NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



Simplified Finite Element Modeling of Stiffened Cylinders Subjected to Underwater Explosion

by

Richard E. Cunningham Young W. Kwon

February 1996

DITO STALLTT INSPECTED 4

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Prepared for:

Naval Surface Warfare Center Protection & Weapons Effects Department Code 67 Carderock Division Bethesda, MD 20084

19960328 044

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California 93943

Rear Admiral Marsha J. Evans Superintendent

This report was prepared for and fully funded by both the Naval Surface Warfare Center, Bethesda, MD 20084 and the Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, Ca 93943.

This report was prrepared by:

<u>R. C. Curringlam</u> LT, USN R.E. Cunningham

R.E. Cunningham LT, USN

Y.W/Kwon Associate professor of Mechanical Engineering

Reviewed by:

T.R. McNelley Chairman Dept. of Mechanical Eng.

Released by:

chacher

G.E. Schacher Dean of Research (Acting)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instruction, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington DC 20503.

1. AGENCY U	ISE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE February 29, 19	96 3. REPO Progr to Fel	RT TYPE AND DATES COVERED ess Report from May 1, 1995 pruary 29, 1996
4. TITLE ANI STIFFENEI	SUBTITLE SIMPLIFIEI CYLINDERS SUBJECTI	D FINITE ELEMENT MC ED TO UNDERWATER	DELING OF EXPLOSION	5. FUNDING NUMBERS
7. PERFORM Naval Pos Monterey	NG ORGANIZATION NA tgraduate School CA 93943-5000	AME(S) AND ADDRESS	(ES)	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER NPS-ME-96-002
9. SPONSORI Protection & Naval Surfa	NG/MONITORING AGEN Weapons Effects Departm ce Warfare Center Bethes	NCY NAME(S) AND AD nent, Code 67 Carderock I esda, MD 20084	DRESS(ES) Division	10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMI official po	ENTARY NOTES The vielicy or position of the l	iews expressed in this Department of Defens	report are those of t e or the U.S. Gover	he authors and do not reflect the nment.
12a. DISTRIBU Approved	FION/AVAILABILITY ST for public release; dist	FATEMENT tribution is unlimited.		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
13. ABSTRAC Simplified f smearing the stiff in the simplificati stiffened cylinder The smearing of s stiffened cylinder were subjected to responses caused unstiffened cylin	f (maximum 200 words) inite element modeling of a eners onto the base structur on process. The dynamic re model and simplified model tiffeners onto a cylindrical s was investigated. Finally the same underwater explosions be der, globally similar results	a stiffened cylinder subject re as well as beam modelin response and overall global dels. The study first examination shell orthotropically was to y, an integrated beam/shell osive loading for numerical between the discrete mode s could be produced.	ed to underwater explo og using SOR (Surface of deformation were then ned the effectiveness of hen examined. Next, b model of a stiffened cy study. The analysis sh l, the beam model, and	sion was investigated. The use of of Revolution) beam elements were used compared between the fully discretized f smearing stiffeners onto a flat plate. eam modeling of both unstiffened and vlinder was developed. These models owed that when comparing the dynamic the beam/shell model of a stiffened or
14. SUBJECT T Technique	TERMS Underwater E	Explosion, Finite Elem	ent Method, Smeari	ng 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 117
				16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CATION O Unclassifi	CLASSIFI- F REPORT ed Un	CURITY CLASSIFI- TION OF THIS PAGE Iclassified	19. SECURITY CL TION OF ABST Unclassified	ASSIFICA- 20. LIMITATION OF TRACT ABSTRACT UL

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

.

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18 298-102

ABSTRACT

Simplified finite element modeling of a stiffened cylinder subjected to underwater explosion was investigated. The use of smearing the stiffeners onto the base structure as well as beam modeling using SOR (Surface of Revolution) beam elements were used in the model simplification process. The dynamic response and overall global deformation were then compared between the fully discretized stiffened cylinder model and the simplified models. The study first examined the effectiveness of smearing stiffeners onto a flat plate. The smearing of stiffeners onto a cylindrical shell orthotropically was then examined. Next, beam modeling of both unstiffened and stiffened cylinders was investigated. Finally an integrated beam/shell model of a stiffened cylinder was developed. These models were subjected to the same underwater explosive loading for numerical study. The analysis showed that when comparing the dynamic responses caused by underwater explosions between the discrete model, the beam model, and the beam/shell model of a stiffened cylinder, globally similar results could be produced.

· · · ·

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	RODUCTION	1
II.	UN	DERWATER EXPLOSION CHARACTERISTICS	5
Ш.	FLA	AT PLATE SMEARING MODEL	7
IV.	MC	DELING OF UNSTIFFENED CYLINDER	17
	A.	DISCRETE UNSTIFFENED ONR CYLINDER MODEL	17
	B.	SOR BEAM MODEL	22
	C.	INTEGRATED SOR BEAM/SHELL MODEL	26
		1. Variation of Material Properties for Beam/Shell Interface	26
	D.	COMPARISON OF DISCRETE TO SIMPLIFIED MODELS	41
V. 1	MOD	ELING OF STIFFENED ONR CYLINDER	51
	A.	DISCRETE STIFFENED ONR CYLINDER	51
	B.	ORTHOTROPICALLY SMEARED ONR CYLINDER MODEL	55
	C.	SOR BEAM MODEL	62
	D.	INTEGRATED SOR BEAM/SHELL MODEL	71
	E.	 COMPARISON OF DISCRETE TO SIMPLIFIED MODELS Comparison Using Symmetric Explosive Testing Comparison Using Off-center Explosive Testing 	75 75 84
VI.	CO	NCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	93
LIS	ГOF	REFERENCES	95

APPENDIX A.	INPUT FILES FOR SOR BEAM MODEL	97
APPENDIX B.	INPUT FILES FOR SOR BEAM/SHELL MODEL	101
INITIAL DISTRIB	UTION LIST	107

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deep gratitude and appreciation to Dr. Young W. Kwon and Dr. Young S. Shin for their continued guidance and encouragement throughout this research. I would also like to thank LCDR Jim Chisum for providing his experience and expertise in underwater shock modeling. I am also grateful to Dr. John DeRuntz for the time and effort he provided to me over the course of this research. I gratefully acknowledge the Naval Surface Warfare Center for their continued support of the underwater shock research program at the Naval Postgraduate School.

Many others not mentioned by name provided welcome assistance. To you, please forgive the omission. I thank you all.

Finally, I would like to dedicate this work to my loving wife, Amy and daughter, Cassidy, who endured two and half years of partial separation while I pursued this academic goal. Their love, support, and encouragement made it possible. To my Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ who makes all things possible, I would be lost without you.

I. INTRODUCTION

Detailed finite element modeling of a submerged structure including internal structures subjected to underwater explosion is costly. The cost can be equated in both computational time and more importantly manpower. As a result, a simple, but still accurate model of a structure was investigated. The objective of the study was to develop an efficient means of representing the stiffened cylinder while still providing accurate dynamic response predictions to underwater explosion. Past work at the Naval Postgraduate School focused on comparison of numerical modeling to the physical testing. Cylindrical models have been previously subjected to various types of underwater explosions and their results have been closely approximated by the computer code. Fox, Kwon, and Shin [Ref. 1] and Chisum [Ref. 2] have all demonstrated that the finite element and boundary element programs closely approximate experimental results. Their research work clearly indicates that limited numerical modeling can be conducted without the need to physically build the actual structure for experimental testing.

The studies [Ref. 1, 2] did not explore modeling cylindrical shells with internal structures. This type of modeling involves adding internal equipment and structures to the outer shell, which dramatically increases the degrees of freedom of the problem. This directly correlates to a long computational time for solutions. This study will examine two means by which a simplified model and discrete model can be numerically tested to produce similar dynamic responses due to an underwater explosion. The first technique models the stiffened cylinder with SOR(Surface of Revolution) beam elements and the

second technique involves smearing the stiffeners onto the cylindrical shell structure.

Using a material smearing technique is prevalent in composites where smearing material properties reduces the complexity of the structure when subjected to various forms of loading. Raftenberg [Ref. 3] demonstrated that smearing in structures required that the structure be entirely axiymetric or have regions which varied circumferentially about its axis of symmetry with rapid periodicity. The smearing technique was used for static loading only. Pitarresi, Caletka, Caldwell, and Smith used a similar finite element material-smearing technique to dynamically test a printed circuit board with favorable results [Ref. 4]. Both studies dramatically reduced both complexity and computational time with their simplification methods.

The finite element and boundary element programs utilized for providing the numerical analysis for this study are called USA/DYNA3D. VEC/DYNA3D is a finite element analysis code developed by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory [Ref. 5]. The Underwater Shock Analysis, USA [Ref. 6] is a boundary element code that is based on the Doubly Asymptotic Approximation (DAA) which was developed by Geers [Ref. 7]. The linkage between the two codes was developed in 1991 and has provided acceptable results of dynamic responses of cylindrical shells.

One of the applications of the USA code developed by Deruntz [Ref. 6] allows the beam to be modeled with SOR (Surface of Revolution) elements. The SOR element approximates a beam element with a surface of revolution element. The SOR element contains a number of sub-elements around the circumference of each surface of revolution element. The SOR branch interpolates sub-elements using a circumferential harmonic function which ultimately reduces the number of surface of revolution degrees of freedom.

The original discrete model of the cylinder analyzed in this study was provided by Protection & Weapons Effects Department, Code 67 Carderock Division of the Naval Surface Warfare Center and is provided in Figure 1. This cylinder is called the ONR cylinder and is a test vehicle which is currently being planned for a series of underwater explosions. This study will first examine the effects of smearing stiffeners onto a flat plate. Next, the discrete model will be modeled without internal stiffeners with Belytschko-Lin-Tsay 4 node shell elements due to its computational efficiency in DYNA3D program. The unstiffened model will be modeled as a beam with SOR elements and an integrated beam(SOR)/shell model will be developed. All three models will be subjected to simulated underwater explosions and their responses will be analyzed and discussed.

The discrete model with internal stiffeners will then be modeled. Based on the study of smearing stiffeners onto a flat plate, the ONR stiffeners are smeared onto the shell of the cylinder orthotropically. Beam model with SOR elements will be developed as well as integrated beam/shell model. The internal stiffeners will be incorporated into beam model by adjusting section properties of beam. The discrete and two simplified models will be numerically analyzed by applying the explosive charge first at the symmetric point of all three models. Detailed analysis will be provided at beam/shell interface as well as endplates and deep frame stiffeners to compare discrete model to simplified models. The three models will then be exposed to an off-center charge and analysis will be performed as previously noted.



Figure 1. Naval Warfare Surface Center's ONR Cylinder

II. UNDERWATER EXPLOSION CHARACTERISTICS

The underwater explosion testing that is performed by USA computer code provides the user many options. For this study, however, the same options and explosion characteristics were used so that an accurate comparison between the discrete or full model and simplified models could be made. All models developed in this study were subjected to an underwater shock wave modeled as a spherical wave with a peak amplitude of 2585 psi and a decay constant of 0.3493 msec. This approximation to the actual shock wave uses an exponential pressure relationship and is good for pressure greater than about 1/3 of the peak value [Ref. 8]. This peak pressure pulse is generated using 60 lbs charge of HBX-1 explosive at a 10 foot depth and a standoff distance of 27.2 feet. The attack geometry is provided in Figure 2. The pressure profile is provided in Figure 3 for a reference.

The 10 foot underwater depth was selected in order to fall within the gas bubble radius of 15.8 feet. This allows the gas bubble to vent to the surface and not migrate to the surface of the cylindrical surface. If the bubble were not to vent to the surface then a significant amount of explosive energy would be subjected to the structure(approximately 47%) [Ref. 8]. This was done in order to eliminate the effects of bubble pulse on the simulations perfomed in this study. Free surface effects were also ignored in this study.



Figure 2. Attack Geometry



Figure 3. Pressure Profile for HBX-1 60 Pound Charge

III. FLAT PLATE SMEARING

The first area of model simplification that was studied involved examining the effects of smearing stiffeners onto a flat plate. The aim of the study was to find an optimal way to smear the stiffeners without affecting the global dynamic response of the flat plate. From this study, the objective was to use these results for finding an efficient means of smearing the stiffeners onto the cylindrical shell.

The plates used in this study were either clamped or simply supported at the edges and were subjected to a unit step pressure load of 1000psi. All plates examined were 88" x 88" with a stiffener spacing of 4 inches. The stiffeners are 1.25" thick and 0.25" wide and were modeled in both directions. A comparison between the discrete and smeared models was accomplished using VEC/DYNA3D finite element programs. The discrete model had the stiffeners fully modeled to the base plate whereas the smeared model had the stiffeners smeared onto the base plate. The smeared value was simply an adjustment in the material property of the base material. The adjustment is mad within the material definition portion of INGRID. The smeared value was obtained using a well known formula derived from Timoshenko and Woinowsky-Kreiger [Ref. 9]:

$$E' = E + \frac{12 EI_s (1-v^2)}{ah^3}$$

7

where

E'= fictitious smeared elastic modulus E = elastic modulus of plate and stiffener I_s = moment of inertia of the stiffener a = spacing between two stiffeners h = thickness of the plate v = Poison's ratio

The density of the base material was also adjusted to take into account the mass of the stiffeners.

