REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of informati and maintaining the data needed, and completing a information, including suggestions for reducing this b 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of	on is estimated to average 1 hour per response, in nd reviewing the collection of information. Senc urden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Dir Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction	cluding the time for review I comments regarding thi ectorate for Information O Project (0704-0188), Was	wing instructions, s is burden estimate perations and Rep shington, DC 2050	searching existing data sources, gathering e or any other aspect of this collection of oorts, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 33.
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE August 1995	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Proceedings – 14-17 August 1995		s covered gust 1995
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE ODC/EPA 17 Elimination from DOD Technical Data and Gas Turbine Engines			5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(S) Brian A. Manty Michael P. McCall Laurie A. DeGarmo				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
Human Systems Center (A MO) Human Systems Program Office Environmental Systems Division 8107 13th Street Procke Air Enrop Base, TX, 78235-5218			AL/YA-PC-1995-0045	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAMES(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		C 4007	
Human Systems Center Techr Proceedings, 4th Annual Work	ical Monitor: Major Laurie Dec dwide Pollution Prevention Con	Garmo, (210) 530 Ference, 14-17 A	6-4887 August 1995	5.
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)				· ·
In response to the 1990 Cl of the Human Systems Progra systematically eliminate referer engine technical data. Additio volume usage of Environment requirements for usage, exami by manufacturers, and qualit approaches are discussed.	ean Air Act Amendments, DOD am Office, Human Systems C nces to particularly active Class nally, Executive Order 12856 a al Protection Agency (EPA) 1 nation of commercially available ication of potential alternative	and USAF polic enter, Brooks A I Ozone Depleti Ind subsequent 7 toxins. Prog le alternatives, ic es where nece	cy, the Envi FB TX has ng Chemica DOD direct ram scope dentificatior ssary. Te	ironment Systems Division s developed a program to als (ODC) from gas turbine tives drive the reduction in includes the validation of n of solutions implemented chnical and management
19	960305 017	,		
14. SUBJECT TERMS Chemicals				7
Clean air act Ozone				16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 1 OF REPORT Unclassified	8. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 19 OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	. SECURITY CLAS OF ABSTRACT Unclassifi	SIFICATION	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL
NSN 7540-01-280-5500	_ _ !		St Pr 29	andard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) escribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 98-102

ODC/EPA 17 Elimination from DOD Technical Data and Gas Turbine Engines

B. A. Manty Concurrent Technologies Corporation (CTC)

M. P. McCall Concurrent Technologies Corporation (CTC)

Major L. A. DeGarmo

Environmental Systems Division, Human Systems Center, Brooks Air Force Base, TX

1. INTRODUCTION

The 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments restrict the production of Ozone Depleting Chemicals (ODCs), with emphasis on particularly active Class I ODCs. These amendments require the elimination of Class I ODC production by 1 January 1996. Additionally, Executive Order 12856 and Department of Defense goals drive the reduction of Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 17 usage. The FY '93 National Defense Authorization Act prohibits the award of new contracts after 1 January 1993, if the contract requires the use of a Class I ODC. The Undersecretary of the Air Force for acquisition policy requires the Air Force to eliminate the use of Class I ODCs. However, Air Force technical data (including technical orders (T.O.s), specifications, and standards) currently mandate the use of ODCs, and EPA 17 chemicals.

The Environmental Systems Division of Air Force Materiel Command's Human Systems Center has contracted, through the U.S. Army, with the National Defense Center for Environmental Excellence (NDCEE), operated by Concurrent Technologies Corporation (CTC) to conduct a program dedicated to the elimination of Class I ODC references from turbine engine technical data. A secondary goal of the program is to reduce the usage of industrial toxins targeted in the EPA 17 list. Successful conclusion of this program results in compliant weapon system operation and sustainment at Department of Defense (DoD) depots. Program scope includes the systematic review of all affected T.O.s, with the development of application-specific performance requirements for identified Class I ODCs and targeted EPA 17 chemical references. Once requirements are understood, commercially available alternatives to identified targeted substances are investigated and qualified, or if none are available, new processes are developed and validated. Upon government acceptance of recommended alternatives, changes to T.O.s are initiated for the affected weapon system. The initial work effort focused on elimination of ODC callouts from TF39 turbine engine T.O.s and will be the subject of this paper. The collaborative efforts of the U.S turbine engine manufacturers and the DoD have led to an unprecedented sharing of data in pursuit of a common goal.

2. APPROACH

The Management and Technical Approaches for the TF39 effort are addressed below.

