Density Functional and MP2 Calculations of Spin Densities of Oxidized 3-Methyl Indole: Models for Tryptophan Radicals

G. M. Jensen
D. B. Goodin
THE SCRIPPS RESEARCH INSTITUTE

S. W. Bunte
U.S. ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY

March 1996

19960314 069

NOTICES

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE March 1996	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final, Jan 1995–Jan 1996

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE

Density Functional and MP2 Calculations of Spin Densities of Oxidized 3-Methyl Indole: Models for Tryptophan Radicals

5. FUNDING NUMBERS

PR: 1L161102AH43

6. AUTHOR(S)

G. M. Jensen,[†] D. B. Goodin,[†] and S. W. Bunte[*]

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

[*]U.S. Army Research Laboratory
ATTN: AMSRL-WT-PC
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

[†]Department of Molecular Biology, MB8
The Scripps Research Institute
10666 N. Torrey Pines Road
La Jolla, CA 92037

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER

ARL-TR-989

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)

Ab initio calculations have been carried out on 3-methyl indole, and the cation and neutral radicals of 3-methyl indole, using Density Functional Theory (DFT), the Becke3-Lee-Yang-Parr functional, and the 6-31G*, 6-31+G*, 3-21G*, and TZ2P basis sets. Optimized geometries, vibrational frequencies and, for the radicals, atomic spin densities are calculated. The latter are compared to experimental spin densities recently determined for the tryptophan-191 radical of compound ES of the enzyme cytochrome-c-peroxidase. The DFT spin densities for the cation radical of 3-methyl indole are in excellent agreement with the data for the tryptophan-191 radical, which supports the conclusion that the tryptophan radical is a cation radical. The results are compared to calculations using second-order Møller-Plesset theory (MP2) and the 6-31G** basis set. The MP2 spin densities are in significantly worse agreement with the experiment spin densities.

14. SUBJECT TERMS

spin densities, calculations, density functional theory, MP2, 3-methyl indole, skatole

15. NUMBER OF PAGES

29

16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

1. INTRODUCTION

The peroxidases comprise a class of enzymes that catalyze the H_2O_2 mediated oxidation of an enormous range of biological substrates (Dawson 1988; Poulos 1988). The heme containing enzyme cytochrome-c-peroxidase (CCP) from yeast reacts with H_2O_2 to form a two-electron oxidized intermediate: compound ES. This intermediate in turn accepts electrons sequentially from two ferrous cytochromes c. One oxidizing equivalent in compound ES is stored as an oxyferryl (Fe(IV)=O) heme, while the other is stored as a radical species on an amino acid side chain. This is distinct from many other peroxidases, where the second oxidizing equivalent is stored on the porphyrin macrocycle as a π-cation radical. Identification of this amino acid and the nature of the radical proved to be problematical, because the presence of a multiplicity of spin-coupled states between the S=1/2 radical with the S=1 oxyferryl heme (Houseman et al. 1993; Fishel et al. 1991; Goodin and McRee 1993; McRee et al. 1994) result in an unusual EPR line shape and EPR properties. Intensive studies of compound ES of CCP by site-directed mutagenesis, EPR and ENDOR spectroscopies, x-ray crystallography, and theoretical calculations have concluded unambiguously that the radical is housed on the indole side chain of tryptophan-191 (Houseman et al. 1993; Fishel et al. 1991; Goodin and McRee 1993; McRee et al. 1994; Sivaraja et al. 1989; Erman et al. 1989; Scholes et al. 1989; Krauss and Garmer 1993; Fülöp et al. 1994; Huyett et al. 1995). It has also been difficult to determine whether the tryptophan radical is a neutral radical or a cation radical, resulting from oxidation with or without loss of a proton, respectively. Indole radicals are formed at highly oxidizing potentials (~1 V vs. S.H.E.) and exist in solution as an equilibrium of neutral and cationic forms (Jovanovic, Steenken, and Simic 1991). The pK_a of this equilibrium is ~4, and therefore the presence of a cation radical in CCP at physiological pH must involve some special stabilization of the cationic form of the radical by the protein. Indirect evidence of a cation stabilizing propensity at the site of the indole side chain of tryptophan-191 has been obtained in the observation that cationic heterocyclic molecules and buffer cations bind to a cavity created by mutating the indole side chain to hydrogen (tryptophan-191 $\rightarrow$ glycine) (Fitzgerald et al. 1994; Miller, Han, and Kraut 1994; Fitzgerald et al. 1995). Complete characterization of the properties of the radical of CCP is required for a detailed understanding of the mechanism of action of this enzyme. Further, protein engineering, especially at the site of this radical, may lead to modified enzymes capable of alternative oxidation pathways for organic synthesis or bioremediation.

In the most recent ENDOR study of CCP (Huyett et al. 1995), the utilization of various isotopically labeled (at tryptophan) CCPs has led to the assignment of spin densities for some atoms in the indole ring

of the tryptophan-191 radical. To aid in the interpretion of these ENDOR results in terms of a cation or neutral radical, one must adduce reliable theoretical models for both forms. Calculations of spin-densities that have been used for this purpose have so far been limited to Hückel-McLachlan Molecular Orbital (HMMO) (Hoffman et al. 1981) and restricted to open-shell Hartree-Fock (ROSHF) (Krauss and Garmer 1993) calculations, which are now known to be unlikely to provide reliable spin densities for π-radicals (Adamo, Barone, and Fortunelli 1995; Qin and Wheeler 1995).

Accurate *ab initio* methods for the determination of ground state molecular properties generally, and spin densities in particular, will require inclusion of electron correlation, sufficient basis-set size and sophistication, and, for medium sized organic molecules, practicability in terms of disk space, computer time, and code availability. The most popular correlated *ab initio* method has utilized the second-order Møller-Plesset (MP2) perturbation theory. MP2 methods are, however, very expensive computationally, and most medium-sized organic molecules of interest are currently beyond the bounds of practicability. Very recently, methods using Density Functional Theory (DFT) have become available in the program Gaussian92/DFT (Frisch et al. 1995) and provide correlated methods of high accuracy. These include standard local functionals[*] (Becke 1989; Vosko, Wilk, and Nusair 1980; Lee, Yang, and Parr 1988) as well as two new hybrid density functionals (B3LYP and B3P86) (Stephens et al. 1994; Frisch et al. 1995; Becke 1993; Perdew 1986) of the type introduced by Becke[†] (1993). Stephens and coworkers (Stephens et al. 1994; Stephens et al., to be published; and Finley and Stephens, to be published) have calculated the geometries, force fields, and vibrational absorption and vibrational circular dichroism (VCD) spectra of a variety of closed-shell molecules using the aforementioned functionals in addition to using SCF and MP2 methods. Taking advantage of the sensitivity of predicted VCD spectra to the quality of the force field used, they were able to demonstrate that the hybrid functional B3LYP was competitive with MP2 methods in terms of accuracy, and that the LSDA and BLYP functionals were significantly less reliable. They also demonstrated that for B3LYP calculations the 6-31G* basis set[**] (Hehre et al. 1986) is

---

[*] The local functionals employed by Gaussian92/DFT include the standard LSDA (also called SVWN) functional, the local correlation functional VWN, and the BLYP functional combining the gradient of Becke and the LYP correlation functional (Becke 1989; Vosko, Wilk, and Nusair 1980; Lee, Yang, and Parr 1988).