The discrete model of a 0.1875" thick plate with clamped edges was generated and subjected to the unit step pressure loading. Figure 4 is the dynamic response of the discrete model at 0.5 msec. The transverse deformation plot is magnified by a scale factor of 10 for clearer visualization of the deformation. Next the smeared value was computed for a 0.1875 " thick plate with the given parameters for the stiffeners. The smeared model was subjected to the same pressure loading and Figure 5 shows the deformation of the smeared model. The fictitious smearing value was approximately 85 times larger than the original material's elastic modulus ($E' \approx 85*E$).

This modeling shows the case where the stiffeners dominated the overall response of the original structure. Notice that when comparing the two results, it was observed that the discrete model had a much larger deformation gradient near edges of the plate. The area near the edges of the discrete model governs the global deformation of the structure and for discussion purposes will be called the critically deformed area. The glaring difference in global deformation between the discrete and smeared models can be accounted for by the low stiffness of the discrete model base plate. In other words, the original discrete model has 1/85 of the globally smeared model's stiffness in the base plate. As a result, stiffeners in the



Figure 4. Global Deformation Plot of Discrete Model of 0.1875" Plate With Clamped Edges, Magnified by a factor of 10, taken at 0.5msec



Figure 5. Globally Smeared Model of 0.1875'' Plate With Clamped Edges Magnified by a factor of 10, taken at 0.5msec

mid-section of the discrete model's base plate are less constrained from the side edge constraints. This produces a very large gradient near the edges of the base plate and a minimum gradient at the mid-section of the plate. The contrapositive of this analysis is that the smeared plate is uniformly constrained in both directions therefore the globally smeared plate has a uniform deformation gradient over the entire plate.

The most effective way of simplifying the discrete model and obtaining the proper deformation gradient at the critically deformed area is to smear locally. That is, leave the discrete stiffeners modeled around the edges of the plate in the critically deformed area, however locally smear the remaining stiffeners onto the base plate as in the globally smeared model using the same smearing value as in the globally smeared model. Figure 6 shows the dynamic response of the locally smeared versus the discrete model. The local smearing did not affect the critically deformed area. As a result the dynamic response of the locally smeared plate was in excellent agreement with the discrete model.

A second study of the same stiffened plate was undertaken using simply supported boundary conditions at the plate edges. The dynamic responses produced similar results to what was observed for the clamped plate modeling. The simply supported 0.1875" thick plate models (discrete and globally smeared) are provided in Figure 7. The responses completed supported the analysis of the clamped plate.

Next, a study was conducted to analyze the case where the ratio of fictitious elastic modulus to original elastic modulus is lowered. By increasing the plate thickness to 1", the ratio was reduced to 2 ($E \approx 2E$). This time, the results were expected to show excellent agreement between the discrete and globally smeared models due to the increased stiffness



6a) Locally Smeared Model



6b) Discrete Model

Figure 6a,b. Comparison of Locally Smeared model of 0.1875'' Plate versus Discrete Model of 0.1875'' Plate. Both With Clamped Edges and with magnification factor of 10, taken at 0.5 msec



(a) Discrete Model with Simply Supported Edges



- (b) Globally Smeared Model
- Figure 7.0.1875" Plates With Simply Supported Edges: (a) Discrete Model (b)Globally Smeared Model. Both with 10X magnification , at 0.5 msec

in the base plate. Again the discrete model was generated and subjected to the same unit step pressure loading. Figure 8 shows the result of the 1" discrete plate model versus the globally smeared model with both models having clamped edges. Figure 9 compares both discrete and globally smeared 1" plates with simply supported edges. As predicted the responses were very close to each other for both sets of boundary conditions.

Based on the study conducted on smearing flat plates, the smearing technique directly supported the well known and established formula derived in Ref. 9. The present study provided an additional criteria for determining the proper approach to smearing stiffeners onto a base structure based on the original plate's thickness and elastic modulus. If the ratio between fictitious elastic modulus and original elastic modulus is too high erroneous solutions will be obtained if global smearing is undertaken. If the ratio is small, then global smearing is an effective means for simplifying the structure.



(a) 1" Discrete Model With Clamped Edges



(b) Globally Smeared 1" Plate Model

Figure 8. 1" Plates With Clamped Edges: (a) Discrete Model (b) Globally Smeared Model. Both with 10X magnification factor and taken at 0.5msec.



IV. MODELING OF UNSTIFFENED ONR CYLINDER

When first considering the task of simplifying a stiffened cylinder, a detailed study was undertaken to investigate simplification techniques in general. Two techniques were investigated in order to model onto the external shell the internal structures such as stiffeners. The technique previously investigated studied smearing the stiffeners onto the base structure. This knowledge will be used when investigating orthotropically smearing the stiffeners onto the cylindrical shell later in this report. The second simplification technique involves using SOR elements to effectively model the cylindrical shell and internal structures. This section of the work details how to model an unstiffened cylinder with SOR elements. The SOR beam model will be developed and compared to the unstiffened shell. Finally, an integrated SOR beam/shell model of the unstiffened cylinder will be generated and all three models will be subjected to the same explosive testing.

A. DISCRETE UNSTIFFENED ONR CYLINDER MODEL

The three-dimensional model is shown in Figure 10. Its dimensions conform to those depicted on Figure 1 except for no internal stiffeners. This particular model uses HY-100 steel for the shell and mild steel for the endplates. Both materials for modeling purposes were considered an isotropic elastic material. Material properties used for this model are provided in Table 1.

		Mild Steel	HY-100
Density	(lbm/ft ³)	490.0	490.0
Poisson's Ratio		0.3	0.3
Yield Strength	(ksi)	32.0	108.0
Young's Modulus	(psi)	2.9×10^{7}	2.9×10^{7}
Tangential Harden	ng		
Modulus	(psi)	5.1x10 ⁴	5.02×10^4

Table 1. Material Properties for ONR Cylinder





Although the model shown is a full model, a half model of the cylinder was used in the numerical analysis to take advantage of symmetry condition. The yz-plane was the symmetric plane from Figure 10. Even with a half cylinder model, there are 20 elements around the half circumference and 45 elements in the axial direction with an element length of 5.625 inches. The element length represents the spacing between the shallow frame stiffeners.

The discrete model was subjected to an underwater shock with USA/DYNA3D programs with shot geometry shown on Figure 2. The major response of the cylinder to the shock is in the yz-plane. Since there was a boundary condition imposed on yz-plane, there is no global motion in the x-axis. As a result, only global deformation responses in the z-direction are compared in Figure 11 at discrete states of time. The plots are magnified by a factor of 50 for improved visual ease. At early time, the deformation appears symmetric except for slight pinching near endplates. The endplates behave similarly to the clamped edges on the flat plates in that a large deformation gradient forms around each endplate.

Another way to analyze the dynamic response is to plot the displacement, velocity and acceleration at desired points on the cylinder. A comparison of the axial displacement, velocity and acceleration will indicate the cylinder's accordion motion. Plots in the zdirection indicate the whipping motion of the cylinder. These time history plots will be presented later in this chapter to compare the discrete model's response to the simplified model's dynamic response.





Figure 11a,b,c. Deformation Results of Unstiffened ONR Cylinder Model



B. SOR BEAM MODEL

The SOR beam element formulation is based on approximating the shell's curvature with surfaces of revolution. This type of approximation has extensive applications for modeling containers, tanks, domes and has been done for a long period of time [Ref. 9]. A small displacement of a point on the surface of revolution can be resolved into two components. One of the components is in the direction tangent to the curvature of the surface about its SOR axis. The other component is in the direction of the normal to the beam surface. The displacement about the surfaces of revolution are estimated by applying a sine and cosine harmonic function for approximating the response on the surfaces of The harmonic function is then incorporated into the double asymptotic revolution. approximation procedure for estimating the fluid structure interaction in an infinite medium. As previously noted, Deruntz [Ref. 6] developed an application to USA codes that assigns a harmonic function to each of the SOR elements or branches. The harmonic function will describe the SOR fluid degrees of freedom and more closely predict the responses to the underwater explosive testing. During this study, the beam was modeled with separate sine and cosine functions, however it was proven that a more accurate numerical result was obtained when both sine and cosine function was used for modeling the beam with SOR The net result was that the unstiffened and stiffened cylinder could be effectively elements. modeled as a beam using the SOR element technique.

The SOR beam model was modeled with the same number of finite elements (45) that was used to model the discrete cylinder along its axis. The model is shown in Figure 12 and was subjected to explosive testing. The beam had the same material properties as the cylinder's shell and its cross-sectional area and moments of inertia were computed using the cylinder's dimensions. That is, the cross-section area of the SOR beam is

$$A = \frac{\pi}{4}(d_o^2 - d_i^2)$$

where d_0 and d_i are the outside and inside diameters, respectively. Similarly the second moment of inertia and polar moment of inertia of the cross-section are

$$I = \frac{\pi}{64} (d_o^4 - d_i^4)$$

and





The global deformation of the beam model was plotted for state times 0.5 msec to 3.0 msec and is shown in Figure 13. The plots are magnified by a factor of 50 for enhanced visual recognition. There is an appearance of some crumpling or wrinkling at a few peaks with an increase in time. The deformation gradient at the ends are not as distinct as with the cylinder, however the overall shape and curvature is in excellent agreement with the discrete model. The displacement, velocity, and acceleration time histories were also generated and will be discussed later in the chapter.

13a) State time of 0.5 msec

13b) State time of 1.0 msec

13c) State time of 1.5 msec

13d) State time of 2.0 msec

13e) State time of 2.5 msec

13f) State time of 3.0 msec

Figure 13a,b,c,d,e,f. Deformation Plots for SOR Beam Model with 50X magnification factor

25

C. INTEGRATED SOR BEAM/SHELL MODEL

A third study was undertaken to simplify the original unstiffened cylinder by integrating SOR beam elements with shell elements. This type of model would not only reduce the size of the discrete model but would now be sufficiently small enough to allow for additional internal structures to be added to the shell section of the beam/shell model. The same harmonic functions for the SOR elements were used and the shell elements were generated in the same manner as in the discrete model. The success of this model solely depended on developing the proper interface between SOR beam and shell elements that would produce accurate dynamic response to the explosions. The first consideration for the interface between both types of elements was using internal beams to connect SOR elements to shell elements. This consideration proved to be totally ineffective because there was entirely too much local deformation at the beam/shell interface.

The next type of interface explored was the use of a dry endplate with thickness equal to the thickness of the shell. More favorable dynamic responses were obtained, however there were sizeable differences in the axial motions of the model. This type of model is represented in Figure 14. The model is shown as a full model, even though a half model was used to reduce computational time and take advantage of symmetry conditions.

1. Variation of Material Properties for Beam/Shell Interface

A sensitivity study was undertaken by changing the material property of the dry endplate being used as the interface. Both the thickness of the plate and Young's modulus were adjusted in order to observe changes in the deformation, both axially and in the transverse or z-direction. The transverse or whipping motion of the model was not affected


Figure 14. Integrated SOR Beam/Shell Model with Dry Endplate Used as Interface

by large changes in the material properties for the endplate. The axially direction, however was affected by changes in either endplate thickness or Young's modulus.

It was noted that the dynamic responses were more sensitive to changes in endplate thickness. Only when Young's modulus was adjusted in a large amount, there was a noticeable change in the dynamic response of the entire model. After performing numerous numerical studies using the dry endplate, it was determined that the dry endplate produced favorable results for the modeling the integrated SOR beam/shell model. Furthermore, the endplate's material properties can be approximated by the following formula:

$$\frac{3* P* a^4}{4* E* t^3} \leq \delta$$

where:

P= max incident pressure(psi)
a= radius of plate
E= Young's modulus
t= thickness of plate
δ = tolerance of axial displacement for the endplate

The formula was simplified from the exact solution for the deflection of a circular plate loaded at the center [Ref. 9]. Results from application of the formula have produced an integrated model that has very similar whipping motion responses and slight differences in axial direction responses. The reasons for slight differences in axial direction responses can be attributed to approximating the shell with SOR elements. Although SOR elements produce good whipping motions, the axial motions are slightly off because the shell elements not only bend axially but also bend in the radial direction causing differences in the overall result. The SOR beam model did not adjust locally for the mass of the endplates which also causes differences in the axial direction. Locally smearing the properties of the endplates will be examined in the discussion on stiffened beam/shell models.