2.1 Management Approach

Propulsion Environmental Working Group

Propulsion professionals have been collaborating for several years through a forum called the Propulsion Environmental Working Group (PEWG). This group was organized to share technical information in pursuit of environmentally acceptable substitutes for use in the manufacture and repair of gas turbine engines. Representatives from Allison, General Electric (GE), Pratt & Whitney (P&W), Allied Signal, Williams International and the Aerospace Industries Association participate in the PEWG along with DoD members from the USAF, Army, and Navy.

Integrated Product Team

The effort began with the formation of an Integrated Product Team (IPT). The team is managed by the Weapon Systems Pollution Prevention IPT at the Human Systems Center, Brooks AFB, TX, with members from the Life Cycle Environmental Center at Picatinny Arsenal, NJ and the Propulsion Directorate of the San Antonio Air Logistics Center at Kelly AFB, TX. The NDCEE, operated by *CTC*, as the prime contractor for the effort to eliminate Class I ODC references and reduce EPA 17 references from turbine engine technical data, also has an integral role in the IPT. The IPT for subsequent engine efforts will contain a member from the USAF organization with engineering authority for that engine's technical data. This ensures that engine-specific concerns and depot issues are adequately addressed and ultimately translates to the expeditious approval and implementation of recommended alternatives. The IPT benefits from the counsel and industry-wide developments identified by the PEWG. This forum has provided a unique platform for integration of environmentally compliant solutions between turbine engine manufacturers and DoD sustainment activities.

Alternative Material Selection Responsibilities

The program's philosophy includes the establishment of subcontractual relationships between NDCEE (*CTC*) and the original equipment manufacturers (OEM) for pursuit of alternatives. Proposed alternatives are then reviewed by *CTC* and forwarded to the USAF IPT for approval and implementation. This approach provides safeguards against adverse impact to system performance and life cycle cost. For production engines, warranty issues are also a consideration in the selection of alternatives.

Demonstration/Validation Responsibilities

The NDCEE factory demonstration facilities in Johnstown, PA, operated by *CTC*, will be used to evaluate alternatives. This approach mitigates risk to ongoing government depot operations and production schedules, while "proving" new products and processes. Test data from previously conducted efforts is reviewed and used to the maximum extent possible, further reducing both risk and associated program costs.

Information Exchange

Through periodic meetings of the PEWG, turbine engine manufacturers are afforded the opportunity to review suggested alternatives and potentially incorporate them for use in technical data governing their products. To maximize the availability and utility of this data, a database

written in Microsoft Access has been developed, with NDCEE (CTC) serving as the custodian. The data is accessible to users either on disk or through electronic transfer. In many instances, pollution prevention solutions identified for one engine may be equally suited for use on other engines. Strong information exchange capabilities reduce redundancy of effort and result in economies of scale in the qualification of solutions.

2.2. Technical Approach

The USAF, in conjunction with *CTC* and its subcontractors, systematically reviewed selected T.O.s, identified both ODC and EPA 17 references, and provided alternative materials for processes and procedures. Steps in the process included:

- 1. Screen technical data to generate a Chemical Process List (CPL) which identifies references to regulated substances. Screening is conducted by the USAF, with CPLs provided to *CTC*. The OEM and *CTC* verify the data in the CPLs through a cross-check against referenced T.O.s. Instances in which the T.O. contains an approved alternative are reported to the government.
- 2. Establish the performance requirements for each T.O. reference to a Class I ODC or EPA 17 substance. This step provides an understanding of how and why each substance is used.
- 3. Evaluate existing OEM or commercial alternatives against the performance requirements. When sufficient data exists for a qualification decision, direct substitution is possible.
- 4. Prepare test and evaluation program plan and conduct required testing. When data gaps exist for a qualification decision, a test plan is developed for government-approval. The approved test and evaluation plan is then used to govern the conduct and reporting of *CTC*'s qualification effort.
- 5. Identify Research & Development (R&D) opportunities. If candidate alternatives prove unsuccessful in the qualification effort, then the requirement is identified for further R&D.

3. RESULTS OF TF39 ODC ELIMINATION

Screen Technical Data

GE personnel reviewed the government-furnished CPLs, dated 1993, and GE's internal database to identify all materials used during engine manufacture with Class I ODC constituents. The resultant list of ODC-containing constituents was searched electronically. Manual search of the latest revisions to T.O.2J-TF39-3 and T.O.2J-TF39-6 were conducted. Since 1993, many of the affected T.O.s had undergone revision through their normal revision cycle. Thus, the combined

electronic/manual search yielded improved accuracy over the electronically prepared CPLs dated 1993.

Of the 179 Class I ODC callouts identified, nine percent, or 17, contained a T.O. approved alternative (Figure 1). The "suitable" substitutes documented in the T.O. were reviewed for applicability. Table 1 summarizes processes and T.O. approved substitutes.

