inventions form.	mation tationally effica- me. We made cons neuronal computa- es, which are now and encode time. w hypothesis offo-	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE ent way to emulate neuro siderable progress in ations into what we consi being incorporated into Our initial hypothesis ers great promise for mulations of "realistic" r was funded by the ONR.
Inventions form. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT No known restrictions on release of inform 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) We have been working on developing a compu- and to emulate circuits and networks of sa compressing "realistic" representations of functionally equivalent input/output device dynamic networks that learn associations a about how to do this was rejected. Our ne	mation tationally effica- me. We made cons neuronal computa- es, which are not and encode time. w hypothesis offa- rom examining sin oblem. The latte	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE ent way to emulate neuro siderable progress in ations into what we consi being incorporated into Our initial hypothesis ers great promise for mulations of "realistic" r was funded by the ONR.
Inventions form. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT No known restrictions on release of inform 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) We have been working on developing a compu- and to emulate circuits and networks of sa compressing "realistic" representations of functionally equivalent input/output device dynamic networks that learn associations a about how to do this was rejected. Our ne	mation tationally effica- me. We made cons neuronal computa- es, which are not and encode time. w hypothesis offa- rom examining sin oblem. The latte	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE ent way to emulate neuro siderable progress in ations into what we conso being incorporated into Our initial hypothesis ers great promise for mulations of "realistic" r was funded by the ONR.
Inventions form. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT No known restrictions on release of inform 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) We have been working on developing a compu- and to emulate circuits and networks of sa compressing "realistic" representations of functionally equivalent input/output device dynamic networks that learn associations a about how to do this was rejected. Our ne	mation tationally effica- me. We made cons neuronal computa- es, which are not and encode time. w hypothesis offa- rom examining sin oblem. The latte	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE ent way to emulate neuro siderable progress in ations into what we const being incorporated into Our initial hypothesis ers great promise for mulations of "realistic" r was funded by the ONR.
Inventions form. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT No known restrictions on release of inform 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) We have been working on developing a compu- and to emulate circuits and networks of sa compressing "realistic" representations of functionally equivalent input/output device dynamic networks that learn associations a about how to do this was rejected. Our ne	mation tationally effica- me. We made cons neuronal computa- es, which are now and encode time. w hypothesis offo- rom examining sim- oblem. The latter oblem. The latter	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE ent way to emulate neuro siderable progress in ations into what we consist being incorporated into Our initial hypothesis ers great promise for mulations of "realistic" r was funded by the ONR.

## Yale University

. .

Department of Psychology P.O. Box 11A Yale Station New Haven, Connecticut 06520-7447 Campus address: 2 Hillhouse Avenue.

September 16, 1995

Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145

## Re: Final Technial Report on ONR grant N00014-92-192.

## 19960619 006

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

This is the final technical report on grant N00014-92-J-1923. This information has also been sent to Dr. Thomas McKenna, the Administrative Grants Officer, and the Director of the Naval Research Laboratory.

The overarching goal of this grant "Neuronal Micronets as Nodal Elements" (N00014-92-J-1923) application was to determine the computational significance of the amount and type of information processing that actually occurs in the nervous system at the single-neuron level.

The <u>basic thesis</u> was that a neuron can be compared to a multi-layered artificial neural network (ANN). The question is, what kind of ANN best captures neuronal computations? This question raises several others: How do neurons differ from the processing elements (PEs) used in connectionistic studies? What do single neurons compute? We have been addressing the latter question through compartmental simulations of hippocampal neurons containing Hebbian synapses.

I used the term "micronet" to refer to the type of ANN that can capture neuronal computations<sup>1</sup>. I concluded that micronets are deep (many layers of PEs) but narrow (relatively few PEs per layer). To function like a neuron, the PEs must operate continuously and asynchronously. There is no clock. Time is its own representation. Connections within a micronet are assumed not to be modifiable, but connections among micronets can exhibit use-dependent modifications, which can be Hebbian. The PE activation function has a passive memory that decays rapidly and exponentially as a function of time.

I requested an AASERT for Sean Murphy, a neuroscience graduate student interested in this problem, but this application was not funded. Murphy went on however, working with another professor in my department, to begin building ANNs that could emulate the input-output functions of neurons, and this grew into part of his dissertation, which was just completed<sup>2</sup>. As I had anticipated, Murphy concluded that an appropriate neural network can indeed emulate a relatively realistic neuronal model in terms of gross input-output functions.