[†] The B3LYP (Becke3LYP) functional (Stephens et al. 1994) is a Gaussian92/DFT (Frisch et al. 1995) implementation of the three-parameter hybrid functional introduced by Becke (1993). It is a hybrid of Hartee-Fock exchange with local and gradient corrected exchange and correlation terms. An alternative functional of this type available in Gaussian92/DFT is the B3P86 (Becke3P86) functional, which consists of Becke's three-parameter hybrid functional with the nonlocal correlation provided by the "Perdew 86" (Perdew 1986) expression.

[**] Note that we use 6 d functions in TZ2P, 6-31G*, 6-31+G*, 3-21G*, and 6-31G**.

2

sufficient for accurate results. DFT calculations of open-shell systems have yielded good results for a variety of properties (Adamo, Barone, and Fortunelli 1995; Qin and Wheeler 1994; Laming, Handy, and Amos 1993; Eriksson et al. 1993, 1994; Barone and Adamo 1994; Austen, Eriksson, and Boyd 1994). Where the new hybrid functionals have been used on open-shell systems, Adamo, Barone, and Fortunelli (1995) conclude that for ground state geometries and for thermochemical, infrared, and hyperfine parameters of a variety of molecules, the B3LYP method is the current functional of choice, and that the local functionals are significantly less reliable. On the other hand, Qin and Wheeler (1995) noted that both hybrid and local functionals provided reasonable spin densities for phenoxy radical at the 6-31G* basis set level.

With the aforementioned studies in mind, we have chosen to carry out DFT calculations using the B3LYP functional and the 6-31G* basis set on the tryptophan analog 3-methyl indole (skatole) (**1**), 3-methyl indole neutral radical (**2**), and 3-methyl-indole cation radical (**3**). Geometries, vibrational frequencies, and spin densities are calculated. To examine the basis set dependence of the B3LYP results, we have also calculated the geometries and atomic spin densities for **1**, **2**, and **3** utilizing the 6-31+G*, 3-21G*, and TZ2P basis sets. For comparison, the geometries and spin densities are also calculated at the MP2 level and the 6-31G** basis set. These results are compared to experimental data and to earlier calculations.

2. METHODS

Calculations presented here were carried out using the GAUSSIAN92/DFT or GAUSSIAN94 (Frisch et al. 1995) suite of programs running on a Cray-2 or a Silicon Graphics Inc. Power Challenge Array located at the U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL). The starting geometry for 3-methyl indole was a 3-21G* optimized geometry that was calculated at the SCF level of approximation using CADPAC 5.0 (Amos 1992) (in UNICHEM) on the Scripps Cray Y-MP. The geometries, Mulliken spin densities, and harmonic force fields were calculated using the spin-restricted B3LYP functional for the closed-shell 3-methyl indole (skatole) (**1**), and the spin-unrestricted B3LYP functional for the open-shell neutral radical of 3-methyl indole (**2**) and the open-shell radical cation of 3-methyl indole (**3**). B3LYP geometries for **1**, **2**, and **3** and atomic spin densities for **2** and **3** were determined using the TZ2P, 6-31G*, 6-31+G*, and 3-21G* basis sets. In addition, the vibrational frequencies of **1**, **2**, and **3** were calculated using the 6-31G* basis set. The "fine" integration grid was used in all of the DFT calculations. *Ab initio* MO geometries and Mulliken spin densities were also calculated at the spin-restricted MP2 level of theory for **1** and at

3

the spin-unrestricted MP2 level of theory for **2** and **3**. Unfortunately, we were unable to obtain MP2 vibrational frequencies due to a lack of disk resources. All of the MP2 calculations were obtained using the frozen core approximation and the 6-31G** basis set. Because **2** and **3** each have a doublet multiplicity, the expectation value of the S^2 operator is 0.75. However, as the UHF wavefunction is not an eigenfunction of the S^2 operator, it is usually contaminated with higher multiplicities (quartets, etc.) resulting in $<S^2>$ being greater than 0.75. In the case of the B3LYP calculations, $<S^2>$ was determined to range from 0.7596 to 0.7694 for **2** and **3** (see Table 1) for all basis sets employed, indicating a good representation of the doublet state. We note that for the DFT technique, the interpretation of $<S^2>$ is not straightforward (Qin and Wheeler 1995) because the calculations yield electron densities, not electronic wavefunctions. For the MP2 calculations on **2** and **3**, $<S^2>$ was calculated to be 0.9476 and 0.8478, respectively, indicating a somewhat worse representation of the doublet state relative to the B3LYP calculations.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

B3LYP geometries and energies of **1**, **2**, and **3**, are shown for each of the basis sets employed in this study in Table 1. The B3LYP/TZ2P geometries for the indole portion of **1** are compared to the geometry reported by Chadwick (1984), which was obtained by averaging the bond angles and distances of the structures of 34 3-substituted indoles from the Cambridge Crystallographic Database. Overall, the geometry of **1** compares extremely well to experiment, with an average difference between the calculated and experimental geometries of 0.005 Å. Generally, the predicted geometry exhibits longer bonds than the experimental geometry. The largest deviation between theory and experiment is for the C6-C7 bond, which is 0.013 Å longer than experiment. The results obtained using the 3-21G*, 6-31G*, and 6-31+G* basis sets lead to geometries differing from experiment, on average, by 0.013 Å, 0.010 Å, and 0.011 Å, respectively. The MP2/6-31G** geometries and energies for **1**, **2**, and **3**, are also shown in Table 1. The MP2/6-31G** geometry for **1** is very similar to the B3LYP/TZ2P and B3LYP/6-31G* geometries, differing by an average of only 0.005 Å and 0.003 Å, respectively. The MP2/6-31G** geometry for **1** differs from that of Chadwick (1984) by 0.009 Å, with the largest deviation being 0.017 Å for the C6-C7 bond. The previously reported ROSHF (Krauss and Garmer 1993) geometry for indole differs from experiment by an average of 0.020 Å. To our knowledge, there are no experimental geometries available for **2** or **3**. Both B3LYP/TZ2P and MP2/6-31G** calculations predict significant changes upon oxidation from **1** to either **2** or **3**. These predicted differences are qualitatively similar but quantitatively different between the two methods. Going from **1** to **2** the B3LYP/TZ2P prediction shows a lengthening of the

4

Table 1. Geometries and Energies of 3-Methyl Indoles[a]