Figure 15 shows a comparison of the SOR/shell model with a 3/16" thick dry endplate. Figure 15a shows the model's global deformation at 0.5 msec after the shock has

hit the structure and before the interface endplate's material properties were adjusted. Figure 15b shows the global deformation after the endplate's material property was adjusted. Notice that the unadjusted model has a noticeable amount of axial deformation at the endplate as compared to the adjusted model which shows very little axial deformation at endplate. The tolerance for the adjusted model was set at .01 inch. Using a small tolerance ensures that there will be very little deflection of the dry endplate which improves the overall global deformation of the model. Also, the small tolerance ensures a smooth transition of deformation at the interface. There is some pinching of the shell caused by the rigidity of the dry endplate, however it will be seen later in this chapter that the overall global deformation and dynamic responses at the beam/shell interface are in good agreement with the discrete model. This type of model also provides for a smooth transition of the dynamic responses from beam to shell at the interface region.



15a) 3/16" Thick Endplate- No Adjustment to Material Properties 50 X Magnification Factor , state time of 0.5 msec



15b) 3/16" Thick Endplate, Material Property Adjusted, 50 X Magnification Factor, state time 0.5 msec



The beam/shell model with adjusted material properties provides very similar deformation results when compared to the discrete model, however there is one disadvantage to this type of modeling. Adjusting the elastic modulus causes a longer computation time due to the increased stiffness in each element. The time step size used in the explicit finite element computer program is computed by the following formula:

$$\Delta t = \frac{SL}{\sqrt{\frac{E}{\rho}}}$$

where:

S= safety factor (use number less than 1) L=length of smallest element in any direction E= Young's modulus ρ = material density

By selecting a larger Young's modulus, the overall time step is decreased which increased the overall computational time.

After formulating the proper way to integrate SOR beam/shell elements, a study was done to find a dry endplate that would produce accurate results but reduce the computational time. A thicker endplate was first examined since it would help reduce the ratio of Young's modulus to material density. The Young's modulus for the 1/2" thick endplate was calculated using the endplate formula. A 1/2" thick dry endplate was selected for the integrated SOR/shell model since this produced an adjusted Young' modulus of about 100 times less than the 3/16" thick endplate's Young's modulus. Both models were subjected to the same explosive testing with the charge location at the symmetric point on the shell. Figures 16-18 compare the axial displacements, velocities and accelerations between the 3/16" and 1/2" thick endplate models. There is exceptional agreement with all three plots, however there is a slight difference in magnitude and frequency which can be accounted for by different masses of the endplates. The 1/2" thick plate produced slightly larger displacements in the axially direction. Figures 19-21 compares the z-direction or whipping motion responses of the two models. There is very tight agreement between both models for the displacement, velocity, and acceleration. This clearly suggests that the estimation of the material property of the endplates is a very effective tool for integrating the SOR Beam/Shell model. Even though a thicker endplate was used the overall time step was (9.027×10^{-8}) which is 30 times less than the original time step of (2.5×10^{-6}) . Further optimization of this problem would produce a dry endplate of about 1.75" that would have a time step of about 80% of the original time step. Because DYNA3D code allows a material choice option for modeling a material as a rigid body, the time step problem can be eliminated. This material option will now be discussed.



Figure 16. Axial Displacement of Two Integrated SOR Beam/Shell Models Both With Material Property Adjustment to Endplates



Figure 17. Axial Velocity Response for Two Integrated SOR Beam/Shell Models



Figure 18. Axial Acceleration Response for Two Integrated SOR Beam/Shell Models



Figure 19. Whipping Displacement Response for Two Integrated Models



Figure 20. Whipping Velocity Response for Two Integrated Models



Figure 21. Whipping Acceleration Response for Two Integrated Models

The rigid body material option on DYNA3D is built into the computer code and only requires three material property inputs (E, ρ , ν). The thickness of the endplate was first selected at 3/16" and then varied to see how well this material option would predict the dynamic responses. It is recommended that the thickness of the endplate be very close to the thickness of the cylindrical shell or too much local deformation will occur at the interface area due to the increased mass distribution of the thicker endplate. The structure created with this material option will then behave as a rigid body dynamically when subjected to any type of loading. The SOR beam/shell model was redesigned using this material option and tested. Figure 22 shows the global deformation plots for the model using the rigid body material option. As with the previous modeling of the beam/shell interface, the rigid body model produces pinching at the endplate interface. Figure 23 shows a comparison plot between the adjusted 3/16" thick endplate model and the beam/shell model with the rigid body endplate at 0.5 msec. Both models were in excellent agreement with each other. The big advantage of using this material option is that the original values of Young's modulus and density can be used which in return does not affect the original time step. This significantly reduces the overall computational time of the model and makes it a viable choice for simplifying the cylindrical shell.







Figure 22b) State time of 1.0 msec



Figure 22c) State time of 1.5 msec









Figure 22e) State time of 2.5 msec



Figure 22f) State time of 3.0 msec





Figure 23a) Deformation Plot of 3/16" Thick Endplate for SOR Beam/shell Model

.



Figure 23b) Deformation Results of Rigid Body Endplate for SOR Beam/shell Model

Figure 23 a,b. Deformation Results for 3/16" Thick Endplate SOR Beam/shell Model Versus Rigid Body Endplate SOR Beam/shell Model of Unstiffened ONR Cylinder

D. COMPARISON OF DISCRETE TO SIMPLIFIED MODELS

This section will compare the results of the explosive testing on the discrete unstiffened model to those of the simplified SOR beam and integrated SOR beam/shell models. Comparisons will be done by plotting the displacement, velocity and acceleration in both the axial and transverse(z) directions for all three models at selected points. The zdirection or whipping motion response will be examined at the center point nearest to the charge. The axial or accordion motion responses will be monitored at the left endplate for all three models.

For the cylinder, the center point nearest to the charge (so-called side shell node) and at the top of the cylinder at the center (called top shell node) and center point away from charge (called back side node) could be selected for comparison. Because the cylinder has both global and local deformations at the three nodal points, the locations are expected to have different responses. Depending on which motion is dominant, the difference may be large or small. If the global response is dominant over the local response, the three points will have a similar response. Otherwise, the three points will have quite different responses. In the z-direction, the global response dominanted over the local response. This can be clearly seen in Figure 24 which compares the three shell nodal points to the same beam nodal point on the simplified SOR beam model. Notice how the responses are very similar and that the top and back side shell nodes have a slight delay before the deformation occurs. This is due to the shock wave progressing through the cylinder where it hits the front side node first due to its closer location to the charge. Except for the delay, the responses are quite similar in shape and magnitude. When comparing all three models from now on, only the front side shell node will be plotted.



Figure 24. Whipping Displacement Plot Showing Comparison of Shell Node Response for Unstiffened Shell versus SOR Beam Model

The axial displacement, velocity, and acceleration time history plots for the discrete and two simplified models are provided in Figures 25-27. The beam/shell model was modeled with the rigid body material option using a 3/16" thick endplate for more accurate results and a quicker computational time. There is however noticeable differences between all three models for all three responses. An explanation for the differences is that the SOR beam model will not have the same local nodal point response as the same point on the cylinder. The local response in the axial direction is more dominant than the global response. The beam/shell model and the beam model did not account locally for the mass of the endplate which causes some error in the axial direction. If the magnitude of the local responses are examined, there is about a 45% difference between shell and beam model displacements. Figure 28 shows the global deformation plot at 0.5 msec for all three models. The overall global deformation is in good agreement except for local deformation at the beam/shell interface.

Figures 29-31 provide the whipping responses for all three models at the location of the closest point to the charge. These plots show excellent agreement between all three models with the error less than 10%. The SOR approximation predicts responses better in the transverse direction than in the axial direction.



Figure 25. Axial Displacement for All Three Models



Figure 26. Axial Velocity for All Three Models



Figure 27. Axial Acceleration Plot for All Three Models







Z-Displacement for All Three ONR Models

Figure 29. Whipping Displacement for All Three Models



Figure 30. Whipping Velocity Plot for All Three Models



Figure 31. Whipping Accelearation Plot for All Three Models

And finally, Table 2 is provided to compare the size of the model with the overall computational time for each model. The SOR beam/shell model data is for the rigid body material option for modeling the beam/shell interface. Clearly, one must make a decision as to whether to use SOR beam elements or original shell elements. Clearly the accuracy lies in using shell elements, however if a section of the cylinder model requires isolation for detailed internal modeling, then the beam/shell model can be used to simplify the design and to reduce computational time. The next part of the study will examine modeling the ONR stiffnened cylinder.

	Unstiffened ONR	SOR Beam Model	Integrated
	Cylinder		Beam/shell Model
Number of	1140	47	534
Nodes			
Number of Wet	1080	45	360
Elements			
Computational	1968	6.44	742
Time (sec)			

Table 2. Comparison Data For all Three Models of Unstiffened ONR Cylinder

V. MODELING OF STIFFENED ONR CYLINDER

In this section the simplification of the ONR cylinder is investigated. The original ONR cylinder with stiffeners will be modeled first. Next, the stiffener smearing technique will be used to model the cylinder with orthotropic shell elements. The SOR beam model will be generated next and finally, the integrated SOR beam/shell model will be generated. The original material used for all four models is as specified in Table 1. Only the smearing values will be different. This section will conclude with a comparison of the displacement, velocity and acceleration responses for the models subjected to both a symmetric and off-center shock wave.

A. DISCRETE STIFFENED ONR CYLINDER

The three-dimensional model is shown in Figure 32. As with the unstiffened model, a full model is depicted even though a half model was analyzed due to symmetry conditions. Both the unstiffened and stiffened models contain 1080 wet shell elements, however with the stiffeners, the total amount of elements for the stiffened model is 2064. The term wet means exposed to the shock wave pressure. This type of model involves longer computational time to complete the computation of the response to the shock wave due to the increased number of wet elements. It is because of both the computational time and design effort that a simpler model is needed.

The stiffened or discretized model was subjected to both symmetric and off-center shock waves. As with the unstiffened model, there was no global deformation observed in



Figure 32. ONR Full Cylinder Model with Internal Stiffeners

the x-axis (see Figure 32). The global deformation responses are provided in Figure 33 at discrete states of time. The plots are again magnified at a scale factor of 50 for viewer enhancement. The deformation analysis indicates that there is a large local deformation gradient at the locations of the deep frames and endplates. The gradient smoothed out as the shock wave progresses through the cylinder and by 3 msec, there is very little pinching effect caused by the deep frames. Displacement, velocity, and acceleration plots will be presented later in this section.





Figure 33d) State time of 2.0 msec



Figure 33e) State time of 2.5 msec



Figure 33f) State time of 3.0 msec



B. ORTHOTROPICALLY SMEARED ONR CYLINDER MODEL

The first simplification model of the stiffened ONR cylinder investigated was the orthotropically smeared ONR cylinder. This type of simplification attempts to properly model the cylinder as one unit with homogenized properties. Once the effective or smeared properties are computed, the cylinder can be subjected to the underwater explosion and the results analyzed. The DYNA3D code allows the user to select an orthotropic elastic material. The material selection requires 9 properties in order to complete the material matrix. The six properties would represent the smeared properties of the original ONR cylinder. The first three properties were the Poisson's ratio for all three material axes. The material axis corresponds to the axis shown in Figure 1. All three of these values were assumed to be the same value of the original material or 0.3.

Next the values for the Young's modulus were developed. The value for smeared Young's modulus in the axial direction (y-direction) is simply the discrete value for the original material since ring stiffeners do not contribute much in the longitudinal direction. To determine the smeared elastic modulus values in the radial (x or z-direction) and tangential direction (x or z-direction), the assumption was made that the radial stiffness of the shell is significantly less important than the tangential stiffness. The tangential stiffness in other words directly affects the bending stiffness of the cylinder. This assumption is also stated by Timoshenko and Woinowsky-Kreiger [Ref. 9]. Therefore the smeared value in the tangential direction would be set equal to the radial direction smeared value ($E_{0'} = E_{r'}$). To calculate this smearing value for the radial and tangential direction, the 2-dimensional static smearing technique developed in [Ref. 9] was used. This smearing value would be

used in the three-dimensional representation of the stiffened ONR cylinder. The smearing value for both directions was computed by the following formula [Ref. 9] :

$$E' = E + \left[\frac{12(1-v^2)}{h^3}\right] \frac{E_s I_s}{a}$$

where:

 $\begin{array}{l} E' = E_{0'} = E_{r'} \\ E = \text{discrete or original Young's modulus for cylinder} \\ v = \text{Poisson's ratio} \\ h = \text{thickness of cylinder} \\ E_s = \text{Young's modulus for stiffeners} \\ I_s = \text{moment of inertia of the stiffener} \end{array}$

The remaining three values are for the elastic shear modulii for all three planes. The values for G_{xy} and G_{yz} were assumed equal to each other due to the same reasoning for the elastic modulus. The value for these two planes was found by using the following formula [Ref. 9]:

$$G'_{xy} = G'_{yz} = \frac{E}{2(1+v)}$$

where

E= elastic modulus of original cylinder v= Poisson's ratio for original cylinder

The value for the elastic shear modulus G_{xz} ' was found using the following expression [Ref.9]::

$$G_{xz}' = G + \frac{G_s}{2a}$$

where

G= original elastic shear modulus of cylinder G_s = shear modulus of stiffeners a= spacing between stiffeners

Besides ensuring that the proper stiffness smeared values are inserted into the smeared model, the effective mass distribution must also be accounted for. The effective mass distribution for the smeared model was calculated by using the formula:

$$\rho' = \frac{M}{V}$$

where:

 ρ' = smeared mass density value M = total mass including cylinder and stiffeners to be smeared V= volume of cylindrical shell without stiffeners

Due to the large thickness of the deep frame stiffener, the deep frames were discretely modeled in the smeared ONR cylinder model. The model is presented in Figure 34 with the axis as shown. Table 3 summarizes the inputs for the orthotropic model. This model was then subjected to the underwater explosive testing for both symmetric and off-center shot geometries. The overall global deformation plots for the symmetric shot geometry is provided in Figure 35 at a magnified scale factor of 50. There is slight pinching around deep frames at the earlier state times but the deformation gradient around each of the deep frames is less than the original discrete model. As will be seen in futures ection in this chapter, the overall dynamic reponses of this model are in close agreement with the discrete model.