In working with one of my former students, we reached a similar conclusion, based on less formal or extensive analysis. The main difference, however, was that we concluded that, once learning is involved, the circumstance is quite different. And learning was an essential part of the problem we sought to understand. What follows summarizes <u>some of the reasons for rejecting our initial basic thesis</u> that a neuron can be compared to a multilayered ANN. The point is not that the enterprise cannot be done in principle, but that this approach is much too cumbersome relative to certain alternatives, if one is interested in learning.

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 5

Part of the reason is that Hebbian learning depends on the electrotonic structure of the cells and this is not easy to capture in connectionist models<sup>3-9</sup>. Hebbian learning depends on the amplitude of the signal at the site of the synaptic input. This in turn means that voltage transfers to and from that site to and from every other synapse must be modeled. In addition, the effects of spiking in the soma must be represented in terms of voltage transfer back through the dendritic tree to the synapses.

These voltage transfers are in general not symmetrical—the attenuation from point i to point j is not the same as from j to i and they are frequency dependent<sup>10</sup>. Furthermore, nonlinear membrane responses, which our modeling suggested to be important<sup>11-13</sup>, particularly the backpropagation of action potentials into the dendrites<sup>5</sup>, are very difficult to incorporate in an ANN that includes Hebbian learning but have recently been suggested based on experimental data.

Why this insistence on Hebbian learning? Based on first principles, it has always been clear that Hebbian synapses were theoretically important<sup>4,13,14</sup> and we and others had previously shown them to exist in hippocampus. Now it seems that Hebbian synapses are extremely widespread. One sees such synapses in brain regions other than the hippocampus. One even sees such synapses in lower vertebrates. Most recently, it seems that they may even exist in invertebrates.

Thus we continued to explore electrotonic structure in order to understand better how it might interact with active membrane and Hebbian learning<sup>16-20</sup>. At the same time, we developed advanced methods to gain a better understanding of the diversity of cell types and their characteristic active membrane properties<sup>13,21</sup>.

<u>My conclusion from this work was that it is computationally easier to use analog</u> <u>models or devices instead of trying to make an ANN emulate what such circuitry would do</u>. <u>If learning can be done off line, or if learning is not involved, then the original idea of</u> <u>representing a neuron as a micronet still makes sense</u><sup>2</sup>. But from what we now know about the neurophysiology, the nervous system is continuously self-organizing and exhibiting various forms of learning and that these will ultimately depend critically on the electrotonic structure and nonlinear dynamics. Therefore, I focused on the latter. We have gained deep insights into single neuron computations from this enterprise.

We have now begun to formalize the manner in which Hebbian self-organization depends on electrotonic structure<sup>10,22</sup>. The effect of nonlinear membrane probably will differ in different classes of cells and for different types of synaptic inputs. What we are learning is that there is no canonical neuron, even within highly circumscribed brain regions. The number of different types is large and we suspect that this means that brain-style computations require a correspondingly large number of elemental devices—in contrast to the conventional assumptions in ANNs.

You will recall that this application was submitted in March of 1992, and I received notice that it would receive no further funding on August of 1993. At this time I requested a no-cost extension.

We are still publishing work from this period and the enterprise motivated us to collect experimental data that confirmed the conclusions based on the simulations mentioned above. Additional manuscripts are in preparation. I am currently working on what I think may be a <u>fundamental new mechanism for how the nervous system encodes</u> time using Hebbian synapses. I am also continuing to work on the design of a simple device that can, with small quantitative variations, learn and self-organize the way real neurons do. In contrast to Murphy's approach<sup>2</sup>, this elemental device would not be an <u>ANN that emulates a particular neuron</u>. Rather I am looking for an analog device that can capture the essence of our insights based on the above considerations. I hope to explore these ideas further through interactions with certain electrical engineers at Yale.

1. Brown, T.H., Zador, A.M., Mainen, Z.F., and Claiborne, B.J. Hebbian modifications in hippocampal neurons. In: Long-term potentiation: A debate of current issues, J. Davis and M. Baudry (eds.), pp. 357-389, MIT Press, 1991.

°...