Bond	Exp[b]	B3LYP/TZ2P			B3LYP/6-31G*			B3LYP/6-31+G*		
		1 (Å)	2 (Å)	3 (Å)	1 (Å)	2 (Å)	3 (Å)	1 (Å)	2 (Å)	3 (Å)
N1-C2	1.380	1.383	1.315	1.330	1.385	1.320	1.336	1.387	1.321	1.336
C2-C3	1.358	1.366	1.435	1.427	1.371	1.439	1.431	1.373	1.440	1.431
C3-C9	1.436	1.439	1.434	1.418	1.443	1.438	1.423	1.444	1.439	1.425
C9-C4	1.398	1.401	1.391	1.406	1.406	1.396	1.412	1.407	1.398	1.412
C4-C5	1.381	1.384	1.399	1.392	1.389	1.404	1.398	1.391	1.406	1.400
C5-C6	1.396	1.405	1.391	1.392	1.410	1.396	1.398	1.412	1.398	1.398
C6-C7	1.372	1.385	1.406	1.412	1.390	1.411	1.418	1.392	1.413	1.419
C7-C8	1.395	1.394	1.376	1.370	1.399	1.382	1.377	1.400	1.383	1.378
C8-C9	1.410	1.418	1.420	1.416	1.423	1.426	1.421	1.423	1.426	1.421
C8-N1	1.374	1.375	1.411	1.407	1.379	1.414	1.409	1.380	1.414	1.410
C3-C10	—	1.496	1.484	1.482	1.499	1.490	1.487	1.500	1.490	1.488
Energy (Hartrees)	—	-403.283717	-402.632474	-403.015998	-403.135526	-402.489663	-402.876955	-403.150952	-402.504794	-402.884264
<S²>[c]	0.0000	0.0000	0.7665	0.7613	0.0000	0.7676	0.7614	0.0000	0.7671	0.7612

[a] 1 = 3-methyl indole, 2 = 3-methyl indole neutral radical, and 3 = 3-methyl indole cation radical. Numbering as in Figure 1.
[b] Experimental geometry of Chadwick (1984) resulting from averaging 34 3-substituted indoles from the Cambridge Crystallographic Database.
[c] Eigenvalue of the S² operator; see section 2.

Table 1. Geometries and Energies of 3-Methyl Indoles[a] (continued)

Bond	Exp[b]	B3LYP/3-21G*			MP2/6-31G**		
		1 (Å)	2 (Å)	3 (Å)	1 (Å)	2 (Å)	3 (Å)
N1-C2	1.380	1.401	1.342	1.347	1.381	1.319	1.352
C2-C3	1.358	1.373	1.435	1.435	1.377	1.405	1.405
C3-C9	1.436	1.449	1.453	1.431	1.434	1.464	1.458
C9-C4	1.398	1.403	1.392	1.407	1.407	1.385	1.379
C4-C5	1.381	1.390	1.408	1.403	1.388	1.406	1.415
C5-C6	1.396	1.411	1.395	1.395	1.412	1.402	1.403
C6-C7	1.372	1.391	1.412	1.420	1.389	1.397	1.397
C7-C8	1.395	1.398	1.378	1.375	1.400	1.399	1.410
C8-C9	1.410	1.429	1.428	1.425	1.422	1.415	1.409
C8-N1	1.374	1.385	1.439	1.420	1.376	1.392	1.374
C3-C10	—	1.504	1.492	1.489	1.495	1.483	1.478
Energy (Hartrees)	—	-400.922051	-400.263755	-400.659804	-401.887029	-401.236389	-401.623918
$\langle S^2 \rangle$[c]		0.0000	0.7694	0.7596	0.0000	0.9476	0.8478

[a] 1 = 3-methyl indole, 2 = 3-methyl indole neutral radical, and 3 = 3-methyl indole cation radical. Numbering as in Figure 1.
[b] Experimental geometry of Chadwick (1984) resulting from averaging 34 3-substituted indoles from the Cambridge Crystallographic Database.
[c] Eigenvalue of the S^2 operator; see section 2.

Figure 1. Atom numbering for 3-methyl indole (skatole).

C2-C3 bond by 0.069 Å and a shortening of the N1-C2 bond by 0.068 Å. Going from **1** to **2** the MP2 prediction shows lengthening of the C2-C3 and C3-C9 bonds by 0.028 Å and 0.030 Å, respectively, and a shortening of the N1-C2 bond by 0.062 Å. Going from **1** to **3** the B3LYP/TZ2P prediction shows a lengthening of the C2-C3, C8-N1, and C6-C7 bonds by 0.061 Å, 0.032 Å, and 0.027 Å, respectively, and a shrinking of the N1-C2, C3-C9, and C7-C8 bonds by 0.053 Å, 0.021 Å, and 0.024 Å, respectively. Going from **1** to **3** the MP2/6-31G** prediction shows a lengthening of the C2-C3, C3-C9, and C4-C5 bonds by 0.028 Å, 0.024 Å, and 0.027 Å, respectively, and a shrinking of the N1-C2 and C9-C4 bonds of 0.029 Å and 0.028 Å, respectively.

To our knowledge, there is no complete, assigned, experimental vibrational spectrum of **1**, and for **2** or **3** there exists no information at all. However, several modes for tryptophan have been assigned and experimental frequencies measured (Austin, Jordan, and Spiro 1993; Takeuchi and Harada 1986; Aldrich Library FT-IR Spectra 1989). These are shown in Table 2. B3LYP/6-31G* frequencies corresponding to these modes for **1**, **2**, and **3** are also shown in Table 2. The "zero" frequencies were all less than 10 cm^{-1} in these calculations. Comparison of the differences between the predictions for **1** and the experimental frequencies reveals very good agreement, with the predicted frequencies differing by an

7

Table 2. Selected Vibrational Frequencies of 3-Methyl Indoles[a]

Mode[b]	Description[c]	Experimental Frequencies			B3LYP/6-31G*		
		A[d] (cm^{-1})	B[e] (cm^{-1})	C[f] (cm^{-1})	1 (cm^{-1})	2 (cm^{-1})	3 (cm^{-1})
W1	Benz. ν_{8a} + N1-C8 Str.	1622	1614		1680	1609	1623
W2	Benz. ν_{8b}	1575	1578		1637	1543	1647
W3	C2-C3 Pyrrole Str.	1555	1550		1615	1455	1295[g]
W4	Benz. ν_{19b}	1496			1502	1487	1501
W5	Benz. ν_{19a}	1462			1462	1431	1467
W6	N1-C2-C3 Str. + N1-H Bend	1361			1389	1393	1388
W7	Pyrrole Ring Breath.	1342	1345		1336	1387	1379
W8	C3-C9 Str. + N1-H Bend	1305			1282	1331	1323
W10	C-H + C3-C10 Str.	1238			1258	1264	1213
W13	Sim. to Benz. ν_{9b} C-H Bend	1127			1160	1171	1123
W16	Benz. C-C Str.	1016	1009		1045	1040	1035
W17	Sim. to Benz. ν_{12} + N1-H	880	877		889	872	874
W18	Indole Ring Breath.	762	760		778	769	769
	N-H Stretch			3420	3668		3606
	Benz. ν_{20a}			2950	3197	3206	3229
	CH$_3$ Vibration			2860	3033	3027	3036
	N1-H + C2-H Bend			1090	1116		1203
	C2-H out-of-plane bend			805	785	892	987
	Benz. ν_{11}			740	755	765	778

[a] Atom numbering as in Figure 1. 1 = 3-methyl indole, 2 = 3-methyl indole neutral radical, 3 = 3-methyl indole cation radical.

[b] Tryptophan mode (Austin, Jordan, and Spiro 1993).

[c] Approximate description of the tryptophan mode (Austin, Jordan, and Spiro 1993). Other mode assignments from this work.

[d] Frequencies from Takeuchi and Harada (1986) and Su, Wang, and Spiro (1990).

[e] Frequencies from Sweeney and Asher (1990).