Figure 34. Orthotropically Smeared Model of ONR Stiffened Cylinder

v(xz, yz, xy)	0.3	0.3	0.3
E (psi)	17.813 x 10 ⁸	same	2.9 x10 ⁶
(E_{xx}, E_{yy}, E_{zz})			
G(psi)	12.145 x 10 ⁶	11.154 x 10 ⁶	11.154 x 10 ⁶
(xz, yz, xy)			

 Table 3. Material Properties for Orthotropic Smeared ONR Cylinder

The use of the orthotropic smeared technique produces results similar to the study on the flat plate where local smearing was used around the clamped edges. In both cases, local smearing reduced the deformation gradient and produced effective global deformation results. One of the disadvantages of using the orthotropic smeared model is more lengthy computation times due to explicit time integration scheme. Although the model was reduced from 2064 nodes to 1124 nodes, the amount of wet elements remains the same. Since smearing also involves increasing the Young's modulus, the overall critical time step is decreased from the original isotriopic model's time step. These factors cause a very lengthy computational time.



Figure 35a) State time of 0.5 msec



Figure 35b) State time of 1.0 msec



Figure 35c) State time of 1.5 msec

Figure 35a,b,c. Deformation Results of Orthotropically Smeared ONR Cylinder Model



C. SOR BEAM MODEL

The SOR beam model for the stiffened ONR cylinder is developed in the same procedure as the unstiffened SOR beam model. However, the effective stiffness and mass distribution of the stiffened model must be incorporated into the SOR beam model. This will ensure that the beam model will have the equivalent fluid structure interaction as compared with the stiffened ONR cylinder. The same harmonic function will be used in computing the fluid mass matrix for the SOR beam model. Both symmetric and off-center shot geometry will be used for analyzing the model.

There are 45 SOR beam elements used to model the cylinder along its longitudinal direction. In order to obtain the equivalent stiffness, the cross-sectional areas and moments of inertia were adjusted. The cross-section area of the stiffened SOR beam model is:

$$A = \frac{\pi}{4}(d_o^2 - d_i^2)$$

where d_o and d_i are the outside and inside diameters respectively. This value is the same for both stiffened and unstiffened models. However, the second moment of inertia and polar moment of inertia of the stiffened cylinder differed from the unstiffened cylinder because the stiffeners were considered. The values were computed as follows:

$$I = \left[\frac{\pi}{4}(d_o^4 - d_i^4)\right]_{cylinder} + \left[\frac{\pi}{4}(d_o^4 - d_i^4)\right]_{deepframe} + \left[\frac{\pi}{4}(d_o^4 - d_i^4)\right]_{shallow frame}$$
J = 2 * I

The mass distribution value was the same as the orthotropically smeared mass value. Figure 36 shows the SOR beam model of the stiffened ONR cylinder. The model was subjected to shock wave and the deformation plots were made and shown in Figure 37. The deformation has a similar shape to the discrete model, however closer examination revealed that the deformation was in fact less than the discrete model. This was due to not taking into account the local effects of the deep frames and endplates. Since the mass distribution and cross-sectional properties of the model only accounted for the shallow frames , the model doe not properly show the local mass density and equivalent stiffness of the endplates and deep frames.









Figure 37a) State time of 0.5 msec

Figure 37b) State time of 1.0 msec

Figure 37c) State time of 1.5 msec

Figure 37a,b,c. Deformation Results of SOR Beam Model of ONR Cylinder Model

Figure 37d) State time of 2.0 msec

TITT

TTTT

TITI

Figure 37e) State time of 2.5 msec

Figure 37f) State time of 3.0 msec

Figure 37 d,e,f. Deformation Results for SOR Beam Model of ONR Cylinder (cont.)

To correct the mass distribution and equivalent stiffness of the SOR beam model, the mass density of the deep frames and endplates were inputted at their respective element location in the DYNA3D program. The cross sectional properties were also adjusted locally for the deep frames and endplates. Additionally, the element length for the deep frame section was reduced to two inches which corresponds with the original cylinder dimensions. This allowed for a more accurate local smearing of both mass density and crosssectional properties for the deep frames. The adjusted SOR Beam model now contains 49 elements and is shown in Figure 38. The global deformation plots are presented in Figure 39. The deformation plots show an improvement from the globally mass smeared 45 SOR beam element model. Figures 40-42 show the axial dynamic responses at the left endplate which support using local smearing of the mass density for deep frames and endplates. Detailed comparisons between discrete and SOR beam model will be presented later in this report. The computational time using the SOR beam model is approximately 1/20 of the discrete model's computational time.





Figure 38. SOR Beam Model With Local Mass Density Smearing



Figure 39d) State time of 2.0 msec

TTTT

Figure 39f) State time of 3.0 msec

Figure 39d,e,f. Deformation Results for SOR Beam Model With Local Mass Density Smearing of Deep Frames and Endplates (cont.)



Figure 40. Axial Displacement Response Comparing Local to Global Mass Density Smearing of SOR Beam Model



Figure 41. Axial Velocity Response Comparing Local to Global Mass Density Smearing of SOR Beam Model



Figure 42. Axial Acceleration Response Comparing Local to Global Mass Density Smearing of SOR Beam Model

D. INTEGRATED SOR BEAM/SHELL MODEL

The integrated SOR beam/shell model incorporates all simplification techniques discussed in this paper. The interface will be modeled using the rigid body material option and local smearing will be done for the beam elements to take into account the mass distribution of the deep frames and endplates. Since it was desired to examine the dynamic responses at one of the deep frames, a beam/shell model was produced with fewer shell elements as shown in Figure 43. The model contains a total of 450 shell elements and 29 SOR beam elements. The shell section has the shallow frames and deep frames discretely modeled to produce the fastest computational time. The beam section has the cross-section and second moment of inertia values adjusted to account for the shallow and deep frame stiffeners. This model will be tested with a charge located off-center as shown in Figure 44. The symmetric test on beam/shell model would have only produced a larger model and hence it was not tested.



Figure 43. SOR Beam/Shell Model of Stiffened ONR Cylinder



Figure 44. Offcenter Shot Geometry for Integrated Beam/shell Model

The global deformation plots are provided in Figure 45. The deformation plot shows local pinching at deep frames and endplates which is consistent with the discrete model. The rigid body model of the dry endplate produces larger local deformation at the endplate. The overall global deformation appears to be in good agreement with the other three models.

The computational time for the model was about 1/3 of the discrete model which clearly supports using this type of model. It is highly recommended to use as many SOR Beam elements as possible in the model design to reduce the computational time. Detailed analysis at various locations on the beam/shell model will be provided later in this chapter.





Figure 45f) State time of 3.0 msec

Figure 45d,e,f Deformation Results for Integrated SOR Beam/Shell Model (cont.)

E. COMPARISON OF DISCRETE TO SIMPLIFIED MODELS

This section will compare the dynamic responses between the fully discretized stiffened ONR cylinder to the simplified models. The analysis will compare both symmetric and off-center geometry for the location of the charge. The displacement, velocity, and acceleration responses will be displayed and discussed.

1. Comparison Using Symmetric Explosive Testing

The geometry for symmetric testing is the same as Figure 2. The aim of this testing was to simply compare the responses between the orthotropically smeared, beam, and discrete models of the stiffened ONR cylinder. The beam/shell model was not included since more detailed data was taken using off-center shot geometry. The results will aim to compare the responses at a deep frame location, endplates and at the shot location.

Figure 46 compares the overall global longitudinal deformation plots for all four models at state time 0.5 msec and at magnified scale factor of 50. All plots are taken at the same coordinate axis position. There is good agreement except for areas of local deformation at the endplates and deep frames. The deformation plots show that both the smeared stiffener technique and SOR beam element modeling are effective in producing similar global responses. However, it is recommended that use of large numbers of orthotropic shell elements should be avoided since longer computational times occur when using these types of elements due to the decreased critical time step.



Figure 46b) Orthotropically Smeared ONR Cylinder at 0.5 msec



Figure 46c) SOR Beam Model of ONR Cylinder at 0.5 msec

Figure 46a,b,c Deformation Results for Discrete vs. Simplified Models for ONR Stiffened Cylinder with Symmetric Underwater Explosion Testing Next, the axial displacement, velocity, and acceleration plots were made at the left and right endplate areas to check global motion at the ends. Figures 47-49 shows the displacement, acceleration of all four models at the left endplate. There is a shift in the frequency between the SOR beam and discrete model, however the beam's peak magnitude is very close to the shell's first oscillation peak magnitude. There is significantly less vibration and acceleration experienced in the beam model as compared to the shell model which is expected. The orthotropic model compares favorably to the discrete model in shape but is slightly off in magnitude.



Figure 47. Axial Displacement Comparison at Left Endplate



Figure 48. Axial Velocity Comparison At Left Endplate



Figure 49. Axial Acceleration Comparison at Left Endplate

Figure 50-52 shows the whipping responses for all three models at the shock point (nearest structure point from the charge). The orthotropic shell model and discrete model are very similar in magnitude and shape and have an average error of less than 15%. There is less velocity and acceleration in the SOR Beam model because the local smearing represents the average stiffness which produce fewer oscillations than the original model. The cylindrical models have radial deformation which produce more velocity and acceleration responses as the shock wave progresses through the shell.



Z-Direction Displacement for all Three ONR Models





Figure 51. Whipping Velocity Comparison at Shock Point of All Three Models



Figure 52. Whipping Acceleration Comparison at Shock Point For All Three Models

And finally, the dynamic responses are analyzed at a deep frame on the three models. This location is 75 inches from the center of the cylinder (see Figure 1). Only the whipping motion responses will be presented, however there was good agreement in the axial direction at the deep frame. There was reduced velocity and acceleration in axial direction which was consistent with the endplate responses. Figures 53-55 show the whipping responses at the deep frame. The results show excellent agreement between the discrete and SOR beam model with only slight differences in velocity and acceleartion for reasons previously noted.



Z-Direction Displacement for all Three ONR Models

Figure 53. Whipping Displacement Comparison at Deep Frame



Figure 54. Whipping Velocity Comparison at Deep Frame Location



Figure 55. Whipping Acceleration Comparison At Deep Frame

Overall, the dynamic responses can support using either SOR beam elements, or orthotropic shell elements. There are tradeoffs to be considered for choosing which technique to use. The orthotropic shell element produces more accurate responses than the SOR beam element, however the SOR beam element's computational time is about 20 times faster than an orthotropic shell elements. When only 49 elements are used for SOR beam model vice 1080 for the orthotropic shell model, there is a big reduction in computational time, but with the reduced accuracy. So both techniques should be considered for analyzing, then if time is the more important factor in the solution, use of SOR beam elements are recommended. Table 4 provides a comparison based on size of model and overall computational time for all three models subjected to symmetric shock testing.

	Stiffened ONR	SOR Beam Model	Orthotropic
	Cylinder		Smeared Model
Number of	2064	51	1224
Nodes			
Number of Wet	1080	49	1080
Elements			
Computational	2070	95	12200
Time (sec)			

Table 4. Comparison Data For all Three Models of Stiffened ONR Cylinder

2. Comparison Using Off-center Explosive Testing

This section will compare the dynamic responses between the fully discretized stiffened ONR cylinder to the SOR Beam and integrated beam/shell model for an off-center shot geometry. The ONR stiffened model was subjected to the underwater explosion at a location 25 inches to the right of the center of the cylinder (See Figures 1 &44). The SOR beam model was the same model used in the symmetric analysis but subjected to the off-center shock wave. Finally, the integrated beam/shell model was subjected to the same testing. The integrated beam/shell model used the rigid body material option for the endplate and locally smeared the mass distribution and cross-sectional properties of the deep frames and endplates. The orthotropic shell model was not considered due to its lengthy computational time.

Figure 56 is a global deformation plot of all three models at 0.5 msec. The models appear to be in good agreement except for some local pinching at the dry endplate on the integrated beam/shell model. There is however some difference in shape between the SOR beam model and discrete model which is mainly due to applying the average stiffness and mass distribution at the deep frames and endplates. This approximation produces similar results but will not exactly match the discrete model.