As noted in the table, perchloroethylene, an EPA 17 chemical, was deemed the most

Figure 1: Nine percent of the callouts for ODCs identified an alternative in the T.O.



suitable T.O. approved substitute in four instances. The priority for the initial TF39 work was the elimination of Class I ODCs. Replacements for perchloroethylene will be considered only after all ODCs have been addressed.

Process (occurrences)	T.O. Approved Substitute(s)	· [
vapor degrease (1)	perchloroethylene	
wine clean (5)	isopropyl alcohol, acetone, alcohol	
ultrasonic clean (1)	perchloroethylene	
immerse clean (2)	perchloroethylene	
clean to prime (1)	acetone	
clean for adhesive (1)	detergent	
clean for resin (1)	isopropyl alcohol	
fluorescent penetrant inspection (1)	acetone	
flush clean (1)	isopropyl alcohol	. 1
fill clean (1)	isopropyl alcohol	
ultrasonic cleaning (1)	PD-680	
release agent (1)	RAM 225	
	Process (occurrences) vapor degrease (1) wipe clean (5) ultrasonic clean (1) immerse clean (2) clean to prime (1) clean for adhesive (1) clean for resin (1) fluorescent penetrant inspection (1) flush clean (1) fill clean (1) ultrasonic cleaning (1) release agent (1)	Process (occurrences)T.O. Approved Substitute(s)vapor degrease (1)perchloroethylenewipe clean (5)isopropyl alcohol, acetone, alcoholultrasonic clean (1)perchloroethyleneimmerse clean (2)perchloroethyleneclean to prime (1)acetoneclean for adhesive (1)detergentclean for resin (1)isopropyl alcoholfluorescent penetrant inspection (1)acetoneflush clean (1)isopropyl alcoholultrasonic cleaning (1)PD-680release agent (1)RAM 225

Table 1: TO Approved (Non-ODC) Substitutes

Establish Performance Requirements

The incorporation of alternative materials in technical data used in weapon system sustainment operations requires an understanding of each hazardous material's use. This understanding preserves both system integrity and safety considerations. Each callout of a Class I ODC was investigated to identify both the process(es) preceding the callout and the required post-treatment processing. Knowledge of prior processing assisted material/process engineers in the selection of alternatives by providing information on which residual contaminants could be expected. Post ODC process information provided data on "how clean" the part needed to be for the next process. In some cases, engineering assessment resulted in the elimination of a step currently requiring the use of an ODC.

Table 2 shows representative segments of data available in the Microsoft Access database for use in the requirements definition process.



 Table 2 - Example of Database Report on Performance Requirements

COPY AVAILABLE TO DTIC DOES NOT PERMIT FULLY LEGIBLE REPRODUCTION

A few noteworthy recommendations resulted from the TF39 engine effort. Among them was the general avoidance of Terpene-based cleaners in an effort to minimize additional rinse process steps required to avoid residues.

Methyl Ethyl Ketone (MEK) is often an acceptable alternative material from material and process compatibility perspectives. However, as an EPA 17 chemical, MEK should be avoided whenever possible. In the TF39 effort, MEK was recommended only when no proven alternative was available.

Evaluate Existing Alternatives

Significant effort expended in the search for ODC alternatives. *CTC* has been validating the effectiveness of power spray washers, ultrasonics, aqueous cleaning chemistry and other alternatives. The gas turbine industry is conducting similar test programs and continues to implement changes to the overhead/repair procedures used for commercial engines. The air logistic centers have also been proactive, many making capital investments to facilitate a transition to aqueous-based cleaning as an alternative to Class I ODC usage. The current challenge is to expand the use of recently proven alternatives to all DoD components and agencies.

For most TF39 Class I ODC callouts, an OEM approved alternative was readily identified. This substantiated the belief that DoD OEMs have already eliminated the use of Class I ODCs in their manufacturing processes. Each GE-approved alternative was reviewed by *CTC*. Approved alternatives, along with exact verbiage for required T.O. changes, were entered into the Microsoft Access database. The database was then used to generate a comprehensive report and the AFMC Form 252, "T.O. Publication Change Request." Selected replacements were evaluated and qualified by the OEM through experience and proven product performance in similar applications. Other potential processes and products may exist, but additional time and testing are required.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Substitutes were identified for all Class I ODCs called out in TF39 turbine engine T.O.s The program team continues work under this contract to find a substitute for Perchlorethylene in vapor degreasing.

The program team collaborated to produce AFMC Forms 252 and Operational Supplements as recommendations for change. The government is currently processing AFMC Forms 252 to render TF39 engine T.O.s "ODC free" in compliance with Air Force directives and policy.