[f] Frequencies from Aldrich Library FT-IR Spectra (1989).

[g] This represents a combination of modes, but does possess significant C2-C3 motion.

average of 3.1% from the experimental frequencies, the former being generally larger. The level of agreement is comparable to that seen by Stephens and coworkers (Stephens et al. 1994; Stephens et al., to be published; and Finley and Stephens, to be published). The most significant change in the vibrational frequencies in going from **1** to **2** or **3** can be seen in mode W3, which is principally the C2-C3 stretch. In **1**, this mode is calculated to be at 1,615 cm^{-1}; in **2** it shifts to 1,455 cm^{-1}; and in **3** it decreases even further to 1,295 cm^{-1}. In **3**, this mode is a mixture of several modes; however, it does contain significant C2-C3 movement. The reason for this change is not obvious, but, as noted later, the largest difference in the calculated spin densities between **2** and **3** occurs on C2.

Calculated Mulliken spin densities of **2** and **3** using the B3LYP functional and the TZ2P, 6-31G*, 6-31+G*, and 3-21G* basis sets are reported in Table 3. The B3LYP/TZ2P spin densities for **2** and **3** are significantly different from each other, providing the possibility of experimental discrimination of the two species. Both have significant spin density on the benzene ring, where the change in spin density distribution is relatively small between **2** and **3**. The pyrrole ring, however, is significantly affected by the retention or loss of the N1 hydrogen. Both species have significant spin density on N1 and C3, though these are quantitatively different, while C2 goes from negative to significantly positive spin density from **2** to **3**.

The calculated spin densities using the B3LYP functional and the 6-31G*, 6-31+G*, and 3-21G* basis sets change an average (per atom) of 0.010, 0.015, and 0.025 for **2** and 0.006, 0.023, and 0.019 for **3**, respectively, when compared to the results obtained with B3LYP/TZ2P. Clearly, there is little apparent gain in accuracy in using the TZ2P basis set vs. the 6-31G* basis. However, the inclusion of diffuse functions (6-31+G* basis set) does appear to have a some what larger effect.

B3LYP/TZ2P Mulliken spin densities for **2** and **3** are compared to experiment (Huyett et al. 1995) in Figure 2. Table 3 also shows the ROSHF (Krauss and Garmer 1993) and HMMO (Hoffman et al. 1981) calculations cited previously for comparison. For the experimental spin densities of atoms in tryptophan-191 of CCP that have been determined, there is excellent agreement with the calculated spin densities for **3**, and much poorer agreement with those calculated for **2**. These results are in agreement with Huyett et al. (1995) that the tryptophan radical in CCP is indeed a cation radical. In addition, these calculations also indicate that the spin density distribution for the radical is probably not appreciably perturbed by the protein environment. The average deviation between theory and experiment for these atoms is 0.056 for **3**, and 0.213 for **2**.

9

Figure 2. **A: Experimental spin densities (Huyett et al. 1995) for tryptophan-191 of cytochrome-*c*-peroxidase; B: Calculated Mulliken spin densities for the neutral radical of 3-methyl indole 2; and C: Calculated Mulliken spin densities for the cation radical of 3-methyl-indole 3. B and C utilize the Becke3LYP functional and the TZ2P basis set.**

Table 3. Spin Densities of Neutral and Cation Radicals of 3-Methyl Indole

Atom	Exp[a]	B3LYP/TZ2P		B3LYP/6-31G*		B3LYP/6-31+G*		B3LYP/3-21G*	
		2[b]	3	2	3	2	3	2	3
N1	0.14	0.307	0.138	0.299	0.136	0.296	0.140	0.369	0.171
C2	0.35	-0.125	0.194	-0.113	0.189	-0.122	0.172	-0.177	0.149
C3	0.41	0.603	0.366	0.588	0.360	0.617	0.418	0.614	0.388
C4	n.d.	0.190	0.254	0.194	0.259	0.228	0.282	0.175	0.268
C5	-0.07	-0.052	-0.091	-0.052	-0.089	-0.057	-0.093	-0.033	-0.086
C6	n.d.	0.150	0.185	0.149	0.179	0.160	0.186	0.122	0.162
C7	n.d.	0.005	0.059	0.010	0.070	0.014	0.075	0.031	0.086
C8	n.d.	0.056	0.032	0.053	0.022	-0.002	-0.017	0.030	0.010
C9	n.d.	-0.123	-0.106	-0.128	-0.113	-0.127	-0.155	-0.129	-0.132
C10	—	-0.058	-0.028	-0.044	-0.020	-0.053	-0.017	-0.053	-0.029

Note: n.d. - not determined.
[a] Experimental spin densities for tryptophan-191 of cytochrome-c-peroxidase determined from ENDOR experiments by Huyett et al. (1995). Atom numbering as in Figure 1.
[b] 2 = 3-methyl indole neutral radical; 3 = 3-methyl indole cation radical.
[c] ROSHF calculations of Krauss and Garner (1989).
[d] HMMO calculations of Margoliash et al. (Hoffman et al. 1981).

11

Table 3. Spin Densities of Neutral and Cation Radicals of 3-Methyl Indole (continued)

Atom	Exp[a]	MP2/6-31G**		ROSHF[c]		HMMO[d]	
		2	3	2	3	2	3
N1	0.14	0.734	0.438	0.77	0.08	0.30	0.04
C2	0.35	-0.682	-0.204	-0.004	0.24	0.04	0.42
C3	0.41	0.942	0.726	0.13	0.40	0.39	0.39
C4	n.d	0.109	0.260	0.013	0.09	0.09	0.10
C5	-0.07	0.051	0.047	0.02	0.001	0.03	-0.04
C6	n.d	0.020	-0.043	0.005	0.08	0.06	0.09
C7	n.d	0.130	0.280	0.04	0.04	0.08	-0.01
C8	n.d	-0.135	-0.189	-0.001	0.04	—	—
C9	n.d	-0.144	-0.241	0.03	0.017	—	—
C10	—	-0.113	-0.082	—	—	—	—

Note: n.d. - not determined.
[a] Experimental spin densities for tryptophan-191 of cytochrome-c-peroxidase determined from ENDOR experiments by Huyett et al. (1995). Atom numbering as in Figure 1.
[b] 2 = 3-methyl indole neutral radical; 3 = 3-methyl indole cation radical.
[c] ROSHF calculations of Krauss and Garner (1989).
[d] HMMO calculations of Margoliash et al. (Hoffman et al. 1981).

The B3LYP/TZ2P results presented here represent accurate gas phase results and should therefore be applicable to any tryptophan or indole radical. EPR studies of the tryptophan radical in DNA photolyase (Kim et al. 1993) that utilized isotopically labeled tryptophan were interpreted as originating from a cation radical. These conclusions were based on comparison to the HMMO (Hoffman et al. 1981) calculations, which yielded very small spin density on C2 and large spin density on N1 for the neutral radical, with the opposite being the case for the cation radical (Table 3). The B3LYP/TZ2P calculations show significant spin density on N1 for both species (albeit twice as large for 2) and significant negative spin density on C2 for the neutral species. While the tryptophan radical in DNA photolyase may indeed be a cation radical, the interpretation of the isotope/EPR study may not be so straightforward.