Since the main objective in this study was to simplify the original model, it is important to select an area on the shell section of the integrated beam/shell model and compare the responses between discrete and the simplified model. If the responses are in good agreement at this selected area, then further internal structures could be placed inside the shell section of the beam/shell model. Thus, only a small portion of the original model



would need to be modeled discretely, and the rest of the model could be modeled with SOR beam elements. The selected area for comparison purposes was the deep frames which is located 75 inches right of the center of the original cylinder and is discretely modeled inside the shell section of the beam/shell model. Both axial and whipping responses were analyzed. Figures 57-59 show the axial responses and Figure 60-62 show the whipping responses at the deep frame. Since the integrated beam/shell model used SOR beam elements for 60% of the longitudinal length of the original cylinder, slightly higher inaccuracies were experienced. The integrated beam/shell model responses are in closer agreement to the discrete model. The whipping responses are very similar in shape and only differ in magnitude due to the use of SOR beam elements. Table 5 is provided for comparing the size and computational time for all three models.

	Stiffened ONR	SOR Beam Model	Integrated
	Cylinder		Beam/shell Model
Number of	2064	51	942
Nodes			
Number of Wet	1080	49	479
Elements			
Computational	2070	95	719
Time (sec)			

Table 5. Combanson Data Por an Three Models of Suffered OMA Cyn	Table f	e 5 .	Comparison	Data Fo	or all	Three Models	of Stiffened	ONR	Cylind
---	---------	--------------	------------	---------	--------	---------------------	--------------	-----	--------



Figure 57. Axial Displacement Comparison At Deep Frame Location



Figure 58. Axial Velocity Comparison At Deep Frame Location



Figure 59. Axial Acceleration Comparison At Deep Frame Location









Figure 61. Whipping Motion Comparison At Deep Frame Location



Figure 62. Whipping Motion Comparison At Deep Frame Location

Overall, the responses favor using an integrated beam/shell model if additional internal structures require modeling. This model provides both quicker computational time and required accuracy of dynamic responses.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Simplified finite element modeling of a stiffened structure is an effective means by which both the design effort and the computational time can be reduced. When the discrete and simplified models are subjected to underwater explosions and closely examined, the results show that either smearing orthotropically or using the SOR beam element produces similar global results. When examining the two models at very discrete points, the results are similar but differ. The orthotropically smeared cylindrical model produces more accurate comparisons, but has a very lengthy computational time. There is more inaccuracy using SOR beam element modeling, however the computational time and design effort is significantly reduced.

Incorporating the advantages of both techniques into an integrated beam/shell model provides the best means of simplifying the discrete model. The results are more favorable and the computational time is still significantly reduced. The interface between the beam and shell section can be modeled as either a dry endplate with smeared properties or by using the rigid body material option within DYNA3D code. It is recommended that the rigid body material option be used since the critical time step is not changed when this material is selected for modeling the interface. The use of the beam/shell model allows further internal structures to be modeled without dramatically increasing both design effort and computational time for numerical solution. If a quick but less accurate solution is needed for a particular problem, then the SOR beam model is recommended. Since no physical experimental data was used in this study, it is highly recommended that a scaled down experimental model be built and tested against the numerical data collected in this study. The present numerical analysis involved using an explicit time integration scheme adopted in DYNA3D code. An additional comparison of the results could be accomplished by using an unconditionally stable implicit time integration technique with another finite element program.

LIST OF REFERENCES

- Fox, P.K., Shin, Y.S., Kwon, Y.W., "Nonlinear response of Cylindrical Shells to Underwater Explosion: Testing and Numerical Prediction Using VEC/DYNA3D," Report NPS-ME-92-002, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, Ca, March 1992.
- Chisum, J.E., "Response Prediction for Double Hull Cylinders Subjected to Underwater Shock Loading," Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, Ca, 1992.
- 3. Raftenberg, M.N., "Smearing In An Axisymmetric Finite Element Structural Analysis Application To The GCFR Steam Generator Cavity Closure Plug," *Nuclear Engineering and Design*, Vol. 40, pp. 215-224, 1977.
- 4. Pitarresi, J. M., Caletka, D.V., Caldwell, R., Smith, D.E., "The "Smeared" Property Technique for the FE Vibration Analysis of Printed Circuit Cards," *Journal of Electronic Packaging*, Vol. 113, pp. 250-257, September, 1991.
- Hallquist, J.O., and Stillman, D.W., "VEC/DYNA3D users Manual (Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Structures in Three Dimensions)." Livermore Software Technology Corporation Report 1018, 1990.
- 6. Deruntz, J.A. Jr., "The Underwater Shock Analysis Code and its Applications," Paper Presented at the 60th Shock and Vibration Symposium, Virginia Beach, Va., 1989.
- 7. Geers, T.L., "Residual Potential and Approximate Methods for Three-Dimensional Fluid-Structure Interaction Problem," *Journal of the Acoustic Society of America*, Vol. 49, pp. 1505-1510, 1971.
- Shin, Y.S., Geers, T.L. "Response of Marine Structures to Underwater Explosion," Course Notes For Underwater Shock Analysis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, Ca, 1993.
- 9. Timoshenko, S., Woinowsky-Kreiger, S., "Theory of Plate and Shells", McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, NY., 1959.

APPENDIX A

INPUT FILES FOR SOR BEAM MODEL

The following input files consist of ingrid which creates the geometric model for DYNA3D, flumas, augmat, and timint. The flumas, augmat and timint files provide input for the USA program. These files are for SOR Beam Model Only.

model.be	am													88]	large
3		51		0		4 9)	0		0			0		-
0	0	0	0	0											
		0		0	e	20.9	9				51				
1	0	0	0	0	0	Ć) 0	0	0	0		0	0	٥	٥
3 0068-	.03	1 000)E+03	1 0001	E-05			00	ດຮຼຼຸ∩ດັ	00	05+00	õ	v		0.0.0
0.0001	័	1.000	0,000	1.000.	1 05		۰ ۱		00+00	.00	00+00	~	•		06400
ě	Š			U	+		5 0		U		U	U	0	0	
0	0	.000	JE+00												
0	0														
1	19	0.5710)E-04	0	. 0	.000)0E+00	0	.000	DE+00	.000	0E+00	1	0	2
material	. ty	∕pe #	1 (6	elasti	2)										
2.900E+	-07	.000	DE+00	. 0001	E+00	.00	00E+00	. 00	0E+00	.00	0E+00	.000	E+00	.000	0E+00
3.000E-	01	.000	DE+00	. 0001	E+00	. 00	00E+00	. 00	0E+00	.00	0E+00	.000	E+00	.00	0E+00
.000E+	-00	.000)E+00	. 0001	E+00	.00	00E+00	. 00	0E+00	.00	0E+00	.000	E+00	.004	0E+00
.000E+	-00	.000	DE+00	.0001	E+00	.00	00E+00	. 00	0E+00	.00	0E+00	. 000	E+00	.00	0E+00
.000E+	00	.000	DE+00	. 0001	E+00	. 00	00E+00	. 00	0E+00	.00	0E+00	.000	E+00	.00/	0E+00
.000E+	-00	.000	DE+00	. 0001	E+00	. 00	00E+00	. 00	0E+00	.00	0E + 00	000	E+00	0.00	0E+00
section	ז כד	opert	ies												
2000200			 0												
1 97054	01	4 547	15.02	4 5411	E+02	0 00	225.02	1 07	05.01	0.0	00.00				
1.97054	101	7.54		4.341	5+U3	9.00	000.00	1.97	00+01		00+00	00.00	-	~	2
	70		1 /	.]	-, 0	.000	105+00	0	. 0000	JE+00	.000	02+00	T	0	2
material	. []	pe #	T (6	elasti											
2.900E+	-07	.000	JE+00	.000	E+00	. 00	JOE+00	. 00	0E+00	.00	0E+00	.000	E+00	.00	0E+00
3.000E-	01	.000	DE+00	.000	E+00	.00	JOE+00	.00	0E+00	.00	0E+00	.000)E+00	.00	0E+00
.000E+	-00	- 0,00)E+00	. 0001	E+00	.00	00E+00	. 00	0E+00	.00	0E+00	.000	E+00	.00	0E+00
.000E+	.00	.000	DE+00	.0001	E+00	.00	00E+00	.00	0E+00	.00	0E+00	.000	E+00	.00	0E+00
.000E+	-00	.000)E+00	.0001	E+00	.00	00E+00	. 00	0E+00	.00	0E+00	.000	E+00	.00	0E+00
.000E+	-00	.000)E+00	.000	E+00	.00	00E+00	. 00	0E+00	.00	0E+00	.000	E+00	.00	0E+00
section	n pr	copert	cies												
	-	-	0												
3.970E+	-02	3.614	1E+03	3.614	E+03	7.22	27E+03	3.97	0E+02	.00	0E+00				
· 3	11	.0473	3E-03	0	0	. 000	00E+00	0	. 000	0E+00	000	0E+00	1	0	2
material	- t 1	me #	1 (elasti	~) ⁻			•					-	•	-
2 900E+	.07	ົ້ດດຶ		000	E+00	00	10E+00	0.0	05+00	0.0	05+00	000	E+00	00	05+00
2.0008-	.01		12+00			- 00	10E+00	.00	05+00	.00	05+00		E+00	.00	05+00
0000	00				0,00	.00					00+00	.000	E+00		05+00
.00064	000			.0000	G+00	.00		.00	00+00	.00	00+00	.000		.00	00+00
.00064	00	.000		.000		.00		.00	00+20	.00	02+00	.000	12+00	.00	02+00
.000E4	-00	.000	06+00	.000	E+00	.00	105+00	.00	0E+00	.00	05+00	.000	E+00	.00	06+00
.000E+	00	.000	DE+00	.000	E+00	.00	00E+00	.00	0E+00	.00	0E+00	.000)E+00	.00	0E+00
section	р	copert	ties												
			0												
8.880E+	-02	6.27	5E+04	6.275	E+04	1.25	55E+05	8.88	0E+02	.00	0E+00				
1	-	0.	.0000	000000	000E-	+00	.1265	62500	0000E	+03	.0000	000000	000E+	-00	0 [.] .
2	2	0.	.0000	000000	000E-	+00	.1209	37500	0000E	+03	.0000	000000	000E+	00	ο.
3	3	0.	.0000	000000	000E-	+00	.1153	12500	0000E	+03	.0000	000000	000E+	-00	Ο.
4	L	0.	.0000	000000	000E-	+00	.1096	87500	0000E	+03	.0000	000000	000E+	-00	0.
5	;	0.	.0000	000000	000E-	+00	.1040	62500	0000E	+03	.0000	000000	000E4	-00	0.
. 6	5	0.	.0000	000000	000E-	+00	.9843	75000	0000E	+02	0000	000000	000E4	-00	0.
-	,	õ	0000	000000	000E	+00	9281	25000	OOOOE.	+02	0000	000000	000E-	-00	ő.
ģ	2	0	0000	000000	DOOE.	100	8718	75000	00005	+02	0000	000000	0005-	<u>. 00</u>	ñ.
	, ,	<u> </u>	0000	000000	0000	+00	9156	25000	00000	102	.0000	000000	000024	00	ů.
10	, `	<u>~</u>	00000	000000	0005	.00	.0130	25000	00000	+02	-0000	000000	1000024	00	ů.
10	,	. .		000000	0005	-00	. 1193	75000	00005	+02		000000			ÿ.
11		0.			0002	+00	. /593	25000	OUUUE	+02	.0000	000000		.00	0.
12	-	0.	.0000		OUDE	+00	. /031	25000	OUDUE	+02	.0000	000000	1000E1	.00	0.
13	5	U .	.0000		OUDE	+00	.6468	75000	OUUUE	+02	.0000	000000	JUUUEI	-00	0.
14	ł	υ.	.0000	000000	UUUE	+00	.5906	25000	0000E	+02	.0000	000000	100084	-00	0.
15	5	0.	.0000	000000	000E	+00	.5343	75000	0000E	+02	.0000	000000	000E-	~00	0.
16	5	Ο.	.0000	000000	000E	+00	.4781	25000	0000E	+02	.0000	000000	000E+	-00	0.
17	7	0.	.0000	000000	000E	+00	.4218	75000	0000E	+02	.0000	000000)000E+	⊦ 00	0.
18	3	0.	.0000	000000	000E	+00	.3656	25000	0000E	+02	.0000	000000	000E-	F 00	Ο.