- 2. Murphy, S. D. Temporal dynamics of biological neural network models. Doctoral Dissertation. Yale University. 1995.
- Brown, T.H., Zador, A.M., Mainen, Z.F., and Claiborne, B.J. Hebbian computations in hippocampal dendrites and spines. In: *Single Neuron Computation*, T. McKenna, J. Davis, and S.F. Zornetzer (eds.), pp. 81-116, Academic Press, 1992.
- 4. Brown, T.H., Kairiss, E.W., and Keenan, C.L. Hebbian synapses—biophysical mechanisms and algorithms. Ann. Rev. Neurosci., 13:475-511, 1990.
- 5. Zador, A.M., Koch, C., and Brown, T. H. Biophysical model of a hebbian synapse, Proc. Nat. Acad Sci., 6718-6722, 1990.
- 6. Kairiss, E.W., Mainen, Z.F., Claiborne, B.J., and Brown, T.H. Dendritic control of Hebbian computations. In: Analysis and Modeling of Neural Systems, F. Beckman (ed.), pp. 69-83, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1991.
- Brown, T.H., Zador, A.M., Mainen, Z.F., Claiborne, B.J. Self-organization of Hebbian synapses in hippocampal neurons. In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 3, R. Lippmann, J. Moody, D. Touretzky (eds.), pp. 39-45, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, 1991.
- 8. Claiborne, B.J., Zador, A.M., Mainen, Z.F., and Brown, T.H. Computational models of hippocampal neurons. In: *Single Neuron Computation*, T. McKenna, J. Davis, and S.F. Zornetzer (eds.), pp. 61-79, Academic Press, 1992.
- 9. Tsai, K.Y., Carnevale, N.T., Brown, T.H. Hebbian learning is jointly controlled by electrotonic and input structure. *Network: Computation in Neural Systems*, 5:1-19, 1994.
- 10. Tsai, K., Carnevale, N. T. and Brown. Efficient mapping from neuroanatomical to electronic space. *Network: Computation in Neural Systems*, 5:21-46, 1994.
- 11. Zador, A.M., Claiborne, B.J., and Brown, T.H. Nonlinear pattern separation in single hippocampal neurons with active dendritic membrane. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 4*, J.E. Moody, S.J. Hanson, and R.P. Lippmann (eds.), pp. 51-58, Morgan Kaufmann, 1992.
- Fisher, S. A., Jaffe, D. B., Claiborne, B. J., and Brown, T. H. (1993) Self-organization of Hebbian synapses on a biophysical model of a hippocampal neuron. Soc Neurosci Abstr 19: 808.
- Jaffe, D.B., Fisher, S.A., Brown, T.H. Confocal laser scanning microscopy reveals voltage-gated calcium signals within hippocampal dendritic spines. J. Neurobiol., 25(3):220-233, 1994.
- 14. Brown, T. H., and Chattarji, S. Hebbian synaptic plasticity: evolution of the contemporary concept. *Models of Neural Networks II*, E. Domany, J.L. Van Hemmen, and K. Schulten (eds.), pp. 287-314, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- 15. Brown, T.H., Chattarji, S. Hebbian plasticity. In: The Handbook of Brain Theory and Neural Networks, M.A. Arbib (ed.), pp. 454-459, MIT Press, 1995.
- Carnevale, N.T., Tsai, K.Y., Claiborne, B.J. and Brown, T.H. The electrotonic transformation: a tool for relating neuronal form to function. In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 7, Tesauro, G., Touretzky, D.S. and Alspector, J. (eds.), pp. 69-76, Morgan Kaufmann, San Mateo, CA, 1995.
- 17. Carnevale, N.T., Tsai, K.Y., Claiborne, B.J. and Brown, T.H. Qualitative electrotonic comparison of three classes of hippocampal neurons in the rat. In: *The Neurobiology of Computation*, J. Bower (ed.), pp. 67-72, J., Kluwer, Boston, MA, 1995.

3

- 18. O'Boyle, M.P., Carnevale, N.T., Claiborne, B.J., and Brown, T.H. Sensitivity of hippocampal granule cell models to variations in dendritic diameter. Submitted.
- 19. Carnevale, N.T., Tsai, K.Y. and Brown, T.H. Hebbian self-organization predicted in detailed electrotonic models of hippocampal neurons. In preparation.
- 20. Carnevale, N.T., Tsai, K.Y., Claiborne, B.J., and Brown, T.H. Comparative electrotonic analysis of three classes of rat hippocampal neurons. In preparation.
- 21. Faulkner, B. and Brown, T. (1995) Physiology and morphology of perirhinal cortical neurons. Soc Neurosci Abstr 21: 596.
- 22. Carnevale, N., Tsai, K., and Brown, T. (1995) Prediction of hebbian learning in cells with biologically realistic electrotonic architecture. Soc Neurosci Abstr 21: 604.