Photochemically induced dynamic nuclear polarization (photo-CIDNP) experiments (McCord, Bucks, and Boxer 1981; Stob and Kaptein 1989; and Hore and Broadhurst 1993) on the tryptophan cation radical have revealed that significant spin density exists on C2, C3, C4, C6, and N1, and that no significant spin density exists on C5 and C7. For example, McCord, Bucks, and Boxer (1981) deduced proton isotropic hyperfine coupling constants from photo-CIDNP experiments on the tryptophan cation radical in the following relative order of absolute magnitude: C3 >> C2 ~ C4 ~ C6 > N1 >> C5 > C7, and with N1, C2, C3, C4, and C6 being positive, C5 negative, and C7 essentially zero. This is in excellent accord with the B3LYP/TZ2P spin densities calculated for 3.

Comparing the HMMO (Hoffman et al. 1981) spin densities for indole to the experimental spin densities for tryptophan-191 of CCP, the average deviation from experiment is 0.055 for the cation radical and 0.148 for the neutral radical, making the choice of cation or neutral radical less clear, though still correct. The average deviation for the ROSHF (Krauss and Garmer 1993) theory and the CCP experiment is 0.063 for the cation radical and 0.316 for the neutral radical, which is in slightly worse agreement for both 2 and 3 with experiment than the B3LYP/TZ2P numbers. The similar agreement with experiment appears largely fortuitous. On the pyrrole ring, the ROSHF (Krauss and Garmer 1993) calculations differ most (when compared to the B3LYP/TZ2P calculations) for the neutral radical, where they predict zero spin density on C2, too much spin density on N1, and a change in spin density in the wrong direction for C3 going from neutral to cation. The HMMO (Hoffman et al. 1981) calculations show no change in spin density on C3, small positive spin density on C2, and not enough spin density on N1 for the cation. More dramatically, the spin density distribution for both of the ROSHF (Krauss and Garmer 1993) calculations severely underestimate the significant spin density in the benzene ring predicted by the B3LYP/TZ2P calculations on both 2 and 3 and observed in the photo-CIDNP experiments (McCord, Bucks, and Boxer

13

1981; Stob and Kaptein 1989; and Hore and Broadhurst 1993) for the tryptophan cation radical. The HMMO (Hoffman et al. 1981) calculations do show significant spin density on C4 and C6 of the benzene ring. For the relevant labeling experiments, the EPR spectra of the tryptophan radicals are predicted to exhibit a greater spectral extent by the B3LYP/TZ2P calculations than either of the older calculations.

Based on results in the recent literature (Adamo, Barone, and Fortunelli 1995; Qin and Wheeler 1995) and the agreement with the CCP and photo-CIDNP experimental data for the cation radical, we conclude that the errors in the B3LYP/TZ2P calculated spin densities presented previously are relatively small. Errors can come from the use of Mulliken population analysis for determining atomic spin densities, from spin contamination (see section 2), and from deficiencies in the B3LYP functional.

MP2/6-31G** spin densities for **2** and **3** are also reported in Table 3. Comparing the predicted spin densities with the experimental spin densities for tryptophan-191 of CCP show differences of 0.570 and 0.321 for **2** and **3**, respectively. The spin densities for both **2** and **3** are in substantially worse agreement with experiment than the B3LYP/TZ2P calculations. Qualitatively, the results are slightly better, as they predict a decrease, increase, and decrease in spin density on N1, C2, and C3 respectively in going from **2** to **3**. The quantitative deviation from experiment results from the individual absolute spin densities being much too large. Additionally, while the MP2/6-31G** calculations predict spin density on the benzene ring, no significant spin density is predicted for C6, in disagreement with the photo-CIDNP experiments (McCrod, Bucks, and Boxer 1981; Stob and Kaptein 1989; and Hore and Broadhurst 1993). The failure of MP2 in this case may be due to problems identified in the prediction of other ground state properties for conjugated molecules at this basis set level (Simandiras et al. 1988) or, more likely, to the significantly higher level of spin contamination (see section 2) evidenced in the MP2 calculations.

As this study was being completed, we became aware of a study of calculated spin densities on indole cation and neutral radical using the SVWN (Becke 1989; Vosko, Wilk, and Nusair 1980; Lee, Yang, and Parr 1988) functional and the 6-31G* basis set (Walden and Wheeler 1994; 1995; to be published). The results obtained for both species are qualitatively similar to those reported here, for both the pyrrole and benzene rings. The spin densities obtained in this study (Walden and Wheeler 1994; 1995; to be published) for the atoms relevant to the CCP tryptophan-191 ENDOR data are, for the cation radical/neutral radical: 0.12/0.23 for N1, 0.14/–0.03 for C2, 0.30/0.48 for C3, and –0.04/–0.02 for C7. This results in average deviations between theory and experiment for the cation and neutral indole of 0.093 and 0.148, respectively, and are somewhat worse than those for **2** and **3** and B3LYP/TZ2P reported here.

14

The most notable differences are in the quantitative values for C3, and the lack of significant negative spin density on C2 in the neutral radical. These differences may result from the absence of a methyl group on C3 or from the different choice of functional, or both.

A thorough evaluation of the accuracy of the B3LYP/TZ2P spin densities must await the determination of additional experimental spin densities. For CCP, this will require additional isotopic labeling schemes beyond those previously employed in the ENDOR studies (Huyett et al. 1995) to test the prediction of large spin densities on C4 and C6 (as well as the benzene ring in general). Data on an authentic neutral radical and spin density determinations for other known biological tryptophan radicals (Kim et al. 1993; Sahlin et al. 1994, 1995) are also desirable.

This study has provided a sound basis for the identification of the radical species in compound ES of CCP as the cation radical of tryptophan-191. The stability of this species near neutral pH remains to be explained and modeled quantitatively. Accurate *ab initio* parameters (electrostatic potential, partial charges, energies) coupled to a practicable and reasonably accurate solvent and protein model (Churg and Warshel 1986; Langen et al. 1992; and Jensen, Warshel, and Stephens 1994) should lead to a quantitative explanation of the factors responsible for the stability of the cation radical in CCP.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

4. REFERENCES

Adamo, C., V. Barone, and A. Fortunelli. Journal of Chemical Physics, vol. 102, pp. 384–393, 1995.

Aldrich Library FT-IR Spectra. Milwaukee: Aldrich, vol. 1(2), pp. 653D, 1989.

Amos, R. D. "Cambridge Analytic Derivatives Package." Version 5.0, Cambridge, UK, 1992.

Austen, M. A., L. A. Eriksson, and R. J. Boyd. Canadian Journal of Chemistry, vol. 72, pp. 695–704, 1994.

Austin, J. C., T. Jordan, and T. G. Spiro. Biomolecular Spectroscopy A. Edited by R. J. H. Clark and R. E. Hester, New York: Wiley, ch. 2, pp. 55–127, 1993.

Barone, V., and C. Adamo. Chemical Physics Letters, vol. 224, pp. 432–438, 1994.

Becke, A. D. The Challenge of d and f Electrons. Edited by D. R. Salahub and M. C. Zerner, American Chemical Society, ch. 12, pp. 165–179, 1989.

Becke, A. D. Journal of Chemical Physics, vol. 98, pp. 5648–5652, 1993.