	19	Ο.	.00000000	00000E+00	.30	9375000	0000E+	02	.000000000000E+00	0
	20	Ο.	.00000000	00000E+00	27	3125000	0000E+	02	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	ŏ
	21	Ô	00000000	00000E+00	25	3125000	00005+	02	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	~
	22	õ.		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	. 20	5125000		02	.00000000000002+00	0.
	22	0.	.00000000		. 19	6675000	10000E+	02	.0000000000000E+00	0
	23	0.	.000000000	00000E+00	. 14	0625000	0000E+	02	.000000000000E+00	0.
	24	Ο.	.00000000	00000E+00	.08	4375000	0000E+	02	.0000000000000E+00	0
	25	0.	.00000000	00000E+00	.02	8125000	0000E+	02	.000000000000E+00	0.
	26	Ο.	.00000000	00000E+00	02	8125000	0000E+	02	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0
	27	0	00000000	000005+00	- 08	4275000	000054	02	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	õ
	20	ŏ.		000000000000000000000000000000000000000		4375000		02 02	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	20	0.	.000000000	000002+00	14	0625000	00000E+	02	.00000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.
	29	Ο.	.00000000	00000E+00	19	6875000	00000E+	02	.0000000000000E+00	0
	30	Ο.	.00000000	00000E+00	23	3125000)0000E+	02	.000000000000E+00	0
	31	Ο.	.00000000	00000E+00	25	3125000	0000E+	02	.0000000000000E+00	0
	32	0.	.00000000	00000E+00	30	9375000	0000E+	02	.0000000000000E+00	0
	33	0	00000000	000005+00	- 36	5625000	0000E+	02	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	ò
	57	÷.		000000000000000000000000000000000000000		1075000		22	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Ň
	34	0.	.000000000		42	18/5000		02	.00000000000000000000000000000000000000	0
	35	Ο.	.000000000	00000E+00	47	8125000	0000E+	02	.000000000000E+00	0
	36	Ο.	.00000000	00000E+00	53	4375000	0000E+	02	.0000000000000E+00	0
	37	Ο.	.00000000	00000E+00	59	0625000	0000E+	02	.0000000000000E+00	0
	38	Ο.	.00000000	00000E+00	64	6875000	0000E+	02	.00000000000000E+00	0
	39	0	00000000	00000E+00	- 70	3125000	0000E+	02	0000000000000E+00	0
	10	<u>~</u> .			. / 0	0275000		02	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	ŏ
	40	0.	.000000000		/3	9375000		02	.0000000000000E+00	
	41	0.	.000000000	00000E+00	75	9375000	0000E+	02	.000000000000E+00	0
	42	0.	.000000000	00000E+00	81	5625000	00000E+	02	.0000000000000E+00	0
	43	Ο.	.00000000	00000E+00	87	1875000)0000E+	02	.0000000000000E+00	0
	44	Ο.	.000000000	00000E+00	92	8125000	0000E+	02	.00000000000000E+00	0
	45	0	.000000000	00000E+00	98	4375000	0000E+	02	.0000000000000E+00	0
	16	Å.	000000000	000005+00	- 10	4062500	000054	03	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0
		ÿ.			. 10	002500		02	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	ň
	4/	0.	.000000000		10	968/500		03	_0000000000000E+00	0
	A U	n –	- $ -$	$nnnne \pm nn$	- 11		10000084	0.4		Ų
	40	0.	.00000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		2212200		00		
	48	0.	.000000000	00000E+00	12	0937500	00000E+	03	.00000000000000000E+00	0
	49 50	0. 0.	.000000000	00000E+00 00000E+00	12	0937500 6562506	00000E+ 50000E+	03 03	.0000000000000E+00 .000000000000E+00	0 0
	48 49 50 51	0. 0. 7.	.000000000	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+00	12 12 .12	0937500 6562506 6562500	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 7
*	48 49 50 51	0. 0. 7.	.00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+00	12 12 .12	0937500 6562500 6562500	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+	03 03 03	.000000000000E+00 .000000000000000000000	0 0 7
* -	49 50 51	0. 0. 7.	.000000000 .000000000 .100000000	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02	12 12 .12	0937500 6562500 6562500	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 7
* -	40 49 50 51	0. 0. 7.	.000000000 .000000000 .100000000	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS	12 12 .12 FOR B	0937500 6562500 6562500 EAM ELE	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 7
* *-	40 49 50 51	0. 0. 7.	.000000000 .000000000 .100000000	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS	12 12 .12 FOR B	0937500 6562506 6562500 EAM ELE	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ 00000E+	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 7
* *-	40 49 50 51 	0. 0. 7. 3	.00000000 .00000000 .100000000	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2	12 12 .12 FOR B	0937500 6562500 6562500 EAM ELE	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 7
* *- *	40 49 50 51 	0. 0. 7. 3 1	.000000000 .00000000 .100000000 ELEMEI 1 2	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3	12 12 .12 FOR B 51 51	0937500 6562500 6562500 EAM ELE	000000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 7
* *- *	48 49 50 51 1 2 3	0. 0. 7. 7. 3 1	.00000000 .00000000 .100000000 ELEMEI 1 2 3	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4	12 12 .12 FOR B 51 51	0937500 6562506 6562500 EAM ELE	000000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 7
* -	40 49 50 51 1 2 3 4	0. 0. 7. 3 1 1	.00000000 .00000000 .100000000 ELEMEJ 1 2 3 .4	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5	12 12 .12 FOR B 51 51 51	0937500 6562506 6562500 EAM ELE	200000E+ 50000E+ 20000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 7
* - *	49 50 51 	0. 0. 7. 3 1 1 1	.000000000 .000000000 .100000000 ELEMEI 1 2 3 4 5	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6	12 12 .12 FOR B 51 51 51 51 51	0937500 6562500 6562500 EAM ELE	000002+ 500002+ 000002+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 7
* *-	49 50 51 1 2 3 4 5	0. 0. 7. 3 1 1 1	.000000000 .000000000 .100000000 ELEMEI 1 2 3 4 5 6	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7	12 12 .12 FOR B 51 51 51 51 51	0937500 6562506 6562500 EAM ELE	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 7
* - *	49 50 51 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	0. 0. 7. 3 1 1 1 1	.000000000 .000000000 .100000000 ELEMEJ 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7	12 12 .12 FOR B 51 51 51 51 51	0937500 6562500 EAM ELE	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	007
* - *	49 50 51 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	0. 0. 7. 3 1 1 1 1 1	.000000000 .000000000 .100000000 ELEMEI 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8	12 12 12 12 FOR B S1 S1 S1 S1 S1 S1	0937500 6562506 6562500 EAM ELE	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	007
* - *	49 50 51 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	0. 0. 7. 3 1 1 1 1 1 1	.00000000 .00000000 .10000000 ELEMEI 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	12 12 .12 FOR B 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	5512500 0937500 6562500 EAM ELE	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	007
* - *	48 49 50 51 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	0. 0. 7. 3 1 1 1 1 1 1	.000000000 .000000000 .100000000 ELEMEJ 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	12 12 .12 FOR B 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	5512500 0937500 6562500 6562500 EAM ELE	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	007
* * *	49 50 51 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	0. 0. 7. 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	.000000000 .00000000000000000000000000	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	12 12 .12 FOR E 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	0937500 6562506 6562500 EAM ELE	000002+ 500002+ 000002+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0077
* *- *	49 50 51 	0. 0. 7. 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.00000000 .00000000 .10000000 ELEMEI 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	12 12 .12 FOR B 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	6562500 EAM ELF	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	007
* - *	49 50 51 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	0. 0. 7. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.000000000 .000000000 .100000000 ELEMEJ 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	12 12 .12 FOR B 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	6562500 6562500 6562500	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03 	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0077
* - *	49 50 51 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	0. 0. 7. 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.000000000 .000000000 .100000000 ELEMEI 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	12 12 .12 FOR B 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	6562500 6562500 6562500	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0077
* *- *	49 50 51 	0. 0. 7. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.00000000 .00000000 .10000000 ELEMEI 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	12 12 12 FOR B 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	5312500 937500 6562506 6562500 EAM ELE	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0077
* *-	49 50 51 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	0. 7. 7. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.000000000 .000000000 .100000000 ELEMEJ 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	12 12 12 FOR E 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	6562500 6562500 6562500 EAM ELF	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0077
* *- *	49 50 51 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	0. 0. 7. 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.00000000 .00000000 .10000000 ELEMEJ 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16	112 12 12 FOR B 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	0937500 6562506 6562500 EAM ELE	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0077
* * - *	49 50 51 	0. 0. 7. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.00000000 .00000000 .10000000 ELEMEI 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	FOR E 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	6562500 6562500 EAM ELE	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0077
* -*	49 50 51 	0. 0. 7. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.00000000 .00000000 .100000000 ELEMEJ 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	112 12 12 FOR E 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	6562500 6562500 EAM ELF	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0077
* - *	49 50 51 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	0. 0. 7. 3 1	.00000000 .00000000 .10000000 ELEMEJ 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	112 12 12 FOR B 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	6562500 6562500 EAM ELF	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0077
* - *	49 50 51 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	3 1	.00000000 .00000000 .10000000 ELEMEI 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	112 12 12 FOR B 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	6562500 6562500 EAM ELE	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0077
* *- *	49 50 51 	0. 0. 7. 3 1 <	.000000000 .000000000 .100000000 ELEMEJ 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	112 12 12 FOR E 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	6562500 6562500 EAM ELF	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0077
* *- *	49 50 51 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20	0. 0. 7. 3 1	.00000000 .00000000 .10000000 ELEMEJ 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FOR B 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	6562500 6562500 6562500	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	007
* -*	49 50 51 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	3 1 <t< td=""><td>.00000000 .00000000 .10000000 ELEMEI 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21</td><td>00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22</td><td>FOR E 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51</td><td>6562500 6562500 EAM ELE</td><td>00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS</td><td>03 03 03</td><td>.0000000000000000000000000000000000000</td><td>0077</td></t<>	.00000000 .00000000 .10000000 ELEMEI 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	FOR E 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	6562500 6562500 EAM ELE	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0077
* * *	49 50 51 	0. 0. 7. 3 1 <	.000000000 .000000000 .100000000 ELEMEJ 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	112 12 12 FOR E 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	6562500 6562500 EAM ELF	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0077
* -*	49 50 51 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	0. 0. 7. 3 1 <	.000000000 .000000000 .100000000 ELEMEJ 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	FOR B 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	6562500 6562500 6562500 EAM ELF	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0077
* *-	49 50 51 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	3 1	.00000000 .00000000 .10000000 ELEMEI 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	00000E+00 00000E+00 00000E+02 NT CARDS 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	FOR E 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	6562500 6562500 EAM ELE	00000E+ 50000E+ 00000E+ EMENTS	03 03 03	.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0077
FLUMAS DATA FOR MODEL BEAMONR										
---------------------------------------	----------	---------	---------	----------						
beam.flu beam.geo strnam.dat beam.daa	\$ FLUNA	M GEONA	M GRDNA	M DAANAM						
TTTT Ş	PRTGMT	PRTTRN	PRTAMF	CALCAM						
TFFF \$	EIGMAF	TWODIM	HAFMOD	QUAMOD						
FFF.T \$	PCHCDS	NASTAM	STOMAS	STOINV						
FFFF, \$	FRWTFL	FRWIGE	FRWTGR	FRESUR						
FTFF \$	RENUMB	STOGMT	ROTGEO	ROTQUA						
FFFF \$	PRTCOE	STRMAS	SPHERE	ROTSYM						
FFFF \$	OCTMOD	CAVFLU	FRWTFV	INTCAV						
DYNA \$	MAINKY									
05100 \$	NSTRC	NSTRF	NGEN	NGENF						
100 \$	NBRA	NCYL	NCAV							
0 1 1 2 0 \$	NHAS	NHAF	NHAI	NFUN						
51 \$	NSEG									
0.\$	NCIR									
9.356E-05 57600.0 \$	RHO	CEE								
5 \$	NVEC									
1 50 16.8 16.8 3 \$	N1	N2	R1 R2	NSET						
1 1 0. 16.8 1										
1 50 16.8 16.8 49										
50 50 16.8 0. 1										

	25	1	25	26	51			
	26	1	26	27	51			
	27	1	27	28	51			
	28	1	28	29	51			
	29	1	29	30	51			
	30	2	30	31	51			
	31	1	31	32	51			
	32	1	32	33	51			
	33	1	33	34	51			
	34	1	34	35	51			
	35	1	35	36	51			
	36	1	36	37	51			
	37	1	37	38	51			
	38	1	38	39	51			
	39	1	39	40	51			
	40	2	40	41	51			
	41	1	41	42	51			
	42	1	42	43	51			
	43	1	43	44	51			
	44	1	44	45	51			
	45	1	45	46	51			
	46	1	46	47	51			
	47	1	47	48	51			
	48	1	48	49	51			
	49	3	49	50	51			
*								
*-				LOAD CI	JRVE DEFI	NITIONS	 	 *
*								
		2 000						
1		2.000	15-06					
Ŧ		2.000						