Sincerely,

Shis HD

Thomas H. Brown, Ph. D Professor of Psychology Professor of Cellular and Molecular Physiology

	REPORT OF INVENTIONS AND SUBCONTRACTS	REPORT OF INVENTIONS AND SUBCONTRACTS	vcrs			The solo an ent	
PUER (SOOTING DWOM TO PERCENT OF FEITH AND A VILLE FOR AND A VILLE AND A VILLE AND A VILLE AND A VILLE A VILLE AND A VILLE AND A VILLE A V	רמרושונים ביובות השניים ביוושים ביווושים ביווושים ביווושים ביווושים ביווושים ביווושים ביווושים ביווושים ביווושי	Done, shutter of the for the termine with	LINGTON MANUNCI LAND	ו מנוז וימוועבר מעושנו	bowerpuseu por tu	UN GALA MEEDER, and co	
revenues the rollection of information. Send comments regeared retimete or evo other expect of the codection of information, including upperform for reducing the burden, for Weilming the rollection for reducing the burden, for Weilming the code of a set of the code of the set of the code of a set of the set of the set of the code of a set of the code of the set of the set of the set of the code of a set of the code of the set of	ms regarding the ourded critimate or only other y, Saate 1204, Arington, vA. 22202 4302, and to	essent of this collection of information, indu s the Office of Management and Budget, Paos	aling suggestions to reduct prease Actuation Project (0)	ng ithis burden, to Wei 194-01911, Vieunington,	DC 10503.	gion menaquarters Services, Directorate for milormaleon C 30503.	2
12. HAME OF CONFRACTOR SUBCOM FRACTOR	LE CONTRACT NUMER	24. NAME OF GOVERNMENT MUME CONTRACTOR		C CONTRACT NUMBER		J. TYPE OF REPORT (K GM	X one!
Yale University	1000014-41-2-1722	h. shftefff (lemma DB fore)		AWARD DATE (VTWANDD	ė	A INTERIM   A & FIMAL	
			2		i		
IZ I COSPECE TAGG Mew Laven, CT 06511	10/20126					95	
		SECTION 1 - SUBJECT INVENTIONS	IONS		•	T I	1
2. SUBJECT INVERTIONS - REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED BY CONTRACTOR/SUBJECTION of		"Name," sa starej					
4. KAAAAEIS) OF INVENTONDS		ن - -	OKADYUR NO.	4. ELECTION	ELECTION TO FILE PATENT APPLICATIONS	4. COMPINAATOAT INSTRUMENT OR ASSONAENT FORWARDED	A LOAY INT OR ORWANDED
النصد والحد بطا			SUZAL NO. OR PATENT NO.	(1) United States	(1) Foreign	TO CONTRACTING OFFICEN	HG OFFICER
	1/1	JNE					
A COMPACT OF AUTOMOT HOT FLAM OVER A COMPACT ON CUREDIN BACTOR	THE REPORT OF STATES	a there found	TLECTED FORMIGN SQUARTNES IN MANICH A FATERY APPLICATION	A PATENT APPLICATION			
(1) (a) Made of swents that fast, Mil	[2] (15 Serve of Smetrics (Left, Furt, MI)	{} {}	5			Ulforeign Countines of Patient Application	A Application
(b) Mame OI Employed	(p) HTUR OI FUCKOJEL			·			
(1) Address of Emercyler (Include LP Code)	(c) Address of Langeryler (Maruare 2,10 Goot						
	SECTION 11 -	SUBCONTRACTS (Containing 4	"Parent Rights" clausel	sel	-		V
4 SUBCONTRACTS AWARDED BY CONTRACTOR/SUBCONTRACTOR (# "News	COMPLACTOR (# "None," no mater						
A. HAME OF SUBCONTRACTORS	ADDRESS (Producte IIP Code)	L DEAR PATENT ACHTS SUBCONTRACT HO.(3) (1) Clause (2) Dere Humber (77444)	T ANGHES E.	DESCRIPTION OF WORK TO MIN-ORMED UNDER SUBCONTRACTIST	uk 10 mč DEA SI	I. SUBCONTRACT	SUBCONFRACT DATES (YTHANDOI (1) Award (1) E. Jon
-	X	1 A		ł			•
		SECTION III - CERTIFICATION	NOI				V
1. CERTIFICATION OF REPORT BY CONTRACTOR SUBCONTRACTOR				w X) i voitet webro Islaw	X appropriete doi!		
L. MANE OF AUTHORIED CONFRACTORY SUSCONFRACTOR OFFICIAL SALL MEL. MII POLIMER . CULART . CULA	תברנה מוונושו ושו אינו אינו כ		ty has procedures for the set of	or prompt identi wed and that all	fication and tir "Subject inve	nely disclosure af ntions" have been	"Subjact reported.
b int Director	<b>v</b>	Home was	the second				e DATE SIGNED
Administration	ulnistration		501			10/	

111/12

reytous adistant are absolete.

prm 382. OCT 89