Chadwick, D. J. Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry: The Structure, Reactions, Synthesis, and Uses of Heterocyclic Compounds. Edited by A. R. Katritzky and C. W. Rees, Pergammon: Oxford, ch. 3.04, pp. 155–200, 1984.

Churg, A., and A. Warshel. Biochemistry, vol. 25, pp. 1675–1681, 1986.

Dawson, J. H. Science, vol. 246, pp. 433–439, 1988.

Eriksson, L. A., V. G. Malkin, O. L. Malkina, and D. R. Salahub. Journal of Chemical Physics, vol. 99, pp. 9756–9763, 1993.

Eriksson, L. A., O. L. Malkina, V. G. Malkin, and D. R. Salahub. Journal of Chemical Physics, vol. 100, pp. 5066–5075, 1994.

Erman, J. E., L. B. Vitello, J. M. Mauro, and J. Kraut. Biochemistry, vol. 28, pp. 7992–7995, 1989.

Finley, J. W., and P. J. Stephens. Journal of Molecular Structures (THEOCHEM), to be published.

Fishel, L. A., M. F. Farnum, J. M. Mauro, M. A. Miller, J. Kraut, Y. Liu, X. Tan, and C. P. Scholes. Biochemistry, vol. 30, pp. 1986–1996, 1991.

Fitzgerald, M. M., M. J. Churchill, D. E. McRee, and D. B. Goodin. Biochemistry, vol. 33, pp. 3807–3818, 1994.

Fitzgerald, M. M., M. L. Trester, G. M. Jensen, D. E. McRee, and D. B. Goodin. Protein Science, vol. 4, pp. 1844–1850, 1995.

Frisch, M. W., G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, P. M. W. Gill, B. G. Johnson, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, T. Keith, G. A. Petersson, J. A. Montgomery, K. Raghavachari, M. A. Al-Laham, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. V. Ortiz, J. B. Foresman, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, C. Y. Peng, P. Y. Ayala, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, J. L. Andres, E. S. Replogle, R. Gomperts, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, J. S. Binkley, D. J. Defrees, J. Baker, J. P. Stewart, M. Head-Gordon, C. Gonzalez, and J. A. Pople. GAUSSIAN92/DFT; GAUSSIAN94 (Revision B.1). Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 1995.

Fülöp, V., R. P. Phizackerley, S. M. Soltis, I. J. Clifton, S. Wakatsuki, J. Erman, J. Hajdu, and S. L. Edwards. Structure, vol. 2, pp. 201–208, 1994.

Goodin, D. B., and D. E. McRee. Biochemistry, vol. 32, pp. 3313–3324, 1993.

Hehre, W. J., L. Radom, P. R. Schleyer, and J. A. Pople. *Ab Initio* Molecular Orbital Theory. New York: Wiley, 1986.

Hoffman, B. M., J. E. Roberts, C. H. Kang, and E. Margoliash. Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 256, pp. 6556–6564, 1981.

Hore, P. J., and R. W. Broadhurst. Progress in NMR Spectroscopy, vol. 25, pp. 345–402, 1993.

Houseman, A. L. P., P. E. Doan, D. B. Goodin, and B. M. Hoffman. Biochemistry, vol. 32, pp. 4430–4443, 1993.

Huyett, J. E., P. E. Doan, R. Gurbriel, A. L. P. Houseman, M. Sivaraja, D. B. Goodin, and B. M. Hoffman. Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 117, pp. 9033–9041, 1995.

Jensen, G. M., A. Warshel, and P. J. Stephens. Biochemistry, vol. 33, pp. 10911–10924, 1994.

Jovanovic, S. V., S. Steenken, and M. G. Simic. Journal of Physical Chemistry, vol. 95, pp. 684–687 (and references therein) 1991.

Kim, S-T., A. Sancar, C. Essenmacher, and G. T. Babcock. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 90, pp. 8023–8027, 1993.

Krauss, M., and D. R. Garmer. Journal of Physical Chemistry, vol. 97, pp. 831–836, 1993.

Laming, G. J., N. C. Handy, and R. D. Amos. Molecular Physics, vol. 80, pp. 1121–1134, 1993.

Langen, R., G. M. Jensen, U. Jacob, P. J. Stephens, and A. Warshel. Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 267, pp. 25625–25627, 1992.

Lee, C., W. Yang, and R. G. Parr. Physics Review B, vol. 37, pp. 785–789, 1988.

McCord, E. F., R. R. Bucks, and S. G. Boxer. Biochemistry, vol. 20, pp. 2880–2888, 1981.

McRee, D. E., G. M. Jensen, M. M. Fitzgerald, H. A. Siegel, and D. B. Goodin. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 91, pp. 12847–12851, 1994.

Miller, M. A., G. W. Han, and J. Kraut. <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</u>, vol. 91, pp. 11118–11122, 1994.

Perdew, J. P. <u>Physics Review B</u>, vol. 33, pp. 8822–8824, 1986.

Poulos, T. L. <u>Advances in Inorganic Biochemistry</u>, vol. 7, pp. 1–33, 1988.

Qin, Y., and R. A. Wheeler. <u>Journal of Chemical Physics</u>, vol. 102, pp. 1689–1698 (see also discussion and references in these papers), 1995.

Sahlin, M., G. Lassmann, S. Pötsch, A. Slaby, B-M. Sjöberg, and A. Gräslund. <u>Journal of Biological Chemistry</u>, vol. 269, pp. 11699–11702, 1994.

Sahlin, M., G. Lassmann, S. Pötsch, B-M. Sjöberg, and A. Gräslund. <u>Journal of Biological Chemistry</u>, vol. 270, pp. 12361–12372, 1995.

Scholes, C. P., Y. Liu, L. A. Fishel, M. F. Farnum, J. M. Mauro, and J. Kraut. <u>Israel Journal of Chemistry</u>, vol. 29, pp. 85–92, 1989.

Simandiras, E. D., J. E. Rice, T. J. Lee, R. D. Amos, and N. C. Handy. <u>Journal of Chemical Physics</u>, vol. 88, pp. 3187–3195, 1988.

Sivaraja, M., D. B. Goodin, M. Smith, and B. M. Hoffman. <u>Science</u>, vol. 245, pp. 738–740, 1989.

Stephens, P. J., F. J. Devlin, C. F. Chabalowski, and M. J. Frisch. <u>Journal of Physical Chemistry</u>, vol. 98, pp. 11623–11627 1994.

Stephens, P. J., F. J. Devlin, C. S. Ashvar, C. F. Chabalowski, and M. J. Frisch. <u>Faraday Discussions</u>, to be published.

Stob, S., and R. Kaptein. <u>Photochemistry and Photobiology</u>, vol. 49, pp. 565–577, 1989.

Su, C., Y. Wang, and T. G. Spiro. <u>Journal of Raman Spectroscopy</u>, vol. 21, pp. 435–440, 1990.

Sweeney, J. A., and S. A. Asher. <u>Journal of Physical Chemistry</u>, vol. 94, pp. 4784–4791, 1990.

Takeuchi, H., and I. Harada. <u>Spectrochimica Acta</u>, vol. 42A, pp. 1069–1078, 1986.

Vosko, S. H., L. Wilk, and M. Nusair. <u>Canadian Journal of Chemistry</u>, vol. 58, pp. 1200–1211, 1980.