AUGMAT DATA FOR MODEL.BEAMONR strnam.dat beam.daa beam.geo beam.pre F F F F F T F T F F F T S F F F F F F F F S F F F F S F F F F S F F F F	\$ STRNAM FLUNAM GEONAM PRENAM \$ FRWTGE FRWTST FRWTFL PLNWAV FLUSKY DAAFRM SYMCON DOFTAB PRTGMT PRTTRN PRTSTF PRTAUG MODTRN STRLCL INTWAT CFADYN
DYNA \$ 0.0 \$ 51 153 3 3	MAINKY NTYPDA

raddump	POI	NODRI	DELMOND		
TIME INTEGRATION DATA	FOI	K MODEL	BEAMONR		
beam.pre beam.pos	Ş	PRENAM	POSNAM		
beam.rst	\$	RESNAM			
FFF	Ş	BUBPUL	REFSEC	FLUMEN	
TFFF	Ş	EXPWAV	SPLINE	VARLIN	PACKET
FTFF	Ś	HYPERB	EXPLOS	DOUBDC	VELTMP
1	Ś	NCHARG			
0.0	\$	HYDPRE			
0.0 -25.3125 326.4	\$	XC YC	zc		
0.0 -25.3125 16.813	\$	SX SY	SZ		
101	\$	JPHIST			
1.0	\$	PNORM			
14.0E-06	\$	DTHIST	`		
1	Ś	CHGTYP			
60.0 25.8 10.0	\$	WEIGHT	SLANT	CHGDEP	
1 0	\$	NTINT	NCHGAL		
0.0 2.00E-06	Ś	STRTIM	DELTIM		
5000 5000	Ś	NSAVER	NRESET		
0 0 0 0	Ś	LOCREG	LOCRES	IOCWDT	NGTART
2 2 2	č	FORMET	STEDAO	~~~~~~~~	
r i	ž	DICDIN	JI DUAL		
E	÷	DIGLTW			•

APPENDIX B

INPUT FILES FOR SOR BEAM/SHELL MODEL

The following input files are for the integrated SOR Beam/shell model.

bsmodel.ha	alf								88 larg	e
9	942		0	32	860	0		0	,	-
0 (0	0	0							
	0		0 e	20.9		450 3	3			
2 (450	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 O	0	0	0
3.000E-0	3 1.000E	+03	1.000E-05		.000E+00	.000E+0	0 O		.000E+0	0
0 () 0	0	0 2	0 0	1 0		0 O	0	0 ·	
0 (.000E	+00								
0 () 0									
1 .	37.356 0 E	-04	0 0	.0000E+00	0 .000	0E+00 .00	00E+00	2	0	0
material t	:ype # 3	(e	elastic-pla	stic)						
2.900E+0	7 .000E	+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	000E+	00	.000E+0	0
3.000E-0	.000E	+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0 .000E+	00	.000E+0	0
2.000E+10	.000E	+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0 .000E+	00	.000E+0	0
5.020E+04	.000E	+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0 .000E+	00	.000E+0	0
.000E+00	.000E	+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0.000E+	00	.000E+0	00
.000E+00	.000E	+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0 .000E+	00	.000E+0	00
section p	properti	es								
		5								
1.880E-0	1.880E	-01	1.880E-01	1.880E-01	.000E+00					
2	17.3560E	-04	0 0	.0000E+00	0 .000	0E+00 .00	00E+00	1	0	2
material 1	:ype # 1	. (e	elastic)							
2.900E+0	7.000E	+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0 .000E+	00	.000E+0	00
3.000E-0	1 .000E	+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0 .000E+	00	.000E+0	00
.000E+0	.000E	+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0 .000E+	00	.000E+0	00
.000E+0	.000E	+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0 .000E+	00	.000E+0	20
.000E+0	0.000E	+00.	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0 .000E+	00	.000E+0	0 C
.000E+0	.000E	+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0 .000E+	00	.000E+0	20
section	properti	es								
		0								
1.970E+0	1 5.402E	+03	5.402E+03	1.081E+04	1.970E+01	.000E+0	0			
3 2	09.5710E	-04	0 0	.0000E+00	0.000	0E+00'.00	00E+00	2	0	C
material	type # 2	0 ((rigid body	()						
2.900E+0	7 .000E	:+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0 .000E-	-00	.000E+	00
3.000E-0	1 .000E	+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0 .000E4	-00	.000E+0	00
.000E+0	0.000E	+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0 .000E-	-00	.000E+	00
.000E+0	0.000E	+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0 .000E-	-00	.000E+	00
.000E+0	0 .000E	:+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0 .000E-	00ء	.000E+0	00
.000E+0	0.000E	+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0 .000E-	۰04	.000E+	00
section	properti	es								
	-	5								
1.880E-0	1 1.880E	2-01	1.880E-01	1.880E-01	.000E+00					
4	37.356 0E	2-04	0 0	.0000E+00	0 .000	0E+00 .00	00E+00	2	0	0
material	type # 3	; (e	elastic-pla	astic)						
2.900E+0	7 .000E	C+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+C	000E-	00ء	.000E+	00
3.000E-0	1 .000E	C+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+S	000E-	۰00	.000E+	00
2.000E+0	5 .000E	2+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+C	000E-	+00	.000E+	00
5.100E+0	4 .000E	00+3	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+C	000E-	+00	.000E+	00
.000E+0	0 .000E	C+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+C	000E-	+00	.000E+	00
.000E+0	0 .000E	C+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+C	00 0E	+00	.000E+	00
section	properti	es								
		5								
2.500E+0	0 2.500E	E+00	2.500E+00	2.500E+00	.000E+00	1			_	
5	37.3560E	E-04	0 0	.0000E+00	0.000	0E+00 .00	000E+00	2	0	0
material	type # 3	3 (4	elastic-pla	astic)						
2.900E+0	7 .000E	E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	0006 00	+00	.000E+	-00
3.000E-0	1 .000E	2+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	000E 00	+00	.000E+	-00
2.000E+0	5 .000E	E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	000e. 00	+00	.000E+	-00
5.100E+0	4 .0001	E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00) .000E+0	000E	+00	.000E+	-00
.000E+0	0.0001	2+00	000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+0	000. 00	+00	.000E+	F00

.000E+00 section pr	.000E+00 roperties	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00
	5						
3.250E+00	3.250E+00	3.250E+00	3.250E+00	.000E+00			
b 3/	/.3560E-04		.0000E+00	0.000	0E+00 .0000)E+00 2	00
material Ly		elastic-pla	ISTIC)	0000.00			
2.9000407	.0002+00	-000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00
3.0002-01	.00000+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	-000E+00	.000E+00
2.00000+05	.00000000	.0000+00	.000E+00	-000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00
5.100E+04	.000E+00	.000E+00	.0002+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00
.0002+00	.00000+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00
.0002+00	.0006400	.0002+00	.000E+00	,0006+00	.000E+00	.0006+00	.000E+00
section pr	opercies						
2 0005.00	2 0005.00	2 0005.00	2 0005.00	0005.00			
2.0002+00	2.000E+00	2.000E+00	2.000E+00	.0006400		00 0	0 0
natorial fi			.0000£+00	0.0001	UE+00 .0000	JE+00 2	0 0
aceriai cy		erastic-pre		0005.00	0000.00	0005.00	0000.00
2.900000	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.0005+00	.000E+00
3.0002-01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.0002+00	.00000+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.0005+00
2.0002+05	.000E+00	.0002+00	.000E+00	.00000+00	.000E+00	.0002+00	.000E+00
5.100E+04	.000E+00	.0002+00	.0002400	.000E+00	.00000+00	.0006+00	.000E+00
.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00
.0002400	.0006400	.000E+00	.000E+00	.0005+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000£+00
section pr	copercies						
2 500E-01	2 5005-01	2 500F-01	2 500F-01	0005+00			
8 18	3.2692E-04	0 0	0000E+00	0 .000	0E+00 .0000	DE+00 1	0 2
material ry	me # 1 (4	elastic)					• •
2.900E+07	000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	000E+00	.000E+00	000E+00	.000E+00
3.000E-01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00
.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	000E+00	.000E+00
000E+00	000E+00	.000E+00	000E+00	000E+00	000E+00	000E+00	.000E+00
000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	000E+00	000E+00	000E+00	000E+00	.000E+00
.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00
section pr	operties						
F-	0						
3.970E+02	3.614E+03	3.614E+03	7.227E+03	3.970E+02	.000E+00		
9 11	L.0470E-03	0 0	.0000E+00	0 .000	0E+00 .0000	DE+00 1	0 2
material ty	/pe #1 (e	elastic)					
2.900E+07	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00
3.000E-01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00
.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00
.00 0 E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00
.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00
.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00	.000E+00
section pr	roperties						
	0	<					
8.8805+02	6.2/5E+04	6.2/5E+04	1.2555+05	8.880E+02	.00000000		.07 5
1	10000		0112656	25000000E	+031681	2001035035	+02 5.
2	02030	T373T3203E	-01 - 12656	525000000E	+0310000	000002231E	+02 0.
د ۸	0 7233	9431532035.	LOI - 12656	25000000	+03 - 12330 100 - 12330	1496406665	+02 0
יי ג	0 9882	4348449710	-01 - 12656	525000000E	+03 - 1360	2005958565	+02 0.
š	01188	8587951665	+02 - 12656	25000000E	+03 - 1188	858795166E	+02 0.
7	1 .0000	0000000000	+00 - 1209	375000000E	+03 - 1681	300163269E	+02 5.
, R	0 2630	1331520085	+01 - 1209	375000000	+03 - 16601	600852966E	+02 0.
9	0 5195	5041885395	-1209	275000000E	+03 - 15000	11707306E	+02 0.
10	0 7632	9421997075	+01 - 1209	375000000F	+03 - 1498	049640656E	+02 0.
11	0 9882	4348449715.	+01 - 1209	375000000E	+0313603	200691223E	+02 0.
12	01188	858795166E	+021209	375000000E	+031188	858795166E	+02 0.