Walden, S. E., and R. A. Wheeler. Abstracts of Papers, 50th Soutwest Regional Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Fort Worth, TX; American Chemical Society, ORG 181, Washington, DC, 1994.

Walden, S. E., and R. A. Wheeler. Abstracts of Papers, 209th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Anaheim, CA; American Chemical Society, PHYS 191, Washington, DC, 1995.

Walden, S. E., R. A. Wheeler. <u>Journal of Physical Chemistry</u>, to be published.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

NO. OF
COPIES   ORGANIZATION

2   DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFO CTR
     ATTN DTIC DDA
     8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD
     STE 0944
     FT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218

1   DIRECTOR
     US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
     ATTN AMSRL OP SD TA
     2800 POWDER MILL RD
     ADELPHI MD 20783-1145

3   DIRECTOR
     US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
     ATTN AMSRL OP SD TL
     2800 POWDER MILL RD
     ADELPHI MD 20783-1145

1   DIRECTOR
     US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
     ATTN AMSRL OP SD TP
     2800 POWDER MILL RD
     ADELPHI MD 20783-1145

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

5   DIR USARL
     ATTN AMSRL OP AP L (305)

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	HQDA ATTN SARD TT DR F MILTON PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0103
1	HQDA ATTN SARD TT MR J APPEL PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0103
1	HQDA OASA RDA ATTN DR C H CHURCH PENTAGON ROOM 3E486 WASHINGTON DC 20310-0103
4	COMMANDER US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE ATTN R GHIRARDELLI D MANN R SINGLETON R SHAW P O BOX 12211 RSCH TRNGLE PK NC 27709-2211
1	DIRECTOR ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE ATTN AMXRO RT IP LIB SERVICES P O BOX 12211 RSCH TRNGLE PK NC 27709-2211
2	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC ATTN SMCAR AEE B D S DOWNS PCTNY ARSNL NJ 07806-5000
2	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC ATTN SMCAR AEE J A LANNON PCTNY ARSNL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC ATTN SMCAR AEE BR L HARRIS PCTNY ARSNL NJ 07806-5000
2	COMMANDER US ARMY MISSILE COMMAND ATTN AMSMI RD PR E A R MAYKUT AMSMI RD PR P R BETTS REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35809

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY ATTN R S MILLER CODE 432 800 N QUINCY STREET ARLINGTON VA 22217
1	COMMANDER NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS COMMAND ATTN J RAMNARACE AIR 54111C WASHINGTON DC 20360
2	COMMANDER NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER ATTN R BERNECKER R 13 G B WILMOT R 16 SILVER SPRING MD 20903-5000
5	COMMANDER NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN M C LIN J MCDONALD E ORAN J SHNUR R J DOYLE CODE 6110 WASHINGTON DC 20375
2	COMMANDER NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER ATTN T BOGGS CODE 388 T PARR CODE 3895 CHINA LAKE CA 93555-6001
1	SUPERINTENDENT NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL DEPT OF AERONAUTICS ATTN D W NETZER MONTEREY CA 93940
3	AL LSCF ATTN R CORLEY R GEISLER J LEVINE EDWARDS AFB CA 93523-5000
1	AFOSR ATTN J M TISHKOFF BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE WASHINGTON DC 20332

22

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	OSD SDIO IST ATTN L CAVENY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20301-7100	3	DIRECTOR SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORIES DIVISION 8354 ATTN S JOHNSTON P MATTERN D STEPHENSON LIVERMORE CA 94550
1	COMMANDANT USAFAS ATTN ATSF TSM CN FORT SILL OK 73503-5600	1	BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY DEPT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING ATTN M W BECKSTEAD PROVO UT 84058
1	UNIV OF DAYTON RSCH INSTITUTE ATTN D CAMPBELL AL PAP EDWARDS AFB CA 93523	1	CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECH JET PROPULSION LABORATORY ATTN L STRAND MS 125 224 4800 OAK GROVE DRIVE PASADENA CA 91109
1	NASA LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER ATTN G B NORTHAM MS 168 LANGLEY STATION HAMPTON VA 23365	1	CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ATTN F E C CULICK MC 301 46 204 KARMAN LAB PASADENA CA 91125
4	NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS US DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ATTN J HASTIE M JACOX T KASHIWAGI H SEMERJIAN WASHINGTON DC 20234	1	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LOS ALAMOS SCIENTIFIC LAB P O BOX 1663 MAIL STOP B216 LOS ALAMOS NM 87545
2	DIRECTOR LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LAB ATTN C WESTBROOK W TAO MS L 282 P O BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550	1	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY CHEMISTRY DEPARMENT ATTN C BRADLEY MOORE 211 LEWIS HALL BERKELEY CA 94720
1	DIRECTOR LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LAB ATTN B NICHOLS T7 MS B284 P O BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS NM 87545	1	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO ATTN F A WILLIAMS AMES B010 LA JOLLA CA 92093
2	PRINCETON COMBUSTION RESEARCH LABORATORIES INC ATTN N A MESSINA M SUMMERFIELD PRINCETON CORPORATE PLAZA BLDG IV SUITE 119 11 DEERPARK DRIVE MONMOUTH JUNCTION NJ 08852	2	UNIV OF CALIFORNIA SANTA BARBARA QUANTUM INSTITUTE ATTN K SCHOFIELD M STEINBERG SANTA BARBARA CA 93106
		1	UNIV OF COLORADO AT BOULDER ENGINEERING CENTER ATTN J DAILY CAMPUS BOX 427 BOULDER CO 80309-0427

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
3	UNIV OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DEPT OF CHEMISTRY ATTN R BEAUDET S BENSON C WITTIG LOS ANGELES CA 90007	4	PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY DEPT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING ATTN K KUO M MICCI S THYNELL V YANG UNIVERSITY PARK PA 16802
1	CORNELL UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY ATTN T A COOL BAKER LABORATORY ITHACA NY 14853	2	PRINCETON UNIVERSITY FORRESTAL CAMPUS LIBRARY ATTN K BREZINSKY I GLASSMAN P O BOX 710 PRINCETON NJ 08540
1	UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE CHEMISTRY DEPARTMENT ATTN T BRILL NEWARK DE 19711	1	PURDUE UNIVERSITY SCHL OF AERONAUTICS & ASTRONAUTICS ATTN J R OSBORN GRISSOM HALL WEST LAFAYETTE IN 47906
1	UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA DEPT OF CHEMISTRY ATTN J WINEFORDNER GAINESVILLE FL 32611	1	PURDUE UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY ATTN E GRANT WEST LAFAYETTE IN 47906
3	GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY SCHOOL OF AEROSPACE ENGINEERING ATTN E PRICE W C STRAHLE B T ZINN ATLANTA GA 30332	2	PURDUE UNIVERSITY SCHL OF MECHANICAL ENGNRNG ATTN N M LAURENDEAU S N B MURTHY TSPC CHAFFEE HALL WEST LAFAYETTE IN 47906
1	UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS DEPT OF MECH ENG ATTN H KRIER 144MEB 1206 W GREEN ST URBANA IL 61801	1	RENSSELAER POLYTECHNIC INST DEPT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING ATTN A FONTIJN TROY NY 12181
1	THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIV CPIA ATTN T W CHRISTIAN 10630 LITTLE PATUXENT PKWY SUITE 202 COLUMBIA MD 21044-3200	1	STANFORD UNIVERSITY DEPT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING ATTN R HANSON STANFORD CA 94305
1	UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN GAS DYNAMICS LAB ATTN G M FAETH AEROSPACE ENGINEERING BLDG ANN ARBOR MI 48109-2140	1	UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS DEPT OF CHEMISTRY ATTN W GARDINER AUSTIN TX 78712
1	UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA DEPT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING ATTN E FLETCHER MINNEAPOLIS MN 55455	1	VA POLYTECH INST AND STATE UNIV ATTN J A SCHETZ BLACKSBURG VA 24061

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	APPLIED COMBUSTION TECHNOLOGY INC ATTN A M VARNEY P O BOX 607885 ORLANDO FL 32860	1	HERCULES INC ATTN R V CARTWRIGHT 100 HOWARD BLVD KENVIL NJ 07847
2	APPLIED MECHANICS REVIEWS ASME ATTN R E WHITE & A B WENZEL 345 E 47TH STREET NEW YORK NY 10017	1	ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS INC MARINE SYSTEMS GROUP ATTN D E BRODEN MS MN50 2000 600 2ND STREET NE HOPKINS MN 55343
1	TEXTRON DEFENSE SYSTEMS ATTN A PATRICK 2385 REVERE BEACH PARKWAY EVERETT MA 02149-5900	1	ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS INC ATTN R E TOMPKINS MN 11 2720 600 SECOND ST NORTH HOPKINS MN 55343
1	BATTELLE TWSTIAC 505 KING AVENUE COLUMBUS OH 43201-2693	1	IBM CORPORATION RESEARCH DIVISION ATTN A C TAM 5600 COTTLE ROAD SAN JOSE CA 95193
1	COHEN PROFESSIONAL SERVICES ATTN N S COHEN 141 CHANNING STREET REDLANDS CA 92373	1	IIT RESEARCH INSTITUTE ATTN R F REMALY 10 WEST 35TH STREET CHICAGO IL 60616
1	EXXON RESEARCH & ENG CO ATTN A DEAN ROUTE 22E ANNANDALE NJ 08801	1	LOCKHEED MISSILES & SPACE CO ATTN GEORGE LO 3251 HANOVER STREET DEPT 52 35 B204 2 PALO ALTO CA 94304
1	GENERAL APPLIED SCIENCE LABS INC 77 RAYNOR AVENUE RONKONKAMA NY 11779-6649	1	OLIN ORDNANCE ATTN V MCDONALD LIBRARY P O BOX 222 ST MARKS FL 32355-0222
1	GENERAL ELECTRIC ORDNANCE SYSTEMS ATTN J MANDZY 100 PLASTICS AVENUE PITTSFIELD MA 01203	1	PAUL GOUGH ASSOCIATES INC ATTN P S GOUGH 1048 SOUTH STREET PORTSMOUTH NH 03801-5423
1	GENERAL MOTORS RSCH LABS PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY DEPARTMENT ATTN T SLOANE WARREN MI 48090-9055	1	HUGHES AIRCRAFT COMPANY ATTN T E WARD PO BOX 11337 TUCSON AZ 85734-1337
2	HERCULES INC ATTN W B WALKUP E A YOUNT P O BOX 210 ROCKET CENTER WV 26726		

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION	NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INC ATTN R B EDELMAN 23146 CUMORAH CREST WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364	1	UNIVERSAL PROPULSION COMPANY ATTN H J MCSPADDEN 25401 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE PHOENIX AZ 85027-7837
3	SRI INTERNATIONAL ATTN G SMITH D CROSLEY D GOLDEN 333 RAVENSWOOD AVENUE MENLO PARK CA 94025	1	VERITAY TECHNOLOGY INC ATTN E B FISHER 4845 MILLERSPORT HIGHWAY EAST AMHERST NY 14051-0305
1	STEVENS INSTITUTE OF TECH DAVIDSON LABORATORY ATTN R MCALEVY III HOBOKEN NJ 07030	1	FREEDMAN ASSOCIATES ATTN E FREEDMAN 2411 DIANA ROAD BALTIMORE MD 21209-1525
1	SVERDRUP TECHNOLOGY INC LERC GROUP ATTN R J LOCKE MS SVR 2 2001 AEROSPACE PARKWAY BROOK PARK OH 44142	3	ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS ATTN C CANDLAND L OSGOOD R BECKER 600 SECOND ST NE HOPKINS MN 55343
1	SVERDRUP TECHNOLOGY INC ATTN J DEUR 2001 AEROSPACE PARKWAY BROOK PARK OH 44142	1	DIRECTOR US ARMY BENET LABS ATTN AMSTA AR CCB T SAM SOPOK WATERVLIET NY 12189
3	THIOKOL CORPORATION ELKTON DIVISION ATTN R BIDDLE R WILLER TECH LIB P O BOX 241 ELKTON MD 21921		
3	THIOKOL CORPORATION WASATCH DIVISION ATTN S J BENNETT P O BOX 524 BRIGHAM CITY UT 84302		
1	UNITED TECHNOLOGIES RSCH CENTER ATTN A C ECKBRETH EAST HARTFORD CT 06108		
1	UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP CHEMICAL SYSTEMS DIVISION ATTN R R MILLER P O BOX 49028 SAN JOSE CA 95161-9028		

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

36   DIR USARL
        ATTN: AMSRL-WT-P, A HORST
                 AMSRL-WT-PC,
                    R A FIFER
                    G F ADAMS
                    W R ANDERSON
                    R A BEYER
                    S W BUNTE
                    C F CHABALOWSKI
                    K P MCNEILL-BOONSTOPPEL
                    A COHEN
                    R CUMPTON
                    R DANIEL
                    D DEVYNCK
                    N F FELL
                    B E FORCH
                    J M HEIMERL
                    A J KOTLAR
                    M R MANAA
                    W F MCBRATNEY
                    K L MCNESBY
                    S V MEDLIN
                    M S MILLER
                    A W MIZIOLEK
                    S H MODIANO
                    J B MORRIS
                    J E NEWBERRY
                    S A NEWTON
                    R A PESCE-RODRIGUEZ
                    B M RICE
                    R C SAUSA
                    M A SCHROEDER
                    J A VANDERHOFF
                    M WENSING
                    A WHREN
                    J M WIDDER
                    C WILLIAMSON
                 AMSRL-CI-CA, R PATEL

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

1. ARL Report Number ___ARL-TR-989_____ Date of Report _March 1996_____

2. Date Report Received _____

3. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.) _____

_____

_____

4. Specifically, how is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.) ____

_____

_____

5. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided, or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate. _____

_____

_____

6. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization, technical content, format, etc.) _____

_____

_____

_____

CURRENT
ADDRESS

Organization _____

Name _____

Street or P.O. Box No. _____

City, State, Zip Code _____

7. If indicating a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide the Current or Correct address above and the Old or Incorrect address below.

OLD
ADDRESS

Organization _____

Name _____

Street or P.O. Box No. _____

City, State, Zip Code _____

(Remove this sheet, fold as indicated, tape closed, and mail.)
(DO NOT STAPLE)