	913	^	1250072	0574465.00			
	515		.1259073	25/4466+02	1153125000000E+03	9147703170776E+01	0
	914	υ.	.1386673	641205E+02	1153125000000E+03	- 7065455436707E.01	Š
	915	Ο.	.1480129	528046E+02	- 115312500000000.00	48082222117002	0
	916	ò	1527120	7010478.00	11331230000000000000	4809232/11/92E+01	0
	510		.133/139	7010436+02	1153125000000E+03	2434590816498E+01	0
	917	0.	.1556300	067902E+02	1153125000000E+03	.000000000000E+00	ñ
	918	Ο.	.1537139	511108E+02	- 11521250000000.02		2
	010	~	1400120	122000102	.1153125000000E+03	.2434589624405E+01	0
	313	υ.	.14801294	4326785+02	1153125000000E+03	.4809231758118E+01	0
	920	Ο.	.1386673	541205E+02	1153125000000E+03	70654549598598+01	0
	921	0.	1259073	352814E+02	- 13521250000000.02		
	010				1133125000000E+03	.9147703170776E+01	0
	322	1.	.00000000	100000E+00	1209375000000E+03	1556300067902E+02	5
	923	Ο.	.24345896	524405E+01	-,1209375000000E+03	- 1537139511108E+02	
	924	0.	4809231	7581198.01	- 120027500000000	100100110000402	0
	005	<u>.</u>	.4009251	1001100+01	1209375000000E+03	1480129432678E+02	0
	925	Ο.	.70654545	959869E+01	1209375000000E+03	1386673641205E+02	0
	926	Ο.	.9147703	L70776E+01	- 1209375000000E+03	- 12500732520145.02	ŏ
	927	0	11004703	521728.02	120037500000000	12J90733J2814E+02	0
	227	÷.	.1100470.	5521756+02	12093/2000000E+03	1100470352173E+02	0
	928	1.	.000000000	000000E+00	1209375000000E+03	.1556300067902E+02	- 5
	929	Ο.	.24345896	524405E+01	- 1209375000000E±03	15371395111088+02	ā
	930	0	48002315	1691102.01	1200275000000000	.100/10000+02	2
	220	<u>.</u>	.4009251	501105+01	12093/5000000E+03	.1480129432678E+02	0
	931	0.	.70654549	959869E+01	1209375000000E+03	.1386673641205E+02	C
	932	Ο.	.91477031	170776E+01	- 1209375000000E+03	12500722528145+02	ā
	022	Δ	11004703	501775.00		,12330733320145402	0
	222		.11004703	521/35+02	12093/5000000E+03	.1100470352173E+02	0
	934	0.	.12590732	257446E+02	- 1209375000000E+03	-,9147703170776E+01	0
	935	ο.	.13866736	541205E+02	- 1209375000000F+03	- 70664564267078.01	
	936	0	14001200	200468.00	12000750000002+03	10034354367072+01	0
	220	0.	.14001295	200466+02	12093/5000000E+03	4809232711792E+01	0
	937	Ο.	.15371397	701843E+02	1209375000000E+03	2434590816498E+01	0
	938	Ο.	.15563000	67902E+02	- 120937500000000.02	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	~
	030	0	15271200	111000.00	.12000700000E+03	.00000000000E+00	U
	222	0.		DTTT09E+02	12093/2000000E+03	.2434589624405E+01	C
	940	Ο.	.14801294	32678E+02	1209375000000E+03	4809231758118E+01	0
	941	~	1700000				· ·
		U.	. 1.3866 / 16	41205E±02	- 12093750000000000		~
	010	0.	.13866/36	41205E+02	1209375000000E+03	.7065454959869E+01	0
	942	0.	.12590733	41205E+02 52814E+02	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	0
	942	0.	.12590733	41205E+02 52814E+02	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	0 0
	942	0.	.12590733	41205E+02 52814E+02	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	0 0
	942	0.	.12590733	41205E+02 52814E+02 CNT CARDS	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	0 0
	942	0.	.13866736 .12590733	41205E+02 52814E+02 CNT CARDS	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	0 0
	942 	0. 0. 	.13866736 .12590733 ÉLEME 553	341205E+02 352814E+02 2NT CARDS 3 554	12093750000000E+03 12093750000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	0 0
	942 1 2	0. 0. 9 2	.13866736 .12590733 ÉLEME 553 554	41205E+02 52814E+02 INT CARDS 1 554 555	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	0 0
	942 1 2	0. 0. 9 2	.12590733 ELEME 553 554	41205E+02 52814E+02 CNT CARDS 1 554 555	12093750000000E+03 12093750000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	0 0
~ • • •	942 1 2 3	0. 0. 9 2 2	.13866736 .12590733 ÉLEME 553 554 555	41205E+02 52814E+02 INT CARDS 554 555 556	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	0 0
~ • • •	942 1 2 3 4	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2	.13866736 .12590733 ÉLEME 553 554 555 556	41205E+02 52814E+02 INT CARDS 1 554 555 556 557	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	000
	942 1 2 3 4 5	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2 2	.13866736 .12590733 ÉLEME 553 554 555 556 557	41205E+02 52814E+02 INT CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	0 0
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2	.12590733 ÉLEME 553 554 555 556 557	41205E+02 52814E+02 INT CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	0 0
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.12590733 ELEME 553 554 555 556 557 558	41205E+02 52814E+02 INT CARDS 554 555 556 557 558 559	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	000
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.12590733 ELEME 553 554 555 556 557 558 559	41205E+02 52814E+02 ENT CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558 559 560	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	000
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.12590733 ÉLEME 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560	41205E+02 52814E+02 INT CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	000
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.12590733 ÉLEME 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 560	41205E+02 52814E+02 INT CARDS 1 555 556 557 558 559 560 561	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.12590733 ÉLEME 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561	41205E+02 52814E+02 CNT CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.12590733 ÉLEME 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562	41205E+02 52814E+02 INT CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	000
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.12590733 ÉLEME 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563	41205E+02 52814E+02 CNT CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 561 562 563	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.12590733 ÉLEME 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 562 563	41205E+02 52814E+02 SNT CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
~	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.12590733 ÉLEME 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564	41205E+02 52814E+02 SNT CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	0. 9222222222222222222222222222222222222	.12590733 ÉLEME 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565	41205E+02 52814E+02 CNT CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 563 564 565 566	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	0. 922222222 222222222222222222222222222	.12590733 ÉLEME 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 563 564 565	41205E+02 52814E+02 NT CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 564 565 566	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 	0. 922222222 222222222222222222222222222	.12590733 ÉLEME 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 563 564 565 566	41205E+02 52814E+02 SNT CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 564 565 566	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	0. 9222222222222222222222222222222222222	.12590733 ÉLEME 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 563 564 565 566 565	41205E+02 52814E+02 SNT CARDS 1 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 564 565 566 567 568	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	0. 922222222 8222222222222222222222222222	.12590733 ÉLEME 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 562 563 564 565 566 567 568	41205E+02 52814E+02 SNT CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 564 565 566 566 567 568 569	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	0. 9222222222222222222222222222222222222	.12590733 ÉLEME S53 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 562 563 564 565 566 565	41205E+02 52814E+02 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	0. 922222228222222	.13866/36 .12590733 554 555 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 563 564 565 566 566 566	41205E+02 52814E+02 ST CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 566 567 568 569 570 568	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	0. 92222222822222222222222222222222222222	.12590733 ÉLEME S53 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570	41205E+02 52814E+02 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	000
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	0. 92222222282222222222222222222222222222	.13866/36 .12590733 554 555 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 563 564 565 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571	41205E+02 52814E+02 STT CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	000
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.12590733 .12590733 .554 .555 .556 .557 .558 .559 .560 .561 .562 .563 .564 .565 .564 .565 .566 .567 .568 .569 .567 .568 .569 .570 .571 .572	41205E+02 52814E+02 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.13866/36 .12590733 554 555 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 569 570 571 572	41205E+02 52814E+02 STT CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 573	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.13866/36 .12590733 554 555 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 562 563 564 565 566 565 566 567 568 569 570 570 571 572 573	41205E+02 52814E+02 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	0. 0. 9222222222222222222222222222222222	.12590733 .12590733 ÉLEME S53 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574	41205E+02 52814E+02 STT CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.13866/36 .12590733 554 555 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 563 564 565 566 566 569 566 569 570 571 572 573 574 575	41205E+02 52814E+02 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.12590733 ÈLEME 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575	41205E+02 52814E+02 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.13866/36 .12590733 554 555 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 563 564 565 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576	41205E+02 52814E+02 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.12590733 .12590733 ÉLEME S53 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 562 563 564 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577	41205E+02 52814E+02 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	1 942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.13866/36 .12590733 554 555 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 577 578	41205E+02 52814E+02 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 578 578 578	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.12590733 .12590733 ÉLEME S53 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 566 567 571 572 573 574 575 573 574 575 576 577 578	41205E+02 52814E+02 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 578 579	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00
	942 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	0. 0. 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.13866/36 .12590733 554 555 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 573 574 575 576 577 578 579	41205E+02 52814E+02 ST CARDS 1 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580	1209375000000E+03 1209375000000E+03 FOR BEAM ELEMENTS 552 552 552 552 552 552 552	.7065454959869E+01 .9147703170776E+01	00

	2.500E-01	2.500E-	01 2.500E-01	2.500Ė-01	
	839	7	920 921	383	364
	2.500E-01	2.5006-	01 2.500E-01	2.500E-01	202
	2 5008-01	2 5008-	-01 2 SOOF-01	2 500E-01	383
	841	7	7 922	923	8
	2.500E-01	2.500E-	01 2.500E-01	2.500E-01	
	842	7	8 923	924	9
	2.500E-01	2.500E-	01 2.500E-01	2.500E-01	
	843	7	9 924	925	10
	2.500E-01	2.5002-	10 925	2.500E-01	11
	2 500E-01	2.500E-	01 2.500E-01	2.500E-01	11
	845	7	11 926	927	12
	2.500E-01	2.500E-	01 2.500E-01	2.500E-01	
	846	7	928 121	122	929
	2.500E-01	2.500E-	01 2.500E-01	2.500E-01	
	847	7	929 122	123	930
	2.5008-01	2.500E-	01 2.500E-01	2.5008-01	
	2 5005-01	2 500E-	01 2 500E-01	2 5008-01	221
	849	7	931 124	125	932
	2.500E-01	2.500E-	01 2.500E-01	2.500E-01	
	850	7	932 125	126	933
	2.500E-01	2.500E-	01 2.500E-01	2.500E-01	
	851	7	927 934	230	12
	2.5006-01	2.500E-	01 2.500E-01	2.500E-01	220
	2.500E-01	2.500E-	01 2.500E-01	2,500E-01	250
	853	7	935 936	268	249
	2.500E-01	2.500E-	01 2.500E-01	2.500E-01	
	854	7	936 937	287	268
	2.500E-01	2.500E-	01 2.500E-01	2.500E-01	
	2 5005-01	2 5005-	937 938	306	287
	856	2.5006-	938 939	325	306
	2.500E-01	2.500E-	01 2.500E-01	2.500E-01	500
	857	7	939 940	344	325
	2.500E-01	2.500E-	01 2.500E-01	2.500E-01	
	858	7	940 941	363	344
	2.5008-01	2.500E-	01 2.5008-01	2.500E-01	262
	2 500E-01	2 500E-	01 2 500E-01	2 500E-01	565
	860	7	942 933	126	382
	2.500E-01	2.500E-	01 2.500E-01	2.500E-01	
*					
- i - i - i			LOAD (CURVE DEFIN	ITIONS*
-	1 2				
	.000E+00	.000E+	00		
	1.000E+00	.000E+	00		
	2 2				
	.000E+00	2.000E-	06		
	1.000E+00	2.000E-	06		
1			DESCUTE DO		TTION CARDS*
			FRESSURE BUI	JADARI CONL	
	1	1	7 8	2-1.0	00E+00-1.000E+00-1.000E+00-1.000E+00
	1	7	13 14	8-1.0	00E+00-1.000E+00-1.000E+00-1.000E+00
	1	13	19 20	14-1.0	00E+00-1.000E+00-1.000E+00-1.000E+00

AUGMAT DATA FOR BEAMSHELL.MODEL	
strnam.dat beam.flu beam.geo beam.pr	🗴 \$ STRNAM FLUNAM GEONAM PRENAM
FFFF \$	FRWTGE FRWTST FRWTFL PLNWAV
FFFT \$	FLUSKY DAAFRM SYMCON DOFTAB
FFFT S	PRTGMT PRTTRN PRTSTF PRTAUG
FFFF \$	MODTRN STRLCL INTWAT CFADYN
F \$	LUMPFM
DYNA S	MAINKY
0.50 \$	DAA2
942 2826 3 3 \$	NSTR NSFR NFRE NFTR
1 \$	NSETLC
0 1 450 1 \$	NDICOS JSTART JSTOP JINC
3 \$	NUMCON
0 1 86 5 S	ICON NSTART NSTOP NINC
0 91 176 5	
0 400 472 6	

FLUMAS DATA FOR BEAMSHELL MODEL						
beam.flu beam.geo strnam.dat beam	. da	a \$ FL	UNAM GE	ONAM GRI	DNAM DA	ANAM
ТТГТ	\$	PRTGMT	PRTTRN	PRTAME	CALCAM	
TFTF	Ś	EIGMAF	TWODIM	HAFMOD	OTIAMOD	
FFTT	Ś	PCHCDS	NASTAM	STOMAS	STOTNU	
FFFF	Ś	FRWTFL	FRWTGE	FRWTYLR	FDFCITD	
FTFF	ŝ	RENTIME	STOGMT	POTGEO	POTOUR	
FFFF	ŝ	PRTCOF	STEMAS	CDUEDE	ROTOVA	
FFFF	č	OCTMOD	CAVELU	PRERE	INTCAN	
DYNA	č	MATNEY	CAVELO	FRMIEV	INICAV	
0 942 0 450	è	NETRC	MORDE	NORM	NORMO	
	ç	NDDA	NSIRF	NGEN	NGENF	
1120	ې د	NBRA	NCIL	NCAV		
0 I I Z 0	ş	NRAS	NHAF	NHAI	NFUN	
33 0	Ş	NSEG				
	\$	NCIR				
9.356E-05 57600.0	ş	RHO	CEE			
5	Ş	NVEC				
1. 1.	\$	CQ(I)				
01. 0. 0.	\$	DHALF	CXHF	CYHF	CZHF	
3	\$	NSRADI				
0.0 16.813 1 180 1	\$	RAD1	RAD2	JBEG	JEND	JINC
16.813 0.0 181 360 1						
0.0 0.0 431 520 1						
0	\$	NSORDER				
553 585 16.813 16.813 2	s	N1	N2	R1	R2	NSET
553 553 0.0 16.813 1	•	-	-			
553 585 16.813 16.813 32						

r≈d3dump TIME INTEGRATION DATA beam.pre beam.pos beam.rst	FOI Ş S	R MODEL PRENAM RESNAM	BEAMSHELI POSNAM		
FFF	ŝ	RUBPUL	REFSEC	FLUMEN	
TFFF	5	EXPWAV	SPLINE	VARLIN	PACKET
FTFF	ŝ	HYPERB	EXPLOS	DOUBDC	VELIMP
1	s	NCHARG			
0.0	ŝ	HYDPRE			
0.0 -25.3125 326.4	\$	XC YC	zc		
0.0 -25.3125 16.813	\$	SX SY	S2 (
101	Ş	JPHIST			
1.0	\$	PNORM			
14.0E-06	\$	DTHIST			
1	\$	CHGTYP			
60.0 25.8 10.0	\$	WEIGHT	SLANT	CHGDEP	
10	\$	NTINT	NCHGAL		
0.0 2.0000E-06	\$	STRTIM	DELTIM		
5000 5000	\$	NSAVER	NRESET		
0 0 0 0	\$	LOCBEG	LOGRES	LOCWRT	NSTART
FF	\$	FORWRT	STBDA2		
£	\$	DISPLA			

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

Defense Technical Information Center
Dudley Knox Library
Professor Young W. Kwon, Code ME/Kw
Mr. Byron Rose
Mr. Erik A. Rasmussen

No. of Copies

Mr. Charles D. Milligan 1 6. Naval Surface Warfare Center Submarine Protection & Weapons Effects Department Carderock Division Bethesda, MD 20084